The novel  $\alpha7\beta2$ -nicotinic a cetylcholine recept or subty pe is expr essed in mouse and human basal forebrain: Biochemical and pharmacological characterisation

Milena Moretti, Michele Zoli, Andrew A George, Ronald J Lukas, Francesco Pistillo, Uve Maskos, Paul Whiteaker, and Cecilia Gotti

- CNR, Neuroscience Institute-Milano, Biometra University of Milan, Milan, Italy (MM ,FP, CG; Primary Laboratory of Origin).
- Department of Biomedical, Metabolic and Neural Sciences, section of Physiology and Neurosciences, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy (MZ).
- Division of Neurobiology, Barrow Neurological Institute, Phoenix AZ 85013, USA (AAG, RJL, PW).
- Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Unité Neurobiologie Intégrative des Systèmes Cholinergiques, Institut Pasteur, 75724 Paris Cedex 15, France (UM).

MOL Manuscript #93377

**RUNNING TITLE:** Human α7β2-nAChR human expression & functional

pharmacology.

Corresponding authors: Cecilia Gotti, Ph.D.

CNR, Neuroscience Institute

Via Vanvitelli 32, 20129 Milan, Italy

Tel +39 02 50316974, Fax +39 02 50317132

Email: c.gotti@in.cnr.

Paul Whiteaker, Ph.D.

Division of Neurobiology, Barrow Neurological Institute

St. Joseph's Hospital and Medical Center

350 W. Thomas Rd.

Phoenix, AZ 85013, USA

Tel +1 602 406 6534, Fax +1 602 406 4172

Email: paul.whiteaker@dignityhealth.org.

Text pages: 45 (not including supplementary data)

Tables: 5

Figures: 5

References: 59

Abstract: 224

Introduction: 711

Discussion: 1696

Non-standard abbreviations: α-Bgtx, α-bungarotoxin; α-Cbtx, α-cobratoxin; AD, Alzheimer's

disease; C C4, 1,2-bis-N -cystinylethane; DHβE, dih ydro-β-erythroidine; E pi, epibatidine;

MECA, mecamylamine; MLA, methyllycaconitine; nAChR, nicotinic acetylcholine receptor(s);

PMSF, phenylmethylsuphonylfluoride; SDS-PAGE, sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide

gel electrophoresis; SN/VTA, substantia nigra / ventral tegmental area; TBS, Tris-buffered

saline;

TEVC, two-electrode voltage-clamp.

### **ABSTRACT:**

We examined α7β2-nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (α7β2-nAChR) expression in mammalian brain and compared pharmacological profiles of homomeric  $\alpha$ 7-nAChR and of  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2-nAChR. α-bugarotoxin affini ty purification or immunoprec ipitation with anti-α7 subu nit antibodies (Abs) were used to isolate nA ChR containing α7 subunits from mouse or hum an brain samples.  $\alpha 7\beta 2$ -nAChR were detected in forebrain, but not other tested regions, from both species, based on w estern blot analysis of isolate s usi ng β2 subunit- specific A bs. A b specificity was confirmed in control studies using subunit-null mutant mice or cell lines heterologously ex pressing specific, human nAChR subty pes and su bunits. F unctional expression in X enopus oocytes of concaten ated penta meric  $(\alpha 7)_{5^-}$ ,  $(\alpha 7)_4(\beta 2)_{1^-}$ , and (α7)<sub>3</sub>(β2)<sub>2</sub>-nAChR was confirmed using two-electrode voltage-clamp recording of responses to nicot inic ligands. I mportantly pharm acological profi les w ere indistinguishable for concatenated (α7)<sub>5</sub>-nAChR or for homomeric α7-nAChR constituted f rom unlinked α7 subunits. Pharmacological profiles were similar for  $(\alpha 7)_5$ -,  $(\alpha 7)_4(\beta 2)_1$ -, and  $(\alpha 7)_3(\beta 2)_2$ -nAChR except for diminished efficacy of nicotine (normalized to acetylcholine efficacy) at α7β2- vs. α7-nAChR. This study represents the first direct confirmation of α7β2-nAChR expression in human and mouse forebrain, supporting previous mouse studies that suggested relevance of α7β2-nAChR in Alzheimer's d isease etiopathogenes is. These data also i ndicate that α7β2-nAChR subunit i soforms w ith different α7:β2 subunit ratio s have s imilar pharmacological profiles to each other, and to α7 homopentameric nAChR. This supports the hypothesis that α7β2-nAChR agonist activation predominantly or entirely reflects binding to  $\alpha 7/\alpha 7$  subunit interface sites.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Several nicotin ic acetylcholine receptor (nA ChR) subt ypes are expressed w idely along the entire neuraxis, and are involved in many of the physiological functions of the central and peripher all nervous systems (A lbuquerque et a. I., 2009; Hurst et al., 2013). nAChR activity controls important aspects of synaptic function and birain development, including the prioliferation and differentiation of neural progenitoris, neural migration, and neuronal maturation (Griguoli and Cherubini, 2012; Picciotto et al., 2. 012; Yakel, 2013). Furthermore, nA ChR dysfunction may play an important role in a variety of neurological diseases including neurodegenerative and psychiatric diseases (Gotti and Clementi, 2004; Lewis and Picciotto, 2013).

α4β2- and hom omeric α7-nAChR are the most w idely-expressed subt ypes in mammalian brain. The latter are thought to contain five identical ago nist binding sites located at subunit interfaces in extracellular domains (Gotti and Clementi, 2004; Whiteaker 2007). Pharmacological ha Ilmarks of α7-nAChR a re their high s ensitivity to antagonism by snake veno m-derived polypeptide toxins such as  $\alpha$ -bungarotoxin ( $\alpha$ -Bgtx) and  $\alpha$ -cobratoxin ( $\alpha$ -Cbtx), and their sensitivity to choline (a product of ACh hydrolysis) as an agonist (Albuquerque et a I., 1997; A Ibuquerque et al., 2009). α7-nAChR are highly expressed in the cortex, hippocampus and subcortical limbic regions, and (at lower levels) in the thalamus and basal ganglia. α7-nAChR that are located on or near nerve terminals are involved in control of neurotrans mitter release, w hereas α7-nAChR on dendrites or soma apposed to cholinergic synaptic endings play roles in classic neurotran smission. In both cases,  $\alpha$ 7-nAChR's hi gh cal cium permeability may al so result in al tered i ntracellular signalling and gene transcription (Albuquerque et al., 2009; Dajas-Bailador and Wonnacott, 2004). α7-nAChR also may be associated with extrasynaptic volume transmission (Lendvai and Vizi, 2008).

Affinity purification of nAChR using snake-venom  $\alpha$ -toxins has been performed from brain tissue of various species. Extracts from whole rat brain appear to be predominantly

composed of homomeric α7-nAChR (Drisdel and Green, 2000). However homomeric α7and  $\alpha 8$ -nAChR (and heteromeric  $\alpha 7\alpha 8$ -nAChR) have been identified in chick C NS extracts (Gotti et al., 1994; Keyser et al., 1993). Further, studies using heterologous systems have shown that  $\alpha$ 7 subunits can form functional channels when combined with  $\alpha$ 5 (Girod et al., 1999), β2 (Khiroug et al., 2002), β3 (Palma et al., 1999) or β4 subunits (Criado et al., 2012). Fluorescently tagged nAChR α7 and β2 subunits have recently been used to characterize the form ation of  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2-nAChR, and functional differences betw een  $\alpha$ 7- and  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2-nAChR have been suggested (Murray et al., 2012). Co-expression of β2 and α7 subunits caused a significant decre ase in agoni st-evoked whole cell current amplitudes, but this decrease occurs without affecting the concentration-response characteristics of a range of common agonists and antagonists (Murray et al., 2012). Other studies have shown that  $\alpha 7$  and  $\beta 2$ subunits are co-expressed in rat ba sal forebrain cholinergic neurons and appear to form heteromeric  $\alpha 7\beta 2$ -nAChR with subtly different bioph ysical and phar macological properties from those of hom omeric α7-nAChR (Liu et al., 2009). In addition, interaction of these putative α7β2-nAChR with oligo meric forms of amyloid-β (A β1-42) may be relevant in the etiology of Alzheimer's disease (Liu et al., 2013).

These previous studies suggest that the function and pharmacology of  $\alpha$ 7\*-nAChR (where \* denotes the known or possible presence of other nAChR subunits than  $\alpha$ 7 (Lukas et al., 1999)) may be more complex than previously though t, and that  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2-nAChR expression may be restricted to forebrain areas. However, heteromeric  $\alpha$ 7\*-nAChR have not yet been directly detected biochemically, nor have they been definitively identified in human brain. We used the  $\alpha$ 7-nAChR-selective Ligand,  $\alpha$ -Bgtx, to affinity purify  $\alpha$ 7\*-nAChR from selected brain areas of humans or of wildtype (WT) or  $\beta$ 2 subunit-null mutant (KO) mice. The subunit compositions of these isolated  $\alpha$ 7\*-nAChR were analyzed by western blot analysis using subunit-specific anti- $\alpha$ 7 or  $\beta$ 2 antibodies. The results show expression of  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2-nAChR in both WT mouse and human forebrain samples, but not in brains from  $\beta$ 2 KO mice. Moreover, concatemeric (linked subunit) constructs, the Xenopus oocyte system, and two-

MOL Manuscript #93377

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 19, 2024

electrode voltage-c lamp recording w ere us ed to confirm functional ex pression of  $\alpha7\beta2$ -nAChR. This w ork defined  $\alpha7$  and  $\beta2$  subunit sto ichiometries that en able  $\alpha7\beta2$ -nAChR function, and showed similar pharmacological characteristics across  $\alpha7$ - and  $\alpha7\beta2$  nAChR subtypes. The results confirm to mmonalities in expression of  $\alpha7\beta2$ -nAChR in m and mouse, and support hypotheses linking  $\alpha7\beta2$ -nAChR, cholinergic signaling loss, and roles for A $\beta1$ -42 in etiopathogenesis of at least a subset of human dementias.

### **MATERIALS and METHODS**

### **Animals and Materials**

The study involved the use of 4-6 month old male, pathogen-free, C57BL/6 wildtype (WT), α7 KO or β2 KO (Orr-Urtreger et al., 1997; Picciotto et al., 1995) mice obtained from Dr. U . Maskos (Pasteur I nstitute, P aris). A II ani mal ex periments were conducted in accordance with the European Community Council Directive (86/609/EEC) of 24 November 1986.

(±)-[ $^3$ H]-epibatidine (E pi, specific ac tivity, 6 6Ci/mmol) a nd [ $^{125}$ I]-α-Bgtx (specific activity of 200-216 Ci /mmol) were purchased from Perkin Elmer (Waltham, MA, USA). Non-radioactive α-Bgtx, Epi, and nicotine were purchased from Tocris Bioscience (Bristol, UK or Minneapolis, M N, U SA), as were d ihydro-β-erythroidine (D HβE), and m ethyllycaconitine (MLA). Sazetidine-A (also known as A MOP-H-OH) w as k indly supplied by D r. Alan Kozikowski (University of Illinois at C hicago, Chicago, IL, USA). 1,2-bis N cytisinylethane (CC4) also was used (Riganti et al., 2005). α-Cobratoxin (α-Cbtx) and all other reagents were sourced from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise specified (St. Louis, MO, USA).

