Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.
Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as doi:10.1124/mol.106.026302

Cortico-striatal up-regulation of Activity Regulated Cytoskeletal-associated protein (Arc) expression following repeated exposure to cocaine.

Fabio Fumagalli, Francesco Bedogni, Angelisa Frasca, Laura Di Pasquale, Giorgio Racagni and Marco Andrea Riva

Center of Neuropharmacology, Department of Pharmacological Sciences, University of Milan, Via Balzaretti 9, 20133 Milan, Italy (FF, FB, AF, LDP, GR, MAR); I.R.C.C.S. San Giovanni di Dio-Fatebenefratelli, Brescia, Italy (GR).

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Copyright 2006 by the American Society for Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics.

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

Running Title

Cocaine up-regulates Arc expression in rat brain.

Corresponding author

M.A. Riva,
Center of Neuropharmacology,
Department of Pharmacological Sciences
Via Balzaretti 9, 20133 Milan, Italy.
Phone 39-2-50318334;
Fax: 39-2-50318278.
E-mail: M.Riva@unimi.it

Text page number: 31
Table number: 0
Number of figures: 6
Number of References: 45
Abstract: 244 words
Introduction: 595 words
Discussion: 1385 words

List of non-standard abbreviations:

Arc= activity regulated cytoskeletal-associated protein
IEG= immediate early gene
CaMKII= calcium calmodulin kinase II
AMPA= a-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid
MAP-2= Microtubule associated Protein-2

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

ABSTRACT

We provide evidence that cocaine evokes short- and long-lasting increases in Arc (Activity Regulated Cytoskeletal-associated protein) expression following a finely tuned, time-dependent and regional-selective expression profile. Acute experiments revealed that cocaine up-regulates Arc expression primarily in striatum and prefrontal cortex through a dopamine D1-dependent mechanism and a combination of D1- and D2- dependent mechanisms, respectively. Aside from cocaine-dependent Arc elevation, we show, for the first time, that D1 and D2 receptors tonically regulate basal Arc expression, following a region-selective profile. As opposed to the effects of a single cocaine injection on Arc expression that dissipate within 24 hours, sub-chronic (5 daily injections) or chronic (14 daily injections) cocaine administration, with sacrifice of the animals hours or days after the last treatment, demonstrated that Arc expression is still up-regulated long after treatment cessation suggesting that adaptive changes have been set in motion by the prolonged administration of the psychostimulant. In summary, our findings are the first to demonstrate that repeated exposure to cocaine leads to long-lasting dysregulation of Arc expression in the corticostriatal network, thus establishing a molecular basis to explain, at least partially, the impaired synaptic transmission caused by cocaine abuse at this level. Furthermore, given the role exerted by Arc in cytoarchitectural rearrangements, it is conceivable to speculate that it mediates changes in synaptic connectivity brought about by cocaine. Our findings thus pinpoint this molecule as a neuropathological underpinning and a molecular bridge connecting short- and long-term neuronal modifications associated with cocaine abuse.

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

INTRODUCTION

Cocaine is a psychostimulant agent highly abused worldwide that places an enormous social and economic burden on modern society. Addiction to cocaine is a mental and physical status that largely depends upon neurochemical, structural and behavioural brain alterations (Nestler et al., 2005).

One of the main interests has indeed been the comprehension of the early changes set in motion soon after the exposure to cocaine, since it is believed that such alterations can set the stage for long-lasting modifications. To this end, attention has focused on immediate early genes (IEGs) that are divided into i) genes encoding transcription factors, which indirectly alter the expression of a given target gene and ii) genes encoding effector proteins, which act directly on cellular homeostasis and function.

In recent years, much has been learned on the functions of IEGs. Cocaine acutely induces the expression of c-fos, zif/268 and Fra-2 (Bhat and Baraban, 1993; Liu et al., 2005), mainly at the striatal level. However, the expression of these IEGs is reduced as a consequence of prolonged treatment (Bhat et al., 1992; Freeman et al., 2002), clearly indicating that the role of these proteins is limited to the initial phase of the action of the psychostimulant. Recently, a novel effector IEG has been cloned called Arc (Activity regulated Cytoskeletal-associated protein) (Lyford et al., 1995), that shows the peculiar characteristic of being localized at dendritic processes, a feature that allows the local synthesis of the protein in case of demand. In fact, Arc expression is increased after high frequency activation of the perforant pathway projections (Link et al., 1995, Steward et al., 1998) or following acute electroconvulsive stimulation in the rat (Larsen et al.,

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

2005) pointing to Arc as a reliable index of activity-dependent synaptic modifications.

Because of the high sensitivity to changes in neuronal activity and its peculiar localization, Arc could represent a preferential target for drugs of abuse. While the effects of different drugs of abuse have been studied following both acute and chronic paradigms (Kodama et al., 1998; Schochet et al., 2005; Schiltz et al., 2005), Arc expression has been so far investigated after acute cocaine treatment only (Fosnaugh et al., 1995), leaving unanswered the question as to whether Arc contributes to the long-term action of this psychostimulant. This information could be highly relevant since repeated exposure to cocaine alters the morphology of dendrites and spines in different brain regions (Robinson et al., 1999; 2001; Norrholm et al., 2003), an effect that could be mediated, at least in part, by Arc, as it is known to interact with components of the cytoskeleton such as F-actin and MAP-2 (Lyford et al., 1995; Fujimoto et al., 2004). In addition, recent data revealed that Arc interacts also with elements of the post-synaptic signaling machinery such as □-CaMKII, PSD-95 and AMPA receptors (Okuno et al., 2004; Shepherd et al., 2004) which are known to be modulated by cocaine (Yao et al., 2004; Park et al., 2002; Licata et al., 2004) further pointing to Arc as a critical crossroad of multiple signals that converge into the stabilization of synaptic changes promoted by the psychostimulant.

