## Long-range inhibitor-induced conformational regulation of human IRE1 $\alpha$ endoribonuclease activity

Nestor O. Concha, Angela Smallwood, William Bonnette, Rachel Totoritis, Guofeng Zhang, Kelly Federowicz, Jingsong Yang, Hongwei Qi, Stephanie Chen, Nino Campobasso, Anthony E.

Choudhry, Leanna E. Shuster, Karen A. Evans, Jeff Ralph, Sharon Sweitzer, Dirk A. Heerding, Carolyn A. Buser, Dai-Shi Su, \& M. Phillip DeYoung

Oncology R\&D (K.F., J.Y., L.E.S., K.A.E., J.R., D.A.H., C.A.B., D.S.S, M.P.D.), Biological Sciences (R.T., G.Z., H.Q., S.C., A.E.C., S.S.), and Chemical Sciences (N.O.C., A.S., W.B., N.C.), GlaxoSmithKline Research and Development, Collegeville, PA

Running Title: Structure of human phosphorylated (active) IRE1 $\alpha$ dimer
Corresponding author:
M. Phillip DeYoung (maurice.p.deyoung@gsk.com) or Nestor O. Concha
(Nestor.O.Concha@gsk.com)
GlaxoSmithKline, Oncology R\&D
1250 S. Collegeville Road, UP1450
Collegeville, PA 19426, USA
Phone : 610-917-5025
Pages: 40
Tables: 1
Figures: 5
References: 46
Words in abstract: 244
Words in Introduction (including references and PDB IDs): 794
Words in Discussion (including references and PDB IDs): 1649
Non-standard abbreviations:
APY29: 2-N-(3H-benzimidazol-5-yl)-4-N-(5-cyclopropyl-1H-pyrazol-3-yl)pyrimidine-2,4diamine, ATF6: activating transcription factor 6, BIIC: baculovirus-infected insect cells, ER:
endoplasmic reticulum, ERAD: ER-associated protein degradation, FP: fluorescence
polarization, GSK2850163: (R)-2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-N-(4-methylbenzyl)-2,7-
diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxamide, HDX: hydrogen-deuterium exchange, IRE1 $\alpha$ : inositol-
requiring enzyme-1 alpha, KIRA6: 1-(4-(8-Amino-3-tert-butylimidazo[1,5-a]pyrazin-1-
yl)naphthalen-1-yl)-3-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)urea, PERK: PKR-like ER kinase, RIDD:
regulated IRE1 $\alpha$ dependent degradation, RNase: endoribonuclease, SAR: structure activity relationship, STS: staurosporine, TEV: tobacco etch virus, UPR: unfolded protein response, UPRE: unfolded protein response element


#### Abstract

Activation of the inositol-requiring enzyme-1 alpha (IRE1 $\alpha$ ) protein caused by endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress results in the homodimerization of the N-terminal ER luminal domains, autophosphorylation of the cytoplasmic kinase domains, and conformational changes to the cytoplasmic endoribonuclease (RNase) domains which render them functional and can lead to the splicing of XBP1 mRNA. Herein we report the first crystal structures of the cytoplasmic portion of a human phosphorylated IRE1 $\alpha$ dimer in complex with GSK2850163, a novel, IRE1 $\alpha$-selective kinase inhibitor, and with staurosporine (STS), a broad spectrum kinase inhibitor. GSK2850163 inhibits both the kinase and RNase activities of IRE1 $\alpha$. The inhibitor interacts with the catalytic residues Lys599 and Glu612 and displaces the kinase activation loop to the DFG-out conformation. Inactivation of IRE1 $\alpha$ RNase activity appears to be caused by a conformational change whereby the $\alpha \mathrm{C}$ helix is displaced resulting in the rearrangement of the kinase domain-dimer interface and a rotation of the RNase domains away from each other. In contrast, STS binds at the ATP-binding site of IRE1 $\alpha$ resulting in a dimer consistent with RNase active yeast Ire1 dimers. Activation of IRE1 $\alpha$ RNase activity appears to be promoted by a network of hydrogen bond interactions between highly conserved residues across the RNase dimer interface that place key catalytic residues poised for reaction. These data implicate that the intermolecular interactions between conserved residues in the RNase domain are required for activity, and that the disruption of these interactions can be achieved pharmacologically by small molecule kinase domain inhibitors.


## INTRODUCTION

Cellular stresses such as accumulation of unfolded proteins, hypoxia, glucose deprivation, depletion of ER calcium levels, and changes in ER redox status activate the unfolded protein response (UPR), an intracellular signal transduction network involved in restoring protein homeostasis (reviewed in Walter and Ron, 2011). To alleviate these types of stress responses, the UPR responds by halting protein translation, activating transcription of UPR-associated target genes, and degrading misfolded proteins (Ron, 2002; Harding, et al, 2002; Feldman et al., 2005). UPR signaling also regulates cell survival by modulating apoptosis and autophagy and can induce cell death under prolonged ER stress if the misfolded protein burden is too high (Woehlbier and Hetz, 2011; Ma and Hendershot, 2004; Rouschop et al., 2010).

Three key ER membrane proteins have been identified as primary effectors of the UPR:

PKR-like ER kinase (PERK), inositol-requiring enzyme $1 \alpha / \beta$ (IRE1), and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6) (Schroder and Kaufman, 2005). IRE1 $\alpha$ is a transmembrane protein that functions both as an ER stress sensing receptor via its N -terminal ER luminal domain and as a signal transducer via its cytoplasmic C-terminal kinase and RNase domains (Tirasophon et al., 1998). Upon sensing ER stress, the extracellular portion of the IRE1 $\alpha$ protein will homodimerize, allowing for trans autophosphorylation, which, in turn, induces a conformational change resulting in activation of the RNase domains (Ali et al., 2011). Phosphorylation within the kinase activation loop is an essential step for RNase activation (Prischi et al., 2014).

Mammalian IRE1 $\alpha$ excises a 26-bp intron from the mRNA of XBP1 that causes a translational frame shift downstream of the splice site to produce XBP1s, the active form of the transcription factor (Yoshida et al., 2001; Calfon et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2002). XBP1 is responsible for the
activation of key UPR target genes, including molecular chaperones and components of the ERassociated protein degradation (ERAD) machinery (Lee at al., 2003). Activation of IRE1 $\alpha$ is also reported to result in the induction of regulated IRE1 $\alpha$ dependent degradation (RIDD) of a subset of mRNAs encoding secretory proteins or the induction of apoptosis via IRE1 $\alpha$ signaling through its kinase domain and downstream effectors ASK1, JNK1, and Caspase-12 (Hollien and Weissman, 2006; Urano et al., 2000).

Loss of ER homeostasis (i.e., loss or hyperactivation of UPR signaling) has been attributed to a number of diseases, including cancer, diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, liver diseases, and neurodegenerative disorders, and the UPR is increasingly becoming an attractive pathway in drug discovery (Hetz et al., 2013; Maly and Papa, 2014). To this end, an increasing body of work has been performed to identify potent and selective molecules of IRE1 $\alpha$ and to better understand how these molecules bind and affect IRE1 $\alpha$ activation mechanisms. Crystal structures of the C-terminal region of phosphorylated (active) yeast Ire1 were the first to be characterized and revealed that Ire1 forms dimers arranged in a "back-to-back" configuration with the kinase active sites facing outward (PDB ID 2RIO; Lee at al., 2008; PDB ID 3FBV; Korennykh et al., 2009; PDB ID 3LJ0; Wiseman et al., 2010). This dimer arrangement also formed the basis of a rod-shaped helical structure representing a high-order oligomeric Ire1 structure in complex with the kinase inhibitor APY29 (3FBV; Korennykh et al., 2009). These yeast "back-to-back" structures contrast with the first reported human IRE1 $\alpha$ dimer: a dephosphorylated C-terminal IRE1 $\alpha-\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$-ADP complex possibly representing an early state prior to phosphoryl-transfer (PDB ID 3P23; Ali et al., 2011). In this structure, the kinase active sites are facing each other and are in a suitable orientation and proximity for trans autophosphorylation, but the RNase domains are far from each other and inactive. A similar
"face-to-face" structure was recently reported for mouse IRE1 $\alpha$, but this structure was phosphorylated (PDB ID 4PL3; Sanches et al., 2014). More recently, a few other dephosphorylated human crystal structures were reported. One is of a co-crystal structure containing a kinase domain inhibitor bound to an IRE1 $\alpha$ monomer (PDB ID 4U6R; Harrington et al., 2014). Here, no dimers were found with the inhibitor-bound structure suggesting that the compound may either prevent dimerization or stabilize a monomeric $\operatorname{IRE} 1 \alpha$. The second report presented two "back-to-back" dimers of IRE1 $\alpha$, one in apo form and one in an inhibitor-bound form (PDB IDs 4Z7G and 4Z7H; Joshi et al., 2015). These structures are consistent with yeast Ire 1 dimers but are distinct in that the apo structure contains a twisted interface between the dimers across the RNase domains which may represent an additional intermediate of IRE1 $\alpha$ prior to full activation. The culmination of all these structures may depict the IRE1 protein at various levels of activation and suggest that this process is conserved evolutionarily. Here we present a proposed structure of the final state of a human phosphorylated (active) IRE1 $\alpha$ dimer co-crystallized with two kinase inhibitors that have opposing effects to the RNase activity of the protein.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## IRE1 $\alpha$ protein expression and purification

The cytosolic domain of human IRE1 $\alpha$ (NM_001433) encompassing amino acids 547977 was cloned into pENTR/TEV/D-TOPO (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) and subsequently transferred to a pDest8 (Life Technologies) vector backbone containing N-terminal Flag epitope tag followed by 6xHis tag and tobacco etch virus (TEV) cleavage site (ENLYFQG/S).

Baculovirus generation was accomplished using the Bac-to-Bac ${ }^{\circledR}$ baculovirus generation system (Life Technologies). Flag-His 6 -TEV-IRE1 $\alpha$ (547-977) protein expression in baculovirusinfected insect cells (BIIC) was accomplished following established procedures (Wasilko and Lee, 2006). Briefly, a proprietary Sf9 insect cell line was grown to early log phase, infected with $1 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{BIIC} / 10 \mathrm{~L}$ culture and incubated at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cell paste was harvested at $66-72 \mathrm{~h}$ post infection. Human phosphorylated or dephosphorylated IRE1 $\alpha$ protein containing N-terminal Flag-His 6 tags and a TEV protease cleavage site between the tags and IRE1 $\alpha$ protein was purified from $\sim 150 \mathrm{~g}$ of cells from a 10L culture (lysed in 1.5 L lysis buffer ( 50 mM Hepes, pH $7.5,10 \%$ glycerol, 300 mM NaCl ) by EmulsiFlex-C50 homogenizer (Avestin, Ottowa, ON). The protein in the clear supernatant from centrifugation at $30,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was first captured in 20 ml NiNTA-SF beads (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands) in batch mode for 4 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The beads were poured into a column, washed with 20 mM imidazole in lysis buffer, and the IRE1 $\alpha$ protein was eluted from the column by 300 mM imidazole in 50 mM Hepes, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,150$ mM NaCl buffer. The Ni elution pool was concentrated using a 10 kDa MW cutoff filter concentrator to about 25 ml to which 3 mg of Tev protease was added to remove the $\mathrm{His}_{6} \mathrm{tag}$. This mixture was then transferred into dialysis tubing ( 8 kDa MW cutoff) and dialyzed overnight against 3L MonoQ buffer A ( 50 mM Hepes, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 1 mM EDTA),
passed through a second 20 ml Mono Q column (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ), and eluted with a $50-500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ gradient over 10 column volumes. The eluted samples were analyzed by LC-MS, and the major peak with a mass consistent with the expected molecular weight for the protein plus three phosphates (80 mass units per phosphate) was purified in a Hiload Superdex 200 sizing column (GE Healthcare) with a buffer of 50 mM Hepes, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5$ mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA. The eluted protein ( $2-3 \mathrm{mg}$ protein in 1 ml aliquots) was stored at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and later used in assays and crystallography. The remaining fractions from the Mono Q column were pooled and treated with $\lambda$-phosphatase to produce the fully dephosphorylated enzyme before it was further purified and stored in the same way as the triply phosphorylated protein.

## pIRE1 $\alpha$ RNase activity assay

The nuclease enzymatic activity of phosphorylated IRE1 $\alpha$ ( $\mathrm{pIRE} 1 \alpha$ ) was measured using a dual labeled 36-mer RNA substrate that contained the IRE1 $\alpha$ recognition sequence with a 6carboxyfluorescein fluorescent reporter (6-FAM) at the $3^{\prime}$ end, and the Black Hole quencher -1 (BHQ-1) at the $5^{\prime}$ end ( $5^{\prime} / 6-\mathrm{FAM} / \mathrm{rCrArG}$ rUrCrC rGrCrA rGrCrA rCrUrG/BHQ-1/3'; Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA). Upon cleavage, the release of FAM results in an increase in fluorescent signal measured at $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}} / \lambda_{\mathrm{em}}=485 / 535 \mathrm{~nm}$. A typical enzymatic reaction was carried out with 10 nM pIRE1 $\alpha$ and 500 nM substrate in a buffer containing 20 mM HEPES, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, $0.05 \%$ Tween-20 and $0.02 \%$ heat-treated casein (heated for 20 min at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to use each time), and the fluorescence change was followed using an Envision plate reader (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA).

To identify inhibitors of IRE1 $\alpha$ nuclease activity, pIRE1 $\alpha$ was screened against the GSK compound collection. The RNA oligomer substrate was added to the assay plates containing 10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ compound. The reaction was initiated immediately by the addition of enzyme and the plates centrifuged for 1 minute at 500 rpm . The final reaction mixture contained $10 \mathrm{nM} \operatorname{pIRE} 1 \alpha, 25 \mathrm{nM}$ RNA oligomer, 20 mM HEPES $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, $0.05 \%$ Tween-20, 10 mM NaCl , and $0.02 \%$ casein. The reaction plates were incubated at room temperature for 90 minutes before the reaction was terminated with $0.015 \%$ SDS in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5 . The plates were centrifuged for 3 min at 1000 rpm prior to measuring product formation using the Viewlux imager (PerkinElmer).

## pIRE1 $\alpha$ kinase assays

In the ADP-Glo ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ assay, a compound's potency towards pIRE1 $\alpha$ kinase activity was measured as its inhibition of an intrinsic, slow ATP hydrolysis activity. 100 nL DMSO solution of GSK2850163, at various concentrations, was added into a white Greiner low volume 384 well plate. The reaction was carried out with 5 nM pIRE1 $\alpha$ and $60 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP in $10 \mu \mathrm{~L} 50 \mathrm{mM}$ HEPES buffer, pH 7.5 , containing $30 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, $0.02 \%$ Chaps and 0.01 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ BSA. The reaction was stopped after 2 h by adding $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ADP-Glo ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ reagent I , which also depletes the remaining ATP. Following a 1 h incubation, $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ADP-Glo ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ reagent II was added into the reaction, which converts the ADP product into ATP to serve as substrate for the coupled luciferin/luciferase reaction. After 30 min , the plate was read on a Wallac ViewLux microplate imager.

In the fluorescence polarization (FP) assay, a compound's affinity was measured by its ability to compete with a fluorescent labeled ATP site ligand for binding to the kinase domain.

The FP assay was carried out in the same reaction buffer described above. A $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction contained 5 nM IRE1, 5 nM fluorescent ligand (GSK369716A), and GSK2851063 at various concentrations. After the addition of reagents, the plate was incubated at room temperature for 15 min and then read on an Analyst GT multimode reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale CA) at excitation/emission of $485 \mathrm{~nm} / 530 \mathrm{~nm}$ with a dichroic of $505 \mathrm{~nm} . \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated using the data fitting software GraFit (Erithacus Software).

## PERK kinase assay

Recombinant PERK protein (Life Technologies) was purchased for use in a selectivity assay to test pIRE1 $\alpha$ inhibitors. Solution of PERK $(0.40 \mathrm{nM})$ in assay buffer containing 10 mM HEPES, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,2 \mathrm{mM}$ CHAPS, 5 mM MgCl , and 1 mM DTT was pre-incubated with compound for 30 min at room temperature. The reaction was initiated by the addition of substrate mix which contained $0.040 \mu \mathrm{M}$ biotinylated eIF2 $\alpha$ (eukaryotic translation initiation factor $2 \alpha$ ) peptide and $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ATP}$. The reaction plates were incubated at room temperature for 60 min , followed by termination with a detection/quench mixture. The detection/quench mixture contained 15 mM EDTA, 4 nM eIF2 $\alpha$ phospho antibody (Millipore, Billerica, MA), 4 nM europium-labeled anti-rabbit antibody (PerkinElmer) and 40 nM streptavidin APC (PerkinElmer). The plates were incubated for 10 min at room temperature prior to measuring

HTRF signal (excitation at $610-640 \mathrm{~nm}$, emission at 660 nm ) using the Viewlux imager.

## RNase $L$ activity assay

A solution of 0.1 nM RNase L, $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP, and $8 \mathrm{nM} 2-5 \mathrm{~A}\left(2^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}\right.$-linked oligoadenylate, $\left.\mathrm{p}_{1-3}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{\prime} \mathrm{p} 5^{\prime} \mathrm{A}\right)_{\mathrm{n} \geq 2}\right)$ in assay buffer containing 20 mM HEPES, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP, 0.5 mM CHAPS, 100 mM NaCl , and $0.02 \%$ casein was incubated at room temperature
for 30 minutes. A $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of a 36 -mer RNA substrate (5'/6-FAM rUrUrA rUrCrA rArArU rUrCrU rUrArU rUrUrG rCrCrC rCrArU rUrUrU rUrUrU rGrGrU rUrUrA BHQ-1/3'; Integrated DNA Technologies) was prepared in assay buffer and added to reaction plates containing compounds. The reaction was initiated with the addition of RNase L-ATP-2-5A mixture and the plates incubated at room temperature for 90 min . Following the incubation, the reaction was terminated with $0.02 \%$ SDS solution in nuclease free water. The plates were centrifuged for 3 min at 1000 rpm prior to measuring product formation using the Viewlux imager.

## Competitive inhibition studies

In single compound inhibition studies, the concentrations of the substrate or noncleavable substrate (analog in which the ribose linked to the guanine at the cleavage site was replaced by deoxyribose), and GSK2850163 were varied and the enzyme concentration was fixed at 10 nM . The modes of inhibition and the inhibition constants were determined by fitting the initial velocities to different models (competitive, uncompetitive and noncompetitive) using Grafit software. In a double inhibition experiment, the concentration of the first inhibitor was varied at several different concentrations of the second inhibitor, and the concentrations of the enzyme and substrate were kept constant at 10 nM and 100 nM , respectively. The reactions were monitored kinetically and the initial reaction velocities were analyzed using the YonetaniTheorell equation.

## Protein crystallization and structure determination

Crystals of pIRE1 $\alpha$ (547-977) with GSK2850163 (PDB: 4YZ9) were prepared by mixing pIRE1 $\alpha$ with 0.5 mM GSK2850163 and incubating overnight on ice. The crystals were grown at
$20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by vapor diffusion in sitting drops containing $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of protein $(13 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ in 50 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, $200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 1 mM EDTA , 0.5 mM GSK2850163, $0.25 \%$ DMSO ) and 2 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ reservoir solution containing PEG 3350 ( $16 \%-22 \%$ ), 100 mM Hepes $\mathrm{pH} 7.0,200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ acetate. The crystals were thick rods that appeared over 2-5 days and reached full size (0.05 X 0.025 X 0.3 mm ) in 2 weeks. Seeding was used to improve crystal quality. The pIRE1 $\alpha+$ GSK2850163 crystals were frozen in a solution of 20\% ethylene glycol, 22\% PEG 3350, 0.2 M calcium acetate added in a stepwise manner to the protein drop before mounting the crystal on the loop.

The crystals of $\mathrm{pIRE} 1 \alpha$ with $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}-\mathrm{ADP}$ (PDB: 4YZD) were grown by mixing $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ protein solution ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ pIRE1 $\alpha$ (547-977) in 50 mM Hepes, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM ADP ( 100 mM ADP stock was $\sim \mathrm{pH} 7.0$ ), 1 mM MgCl 2 ) with $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reservoir solution (16 \% PEG 3350, $200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$Malonate pH 6.0 ) in sitting drops at room temperature. Seeding was used to initiate crystal growth. Crystals appeared the next day and grew to full size in 3 weeks. For data collection, the crystals were frozen in a solution of $20 \%$ ethylene glycol, $22 \%$ PEG $3350,200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na}{ }^{+}$Malonate pH 6.0 and added to the protein drop before mounting the crystals on the loop.

The complex of pIRE1 $\alpha$ (547-977) with staurosporine (PDB: 4YZC) was prepared by mixing the protein with 0.5 mM staurosporine and incubated overnight on ice. The crystals were grown at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by vapor diffusion in sitting drop containing $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of protein ( $13 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ in 50 mM Hepes, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 1 mM EDTA) and $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reservoir solution containing PEG 300 ( $30 \%-40 \%$ ), 100 mM Hepes $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}$. Small hexagonal plates appeared over 5-10 days and reached full size ( $0.05 \times 0.75 \mathrm{X} 0.15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) in $\sim 20$ days. The crystals were
flash-frozen in liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ directly from the crystallization drop. All diffraction data was collected at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratories, Life Sciences CAT, Sector 21.

All structures were determined by molecular replacement with Phaser (Afonine et al., 2010) as implemented in CCP4 (Winn et al., 2011) using the human dephosphorylated IRE1 $\alpha$ -$\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$-ADP complex (3P23; Ali et al., 2011) as a model. Refinement was performed by a combination of Refmac5 (Murshudov et al., 1997) and Phenix (Afonine et al., 2010) with manual adjustments to the model in COOT (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004). The quality of the model was monitored using Molprobity (Chen et al., 2010). Figures were made with PYMOL (Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY).

## Detection of XBP1 splicing by RT-PCR

Multiple myeloma cancer cell lines were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA) or DSMZ (Braunschweig, Germany). Cells were cultured in the appropriate culture medium supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in humidified incubators under 5\% $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Cells were seeded into 6 -well plates at a density of $1.5 \times 10^{6}$ cells/well in the appropriate media containing $1 \%$ FBS media and were treated with $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ tunicamycin (MP Biomedicals, Newport Beach, CA) for 1 h before the addition of GSK2850163 for 3 h (four hours total). For studies involving staurosporine (Sigma-Aldrich) treatment, cells were treated with staurosporine for 30 min followed by $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ tunicamycin for 1 h . RNA was collected using the Qiagen RNEasy Mini Kit. RNA was quanitated using a NanoDrop (Thermo Scientific, Philadelphia, PA) and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To generate cDNA, the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Life Technologies) was used. For PCR, a $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction included $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Master Mix (Promega Go-Taq 2X Master Mix), $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ each of forward and reverse primers ( $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ ), 9.5
$\mu \mathrm{L}$ of water, and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cDNA template. Primers for human XBP1 were: Forward: 5'-
CCTGGTTGCTGAAGAGGAGG-3' and Reverse: 5'-CCATGGGGAGATGTTCTGGG-3'. RTPCR conditions were: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $5 \mathrm{~min} ; 95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{sec} ; 58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{sec} ; 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{sec} ; 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min with 35 cycles of amplification. After RT-PCR, reactions were run on a 3\% agarose gel and visualized using SYBR ${ }^{\circledR}$ Safe DNA gel stain (Life Technologies) and a BioRad Imager (Hercules, CA).