### **Human tissues**

Human cerebellum was provided by the Newcastle Brain Tissue Resource on the basis of a collaboration with Dr Jennifer Court (Newcastle upon Tyne, General Hospital, UK). Samples were all collected by the Brain Tissue Resource with informed consent and appropriate ethical approval. Case details are shown in Table 1; the approvals and method for categorizing the subjects' smoking status are outlined in the methods section of Court et al., 2005. Human basal forebrain tissue was provided by Dr. Emanuele Sher (Lilly Research Center, Windlesham, Surrey, UK), and was also collected with appropriate informed consent in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations.

### **Transfected cells**

Human  $\alpha 2$ ,  $\alpha 3$ ,  $\beta 2$ , and  $\beta 4$  nAChR subunit clone s in the m ammalian expression vector pcDNA3 were kind gifts of D r. Sergio Fucile (University of Rome, Rome, Italy). The

human  $\alpha$ 7 nA ChR subunit clone in pcDNA3 was a generous gift of D r. Roberta Benfante (CNR Institute of Neuroscience, Milan, Italy). HEK293 and SH-SY5Y cells were transiently transfected using the Ca<sub>3</sub>(PO4)<sub>2</sub> method or the Jet-PEI reagent (Polyplus, Euroclone, Italy) transfection. For the  $\alpha$ 7 plasmid, 1.5x10<sup>6</sup> cells were transfected with 6 µg of plasmid using the Jet-PEI. For each of the  $\alpha$ 2,  $\alpha$ 3,  $\alpha$ 4 and  $\beta$ 2 or  $\beta$ 4 subunit 20 µg of plasmids for 1.5x10<sup>6</sup> cells was used, with the Ca<sub>3</sub>(PO4)<sub>2</sub> method. nAChR expression by cells was analyzed 24 h after transfection.

# **Antibody Production and Characterization**

We used affinity-purified, subunit-specific, polyclonal antibodies (Abs), produced in rabbit against peptides derived from the C-terminal (COOH) or intracytoplasmic loop (CYT) of human or mouse nAChR subunit sequences, as previously described (Gotti et al., 2006; Grady et al., 2009). The Ab against the COOH peptide (SAPNFVEAVSKDFA) was used for α7 subunits in mouse and human tissues. Abs directed against the α7 mouse CYT peptide (PSGDPDLAKILEEVRYIANRFRC) or the hu man C YT pepti de (QMQEADISGYIPNGQMQEADISGYIPNG) w ere used for mouse and hum an t issues, respectively. For the β2 s ubunit, we used antibodies d irected against two different cytoplasmic hu man β2 pept ides: R QREREGAGALFFREAPGADSCTY (β2(1)) and cgIADHMRSEDDDQSVREDWKYV (β2(2)).

The specificity of the affinity-purified Abs was tested by immunoprecipitation studies using  $\alpha 7$  WT or  $\alpha 7$  KO hippocampus and  $\beta 2$  WT or  $\beta 2$  KO mouse cortex (the results are shown in S upplementary Figure 1). The same Abs also were tested by means of western blotting (Supplementary Figure 1). In order to exclude any cross-reactivity between nAChR subunits, ant i- $\beta 2$  (1)- or anti-  $\alpha 7$  hum an subun it Abs were also tested by means of immunoprecipitation studies and western blotting in HEK293 cells transfected to express human  $\alpha 2\beta 4$ -,  $\alpha 4\beta 4$ , or  $\alpha 3\beta 4$ -nAChR subtypes or in SH-SY5Y cells transfected to express human  $\alpha 7$ -nAChR (see above) (the results are shown in Supplementary Figure 2).

### Purification of α-bungarotoxin-binding nAChR

For studies using mice, ≈100 mg of basal forebrain or hi ppocampus tissue microdissected from either WT or subunit-null mice were pooled in every experiment. The tissue was homogenised in 10 ml of 50 mM Na phosphate, pH 7.4, 1 M NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 2 mM EGTA and 2 m M pheny Imethylsufonylfluoride (P MSF; to covalently inactivate serine protease activity), and the homogenates were diluted and centrifuged for 1.5 h at 60,000g. The entire m embrane ho mogenisation, dilut ion and centrifugation procedure w as then repeated, and the resulting pellets were collected, rapidly rinsed with 50 mM Tris HCl, pH 7, 120 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2.5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 2 mM PMSF. The washed pellets were then resuspended in 2 m l of the same buffer, further supple mented with 20 µg/ml of each of the following protease inhibitors: leupeptin, bestatin, pepstatin A and aprotinin. Triton X-100 at a final concentration of 2 % w as added t o the washed m embranes, which were extracted for 2 h at 4°C. The extracts were centrifuged for 1.5 h at 60,000g, recovered, and an aliquot of the supernatants was collected for protein measurement using the BCA protein assay (Pierce Biotechnology, Inc., Rockford, IL, USA), with bovine serum albumin as the standard. Extracts (2 ml) were incubated with 200 μl of Sepharose-α-Bgtx (concentration of coupled toxin 1 mg/ml of gel) and shaken overnight at 4 °C. The following day, the beads were centrifuged, the supernatant was recovered, and the resins were washed 4-6 times by resuspension followed by centrifugation. A fter washing, the S epharose-α-Bgtx beads w ith bound nAChR (purified α-Bgtx-binding receptors) were incubated with one-two volumes of Laemmli sample buffer (125 mM Tr is phosphate, 4% S DS, 20% gly cerol, 0,02 % bromophenol blue and 10% 2-mercaptoethanol pH 6.8) and bo iled for 2 mi supernatant was then recovered by centrifugation.

## **Binding studies**

[<sup>125</sup>I]-α-Bungarotoxin

The binding of [  $^{125}$ I]- $\alpha$ -Bgtx to 2% Tr iton X-100 e xtracts of m ouse tissues was determined by collection onto D EAE-Sepharose<sup>TM</sup> F ast Flow ( GE H ealthcare, Uppsala, Sweden). Triton extracts (250 µI) from each experimental group were incubated overnight with a saturating concentration (5 nM) of [ $^{125}$ I]- $\alpha$ -Bgtx at 20°C in the presence of 2 mg/ml bovine serum albu min. S pecific radiol igand binding w as defined as total binding minus the non-specific binding determined in the presence of 1 µM unlabeled  $\alpha$ -Bgtx. Non-specific binding averaged 30-40% of total binding. B inding to  $\alpha$ 7\*-nAChR could also be m easured in an immunoprecipitation assay format. Receptor extracts were labeled with [ $^{125}$ I]- $\alpha$ -Bgtx (5 nM in the presence or absence of 1 µM unlabeled  $\alpha$ -Bgtx to define total and non-specific binding). The labelled extract could then be bound to protein A beads via anti- $\alpha$ 7 subunit Abs (described later in Methods). Similar amounts of specific binding were recorded in either assay format, and non-specific binding was between 10-15% of total binding.

# [<sup>3</sup>H]-Epibatidine.

Binding of [ $^3$ H]-epibatidine to nAChR in 2% Triton X-100 brain tis sue extracts obtained was also as sessed. [ $^3$ H]-Epibatidine b inds to multiple hetero meric nA ChR subty pes w ith p M affinity and to  $\alpha$ 7- n AChR with n M affinity. In order to ensure that the  $\alpha$ 7 nAChR did not contribute to [ $^3$ H]-Epibatidine binding, in solubil ized extracts, binding was performed in the presence of 1  $\mu$ M  $\alpha$ -Bgtx, which specifically binds to  $\alpha$ 7 nAChR (and thus prevents [ $^3$ H]-Epibatidine binding to these sites).

As f or [ <sup>125</sup>I]-α-Bgtx binding ass ays, binding s ites w ere captured using D EAE-Sepharose<sup>TM</sup> Fast flow, following overnight incubation of 250 μI aliquots of the extracts with 1 nM [<sup>3</sup>H]-Epi at 4°C). Non-specific binding (averaging 5-10% of total binding) was determined in parallel samples containing 100 nM unlabelled Epi.

## **Immunoprecipitation**

For immunoprecipiation studies of heteromeric receptors present in human tissues, we used Abs specific for  $\alpha 2$ ,  $\alpha 3$ ,  $\alpha 4$ ,  $\alpha 5$ ,  $\beta 2$  or  $\beta 4$  subunits directed against human subunit peptides as previously described (Gotti et al., 2006). For  $\alpha 6$  and  $\beta 3$  subunits, we used Abs

directed against peptides of mouse subunit sequences, also as previously characterized and described (G rady et al., 2009). The imm unoprecipitation c apacities of the anti-human subunit Abs ranged from 90% to 100% of the [³H]-Epi labelled receptors (mean of three independent experiments). For immunoprecipitation experiments, affinity purified Abs were covalently immobilized on agarose-P rotein A beads at a concentration of 4 mg/ml of wet resin. Immunoprecipitation was then performed by adding 20 µl of agarose-Protein A beads with bound, a ffinity-purified Abs to 200 µl of 1 nM [³H]-Epi-labeled extracts. After overnight incubation, immunoprecipitates were recovered by centrifugation and washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.1% Triton X-100.

# Immunoblotting and densitometric quantification of western blot bands

nAChR subunit contents of tissue extracts or of α-Bgtx-binding complexes were analysed by western blotting. For the extracts loaded before and after the purification 10 µg of proteins were I oaded whereas for the α-Bqtx- purified receptors a constant v olume (40 μl), that depending on the tissue, may represent 1/10 or 1/20 of the total recovered Laemmli sample buffer-eluted receptors was loaded onto a 9% acrylamide (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA) gel and subjected to sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). After SDS-PAGE, proteins were electrophoretically transferred to nitrocellulose membranes with 0.45 mm diameter pores (Schleicher and Schuell, Dassel, Germany). The blots were blocked o vernight in 5% non-fat milk in Tr is-buffered sa line (TBS), washed in a buffer containing 5% non fat-milk and 0.3% Tween 20 in TBS, incubated for two hours with the primary antibody (1–2.5 m g/ml), and then i ncubated with the app ropriate peroxidase conjugated se condary Abs (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). After 10 w ashes, peroxidase was detected using a chemiluminescent substrate (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). The signal intensity of the Western blot bands was measured using an Epson 4500 gel scanner. The developed films were scanned as a Tiff image in eight-bit gray scale format at a resolution setting of 300 dpi. All of the films obtained from the separate experiments were acquired in the same way and scanned in parallel with a calibrated optical density step tablet from Stouffer (Stouffer Graphics Arts, Mishawaka, IN, USA).