Accordingly, the purpose of the present report was to analyze the effects of prolonged treatments with cocaine on Arc expression in order to evaluate whether cocaine may affect synaptic plasticity through changes in Arc expression. In addition we expanded our overall knowledge on the acute effects of cocaine, by evaluating the time-dependent and region-specific profile of Arc modulation,

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

information that could be relevant to clarify the mechanisms of the putative effects brought about by a prolonged treatment with this psychostimulant.

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

General reagents were purchased from Sigma (Milan, Italy), and molecular biology reagents were obtained from Cellbio (Pero, Milan, Italy) and Promega (Milan, Italy). Selective antagonists and agonists of dopaminergic D1 and D2 receptors were purchased from Sigma (Milan, Italy).

Drug treatments

Single injection: We investigated the effect of a single injection of cocaine through two different approaches. First, we analyzed the dose-response profile, with rats receiving a single injection of cocaine at different doses (5, 10 and 20 mg/kg): animals were sacrificed by decapitation 2 hours after the single administration. In the second experiment, rats received a single injection of cocaine (5 mg/kg) and were sacrificed 0.5, 2, 6 and 24 hours after the treatment, to evaluate the temporal profile of Arc expression produced by the psychostimulant.

In order to evaluate the role of dopaminergic receptors in acute cocaine-induced Arc elevation, selective blockers of D1 (SCH 23390, 1 mg/kg) and D2 (Raclopride, 2 mg/kg) dopaminergic receptors were administered 30 minutes before treatment with cocaine (5 mg/kg), alone or concomitantly. To examine the tonic role of dopaminergic receptors over Arc expression, animals were treated acutely with the selective D1 agonist SKF 81297 (3 mg/kg), the selective D1 antagonist (SCH 23390, 1 mg/kg) or the selective D2 agonist quinpirole (1 mg/kg) and sacrificed 2 hours after the injection.

Repeated injections: We performed two differents treatments with cocaine (subchronic and chronic); in the subchronic administration, animals were subjected

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

to 5 consecutive daily injections (5 mg/kg) and sacrificed 2 and 72 hours after the 5th administration. In the chronic cocaine treatment, animals were subjected to 14 consecutive daily injections (5 mg/kg) and were sacrificed 2, 72 and 336 hours (14 days) after the last drug administration. For each time point, in the acute as well as in subchronic or chronic experiments, there was a saline-treated group of animals matching each cocaine-treated group.

Following animal sacrifice, brain regions were immediately dissected, frozen on dry ice and stored at -70°C. Dissections were performed according to the atlas of Paxinos and Watson (1996). The prefrontal cortex, weighing about 7 mg, was dissected from 2-mm thick slices (prefrontal cortex defined as Cg1, Cg3, and IL subregions corresponding to the plates 6–9) while hippocampus and striatum were grossly dissected.

All animal handling and experimental procedures were performed in accordance with the EC guidelines (EC Council Directive 86/609 1987) and with the Italian legislation on animal experimentation (Decreto Legislativo 116/92).

RNA preparation

The tissue from different brain structures was homogenized in 4 M guanidinium isothiocyanate (containing 25 mM sodium citrate pH 7.5, 0.5% sarcosyl and 0.1% 2-mercaptoethanol) and total RNA was isolated by phenol-chloroform extraction. Quantitation was carried out by spectrophotometric analysis and RNA aliquots were re-precipitated in ethanol for RNase protection assays.

cRNA probes and RNase protection assay

A transcription kit (MAXI script, Ambion) was used to generate cRNA probes and ^{32}P-CTP was used as a radiolabelled nucleotide. The following

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

plasmids were employed in the RNase protection assay: Arc cDNA plasmid containing a portion of rat 5' coding region (a generous gift of Dr. Worley) and pTRI-GAPDH-Rat (Ambion) containing a portion of rat glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). The cRNA probes and the relative protected fragment were the following: Arc=630, protected fragment =620; GAPDH=359, protected fragment=316.

The RNase protection assay was performed on a 10 µg sample of total RNA as described previously (Riva et al., 1996). Briefly, after ethanol precipitation, total RNA, was dissolved in 20 µl of hybridization solution containing 50,000 cpm of ^{32}P-labelled Arc and 50,000 cpm of ^{32}P-labelled GAPDH cRNA probe. After being heated at 85°C for 10 min, the cRNA probes were allowed to hybridise the endogenous RNAs at 45°C overnight. At the end of hybridization, the solution was diluted with 200 µl of RNase digestion buffer containing a 1/400 dilution of an RNase cocktail (RNase A and RNase T1) and incubated for 30 min at 30°C. Proteinase K and SDS were then added to the sample and the mixture was incubated at 37°C for an additional 15 min. At the end of incubation, the sample was extracted with phenol/chloroform and ethanol-precipitated. The pellet, containing the RNA:RNA hybrids, was dried and resuspended in loading buffer, boiled at 95°C for 5 min and separated on 5% polyacrylamide gel under denaturing conditions.

RNA calculation.

The levels of mRNA for Arc or GAPDH were calculated using the Quantity One software from Biorad. In order to ensure that the autoradiographic bands were in the linear range of intensity different exposure times were used. GAPDH was employed as internal standard for RNase protection assay as its

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

expression was not regulated by acute or repeated drug treatments. Results were compiled as the unitless ratio of Arc/GAPDH mRNA

Preparation of Protein Extracts and Western Blot Analysis

Tissues were homogenized in six volumes (v/w) of hypotonic lysis buffer (150 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1% protease inhibitor cocktail Sigma (Milan, Italy) pH 7.6) and the tissue lysed by sonication. The suspension was centrifuged at 20.000 g for 20 minutes (4 °C). Total protein content was measured in the homogenate by the Bio-Rad Protein Assay (Bio-Rad, Milano, Italy).