## Western blot analysis

RPMI 8226 cells were seeded into 6 -well plates at a density of $2.0 \times 10^{6}$ cells/well in RPMI 1640 media containing 1\% FBS. Cells were treated with the same conditions as described above for XBP1 splicing detection by RT-PCR. To harvest protein lysates, cells were lysed with $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 1 X cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technologies, Danvers, MA) containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Cell lysates were quantified using the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific) and samples were read on a SPECTRAmax 190 instrument. Following quantitation, $40 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein was run on $4-12 \%$ Bis-Tris gels (LifeTechnologies), and protein was transferred onto $0.45 \mu \mathrm{M}$ nitrocellulose membranes (LifeTechnologies) using the BioRad semi-dry transfer blotting apparatus. Membranes were blocked for one hour using Li-Cor Odyssey Blocking Buffer then probed with the following antibodies overnight: pIRE1 $\alpha$ S724
(Abcam, \#ab48187; 1:1000), total IRE1 $\alpha$ (Abcam, \#ab37073; 1:1000), and GAPDH (Bethyl Laboratories, \#A300-639A; 1:2000). After washing, blots were incubated with donkey antirabbit IRDye-800CW secondary antibody (Li-Cor), and proteins were visualized using the Odyssey Imaging System.

## XBP1 transcriptional activity assay

PANC-1 cells were seeded into 6-well plates at a density of $5.0 \times 10^{3}$ cells/well in RPMI 1640 media containing $10 \%$ FBS. Cells were co-transfected with a pGL3-5xUPRE-luciferase reporter containing five repetitions of the XBP-1 DNA binding site (a kind gift from R. Prywes, Columbia University) and pRL-SV40 (Promega, Madison, WI) using FuGENE6 transfection reagent (Roche, Indianapolis, IN ). Forty eight hours later, cells were treated with $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ tunicamycin for 1 hour followed by GSK2850163 treatment for 16 hours. Luciferase expression was measured using Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay kit (Promega) and normalized to renilla expression levels.

## Hydrogen-Deuterium Exchange

pIRE1 $\alpha$ (547-977, $78 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was incubated with $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSK 2850163 or 1 mM staurosporine for at least 18 hours. $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of protein-inhibitor mixture was mixed with $18 \mu \mathrm{D} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at room temperature for 1 minute, after which $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 4 M guanidinium chloride in 1 M glycine buffer, pH 2.5 and $120 \mu \mathrm{l}$ formic acid were added and immediately transferred to an ice cold bath. All subsequent treatment and analysis was done at $2-4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of this solution was injected into a Waters Enzymate BEH pepsin $2.1 \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}$ column for digestion, then to a C18 column and into a LTQ XL Orbitrap mass spectrometer. The z/m values were calculated with XCalibur and compared with sequences in the MASCOT database. The analysis of the H/D exchange was done with HDExaminer. Tryptic digestion of all the samples gave a sequence coverage of at least $98 \%$ of the amino acid sequence.

Details provided in supplementary methods.

## RESULTS

## Crystallization of the novel inhibitor GSK2850163 bound to pIRE1 $\alpha$

Because of the relevance of the IRE1 $\alpha / \mathrm{XBP} 1$ pathway in human disease, we sought to identify small molecules that would inhibit IRE1 $\alpha$ RNase activity. GSK2850163 was discovered as a result of a high-throughput screening campaign to identify IRE1 $\alpha$-selective inhibitors of XBP1 splicing. It is a highly selective inhibitor with dual activity: it inhibits IRE1 $\alpha$ kinase activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=20 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and RNase activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=200 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ (Fig. 1A, Supplementary Table 1). In competition kinetic studies, GSK2850163 and a noncleavable RNA substrate demonstrated mutually exclusive binding to activated IRE1 $\alpha\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=200 \pm 20 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ (Supplementary Fig. 1). We hypothesized that this was due to bound GSK2850163 altering the preferred enzyme structure for RNA substrate binding (and vice versa) and not due to a physical overlapping of binding sites.

To investigate the mode of binding and to enable structure-guided optimization of GSK2850163, we determined the co-crystal structure of GSK2850163 with the C-terminal portion of the phosphorylated human IRE1 $\alpha$ protein (pIRE1 $\alpha$, residues 547-977, PDB ID: 4YZ9)
(Fig. 1B, Supplementary Fig. 2, Table 1). The structure of pIRE1 $\alpha$-GSK2850163 is of a "back-to-back" dimer with one inhibitor molecule bound to the kinase domain of each protomer in a pocket next to the kinase $\alpha \mathrm{C}$ helix, approximately $12 \AA$ from hinge region (Fig. 1C). GSK2850163 adopts a "U" shaped conformation with the tolyl and dichlorophenyl groups facing the inside of the protein and the spirodecane core partially solvent exposed with the piperidine ring (A-ring) in a chair conformation. Two key interactions with conserved kinase catalytic residues, Glu612 and Lys599, are observed. The urea nitrogen and the pyrrolidine nitrogen of

GSK2850163 form a hydrogen bond interaction with the side chain of Glu612, and the carbonyl oxygen of the urea of GSK2850163 interacts through a hydrogen bond with the side chain of Lys599.

GSK2850163 displaces the kinase activation loop of pIRE1 $\alpha$ such that the DFG motif is in the "out" conformation and is flipped by nearly $180^{\circ}$ occupying the ATP binding site (Fig. 1C). This clearly contrasts with our resolved structure of $\mathrm{pIRE} 1 \alpha-\mathrm{ADP}-\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (PDB ID: 4YZD) where the DFG motif is found in the "in" conformation and Phe712 occupies the same pocket where the GSK2850163 binds in the pIRE1 $\alpha$-GSK2850163 structure (Fig. 1D and Table 1). Phe712 makes a $\pi$ interaction with Tyr628 in a pocket lined by Val613, Leu616, Vals625, and Leu679. Superposition of the ADP-Mg ${ }^{2+}$ and GSK2850163-bound pIRE1 $\alpha$ complexes shows that GSK2850163 does not overlap with the ATP-binding site (data not shown). The structure of pIRE1 $\alpha$ in complex with $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$-ADP forms a "face-to-face" dimer across the symmetry planes with neighboring molecules (Supplementary Fig.3). Consistent with previously published structures, the kinase active sites are facing each other and are in an orientation and proximity favorable for trans autophosphorylation (3P23; Ali et al., 2011; 4PL3; Sanches et al., 2014). The present structure may possibly represent an early post-phosphoryl-transfer dimer, while the "face-to-face" structure of dephosphorylated human IRE1 $\alpha$ may represent a state just prior to phosphoryl-transfer.

## Structure activity relationship of GSK2850163

To provide supportive evidence for the binding mode of GSK2850163 observed in the crystal structure, we performed structure activity relationship (SAR) studies whereby we systematically modified the structure of the ligand and followed the effect by measuring pIRE1 $\alpha$

RNase inhibition. First, we observed that while the $S$-enantiomer is inactive and its conformation does not fit in the electron density map, the $R$-enantiomer inhibited the RNase activity in vitro with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Fig. 2A). The $R$-enantiomer is refined in the structure of the complex. Since the activity of the $R$-enantiomer is equally potent to the racemic compound and $S$ enantiomer is not active, the initial SAR study was performed with the racemic analogs.

Secondly, the NH of urea nitrogen and the pyrrolidine nitrogen are required to make a H bond with Glu612 (2.98Å), and any changes in the GSK2850163 (compound 1) molecule disrupting these specific H -bond interactions resulted in loss of activity. For example, replacement of the urea nitrogen (compound 2) or capping the NH with a methyl group (compound 3) caused a loss of potency (Fig. 2A). Similarly, conversion of a basic amine in pyrrolidine ring to a non basic amide yielded an inactive analog (compound 4, Fig. 2A).

Thirdly, in the binding mode of GSK2850163 in complex with pIRE1 $\alpha$ described here, the lipophilic groups at both ends of molecule (the tolyl and dichlorophenyl groups) are accommodated within the lipophilic pockets observed in the core crystal structure (Supplementary Fig. 2B) and are critical for the inhibitor's activity. All analogs with polar functionality, such as pyridines, were not active (compounds 5-6, Fig. 2B) or much less active (compounds $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 9}$, Fig. 2C). On the other hand, the lipophilic groups were well tolerated (compounds $\mathbf{8 - 1 4}, \mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ ) in the hydrophobic pocket. Deletion of one of the chlorines to form 3or 4-mono chloro analogs ( $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$, respectively) revealed that the 4 -chloro substitution was more tolerated than 3-position in term of potency (Fig. 2B). Replacement of 3-chloro with other groups, such as fluoro (11), methyl (12), or methoxy (13), provided equally potent analogs, but the trifluoromethyl group (14) caused a significant loss of potency. The tolyl group at the A-ring urea preferred lipophilic substitutions, and polar functionalities (e.g. pyridines (15-17) and
substituted pyridines (18-19)) were not tolerated. Deletion of the methyl group gave the simple phenyl analog 20 that maintained RNase activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.40 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)($ Fig. 2B). Replacement of the methyl group at the 4-position with other lipophilic groups, such as, chlorine (21), methoxy (22), fluorine (23) was well tolerated. The benzodioxole analog 24 exhibited an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 100 nM . These SAR study results are consistent with the binding mode of GSK2850163 to pIRE1 $\alpha$ observed in the crystal structure, and indicate that GSK2850163 functions as a kinase and RNase inhibitor of pIRE1 $\alpha$.

## Cellular activity of GSK2850163

We utilized a panel of eight multiple myeloma cell lines to test the effects of GSK2850163 on IRE1 $\alpha$ RNase activity. Increased IRE1 $\alpha$ activity has been observed in primary multiple myeloma specimens, and clinical studies have associated high levels of spliced XBP1 mRNA with poor patient survival (Reimold et al., 2001; Nakamura et al., 2006; Bagratuni, et al., 2010). To recapitulate an ER stress-induced environment in cell culture, tunicamycin, an inhibitor of N-linked glycosylation, was used (Yoshida et al., 2001). XBP1 mRNA is found primarily in the unspliced form under basal conditions. Upon ER stress stimulation, all cells induced varying degrees of XBP1 splicing that could be reversed following treatment with GSK2850163 (Fig. 3A). ER stress also induced increased autophosphorylation of IRE1 $\alpha$, which could be reduced in a dose-dependent manner by GSK2850163 (Fig. 3B). To determine if GSK2850163 could affect the transcriptional function of XBP1, cells expressing a reporter plasmid under the control of five tandem repeats of the Unfolded Protein Response Element (UPRE) motif were treated with tunicamycin followed by increasing doses of GSK2850163 (Wang et al., 2000). The UPRE sequence contains the binding site found at the promoter of

XBP1 target genes. Induction of ER stress by tunicamycin significantly increases XBP1 transcriptional activity in these cells, yet increasing concentrations of GSK2850163 are capable of reducing this activity (Fig. 3C). These data support our biochemical and structural evidence that GSK2850163 is an inhibitor of IRE1 $\alpha$, capable of inhibiting both kinase and RNase activities of IRE1 $\alpha$.

## Crystallization of staurosporine bound to $\mathrm{pIRE} \alpha$

To further understand the mechanism by which the RNase activity of IRE1 $\alpha$ could be modulated pharmacologically with kinase inhibitors, we sought to investigate the effect of the broad-spectrum kinase inhibitor staurosporine (STS) on IRE1 $\alpha$. It has been previously shown that STS inhibits IRE1 $\alpha$ kinase activity (Ali et al., 2011) and is likely to bind to the ATP-binding site of IRE1 $\alpha$ since it competed with the irreversible IRE1 $\alpha$ inhibitor, $4 \mu 8 \mathrm{C}$, preventing the formation of a Schiff base with the kinase active site residue Lys599 (Cross et al., 2012). We found that STS inhibited pIRE1 $\alpha$ kinase enzymatic activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=3 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ but had no effect on pIRE1a RNase activity in vitro (Fig. 4A). STS exhibited similar binding affinity towards phosphorylated $\operatorname{IRE} 1 \alpha\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=30 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and dephosphorylated $\operatorname{IRE} 1 \alpha\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=50 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ in a competitive binding assay (data not shown). Interestingly, incubation of STS with dephosphorylated (inactive) IRE1 $\alpha$ was capable of activating IRE1 $\alpha$ RNase activity (Fig. 4B). To test the effects of STS on IRE1 $\alpha$ RNase activity in a cellular context, RPMI 8226 multiple myeloma cells were treated with STS followed by tunicamycin to measure XBP1 splicing. STS treatment alone was sufficient to induce XBP1 splicing, similar to levels achieved with tunicamycin (Fig. 4C). Despite inducing XBP1 splicing, STS did not increase IRE1 $\alpha$ autophosphorylation. Instead, STS inhibited tunicamycin-induced autophosphorylation (Fig.

4D). STS treatment may also inhibit IRE1 $\alpha$ autophosphorylation below basal levels, but it was difficult to measure this convincingly by Western blot analysis. These observations, both biochemical and in cells, suggest that the effects of STS on IRE1 $\alpha$ phosphorylation and XBP1 splicing could be due to direct binding of STS to IRE1 $\alpha$ and not just due to general stress that could occur due to the broad-spectrum nature of the compound.

To understand the structural basis of the activation of the RNase activity of IRE1 $\alpha$ by staurosporine, we determined the crystal structure of the human pIRE1 $\alpha$-STS complex (PDB ID: 4YZC, Table 1). The pIRE1 $\alpha$-STS complex forms a back-to-back dimer with one STS molecule bound per protomer (Fig. 4E, Supplementary Fig. 4A). STS binds in the ATP-binding site of the kinase domain and interacts with hinge residues Glu643, Cys645, and His692 (Supplementary Fig. 4B). The activation loop containing phosphorylated Ser residues (pSer724, pSer726, and pSer729) that was previously disordered in both the pIRE1 $\alpha$-GSK2850163 and the pIRE1 $\alpha$-ADP complexes is well defined in the pIRE1 $\alpha$-STS complex (Supplementary Fig. 4C). pSer724 interacts with Asn750 via two H-bonds, pSer 726 is involved in a H -bond interaction with $\operatorname{Arg} 722(3.1 \AA)$ and $\operatorname{Arg} 728(3.3 \AA)$, and pS729 is interacting through a H-bond with Lys716 (2.7 $\AA)$ and $\operatorname{Arg} 687(2.5 \AA)$. A network of H -bonds extends from the serines in the activation loop to the $\alpha \mathrm{C}$ helix and into the active site DFG motif. Binding of STS to pIRE1 $\alpha$ locks the kinase domain in a conformation in which the ( $i$ ) activation loop is in the DFG (711-713)-in conformation; (ii) the DFG-aspartate interacts with His689 in the HRD motif in the catalytic loop; (iii) the conserved Leu616 in the $\alpha$ C helix interacts with the DFG-phenylalanine in the regulatory spine of the kinase; and (iv) Arg687 of the HRD motif interacts with pSer729 (Supplementary Fig. 4C). These are the signatures of a kinase in the active conformation
(Kornev and Taylor, 2010). Attempts to crystallize dephosphorylated IRE1 $\alpha$ with STS were not successful.

## Comparison of pIRE1 $\alpha$ dimer interactions and protein dynamics

To test the $\mathrm{pIRE} 1 \alpha$ dimer interactions observed in the structures, we determined the hydrogen-deuterium exchange of pIRE1 $\alpha$ in the presence or absence of either GSK2850163 or STS in solution (Supplementary Fig. 5). Changes in the labeling pattern can be related to changes in protein structure or dynamics resulting from a ligand binding event (Percy et al., 2012; Englander et al., 2003). By mapping the observed labeling onto the two co-crystal structures, we observed three areas where most of the structural changes occurred. The first region is in the vicinity of the ligand binding site (Supplementary Fig. 6A). Increased solvent exposure of the activation loop and the disruption of the conserved salt bridge Arg627 - Asp620 by GSK2850163 are both consistent with the displacement of the activation loop to the DFG-out conformation, and the changes in the $\alpha \mathrm{C}$ helix to the inactive conformation (Supplementary Fig. 6B). Secondly, in the presence of STS the residues at the kinase-RNase intramolecular domain interface show increased solvent exposure (Supplementary Fig. 6C). The loosening of the intramolecular interactions is consistent with the reorientation of the $\mathrm{pIRE} 1 \alpha$ dimer that leads to the dimerization of the RNase domains. Simultaneously, the decrease in overall labeling of the RNase domains occurs in concert with the conditions under which RNase domains dimerize (Fig. 5A and 5D). Third, in the presence of bound STS there is an increase in solvent exposure of the residues 900-916 (Supplementary Fig. 6D). These residues form a helix-loop element that interact directly with the RNA substrate and include the catalytically essential His910
(Korennykh et al., 2009; Dong et al., 2001). This apparent increased dynamic state of the helix-
loop catalytic element may be related to the catalytic readiness favored by STS but opposed by GSK2850163.

## Comparison of pIRE1 $\alpha$ co-crystal structures

A comparison between the pIRE1 $\alpha$-GSK2850163 and pIRE1 $\alpha$-STS complexes shows that both structures are back-to-back dimers (Fig. 5A). Binding of GSK2850163 causes the kinase $\alpha$ C helix (residues 610-619) to move to the inactive conformation by an average $4.4 \AA$ $\pm 1.0 \AA$ (range of $3.03 \AA$ to $6.40 \AA$ on superposition of the $\alpha \mathrm{C}$ helix carbon atoms) as compared with the position observed in the STS complex (Fig 5B). While the centers of mass of the kinase N-domains ( $21.5 \AA$ to $21.9 \AA$ ) and C-domains ( $43.1 \AA$ to $43.6 \AA$ ) remain constant, a nearly $20^{\circ}$ rotation of the domains relative to one another is observed in the two structures. A differential gap of nearly $4 \AA$ between the RNase domains in the structures of pIRE1 $\alpha$-GSK2850163 (29.7 1 ) and pIRE1 $\alpha$-STS ( $26.1 \AA$ ) is reflected in a different set of interactions across the RNase dimer interfaces. Hence, the RNase dimer interface in the pIRE1 $\alpha$-GSK2850163 complex is reduced by $58 \%\left(269 \AA^{2}\right.$ ) compared to the pIRE1 $\alpha$-STS complex ( $642 \AA^{2}$ ) (Fig. 5E). In pIRE1 $\alpha-$ GSK2850163, the RNase domains are noticeably separated such that the network of interactions across the dimer is no longer possible. The interactions between the protomers of the pIRE1 $\alpha$ GSK2850163 dimer occur mostly between the kinase domains (1,734 $\AA^{2}$ ), with less contact occurring between the RNase domains ( $269 \AA^{2}$ ). This separation of RNase domains is consistent with the biochemical and cellular data showing that GSK2850163 is a potent inhibitor of pIRE1 $\alpha$ RNase activity.

In the pIRE1 $\alpha$-STS complex, the RNase domains form a dimer with two sets of interdigitating H-bond interactions between His909, Asp847 and $\operatorname{Arg} 905$ (3.2-3.5 $\AA$ ), and
between $\operatorname{Arg} 955$, Glu836, and Asp927 (3.1-3.5A) that allow the key nuclease catalytic residues Tyr892, Arg905, Asn906, and His910 to be poised for action (Fig. 5D). Asp847, His909, Arg905 are highly conserved residues across multiple species (Dong et al., 2001). These interactions across the RNase dimer interface are also analogous to those observed previously for the activation of yeast Ire1 RNase activity by quercetin (3LJ0; Wiseman et al., 2010). In the structure of pIRE1 $\alpha$-GSK2850163, the RNase domains are separated; thus, the network of Hbonds between the RNase domains observed in the active pIRE1 $\alpha+$ STS dimer does not exist (Fig. 5C). Hence, the data from the co-crystal structures presented here suggest that the formation of interdigitating H -bonds and the stabilization of the RNase dimer are critical for the active RNase conformation and its enzymatic activity.

## DISCUSSION

Recent work has implicated the UPR in a number of diseases, most notably in cancer where UPR activation has been shown to function as a survival mechanism in cancer promoting tumor growth, regulating angiogenesis, and facilitating adaptation to hypoxia (Ma and Hendershot, 2004; Feldman et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2014). Specifically, the IRE1 $\alpha / X B P 1$ pathway has shown to be overexpressed in a variety of human cancers, and activation of the pathway has been shown to be essential for the survival of highly secretory multiple myeloma cells where the protein load is high (Koong et al., 2006; Feldman et al., 2005; Carrasco et al., 2007). These key findings, coupled with the possibility to modulate IRE1 $\alpha$ activity via targeting two potentially druggable domains, has made IRE1 $\alpha$ a very attractive target in drug discovery (Hetz et al., 2013; Maly and Papa, 2014; Harrington et al., 2014; Sanches et al., 2014; Joshi et al., 2015).

An increasing body of evidence indicates that IRE1 $\alpha$ inhibitors bound to the kinase domain invariably inhibit its ATPase activity, yet some kinase inhibitors inhibit the RNase activity while others activate its RNase activity. GSK2850163 was discovered in an attempt to identify IRE1 $\alpha$-selective inhibitors of XBP1 splicing that could regulate multiple myeloma cancer cells. It is a highly selective kinase inhibitor; a panel of 284 kinases was assayed to determine the specificity of GSK2850163, and only two additional kinases were weakly inhibited by GSK2850163: Ron (IC50 $=4.4 \mu \mathrm{M})$, and FGFR1 V561M $($ IC50 $=17 \mu \mathrm{M})$ (Supplementary Table 1). GSK2850163 inhibits IRE1 $\alpha$ RNase activity due to the unique way the molecule binds in the kinase domain active site. GSK2850163 binds deep in a pocket next to the kinase $\alpha \mathrm{C}$ helix, approximately $12 \AA$ from the hinge region which is clearly distinct from the

ADP binding site. The molecule adopts a U-shape conformation when bound to $\operatorname{pIRE} 1 \alpha$ that could only be achieved with the $R$-stereoisomer (Supplementary Fig. 2A). Modeling of the $S$ stereoisomer places the di-fluoro benzene outside the electron density and clashes with the protein. Similarly, flipping the U-shaped molecule to the reverse orientation places the spirodecane within the electron density, but the 4-bond length between the spirodecane and the tolyl group leads to a clash with Leu616 and the 2-bond length is too short and causes a clash between the di-fluoro benzene and Lys599 instead of the H-bond when in the modeled orientation. Based on this and the SAR studies performed, it is clear that only the $R$-stereoisomer in the modeled conformation and orientation is capable of fitting the electron density, avoiding clashes, and engaging with Glu612 and Lys599.