The images were analyzed using National Institutes of Health ImageJ software (Schneider et al., 2012). The pixel values of the images were transformed to optical density values by the program using the calibration curve obtained by acquiring the calibrated tablet with the same parameters as those used for the images. The immunoreactive bands were quantified in four separate experiments for the mouse hippocampus and ba sal forebrain as previou sly described (Grady et al., 2009)

### Concatameric $\alpha 7^*$ -nAChR constructs

Fully-pentameric nAChR concatemers were constructed from human nAChR subunit sequences. cDNAs encoding concatamers were created using the same subunit layout we have previous ly em ployed to encode high- and low -agonist-sensitivity α4β2\*-nAChR isoforms and α3β4(α5[D/N])-nAChR (Eaton et al., 2014; George et al., 2012). Subunits were arranged in the order  $\alpha 7-\alpha 7-\alpha 7-\alpha 7-\alpha 7$  ( $\alpha 7$  homopentamer),  $\alpha 7-\alpha 7-\beta 2-\alpha 7-\alpha 7$  or  $\alpha 7-\beta 2-\alpha 7-\beta 2-\alpha 7-\alpha 7$ α7. Kozac and signal peptide sequences were removed from all subunit sequences with the exception of subunits expressed in the first position of the concatamer. Subunits were linked by alanine-gly cine-serine (A GS) re peats des igned to provide a complete lin ker length (including the C -terminal tail of the preceding subunit) of 40 ± 2 a mino acid s. At the nucleotide I evel, linker s equences were designed to contain unique re striction s ites that allow ea sy removal and replacement of individual α7 and β2 subu nits. The protein sequences for the human nAChR subunits were encoded by synthetic nucleotide sequences optimized for ex pression systems (GeneArt, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA). Optimization included minimization of high GC content sequence segments, improved codon usage, reduction of predicted RNA secondary structure formation, and removal of sequence repeats and possible alternative start and splice sites. Sequences of all subunits, together with their as sociated partial linkers, were confirmed by DNA sequencing (GeneArt). Each concatamer was subcloned into the pSGEM oocyte high-expression vector (a kind gift of Dr. Michael Hollmann; Ruhr-Universitaet, Bochum, Germany). For com parison, homomeric α7nAChR also were expressed from unlinked individual subunits (cDNA clone also synthesized and optimized by GeneArt). The unlinked human  $\alpha 7$  subunit cDNA also was subcloned into the pSGEM vector.

# **RNA** synthesis

Plasmids containing concatameric  $\alpha$ 7-homopentameric or  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2 nA ChR constructs, or individual  $\alpha$ 7 nAChR subunits, were linearized with Nhel (2 hrs at 37 °C), and the reaction mix was treated with proteinase K (30 mi nutes at 50 °C). cR NAs were transcribed using mMessage mMachine T7 kit (A pplied B iosystems/Ambion, A ustin, T X, U SA). R eactions were treated w ith TU RBO DNase (1U for 15 m inutes at 37 °C) and c RNAs were purified using the Qiagen RNeasy Clean-up kit (Valencia, CA, USA). cRNA purity was confirmed on a 1% agarose gel and preparations were stored at -80 °C.

# Xenopus oocytes and RNA injection

*Xenopus* oocy tes w ere purchased from E cocyte B ioscience U S (A ustin, TX) and incubated upon arr ival at 13° C. The tips of pull led gl ass micropipettes w ere brok en to achieve an outer diameter of ~40 μm (resistance of 2-6 M  $\Omega$ ), and pi pettes were used to inject 20-60 nl containing 10 ng of cRNA/oocyte. To improve functional expression of  $\alpha$ 7\*-nAChR, Ric-3 mRNA was also co-injected (Halevi et al., 2002). A ratio of 1:50 Ric-3: $\alpha$ 7 subunit mRNA by mass was determined to be optimally effective in pilot experiments (data not shown).

### Two-electrode voltage-clamp recording of α7- and α7β2-nAChR function

Two-electrode voltage-clamp recordings were made at room temperature (20  $^{\circ}$ C) in oocyte saline (OR2) solution (containing 82.5 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 5 mM HEPES, 1.8 mM CaCl2·2H2O, and 1 mM M gCl2·6H2O, pH 7.4). S even to fou rteen day s after injection, *Xenopus* oocytes expressing concatenated  $\alpha$ 7\*-nAChR were voltage clamped at -70 mV with an A xoclamp 900A amplifier (Molecular D evices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Recordings were sampled at 10 kHz (low-pass Bessel filter: 40Hz; high-pass filter: DC), and the resulting traces were saved to disk (Molecular Devices Clampex v10.2). Data from oocytes with leak currents ( $I_{leak}$ ) > 50 nA were excluded from recordings.

# Nicotinic receptor pharmacology

Fresh stock drug solutions (agonists: ACh, choline, nicotine, sazetidine and 1,2-bis N cytisinylethane (CC4); antagonists dihydro- $\beta$ -erythroidine (DH $\beta$ E), methyllycaconitine (MLA), mecamylamine (MECA) and  $\alpha$ -Cbtx) were made daily and diluted as re quired. Agonists and antagonists were applied u sing a six teen channel, gravity -fed, perfusion sy stem with automated valve control (AutoMate Scientific, Inc.; Berkeley, CA, USA). All solutions were supplemented with atropine sulfate (1.5  $\mu$ M) to ensure that muscarinic A Ch receptor responses were blocked and thus not recorded. Oocytes expressing loose subunits and/or concatemeric  $\alpha$ 7- or  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2-nAChR were perfused with nAChR agonists for 5 seconds with 60 second washout times between each subsequent application. Oocytes were preincubated with nAChR antagonists for 2 minutes prior to activation with ACh (10 mM; 5 seconds). For experiments using  $\alpha$ -Cbtx, bath and drug solutions were supplemented with 0.1% BSA to reduce loss of this peptide ligand by adsorption to the TEVC apparatus.

# Data analysis

The expression of [<sup>3</sup>H]-Epi and [<sup>125</sup>I]-α-Bgtx receptors and the subunit contents of the [<sup>3</sup>H]-Epi receptors expressed in the mouse and human samples were statistically compared using unpaired t tests. In hu man cerebellum samples from smokers and non-smokers, results were compared using an unpaired t test. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

For TEVC data, E  $C_{50}$  and I  $C_{50}$  values were determined from nAChR-mediated peak currents throu gh non-l inear lea st-squares curve f itting (G raphPad Prism 5.0) us ing unconstrained, monophasic logistic equations to fit all parameters, including H ill slopes. Desensitization / inactivation of  $\alpha 7^*$ -nAChR currents in the presence of 10 mM (maximally-stimulating) ACh was also analyzed by non-linear least-squares curve fitting in Graph Pad Prism 5.0. These data were best fit by a two-phase exponential decay equation. One-way ANOVA was used to compare parameters between multiple groups in each case. Tukey's

MOL Manuscript #93377

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 19, 2024

multiple comparison test was used for *post-hoc* analysis in order to compare the means of three or more groups (GraphPad Prism 5.0).

### **RESULTS**

# $\alpha$ -Bgtx-binding sites in WT or $\beta2$ KO mice

In preliminary ex periments, we analysed nA ChR ex pression in 2% Tr iton X -100 extracts obtained from the hippocampus or basal forebrain of WT or  $\beta$ 2 KO mice (Table 2). By two different approaches (immunoprecipitating [ $^{125}$ I]- $\alpha$ -Bgtx -labelled receptors using anti- $\alpha$ 7 subunit A bs and by [ $^{125}$ I]- $\alpha$ -Bgtx binding to Tr iton extracts) we determined that the density of  $\alpha$ 7\*–nAChR in mouse hippocampus is more than two times higher than that in murine basal forebrain. During  $\alpha$ -Bgtx binding site purification, we determined that 85-95% of those sites in Triton X-100 extracts were bound by  $\alpha$ -Bgtx -S epharose 4B affinity resins, whereas more than 95% of high affinity [ $^{3}$ H]-Epi binding was recovered in the flow-through.

The densi ties of [ $^3$ H]-epibatidine-binding nAChR w ere similar betw een the two regions (Table 2). Elimination of  $\beta$ 2 subunit ex pression dramatically reduced expression of [ $^3$ H]-epibatidine-binding nAChR in both regions, indicating that this binding is almost entirely due to  $\beta$ 2\*-nAChR. In contrast, [ $^{125}$ I]- $\alpha$ -Bgtx ( $\alpha$ 7\*-nAChR) expression was not significantly different between WT and  $\beta$ 2 KO mice in either hippocampus or basal forebrain.

Additionally, w estern blot analy sis w as perform ed on  $\alpha$ -Bgtx bi nding sites affin ity purified from the hippocampus of WT or  $\beta2$  KO mice and probed with anti- $\alpha7$  (top) or anti- $\beta2$  (bottom) subun it Abs (Fig. 1A). Confirming results from the binding studies, western blots also show ed no significant d ifferences in presumed  $\alpha7^*$ -nAChR I evels (i.e., pol ypetide labeled with anti- $\alpha7$  subunit Abs) in whole extracts from WT or  $\beta2$  KO mouse hippocampus (lanes 1 in top two panels). Moreover, affinity purification on  $\alpha$ -Bgtx affinity resins isolated comparable levels of  $\alpha7^*$ -nAChR from WT or  $\beta2$  KO mouse hippocampus (compare lanes 1 and 3 of Fig. 1A top) but did not isolate nAChR containing  $\beta2$  subunits (compare lanes 1 and 3 of Fig. 1A, bottom left), which instead were found in the flow-through fraction (lane 2; Fig. 1A bottom left). As expected, no  $\beta2^*$ -nAChR were found in extracts isolated on Bgtx resins, or in the flow through from that separation, for tissue taken from  $\beta2$  KO mice (Fig. 1A lower right panel lanes 1,3 and 2).

In further agreement with the findings of the binding studies, western blot analysis showed that basal forebrain extracts contained fewer  $\alpha$ 7\*-nAChR (i.e., immunoreactive  $\alpha$ 7 protein) than hi ppocampal extracts, whereas amounts of immunoreactive  $\beta$ 2 subunits was very similar across brain regions. Analysis of the western blots probed using anti- $\beta$ 2 subunit Abs showed clearly-detectable  $\beta$ 2 subunit presence in  $\alpha$ 7\*nAChR i solated on B gtx resins from tissue derived from basal forebrain, but not from the hippocampus (compare lanes 3 of the bottom-left panels of Figs. 1A and 1B). To quantify the % of  $\alpha$ 7 receptors containing the  $\beta$ 2 subunit we loaded on the same gel 10  $\mu$ g of 2% Triton extract and 1/10 of the  $\alpha$ -Bgtx purified receptor and deter mined by w estern blotting the opti call d ensity of the immunoreactivity of  $\beta$ 2 subunit present in the extract (corrected for the total volume used for the receptor purific ation) and th at of the  $\alpha$ -Bgtx purified receptor. We found that the immunoreactivity of the  $\beta$ 2 subunit determined in the purified  $\alpha$ -Bgtx was 2.25 ±0.6 % (n=4) of the total  $\beta$ 2 subunit immunoreactivity measured in the basal forebrain extracts of WT mice.

# α-Bgtx-binding sites in human brain

The possible presence of  $\alpha7\beta2$ -nAChR in human brain was analysed using post-mortem samples of basal for ebrain and ce rebellum. In preliminary experiments, we characterised nAChR subtypes expressed in basal forebrain and cerebellum and their levels in 2 % Triton extracts (Table 3). The average level of [ $^{125}$ I]- $\alpha$ -Bgtx-labelled ( $\alpha7^*$ -) nAChR was higher in basal forebrain than cerebellum.