Western blot analysis was performed on the homogenate. Total protein concentrations were adjusted to the same amount for all samples (10 μg per lane). All the samples were run on a sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-10% polyacrilamide gel under reducing conditions, and proteins were then electrophoretically transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad). Blots were blocked with 10% nonfat dry milk, then incubated with primary antibody. Arc native form was detected by evaluating the band density at 55 kDa, probing with a rabbit polyclonal antibody (1:4000, 1 h, room temperature) (generous gift from Dr. P. Worley). Results were standardized to a β-actin control protein which was detected by evaluating the band density at 43 kDa after probing with a polyclonal antibody with a 1:10000 dilution (Sigma). Membranes were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with a 1:10000 dilution of peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Cell Signalling) for Arc or with a 1:10000 dilution of peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (Sigma) for β-actin.

Arc immunocomplexes were visualized by chemiluminescence utilizing the ECL Western Blotting kit (Amersham Life Science, Milano, Italy) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

The analysis of Arc protein levels was performed only on striatum for both the acute and the prolonged treatments, since the prefrontal cortex weight, nearly 7 mg, does not allow the concomitant and accurate determination of both mRNA and protein levels of Arc.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as means and standard errors, with each individual group comprising 5-13 samples. Data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's t-test. Significance for all tests was assumed at $p<0.05$.

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

Results

In this report, we investigated the effect of different paradigms of cocaine administration on activity regulated cytoskeletal associated protein (Arc) expression.

We first examined the effect of a single injection of different doses of cocaine (5, 10 and 20 mg/kg) on Arc mRNA levels by sacrificing animals 2 hours after treatment. An overall increase of Arc gene expression was observed in the brain regions examined with specific patterns of induction. In rat striatum, cocaine dose-dependently increased Arc mRNA levels (5 mg/kg = +231%, $p<0.01$; 10 mg/kg = +311%, $p<0.01$; 20 mg/kg = +435%, $p<0.001$) (Fig. 1). Arc gene expression was increased similarly in prefrontal cortex at 5 and 10 mg/kg (5 mg/kg = +304%, $p<0.001$; 10 mg/kg = +271%, $p<0.001$) (Fig. 1), whereas, at the highest dose employed (20 mg/kg), the increase was much more pronounced (20 mg/kg = +894%, $p<0.001$) (Fig. 1). In hippocampus, the increase in Arc gene expression was, instead, more attenuated (5 mg/kg = +21%, not significant; 10 mg/kg = +51%, $p<0.01$; 20 mg/kg = +64%, $p<0.01$) (Fig. 1).

In order to analyze the temporal profile of cocaine treatment, we decided to focus on the lowest dose able to elevate Arc gene expression, i.e. 5 mg/kg. Examination of the temporal profile revealed regionally-selective patterns of Arc induction (Fig. 2A). Striatal Arc gene expression began to increase 30 minutes after injection (+163%, $p<0.05$), peaked 2 hours later (+231%, $p<0.01$) and waned at later time points whereas, in prefrontal cortex, Arc mRNA levels were increased only 2 hours post-injection (+304%, $p<0.01$). In hippocampus, cocaine induced a biphasic pattern of Arc gene expression that was increased 30 min as well as 24 hours post-injection whereas, at the intermediate time points, it was not

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

significantly different from controls (Fig. 2A). In order to verify whether changes in Arc mRNA levels were accompanied by correspondent modifications of the related protein, we measured the striatal levels of Arc protein that were up-regulated only 2 hours post-treatment (+143%, $p<0.05$) with no significant changes vs. controls at the other time points examined (Fig. 2B).

Cocaine is a potent and rather selective blocker of the dopamine transporter, although recent data have demonstrated that the psychostimulant interacts also with serotonin and norepinephrine transporters (Rocha et al., 1998). It is thus conceivable that the marked induction produced by cocaine on Arc mRNA levels could be mainly driven by the potentiation of dopaminergic neurotransmission. To test this hypothesis, we pretreated the animals with selective inhibitors of dopaminergic D1 (SCH 23390, 1 mg/kg) or D2 (Raclopride, 2 mg/kg) receptors and sacrificed the animals 2 hours after cocaine injection (5 mg/kg). In striatum, the increased expression of Arc elicited by cocaine is completely abrogated by D1, but not D2, receptor antagonism (Fig. 3a) whereas, in prefrontal cortex, the increased expression produced by cocaine can be ascribed to stimulation of both D1 and D2 receptors, since the specific receptor antagonists, when administered alone or concomitantly, only partially attenuated the increase caused by cocaine (Fig. 3).

Interestingly, the selective D1 antagonist SCH23390 reduced Arc gene expression below control levels, an effect that can be observed in striatum but not in prefrontal cortex (Fig. 3). To further investigate the dopaminergic receptor D1-dependency of basal Arc gene expression, we measured Arc mRNA levels by selectively stimulating or blocking D1 receptors in the absence of cocaine. Figure 4 shows that a regionally-selective, tonic dopaminergic control over Arc gene

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

expression exists. In fact stimulation of D1 receptors with the highly selective agonist SKF 81297 (3 mg/kg) increased Arc mRNA levels in striatum and prefrontal cortex (striatum= +235% vs. controls, p<0.01; prefrontal cortex= +215% vs. controls, p<0.01) (Fig. 4A) whereas the selective D1 antagonist SCH 23390 (1 mg/kg) reduced Arc gene expression in both brain regions (striatum: -67% vs. controls, p<0.01; prefrontal cortex= -41% vs. controls, p<0.01) (Fig. 4). No effect of D1 receptor activation or blockade was observed in hippocampus (data not shown). In order to draw a complete picture of the dopaminergic regulation of Arc in the cortico-striatal circuit, we analyzed the expression of Arc following stimulation of D2 receptors with the selective agonist quinpirole (1 mg/kg). Fig. 4B shows that quinpirole dramatically reduced (-78%, vs. controls, p<0.01) striatal Arc mRNA levels whereas, in prefrontal cortex, the D2 receptor activation produced only a slight, but not significant, increase in Arc gene expression (Figure 4B).