The GSK2850163 mode of binding differs from classic ATP-competitive inhibitors (reviewed in Dar and Shokat, 2011). Inhibitors exemplified by APY29 (DFG-in and the $\alpha$ C helix in the active conformation), activate IRE1 $\alpha$ RNase activity (Korennykh et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2012). In contrast, inhibitors like KIRA6 and several close analogs inhibit IRE1 $\alpha$ kinase and RNase activities and possibly prevent dimer association (Wang et al., 2012; Ghosh et al., 2014). While co-crystal structures for KIRA6 are not available, a close analog was recently cocrystallized with the c-Src kinase domain demonstrating a shift in the $\alpha$ C-helix 'out' to the inactive conformation (PDB ID 3QLF). Thus, it is possible that KIRA6 invokes a similar conformational change when bound to IRE1 $\alpha$. Similarly, another inhibitor recently described by Amgen potently inhibited IRE1 $\alpha$ kinase and RNase activity and was co-crystallized with a dephosphorylated IRE $\alpha$ monomer (Harrington et al., 2014). The lack of IRE1 $\alpha$ dimer structure here could be due to the compound either preventing dimerization or stabilizing a monomeric form of IRE1 $\alpha$. While previously it was suggested that dimerization/oligomerization occurs after
autophosphorylation, recent crystal structures of dephosphorylated human IRE1 $\alpha$ dimers demonstrate that dimerization can precede phosphorylation in both "face-to-face" and "back-toback" configurations (Ali et al., 2011; Joshi et al., 2015). It should also be noted that this molecule shifted the $\alpha$ C-helix 'out.' Hence, one commonality between this molecule, GSK2850163, and the KIRA6 analogs, is that all these inhibitors shift the $\alpha$ C-helix to an inactive conformation which may likely be a requirement for $\operatorname{IRE} 1 \alpha$ RNase inactivation.

Aside from kinase domain inhibitors, two other classes of IRE1 $\alpha$ inhibitors have been characterized that target other potential drug pockets in the IRE1 $\alpha$ protein: quercetin and salicylaldehyde-based inhibitors. Quercetin binds uniquely to yeast Ire1 in a pocket at the enzyme-dimer interface termed the Q -site, thereby stabilizing Ire1 in an active conformation that augments RNase activity (Wiseman et al., 2010). While quercetin has been shown to be a broad spectrum kinase inhibitor and may potentially target the nucleotide binding site of Ire1, it did not inhibit Ire1 autophosphorylation. Independently, a considerable amount of work has been conducted to target IRE1 $\alpha$ RNase activity directly (Maly and Papa, 2014; Sanches et al., 2014). These salicylaldehyde-based inhibitors contain a reactive electrophile that most likely covalently modifies Lys907 at the IRE1 $\alpha$ RNase active site. It is believed that these inhibitors should not affect IRE1 $\alpha$ autophosphorylation or dimerization. GSK2850163 is the first molecule that inhibits both kinase and RNase activity by binding to phosphorylated IRE1 $\alpha$ and inducing a unique long-range conformation change which alters the preferred enzyme structure for RNA substrate binding.

The wealth of data on the dimeric/oligomeric state of IRE1as a function of phosphorylation and the effects of various classes of kinase inhibitors does not fully explain the
details of the conformational changes required to cause modulation of IRE1 $\alpha$ RNase activity. The hydrogen-deuterium exchange (HDX) rate, which is dependent on both structural and conformational changes, is very well suited to reveal these details and has the resolution necessary to pinpoint the required conformational changes in solution state. Our HDX data is consistent with the model of RNase inhibition suggested by the pIRE1 $\alpha$-GSK2850163 co-crystal structure. The differences observed in labeling overlap with the changes observed in the crystal state. The critical observation of the conserved salt bridge between Arg627 and Asp620 in the kinase domain being disrupted by GSK2850163 is highly consistent with the changes in the $\alpha \mathrm{C}$ helix to the 'out' (inactive) conformation and the reorientation of the $\mathrm{pIRE} 1 \alpha$ dimer to the inactive form. By the same analysis, the reverse is true in the activation of the RNase.

A comparison of the yeast Ire1 "back to back" dimers with the human pIRE1 $\alpha$-STS dimer demonstrates that the kinase domain DFG-loop is in the "in" conformation, the $\alpha \mathrm{C}$ helix is in the active conformation, and the RNase domains are engaged through a network of H -bond interactions in these structures that coincide with conditions of RNase activation. Minor differences are observed between the pIRE1 $\alpha+$ STS dimer and the three yeast Ire1 structures (PDB IDs 2RIO; 3FBV; 3LJ0) (r.m.s. on $\mathrm{C} \alpha=1.9-2.1 \AA$ ); however, differences are even greater when comparisons are made with the pIRE1 $\alpha$-GSK2850163 dimer (r.m.s. on $\mathrm{C} \alpha=3.3-3.4 \AA$ ). GSK2850163 binding results in shifting the structure of the kinase domain to the DFG-out, $\alpha \mathrm{C}$ helix inactive conformation, and the RNase domains of the dimer are rotated away from each other. This indicates that the RNase active forms of yeast and human IRE1 are markedly different from the RNase inactive pIRE1 $\alpha$-GSK2850163 dimer. While the key interactions in the RNase domains are not mediated by identical residues across species, they are essential.

Mutagenesis studies have shown that these interfacial residues are critical for maintaining RNase activity (Lee et al., 2008).

Structural similarities are also observed between the human phosphorylated (4YZD) and dephosphorylated (3P23) IRE1 $\alpha$-ADP- $-\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ structures (r.m.s. on $\mathrm{C} \alpha=0.5 \AA$ ). In both cases, the dimers are "face-to-face" with the RNase domains separated in an inactive conformation. Likewise, $\mathrm{pIRE} 1 \alpha-\mathrm{ADP}-\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and mouse IRE1 complexed with the RNase domain inhibitor, MKC9989, (4PL3) are similar in dimeric structure (r.m.s on $\mathrm{C} \alpha=0.8 \AA$ ). The largest differences are located in a loop formed by residues 654-659 in the kinase domain. This stretch of amino acids is engaged in intermolecular contacts within the neighboring molecule of the asymmetric unit in the ADP complex. It is worth noting that previous in vitro studies have shown that ADP bound to IRE1 $\alpha$ can affect dimerization and/or reduce RNase activity of both mouse and human IRE1 $\alpha$ (Prischi et al., 2014; Sanches et al., 2014).

The pIRE1 $\alpha$-GSK2850163 and pIRE1 $\alpha$-STS co-crystal structures were also compared to two recently reported human "back-to-back" dimers of IRE1 $\alpha$ : one in apo form (4Z7G) and one in an inhibitor-bound form (4Z7H). In these two structures, the RNase domains are not engaging with each other across the dimer interface and more closely resemble the pIRE1 $\alpha+$ GSK2850163 dimer (overall dimer r.m.s. on $\mathrm{C} \alpha=1.6 \AA$ ) than the pIRE1 $\alpha+$ STS dimer (overall dimer r.m.s. on $\mathrm{C} \alpha=2.7 \AA$ ). Despite this, the imidazopyridine molecule, compound 3 , interacts with the hinge and the activation loop (DFG-in) in a similar fashion as staurosporine (r.m.s. on $\mathrm{C} \alpha=0.9 \AA$ ) and behaves as a type I kinase inhibitor (Joshi et al., 2015). GSK2850163 and compound 3 do not occupy overlapping pockets. This structural observation is at odds with other type I inhibitor bound IRE1 structures. A comparison of the IRE1 $\alpha$ apo and IRE1 $\alpha$-imidazopyridine complex
indicates that they are nearly identical (r.m.s. on $\mathrm{Ca}=0.6 \mathrm{~A}$ ). This discrepancy may be due to the way the experiments were performed, namely that the inhibitor-bound form was resolved by soaking into the pre-formed apo crystals as opposed to performing co-crystallization.

The characterization of the first co-crystal structure of human phosphorylated IRE1 $\alpha$ and its complex with a new class of kinase inhibitor has revealed a novel mode of action for the inhibition of $\mathrm{pIRE} 1 \alpha$ kinase and RNase activity. The comparisons made between known structures across various species and with inhibitors that have differential effects on IRE1 $\alpha$ RNase activity should provide insights into the molecular mechanisms responsible for activation and inhibition of IRE1 $\alpha$ RNase activity. These new data should also provide a feasible path to enable the design and use of pharmacological agents that differentially affect IRE1 $\alpha / \mathrm{XBP} 1$ signaling.

Coordinates for the pIRE $1 \alpha+$ GSK 2350163 , pIRE1 $\alpha+$ ADP, and pIRE1 $\alpha+$ STS co-crystal structures have been deposited to the Protein Data Bank with the respective accession codes: PDB ID 4YZ9, 4YZD, and 4YZC.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the members of the IRE1 $\alpha$ drug discovery program and the Computational and Structural Chemistry Department for fruitful discussions and insightful comments on the manuscript.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Participated in research design: Evans, Heerding, Buser, Su, DeYoung

Conducted experiments: Concha, Smallwood, Bonnette, Totoritis, Federowicz, Campobasso, Choudhry, Shuster, Evans, Su, DeYoung

Contributed new reagents or analytic tools: Qi, Chen, Sweitzer, Shuster, Evans, Ralph Performed data analysis: Concha, Smallwood, Totoritis, Zhang, Yang, Choudhry, Heerding, Su, DeYoung

Wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript: Concha, Buser, Su, DeYoung

## REFERENCES

Ali MM, Bagratuni T, Davenport EL, Nowak PR, Silva-Santisteban MC, Hardcastle A, McAndrews C, Rowlands MG, Morgan GJ, and Aherne W et al. (2011) Structure of the Ire1 autophosphorylation complex and implications for the unfolded protein response. EMBO J 30:894-905.

Afonine PV, Mustyakimov M, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Moriarty NW, Langan P, and Adams PD (2010) Joint X-ray and neutron refinement with phenix.refine. Acta Cryst D Biol Crystallogr 66:1153-1163.

Bagratuni T, Wu P, Gonzalez de Castro D, Davenport EL, Dickens NJ, Walker BA, Boyd K, Johnson DC, Gregory W, and Morgan GJ et al. (2010) XBP1s levels are implicated in the biology and outcome of myeloma mediating different clinical outcomes to thalidomidebased treatments. Blood 116:250-253.

Calfon M, Zeng H, Urano F, Till JH, Hubbard SR, Harding HP, Clark SG, and Ron D (2002) IRE1 couples endoplasmic reticulum load to secretory capacity by processing the XBP-1 mRNA. Nature 415:92-96.

Carrasco DR, Sukhdeo K, Protopopova M, Sinha R, Enos M, Carrasco DE, Zheng M, Mani M, Henderson J, and Pinkus GS et al. (2007) The differentiation and stress response factor XBP-1 drives multiple myeloma pathogenesis. Cancer Cell 11:349-360.

Chen VB, Arendall WB 3rd, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, Kapral GJ, Murray LW, Richardson JS, and Richardson DC (2010) MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. Acta Cryst D Biol Crystallogr 66:12-21.

Chen X, Iliopoulos D, Zhang Q, Tang Q, Greenblatt MB, Hatziapostolou M, Lim E, Tam WL, Ni M , and Chen Y et al. (2014) XBP1 promotes triple-negative breast cancer by controlling the HIF1 $\alpha$ pathway. Nature 508:103-107.

Cross BC, Bond PJ, Sadowski PG, Jha BK, Zak J, Goodman JM, Silverman RH, Neubert TA, Baxendale IR, and Ron D et al. (2012) The molecular basis for selective inhibition of unconventional mRNA splicing by an IRE1-binding small molecule. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109:E869-E878.

Dar AC and Shokat KM (2011) The evolution of protein kinase inhibitors from antagonists to agonists of cellular signaling. Annи Rev Biochem 80:769-795.

Dong B, Niwa M, Walter P, and Silverman RH (2001) Basis for regulated RNA cleavage by functional analysis of RNase L and Ire1p. RNA 7:361-373.

Emsley PP and Cowtan K (2004) Features and development of Coot. Acta Cryst D Biol Crystallogr 66:486-501.

Englander JJ, Del Mar C, Li W, Englander SW, Kim JS, Stranz DD, Hamuro Y, and Woods VL Jr. (2003) Protein structure change studied by hydrogen-deuterium exchange, functional labeling, and mass spectrometry. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:7057-7062.

Feldman DE, Chauhan V, and Koong AC (2005) The unfolded protein response: a novel component of the hypoxic stress response in tumors. Mol Cancer Res 3:597-605.

Ghosh R, Wang L, Wang ES, Perera BG, Igbaria A, Morita S, Prado K, Thamsen M, Caswell D, and Macias H et al. (2014) Allosteric inhibition of the IRE1 $\alpha$ RNase preserves cell viability and function during endoplasmic reticulum stress. Cell 158:534-548.

Harding HP, Calfon M, Urano F, Novoa I, and Ron D (2002) Transcriptional and translational control in the mammalian unfolded protein response. Аппи Rev Cell Dev Biol 18:575599.

Harrington PE, Biswas K, Malwitz D, Tasker AS, Mohr C, Andrews KL, Dellamaggiore K, Kendall R, Beckmann H, and Jaeckel P et al. (2014) Unfolded Protein Response in Cancer: IRE1 $\alpha$ Inhibition by Selective Kinase Ligands Does Not Impair Tumor Cell Viability. ACS Med Chem Lett 6:68-72.

Hetz C, Chevet E, and Harding HP (2013) Targeting the unfolded protein response in disease. Nat Rev Drug Discov 12:703-719.

Hollien J and Weissman JS (2006) Decay of endoplasmic reticulum-localized mRNAs during the unfolded protein response. Science 313:104-107.

Joshi A, Newbatt Y, McAndrew PC, Stubbs M, Burke R, Richards MW, Bhatia C, Caldwell JJ, McHardy T, and Collins I et al. (2015) Molecular mechanisms of human IRE1 activation through dimerization and ligand binding. Oncotarget Apr 18. [Epub ahead of print].

Koong AC, Chauhan V, and Romero-Ramirez L (2006) Targeting XBP-1 as a novel anti-cancer strategy. Cancer Biol Ther 5:756-759.

Korennykh AV, Egea PF, Korostelev AA, Finer-Moore J, Zhang C, Shokat KM, Stroud RM, and Walter P (2009) The unfolded protein response signals through highorder assembly of Ire1. Nature 457:687-693.

Kornev AP and Taylor SS (2010) Defining the conserved internal architecture of a protein kinase. Biochim Biophys Acta 1804:440-444.

Lee AH, Iwakoshi NN, and Glimcher LH (2003) XBP-1 regulates a subset of endoplasmic reticulum resident chaperone genes in the unfolded protein response. Mol Cell Biol 23:7448-7459.

Lee K, Tirasophon W, Shen X, Michalak M, Prywes R, Okada T, Yoshida H, Mori K, and Kaufman RJ (2002) IRE1-mediated unconventional mRNA splicing and S2P-mediated ATF6 cleavage merge to regulate XBP1 in signaling the unfolded protein response. Genes Dev 16:452-466.

Lee KP, Dey M, Neculai D, Cao C, Dever TE, and Sicheri F (2008) Structure of the dual enzyme Ire1 reveals the basis for catalysis and regulation in nonconventional RNA splicing. Cell 132:89-100.

Ma Y and Hendershot LM (2004) The role of the unfolded protein response in tumor development: friend or foe?. Nat Rev Cancer 4:966-977.

Maly DJ and Papa FR (2104) Druggable sensors of the unfolded protein response. Nat Chem Biol 10:892-901.

Murshudov GNG, Vagin AA, and Dodson EJ (1997) Refinement of macromolecular structures by the maximum-likelihood method. Acta Cryst D Biol Crystallogr 53:240-255.

Nakamura M, Gotoh T, Okuno Y, Tatetsu H, Sonoki T, Uneda S, Mori M, Mitsuya H, and Hata H (2006) Activation of the endoplasmic reticulum stress pathway is associated with survival of myeloma cells. Leuk Lymphoma 47:531-539.

Percy AJ, Rey M, Burns KM, and Shriemer DC (2012) Probing protein interactions with hydrogen/deuterium exchange and mass spectrometry-a review. Anal Chim Acta 721:721.

Prischi F, Nowak PR, Carrara M, and Ali MM (2014) Phosphoregulation of Ire1 RNase splicing activity. Nat Commun 5:doi: 10.1038/ncomms4554.

Reimold AM, Iwakoshi NN, Manis J, Vallabhajosyula P, Szomolanyi-Tsuda E, Gravallese EM, Friend D, Grusby MJ, Alt F, and Glimcher LH (2001) Plasma cell differentiation requires the transcription factor XBP-1. Nature 412:300-307.

Ron D (2002) Translational control in the endoplasmic reticulum stress response. J Clin Invest 110:1383-1388.

Rouschop KM, van den Beuken T, Dubois L, Niessen H, Bussink J, Savelkouls K, Keulers T, Mujcic H, Landuyt W, and Voncken JW, et al. (2010) The unfolded protein response protects human tumor cells during hypoxia through regulation of the autophagy genes MAP1LC3B and ATG5. J Clin Invest 120:127-141.

Sanches M, Duffy NM, Talukdar M, Thevakumaran N, Chiovitti D, Canny MD, Lee K, Kurinov I, Uehling D, and Al-awar R et al. (2014) Structure and mechanism of action of the hydroxy-aryl-aldehyde class of IRE1 endoribonuclease inhibitors. Nat Commun 5:doi: 10.1038/ncomms5202.

Schroder M and Kaufman RJ (2005) The mammalian unfolded protein response, Annu Rev Biochem 74:739-789.

Tirasophon W, Welihinda AA, and Kaufman RJ (1998) A stress response pathway from the endoplasmic reticulum to the nucleus requires a novel bifunctional protein kinase/endoribonuclease (Ire1p) in mammalian cells. Genes Dev 12:1812-1824.

Urano F, Wang X, Bertolotti A, Zhang Y, Chung P, Harding HP, and Ron D (2000) Coupling of stress in the ER to activation of JNK protein kinases by transmembrane protein kinase IRE1. Science 287:664-666.

Walter P and Ron D (2011) The unfolded protein response: from stress pathway to homeostatic regulation. Science 334:1081-1086.

Wang L, Perera BG, Hari SB, Bhhatarai B, Backes BJ, Seeliger MA, Schürer SC, Oakes SA, Papa FR, and Maly DJ (2012) Divergent allosteric control of the IRE1alpha endoribonuclease using kinase inhibitors. Nat Chem Biol 8:982-989.

Wang Y, Shen J, Arenzana N, Tirasophon W, Kaufman RJ, and Prywes R (2000) Activation of ATF6 and an ATF6 DNA binding site by the endoplasmic reticulum stress response. $J$ Biol Chem 275:27013-27020.

Wasilko DJ and Lee SE (2006) TIPS: Titerless Infected-Cells Preservation and Scale-Up. BioProc J 5:29-32.

Winn MD, Ballard CC, Cowtan KD, Dodson EJ, Emsley P, Evans PR, Keegan RM, Krissinel EB, Leslie AG, and McCoy A (2011) Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. Acta Cryst D Biol Crystallogr 67:235-242.

Wiseman RL, Zhang Y, Lee KP, Harding HP, Haynes CM, Price J, Sicheri F, and Ron D (2010) Flavonol activation defines an unanticipated ligand-binding site in the kinase-RNase domain of IRE1. Mol Cell 38:291-304.

Woehlbier U and Hetz C (2011) Modulating stress responses by the UPRosome: A matter of life and death. Trends Biochem Sci 36:329-337.

Yoshida H, Matsui T, Yamamoto A, Okada T, and Mori K (2001) XBP1 mRNA is induced by ATF6 and spliced by IRE1 in response to ER stress to produce a highly active transcription factor. Cell 107:881-891.

## FOOTNOTES

All authors are past or present employees of GlaxoSmithKline. No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed by the authors.

## FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. GSK2850163 binds to human pIRE1 $\alpha$ and inhibits XBP1 splicing. (A) GSK2850163 is a selective inhibitor of $\mathrm{pIRE} 1 \alpha$ kinase activity and RNase activity. It exhibits no or weak inhibition towards a wide range of the other kinases (shown here is the UPR kinase, PERK) and nucleases (shown here is RNase L, its closest homolog). Refer to Materials and Methods for assay details. (B) Overall structure of the pIRE1 $\alpha$-GSK2850163 (PDB ID: 4YZ9) back-to-back dimer. Inset: chemical structure of GSK2850163 ((R)-2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-N-(4-methylbenzyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxamide). (C) GSK2850163 (yellow) binds next to the $\alpha \mathrm{C}$ helix and displaces the activation loop (DFG-out) such that it is occupying the ATPbinding site represented by its surface. GSK2850163 makes H-bond interactions with key kinase catalytic residues Lys599 (K599) and Glu612 (E612). (D) For comparison, the DFG loop is in the "in" conformation in the structure of pIRE1 $\alpha-\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$-ADP (PDB ID: 4YZD), and Phe712 (F712) occupies the same pocket where the GSK2850163 would bind. For both (C) and (D), the asterix (*) indicates the C-terminal end of the visible portion of the activation loop.

Fig. 2. Selected SAR results of compound 1 (GSK2850163). (A). Replacement of the urea nitrogen with a carbon atom (compound 2) or capping the NH with a methyl group (compound 3) caused a loss of potency. Conversion of basic amine in pyrrolidine ring to a non basic amide yielded an inactive analog (compound 4). (B and C). The lipophilic groups at the tolyl and dichlorophenyl groups were critical for the compound's activity. On the other hand, the polar functionalities, such as pyridines, were not tolerated.

Fig. 3. Inhibition of IRE1 $\alpha$ kinase and RNase activity in cells treated with GSK2850163. (A)
Multiple myeloma cell lines were left untreated or treated with tunicamycin for 1 hour.

GSK2850163 (GSK163) was then added for 3 hours at the indicated doses. Total RNA was harvested and RT-PCR was performed using human-specific XBP1 primers flanking the splice site that distinguish between unspliced $\left(\mathrm{XBP}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)$ and spliced $\left(\mathrm{XBP}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ XBP1 mRNA. (B) RPMI 8226 cells were left untreated or treated with tunicamycin and/or GSK2850163 as described in (A). Changes in IRE1 $\alpha$ phosphorylation were detected by western blot using a phospho-specific antibody raised against Ser 724 in the kinase activation loop. (C) XBP1s transcriptional activity is reduced in cells treated with GSK2850163. PANC-1 cells were transfected with a 5X UPRE luciferase reporter and pRL-SV40 renilla constructs. 48 hours later, cells were left untreated or treated with tunicamycin for 1 hour, followed by GSK2850163 treatment for 16 hours. UPRE luciferase expression was measured and normalized to renilla expression levels.

Fig, 4. Structure and activity of the human pIRE1 $\alpha$-STS (STS) complex. (A) STS inhibits pIRE1 $\alpha$ kinase activity but not RNase activity. (B) Titration curve showing that the nuclease activity of dephosphorylated IRE1 $\alpha$ (IRE1 $\alpha(-\mathrm{P})$ ) is stimulated by STS in the RNase assay. (C) STS induces XBP1 splicing in RPMI 8826 cells. Cells were treated with STS for 30 minutes, followed by tunicamycin (tuni, $5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) treatment in half of the cells for 1 hour. Total RNA was harvested and RT-PCR was performed using human-specific XBP1 primers flanking the splice site that distinguish between unspliced (XBP1 ${ }_{u}$ ) and spliced ( $\mathrm{XBP} 1_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) XBP1 mRNA. (D) STS inhibits tunicamycin-induced IRE1 $\alpha$ phosphorylation. RPMI 8226 cells were treated as described in (c). Changes in IRE1 $\alpha$ phosphorylation were detected by western blot using a phospho-specific antibody raised against Ser 724 in the kinase activation loop. (E) pIRE1 $\alpha$-STS dimer (PDB ID: 4YZC) in the back-to-back configuration with STS bound in the ATP-binding site.