The level of [ $^{3}$ H]-epibatidine-binding nA ChR in cerebellum depend ed on smo king status. As shown in Table 3 the density of non- $\alpha$ 7\*-nAChR measured by means of [ $^{3}$ H]-Epi binding was higher in smokers than in non-smokers (p=0.02). Based on immunoprecipitation using subun it-specific Abs, in bo th tiss ues the large majority of [ $^{3}$ H]-Epi-binding si tes contained the  $\beta$ 2 s ubunit as sociated with the  $\alpha$ 4 subunit ( $\alpha$ 4 $\beta$ 2-nAChR: 75% in ba sal forebrain and 60% and 67% in cerebellum of smokers and non-smokers, respectively). An additional 14% of [ $^{3}$ H]-Epi-binding sites in the basal forebrain were  $\alpha$ 2 $\beta$ 2\*-nAChR whereas

this subtype accounted for only 7% of cerebellar [ $^3$ H]-Epi-binding sites. The largest region-to-region difference was for  $\alpha 3\beta 2^*$ -nAChR: whereas those sites accounted for 4.8% of [ $^3$ H]-Epi-binding sites in the basal forebrain, they represented 32% in the cerebellum.

α-Bgtx affinity-purified binding sites were obtained from three human basal forebrain (Fig. 2; lanes 1-3) or three human cerebellum (Fig. 2; lanes 5-7) samples. These sites were western blotted and probed w ith anti-α7 subunit Abs (top) or tw o different anti-β2 subunit Abs (anti-β2(1) Abs (middle) and anti-β2(2) (bottom)) targeting different epitopes within the β2 subunit. Control samples were extracts from α4β2-nAChR-expressing, transfected HEK cells (lane 4) or from α7-nAChR-expressing, transfected SH-SY5Y cells (lane 8), a lso probed with the Abs. Lev els of i mmunoreactivity for the α7 subunit w ere very simil ar in samples loaded in lanes 1, 2, 5 and 6, higher in the sample loaded in lane 3, and lower in the sample loaded in lane 7. Similar isolates from HEK-α4β2 cells were negative, but SH-SY5Y-α7 cells contained immunoreactive α7 subunits (Fig. 2 upper panel, lanes 4 and 8, respectively). Isolation of α-Bgtx binding sites al so y ielded anti-β2 s ubunit A b-labeled proteins from basal forebrain sam ples but not from the c erebellum, regardless of whether the cerebellu m sa mples w ere obtained fro m s mokers or non -smokers. S uch immunoreactivity was absent in extracts from SH-SY5Y-α7 cells but very evident in HEK- $\alpha$ 4 $\beta$ 2 cells (Fig. 2 middle and lower panels, lanes 8 and 4, respectively). Both the  $\alpha$ 7 and  $\beta$ 2 subunits present in the human tissues show a slightly higher molecular weight then the corresponding tran sfected subun its. This i s pr obably d ue to di fferences i n g lycosylation between native and transfected receptors.

Since it has been shown in a heterologous expression system that an  $\alpha7\beta4$ -nAChR subtype may be formed (Criado et al., 2012), we also probed hu man  $\alpha$ -Bgtx -purified sites with anti-  $\beta4$  subunit A bs w ith proven specificity (Supplementary Figure 2, bottom ). No specific labelling was observed in either the human basal forebrain or cerebellum samples, showing absence of  $\alpha7\beta4$ -nAChR. Collectively, these results clearly indicate that  $\alpha7\beta2$ -nAChR are present in the human basal forebrain but not in the cerebellum.

# Functional expression of concatemeric α7\*-nAChR from human subunits

Heterologous ex pression has shown asse mbly of functional α7β2\*-nAChR (see Introduction), but the way(s) in which  $\alpha$ 7 and  $\beta$ 2 subunits might c ombine from individual, unlinked, subunits could not be defined. Accordingly, we used a linked-subunit approach to produce α7\*-nAChR with defined subunit ratios and as sembly orders. E ach of the three concatemeric cons tructs [( $\alpha$ 7)<sub>5</sub>-nAChR hom opentamer, ( $\alpha$ 7)<sub>4</sub>( $\beta$ 2)<sub>1</sub>-nAChR, and ( $\alpha$ 7)<sub>3</sub>( $\beta$ 2)<sub>2</sub>nAChR] show ed concentration dep endent A Ch-evoked funct ion (representative tr aces shown in Fig. 3A-D). This function, while smaller than that measured in Xenopus oocytes expressing homomeric  $\alpha$ 7-nAChR from unlinked human  $\alpha$ 7 subunits (typically > 1  $\mu$ A at 7 days after mRNA injection) was easily measurable (≈100 – 300 nA peak current response, depending on the construct). The ti me-course of desensitization / inact ivation following a peak response stimulated by 10 mM A Ch (maximally-stimulating concentration) was also measured for each construct. For each construct, desensitization / inactivation was best fit by a do uble exponential decay model. A s detailed in the legend to Fig 3 no significant differences w ere seen be tween the fast desensitization / inacti vation tim e constants calculated for each group. This is not surprising since the apparent time constants will likely reflect the relatively slow kinetics of agonist application in the apparatus, rather than the much faster kinetics of  $\alpha 7^*$ -nAChR desensitization (Papke, 2010). Indeed the apparent  $\tau_{fast}$ values are very similar to those measured for solution exchange in our apparatus (Eaton et al, 2014). However, the  $\tau_{\text{slow}}$  value calculated for the  $(\alpha 7)_3(\beta 2)_2$  construct was significantly slower than those as sociated w ith the other groups. Thus, despi te the adm itted disadvantages of measuring kinetic parameters in the Xenopus oocyte expression system, there is so me evi dence that  $\alpha 7\beta 2^*$ -nAChR desensitization may be slow er than that of homomeric α7-nAChR.

# Agonist and antagonist pharmacology of concatemeric human α7\*-nAChR

Pharmacological para meters of selected ligands were determined at concatenated α7\*-nAChR. Compounds chosen in cluded the proto typical agonist s, A Ch and nicotine,

choline (which is a relatively selective agonist of α7 nAChR (Alkondon et al., 1997)), and two further agonists with established selectivity for other β2\*-nAChR subtypes (sazetidine-A and CC4 (Kozikowski et al., 2009; Sala et al., 2013; Xiao et al., 2006)). Agonist pharmacological profiles for  $(\alpha 7)_{5-}$ ,  $(\alpha 7)_4(\beta 2)_{1-}$ , and  $(\alpha 7)_3(\beta 2)_{2-}$ nAChR subtypes were largely indistinguishable from each other, and from that for non-concatemeric (loose-subunit), homomeric α7nAChR (Fig. 4; Table 4). The only ex ception is that ni cotine has significantly lower efficacy (normalized to that of A Ch) at both α7β2\*-nAChR subtypes than at c oncatemeric (α7)<sub>5</sub>nAChR or unlinked α7- nAChR (which are statis tically indistinguishable on this measure). There was also a trend towards lower nicotine potency across all concatemeric α7\*-nAChR constructs, but this did not reach statistical significance (see Table 4). The observed slight trend towards lower choline efficacy, although not significant, is suggestive of the previous observation of 50-70% efficacy of choline vs. ACh at putative α7β2 nAChR expressed from non-linked subunits (Khiroug et al., 2002; Zwart et al., 2014). Strikingly, both sazetidine-A and CC4 were very weak agonists (< 10% efficacy normalized to that of ACh) at all α7\*nAChR subt ypes tested, in cluding both α7β2-nAChR, making it impo ssible to reliably calculate EC<sub>50</sub> or Hill slope values from the resulting concentration-response data.

Concentration / response relati onships w ere al so ex plored for arch etypal  $\alpha 7$  antagonists (M LA and the sna ke venom  $\alpha$ -toxin,  $\alpha$ -Cbtx), together w ith the  $\beta 2$ -selective antagonist D H $\beta E$  and the non-competitive antagonist M ECA (Fig. 5). The resulting pharmacological parameters are summarized in Table 5. S imilarly to the agonist pharmacology, antagonist response s were stati stically-indistinguishable between the  $\alpha 7^*$  subtypes (including between  $\alpha 7$ -only n AChR expressed from either unlinked subunits, or from the concatenated  $\alpha 7$  homopentameric construct).

# **DISCUSSION**

This study provides the first direct evidence that  $\alpha7\beta2$ -nAChR are expressed in the mammalian CNS. This is demonstrated by isolation of Bgtx-binding or  $\alpha7$  subunit-containing complexes also shown to contain  $\beta2$  subunits from human or mouse forebrain samples. In addition, we have demonstrated for the first time that multiple human  $\alpha7\beta2$ -nAChR isoforms of defined subunit composition have pharmacological profiles similar to each other and to homopentameric  $\alpha7$ -nAChR.

Our findings indic ate that  $\alpha 7\beta 2$ -nAChR are foun d i n post-mortem, hu man bas al forebrain but not in t he c erebellum. N ote that total a mounts of α7\*-nAChR are < 2-fold different in the two brain regions. Specificity of the anti-α7 or anti-β2 Abs used in western blot analysis of these nAChR is demonstrated by control studies using cell lines transfected with specific nA ChR subunits, and by studies using WT and subunit-null mice. We also found α7β2-nAChR expression in mouse basal forebrain but not hippocampus. Our results agree with earlier findings of α7β2-nAChR expression in mouse basal forebrain (Liu et al., 2009), but not with the same investigators' study in mouse hippocampus (Liu et al., 2012). There could be several explanations for these seemingly-discrepant observations. nA ChR α7 and β2 subunit m RNAs are co- expressed in both basal forebrain and hippoca mpal cholinergic neurons (Azam et al., 2003). However, fewerthan 3% of β2\*-nAChR in W T mouse ba sal-forebrain ex tracts (thi s study ) were assoc lated with the α7 subunit. This indicates that the large majority of  $\alpha$ -Bgtx-binding si tes are hom omeric  $\alpha$ 7-nAChR. Accordingly, we feel that the most-likely explanation for the lack of immunochemicallydetectable α7β2-nAChR in mouse hippocampus is that it is even less prevalent than in basal forebrain. The previous e lectrophysiology experiments (Liu et al., 2012) used brain slices from very young mice, whereas our work used tissue from 4-6 month old mice. Therefore, it is also possible that mouse hippocampal α7β2-nAChR expression levels fall from early life into adulthood. M ultiple ex amples of devel opmental modulation of nA ChR subunit expression (including of α7) have previously been seen (Balestra et al., 2000; Conroy and

Berg, 1998; Flora et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 1998; Zoli et al., 1995).

The use of a linked-subunit approach allowed us, for the first time, to directly assess the effects of defined β2 nA ChR subunit incorporation on α7\*-nAChR function. Of critical importance, no significant differences in EC/IC<sub>50</sub> values or efficacy relative to ACh were seen between concatenated or unlin ked-subunit homomeric α7-nAChR. This indicates that, as has previously been show n for α3β4\*-nAChR (George et al., 2012; S tokes and P apke, 2012), α4β2-nAChR (Carbone et al., 2009; Eaton et al., 2014; Mazzaferro et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2003), and α6β2\*-nAChR (Kuryatov and Lindstrom, 2011) s ubtypes, introduction of appropriately-sized li nkers can b e performed w ithout altering nA ChR functional pharmacology. Several of these previous studies also showed that concatemeric constructs were ass embled corr ectly. To fu rther confirm correct that concate mers w ere being assembled correctly and not fragmenting and rearranging i nto unant icipated fun ctional forms, we also coinjected unlinked β2 subunits containing a gain-of-function mutation (L9'S) in the second transmembrane domain. This additional control has previously been used by us and others (Carbone et al., 200 9; Eaton et al., 2014). If concatem er fragments were contributing to the funct ional nA ChR population, the β2-gain-of-function s ubunit w ould assemble into resulting α7\*-nAChR as previously shown (Khiroug et al., 2002; Murray et al., 2012; Zwart et al., 2014). Therefore, if fragments containing α7 were present, this would result in appear ance of a no vel α7β2-gain-of-function populat ion with distinctive (m ore agonist-sensitive) properties. However, no such effect was seen.