In order to verify whether the immediate early gene Arc could be up-regulated following prolonged cocaine exposure, we incorporated cocaine treatments of different length (5 or 14 days) sacrificing the animals at different time points after the last injection (2 and 72 hours as well as 14 days). Such approach was used to distinguish the cumulative effect of prolonged treatment (72 hours and 14 days) from the effect of the last treatment (2 hours); in these experiments we focused on the brain regions that showed a clear effect of cocaine on Arc expression, i.e striatum and prefrontal cortex.

Five consecutive daily injections of cocaine elicited a different pattern of Arc gene expression, if compared to the single injection of the psychostimulant. In fact, striatal Arc mRNA levels were increased at 2 hours (+222%, p<0.05) as well

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

as 72 hours (+230%, $p<0.05$) (Fig. 5A) after last treatment but no changes of the IEG expression were observed in prefrontal cortex, at variance with the acute experiments (Fig. 2A). The analysis of Arc protein showed a marked increase of the expression 2 hours post-treatment in striatum that subsided after 72 hours (Fig. 5B).

We then investigated the effects of a more prolonged treatment with cocaine (14 daily injections) on Arc expression, sacrificing the animals at different times of withdrawal after the last treatment (2, 72 and 336 hours). In striatum, Arc mRNA levels were significantly increased over control levels at the different experimental conditions (2 h= +136%, $p<0.05$; 72 h= +140%, $p<0.05$; 336 h= +143%, $p<0.05$) (Fig. 6A) whereas in prefrontal cortex Arc gene expression was up-regulated 2 and 72, but not 336, hours after last drug administration (2 h= +146%, $p<0.05$; 72 h= +153%, $p<0.01$) (Fig. 6A). Interestingly, in striatum Arc protein levels were significantly enhanced 2 and 72 hours (2 h= +122%, $p<0.05$; 72 h = +120%, $p<0.05$) after the last treatment but not two weeks later (Fig. 6B).

No changes were observed in hippocampal Arc expression following both 5 and 14 day treatments at any of the time points investigated (data not shown).

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

Discussion

We provide detailed evidence that Arc undergoes dynamic and region-selective changes in the cortico-striatal network as a consequence of both short- and long-term treatment with cocaine. Acute injection of cocaine revealed that Arc is responsive to alterations in neurotransmitter release, with a primary role for dopamine, whereas prolonged treatment indicated, for the first time, that cocaine specifically targets Arc, perhaps leading to modified cellular responses and cortico-striatal synaptic efficacy. These findings identify Arc as a molecular switch from cocaine-induced neuronal activity to cocaine-driven long-term adaptations. Given the role of Arc in brain plasticity, we propose that increased Arc gene expression long after cessation of cocaine treatment does not represent a homeostatic cellular response such as, for instance, the changes in Cdk5 (Bibb et al., 2001) or FGF-2 (Fumagalli et al., 2006) but, rather, a relevant cocaine-induced cellular imprinting that contributes to enduring synaptic plasticity.

Indeed, there appear to be fundamental, regional differences in Arc response to cocaine, indicating a potential relationship to highly directed targeting of cerebral regions innervated by dopaminergic fibers. Regardless of the dose used, single cocaine injection markedly up-regulated Arc mRNA levels in striatum and prefrontal cortex whereas in hippocampus, where dopaminergic innervation is scarce, the increase in Arc expression is more attenuated. The possibility that enhanced Arc gene expression is triggered by similar mechanisms (i.e. dopaminergic mechanisms) is strengthened by the almost identical temporal profile, with a peak of expression 2 hours after treatment which is dissipated at the other time points investigated. The dramatic increase in Arc expression produced by a single cocaine injection seems to arise from regionally distinct mechanisms

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

with the striatum relying on D1-dependent activity whereas D1 and D2 dopamine receptors may cooperate in the modulation of Arc in prefrontal cortex, as showed by the selective stimulation of both receptor subtypes in cocaine-free animals. This interpretation is in agreement with evidence that, in prefrontal cortex, low dopamine concentrations preferentially stimulate D1 receptors whereas both dopamine receptor subtypes are activated with increased dopaminergic tone, as achieved following cocaine injection (Trantham-Davidson et al., 2004).

In contrast to striatum and prefrontal cortex, hippocampal Arc expression undergoes a biphasic activation, with a first peak 30 minutes post-injection, which subsides within 2 hours, and a second peak 24 hours later. This biphasic response parallels that observed by Ramirez-Amaya and colleagues (2005) who elegantly showed two temporally coincident waves of hippocampal Arc expression following a single spatial exploration. These results reflect the activation of common signaling pathways and point to Arc as a common substrate of experience- as well as cocaine-mediated genomic alterations.

Furthermore, our data reveal the previously unappreciated role for ongoing synaptic activity at dopaminergic receptors in maintaining basal expression of Arc. This novel finding implies that dysregulation of D1 or D2 receptor signaling may have functional implications for cellular homeostasis. For example, Zahrt and associates (1997) have shown that supranormal activation of D1 receptors in the prefrontal cortex causes impairments in spatial working memory performance, a situation that, based on our data and on the role of Arc in cognition, could be mediated, at least partially, through changes in Arc expression.