Fig. 5. Conformational changes to pIRE1 $\alpha$ upon ligand binding. (A) Left panel, increased interactions along the kinase dimer interface and decreased surface area contact of the RNase domains in pIRE1 $\alpha+$ GSK2850163; Right panel, pIRE1 $\alpha$-STS structure where the interactions are tighter between the RNase domains. A carton model depicts a rocking motion between inactive (left) and active (right) pIRE1 $\alpha$ dimers. (B) Residues 610-619 that make up the $\alpha \mathrm{C}$ helix move $4.4 \AA \pm 1.0$ between pIRE1 $\alpha$-STS (green) and pIRE1 $\alpha$-GSK2850163 (salmon). The structures of the kinase domains were superimposed using $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ atoms of the residues forming the hinge region. (C) Bottom-up view of the pIRE1 $\alpha$-GSK2850163 RNase domains. There is one pair of residues interacting across the RNase dimer interface which covers a contact area of $269 \AA^{2}$. Glu913 on chain A (green) and Arg905 on chain B (cyan) form a salt bridge, but the equivalent interaction (i.e., Glu913 of chain B and $\operatorname{Arg} 905$ of chain A) is not possible because the side chain of Glu913 in chain B is disordered. (D) Bottom-up view of the pIRE1 $\alpha$-STS RNase domains. There are two sets of interdigitating H-bond interactions, the first set involves His909, Asp847 and Arg905 (3.2-3.5A), and the second is between Arg955, Glu836, and Asp927 (3.1-3.5Å). (E) Calculated buried surface area of contact for the two pIRE1 $\alpha$ dimers and the individual domains.
(Data Supplement 1) Crystal Structure of human phosphorylated IRE1alpha in complex with a type III kinase inhibitor (GSK2850163A) (PDB ID: 4YZ9).
(Data Supplement 2) Crystal Structure of human phosphorylated IRE1alpha in complex with ADP- $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (PDB ID: 4YZD).
(Data Supplement 3) Crystal structure of pIRE1alpha in complex with staurosporine (PDB ID: 4YZC).
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TABLES
Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics (molecular replacement)

|  | pIRE1 $\alpha+$ GSK2850163 | pIRE1 $\alpha+$ ADP | pIRE1 $\alpha+$ STS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data collection |  |  |  |
| Space group | $P 22_{1}{ }_{1}$ | C2 | $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ |
| Cell dimensions |  |  |  |
| $a, b, c$ ( A$)$ | 244.0, 77.8, 88.3 | 191.8, 122.5, 77.9 | 49.3, 155.3, 155.6 |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90, 90, 90 | 90.0, 107.1, 90.0 | 90, 90, 90 |
| Molecules/a.u. | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Resolution ( $\AA$ ) | 2.45 ( 2.54-2.45) | 3.14 (3.25-3.10) | 2.62 (2.71-2.62) |
| $R_{\text {sym }}$ or $R_{\text {merge }}$ | 0.073 (0.592) | 0.087 (0.569) | 0.118 (0.565) |
| I / $\sigma I$ | 22.9 (3.1) | 15.2 (2.4) | 22.7 (5.6) |
| Completeness (\%) | 96.7 (89.7) | 100.0 (100.0) | 100.0 (100.0) |
| Redundancy | 6.3 (6.3) | 3.8 (3.8) | 11.8 (11.6) |
| Refinement |  |  |  |
| Resolution (A) | $30.0-2.45$ | 45.6-3.14 | 40.0-2.62 |
| No. reflections | 59,654 | 60,654 | 41,900 |
| $R_{\text {work }} / R_{\text {free }}$ | 0.24/0.29 | 0.20/0.25 | 0.25/0.29 |
| No. atoms |  |  |  |
| Protein | 17,853 | 9.822 | 12,041 |
| Ligand/ion | 90 | 90 | 70 |
| Water | 81 | 35 | 62 |
| $B$-factors |  |  |  |
| Protein | 57 | 31 | 55 |
| Ligand/ion | 57 | 24 | 23 |
| Water | 51 | 10 | 48 |
| R.m.s. deviations |  |  |  |
| Bond lengths (A) | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.002 |
| Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 0.93 | 1.09 | 1.15 |

Note: Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.
Each dataset was collected from a single crystal
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| Comp \# | Ar | RNase IC <br> 50 <br> $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 3,4-diCIPh | 0.20 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 4-Me-3-pyridyl | $>25$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 4-Cl-2-pyridyl | $>25$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 3-CIPh | 10 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 4-CIPh | 0.40 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 4-MePh | 1.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 4-CF3 Ph | 0.32 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 4-Cl, 3-FPh | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 4-Cl, 3-MePh | 0.32 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $4-\mathrm{Cl}, 3-\mathrm{OMePh}$ | 0.20 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 4-Cl, 3-CF 3 Ph | 10 |

C
SAR Results of A-ring Aryl group

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comp \# | Ar | RNase IC $_{50}$ ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) |
| 1 | 4-MePh | 0.20 |
| 15 | 4-pyridyl | 6.3 |
| 16 | 3-pyridyl | 7.9 |
| 17 | 2-pyridyl | 12.5 |
| 18 | 4-Me-3-pyridyl | 12.5 |
| 19 | 4-Me-2-pyridyl | 10 |
| 20 | Ph | 0.40 |
| 21 | 4-CIPh | 0.50 |
| 22 | 4-OMePh | 0.50 |
| 23 | 4-F Ph | 0.79 |
| 24 |  | 0.1 |
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## SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS

## General synthetic experimental procedures

Proton Nuclear Magnetic Resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker UltraShield ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} 400$ spectrometer operating at 400.132 MHz using a 5 mm QNP probe unless otherwise specified. The 2D NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 600 spectrometer operating at 600.13 MHz using a 5 mm TXI cryoprobe. Spectra were taken in the indicated solvent at ambient temperature, and the chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm $(\delta))$ relative to the lock of the solvent used. Resonance patterns are recorded with the following notations: br (broad), s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), and m (multiplet). Second order spectra in which coupling cannot be obtained by inspection are reported as multiplets, with the center of the signal indicated by the $\delta$ value given.

Starting reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification unless otherwise specified. Microwave reactions were performed in a Biotage ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Initiator Sixty reactor using the manufacturer supplied vials and caps. Microwave reaction times are for time held at the specified temperature.

Normal phase column chromatography was carried out in the indicated solvent system (in the percentage of volume) using pre-packed silica gel cartridges (Reveleris ${ }^{\circledR}$, Analogix ${ }^{\circledR}$ or Isco Redisep Gold) on the Analogix Intelliflash280® , Varian Intelliflash 971-FP, or Combiflash Companion purification systems. Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) visualization was performed using 254 nm wavelength ultraviolet light. Preparative HPLC purifications (reversedphase) were performed using a Gilson 215 Liquid Handler with Trilution software, typically with a SunFire(TM) Prep C18 OBD(TM) $5 \mu \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{~mm} \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ column. A 7 min gradient followed
by a 3 min $100 \%$ organic hold time ( $47 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 30 \%$ gradient of $\mathrm{ACN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ ) with UV detection at 254 nm was typically used.

LCMS M+H signals were consistent with expected molecular weight for all reported products. LCMS data were acquired from a Waters Acquity Ultra Performance LC system with UV detector (Waters Acquity PDA) set to 210-350 nm. Samples were injected ( $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) onto a Thermo Hypersil Gold column (C18, 20x2.1 mm, 1.9 u particle diam.) maintained at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A linear gradient ( 1.9 min ) from $2 \%$ to $95 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.02 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ with a flow rate of 1.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ was used. The mass spectra (MS) data were acquired in positive ion mode using a Water Acquity SQD (single quadrupole) mass spectrometer with a positive electrospray ionization source.

The compounds in Fig. 2B were prepared straightforwardly according to the route depicted in Scheme 1. Urea formation of the commercially available N2-Boc protected diazospirodecane in the presence of isocyanate followed by acid deprotection afforded the key intermediate. Reductive amination of intermediate with the corresponding aldehyde delivered the desired analogs. Compound 3 and the compounds in Fig. 2C were prepared similarly from the other Boc protected diazaspirodecane starting material with the reverse of steps of urea formation and reductive amination as depicted in Scheme 1. In the case that isocyanate is not commercially available, the urea formation was carried out by the action of triphosgene with the corresponding amines or microwave-assisted phenyl carbamate formation following by the treatment with the corresponding amines.


Scheme 1. General Synthetic Route

## Preparation and characterization of compounds 1-24

(R)-2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-N-(4-methylbenzyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-
carboxamide (1). To a solution of tert-butyl 2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxylate (500 mg, 2.080 mmol ) and 3,4-dichlorobenzaldehyde ( $364 \mathrm{mg}, 2.080 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 5 mL ) was added sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $882 \mathrm{mg}, 4.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The
residue was taken up in EtOAc ( $\sim 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the resulting solution was washed with $3 \times \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine. The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to give 809 mg of crude material. The residue was subjected to normal phase purification using the ISCO Combiflash. The compound was eluted through a 12 g Analogix silica column, with a 0 40\% gradient of EtOAc and hexane. The desired fractions were combined and concentrated to give tert-butyl 2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxylate (566.3 mg, 1.418 mmol, 68 \% yield) as a colorless oil. LC/MS (ES) m/z $=399(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , CHLOROFORM-d) $\delta$ ppm 1.46 (s, 11 H ), 1.50-1.75 (m, 8 H ), 2.08-2.61 (m, 2 H ), 2.71 (br. s., $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43$ (m, $J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.54 (br. s., 1 H ), $7.13-7.26$ (m, 1 H ), 7.39 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.42-7.49 (m, 1 H). Tert-butyl 2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxylate ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.252 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{HCl}, 4 \mathrm{M}$ in dioxane ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined and stirred at room temperature. After 1 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was taken up in tetrahydrofuran (THF) ( 10 mL ). To this solution were added DIEA ( 0.656 mL , 3.76 mmol ) and 1-(isocyanatomethyl)-4-methylbenzene ( $0.175 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.252 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture stirred at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ overnight. After 19 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was taken up in $\sim 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ EtOAc and the resulting solution was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 x)$. The combined aqueous layers were extracted with 10 mL EtOAc (2x). The combined organics were dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to give 607 mg of crude material. The residue was subjected to normal phase purification using the Analogix IntelliFlash 280. The compound was eluted through a 40 g ISCO Redisep Gold silica column, with a $0-40 \%$ gradient of 90:9:1 chloroform:MeOH:ammonium hydroxide (aq) and chloroform. The desired fractions were combined and concentrated to give 2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-N-(4-methylbenzyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxamide (496 mg, 1.11
$\mathrm{mmol}, 89 \%$ yield). LC/MS (ES) m/z $=446(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. After chiral separation by HPLC, using 10:90 EtOH:Heptane on AD column with 0.1 isopropylamine as basic modifier, the product was obtained ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ee, $100 \%$ by LCMS, second peak). LC/MS (ES) m/z $=446.5(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.30-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) 2.06-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ $2.37-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 2.62(\mathrm{td}, J=8.27,5.43 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.15-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H) $3.23-3.32$ (m, 2 H) $3.49-3.58$ (m, 2 H) 4.17 (d, $J=5.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 6.92(\mathrm{t}, J=5.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ 7.03-7.13 (m, 4 H) 7.31 (dd, $J=8.34,2.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.51-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \quad \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ $446.5(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$VCD analysis showed that the product was the R enantiomer.

1-(2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decan-7-yl)-3-phenylpropan-1-one (2).
LCMS (ES) m/z $431.4(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm 1.20-1.34 (m, 1 H ) 1.34 $-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) 1.92-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.23-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 2.51-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 2.75-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ 2.92-3.06(m, 1H) 3.23-3.32(m, 1 H) 3.35-3.45(m, 1 H) 3.45-3.60(m, 2 H) $3.78(\mathrm{~m}$, $J=13.20,4.90,4.90 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.12-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) 7.25-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.39-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.50-$ $7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-N-methyl-N-(4-methylbenzyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-

carboxamide (3). LC-MS (ES) m/z $460.4(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.35$ - 1.61 (m, 6 H ), 2.05 (d, J=9.3 Hz, 1 H ), 2.27 (s, 3 H ), $2.30-2.39$ (m, 1 H ), 2.58 (s, 3 H ), 2.64 (td, $J=8.7,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92$ (d, $J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11$ (m, $J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.33 (s, 1 H), 3.44-3.58 (m, 2 H), 4.15-4.27 (m, 2 H), 7.08-7.14 (m, 4 H), 7.27 (dd, J=8.2, 2.1 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51$ (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.54 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

LC-MS (ES) m/z 460.5 (M+H) ${ }^{+}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 400MHz): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 7.59-7.79 (m, 2H),
7.42-7.54 (m, 1H), 7.02-7.14 (m, 4H), 6.93-7.00 (m, 1H), 4.04-4.24 (m, 2H), 3.36-3.63 (m, 4H), 3.19-3.31 (m, 2H), 2.92-3.18 (m, 2H), 2.20-2.30 (m, 3H), 1.17-1.83 (m, 6H).

## N-(4-methylbenzyl)-2-((6-methylpyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-

 carboxamide (5). LC-MS (ES) m/z $393.5(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.29$ - 1.60 (m, 6 H) $2.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 2.35-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) 2.56-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ $3.08-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 6.91(\mathrm{t}, J=5.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.09(\mathrm{q}$, $J=7.92 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 7.17$ (d, $J=8.08 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.57$ (dd, $J=7.83,2.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 8.34$ (d, $J=1.77 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H).
## 2-((5-chloropyridin-2-yl)methyl)-N-(4-methylbenzyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-

 carboxamide (6). LC-MS (ES) m/z $413.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.29$ - $1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) 2.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 2.43-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 2.64-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ $3.05-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 3.58-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 4.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.56 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 6.93(\mathrm{t}, J=5.56 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.01-$ 7.16 (m, 4 H) 7.48 (d, $J=8.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.79$ (dd, $J=8.34,2.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 8.51$ (d, $J=2.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.2-(3-chlorobenzyl)-N-(4-methylbenzyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxamide (7). LC-MS (ES) m/z $412.5(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.30-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 6$ H), 2.08 (d, 1 H ), 2.25 (s, 3 H ), 2.42 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2 H ), $2.56-2.69$ (m, 1 H ), $3.10-3.30$ (m, 4 H), 3.54 (br. s., 2 H), 4.17 (d, J=5.8 Hz, 2 H), 6.89-6.97 (m, 1 H), $7.04-7.09$ (m, 2 H), 7.09 7.14 (m, 2 H), 7.24-7.38(m, 4 H).

## 2-(4-chlorobenzyl)-N-(4-methylbenzyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxamide

(8). LC/MS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=412.4(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.30-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 6$ H) 2.06 (d, $J=9.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 2.37-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 2.61(\mathrm{td}, J=8.21,5.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$
3.08-3.15 (m, 1 H) 3.15-3.22 (m, 1 H) 3.22-3.31 (m, 2 H) 3.47-3.57 (m, 2 H) 4.16 (d, $J=5.56 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 6.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.04-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 7.29-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.

N,2-bis[(4-methylphenyl)methyl]-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxamide (9). LCMS (ES) m/z $392.5(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})+$, 1H NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d $_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.29-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) 2.06$ (d, J=9.09 Hz, 1 H) 2.26 (s, 3 H) 2.27 (s, 3 H) $2.35-2.44$ (m, 2 H) 2.59 (td, J=8.21, $5.56 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H) $3.10-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.16-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 6.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.68$ Hz, 1 H) 7.05-7.14 (m, 6 H) 7.15-7.20(m, 2 H).

## N-[(4-methylphenyl)methyl]-2-\{[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl\}-2,7-

diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxamide (10). LC-MS (ES) m/z 446.1 (M+H)+, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm 1.29-1.62 (m, 6 H) $2.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.25$ (s, 3 H) $2.40-2.48$ (m, 2 H) 2.65 (td, $J=8.27,5.43 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.13$ (d, $J=12.63 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.15-3.23$ (m, 1 H$) 3.24$ 3.31 (m, 2 H) $3.57-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 6.92(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.03-7.08$ (m, 2 H) $7.08-7.14$ (m, 2 H) 7.53 (d, $J=8.08 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 7.65$ (d, $J=8.08 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

2-[(4-chloro-3-fluorophenyl)methyl]-N-[(4-methylphenyl)methyl]-2,7-
diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxamide (11). LC-MS (ES) m/z 430.4 (M+H)+, 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.29-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) 2.04-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 2.37-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H) 2.60-2.69 (m, 1 H) 3.10 (d, J=12.63 Hz, 1 H) $3.13-3.21$ (m, 1 H) $3.25-3.32(m, 2$ H) $3.48-$ 3.60 (m, 2 H) 4.16 (d, J=5.56 Hz, 2 H ) 6.92 (t, J=5.81 Hz, 1 H ) $7.03-7.08$ (m, 2 H$) 7.08-7.13$ (m, 2 H) 7.18 (dd, J=8.21, 1.39 Hz, 1 H) 7.33 (dd, J=10.48, $1.89 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) 7.48 (t, J=7.96 Hz, 1 H).

2-[(4-chloro-3-methylphenyl)methyl]-N-[(4-methylphenyl)methyl]-2,7-
diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxamide (12). LC-MS (ES) m/z 426.5 (M+H)+, 1H NMR (400

MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm 1.29-1.60 (m, 6 H) 2.05 (d, J=9.09 Hz, 1 H) 2.25 (s, 3 H) 2.30 (s, 3 H) 2.36-2.45 (m, 2 H) 2.61 (td, J=8.21, $5.56 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.10$ (d, J=12.63 Hz, 1 H) $3.14-3.23$ (m, 1 H) $3.23-3.31$ (m, 2 H) $3.42-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.56 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 6.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ 7.03-7.12 (m, 4 H) 7.14 (dd, J=8.08, 1.77 Hz, 1 H) 7.27 (d, J=1.77 Hz, 1 H) 7.31 (d, J=8.08 Hz, $1 \mathrm{H})$.

2-[(4-chloro-3-methoxyphenyl)methyl]-N-[(4-methylphenyl)methyl]-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxamide (13). LC-MS (ES) m/z 442.5 (M+H)+; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm 1.30-1.61 (m, 6 H) 2.06 (d, $\left.J=9.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) 2.25$ (s, 3 H) $2.39-2.48$ (m, 2 H) 2.61-2.70 (m, 1 H) 3.09 (d, J=12.63 Hz, 1 H) 3.13-3.22 (m, 1 H) 3.28 (s, 2 H) 3.45 3.61 (m, 2 H) 3.83 (s, 3 H) 4.16 (d, $J=5.56 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 6.85-6.94$ (m, 2 H) $7.02-7.13$ (m, 5 H) 7.31 (d, J=7.83 Hz, 1 H ).

2-\{[4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl\}-N-[(4-methylphenyl)methyl]-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxamide (14). LC-MS (ES) m/z 480.5 (M+H)+, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm 1.30-1.62 (m, 6 H) 2.11 (d, J=9.09 Hz, 1 H) 2.25 (s, 3 H) $2.38-2.49$ (m, 2 H) 2.63 (td, J=8.21, $5.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) 3.12 (d, J=12.88 Hz, 1 H) 3.15 - 3.23 (m, 1 H) 3.23 3.32 (m, 2 H) 3.56-3.67 (m, 2 H) 4.16 (d, J=5.81 Hz, 2 H) 6.91 (t, J=5.94 Hz, 1 H) $7.02-7.13$ (m, 4 H) 7.63 (s, 2 H) 7.74 (s, 1 H).

## 2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-N-(pyridin-4-ylmethyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-

carboxamide (15). LC-MS (ES) m/z 433, $435(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 1.33-1.62 (m, 6 H$), 2.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13-$ 3.20 (m, 1 H), 3.20-3.31 (m, 3 H), 3.48-3.61 (m, 2 H), 4.23 (d, J=5.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.09 (t, J=5.9

Hz, 1 H), 7.17-7.23 (m, 2 H ), 7.31 (dd, J=8.3, 2.0 Hz, 1 H ), 7.52 - 7.58 (m, 2 H ), 8.41 - 8.47 (m, 2 H).

## 2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-N-(pyridin-3-ylmethyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-

carboxamide (16).LC-MS (ES) m/z $433.4(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.33$ - 1.62 (m, 6 H), 2.10 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1 H), $2.37-2.48$ (m, 2 H), $2.60-2.68$ (m, 1 H), $3.13-3.20$ (m, 1 H), $3.20-3.31$ (m, $3 H$ ), $3.48-3.61$ (m, 2 H ), 4.23 (d, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.09(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 7.17-7.23 (m, 2 H), 7.31 (dd, J=8.3, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), $7.52-7.58$ (m, 2 H ), 8.41 - 8.47 (m, 2 H ).

## 2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-N-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-

 carboxamide (17). LC-MS (ES) m/z $433.4(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}){ }^{+}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.32$ - 1.63 (m, 6 H), 2.10 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.39 - 2.48 (m, 2 H), 2.64 (td, J=8.4, $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.13 3.21 (m, 1 H), $3.21-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8$ Hz, 1 H ), 7.20 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21$ (m, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31$ (dd, $J=8.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51$ 7.56 (m, 2 H), 7.68 (td, $J=7.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.46 (dt, $J=4.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-N-((6-methylpyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxamide, (18). LC-MS (ES) m/z $447.5(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 1.29-1.59 (m, 6 H), 2.04-2.10 (m, 1 H), 2.37-2.46 (m, 5H), 2.57-2.68 (m, 1 H), $3.07-3.14$ (m, 1 H), 3.15-3.32 (m, 3 H), $3.48-3.60$ (m, 2 H), 4.17 (d, J=5.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.00 (t, J=5.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.13 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.28-7.35$ (m, 1 H ), 7.50 (dd, $J=8.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.54 ( $\mathrm{m}, J=4.0$ Hz, 2 H ), 8.31 (dd, J=2.0, $0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$

## 2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-N-((5-methylpyridin-2-yl)methyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-

 7-carboxamide (19). LC-MS (ES) m/z $447.5(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 1.32-1.62 (m, 6 H), 2.09 (d, $J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.25 (s, 3 H ), 2.38 - 2.48 (m, 2 H ), 2.64 (td, $J=8.3$,$5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.8$ Hz, 2 H), 7.01 (t, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.09 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.31 (dd, $J=8.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-$ 7.50 (m, 1 H ), 7.52 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.54 (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.28 (t, 1 H )

N-benzyl-2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxamide (20). LCMS (ES) m/z $432.4(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+},\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.22-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.10$ (d, 1 H), 2.37-2.46(m, 2 H), 2.58-2.66(m, 1 H$), 3.11-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.48-3.59$ (m, 2 H ), 4.22 (d, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.98 (t, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.35$ (m, 6 H ), 7.51 7.57 (m, 2 H)).