It is noted, however, that overa II function was r educed w hen  $\alpha$ 7-nAChR homopentamers w ere expressed from a conc atemeric con struct as opposed to from unlinked subunits. This relative diminution in function of concatenated nAChR constructs has been noted in the previous publications just cited and appears to be a regular feature of using concatemeric nAChR constructs. Importantly, however, both  $(\alpha 7)_4(\beta 2)_1$ -and  $(\alpha 7)_3(\beta 2)_2$ -nAChR concatemeric constructs expressed more function than did the  $(\alpha 7)_5$ -nAChR concatemer. This is the opposite of the situation where loose  $\beta 2$  nAChR subunits are co-

expressed with  $\alpha 7$  subunits (Murray et al., 2012), and replicates an earlier finding in which co-expression of unlinked  $\alpha 5$ ,  $\alpha 3$ , and  $\beta 4$  nAChR subunits reduced function compared to expression of loose  $\alpha 3$  and  $\beta 4$  subunits alone, but incorpor ation of the  $\alpha 5$  subunit into a concatemeric construct actually increased observed function of an  $\alpha 3\beta 4^*$ -nAChR pentameric concatemer (G eorge et al., 2012). As in the previous publication, we suspect that uncontrolled assembly of an unlinked additional subunit (in this case  $\beta 2$ ) may be deleterious, but directed a ssembly may result in greater functional expression of the new nA ChR subtype. Certainly, the current study provides direct evidence that  $\beta 2$  subunit incorporation into  $\alpha 7^*$ -nAChR is compatible with agonist-induced function.

The pharmac ological profile s of  $\alpha7\beta2$ -nAChR were ver y si milar to those of homopentameric α7-nAChR. Even agonists (sazetidine-A, CC4) and an antagonist (D HβE) previously show n to ha ve signif icant β2\*-nAChR selecti vity had indi stinguishable pharmacology across homomeric  $\alpha$ 7-nAChR and the two different  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2-nAChR isoform s. Each of these findings match tho se very recently published using Xenopus oocy tes expressing α7 and β2 subunits at a 1:10 ratio (Zwart et al., 2014). The only statisticallysignificant difference in the present study was a diminution of nicotine's efficacy relative to that of A Ch in the tw ο α7β2-nAChR i soforms (also seen by (Zw art et al., 2014)). This nicotine partial agonism further co nfirms th at β2 w as inc orporated into α7β2-nAChR concatemers as planned and may represent a pharmacological marker for the presence of α7β2-nAChR. The same may be true of the slower desensitization kinetics measured for the  $(\alpha 7)_3(\beta 2)_2$  (Figure 3), although it is important to note the limitations of measuring receptor kinetics in a Xenopus oocyte system (Papke, 2010). We note that the similar α7-nAChR vs. α7β2-nAChR potency of DHβE observed by us and (Zwart et al., 2014) does not match the observations made in two previous studies (Liu et al., 2009; Murray et al., 2012). The reason for this discrepancy between the pairs of studies is not clear, but two possible explanations occur. First, the differences previously measured are relatively subtle, so may be hard to reproduce. R elated to this point, we note that the Hill-slopes of the  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2-nAChR DH  $\beta$ E CRCs (Figure 5A) are shallower than those measured for other competitive antagonists ( $\leq$  1, as opposed to s ignificantly > 1 for M LA and  $\alpha$ -Cbtx). This w ould tend to obscure f ine differences in IC50 values. Second, other  $\alpha$ 7 and  $\beta$ 2 subunit as sociations are possible, in addition to those used in the  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2 nAChR concatemers deployed in this study. It is possible that an  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2\*-nAChR population expr essed fr om un linked subun its may as semble differently, gi ving rise to the slightly-different D H $\beta$ E sensitivity previously m easured. This would match the previous experience in which  $\alpha$ 3 $\beta$ 4 $\alpha$ 5-nAChR phar macology per fectly matched between concatenated and unlinked-subunit nA ChR, but that of loose-subunit  $\alpha$ 3 $\beta$ 4-only nA ChR was close, but not identical, between loose-subunit and concatemeric constructs (George et al., 2012). Further work may be needed to understand the (admittedly subtle) pharma cological differences between alternative  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2-nAChR subunit stoichiometries and association orders.

Overall, how ever, the functional pharm acology of  $\alpha$ 7-nAChR and  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2-nAChR subtypes is remar kably similar. This obser vation indirectly supports the concept that activation of α7β2-nAChR m ay be predominantly or exclusively m ediated only throug h agonist binding sites at  $\alpha 7/\alpha 7$  (not  $\alpha 7/\beta 2$ ) interfaces (Murray et al., 2012). If this is true, it seems unlikely that any competitive agonist could exhibit a significantly-different potency between α7-nAChR and α7β2-nAChR. H owever, antagonists capable of disrupting the allosteric transitions required for nA ChR activation (Celie et al., 2005), and of selectively binding to α7/β2 interfaces, could be valuable in this regard as could be other noncompetitive ligands. In the concatemeric ( $\alpha 7$ )<sub>4</sub>( $\beta 2$ )<sub>1</sub>-nAChR construct (subunit order  $\alpha 7$ - $\alpha 7$ - $\beta 2-\alpha 7-\alpha 7$ ), only the ree  $\alpha 7/\alpha 7$  subunit interfaces will be retained (between the first subunits, the last two subunits, and between the first and last subunits which will assemble together to complete the pentameric nAChR structure). In the (α7)<sub>3</sub>(β2)<sub>2</sub>-nAChR construct, only the  $\alpha 7/\alpha 7$  interface formed between the first and last subunits will be retained. At first glance, it may s eem remarkable that an α7\*-nAChR containing s uch a di minished complement of putative agonist b inding site s could be effect ively activated. H owever,

elegant recent work indicates that nAChR, including  $\alpha$ 7-nAChR, can be activated effectively by as few as one agonist binding site (Andersen et al., 2013; Rayes et al., 2009; Williams et al., 2011).

That α7β2-nAChR are relatively scarce in basal fo rebrain does not imply that their role is nece ssarily insignif icant. F or example, α6β2\*-nAChR ex pression on S N/VTA dopamine projections comprises < 10% of al I β2\*-nAChR i n dopam ine ter minal r egions (Gotti et al., 2005; Whiteaker et al., 2000), but this subtype is ex tremely important in controlling local neuronal behaviour and signal processing (Exley et al., 2008; Exley and Cragg, 2008). C holinergic neurons constitute only a fraction (10-15%) of basal forebrain neurons (Semba 2000) and the proportion of a α7β2-nAChR in these neurons may therefore be relative ly large. The basal forebra in chol inergic system provides pri mary choli nergic innervations to limbic and cortical brain structures, and expresses nAChR that participate in the cholinergic transmission and cognitive processes associated with learning and memory (Hernandez et al., 2010; Voytko et al., 1994). One of the most marked pathological changes in AD brain is the degeneration of this cholinergic projection and the consequent reduction in the num ber of nA ChR (D umas and N ewhouse, 2011; P into et al., 20 11). A num ber of studies have found that the beta-amyloid (Aβ) peptide (a hallmark of AD) plays a critical role in neuronal degeneration and subsequent memory deficits (Capsoni et al., 2000; Dolga et al., 2009; Fraser et al., 1997; Holtzman et al., 1992; Price et al., 1985; Wenk, 1993). Further, a recent electrophysiological study has demonstrated that A β binds with higher affinity to α7β2-nAChR than to α7-nAChR, and that this c an pr oduce hippocampal neurona I hyperexcitation (through α7-nAChR upregulation) and subsequent neurodegeneration (Liu et al., 2013).

Post-mortem tissue is an under-used substrate for genetic and/or preclinical studies, and provides a translational element that is difficult to recapitulate in animal models alone (McCullumsmith et al., 2014), This study's definitive evidence that  $\alpha7\beta2^*$ -nAChR are found in human, as well as mouse, basal forebrain provides valuable support for the concept that

MOL Manuscript #93377

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 19, 2024

this subtype m ay be relevant to the study and etiology of Alzheimer's di sease. The similarities in human- and mouse-brain basal forebrain  $\alpha7\beta2^*$ -nAChR expression are also supportive of the use of mouse models in this context.

# Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 19, 2024

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Cerebellum tissue for this study was provided by the Newcastle Brain Tissue Resource

Basal forebrain tissues were obtained from Dr Emanuele Sher and Dr Rudolf Zwart (Eli Lilly
Company). We would like to thank Dr Emanuele Sher and Dr Rudolf Zwart (Eli Lilly
Company) for their continuous support and for supply of human basal forebrain samples. We
would like also to thank Dr Jenny Court (Newcastle upon Tyne, General Hospital, UK) for
supplying human cerebellum tissue.

# **AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTIONS:**

Participated in research design: Gotti C.,.Zoli M.,.George A.A.,.Lukas R.J., Whiteaker P.

Conducted experiments: Moretti .M., Pistillo F., and .George AA.

Contributed new reagents or analytic tools: Maskos U., Pistillo F. and Whiteaker P.

Performed data analysis: Whiteaker P. Moretti .M, Pistillo F., George AA. and Whiteaker P.

Wrote or contributed to writing of the manuscript: Gotti C.,.Zoli M., Lukas R.J., Whiteaker P.

### REFERENCES

- Albuquerque EX, Alkondon M, Pereira EFR, Castro NG, Schrattenholz A, Barbosa CTF, BonfanteCabarcas R, Aracava Y, Eisenberg HM and Maelicke A (1997) Properties of neuronal ni cotinic acetylcholine rec eptors: P harmacological characteriz ation and modulation of synaptic function. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **280**(3):1117-1136.
- Albuquerque E X, P ereira EFR, Alkondon M and Rogers S W (2009) Mammalian N icotinic Acetylcholine Receptors: From Structure to Function. *Physiol Rev* **89**(1):73-120.
- Alkondon M, Pereira EFR, Cartes WS, Maelicke A and Albuquerque EX (1997) Choline is a Selective Agonist of α7 Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptors in the Rat Brain Neurons.

  Eur J Neurosci 9(12):2734-2742.
- Andersen N , C orradi J, S ine S M and B ouzat C (201 3) S toichiometry for activation of neuronal α7 nicotinic receptors. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110(51):20819-20824.
- Azam L, Winzer-Serhan U and Leslie FM (2003) C o-expression of α7 and β2 nicot inic acetylcholine r eceptor subunit mRNAs within r at b rain cholinergi c neurons.