Repeated administration of cocaine causes structural and functional modifications through altered gene or protein expression. Following subchronic or

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

chronic treatments, we measured Arc expression at early and late withdrawal times (2 and 72 hours as well as 14 days) from the last drug administration.

Subchronic cocaine administration (5 injections, 5 mg/kg, once a day) markedly increased Arc mRNA levels in striatum but not in prefrontal cortex, revealing that whereas the extent of striatal Arc activation was similar to the effect of the single injection, the overall regional pattern of induction was different. In addition, the duration of Arc mRNA elevation persists in striatum up to 72 hours after the 5th drug treatment, as opposed to the acute effect that vanished within 24 hours.

The overall extent of Arc mRNA up-regulation was less in striatum and prefrontal cortex, following two week treatment with cocaine, if compared to acute injection, presumably reflecting adaptive mechanisms set in motion by the chronic cocaine exposure. Intriguingly, whereas acutely striatal Arc protein shows an expression profile similar to the mRNA induction, differences from the correspondent mRNA were observed in the subchronic and chronic paradigms. In fact, Arc protein is increased after 2, but not 72, hours following 5 injections and 2 and 72 hours, but not 14 days, after the two-week treatment. The discrepancy between Arc mRNA and protein at certain time points could reflect different kinetics of activation. However, we have to take into account that a low dose of cocaine was used in the repeated treatments (5 mg/kg): thus we can not rule out the possibility that a more robust drug regimen could elicit a longer-lasting enhancement of Arc protein levels. Alternatively, since Arc mRNA half-life is short (Steward and Worley, 2001), repeated administration of cocaine might prolong Arc mRNA half-life, for example by reducing Arc mRNA turnover or inhibiting protein synthesis, a mechanism that is not activated after a single

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

injection. This possibility is in agreement with the study from Ichikawa and coworkers (2003) who showed that, following 'in vitro' stimulation of neuronal activity, Arc mRNA accumulates because of protein synthesis inhibition.

Interestingly, whereas the rapid increase of Arc gene expression following acute cocaine injection is on the time scale of other immediate early genes, the persistence of cocaine-induced Arc elevation long after drug removal is at variance with other genes of this family whose increase is dissipated as a result of repeated cocaine stimulation (Bhat et al., 1992; Freeman et al., 2002), confirming the peculiar and multifaceted role of Arc in drug abuse.

Preclinical and clinical data revealed that fronto-striatal dysfunctions may occur as a consequence of drug abuse (Bolla et al., 1998; Jentsch and Taylor, 1999) showing that cocaine-driven alterations in corticostriatal pyramidal neurons play a crucial role in drug seeking (Nestler, 2001). Accordingly, craving–related activation of cortical and striatal structures in cocaine addicts has been demonstrated (Grant et al., 1996; Kilts et al., 2001). Since protracted alterations in gene expression may represent a critical factor in drug craving and dependence (Koob et al., 1998), the heightened and long-lasting neuronal activation of the fronto-striatal network, as measured in our experiments by increased Arc expression, may result in exaggerated strengthening of synapses, thus setting the stage for drug seeking. Accordingly, Centonze and associates (2006) have elegantly shown that chronic treatment with cocaine prevents synaptic depotentiation at corticostriatal synapses, an effect that could dictate the persistence of addictive behaviors. Since the same loss of corticostriatal depotentiation was shown following chronic L-DOPA treatment (Picconi et al., 2003), a therapy that strongly promotes Arc up-regulation (Sgambato-Faure et al.,

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

2005), we speculate that increased Arc expression herein reported may contribute, at least in part, to the impaired corticostriatal depotentiation following repeated cocaine administration.

Interestingly, Arc interacts with a component of the cytoskeleton, F-actin, which is increased as a consequence of long-term withdrawal from prolonged cocaine administration (Toda et al., 2006). The coincident increase of F-actin (Toda et al., 2006) and Arc (present report) long after drug discontinuation may be suggestive of aberrant cytoskeletal reorganization, as demonstrated by previous reports (Robinson et al., 2001; Norrholm et al., 2003).

Recently, it has been proposed that drugs of abuse may engage the same pathways that subserve 'physiological' learning and experience-dependent plasticity (Robinson and Kolb, 2004; Kelley, 2004) thus overriding 'normal' functioning of synaptic networks, a possibility that is strengthened by the similar profile of Arc induction produced by spatial exploration (Ramirez-Amaya et al., 2005) and acute cocaine treatment (present manuscript) in the hippocampus, as discussed above. To this end, Kolb and associates (2003) have elegantly shown that previous cocaine treatment offsets the ability of later experiences to drive physiological plasticity in the cerebral cortex. On this basis, we propose that the cocaine-induced elevation of Arc expression could increase the threshold, or represent an interfering stimulus, for physiological neuroplasticity, that could be impaired, presumably because of synaptic saturation.

Whatever the functional implications, our results provide critical information into long-term basic cell processes activated by the psychostimulant, yielding unique insights into the mechanism that might contribute to the long-term effects of cocaine and pinpointing Arc as a molecular bridge that, by connecting

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

neuronal activity with synaptic plasticity under prolonged use of cocaine, may contribute to the enduring drug-seeking behaviour.

Acknowledgement

We thank Dr. Lucia Caffino and Dr. Roberta Occhipinti for collaborating in part of this work. We are grateful to Dr. Worley for providing us with Arc cDNA and Arc antibody.

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

REFERENCES

Bhat RV, Baraban JM. (1993) Activation of transcription factor genes in striatum by cocaine: role of both serotonin and dopamine systems. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 267:496-505.