N-(4-chlorobenzyl)-2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxamide
(21). LC-MS (ES) m/z $466.4(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.22-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 6$ H), 2.08 (d, J=9.6 Hz, 1 H), $2.37-2.46$ (m, 2 H ), 2.63 (q, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.16$ (m, 1 H ), $3.16-3.31$ (m, 3 H ), $3.47-3.60$ (m, 2 H ), 4.19 (d, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.02 (t, J=5.9 Hz, 1 H ), 7.21 7.26 (m, 2 H), 7.28-7.34 (m, 3 H), 7.50-7.57 (m, 2 H).

## 2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-

carboxamide (22). LC-MS (ES) m/z $462.4(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 1.23-1.60(m, 6 H), 2.05-2.11 (m, 1 H), 2.37-2.46 (m, 2 H), 2.58-2.66 (m, 1 H), $3.09-3.15$ (m, 1 H ), $3.15-3.24$ (m, 1 H ), $3.25-3.31$ (m, 1 H ), $3.48-3.59$ (m, 2 H ), 3.71 (s, 3 H ), 4.14 (d, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.79-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31$ (dd, $J=8.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ ).

2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-N-(4-fluorobenzyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxamide (23). LC-MS (ES) m/z $450.4(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.22-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 6$ H), $2.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.31(\mathrm{~m}$,

3 H), 3.46-3.61 (m, 2 H), 4.19 (d, J=5.8 Hz, 2 H ), 7.00 (t, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.12$ (m, 2 H ), 7.22-7.29 (m, 2 H ), 7.31 (dd, $J=8.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.57$ (m, 2 H$)$ ).

## N-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)-2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-2,7-

diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxamide (24). In a glass microwave vial were placed benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethanamine ( $0.082 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Dichloromethane (DCM) ( 3 mL ), and DIEA ( $0.236 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.352 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). To the resulting solution was added phenyl chloroformate ( $0.083 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.661 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) The vial was capped and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature. LCMS after 15 min shows reaction completion and clean formation of desired carbamate intermediate. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. In a separate round bottomed flask were placed tert-butyl 2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7carboxylate ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{HCl}, 4 \mathrm{M}$ in dioxane ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture stirred at room temperature under nitrogen. LCMS after 30 min shows full deprotection. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was taken up in methanol ( 8 mL ) and DIEA ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting solution was added to the glass microwave vial containing the carbamate intermediate. The vial was capped and heated by microwave to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min. LCMS shows reaction completion. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was taken up in 10 mL EtOAc and the resulting solution was washed with 1 N NaOH $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine (1 x 5 mL ). The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by reverse phase HPLC using a Gilson 215 Liquid Handler with Trilution software, with a SunFire ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Prep C18 OBD ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} 5 \mathrm{uM}, 30 \mathrm{~mm} \times 50$ mm column. A 7 min gradient was employed followed by a 3 min $100 \%$ organic hold time (47 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 20 \%$ gradient of ACN/H2O, $0.1 \%$ TFA ) with UV detection at 214 nm . The desired fractions were combined and converted to the free base form by addition of sat'd $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and
extraction with EtOAc. The combined organics were combined, washed with brine, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and lyophilized to give N -(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)-2-(3,4-dichlorobenzyl)-2,7-diazaspiro[4.5]decane-7-carboxamide monohydrate (104.5 mg, 0.209 mmol , 55.7 \% yield) as a white solid. LC-MS (ES) m/z $476.5(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})+{ }^{+} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm 1.29-1.60 (m, 6 H), 2.08 (d, J=9.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.37-2.46 (m, 2 H), 2.62 (td, J=8.5, 5.3 Hz, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.95 (m, $J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.69 (dd, $J=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.79 (dd, $J=4.9,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92$ (t, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31$ (dd, $J=8.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ (d, 1 H ).

## VCD analysis and absolute configurationally assignment for 1 and its enantiomer



1 (GSK2850163)

the enantiomer of 1
I. Comments:

- The configurational assignments were made using the reduced structure model shown below.
- Both phenyl rings were replaced by butadiene rings in the model.
- This replacement was made to simplify the computational analysis and is justified on the basis of conformational averaging, which would minimize contributions of either phenyl group to the experimental VCD spectra.
- Best quantitative correlation between calculated and observed VCD spectra was achieved by separating the reduced structure models into two classes: (1) folded structures, many with an intramolecular H-bond between the tertiary amine nitrogen and the secondary amide NH; (2) extended models with no intramolecular H-bonding.
- Boltzmann statistics were used to synthesize a calculated IR and VCD spectrum for each class ( $\mathrm{IR}_{\text {folded, }}, \mathrm{VCD}_{\text {folded }} ; \mathrm{IR}_{\text {extended }}, \mathrm{VCD}_{\text {extended }}$ )
- The VCD spectra calculated for the classes were then combined empirically to give the best correlation with experimental VCD spectra.
- The best correlation was achieved using VCD (calc) $=0.90 * \mathrm{VCD}_{\text {folded }}+0.10 * \mathrm{VCD}$ extended
- The relative intensities observed for bands due to the NH stretching vibration of free and intermolecular H-bonded NH groups were consistent with the relative amounts predicted for these classes.
- Quantitative results based on the VCD spectrum calculated for the full structure model with (S)-configuration were fully consistent with quantitative results for the reduced structure model but of lower statistical agreement with experimental VCD spectra due to less reliable predictions of VCD bands in the $1300-1150 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region.
- No effort was made to separate the full structure models into folded and extended classes.
II. Theoretical Analysis:
- Model:

reduced structure model with $(S)$-conf iguration
- Conformational Search: MOE stochastic csearch using MMFF94x force field with Born solvation (dielectric $=75$ )
- Model Chemistry: \# opt freq=(noraman,vcd) b3lyp/dgdzvp
- Conformational Analysis: Fractional populations estimated using Boltzmann statistics
- Lorentzian band width: $8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
- Frequency scale factor: 0.980
- Estimation of Confidence Limit: CompareVOA (BioTools, Inc.) analysis (Section IX)
III. Experimental:
- Spectrometer: BioTools ChiralIR VCD spectrometer operated at $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
- Frequency Range: $2000-800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
- PEM Calibration: PEM calibrated at $1400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
- PEM Retardation Settings: PEM1 $=0.250 * \lambda$; PEM2 $=0.260 * \lambda$
- Scan Method: single block scan [2 min. DC scan +360 min. AC scan]
- Solvent: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$
- Concentrations: [A2] $=11.5 \mathrm{mg} / 125 \mathrm{ul} ;$ [A3] $=12.3 \mathrm{mg} / 125 \mathrm{ul}$
- Baseline Correction Method: modified half-difference $\left(\mathrm{VCD}_{\mathrm{E} 1}\right.$ (corr’d) $=\mathrm{VCD}_{\mathrm{E} 1}$ minus $\mathrm{VCD}_{\mathrm{E} 2} ; \mathrm{VCD}_{\mathrm{E} 2}($ corr'd $)=\mathrm{VCD}_{\mathrm{E} 2}$ minus $\left.\mathrm{VCD}_{\mathrm{E} 1}\right)$
- Additional Processing: Savitsky-Golay 9-point smooth


## IV. Results:

- Analysis of Experimental and Calculated Data:

The experimental VCD and IR spectra of the enantiomer of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ are compared with calculated data in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. The larger green box in each figure highlights the VCD spectral range used to assign the absolute configurations and estimate the level of reliability. The smaller green box indicates the spectral range omitted from consideration in this study. VCD bands in the omitted region are due to vibrational modes of the aromatic rings not present in the reduced structure model.

In the upper panel of Figure 1, the experimental and calculated VCD spectra are largely coincident, indicating that the chiral center in this molecule has the same absolute stereochemistry as the model. Therefore, the enantiomer of $\mathbf{1}$ was assigned as the (S)-enantiomer.

In the upper panel of Figure 2, the experimental and calculated VCD spectra are largely inverted, indicating that the chiral center in this molecule is the mirror image of the model. Therefore, $\mathbf{1}$ was assigned as the (R)-enantiomer.

Note: The IR spectrum calculated for the model is in good overall agreement with experimental, indicating adequate coverage of the conformational space of the
stereogenic diazaspiro[4.5]decane ring, a requirement for making a reliable VCD assignment.


Figure 1. Upper panel: VCD spectrum of the enantiomer of $\mathbf{1}$ (red trace) vs calculated VCD spectrum (black trace). Lower panel: IR spectrum of the enantiomer of 1 (red) vs calculated IR spectrum (black).


Figure 2. Upper panel: VCD spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ (blue trace) vs calculated VCD spectrum (black trace). Lower panel: IR spectrum of 1 (blue) vs calculated IR spectrum (black).

## V. Estimated Level of Reliability

- The confidence limit in this study was estimated using CompareVOA ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ (BioTools, Inc.), an automated tool for quantifying the level of agreement between two sets of spectral data.
- The degree of reliability (the confidence limit) is assessed using the absolute values of two parameters: total neighborhood similarity for the VCD correlation (TNS (VCD)) and the enantiomeric similarity index (ESI).
- The degrees of reliability based on CompareVOA analysis are as follows:

| Reliability | *TNS (VCD) <br> (range) | *ESI <br> (range) | Confidence Limit <br> (CL) <br> (range) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High | $\geq 70$ | $\geq 60$ | $>99 \%$ |
| Medium | $60-70$ | $50-60$ | $95-99 \%$ |
| Low | $50-60$ | $40-50$ | $90-95 \%$ |
| Unreliable | $<50$ | $<40$ | $<90 \%$ |

*absolute value

- CompareVOA results for the current study:
o Spectral range: $1735-960 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
o Region omitted: 1475-1325 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$
o Range of statistical analysis (minimum $400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): $625 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
o Width of triangular weighting function: $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
o TNS (VCD): 69.3 (absolute value)
o ESI: 61.8 (absolute value)
o Optimized scale factor: 0.980
- Level of Reliability: Medium-High (CL 95-99\%)


## SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES



Supplementary Figure 1. Competitive inhibition studies. GSK2850163 (left) and a noncleavable RNA substrate (right) are competitive inhibitors for pIRE1 $\alpha$ RNase activity. Although GSK2850163 and the non-cleavable RNA substrate bind to different domains, they both behave as competitive inhibitors of pIRE1 $\alpha$ RNase activity.
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## B



Supplementary Figure 2. pIRE1 $\alpha$-GSK2850163 electron density maps and binding mode. (A) Kicked omit electron density map contoured at $3 \sigma$. Ligand placement in the map was guided by the Fo-Fc difference map, the location of the chlorine atoms, and the H-bond interactions between the Lys599 (K599) and Glu621 (E621) of pIRE1 $\alpha$ and GSK2850163. Only the Rstereoisomer fits the density. (B) Binding mode of GSK2850163 to pIRE1 $\alpha$. GSK2850163 in the pIRE1 $\alpha$ binding site. GSK2850163 is colored in cyan. The nearby lipophilic and hydrophilic areas of pIRE1 $\alpha$ are represented in green and magenta, respectively.

## Supplementary Figure 3.



Supplementary Figure 3. Overall structure of the human pIRE1 $\alpha+$ ADP-Mg ${ }^{2+}$ (PDB ID: 4YZD) face-to-face dimer. The 2-fold dimer axis coincides with the 2-fold symmetry plane in the crystal. Since there are three molecules of PIRE1 $\alpha+$ ADP- $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ in the asymmetric unit in this crystal form, there are three dimers as the one representative shown here. The molecule in the asymmetric unit is depicted on the right (cyan) and the partner molecule on the left (green).


Supplementary Figure 4. Electron density map and binding mode of staurosporine (STS) to pIRE1 $\alpha$. (A) STS omit difference electron density map Fo-Fc contoured at $4 \sigma$ (green). (B) STS interacts with hinge residues Glu643 (E643; 2.8 Å), Cys645 (C645; $2.7 \AA$ ), and His 692 (H692; $2.6 \AA$ ). (C) Active kinase conformation of pIRE1 $\alpha$ in complex with STS. Leu616 (L616) in the $\alpha \mathrm{C}$ helix interacts with the DFG loop Phe712 (F712) in the regulatory spine, and Arg687 (R687) in the kinase HRD motif interacts with Ser729 (carbon atoms colored lime). Note that the activation loop containing phosphorylated Ser residues (pS724, pS726, and pS729), previously disordered in both the pIRE1 $\alpha$-GSK2850163 and the pIRE1 $\alpha$-ADP complexes, is well defined in the pIRE1 $\alpha$-STS complex. A network of H-bonds extends from the serines in the activation loop to the C $\alpha$-helix and into the active site DFG motif. Namely, residue Ser724 interacts with Asn750 (N750) via two H-bonds, Ser726 is involved in a H-bond interaction with Arg722 (R722; $3.1 \AA$ ) and Arg728 (R728; $3.3 \AA$ ), and Ser729 is interacting through a H-bond with Lys716 (K716; $2.7 \AA$ ) and Arg687 (R687; $2.5 \AA$ ).

A
pIRE1 $\alpha+$ GSK2850163

pIRE1 $\alpha+$ STS


B


Supplementary Figure 5. Differential labeling in the Hydrogen/Deuterium Exchange (HDX). (A) Deuterium labeling is mapped onto the crystal structures of the ligand binding site and shown side-by-side for pIRE1 $\alpha+$ GSK2850163 (left) and pIRE1 $\alpha+$ STS (right). The activation loop at the DFG motif demonstrates increased labeling in the presence of GSK2850163, indicating more solvent exposure triggered by its displacement due to compound binding. This is consistent with the activation loop being in the DFG-out conformation as revealed by the cocrystal structure. (B) In the pIRE1 $\alpha$-STS complex, Arg627 forms a salt bridge with Asp620 on the partner molecule in the dimer. The disruption of this key conserved interaction by GSK2850163 is consistent with changes in the $\mathrm{C} \alpha$-helix to the inactive conformation and the reorientation of the $\mathrm{pIRE} 1 \alpha$ dimer.
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Supplementary Figure 5 (continued). Differential labeling in the Hydrogen/Deuterium Exchange (HDX). (C) Two short $\alpha$-helices at the interface of the kinase and RNase domains exchange more readily in the presence of STS (right) compared to GSK2850163 (left) where there is less interactions between RNase domains due to distance. In the presence of STS, the following residues showed increased labeling: 800-806 (kinase H $\alpha$-helix), residues 822-829 (kinase I $\alpha$-helix), residues 945-950 (in the RNase C-terminal $\alpha$-helix), and residues 960-964 (at the C-terminus). The loosening of the interactions across the intramolecular domain interface occurs in concert with the conditions under which dimerization of pIRE1 $\alpha$ favors the intermolecular interaction between the RNase domains by STS. (D) Overall labeling of the RNase domains in the pIRE1 $\alpha$-GSK2850163 dimer (left) compared to the pIRE1 $\alpha$-STS dimer (right). STS promotes dimerization of the $\mathrm{pIRE} 1 \alpha$ RNase domain, and as a result there is less surface available for exchange. Note the increased labeling of residues 900-916 in the presence of STS which are critical for catalysis. We found no significant differences in the average Bfactors of these residues in both structures to suggest higher mobility.

Supplementary Table 1. In vitro selectivity of GSK2850163 across a panel of 284 kinases

| Kinase | \% Activity remaining after $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSK2850163 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Abl(h) | 131 |
| Abl(m) | 111 |
| Abl (H396P) (h) | 98 |
| Abl (M351T)(h) | 115 |
| Abl (Q252H) (h) | 116 |
| Abl(T315I)(h) | 107 |
| Abl(Y253F)(h) | 110 |
| ACK1(h) | 106 |
| ALK(h) | 104 |
| ALK4(h) | 81 |
| Arg(h) | 125 |
| AMPK 1 1(h) | 96 |
| AMPK 2 2(h) | -1 |
| Arg(m) | 108 |
| ARK5(h) | 99 |
| ASK1(h) | 92 |
| Aurora-A(h) | 128 |
| Aurora-B(h) | 108 |
| Aurora-C(h) | 72 |
| Axl(h) | 99 |
| Blk(h) | 70 |
| Blk(m) | 93 |
| Bmx(h) | 87 |
| BRK(h) | 94 |
| BrSK1(h) | 99 |
| BrSK2(h) | 100 |
| BTK(h) | 109 |
| BTK(R28H)(h) | 116 |
| CaMKI(h) | 94 |
| CaMKIIß(h) | 71 |
| CaMKII $\gamma(\mathrm{h}$ ) | 97 |
| CaMKİ(h) | 109 |
| CaMKIIS(h) | 91 |
| CaMKIV(h) | 117 |
| CDK1/cyclinB(h) | 110 |
| CDK2/cyclinA(h) | 99 |
| CDK2/cyclinE(h) | 93 |
| CDK3/cyclinE(h) | 106 |
| CDK5/p25(h) | 117 |
| CDK5/p35(h) | 113 |
| CDK6/cyclinD3(h) | 110 |
| CDK7/cyclinH/MAT1(h) | 118 |
| CDK9/cyclin T1(h) | 99 |
| CHK1(h) | 107 |


| Kinase | \% Activity remaining after $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSK2850163 |
| :---: | :---: |
| CHK2(h) | 101 |
| CHK2(I157T)(h) | 97 |
| CHK2(R145W)(h) | 93 |
| CK1 ${ }^{\text {l }}$ (h) | 110 |
| CK1 $\gamma 2(\mathrm{~h})$ | 111 |
| CK1 ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ (h) | 110 |
| CK18(h) | 97 |
| CK1(y) | 90 |
| CK2(h) | 101 |
| CK2 2 2(h) | 106 |
| CLK2(h) | 108 |
| CLK3(h) | 96 |
| cKit(h) | 97 |
| cKit(D816V)(h) | 95 |
| cKit(D816H)(h) | 98 |
| cKit(V560G)(h) | 97 |
| cKit(V654A)(h) | 99 |
| CSK(h) | 110 |
| c-RAF(h) | 92 |
| cSRC(h) | 107 |
| DAPK1(h) | 102 |
| DAPK2(h) | 88 |
| DCAMKL2(h) | 135 |
| DDR2(h) | 106 |
| DMPK(h) | 109 |
| DRAK1(h) | 117 |
| DYRK2(h) | 109 |
| eEF-2K(h) | 118 |
| EGFR(h) | 105 |
| EGFR(L858R)(h) | 92 |
| EGFR(L861Q)(h) | 98 |
| EGFR(T790M)(h) | 100 |
| EGFR(T790M,L858R)(h) | 89 |
| EphA1(h) | 106 |
| EphA2(h) | 89 |
| EphA3(h) | 98 |
| EphA4(h) | 103 |
| EphA5(h) | 118 |
| EphA7(h) | 105 |
| EphA8(h) | 100 |
| EphB2(h) | 112 |
| EphB1(h) | 97 |
| EphB3(h) | 114 |
| EphB4(h) | 106 |


| Kinase | \% Activity remaining after $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSK2850163 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ErbB4(h) | 88 |
| FAK(h) | 98 |
| Fer(h) | 100 |
| Fes(h) | 107 |
| FGFR1(h) | 92 |
| FGFR1(V561M)(h) | 62 |
| FGFR2(h) | 92 |
| FGFR2(N549H)(h) | 94 |
| FGFR3(h) | 100 |
| FGFR4(h) | 85 |
| Fgr(h) | 82 |
| Flt1(h) | 105 |
| Flt3(D835Y)(h) | 99 |
| Flt3(h) | 92 |
| Flt4(h) | 97 |
| Fms(h) | 106 |
| Fms(Y969C)(h) | 103 |
| Fyn(h) | 90 |
| GCK(h) | 90 |
| GRK5(h) | 94 |
| GRK6(h) | 98 |
| GRK7(h) | 99 |
| GSK3 ${ }^{\text {(h) }}$ | 115 |
| GSK3ß(h) | 108 |
| Haspin(h) | 66 |
| Hck(h) | 101 |
| Hck(h) activated | 93 |
| HIPK1(h) | 84 |
| HIPK2(h) | 111 |
| HIPK3(h) | 106 |
| IGF-1R(h) | 78 |
| IGF-1R(h), activated | 96 |
| IKK $\alpha$ (h) | 101 |
| IKK $\beta$ (h) | 110 |
| IR(h) | 104 |
| IR(h), activated | 84 |
| IRR(h) | 81 |
| IRAK1(h) | 112 |
| IRAK4(h) | 101 |
| Itk(h) | 97 |
| JAK2(h) | 151 |
| JAK3(h) | 108 |
| JNK1a1(h) | 97 |
| JNK2 2 (h) | 105 |


| Kinase | \% Activity remaining after $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSK2850163 |
| :---: | :---: |
| JNK3(h) | 72 |
| KDR(h) | 111 |
| Lck(h) | 62 |
| Lck(h) activated | 98 |
| LIMK1(h) | 97 |
| LKB1(h) | 103 |
| LOK(h) | 110 |
| Lyn(h) | 94 |
| Lyn(m) | 94 |
| MAPK1(h) | 84 |
| MAPK2(h) | 104 |
| MAPK2(m) | 107 |
| MAPKAP-K2(h) | 107 |
| MAPKAP-K3(h) | -6 |
| MEK1(h) | 103 |
| MARK1(h) | 110 |
| MELK(h) | 124 |
| Mer(h) | 89 |
| Met(h) | 100 |
| Met(D1246H)(h) | 94 |
| $\operatorname{Met}(\mathrm{D} 1246 \mathrm{~N})(\mathrm{h})$ | 95 |
| $\operatorname{Met}(\mathrm{M} 1268 \mathrm{~T})(\mathrm{h})$ | 99 |
| $\operatorname{Met}(\mathrm{Y} 1248 \mathrm{C})(\mathrm{h})$ | 86 |
| $\operatorname{Met}(\mathrm{Y} 1248 \mathrm{D})(\mathrm{h})$ | 93 |
| $\operatorname{Met}(\mathrm{Y} 1248 \mathrm{H})(\mathrm{h})$ | 76 |
| MINK(h) | 109 |
| MKK4(m) | 140 |
| MKK6(h) | 126 |
| MKK7ß(h) | 105 |
| MLCK(h) | 84 |
| MLK1(h) | 94 |
| Mnk2(h) | 104 |
| $\operatorname{MRCK} \alpha(\mathrm{h})$ | 114 |
| MRCK $\beta$ (h) | 93 |
| MSK1(h) | 110 |
| MSK2(h) | 112 |
| MSSK1(h) | 106 |
| MST1(h) | 99 |
| MST2(h) | 108 |
| MST3(h) | 89 |
| mTOR(h) | 81 |
| mTOR/FKBP12(h) | 101 |
| MuSK(h) | 98 |
| NEK2(h) | 97 |