  Neuroscience 119(4):965-977.
- Balestra B, Vailati S, Moretti M, Hanke W, Clementi F and Gotti C (2000) Chick optic lobe contains a deve lopmentally r egulated al pha 2 a lpha 5 beta 2 nicotinic receptor subtype. *Mol Pharmacol* **58**(2):300-311.
- Capsoni S, Ugolini G, Comparini A, Ruberti F, Berardi N and Cattaneo A (2000) Alzheimer-like neurod egeneration in aged anti nerve growth factor transgeni c mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **97**(12):6826-6831.
- Carbone A L, M oroni M , Groo t-Kormelink P J and B ermudez I (2009) P entameric concatenated (alpha 4)(2)(beta 2 )(3) and (alpha 4)(3)(beta 2)(2 ) nicotin ic acetylcholine receptors: subunit arrangement determines functional expression. *Br J Pharmacol* **156**(6):970-981.

- Celie P HN, K asheverov I E, Mordvintsev D Y, H ogg R C, van N ierop P, van E Ik R, van Rossum-Fikkert S E, Z hmak M N, B ertrand D, Tsetlin V, S ixma T K and S mit A B (2005) Crystal structure of nicotinic acety Icholine receptor homolog A ChBP in complex with an alpha-conotoxin PnIA variant. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **12**(7):582-588.
- Conroy WG and Berg DK (1998) Nicotinic receptor subtypes in the developing chic k brain:

  Appearance of a species containing the alpha 4, beta 2, and alpha 5 gene products.

  Mol Pharmacol 53(3):392-401.
- Criado M, Valor LM, Mulet J, Gerber S, Sala S and Sala F (2012) Expression and functional properties of α7 acety Icholine ni cotinic receptors are modified in the presence of other receptor subunits. *J Neurochem* **123**(4):504-514.
- Dajas-Bailador F and W onnacott S (2004) Nicotinic acety Icholine receptors and the regulation of neuronal signalling. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **25**(6):317-324.
- Dolga AM, Granic I, Nijholt IM, Nyakas C, van der Zee EA, Luiten PG and Eisel UL (2009)

  Pretreatment w ith I ovastatin prevents N -methyl-D-aspartate-induced neurodegeneration in the magnocellular nucleus basalis and behavioral dysfunction. *J Alzheimers Dis* **17**(2):327-336.
- Drisdel R C and G reen WN (2000) N euronal  $\alpha$ -Bungarotoxin R eceptors A re  $\alpha$ 7 S ubunit Homomers. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **20**(1):133-139.
- Dumas JA and Newhouse PA (2011) The cholinergic hypothesis of cognitive aging revisited again: cholinergic functional compensation. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **99**(2):254-261.
- Eaton JB, Lucero L M, Stratton H, Chang Y, Cooper JF, Lindstrom J M, Luk as R J and Whiteaker P (20 14) The U nique α4(+)/(-)α4 A gonist B inding Site in (α4)3(β2)2 Subtype N icotinic Acetylcholine R eceptors P ermits Differential A gonist Desensitization Pharmacology versus the (α4)2(β2)3 Subtype. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 348(1):46-58.
- Exley R, Clements MA, Hartung H, McIntosh JM and Cragg SJ (2008) alpha 6-Containing nicotinic a cetylcholine receptors dom inate the nicotine con trol of dopamine

- neurotransmission in nucleus a ccumbens. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **33**(9):2158-2166.
- Exley R and C ragg SJ (2008) Presynaptic nicotinic receptors: a dynamic and diverse cholinergic filter of striatal dopamine neurotransmission. *Br J Pharmacol* **153**:S283-S297.
- Flora A, Schulz R, Benfante R, Battaglioli E, Terzano S, Clementi F and Fornasari D (2000)

  Neuronal and extraneuronal expression and regulation of the human alpha 5 nicotinic receptor subunit gene. *J Neurochem* **75**(1):18-27.
- Fraser SP, Suh YH and Djamgoz MB (1997) Ionic effects of the Alzheimer's disease betaamyloid precursor protein and its metabolic fragments. *Trends Neurosci* **20**(2):67-72.
- George AA, Lucero LM, Damaj MI, Lukas RJ, Chen X and Whiteaker P (2012) Function of Human α3β4α5 N icotinic A cetylcholine Receptors I s R educed by the α5(D398N) Variant. *J Biol Chem* **287**(30):25151-25162.
- Girod R, Crabtree G, Ernstrom G, Ramirez-Latorre J, McGehee D, Turner J and Role L (1999) H eteromeric complexes of alpha 5 and/or alpha 7 subun its E ffects of calcium and potential role in ni cotine-induced presynaptic fa cilitation, in *Molecular* and Functional Diversity of Ion Channels and Receptors pp 578-590.
- Gotti C and C lementi F (2004) N euronal nicotini c receptors: from structure to pathology.

  Prog Neurobiol 74(6):363-396.
- Gotti C , H anke W, M aury K , Moretti M , B allivet M , C lementi F and B ertrand D (1994 )

  Pharmacology and B iophysical P roperties of α7 and α7 α8 α-Bungarotoxin

  Receptor S ubtypes Immunopurified from the C hick O ptic Lobe. *Eur J Neurosci*6(8):1281-1291.
- Gotti C, Moretti M, Bohr I, Ziabreva I, Vailati S, Longhi R, Riganti L, Gaimarri A, McKeith IG, Perry RH, Aarsland D, Larsen JP, Sher E, Beattie R, Clementi F and Court JA (2006) Selective ni cotinic acety Icholine re ceptor subunit deficits ident ified in A Izheimer's disease, P arkinson's disea se and dement ia with Lewy b odies b y immunoprecipitation. *Neurobiol Dis* 23(2):481-489.

- Gotti C, Moretti M, Clementi F, Riganti L, McIntosh JM, Collins AC, Marks MJ and Whiteaker P (2005) Expression of nigrostriat al alpha 6-containing n icotinic a cetylcholine receptors is selectively reduced, but not eliminated, by beta 3 subunit gene deletion.

  Mol Pharmacol 67(6):2007-2015.
- Grady SR, Moretti M, Zoli M, Marks MJ, Zanardi A, Pucci L, Clementi F and Gotti C (2009)

  Rodent Habenulo-Interpeduncular Pathway Expresses a Large Variety of Uncommon nAChR Subtypes, But Only the {alpha}3{beta}4 and {alpha}3{beta}4 Subtypes

  Mediate Acetylcholine Release, pp 2272-2282.
- Griguoli M and Cherubini E (2012) Regulation of hippocampal inhibitory circuits by nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *J Physiol* **590**(4):655-666.
- Halevi S, McKay J, Palfreyman M, Yassin L, Eshel M, Jorgensen E and Treinin M (2002)

  The C.elegans ric-3 g ene is re quired for maturation of n icotinic ac etylcholine receptors. *The EMBO Journal* **21**(5):1012-1020.
- Hernandez C M, Kayed R, Zhen g H, S weatt JD and Dineley KT (2010) Loss of alpha7 nicotinic receptors enhan ces beta-amyloid oligomer accu mulation, ex acerbating early-stage cognitive dec line and septohippocampal pathology in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurosci* 30(7):2442-2453.
- Holtzman DM, Li YW, DeArmond SJ, McKinley MP, Gage FH, Epstein CJ and Mobley WC (1992) Mouse model of neurodegenera tion: atrophy of basal forebrain c holinergic neurons in trisomy 16 transplants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **89**(4):1383-1387.
- Hurst R, R ollema H and B ertrand D (2013) Nicotinic acety Icholine receptors: From basic science to therapeutics. *Pharmacol Ther* **137**(1):22-54.
- Keyser KT, Britto LRG, Schoepfer R, Whiting P, Cooper J, Conroy W, Brozozowskaprechtl A, Karten HJ and Lindstrom J (1993) Three subtypes of alpha-bungarotoxin sensitive nicotinic acetylcholine-receptors are expressed in chick retina. *J Neurosci* **13**(2):442-454.

- Khiroug SS, Harkness PC, Lamb PW, Sudweeks SN, Khiroug L, Millar NS and Y akel JL (2002) Rat nicotinic ACh receptor α7 and β2 subunits co-assemble to form functional heteromeric nicotinic receptor channels. *J Physiol* **540**(2):425-434.
- Kozikowski AP, Eaton JB, Bajjuri KM, Chellappan SK, Chen YH, Karadi S, He R, Caldarone B, Manzano M, Yuen PW and Lu kas RJ (2009) C hemistry and P harmacology of Nicotinic Ligand s B ased o n 6-[5 -(Azetidin-2-ylmethoxy)pyridin-3-yl]hex-5-yn-1-ol (AMOP-H-OH) for Possible Use in Depression. *ChemMedChem* **4**(8):1279-1291.
- Kuryatov A and Lindstrom J (2011) Expression of Functional Human alpha6beta2beta3\*

  Acetylcholine Receptors in X enopus I aevis Oocytes Achieved through S ubunit

  Chimeras and Concatamers. *Mol Pharmacol* **79**(1):126-140.
- Lendvai B and V izi E S (2008) N onsynaptic Chemical Trans mission Through Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptors. *Physiol Rev* **88**(2):333-349.
- Lewis A and Picciotto M (2013) High-affinity nicotinic acetylcholine receptor expression and trafficking abnor malities in psy chiatric illness. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*229(3):477-485.
- Liu Q, Huang Y, Shen JX, Steffensen S and Wu J (2012) Functional alpha 7 beta 2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors ex pressed in hippocampal interneurons ex hibit h igh sensitivity to pathological level of amyloid beta peptides. *BMC Neurosci* **13**.
- Liu Q, Huang Y, Xue FQ, Simard A, DeChon J, Li G, Zhang JL, Lucero L, Wang M, Sierks M, Hu G, Chang YC, Luk as RJ and Wu J (2009) A Novel Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor Subtype in Basal Forebrain Cholinergic Neurons with High Sensitivity to Amyloid Peptides. *J Neurosci* **29**(4):918-929.
- Liu Q, X ie XT, Luk as RJ, St John PA and W u J (2013) A Novel N icotinic M echanism Underlies beta-Amyloid-Induced Neuronal Hyperexcitation. *J Neurosci* **33**(17):7253-7263.
- Lukas RJ, Changeux JP, Le Novere N, Albuquerque EX, Balfour DJK, Berg DK, Bertrand D, Chiappinelli VA, Clarke PBS, Collins AC, Dani JA, Grady SR, Kellar KJ, Lindstrom JM, Marks MJ, Quik M, Taylor PW and Wonnacott S (1999) International Union of

- Pharmacology. XX. Current status of the nom enclature for nicotinic ac etylcholine receptors and their subunits. *Pharmacol Rev* **51**(2):397-401.
- Mazzaferro S, Benallegue N, Carbone A, Gasparri F, Vijayan R, Biggin PC, Moroni M and Bermudez I (2011) Additional Acetylcholine (ACh) Binding Site at α4/α4 Interface of (α4β2)2α4 N icotinic Receptor I nfluences Agonist S ensitivity. *J Biol Chem* **286**(35):31043-31054.
- McCullumsmith RE, Hammond JH, Shan D and Meador-Woodruff JH (2014) Postmortem

  Brain: A n U nderutilized S ubstrate for S tudying S evere M ental I liness.