Bhat RV, Cole AJ, Baraban JM. (1992) Chronic cocaine treatment suppresses basal expression of zif268 in rat forebrain: in situ hybridization studies. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 263:343-349.

Bibb JA, Chen J, Taylor JR, Svenningsson P, Nishi A, Snyder GL, Yan Z, Sagawa ZK, Ouimet CC, Nairn AC, Nestler EJ, Greengard P. (2001) Effects of chronic exposure to cocaine are regulated by the neuronal protein Cdk5. Nature 410:376-380.

Bolla KI, Cadet JL, London ED. (1998) The neuropsychiatry of chronic cocaine abuse. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci. 10:280-289.

Centonze D, Costa C, Rossi S, Prosperetti C, Pisani A, Usiello A, Bernardi G, Mercuri NB, Calabresi P. Chronic Cocaine Prevents Depotentiation at Corticostriatal Synapses. Biol Psychiatry. 2006 Feb 11; [Epub ahead of print]

Fosnaugh JS, Bhat RV, Yamagata K, Worley PF, Baraban JM. (1995) Activation of arc, a putative "effector" immediate early gene, by cocaine in rat brain. J Neurochem. 64:2377-2380.

Freeman WM, Brebner K, Patel KM, Lynch WJ, Roberts DC, Vrana KE. (2002) Repeated cocaine self-administration causes multiple changes in rat frontal cortex gene expression. Neurochem Res. 27:1181-1192.

Fujimoto T, Tanaka H, Kumamaru E, Okamura K, Miki N. (2004) Arc interacts with microtubules/microtubule-associated protein 2 and attenuates microtubule-associated protein 2 immunoreactivity in the dendrites. J Neurosci Res. 76:51-63.

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

Fumagalli F, Di Pasquale L, Racagni G and Riva MA (2006) Dynamic regulation of FGF-2 gene expression in the rat brain following single and repeated cocaine administration. J. Neurochem, 96: 996-1004.

Grant S, London ED, Newlin DB, Villemagne VL, Liu X, Contoreggi C, Phillips RL, Kimes AS, Margolin A. (1996) Activation of memory circuits during cue-elicited cocaine craving. PNAS 93:12040-12045.

Ichikawa H, Fujimoto T, Taira E, Miki N. (2003) The accumulation of arc (an immediate early gene) mRNA by the inhibition of protein synthesis. J Pharmacol Sci. 91:247-254.

Kelley AE. (2004) Memory and addiction: shared neural circuitry and molecular mechanisms. Neuron 44:161-79.

Kilts CD, Schweitzer JB, Quinn CK, Gross RE, Faber TL, Muhammad F, Ely TD, Hoffman JM, Drexler KP. (2001) Neural activity related to drug craving in cocaine addiction. Arch Gen Psychiatry 58:334-341.

Kolb B, Gorny G, Li Y, Samaha AN, Robinson TE. (2003) Amphetamine or cocaine limits the ability of later experience to promote structural plasticity in the neocortex and nucleus accumbens. PNAS 100:10523-10528.

Kodama M, Akiyama K, Ujike H, Shimizu Y, Tanaka Y, Kuroda S. (1998) A robust increase in expression of arc gene, an effector immediate early gene, in the rat brain after acute and chronic methamphetamine administration. Brain Res. 796:273-283.

Koob GF, Sanna PP, Bloom FE. (1998) Neuroscience of addiction Neuron 21:467-476.

Jentsch JD, Taylor JR. (1999) Impulsivity resulting from frontostriatal dysfunction in drug abuse: implications for the control of behavior by reward-related stimuli. Psychopharmacology 146:373-390.

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

Larsen MH, Olesen M, Woldbye DP, Hay-Schmidt A, Hansen HH, Ronn LC, Mikkelsen JD. (2005) Regulation of activity-regulated cytoskeleton protein (Arc) mRNA after acute and chronic electroconvulsive stimulation in the rat. Brain Res. 1064:161-165.

Licata SC, Schmidt HD, Pierce RC. (2004) Suppressing calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II activity in the ventral tegmental area enhances the acute behavioural response to cocaine but attenuates the initiation of cocaine-induced behavioural sensitization in rats. Eur J Neurosci. 19:405-414.

Link W, Konietzko U, Kauselmann G, Krug M, Schwanke B, Frey U, Kuhl D. (1995) Somatodendritic expression of an immediate early gene is regulated by synaptic activity. PNAS 92:5734-5738.

Liu Y, Chen GD, Lerner MR, Brackett DJ, Matsumoto RR. (2005) Cocaine up-regulates Fra-2 and sigma-1 receptor gene and protein expression in brain regions involved in addiction and reward. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 314:770-779.

Lyford GL, Yamagata K, Kaufmann WE, Barnes CA, Sanders LK, Copeland NG, Gilbert DJ, Jenkins NA, Lanahan AA, Worley PF. (1995) Arc, a growth factor and activity-regulated gene, encodes a novel cytoskeleton-associated protein that is enriched in neuronal dendrites. Neuron 14:433-445.

Nestler EJ. (2001) Neurobiology. Total recall-the memory of addiction. Science. 292:2266-2267.

Nestler EJ. (2005) Is there a common molecular pathway for addiction? Nat Neurosci. 8:1445-1449.

Norrholm SD, Bibb JA, Nestler EJ, Ouimet CC, Taylor JR, Greengard P. (2003) Cocaine-induced proliferation of dendritic spines in nucleus accumbens is dependent on the activity of cyclin-dependent kinase-5. Neuroscience 116:19-22.

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

Okuno H, Chowdhury S, Worley P and Bito H (2004) Interaction between Arc and CaMKII in dendrites monitored by fluorescence resonance energy transfer. Soc. Neurosci. Abstracts, 164.16.