| Kinase | \% Activity remaining after $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSK2850163 |
| :---: | :---: |
| NEK3(h) | 106 |
| NEK6(h) | 130 |
| NEK7(h) | 111 |
| NEK11(h) | 93 |
| NLK(h) | 119 |
| p70S6K(h) | 115 |
| PAK2(h) | 105 |
| PAK4(h) | 102 |
| PAK5(h) | 118 |
| PAK6(h) | 113 |
| PAR-1B $\alpha$ (h) | 106 |
| PASK(h) | 84 |
| PEK(h) | 103 |
| PDGFR $\alpha$ (h) | 97 |
| PDGFR $\alpha$ (D842V)(h) | 103 |
| PDGFR $\alpha$ (V561D)(h) | 98 |
| PDGFR $\beta$ (h) | 108 |
| PDK1(h) | 108 |
| PhK $\gamma 2$ (h) | 111 |
| Pim-1(h) | 109 |
| Pim-2(h) | 124 |
| Pim-3(h) | 107 |
| PKA(h) | 132 |
| PKB $\alpha$ (h) | 116 |
| PKB $\beta$ (h) | 104 |
| PKB $\gamma(\mathrm{h})$ | 96 |
| PKC $\alpha$ (h) | 106 |
| PKC $\beta \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{h})$ | 95 |
| PKC $\beta$ II(h) | 111 |
| $\mathrm{PKC} \gamma(\mathrm{h})$ | 97 |
| PKC $\delta(\mathrm{h})$ | 100 |
| $\mathrm{PKC} \mathrm{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{~h})$ | 109 |
| $\mathrm{PKC} \mathrm{\eta}(\mathrm{~h})$ | 99 |
| $\mathrm{PKCl}(\mathrm{h})$ | 99 |
| $\mathrm{PKC} \mathrm{\mu}(\mathrm{~h})$ | 100 |
| PKC日(h) | 101 |
| РКС弓(h) | 103 |
| PKD2(h) | 100 |
| PKG1 $\alpha$ (h) | 112 |
| PKG1 $\beta$ (h) | 107 |
| Plk1(h) | 98 |
| Plk3(h) | 96 |
| PRAK(h) | 55 |
| PRK2(h) | 114 |


| Kinase | \% Activity remaining after $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSK2850163 |
| :---: | :---: |
| PrKX(h) | 111 |
| PTK5(h) | 104 |
| Pyk2(h) | 98 |
| Ret(h) | 90 |
| Ret (V804L)(h) | 94 |
| $\operatorname{Ret}(\mathrm{V} 804 \mathrm{M})(\mathrm{h})$ | 109 |
| RIPK2(h) | 104 |
| ROCK-I(h) | 106 |
| ROCK-II(h) | 107 |
| ROCK-II(r) | 117 |
| Ron(h) | 19 |
| Ros(h) | 88 |
| Rse(h) | 102 |
| Rsk1(h) | 98 |
| Rsk1(r) | 110 |
| Rsk2(h) | 130 |
| Rsk3(h) | 90 |
| Rsk4(h) | 103 |
| SAPK2a(h) | 94 |
| SAPK2a(T106M)(h) | 89 |
| SAPK2b(h) | 112 |
| SAPK3(h) | 104 |
| SAPK4(h) | 110 |
| SGK(h) | 121 |
| SGK2(h) | 88 |
| SGK3(h) | 85 |
| SIK(h) | 138 |
| Snk(h) | 105 |
| Src(1-530)(h) | 109 |
| Src(T341M)(h) | 92 |
| SRPK1(h) | 105 |
| SRPK2(h) | 100 |
| STK33(h) | 94 |
| Syk(h) | 81 |
| TAK1(h) | 110 |
| TAO1(h) | 88 |
| TAO2(h) | 108 |
| TAO3(h) | 99 |
| TBK1(h) | 101 |
| Tec(h) activated | 74 |
| TGFBR1(h) | 100 |
| Tie2 (h) | 105 |
| Tie2(R849W)(h) | 101 |
| Tie2(Y897S)(h) | 107 |


| Kinase | \% Activity remaining <br> after $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ <br> GSK2850163 |
| :---: | :---: |
| TLK2(h) | 100 |
| TrkA(h) | 86 |
| TrkB(h) | 54 |
| TSSK1(h) | 88 |
| TSSK2(h) | 104 |
| Txk(h) | 82 |
| ULK2(h) | 108 |
| ULK3(h) | 108 |
| WNK2(h) | 95 |
| WNK3(h) | 92 |
| VRK2(h) | 98 |
| Yes(h) | 95 |
| ZAP-70(h) | 90 |
| ZIPK(h) | 109 |

Note: A panel of 284 kinases (Millipore) was assayed to determine the specificity of GSK2850163. \% Activity equals the amount of kinase activity remaining after $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound treatment. After performing the single shot experiment, a full curve analysis of all potential hits (AMPKa2, FGFR1 V561M, haspin, Lck, MAPKAP-K3, PRAK, Ron, and TrkB) was performed to independently reproduce the initial findings. Only two kinases demonstrated (weak) dosedependent inhibition by GSK2850163: Ron $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=4.4 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, and FGFR1 V561M ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=17$ $\mu \mathrm{M})$. All other kinases were unaffected by GSK2850163 treatment.
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The following versions of software and data (see references) were used in the production of this report:


## 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The reported resolution of this entry is $2.46 \AA$.
Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.


The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the electron density. The red, orange, yellow and green segments on the lower bar indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for $\$=3,2,1$ and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. The upper red bar (where present) indicates the fraction of residues that have poor fit to the electron density.

| Mol | Chain | Length |  | Quality of chain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | A | 381 |  |  |
| 2 | B | 375 |  |  |
| 3 | C | 378 |  |  |
| 4 | D | 3 |  |  |

## 2 Entry composition (i)

There are 5 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 17863 atoms, of which 8734 are hydrogens and 0 are deuterium.

In the tables below, the ZeroOcc column contains the number of atoms modelled with zero occupancy, the AltConf column contains the number of residues with at least one atom in alternate conformation and the Trace column contains the number of residues modelled with at most 2 atoms.

- Molecule 1 is a protein.

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms |  |  |  |  | ZeroOcc | AltConf | Trace |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 381 | Total <br>  <br> 5 | C | H | N | O | S |  | 1 |

- Molecule 2 is a protein.

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms |  |  |  |  | ZeroOcc | AltConf | Trace |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | B | 375 | Total <br> 5750 | C | 1880 | H | 2817 | N | 505 | O |
|  | S | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

- Molecule 3 is a protein.

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms |  |  |  |  | ZeroOcc | AltConf | Trace |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | C | 378 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 5934 \end{aligned}$ | C H <br> 1926 2920 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ 525 \end{gathered}$ | $544$ |  | 0 | 3 | 0 |

- Molecule 4 is a protein called 163-163-163.

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms |  |  |  |  | ZeroOcc | AltConf | Trace |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | D | 3 |  | Total | C | Cl | H | N | O | 0 | 0 |

- Molecule 5 is water.

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms | ZeroOcc | AltConf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | W | 80 | Total  <br> 80 O <br> 80  | 0 | 0 |

## 3 Residue-property plots (i)

These plots are drawn for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic for a chain summarises the proportions of errors displayed in the second graphic. The second graphic shows the sequence view annotated by issues in geometry and electron density. Residues are colorcoded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green $=0$, yellow $=1$, orange $=2$ and red $=3$ or more. A red dot above a residue indicates a poor fit to the electron density $(\operatorname{RSRZ}>2)$. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outlier are shown as a green connector. Residues present in the sample, but not in the model, are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1:

Chain A:


- Molecule 2:

- Molecule 4: 163-163-163

Chain D:

There are no outlier residues recorded for this chain.

## 4 Data and refinement statistics (i)

| Property | Value | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Space group | P 21212 | Depositor |
| Cell constants $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ | $244.00 \AA$ $77.77 \AA$ $88.31 \AA$ <br> $90.00^{\circ}$ $90.00^{\circ}$ $90.00^{\circ}$ | Depositor |
| Resolution ( $\AA$ ) | $29.18-2.46$ $30.18-2.46$ | Depositor EDS |
| \% Data completeness (in resolution range) | $\begin{aligned} & 96.7(29.18-2.46) \\ & 96.7(30.18-2.46) \end{aligned}$ | Depositor EDS |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {merge }}$ | (Not available) | Depositor |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {sym }}$ | (Not available) | Depositor |
| $<I / \sigma(I)>$ | - | Xtriage |
| Refinement program | PHENIX (phenix.refine: 1.9_1692) | Depositor |
| $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ | 0.235 <br> 0.251, 0.283 | Depositor <br> DCC |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ test set | 3018 reflections (5.33\%) | DCC |
| Wilson B-factor ( $\AA^{2}$ ) | (Not available) | Xtriage |
| Anisotropy | (Not available) | Xtriage |
| Bulk solvent $k_{\text {sol }}\left(\mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}\right), B_{\text {sol }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | - 0.36, 38.9 | EDS |
| Estimated twinning fraction | No twinning to report. | Xtriage |
| L-test for twinning | $<\|L\|>=$ (Not available), $<L^{2}>=$ (Not available) | Xtriage |
| Outliers | $\sim$ (Not/available) | Xtriage |
| $\mathrm{F}_{o}, \mathrm{~F}_{c}$ correlation | $\bigcirc 0.92$ | EDS |
| Total number of atoms | - 17863 | wwPDB-VP |
| Average B, all atoms ( $\AA^{2}$ ) | \% 64.0 | wwPDB-VP |

Xtriage's analysis on translational NCS is as follows: (Not available)

## 5 Model quality (i)

### 5.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: 163

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with $|Z|>5$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond/lengths (or angles).

| Mol | Chain | Bond lengths |  | Bond angles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | RMSZ | $\#\|Z\|>5$ | RMSZ | $\#\|Z\|>5$ |
| 1 | A | 0.27 | $0 / 3088$ | 0.46 | $0 / 4186$ |
| 2 | B | 0.26 | $0 / 3007$ | 0.44 | $0 / 4078$ |
| 3 | C | 0.28 | $0 / 3096$ | 0.46 | $0 / 4191$ |
| All | All | 0.27 | $0 / 9191$ | 0.45 | $0 / 12455$ |

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar møiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

| Mol | Chain | \#Chirality outliers | \#Planarity outliers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | B | 0 | 1 |
| All | All | 0 | 2 |

There are no bond length outliers.
There are no bond angle outliers.
There are no chirality outliers.
All (2) planarity outliers are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 580 | GLY | Peptide |
| 2 | B | 922 | LEU | Peptide |

### 5.2 Close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non- H and H (model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in the chain respectively. The H (added) column lists the number of hydrogens added by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes within the asymmetric unit,
and the number in parentheses is this value normalized per 1000 atoms of the molecule in the chain. The Symm-Clashes column gives symmetry related clashes, in the same way as for the Clashes column.

| Mol | Chain | Non-H | H(model) | H(added) | Clashes | Symm-Clashes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 3012 | 2910 | 2899 | 14 | 0 |
| 2 | B | 2933 | 2817 | 2814 | 15 | 0 |
| 3 | C | 3014 | 2920 | 2922 | 15 | 0 |
| 4 | D | 90 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | W | 80 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| All | All | 9129 | 8734 | 8635 | 42 | 0 |

Clashscore is defined as the number of clashes calculated for the entry per 1000 atoms (including hydrogens) of the entry. The overall clashscore for this entry is 2 .
All (42) close contacts within the same asymmetric unit are listed below.

| Atom-1 | Atom-2 | Distance $(\AA)$ | Clash $(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2:B:850:GLU:O | 2:B:898:ARG:NH2 | 2.12 | 0.83 |
| 3:C:914:LEU:O | 3:C:919:ARG:NH1 | 2.19 | 0.75 |
| 1:A:885:ASP:OD2 | 1:A:907:LYS:NZ | 2.19 | 0.74 |
| 2:B:925:LEU:HD23 | 2:B:926:PRO:N | 2.07 | 0.69 |
| 3:C:655:GLN:C | 3:C:658:PHE:HA | 2.15 | 0.67 |
| 2:B:847:ASP:OD1 | 2:B:905:ARG:NE | 2.34 | 0.61 |
| 3:C:879:THR:HG21 | 3:C:921:THR:HB | 1.82 | 0.60 |
| 1:A:875:ARG:O | 1:A:883:GLN:NE2 | 2.34 | 0.59 |
| 1:A:621:GLU:OE2 | 5:W:86:HOH:O | 2.17 | 0.59 |
| 2:B:925:LEU:HD23 | 2:B:926:PRO:CA | 2.37 | 0.55 |
| 1:A:798:GLU:HG3 | 3:C:798:GLU:HG3 | 1.90 | 0.53 |
| 3:C:630:CYS:SG | 3:C:631:THR:N | 2.84 | 0.51 |
| 3:C:881:PRO:HB2 | $3: \mathrm{C:921:THR:HG21}$ | 1.93 | 0.51 |
| 2:B:686:HIS:ND1 | 2:B:688:ASP:O | 2.44 | 0.50 |
| 2:B:863:GLU:OE2 | 2:B:896:SER:OG | 2.23 | 0.49 |
| 2:B:919:ARG:O | 2:B:923:GLY:N | 2.45 | 0.49 |
| 1:A:893:LYS:N | 1:A:899:ASP:OD2 | 2.47 | 0.47 |
| 2:B:627:ARG:NH1 | 5:W:86:HOH:O | 2.47 | 0.46 |
| 3:C:711[B]:ASP:OD1 | 5:W:30:HOH:O | 2.21 | 0.46 |
| 2:B:911:TYR:OH | 2:B:923:GLY:O | 2.31 | 0.46 |
| 2:B:867:ARG:HA | 2:B:870:VAL:HB | 1.97 | 0.45 |
| 2:B:880:VAL:HB | 2:B:881:PRO:HD3 | 1.98 | 0.45 |
| 2:B:852:GLU:HB2 | 2:B:859:VAL:CG2 | 2.46 | 0.45 |
| 3:C:925:LEU:HD23 | 3:C:926:PRO:HA | 1.98 | 0.45 |
| 2:B:879:THR:HG21 | 2:B:921:THR:HG21 | 1.98 | 0.45 |
| 3:C:808:LEU:HG | 3:C:812:MET:HE2 | 1.99 | 0.44 |
| 1:A:808:LEU:HG | 1:A:812:MET:HE2 | 1.99 | 0.44 |
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| Atom-1 | Atom-2 | Distance $(\AA)$ | Clash $(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:A:749:GLU:HG2 | 1:A:751:PRO:HD3 | 1.98 | 0.44 |
| 1:A:578:GLY:HA3 | 1:A:713:GLY:O | 2.17 | 0.44 |
| 3:C:911:TYR:CG | 3:C:925:LEU:HD12 | 2.53 | 0.43 |
| 3:C:879:THR:HG21 | 3:C:921:THR:CB | 2.48 | 0.43 |
| 2:B:808:LEU:HG | 2:B:812:MET:HE2 | 2.00 | 0.43 |
| 1:A:853:SER:O | 1:A:854:LEU:HB3 | 2.18 | 0.43 |
| 1:A:928:ASP:OD2 | 3:C:921:THR:HA | 2.19 | 0.43 |
| 1:A:586:VAL:HG21 | 1:A:712:PHE:CG | 2.54 | 0.42 |
| 1:A:882:LEU:O | 1:A:886:LEU:HG | 2.19 | 0.42 |
| 1:A:830:PRO:HA | 1:A:833:TRP:CD2 | 2.55 | 0.42 |
| 2:B:668:LEU:HG | 2:B:707:ALA:HB2 | 2.02 | 0.41 |
| 3:C:830:PRO:HA | 3:C:833:TRP:CD2 | 2.56 | 0.41 |
| 3:C:880:VAL:HB | 3:C:881:PRO:HD3 | 2.03 | 0.41 |
| 3:C:879:THR:HG23 | 3:C:881:PRO:HD2 | 2.03 | 0.40 |
| 1:A:761:GLY:HA2 | 1:A:812:MET:HE3 | 2.01 | 0.40 |

There are no symmetry-related clashes.

### 5.3 Torsion angles

### 5.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all X-ray entries followed by that with respect to entries of similar resolution.

The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed, and the total number of residues.

| Mol | Chain | Analysed | Favoured | Allowed | Outliers | Percentiles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | $376 / 381(99 \%)$ | $366(97 \%)$ | $10(3 \%)$ | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| 2 | B | $368 / 375(98 \%)$ | $354(96 \%)$ | $14(4 \%)$ | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| 3 | C | $373 / 378(99 \%)$ | $359(96 \%)$ | $14(4 \%)$ | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| All | All | $1117 / 1134(98 \%)$ | $1079(97 \%)$ | $38(3 \%)$ | 0 | 100 | 100 |

There are no Ramachandran/outliers to report.

### 5.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all X-ray entries followed by that with respect to entries of similar resolution. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed, and the total number of residues.

| Mol | Chain | Analysed | Rotameric | Outliers | Percentiles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | $319 / 338(94 \%)$ | $316(99 \%)$ | $3(1 \%)$ | 87 | 96 |
| 2 | B | $307 / 333(92 \%)$ | $305(99 \%)$ | $2(1 \%)$ | 91 | 98 |
| 3 | C | $321 / 336(96 \%)$ | $319(99 \%)$ | $2(1 \%)$ | 92 | 98 |
| All | All | $947 / 1007(94 \%)$ | $940(99 \%)$ | $7(1 \%)$ | 91 | 98 |

All (7) residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are/isted below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 579 | HIS |
| 1 | A | 743 | LEU |
| 1 | A | 924 | SER |
| 2 | B | 698 | MET |
| 2 | B | 750 | ASN |
| 3 | C | 905 | ARG |
| 3 | C | 924 | SER |

Some sidechains can be flipped to improve hydrogen bonding and reduce clashes. All (1) such sidechains are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | C | 825 | HIS |

### 5.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA chains in this entry.

### 5.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

3 non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues are modelled in this entry.
In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds (or angles) for which Mogul statistics could be retrieyed, the number of bonds (or angles) that are observed in the model and the number of bonds (or angles) that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected
value. A bond length (or angle) with $|Z|>2$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

| Mol | Type | Chain | Res | Link | Bond lengths |  |  | Bond angles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Counts | RMSZ |  | Counts | RMSZ | $\#\|Z\|>2$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 163 | D | 1 | - | $33, ?, ?$ | 0.72 | 0 | $47, ?, ?$ | $(1.81$ | $8(17 \%)$ |
| 4 | 163 | D | 2 | - | $33, ?, ?$ | 0.73 | 0 | $47, ?, ?$ | 2.08 | $10(21 \%)$ |
| 4 | 163 | D | 3 | - | $33, ?, ?$ | 0.71 | 0 | $47, ?, ?$ | 1.56 | $7(14 \%)$ |

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means no outliers of that kind were identified.

| Mol | Type | Chain | Res | Link | Chirals | Torsions | Rings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 163 | D | 1 | - | - | $0 / 13 / ? / ?$ | $0 / 4 / ? / ?$ |
| 4 | 163 | D | 2 | - | - | $0 / 13 / ? / ?$ | $0 / 4 / ? / ?$ |
| 4 | 163 | D | 3 | - | - | $0 / 13 / ? / ?$ | $0 / 4 / ? / ?$ |

There are no bond length outliers.
All (25) bond angle outliers are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | Atoms | Z | Observed $\left({ }^{o}\right)$ | Ideal $\left({ }^{o}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | D | 2 | 163 | N14-C16-N18 | 10.03 | 122.93 | 117.74 |
| 4 | D | 1 | 163 | N14-C16-N18 | 8.57 | 122.17 | 117.74 |
| 4 | D | 3 | 163 | N14-C16-N18 | 6.19 | 120.94 | 117.74 |
| 4 | D | 2 | 163 | C25-C28-C53 | 3.98 | 114.12 | 109.06 |
| 4 | D | 3 | 163 | C29-C28-C50 | 3.81 | 107.22 | 101.58 |
| 4 | D | 1 | 163 | C29-C28-C50 | 3.45 | 106.68 | 101.58 |
| 4 | D | 3 | 163 | C25-C28-C53 | 3.08 | 112.98 | 109.06 |
| 4 | D | 2 | 163 | C32-N35-C50 | 3.05 | 107.19 | 104.02 |
| 4 | D | 3 | 163 | C32-N35-C50 | 2.87 | 106.99 | 104.02 |
| 4 | D | 2 | 163 | C29-C28-C50 | 2.86 | 105.81 | 101.58 |
| 4 | D | 2 | 163 | O17-C16-N18 | -2.79 | 117.50 | 121.77 |
| 4 | D | 1 | 163 | C28-C53-N18 | 2.74 | 115.67 | 111.77 |
| 4 | D | 2 | 163 | C36-N35-C32 | -2.74 | 108.92 | 113.10 |
| 4 | D | 1 | 163 | C36-N35-C32 | -2.73 | 108.93 | 113.10 |
| 4 | D | 1 | 163 | C29-C28-C53 | -2.67 | 107.06 | 111.05 |
| 4 | D | 1 | 163 | C25-C28-C53 | 2.63 | 112.41 | 109.06 |
| 4 | D | 3 | 163 | C36-N35-C50 | -2.57 | 110.20 | 112.81 |
| 4 | D | 2 | 163 | C28-C53-N18 | 2.49 | 115.31 | 111.77 |
| 4 | D | 1 | 163 | C32-N35-C50 | 2.44 | 106.56 | 104.02 |
| 4 | D | 3 | 163 | C29-C28-C53 | -2.35 | 107.54 | 111.05 |
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| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | Atoms | Z | Observed $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Ideal $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | D | 1 | 163 | O17-C16-N18 | -2.31 | 118.24 | 121.77 |
| 4 | D | 3 | 163 | C36-N35-C32 | -2.27 | 109.63 | 113.10 |
| 4 | D | 2 | 163 | C22-C19-N18 | 2.25 | 115.28 | 110.65 |
| 4 | D | 2 | 163 | C19-N18-C53 | 2.20 | 117.23 | 113.98 |
| 4 | D | 2 | 163 | C28-C50-N35 | -2.16 | 101.20 | 103.76 |

There are no chirality outliers.
There are no torsion outliers.
There are no ring outliers.

### 5.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

### 5.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

### 5.7 Other polymers <br> $\square$

There are no such residues in this entry.