  Neuropsychopharmacology 39(1):65-87.
- Murray TA, Bertrand D, Papke RL, George AA, Pantoja R, Srinivasan R, Liu Q, W u J, Whiteaker P, Lester HA and Lukas RJ (2012) alpha 7 beta 2 Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptors Assemble, Function, and Are Activated Primarily via Their alpha 7-alpha 7 Interfaces. *Mol Pharmacol* 81(2):175-188.
- Orr-Urtreger A, Göldner FM, Saeki M, Lorenzo I, Goldberg L, De Biasi M, Dani JA, Patrick JW and Beaudet AL (1997) Mice Deficient in the α7 Neuronal Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor Lack α-Bungarotoxin B inding S ites and H ippocampal Fast Nicotinic Currents. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **17**(23):9165-9171.
- Palma E , M aggi L, B arabino B , Eusebi F and B allivet M (1999) N icotinic A cetylcholine Receptors A ssembled from the α7 and β3 S ubunits. *J Biol Chem* **274**(26):18335-18340.
- Picciotto Marina R, H igley M ichael J and M ineur Yann S (2012) A cetylcholine as a Neuromodulator: C holinergic S ignaling Shapes Nervous S ystem F unction and Behavior. *Neuron* **76**(1):116-129.
- Picciotto MR, Zoll M, Lena C, Bessis A, Lalle mand Y, Lenovere N, Vincent P, Pich EM, Brulet P and C hangeux JP (1995) A bnormal Avoidance-Learning in Mice Lacking Functional High-Affinity Nicotine Receptor in the Brain. *Nature* **374**(6517):65-67.

- Pinto T, Lanctot K L and Herrmann N (2011) R evisiting the cholinergic hy pothesis of behavioral and psychological symptoms in dementia of the Alzheimer's type. *Ageing Res Rev* **10**(4):404-412.
- Price D L, C ork LC, S truble R G, W hitehouse P J, K itt C A and Walker LC (1985) T he functional organization of the basal forebrain cholinergic system in primates and the role of this system in Alzheimer's disease. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **444**:287-295.
- Rayes D, De Rosa MJ, Sine SM and Bouzat C (2009) Number and Locations of Agonist Binding S ites R equired to A ctivate H omomeric C ys-Loop R eceptors. *J Neurosci* **29**(18):6022-6032.
- Riganti L, Matteoni C, Di Angelantonio S, Nistri A, Gaimarri A, Sparatore F, Canu-Boido C, Clementi F and Gotti C (2005) Long -term exposure to the new nicotinic antagonist 1,2-bisN-cytisinylethane upregulates nicotinic receptor subtypes of SH-SY5Y human neuroblastoma cells. *Br J Pharmacol* **146**(8):1096-1109.
- Sala M, Braida D, Pucci L, Manfredi I, Marks MJ, Wageman CR, Grady SR, Loi B, Fucile S, Fasoli F, Zoli M, Tasso B, Sparatore F, Clementi F and Gotti C (2013) CC4, a dimer of cy tisine, is a selective partial agonist at α4β2/α6β2 nAChR w ith im proved selectivity for tobacco smoking cessation. *Br J Pharmacol* **168**(4):835-849.
- Schneider C A, R asband WS and E liceiri K W (2012) N IH I mage to I mageJ: 25 y ears of image analysis. *Nat Meth* **9**(7):671-675.
- Stokes C and Papke RL (2012) Use of an α3β4 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit concatamer to characterize gang lionic receptor subtypes with spec ific s ubunit composition reveals species-specific pharmacologic properties. *Neuropharmacology in Press.*(0).
- Voytko ML, Olton DS, Richardson RT, Gorman LK, Tobin JR and Price DL (1994) Basal forebrain lesions in monkeys disrupt a ttention but not lear ning and memory. *J Neurosci* **14**(1):167-186.
- Wenk GL (1993) A primate model of Alzheimer's disease. Behav Brain Res 57(2):117-122.

- Whiteaker P, Christensen S, Yoshikami D, Dowell C, Watkins M, Gulyas J, Rivier J, Olivera BM and McIntosh JM (2007) Discovery, synthesis, and structure activity of a highly selective alpha 7 ni cotinic acety Icholine receptor antagonist. *Biochemistry (Mosc)* **46**(22):6628-6638.
- Whiteaker P, McIntosh JM, Luo SQ, Collins AC and Marks MJ (2000) I-125-alpha-conotoxin MII identifies a novel nicotinic acetylcholine receptor population in mouse brain. *Mol Pharmacol* **57**(5):913-925.
- Williams D K, S tokes C, H orenstein N A and Papke R L (2011) The effective opening of nicotinic acety Icholine receptors with sing le agon ist binding sites. *The Journal of General Physiology* **137**(4):369-384.
- Xiao YX, Fan H, Musachio JL, Wei ZL, Chellappan SK, Kozikowski AP and Kellar KJ (2006)

  Sazetidine-a, a novel ligand that desensitizes alpha 4 beta 2 n icotinic acetylcholine receptors without activating them. *Mol Pharmacol* **70**(4):1454-1460.
- Yakel J (2013) C holinergic receptors: functi onal role of nicotin ic A Ch r eceptors in brain circuits and disease. *Pflügers Archiv European Journal of Physiology* **465**(4):441-450.
- Zhang X, Liu CH, Miao H, Gong ZH and Nordberg A (1998) Postnatal changes of nicotinic acetylcholine receptor alpha 2, alpha 3, alpha 4, alpha 7 and beta 2 subunits genes expression in rat brain. *Int J Dev Neurosci* **16**(6):507-518.
- Zhou Y, Nelson ME, Kuryatov A, Choi C, Cooper J and Lindstrom J (2003) Human alpha 4 beta 2 acetylcholine receptors formed from linked Subunits. *J Neurosci* 23(27):9004-9015.
- Zoli M, Le N overe N, Hill J and Changeux J (1995) D evelopmental regulation of nicotinic ACh receptor subunit mRNAs in the rat central and peripheral nervous systems. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **15**(3):1912-1939.
- Zwart R, S trotton M, C hing J, A stles P C and S her E (2014) U nique phar macology of heteromeric α7β2 ni cotinic ace tylcholine receptors ex pressed in X enopus laev is oocytes. *Eur J Pharmacol* **726**(0):77-86.

# Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 19, 2022

# **FOOTNOTES:**

The Newcastle Brain Tiss ue Resource is funded in part by a grant from the UK Medical Research Council [G0400074], by N IHR Newcastle Biomedical Research Centre and Unit funding aw arded to the N ewcastle upon T yne N HS Foundation Tr ust and N ewcastle University, and by a grant from the Alzheimer's Society and Alzheimer's Research Trust as part of the Brains for Dementia Research Project.

This work was supported by the European Union Seventh Fram ework Programme grant [N ° HEALTH-F2-2008-20208] to CG and MZ, from the CNR Research Project on Aging, Regione Lombardia Project NUTEC (NUove TECnologie per il trattamento dell'invecchiamento cerebrale basate sull'utilizzo di nanovettori di cellule mesenchimali adulte e dei loro effetto) [ID 30263049], by a grant from the Italian Ministry of Health [RF2009-1549619] (MZ), and by National Institutes for Health Grant [R21 DA026627] and Barrow Neurological Foundation funding to PW.

<sup>†</sup>M.M., M.Z., and A.A.G. contributed equally to this work.

§P.W. and C.G. contributed equally to this work and are joint corresponding authors.

### FIGURE LEGENDS:

Figure 1. Western blot analysis of nAChR subunit content in  $\alpha$ -Bgtx-purified receptors prepared from 2% Triton X-100 extracts of WT and  $\beta$ 2 KO mouse hippocampi (A) and

basal forebrain samples (B).

A)  $\alpha$ -Bgtx-purified receptors were prepared from mouse hippocampi by incubating extracts with Sepharose 4B covalently bound with  $\alpha$ -Bgtx. The bound receptors were recovered from the beads using Laemmli sample buffer. Western blot analysis of 10  $\mu$ g of 2% Triton X-100 extracts of the hippocam pus before (lane 1) and after  $\alpha$ -Bgtx purification (lane 2; supernatant), and 1/20 of the corresponding  $\alpha$ -Bgtx purified receptors (lane 3; recovered

from beads). The blots were probed with an anti- $\alpha$ 7 Ab (top) or  $\beta$ 2 (1) Ab (bottom)

**B)** α-Bgtx purified receptors were prepared as described in the legend of Figure 1. Western blot analysis of 10  $\mu$ g of 2% Triton X-100 extracts of the basal forebrain before (lane 1) and after α-Bgtx purification (lane 2; supernatant), and 1/10 of the corresponding α-Bgtx purified receptors (lane 3; recovered from beads). The blots were probed with an anti-α7 Ab (top ) or

β2(1) Ab (bottom).

Figure 2. Western blo t analysis of  $\alpha$ -Bungarotoxin-purified n AChR p repared f rom human basal forebrain and cerebellum.

 $\alpha$ -Bgtx-binding n AChR were purified from the same volume of 2% T riton X-100 extracts of basal forebrain and cerebellum by incubating them with Sepharose 4B covalently bound with  $\alpha$ -Bgtx. The bound receptors were eluted using sample buffer, and an identical

volume of purified rec eptors was loaded on the gel. The Western blots were probed with

anti- $\alpha$ 7 Ab (top) or anti- $\beta$ 2 Ab (bottom).

Figure 3. R epresentative traces and maximu m f unction ( $I_{max}$ ) compar ison for  $\alpha 7^*$ nAChR pentameric concatemer constructs

Oocytes were injected with mRNA encoding unlinked α7-nAChR subunit monomers (Panel A) concatenated α7 homopentamers (Panel B), α7β2 nAChR with the β2 subunit in position 3 (Panel C), or α7β2 nAChR with the β2 subunit in positions 2 and 4 (Panel D). Representative two-electrode voltage-clamp recordings are shown in each case, for ACh concentration-response determinations (see M ethods for details). Black bars above each trace represent 5 s applications of A Ch at a range of concentrations. The time course of receptor desensitization / inactivation during st imulation with a maximally-effective dose of ACh (10 m M) was also investig ated for each nAChR construct, using additional groups of oocytes. In each case, the time course was best fit by a double-exponential decay. The fast time constants  $(\tau_{fast})$  for desensiti zation / inactivation were statistically indistinguishable by one-way ANOVA across all four groups (unlinked α7, 436 ± 85 ms; α7-only concatemer, 214  $\pm$  80 ms;  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2(p3), 312  $\pm$  55 ms;  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2(p2,4), 247  $\pm$  35 ms; F[3,11] = 2.06, p = 0.16; n = 3 in each group). In contrast, the slow time constant  $(\tau_{slow})$  for desensitization / inactivation of the α7β2(p2,4) construct was significantly longer that of the other groups; no other differences were detected by Tukey's post hoc comparison (p < 0.05). Values were: unlinked  $\alpha$ 7, 5109 ± 800 ms;  $\alpha$ 7-only concatemer, 3130 ± 585 ms;  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2(p3), 5073 ± 638 ms;  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2(p2,4), 6318 ± 365 ms; F[3,11] = 5.29, p = 0.02; n = 3 in each group.