Park WK, Bari AA, Jey AR, Anderson SM, Spealman RD, Rowlett JK, Pierce RC. (2002) Cocaine administered into the medial prefrontal cortex reinstates cocaine-seeking behavior by increasing AMPA receptor-mediated glutamate transmission in the nucleus accumbens. J Neurosci. 22:2916-2925.

Paxinos G, Watson C (1996) The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates. New York: Academic Press.

Picconi B, Centonze D, Hakansson K, Bernardi G, Greengard P, Fisone G, Cenci MA, Calabresi P. (2003) Loss of bidirectional striatal synaptic plasticity in L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia. Nat Neurosci. 6:501-506.

Ramirez-Amaya V, Vazdarjanova A, Mikhael D, Rosi S, Worley PF, Barnes CA. (2005) Spatial exploration-induced Arc mRNA and protein expression: evidence for selective, network-specific reactivation. J Neurosci. 25:1761-1768.

Riva MA, Molteni R, Lovati E, Fumagalli F, Rusnati M, Racagni G. (1996) Cyclic AMP-dependent regulation of fibroblast growth factor-2 messenger RNA levels in rat cortical astrocytes: comparison with fibroblast growth factor-1 and ciliary neurotrophic factor. Mol Pharmacol. 49:699-706.

Robinson TE, Gorny G, Mitton E, Kolb B. (2001) Cocaine self-administration alters the morphology of dendrites and dendritic spines in the nucleus accumbens and neocortex. Synapse 39:257-266.

Robinson TE, Kolb B. (1999) Alterations in the morphology of dendrites and dendritic spines in the nucleus accumbens and prefrontal cortex following repeated treatment with amphetamine or cocaine. Eur J Neurosci. 11:1598-1604.

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

Robinson TE, Kolb B. (2004) Structural plasticity associated with exposure to drugs of abuse. Neuropharmacology 47 Suppl 1:33-46.

Rocha BA, Fumagalli F, Gainetdinov RR, Jones SR, Ator R, Giros B, Miller GW, Caron MG. (1998) Cocaine self-administration in dopamine-transporter knockout mice. Nat Neurosci. 1:132-137.

Schiltz CA, Kelley AE, Landry CF. (2005) Contextual cues associated with nicotine administration increase arc mRNA expression in corticolimbic areas of the rat brain. Eur J Neurosci. 21:1703-1711.

Schochet TL, Kelley AE, Landry CF. (2005) Differential expression of arc mRNA and other plasticity-related genes induced by nicotine in adolescent rat forebrain. Neuroscience 135:285-297.

Sgambato-Faure V, Buggia V, Gilbert F, Levesque D, Benabid AL, Berger F. (2005) Coordinated and spatial upregulation of arc in striatonigral neurons correlates with L-dopa-induced behavioral sensitization in dyskinetic rats. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 64:936-947.

Shepherd JD, Chowdhury S, Petralia R, Huganir R, Worley P (2004) Arc modulates AMPA receptor trafficking via its interaction with the endocytic machinery. Soc. Neurosci. Abstracts, 971.15

Steward O, Wallace CS, Lyford GL, Worley PF. (1998) Synaptic activation causes the mRNA for the IEG Arc to localize selectively near activated postsynaptic sites on dendrites. Neuron 21:741-751.

Steward O, Worley PF. (2001) A cellular mechanism for targeting newly synthesized mRNAs to synaptic sites on dendrites. Proc Natl Acad Sci 98:7062-7068.

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

Toda S, Shen HW, Peters J, Cagle S, Kalivas PW (2006) Cocaine increaes actin cycling: effects in the reinstatement model of drug seeking. J. Neurosci. 26:1579-1587.

Trantham-Davidson H, Neely LC, Lavin A, Seamans JK. (2004) Mechanisms underlying differential D1 versus D2 dopamine receptor regulation of inhibition in prefrontal cortex. J Neurosci. 24:10652-10659.

Yao WD, Gainetdinov RR, Arbuckle MI, Sotnikova TD, Cyr M, Beaulieu JM, Torres GE, Grant SG, Caron MG. (2004) Identification of PSD-95 as a regulator of dopamine-mediated synaptic and behavioral plasticity. Neuron 41:625-638.

Zahrt J, Taylor JR, Mathew RG, Arnsten AF. (1997) Supranormal stimulation of D1 dopamine receptors in the rodent prefrontal cortex impairs spatial working memory performance. J Neurosci. 17:8528-35.

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1 Dose-response effect of a single cocaine injection on Arc mRNA levels in different rat brain regions.

The figure shows the increase of Arc mRNA levels produced by a single injection of different doses of cocaine (5, 10 or 20 mg/kg) compared to control (vehicle-injected) animals (0), in striatum, prefrontal cortex and hippocampus, measured 2 hours after the injection. The results, expressed as % of control rats, represent the mean ± S.E.M. of 6 to 13 independent determinations. $^{**}p<0.01$ and $^{***}p<0.001$ vs. control rats; $^{\Delta}p<0.05$ and $^{\Delta\Delta}p<0.01$ vs. cocaine-treated animals (one way ANOVA with Dunnett's t-test).

Underneath the bar graph are shown the correspondent representative bands of Arc and GAPDH mRNA levels in the different brain areas examined, as obtained by RNase Protection assay. The length of the protected fragments is 620 bp and 316 bp, respectively. Ten µg of total RNA were used for the determination. The autoradiographic film was exposed at 70°C with an intensifying screen for 8 hr (Arc) or 6 hr (GAPDH).

Figure 2 Temporal modulation of Arc expression by single cocaine injection in different rat brain regions.