### 5.8 Polymer linkage issues

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 6 Fit of model and data (i)

### 6.1 Protein, DNA and RNA chains (i)

In the following table, the column labelled ' $\#$ RSRZ $>2$ ' contains the number (and percentage) of RSRZ outliers, followed by percent RSRZ outliers for the chain as percentile scores relative to all X-ray entries and entries of similar resolution. The OWAB column contains the minimum, median, $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile and maximum values of the occupancy-weighted average B-factor per residue. The column labelled ' $\mathrm{Q}<0.9$ ' lists the number of (and percentage) of residues with an average occupancy less than 0.9.

| Mol | Chain | Analysed | $\langle$ RSRZ $>$ | \#RSRZ $>\mathbf{2}$ |  | OWAB $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $\mathbf{Q}<\mathbf{0 . 9}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | $381 / 381(100 \%)$ | 0.34 | $20(5 \%)$ | 26 | 26 | $28,49,79,111$ | 0 |
| 2 | B | $375 / 375(100 \%)$ | 0.99 | $66(17 \%)$ | 2 | 2 | $38,70,105,132$ | 0 |
| 3 | C | $378 / 378(100 \%)$ | 0.31 | $20(5 \%)$ | 25 | 25 | $29,53,79,113$ | 0 |
| 4 | D | $0 / 3$ | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| All | All | $1134 / 1137(99 \%)$ | 0.54 | $106(9 \%)$ | 9 | 8 | $28,56,95,132$ | 0 |

All (106) RSRZ outliers are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | RSRZ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | B | 923 | GLY | 8.8 |
| 3 | C | 964 | GLU | 7.6 |
| 2 | B | 872 | MET | 7.0 |
| 2 | B | 891 | THR | 6.3 |
| 2 | B | 962 | PHE | 5.6 |
| 2 | B | 916 | ALA | 5.1 |
| 3 | C | 963 | HIS | 5.0 |
| 2 | B | 890 | ARG | 5.0 |
| 2 | B | 963 | HIS | 5.0 |
| 2 | B | 880 | VAL | 4.9 |
| 2 | B | 917 | GLU | 4.9 |
| 2 | B | 882 | LEU | 4.6 |
| 2 | B | 857 | PRO | 4.5 |
| 2 | B | 914 | LEU | 4.5 |
| 2 | B | 615 | LEU | 4.5 |
| 2 | B | 853 | SER | 4.4 |
| 2 | B | 893 | LYS | 4.3 |
| 1 | A | 963 | HIS | 4.3 |
| 2 | B | 892 | TYR | 4.2 |
| 2 | B | 858 | ILE | 4.1 |
| 1 | A | 743 | LEU | 4.1 |
| 2 | B | 878 | ILE | 4.1 |
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| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | RSRZ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | B | 955 | ARG | 4.0 |
| 2 | B | 884 | THR | 4.0 |
| 1 | A | 580 | GLY | 3.8 |
| 2 | B | 574 | LYS | 3.8 |
| 3 | C | 779 | LEU | 3.8 |
| 2 | B | 876 | GLU | 3.8 |
| 3 | C | 854 | LEU | 3.7 |
| 3 | C | 702 | HIS | 3.6 |
| 2 | B | 662 | GLY | 3.6 |
| 2 | B | 744 | SER | 3.5 |
| 2 | B | 835 | LEU | 3.5 |
| 2 | B | 709 | ILE | 3.4 |
| 3 | C | 658 | PHE | 3.4 |
| 2 | B | 750 | ASN | 3.3 |
| 1 | A | 872 | MET | 3.3 |
| 1 | A | 955 | ARG | 3.3 |
| 3 | C | 659 | ALA | 3.3 |
| 1 | A | 574 | LYS | 3.2 |
| 2 | B | 953 | HIS | 3.2 |
| 2 | B | 855 | ASP | 3.2 |
| 1 | A | 924 | SER | 3.1 |
| 3 | C | 750 | ASN | 3.1 |
| 2 | B | 604 | GLU | 3.1 |
| 2 | B | 911 | TYR | 3.1 |
| 2 | B | 877 | ASN | 3.1 |
| 1 | A | 964 | GLU | 3.0 |
| 2 | B | 915 | PRO | 3.0 |
| 2 | B | 818 | GLN | 3.0 |
| 2 | B | 743 | LEU | 3.0 |
| 2 | B | 663 | LEU | 3.0 |
| 2 | B | 959 | PRO | 2.9 |
| 2 | B | 879 | THR | 2.9 |
| 2 | B | 958 | GLN | 2.9 |
| 2 | B | 895 | GLY | 2.8 |
| 3 | C | 744 | SER | 2.8 |
| 1 | A | 923 | GLY | 2.8 |
| 1 | A | 890 | ARG | 2.8 |
| 1 | A | 916 | ALA | 2.8 |
| 2 | B | 881 | PRO | 2.8 |
| 2 | B | 693 | ASN | 2.7 |
| 2 | B | 918 | VAL | 2.7 |
| 3 | C | 955 | ARG | 2.6 |
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| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | RSRZ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | C | 782 | GLN | 2.6 |
| 1 | A | 566 | VAL | 2.6 |
| 2 | B | 852 | GLU | 2.6 |
| 3 | C | 655 | GLN | 2.6 |
| 2 | B | 956 | LEU | 2.5 |
| 2 | B | 564 | VAL | 2.5 |
| 2 | B | 710 | SER | 2.5 |
| 2 | B | 694 | ILE | 2.5 |
| 2 | B | 950 | LEU | 2.5 |
| 3 | C | 661 | LEU | 2.5 |
| 2 | B | 883 | GLN | 2.4 |
| 2 | B | 920 | GLU | 2.4 |
| 1 | A | 655 | GLN | 2.4 |
| 2 | B | 658 | PHE | 2.4 |
| 2 | B | 867 | ARG | 2.4 |
| 2 | B | 869 | VAL | 2.4 |
| 3 | C | 709 | ILE | 2.4 |
| 1 | A | 579 | HIS | 2.4 |
| 3 | C | 933 | PHE | 2.4 |
| 3 | C | 705 | ILE | 2.4 |
| 1 | A | 761 | GLY | 2.3 |
| 1 | A | 642 | ILE | 2.3 |
| 2 | B | 636 | GLN | 2.3 |
| 1 | A | 581 | ALA | 2.3 |
| 2 | B | 921 | THR | 2.3 |
| 3 | C | 701 | ALA | 2.2 |
| 3 | C | 611 | ARG | 2.2 |
| 2 | B | 712 | PHE | 2.2 |
| 2 | B | 759 | SER | 2.2 |
| 2 | B | 661 | LEU | 2.2 |
| 2 | B | 642 | ILE | 2.2 |
| 1 | A | 888 | LYS | 2.2 |
| 2 | B | 625 | VAL | 2.2 |
| 2 | B | 924 | SER | 2.1 |
| 2 | B | 894 | GLY | 2.1 |
| 2 | B | 870 | VAL | 2.1 |
| 2 | B | 708 | MET | 2.1 |
| 3 | C | 660 | HIS | 2.1 |
| 3 | C | 950 | LEU | 2.1 |
| 1 | A | 626 | ILE | 2.1 |
| 1 | A | 693 | ASN | 2.0 |
| 2 | B | 798 | GLU | 2.0 |

### 6.2 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

In the following table, the Atoms column lists the number of modelled atoms in the group and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. LLDF column lists the quality of electron density of the group with respect to its neighbouring residues in protein, DNA or RNA chains. The B-factors column lists the minimum, median, $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile and maximum values of B factors of atoms in the group. The column labelled ' $\mathrm{Q}<0.9$ ' lists the number of atoms with occupancy less than 0.9.

| Mol | Type | Chain | Res | Atoms | RSR | LLDF | B-factors $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $\mathbf{Q}<\mathbf{0 . 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 163 | D | 3 | $30 / ?$ | 0.19 | 0.19 | $43,61,81,180$ | 0 |
| 4 | 163 | D | 2 | $30 / ?$ | 0.22 | 0.06 | $57,80,106,117$ | 0 |
| 4 | 163 | D | 1 | $30 / ?$ | 0.17 | -0.43 | $32,48,70,89$ | 0 |

### 6.3 Carbohydrates

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

### 6.4 Ligands (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

### 6.5 Other polymers (i)

There are no such residues in this entry.
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## 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The reported resolution of this entry is $3.10 \AA$.
Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.


| Metric | Whole archive <br> (\#Entries) | Similar resolution <br> (\#Entries, resolution range( $\AA$ )) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ | 66092 | $1007(3.18-3.02)$ |
| Clashscore | 79885 | $1078(3.16-3.04)$ |
| Ramachandran outliers | 78287 | $1044(3.16-3.04)$ |
| Sidechain outliers | 78261 | $1044(3.16-3.04)$ |
| RSRZ outliers | 66119 | $1008(3.18-3.02)$ |

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the electron density. The red, orange, yellow and green segments on the lower bar indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for $\$=3,2,1$ and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. The upper red bar (where present) indicates the fraction of residues that have poor fit to the electron density.

| Mol | Chain | Length |  | Quality of chain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 383 |  |  |
| 2 | B | 385 |  |  |
| 3 | C | 379 |  |  |

The following table lists non-polymeric compounds that are outliers for geometric or electron-density-fit criteria:

| Mol | Type | Chain | Res | Geometry | Electron density |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | MG | F | 1 | - | X |
| 4 | MG | F | 2 | - | X |

## 2 Entry composition (i)

There are 6 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 16836 atoms, of which 8044 are hydrogens and 0 are deuterium.

In the tables below, the ZeroOcc column contains the number of atoms modelled with zero occupancy, the AltConf column contains the number of residues with at least one atom in alternate conformation and the Trace column contains the number of residues modelled with at most 2 atoms.

- Molecule 1 is a protein.

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms |  |  |  |  | ZeroOcc | AltConf | Trace |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 383 | Total <br> 756 | C <br> 1900 | H | N | O | S | 0 | 1 |

- Molecule 2 is a protein.

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms |  |  |  |  | ZeroOcc | AltConf | Trace |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | B | 385 | Total <br> 5567 | $\mathbf{1}$ | H | N | O | O | S | 0 |

- Molecule 3 is a protein.

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms |  |  |  |  | ZeroOcc | AltConf | Trace |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | C | 379 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 5369 \end{aligned}$ | C H <br> 1808 2554 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{N} \\ 474 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O} \\ 518 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{S} \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |

- Molecule 4 is MAGNESIUM ION (three-letter code: MG) (formula: unknown).

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms | ZeroOcc | AltConf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | F | 1 | Total <br> 1 | Mg <br> 1 | 0 |

- Molecule 5 is ADENOSINE-5'-DIPHOSPHATE (three-letter code: ADP) (formula: unknown).
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| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms |  |  |  |  |  | ZeroOcc | AltConf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | E | 1 | Total <br> 39 | C | H | N | O | P | 0 | 0 |

- Molecule 6 is water.

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms | ZeroOcc | AltConf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | W | 27 | Total  <br> 27 27 | 0 | 0 |

## 3 Residue-property plots (i)

These plots are drawn for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic for a chain summarises the proportions of errors displayed in the second graphic. The second graphic shows the sequence view annotated by issues in geometry and electron density. Residues are colorcoded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green $=0$, yellow $=1$, orange $=2$ and red $=3$ or more. A red dot above a residue indicates a poor fit to the electron density $(\operatorname{RSRZ}>2)$. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outlier are shown as a green connector. Residues present in the sample, but not in the model, are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1:

Chain A:


- Molecule 2:

Chain B:


- Molecule 3:

Chain C:


## 4 Data and refinement statistics (i)



Xtriage's analysis on translational NCS is as follows: The largest off-origin peak in the Patterson function is $3.62 \%$ of the height of the origin peak. No significant pseudotranslation is detected.

[^0]
## 5 Model quality (i)

### 5.1 Standard geometry



Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: MG, ADP

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with $|Z|>5$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond/lengths (or angles).

| Mol | Chain | Bond lengths |  | Bond angles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | RMSZ | $\#\|Z\|>5$ | RMSZ | $\#\|Z\|>5$ |
| 1 | A | 0.28 | $0 / 3037$ | 0.50 | $0 / 4120$ |
| 2 | B | 0.27 | $0 / 2978$ | 0.48 | $0 / 4049$ |
| 3 | C | 0.27 | $0 / 2882$ | 0.48 | $0 / 3925$ |
| All | All | 0.28 | $0 / 8897$ | 0.49 | $0 / 12094$ |

There are no bond length outliers.
There are no bond angle outliers.
There are no chirality outliers.
There are no planarity outliers.

### 5.2 Close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non- H and H (model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in the chain respectively. The H (added) column lists the number of hydrogens added by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes within the asymmetric unit, and the number in parentheses is this value normalized per 1000 atoms of the molecule in the chain. The Symm-Clashes column gives symmetry related clashes, in the same way as for the Clashes column.

| Mol | Chain | Non-H | $\mathbf{H}$ (model) | H(added) | Clashes | Symm-Clashes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 2961 | 2795 | 2788 | 26 | 0 |
| 2 | B | 2906 | 2661 | 2657 | 24 | 0 |
| 3 | C | 2815 | 2554 | 2553 | 13 | 0 |
| 4 | F | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | E | 81 | 34 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | W | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All | All | 8792 | 8044 | 8034 | 62 | 0 |

Clashscore is defined as the number of clashes calculated for the entry per 1000 atoms (including
hydrogens) of the entry. The overall clashscore for this entry is 4 .
All (62) close contacts within the same asymmetric unit are listed below.

| Atom-1 | Atom-2 | Distance( $\AA$ ) | Clash $(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2:B:777:LYS:O | 2:B:781:ARG:N | 2.28 | 0.67 |
| 1:A:914:LEU:O | 1:A:919:ARG:NH1 | 2.27 | 0.67 |
| 1:A:848:ARG:NH2 | 1:A:954:GLU:OE1 | 2.36 | 0.59 |
| 1:A:866:GLY:HA2 | 1:A:867:ARG:CB | 2.34 | 0.58 |
| 2:B:866:GLY:HA2 | 2:B:867:ARG:CB | 2.36 | 0.55 |
| 1:A:807:GLU:OE1 | 1:A:829:HIS:NE2 | 2.33 | 0.55 |
| 1:A:657:ASP:O | 2:B:702:HIS:HA | 2.07 | 0.54 |
| 3:C:854:LEU:N | 3:C:855:ASP:CB | 2.73 | 0.52 |
| 2:B:863:GLU:OE2 | 2:B:897:VAL:N | 2.43 | 0.51 |
| 2:B:854:LEU:N | 2:B:855:ASP:CB | 2.74 | 0.50 |
| 3:C:808:LEU:HG | 3:C:812:MET:HE2 | 1.93 | 0.50 |
| 1:A:808:LEU:HB2 | 1:A:829:HIS:CE1 | 2.47 | 0.49 |
| 2:B:873:ASP:HA | 2:B:894:GLY:O | 2.12 | 0.49 |
| 2:B:628:TYR:CD1 | 2:B:642:ILE:HG22 | 2.48 | 0.49 |
| 2:B:765:TYR:O | 2:B:769:SER:OG | 2.18 | 0.49 |
| 1:A:628:TYR:CD1 | 1:A:642:ILE:HG22 | 2.49 | 0.48 |
| 2:B:830:PRO:HA | 2:B:833:TRP:CD2 | 2.49 \% | 0.48 |
| 3:C:775:PHE:HD1 | 3:C:784:ASN:HB3 | 1.78 | 0.47 |
| 2:B:659:ALA:HA | 2:B:661:LEU:N | 2.29 | 0.47 |
| 1:A:653:VAL:HG21 | 1:A:766:TYR:CE2 | 2.50 | 0.47 |
| 1:A:865:GLY:HA3 | 1:A:943:HIS:CE1 | 2.50 | 0.46 |
| 2:B:743:LEU:HD22 | 2:B:786:LEU:HD21 | 1.96 | 0.46 |
| 1:A:739:ALA:HA | 1:A:758:PHE:CD2 | 2.50 | 0.46 |
| 3:C:777:LYS:CB | 3:C:780:GLN:HG2 | 2.46 | 0.46 |
| 3:C:799:LYS:O | 3:C:803:VAL:HG23 | 2.15 | 0.45 |
| 1:A:850:GLU:N | 1:A:851:LYS:CB | 2.80 | 0.45 |
| 1:A:788:GLY:HA2 | 1:A:815:MET:HE1 | 1.99 | 0.44 |
| 1:A:863:GLU:OE2 | 1:A:897:VAL:N | 2.51 | 0.44 |
| 1:A:830:PRO:HA | 1:A:833:TRP:CD2 | 2.52 | 0.44 |
| 2:B:655:GLN:HG3 | 2:B:656:LYS:N | 2.33 | 0.44 |
| 3:C:650:GLN:OE1 | 3:C:692:HIS:NE2 | 2.50 | 0.44 |
| 3:C:866:GLY:HA2 | 3:C:867:ARG:CB | 2.48 | 0.44 |
| 1:A:862:LEU:CD2 | 1:A:897:VAL:HB | 2.47 | 0.44 |
| 2:B:658:PHE:C | 2:B:660:HIS:HB2 | 2.38 | 0.44 |
| 1:A:870:VAL:HG22 | 1:A:874:TRP:HB3 | 1.99 | 0.43 |
| 3:C:808:LEU:HB2 | 3:C:829:HIS:CE1 | 2.52 | 0.43 |
| 2:B:655:GLN:HG3 | 2:B:656:LYS:H | 1.82 | 0.43 |
| 2:B:865:GLY:HA3 | 2:B:943:HIS:CE1 | 2.53 | 0.43 |
| 3:C:784:ASN:HB3 | 3:C:789:ALA:HB3 | 1.99 | 0.43 |
| 1:A:775:PHE:CZ | 1:A:785:ILE:HG12 | 2.53 | 0.43 |
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| Atom-1 | Atom-2 | Distance $(\AA)$ | Clash $(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2:B:841:PHE:O | 2:B:845:VAL:HG23 | 2.19 | 0.43 |
| 1:A:792:LEU:HD23 | 1:A:795:LEU:HD12 | 2.01 | 0.42 |
| 3:C:686:HIS:CE1 | 3:C:688:ASP:O | 2.72 | 0.42 |
| 3:C:858:ILE:HG23 | 3:C:859:VAL:N | 2.34 | 0.42 |
| 1:A:850:GLU:HB3 | 1:A:851:LYS:CB | 2.49 | 0.42 |
| 1:A:775:PHE:N | 1:A:775:PHE:CD1 | 2.87 | 0.42 |
| 3:C:850:GLU:HA | 3:C:851:LYS:HA | 1.84 | 0.42 |
| 2:B:736:GLY:N | 2:B:782:GLN:OE1 | 2.35 | 0.42 |
| 1:A:761:GLY:HA2 | 1:A:812:MET:HE3 | 2.02 | 0.41 |
| 2:B:576:VAL:HG22 | 2:B:587:TYR:CE1 | 2.55 | 0.41 |
| 2:B:784:ASN:HB3 | 2:B:789:ALA:HB3 | 2.01 | 0.41 |
| 2:B:775:PHE:CE2 | 2:B:790:CYS:HB3 | 2.56 | 0.41 |
| 2:B:656:LYS:O | 2:B:657:ASP:CB | 2.69 | 0.41 |
| 2:B:807:GLU:OE2 | 2:B:946:ARG:NE | 2.52 | 0.41 |
| 3:C:792:LEU:HD12 | 3:C:792:LEU:N | 2.36 | 0.41 |
| 1:A:841:PHE:O | 1:A:845:VAL:HG23 | 2.20 | 0.41 |
| 1:A:768:ILE:HD11 | 1:A:831:PHE:CE1 | 2.55 | 0.40 |
| 1:A:822:SER:O | 1:A:826:VAL:HG23 | 2.21 | 0.40 |
| 2:B:853:SER:CB | 2:B:855:ASP:CB | 2.99 | 0.40 |
| 1:A:862:LEU:O | 1:A:943:HIS:NE2 | 2.51 | 0.40 |
| 2:B:854:LEU:CB | 2:B:859:VAL:CB | 2.99 | 0.40 |
| 1:A:862:LEU:HD21 | 1:A:897:VAL:CG1 | 2.52 | 0.40 |

There are no symmetry-related clashes.

### 5.3 Torsion angles

### 5.3.1 Protein backbone

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all X-ray entries followed by that with respect to entries of similar resolution.

The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed, and the total number of residues.

| Mol | Chain | Analysed | Favoured | Allowed | Outliers | Percentiles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | $376 / 383(98 \%)$ | $363(96 \%)$ | $13(4 \%)$ | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| 2 | B | $377 / 385(98 \%)$ | $359(95 \%)$ | $18(5 \%)$ | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| 3 | C | $369 / 379(97 \%)$ | $355(96 \%)$ | $14(4 \%)$ | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| All | All | $1122 / 1147(98 \%)$ | $1077(96 \%)$ | $45(4 \%)$ | 0 | 100 | 100 |

There are no Ramachandran outliers to report.

### 5.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)



In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all X-ray entries followed by that with respect to entries of similar resolution. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed, and the total number of residues.

| Mol | Chain | Analysed | Rotameric | Outliers | Percentiles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | $299 / 340(88 \%)$ | $299(100 \%)$ | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| 2 | B | $284 / 342(83 \%)$ | $282(99 \%)$ | $2(1 \%)$ | 91 | 97 |
| 3 | C | $269 / 337(80 \%)$ | $268(100 \%)$ | $1(0 \%)$ | 95 | 98 |
| All | All | $852 / 1019(84 \%)$ | $849(100 \%)$ | $3(0 \%)$ | 95 | 98 |

All (3) residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | B | 595 | ASP |
| 2 | B | 660 | HIS |
| 3 | C | 743 | LEU |

Some sidechains can be flipped to improve hydrogen bonding and reduce clashes. All (1) such sidechains are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 702 | HIS |

### 5.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA chains in this entry.

### 5.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 5.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

### 5.6 Ligand geometry (i)

Of 5 ligands modelled in this entry, 2 are modelled with single atom - leaying 3 for Mogul analysis.