Panel E, summary of maximal function ( $I_{max}$ ) measured in each concatemeric nAChR group by stimulation with the full agonist ACh (10 mM). Bars represent mean  $\pm$  SEM (n = 3).  $I_{max}$  values were:  $\alpha$ 7-only, 83.9  $\pm$  18.6 nA;  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2(p3), 285  $\pm$  11 nA;  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2(p2,4), 216  $\pm$  45 nA. Analysis us ing one-w ay A NOVA w ith T ukey's *post hoc* comparison show ed that incorporation of  $\beta$ 2 subunits resulted in a stati stically-significant in crease in  $I_{max}$  (F[2,6] = 12.7, p = 0.007; deno ted b y \*). The  $I_{max}$  values obtained from the t wo  $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2-nAChR constructs were statistically indistinguishable from each other.

## Figure 4. Agonist concentration response profiles for α7 and α7β2 nAChR.

Oocytes were injected with mRNA encoding unlinked  $\alpha 7$  subunits (o), concatenated  $\alpha 7$  hom opentamers ( $\bullet$ ) or concatenated  $\alpha 7\beta 2$  pentameric concatemers ( $\Box$  indicates  $\alpha 7\beta 2$ 

nAChR with the β2 subunit in position 3; Indicates α7β2 nAChR with the β2 subunit in positions 2 and 4). Oocytes were perfused with nAChR agonists (A) acetylcholine (ACh; 10<sup>-5.5</sup> to 10<sup>-2</sup>; n=6), (B) c holine (10<sup>-4.25</sup> to 10<sup>-2</sup>; n=3), (C) ni cotine (10<sup>-5.5</sup> to 10<sup>-3</sup>; n=3), (D) sazetidine-A (10<sup>-7.5</sup> to 10<sup>-4</sup>; n=3) or (E) 1,2-bis-N-cytisinylethane (CC4; 10<sup>-6.5</sup> to 10<sup>-3</sup>; n=3). All responses within each group were normalized to an initial control stimulation with 10 mM ACh. Data points represent mean ± SEM. Drug potency and efficacy parameters were calculated by non-linear least-squares curve fitting to the Hill equation (see Methods). The resulting pharmacological parameters and statistical analyses are summarized in Table 4.

# Figure 5. Antagonist concentration response profiles for $\alpha$ 7 and $\alpha$ 7 $\beta$ 2 nAChR.

Oocytes were injected with mRNA encoding unlinked  $\alpha 7$  subunits (o), concatenated  $\alpha 7$  hom opentamers ( $\bullet$ ) or concatenated  $\alpha 7\beta 2$  pentameric concatemers ( $\Box$  indicates  $\alpha 7\beta 2$  nAChR with the  $\beta 2$  subunit in position 3; indicates  $\alpha 7\beta 2$  nAChR with the  $\beta 2$  subunit in positions 2 and 4). Before antagonists were applied to each oo cyte, a control 10 mM AChevoked response was measured. Oocytes were pre-perfused with nAChR antagonists (A) dihydro- $\beta$ -erythroidine hydrobromide (DH $\beta$ E;  $10^{-6.25}$  to  $10^{-3}$ ; n=3), (B) methyllycaconitine ( $10^{-10.5}$  to  $10^{-7}$ ; n=3), (C) mecamylamine ( $10^{-7.25}$  to  $10^{-4}$ ; n=3) or (D)  $\alpha$ -cobratoxin ( $\alpha$ -Cbtx;  $10^{-10}$  to  $10^{-7}$ ; n=3). The magnitudes of subsequent 10 mM ACh stimulations were compared to that of the initial control. Data points represent mean  $\pm$  SEM. Drug pot ency and efficacly parameters were calculated by non-linear least-squares curve fitting to the Hill equation (see Methods). The resulting pharmacological parameters and statistical analy ses are summarized in Table 5.

	Number of	Age in	Postmortem	Male/female
	cases	Years	delay in hours	
Basal forebrain	4 65.7±	9.4	2 >8	3/1
			2 (2-6)	
Cerebellum smokers	4 73.0±	3.9	>8	2/2
Cerebellum n on-	4 68.7±	6.6	>8	2/2
smokers				

**TABLE 1: Details of cases sampled for receptor analysis.** Values are means ± SEM. There were no significant differences between groups for age.

	[ <sup>3</sup> H]-Epibatidine	[ <sup>125</sup> l]-αBungarotoxin
β2 WT	36.3 ±2.3	37.7 ±2.3
Hippocampus		
β2ΚΟ	1.0±0.3*	39.9±1.0
Hippocampus		
β2 WT	44.5±3.5	15.2±2.5
Basal forebrain		
β2 ΚΟ	0.5±0.2* 15.	1 ±2.6
Basal forebrain		

TABLE 2: Levels of [ $^{3}$ H]-Epibatidine and [ $^{125}$ I] $\alpha$ -Bungarotoxin binding to 2% Triton X-100 extracts (expressed as fmol/mg of protein) in two different brain a reas of WT and  $\beta$ 2 KO mice. Values are the Mean  $\pm$  SEM from three separate experiments. \* = Significantly different from  $\beta$ 2 + test (p < 0.001).

	[³H]-Epibatidine [	<sup>125</sup> I]-α-Bungarotoxin
Basal forebrain	31.8±8.5 80.7±	7.0
Cerebellum	22.5 ±2.6	45.7± 5.1
non-smokers		
Cerebellum smokers	39.7 ±5.3*	48.3±6.5


TABLE 3: Levels of [ $^3$ H]-Epibatidine and [ $^{125}$ I]  $\alpha$ -Bungarotoxin binding to 2% Triton X 100 extracts (expressed as fmol/mg of protein) in the two different hu man b rain re gions. Values are mean  $\pm$  SEM of the 4 samples in each group. \* Denotes significant differences in cerebellar membrane [ $^3$ H]-epibatidine binding between smokers and non-smokers: unpaired t test: p = 0.02. No significant difference was seen between [ $^{125}$ I]- $\alpha$ -Bgtx binding levels in cerebellar samples taken from smokers vs. non-smokers, by the same measure.

	Acetylcholine Ch		oline			Nicotine			Sazetidine-A			CC4					
Subtype	n= log(EC <sub>50</sub> / M)	n <sub>H</sub> E	fficacy	n= lo	og(EC <sub>50</sub> / M)	n <sub>H</sub> E	fficacy	n=	log(EC <sub>50</sub> / M)	n <sub>H</sub> E	fficacy	n=	log(EC <sub>50</sub> / M)	n <sub>H</sub> Efficacy	n= log(E	C <sub>50</sub> / M)	n <sub>H</sub> Efficacy
α7 (unlinked)	6 -3.3 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.1	103 ± 3	3	-2.9 ± 0.04	1.9 ± 0.3	87 ± 4	3	-4.6 ± 0.14	2.7 ± 0.5	95 ± 2	3	nd	$nd \ 3.0 \pm 0.5$	3	nd	nd 3.0 ± 1.0
α7-α7-α7-α7	6 -3.5 ± 0.3	1.3 ± 0.1	96 ± 2	3	-2.9 ± 0.17	1.6 ± 0.4	96 ± 8	3	-4.1 ± 0.15	1.7 ± 0.3	84 ± 4	3	nd	nd 7.0 ± 3.0	3	nd	nd 6.7 ± 1.6
α7-α7-β2-α7-α7	6 -3.5 ± 0.03	1.3 ± 0.1	97 ± 2	3	-2.9 ± 0.03	1.8 ± 0.2	90 ± 3	3	-4.2 ± 0.15	1.9 ± 0.5	58 ± 3*	3	nd	nd 3.5 ± 0.5	3	nd	nd 3.2 ± 0.6
α7-β2-α7-β2-α7	6 -3.3 ± 0.3	1.6 ± 0.3	99 ± 3	3	-2.9 ± 0.12	2.0 ± 0.2	95 ± 2	3	-4.2 ± 0.08	1.9 ± 0.6	55 ± 4*	3	nd	nd 3.4 ± 0.4	3	nd	nd 3.5 ± 1.0

**TABLE 4:**  $\alpha$ 7\*-nAChR agonist pha rmacological parameters. Agonist logEC<sub>50</sub>, Hill slope (n<sub>H</sub>) and efficacy values (relative to a maximally-effective (10 mM) concentration of ACh) were derived by non-linear least-squares curve fitting of the data shown in Figure 4 to the Hill model.  $\alpha$ 7-only nAChR expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes from unlinked subunits were used as a control group, to which the functional properties of  $\alpha$ 7-nAChR c oncatemeric constructs were compared (N-to-C-terminal s ubunit orders are shown). Values are mean ± SEM of the number of indicated replicates (n=). n d = not determinable (reliable curve fitting is not possible for very low-efficacy compounds). P harmacological parameters measured for each agonist were generally indistinguishable between all four groups of oocytes, with one exception: the relative efficacy of nicotine was lower for both  $\alpha$ 7β2 subtypes tested compared to the  $\alpha$ 7 unlinked control group (although the  $\alpha$ 7-only concatemer group was not different to the control); One way ANOVA F[3,8] = 34.2, p < 0.001, followed by Dunnett's *post-hoc* test.


	Dihydro-β-Eryt	hroidine	Methyllycaco	onitine M	ecamylamine	α-Cobratoxin			
Subtype	n= log(IC <sub>50</sub> / M)	n <sub>H</sub> n=	log(IC <sub>50</sub> / M)	n <sub>H</sub> n=	log(IC <sub>50</sub> / M) n <sub>H</sub>	$n = log(IC_{50} / M)$ $n_H$			
α7 (unlinked)	3 -5.2 ± 0.05 -	-1.0 ± 0.1 3	3 -8.7 ± 0.1	-1.6 ± 0.2	3 -5.6 ± 0.2	3 -8.6 ± 0.1 2.4 ± 0			
α7-α7-α7-α7	3 -5.3 ± 0.07 -	-0.8 ± 0.1 3	3 -9.0 ± 0.1	-1.6 ± 0.2	3 -6.0 ± 0.2	3 -8.6 ± 0.1 1.3 ± 0			
α7-α7-β2-α7-α7	3 -5.4 ± 0.10 -	-0.6 ± 0.1 3	3 -8.9 ± 0.1	-1.7 ± 0.1	3 -6.0 ± 0.1	3 -8.6 ± 0.1 1.8 ± 0			
α7-β2-α7-β2-α7	3 -5.4 ± 0.10 -	-0.7 ± 0.1 3	3 -8.8 ± 0.1	-1.8 ± 0.1	3 -6.0 ± 0.2	3 -8.5 ± 0.2 1.5 ± 0			

**TABLE 5:**  $\alpha 7^*$ -nAChR antagonist pharmacological parameters. Antagonist log IC<sub>50</sub> and Hill slope (n<sub>H</sub>) values were derived by non-linear least-squares curve fitting of the data shown in Figure 5 to the Hill model. Values are mean  $\pm$  SEM of the number of indicated replicates. Pharmacological parameters obtained for each antagonist were statistically indistinguishable between all four groups of oocytes according to analysis with one way ANOVA.



**Basal Forebrain**  $\alpha 4\beta 2$ Cerebellum  $\alpha$ 7  $\alpha$ 7 1 2 3 5 8 **Basal Forebrain** Cerebellum  $\alpha$ 7  $\alpha 4\beta 2$  $\beta 2$  (1) 3

Figure 2



6

3