(Panel A) Arc mRNA levels were measured in striatum (str), prefrontal cortex (pfcx) and hippocampus (hip) at different time points (0.5, 2, 6 and 24 hr) following a single injection of cocaine (5mg/kg) or vehicle. The results, expressed as % of control (vehicle-injected) rats (0), represent the mean ± S.E.M. of 7 to 12 independent determinations. **p<0.01 and *p<0.05 vs. control rats (one way ANOVA with Dunnett's t-test).

(Panel B) Arc protein levels were measured in striatum at different time points (0.5, 2, 6 and 24 hr) following a single injection of cocaine (5mg/kg) or vehicle. The results, expressed as % of control rats (0), represent the mean ± S.E.M. of 7 to 12 independent determinations. *p<0.05 vs. control rats (one way ANOVA with Dunnett's t-test).

Figure 3 Modulation of Arc gene expression by dopaminergic receptor blockade following single injection with cocaine.

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

(Panel A) The selective D1 receptor antagonist SCH 23390 (1 mg/kg) or D2 receptor antagonist Raclopride (2 mg/kg) were administered 30 min before cocaine injection (5 mg/kg). The animals were sacrificed 2 hours after cocaine treatment and Arc gene expression was measured in striatum.

(Panel B) The selective D1 receptor antagonist SCH 23390 (1 mg/kg) or D2 receptor antagonist Raclopride (2 mg/kg) were administered 30 min before cocaine injection (5 mg/kg). The animals were sacrificed 2 hours after cocaine treatment and Arc gene expression was measured in prefrontal cortex. Since the elevation of Arc gene expression could not be ascribed to a single dopaminergic receptor, SCH 23390 and Raclopride were concomitantly administered 30 min before cocaine injection (5 mg/kg) and the animals were sacrificed 2 hours after cocaine treatment.. The results, expressed as % of control (vehicle-injected) rats, represent the mean ± S.E.M. of 6 to 10 independent determinations. *p< 0.05 and **p<0.01 vs. control rats; $^{\Delta}$p< 0.01 vs. cocaine-treated animals (one way ANOVA with Dunnett's t-test).

Figure 4 Modulation of Arc gene expression by dopaminergic receptors.

(Panel A) The selective D1 agonist SKF 81297 (3 mg/kg) and the selective D1 antagonist SCH 23390 (1 mg/kg) were injected and animals were sacrificed 2 h later for the analysis of Arc mRNA levels in striatum and prefrontal cortex.

(Panel B) The selective D2 agonist quinpirole (1 mg/kg) was injected and animals were sacrificed 2h later for the analysis of Arc mRNA levels in striatum and prefrontal cortex.

The results, expressed as % of control (vehicle-injected) rats, represent the mean ± S.E.M. of 6 to 9 independent determinations. **p<0.01 vs. control rats (one way ANOVA with Dunnett's t-test).

Figure 5 Modulation of Arc expression by subchronic cocaine administration.

Cocaine (5 mg/kg) was administered once daily for 5 days and the animals were sacrificed 2 or 72 hours after the last injection.

(A) Effect of subchronic cocaine treatment (5mg/kg) on Arc mRNA levels measured in striatum and prefrontal cortex. The results, expressed as % of control (vehicle-

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

injected) rats, represent the mean ± S.E.M. of 7 to 12 independent determinations. *p< 0.05 vs. control rats (one way ANOVA with Dunnett's t-test).

(B) Effect of subchronic cocaine treatment (5mg/kg) on Arc protein levels in striatum. The results, expressed as % of control (vehicle-injected) rats (0), represent the mean ± S.E.M. of 5 to 8 independent determinations. *p<0.05 vs. control rats (one way ANOVA with Dunnett's t-test).

Figure 6 Modulation of Arc expression by repeated exposure to cocaine.

(A) Effect of cocaine (5 mg/kg), administered once daily for 14 days, on Arc expression in striatum and prefrontal cortex. The animals were sacrificed 2, 72 and 336 hours (14 days) after the last drug administration. The results, expressed as % of control (vehicle-injected) rats (0), represent the mean ± S.E.M. of 6 to 12 independent determinations. *p< 0.05 and **p<0.01 vs. control rats (one way ANOVA with Dunnett's t-test).

(B) Effect of chronic cocaine treatment (5mg/kg) on Arc protein levels in striatum. The results, expressed as % of control rats (0), represent the mean ± S.E.M. of 6 to 9 independent determinations. *p<0.05 vs. control rats (one way ANOVA with Dunnett's t-test).

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Molecular Pharmacology Fast Forward. Published on August 14, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/mol.106.026302
This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

Footnotes

a) Unnumbered footnotes:

-This work was supported by the Dipartimento Nazionale Politiche Antidroga, Presidenza del Consiglio dei Ministri (to GR). Other sources of funding came from the Ministry of University and Research (FIRB 2001 to GR), the Ministry of University and Research (PRIN 2003 and PRIN 2005 to MAR) and by Unversity of Milan (Fondo Interno Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica -FIRST 2004 to FF).

-The present work will be submitted to the American Society for Neuroscience (Atlanta, October 14-18, 2006) as an abstract.

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

Fig. 1



Fig. 2A



Fig. 2B



Fig. 3A

saline
cocaine (5mg/kg)
cocaine + SCH 23390
cocaine + Raclopride

Arc mRNA levels (% of controls)
400
300
200
100
0
Δ
**
*
**
striatum



Fig. 3B



Fig. 4A



Fig. 4B

saline
quinpirole

Arc mRNA levels (% of controls)

200
100
0

**

striatum

prefrontal cortex

Arc
(620bp) >
GAPDH
(316 bp) >



Fig. 5A





striatum

prefrontal cortex

Fig. 5B

Arc protein levels (% of controls)
500
400
300
200
100
0
*
0
2
72
hours

Fig. 6A









Fig. 6B