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds (or angles) for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds (or angles) that are observed in the model and the number of bonds (or angles) that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with $|Z|>2$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

| Mol | Type | Chain | Res | Link | Bond lengths |  |  | Bond angles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Counts | RMSZ | $\#\|Z\|>2$ | Counts | RMSZ | $\#\|Z\|>2$ |
| 5 | ADP | E | 1 | - | $29, ?, ?$ | 1.31 | $4(13 \%)$ | $45, ?, ?$ | 2.01 | $13(28 \%)$ |
| 5 | ADP | E | 2 | - | $29, ?, ?$ | 1.29 | $4(13 \%)$ | $45, ?, ?$ | 1.91 | $13(28 \%)$ |
| 5 | ADP | E | 3 | - | $29, ?, ?$ | 1.31 | $4(13 \%)$ | $45, ?, ?$ | 1.91 | $12(26 \%)$ |

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means no outliers of that kind were identified.

| Mol | Type | Chain | Res | Link | Chirals | Torsions | Rings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | ADP | E | 1 | - | - | $0 / 16 / ? / ?$ | $0 / 3 / ? / ?$ |
| 5 | ADP | E | 2 | - | - | $0 / 16 / ? / ?$ | $0 / 3 / ? / ?$ |
| 5 | ADP | E | 3 | - | - | $0 / 16 / ? / ?$ | $0 / 3 / ? / ?$ |

All (12) bond length outliers are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | Atoms | $\mathbf{Z}$ | Observed $(\AA)$ | Ideal $(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | E | 3 | ADP | C5-C4 | 4.21 | 1.47 | 1.38 |
| 5 | E | 1 | ADP | C5-C4 | 4.10 | 1.46 | 1.38 |
| 5 | E | 2 | ADP | C5-C4 | 4.06 | 1.46 | 1.38 |
| 5 | E | 2 | ADP | C5-N7 | -2.78 | 1.34 | 1.39 |
| 5 | E | 3 | ADP | C5-C6 | 2.72 | 1.48 | 1.41 |
| 5 | E | 1 | ADP | C5-C6 | 2.70 | 1.47 | 1.41 |
| 5 | E | 1 | ADP | C5-N7 | -2.65 | 1.34 | 1.39 |
| 5 | E | 3 | ADP | C5-N7 | -2.52 | 1.34 | 1.39 |
| 5 | E | 1 | ADP | C8-N7 | 2.46 | 1.36 | 1.31 |
| 5 | E | 3 | ADP | C8-N7 | 2.41 | 1.36 | 1.31 |
| 5 | E | 2 | ADP | C8-N7 | 2.32 | 1.36 | 1.31 |
| 5 | E | 2 | ADP | C5-C6 | 2.26 | 1.46 | 1.41 |

All (38) bond angle outliers are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | Atoms | Z | Observed ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Ideal $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | E | 1 | ADP | C5-C4-N3 | -6.23 | 118.65 | 126.84 |
| 5 | E | 3 | ADP | C5-C4-N3 | -5.57 | 119.52 | 126.84 |
| 5 | E | 2 | ADP | C5-C4-N3 | -5.51 | 119.60 | 126.84 |
| 5 | E | 1 | ADP | N3-C4-N9 | 5.02 | 135.25 | 127.00 |
| 5 | E | 2 | ADP | N3-C4-N9 | 4.94 | 135.12 | 127.00 |
| 5 | E | 1 | ADP | C2-N3-C4 | 4.79 | 121.37 | 111.39 |
| 5 | E | 3 | ADP | C2-N3-C4 | 4.59 | 120.95 | 111.39 |
| 5 | E | 3 | ADP | N3-C4-N9 | 4.58 | 134.54 | 127.00 |
| 5 | E | 2 | ADP | C2-N3-C4 | 4.40 | 120.55 | 111.39 |
| 5 | E | 3 | ADP | N3-C2-N1 | -3.63 | 122.56 | 128.67 |
| 5 | E | 2 | ADP | N3-C2-N1 | -3.54 | 122.71 | 128.67 |
| 5 | E | 1 | ADP | N3-C2-N1 | -3.43 | 122.89 | 128,67 |
| 5 | E | 1 | ADP | PA-O3A-PB | -3,37 | 121.36 | 132.67 |
| 5 | E | 1 | ADP | C5-N7-C8 | 3.20 | 107.51 | 103.61 |
| 5 | E | 2 | ADP | C4-N9-C8 | 3.08 | -109.26 | 105.72 |
| 5 | E | 3 | ADP | C5-N7-C8 | 3.04 | 107.32 | 103.61 |
| 5 | E | 3 | ADP | C6-C5-N7 | 3.02 | 136.66 | 131.97 |
| 5 | E | 1 | ADP | C4-C5-N7 | -2.99 | 106.91 | 110.64 |
| 5 | E | 3 | ADP | PA-O3A-PB | -2.95 | 122.76 | 132.67 |
| 5 | E | 2 | ADP | C5-C6-N6 | -2.92 | 118.94 | 123.64 |
| 5 | E | 3 | ADP | C4-C5-N7 | -2.90 | 107.02 | 110.64 |
| 5 | E | 3 | ADP | C4-N9-C8 | 2.83 | 108.97 | 105.72 |
| 5 | E | 1 | ADP | C6-C5-N7 | 2.76 | 136.26 | 131.97 |
| 5 | E | 2 | ADP | C5-N7-C8 | 2.70 | 106.90 | 103.61 |
| 5 | E | 1 | ADP | C4-N9-C8 | 2.68 | 108.79 | 105.72 |
| 5 | E | 1 | ADP | C3'-C2'-C1' | 2.47 | 106.38 | 101.40 |
| 5 | E | 2 | ADP | C6-C5-N7 | 2.26 | 135.48 | 131.97 |
| 5 | E | 1 | ADP | N9-C8-N7 | -2.25 | 110.69 | 113.89 |
| 5 | E | 2 | ADP | PA-O3A-PB | -2.25 | 125.13 | 132.67 |
| 5 | E | 2 | ADP | N9-C8-N7 | -2.24 | 110.70 | 113.89 |
| 5 | E | 2 | ADP | C4-C5-N7 | -2.23 | 107.85 | 110.64 |
| 5 | E | 1 | ADP | C5-C6-N6 | -2.22 | 120.06 | 123.64 |
| 5 | E | 3 | ADP | N9-C8-N7 | -2.22 | 110.74 | 113.89 |
| 5 | E | 3 | ADP | O2B-PB-O3B | 2.12 | 115.44 | 107.38 |
| 5 | E | 2 | ADP | N6-C6-N1 | 2.10 | 122.60 | 118.39 |
| 5 | E | 2 | ADP | C2'-C1'-N9 | -2.07 | 107.66 | 113.34 |
| 5 | E | 1 | ADP | O3B-PB-O1B | 2.05 | 115.17 | 107.38 |
| 5 | E | 3 | ADP | C2-N1-C6 | 2.05 | 122.45 | 118.77 |

There are no chirality outliers.
There are no torsion outliers.

There are no ring outliers.

### 5.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such residues in this entry.

### 5.8 Polymer linkage issues

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 6 Fit of model and data (i)

### 6.1 Protein, DNA and RNA chains (i)

In the following table, the column labelled ' $\#$ RSRZ $>2$ ' contains the number (and percentage) of RSRZ outliers, followed by percent RSRZ outliers for the chain as percentile scores relative to all X-ray entries and entries of similar resolution. The OWAB column contains the minimum, median, $95^{t h}$ percentile and maximum values of the occupancy-weighted average B-factor per residue. The column labelled ' $\mathrm{Q}<0.9$ ' lists the number of (and percentage) of residues with an average occupancy less than 0.9.

| Mol | Chain | Analysed | $\langle$ RSRZ $>$ | \#RSRZ $>\mathbf{2}$ |  | OWAB $\left(\mathbf{A}^{2}\right)$ | $\mathbf{Q}<\mathbf{0 . 9}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | $383 / 383(100 \%)$ | -0.12 | $1(0 \%)$ | 91 | 53 | $51,78,110,168$ | 0 |
| 2 | B | $385 / 385(100 \%)$ | -0.09 | $1(0 \%)$ | 91 | 53 | $54,84,129,163$ | 0 |
| 3 | C | $379 / 379(100 \%)$ | 0.03 | $2(0 \%)$ | 88 | 39 | $63,104,144,192$ | 0 |
| All | All | $1147 / 1147(100 \%)$ | -0.06 | $4(0 \%)$ | 91 | 53 | $51,88,135,192$ | 0 |

All (4) RSRZ outliers are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | RSRZ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | C | 903 | ALA | 2.9 |
| 1 | A | 731 | VAL | 2.8 |
| 2 | B | 588 | ARG | 2.1 |
| 3 | C | 628 | TYR | 2.1 |

### 6.2 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains i

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.3 Carbohydrates

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

### 6.4 Ligands (i)

In the following table, the Atoms column lists the number of modelled atoms in the group and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. LLDF column lists the quality of electron density of the group with respect to its neighbouring residues in protein, DNA or RNA chains. The B-factors column lists the minimum, median, $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile and maximum values of B factors of atoms in the group. The column labelled ' $\mathrm{Q}<0.9$ ' lists the number of atoms with occupancy less than 0,9 .

| Mol | Type | Chain | Res | Atoms | RSR | LLDF | B-factors $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | Q<0.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | MG | F | 1 | $1 / ?$ | 0.62 | 13.20 | $180,180,180,180$ | 0 |
| 4 | MG | F | 2 | $1 / ?$ | 0.41 | 3.45 | $65,65,65,65$ | 0 |
| 5 | ADP | E | 1 | $27 / ?$ | 0.26 | 1.24 | $58,81,100,102$ | 0 |
| 5 | ADP | E | 2 | $27 / ?$ | 0.24 | 0.32 | $53,75,95,102$ | 0 |
| 5 | ADP | E | 3 | $27 / ?$ | 0.25 | 0.00 | $80,100,122,137$ | 0 |

### 6.5 Other polymers

There are no such residues in this entry.
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## 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The reported resolution of this entry is $2.49 \AA$.
Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.


The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the electron density. The red, orange, yellow and green segments on the lower bar indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for $\$=3,2,1$ and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. The upper red bar (where present) indicates the fraction of residues that have poor fit to the electron density.

| Mol | Chain | Length |  | Quality of chain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | A | 385 |  |  |
| 2 | B | 384 |  |  |



## 2 Entry composition (i)

There are 4 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 12013 atoms, of which 5840 are hydrogens and 0 are deuterium.

In the tables below, the ZeroOcc column contains the number of atoms modelled with zero occupancy, the AltConf column contains the number of residues with at least one atom in alternate conformation and the Trace column contains the number of residues modelled with at most 2 atoms.

- Molecule 1 is a protein.

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms |  |  |  |  | ZeroOcc | AltConf | Trace |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 385 | Total <br> 5974 | C | H | N | O | P | S | 0 | 2 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 2932 | 535 | 556 | 3 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |

- Molecule 2 is a protein.

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms |  |  |  |  | ZeroOcc | AltConf | Trace |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | B | 384 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Total } \\ & 5857 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ 1901 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} \\ 2854 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{O} \\ 529 & 551 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{~S} \\ 3 & 19 \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |

- Molecule 3 is STAUROSPORINE (three-letter code: STU) (formula: unknown).

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms | ZeroOcc | AltConf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | L | 1 | $\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { Total } & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{O} \\ 62 & 28 & 27 & 4 & 3\end{array}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | L | 1 | Total C H N O <br> 62 28 27 4 3 | 0 | 0 |

- Molecule 4 is water.

| Mol | Chain | Residues | Atoms |  | ZeroOcc | AltConf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | W | 58 | Total | O | 0 | 0 |

## 3 Residue-property plots (i)

These plots are drawn for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic for a chain summarises the proportions of errors displayed in the second graphic. The second graphic shows the sequence view annotated by issues in geometry and electron density. Residues are colorcoded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green $=0$, yellow $=1$, orange $=2$ and red $=3$ or more. A red dot above a residue indicates a poor fit to the electron density $(\operatorname{RSRZ}>2)$. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outlier are shown as a green connector. Residues present in the sample, but not in the model, are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1:

Chain A:


- Molecule 2:

Chain B:


## 4 Data and refinement statistics (i)

| Property | Value | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Space group | P 212121 | Depositor |
| Cell constants $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ | $49.34 \AA$ $155.26 \AA$ $155.64 \AA$ <br> $90.00^{\circ}$ $90.00^{\circ}$ $90.00^{\circ}$ | Depositor |
| Resolution ( $\AA$ ) | $\begin{array}{ll} 40.23 & - \\ 40.22 & - \\ 2.49 \end{array}$ | Depositor EDS |
| \% Data completeness (in resolution range) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 98.2(40.23-2.49) \\ & 97.7(40.22-2.49) \end{aligned}$ | Depositor EDS |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {merge }}$ | (Not available) | Depositor |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {sym }}$ | (Not available) | Depositor |
| $<I / \sigma(I)>$ | - | Xtriage |
| Refinement program | PHENIX (phenix.refine: 1.9_1692) | Depositor |
| $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ | 0.247 <br> 0.255, 0.289 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Depositor } \\ \text { DCC } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ test set | 2116 reflections (5.31\%) | DCC |
| Wilson B-factor ( $\AA^{2}$ ) | (Not available) | Xtriage |
| Anisotropy | (Not available) | Xtriage |
| Bulk solvent $k_{\text {sol }}\left(\mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}\right), B_{\text {sol }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | - $0.38,27.8$ | EDS |
| Estimated twinning fraction | No twinning to report. | Xtriage |
| L-test for twinning | $<\|L\|>=$ (Not available), $\left\langle L^{2}\right\rangle=$ (Not available) | Xtriage |
| Outliers | $\checkmark$ (Not/available) | Xtriage |
| $\mathrm{F}_{o}, \mathrm{~F}_{c}$ correlation | ) 0.92 | EDS |
| Total number of atoms | $\square 12013$ | wwPDB-VP |
| Average B, all atoms ( $\AA^{2}$ ) | W 52.0 | wwPDB-VP |

Xtriage's analysis on translational NCS is as follows: (Not available)

## 5 Model quality (i)

### 5.1 Standard geometry

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: STU, SEP

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with $|Z|>5$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond/lengths (or angles).

| Mol | Chain | Bond lengths |  | Bond angles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | RMSZ | $\#\|Z\|>5$ | RMSZ | $\#\|Z\|>5$ |
| 1 | A | 0.25 | $0 / 3084$ | 0.45 | $0 / 4167$ |
| 2 | B | 0.24 | $0 / 3040$ | 0.42 | $0 / 4111$ |
| All | All | 0.25 | $0 / 6124$ | 0.44 | $0 / 8278$ |

There are no bond length outliers.
There are no bond angle outliers.
There are no chirality outliers.
There are no planarity outliers.

### 5.2 Close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non- H and H (model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in the chain respectively. The H (added) column lists the number of hydrogens added by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes within the asymmetric unit, and the number in parentheses is this value normalized per 1000 atoms of the molecule in the chain. The Symm-Clashes column gives symmetry related clashes, in the same way as for the Clashes column.

| Mol | Chain | Non-H | H(model) | H(added) | Clashes | Symm-Clashes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 3042 | 2932 | 2929 | 23 | 0 |
| 2 | B | 3003 | 2854 | 2852 | 19 | 0 |
| 3 | L | 70 | 54 | 52 | 5 | 0 |
| 4 | W | 58 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| All | All | 6173 | 5840 | 5833 | 43 | 0 |

Clashscore is defined as the number of clashes calculated for the entry per 1000 atoms (including hydrogens) of the entry. The overall clashscore for this entry is 4 .

All (43) close contacts within the same asymmetric unit are listed below.

| Atom-1 | Atom-2 | Distance( $\AA$ ) | Clash ( $\AA$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:A:686:HIS:ND1 | 1:A:688:ASP:O | 2.27 | 0.68 |
| 1:A:654:GLU:N | 1:A:654:GLU:OE1 | 2.29 | 0.64 |
| 1:A:687:ARG:NH2 | 1:A:729:SEP:O3P | 2.31 | 0.63 |
| 3:L:1:STU:H261 | 3:L:1:STU:H16 | 1.82 | 0.60 |
| 3:L:2:STU:H16 | 3:L:2:STU:H261 | 1.83 | 0.60 |
| 2:B:654:GLU:OE1 | 2:B:654:GLU:N | 2.37 | 0.57 |
| 1:A:758:PHE:HA | 1:A:812:MET:O | 2.06 | 0.56 |
| 2:B:686:HIS:ND1 | 2:B:688:ASP:O | 2.43 | 0.51 |
| 3:L:2:STU:H273 | 3:L:2:STU:C17 | 2.41 | 0.50 |
| 1:A:687:ARG:NH1 | 1:A:714:LEU:O | 2.44 | 0.49 |
| 2:B:572:CYS:SG | 2:B:574:LYS:HG2 | 2.54 | 0.47 |
| 1:A:568:LYS:NZ | 2:B:632:GLU:OE2 | 2.42 | 0.46 |
| 1:A:653:VAL:HG21 | 1:A:766:TYR:CE2 | 2.51 | 0.46 |
| 1:A:686:HIS:CE1 | 1:A:688:ASP:O | 2.68 | 0.46 |
| 1:A:918:VAL:HA | 1:A:921:THR:HG22 | 1.98 | 0.46 |
| 1:A:931:CYS:O | 1:A:935:SER:OG | 2.23 | 0.46 |
| 2:B:885:ASP:OD2 | 2:B:907:LYS:NZ | 2.35 | $\bigcirc 0.45$ |
| 2:B:724:SEP:O3P | 2:B:750:ASN:ND2 | 2.39 | 0.45 |
| 1:A:692:HIS:HB3 | 3:L:1:STU:H281 | 1.99 | 0.45 |
| 2:B:692:HIS:HB3 | 3:L:2:STU:H281 | 1.98 | 0.45 |
| 1:A:611:ARG:CG | 1:A:612:GLU:N | 2.80 | 0.45 |
| 2:B:830:PRO:HA | 2:B:833:TRP:CG | - 2.52 | 0.45 |
| 2:B:808:LEU:HG | 2:B:812:MET:HE2 | 1.99 | 0.45 |
| 2:B:808:LEU:HB2 | 2:B:829:HS:CE1 | 2.52 | 0.45 |
| 2:B:931:CYS:O | 2:B:935:SER:OG | 2.23 | 0.44 |
| 1:A:808:LEU:HB2 | 1:A:829:HIS:CE1 | 2.53 | 0.44 |
| 1:A:870:VAL:HG13 | 1:A:874:TRP:HB3 | 1.99 | 0.44 |
| 2:B:724:SEP:O2P | 4:W:45:HOH:O | 2.21 | 0.44 |
| 1:A:830:PRO:HA | 1:A:833:TRP:CG | 2.51 | 0.44 |
| 1:A:850:GLU:HA | 1:A:851:LYS:HA | 1.77 | 0.44 |
| 2:B:850:GLU:HA | 2:B:851:LYS:HA | 1.84 | 0.42 |
| 2:B:611:ARG:HG3 | 2:B:612:GLU:N | 2.34 | 0.42 |
| 2:B:716:LYS:NZ | 2:B:729:SEP:O2P | 2.51 | 0.42 |
| 1:A:768:ILE:HD12 | 1:A:809:ILE:HD11 | 2.02 | 0.42 |
| 1:A:628:TYR:OH | 2:B:592:ASP:OD2 | 2.24 | 0.41 |
| 1:A:925:LEU:HD23 | 1:A:929:PHE:CB | 2.51 | 0.41 |
| 1:A:830:PRO:HA | 1:A:833:TRP:CD2 | 2.56 | 0.41 |
| 1:A:880:VAL:CG1 | 1:A:881:PRO:HD3 | 2.50 | 0.41 |
| 2:B:830:PRO:HA | 2:B:833:TRP:CD2 | 2.56 | 0.41 |
| 2:B:686:HIS:CE1 | 2:B:688:ASP:O | 2.74 | 0.41 |
| 1:A:880:VAL:N | 1:A:881:PRO:CD | 2.84 | 0.40 |
| 2:B:879:THR:HB | 2:B:881:PRO:HD2 | 2.02 | 0.40 |
| 1:A:791:SER:OG | 1:A:793:ASP:OD1 | 2.38 | 0.40 |

There are no symmetry-related clashes.

### 5.3 Torsion angles

### 5.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all X-ray entries followed by that with respect to entries of similar resolution.

The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed, and the total number of residues.

| Mol | Chain | Analysed | Favoured | Allowed | Outliers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | A | $374 / 385(97 \%)$ | $362(97 \%)$ | $12(3 \%)$ | 0 | 100 |
| 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | B | $371 / 384(97 \%)$ | $362(98 \%)$ | $9(2 \%)$ | 0 | 100 |
| All | All | $745 / 769(97 \%)$ | $724(97 \%)$ | $21(3 \%)$ | 0 | 100 |

There are no Ramachandran outliers to report.

### 5.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all X-ray entries followed by that with respect to entries of similar resolution. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed, and the total number of residues.

| Mol | Chain | Analysed | Rotameric | Outliers | Percentiles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | $317 / 340(93 \%)$ | $317(100 \%)$ | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| 2 | B | $308 / 339(91 \%)$ | $308(100 \%)$ | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| All | All | $625 / 679(92 \%)$ | $625(100 \%)$ | 0 | 100 | 100 |

There are no protein residues with a/non-rotameric sidechain to report.
Some sidechains can be flipped to improve hydrogen bonding and reduce clashes. There are no such sidechains identified.

### 5.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA chains in this entry.

### 5.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

6 non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues are modelled in this entry.
In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds (or angles) for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds (or angles) that are observed in the model and the number of bonds (or angles) that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with $|Z|>2$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

| Mol | Type | Chain | Res | Link | Bond lengths |  |  | Bond angles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Counts | RMSZ | $\#\|Z\|>2$ | Counts | RMSZ | $\#\|Z\|>2$ |
| 1 | SEP | A | 724 | - | $9, ?, ?$ | 1.79 | $4(44 \%)$ | $12, ?, ?$ | 1.29 | $1(8 \%)$ |
| 1 | SEP | A | 726 | - | $9, ?, ?$ | 1.80 | $4(44 \%)$ | $12, ?, ?$ | 1.28 | $1(8 \%)$ |
| 1 | SEP | A | 729 | - | $9, ?, ?$ | 1.67 | $4(44 \%)$ | $12, ?, ?$ | 1.27 | 0 |
| 2 | SEP | B | 724 | - | $9, ?, ?$ | 1.74 | $4(44 \%)$ | $12, ?, ?$ | 1.06 | 0 |
| 2 | SEP | B | 726 | - | $9, ?, ?$ | 1.77 | $4(44 \%)$ | $12, ?, ?$ | 1.39 | $1(8 \%)$ |
| 2 | SEP | B | 729 | - | $9, ?, ?$ | 1.83 | $4(44 \%)$ | $12, ?, ?$ | 1.44 | $1(8 \%)$ |

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means no outliers of that kind were identified.

| Mol | Type | Chain | Res | Link | Chirals | Torsions | Rings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SEP | A | 724 | - | - | $0 / 6 / ? / ?$ | $0 / 0 / ? / ?$ |
| 1 | SEP | A | 726 | - | - | $0 / 6 / ? / ?$ | $0 / 0 / ? / ?$ |
| 1 | SEP | A | 729 | - | - | $0 / 6 / ? / ?$ | $0 / 0 / ? / ?$ |
| 2 | SEP | B | 724 | - | - | $0 / 6 / ? / ?$ | $0 / 0 / ? / ?$ |
| 2 | SEP | B | 726 | - | - | $0 / 6 / ? / ?$ | $0 / 0 / ? / ?$ |
| 2 | SEP | B | 729 | - | - | $0 / 6 / ? / ?$ | $0 / 0 / ? / ?$ |

All (24) bond length outliers are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | Atoms | Z | Observed $(\AA)$ | Ideal $(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | B | 729 | SEP | CA-C | 3.47 | 1.53 | 1.49 |
| 2 | B | 726 | SEP | CA-C | 2.98 | 1.52 | 1.49 |
| 1 | A | 726 | SEP | CA-C | 2.95 | 1.52 | 1.49 |
| 2 | B | 724 | SEP | CA-C | 2.93 | 1.52 | 1.49 |
| 1 | A | 724 | SEP | CA-C | 2.86 | 1.52 | 1.49 |
| 1 | A | 729 | SEP | CA-C | 2.81 | 1.52 | 1.49 |
| 1 | A | 724 | SEP | P-O2P | 2.36 | 1.61 | 1.54 |

Continued from previous page...

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | Atoms | Z | Observed $(\AA)$ | Ideal $(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 726 | SEP | P-O1P | 2.36 | 1.61 | 1.54 |
| 1 | A | 726 | SEP | P-O3P | 2.36 | 1.61 | 1.54 |
| 2 | B | 724 | SEP | P-O2P | 2.35 | 1.61 | 1.54 |
| 1 | A | 726 | SEP | P-O2P | 2.33 | 1.61 | 1.54 |
| 1 | A | 724 | SEP | P-O1P | 2.32 | 1.61 | 1.54 |
| 2 | B | 726 | SEP | P-O3P | 2.31 | 1.61 | 1.54 |
| 1 | A | 724 | SEP | P-O3P | 2.29 | 1.61 | 1.54 |
| 2 | B | 724 | SEP | P-O1P | 2.27 | 1.61 | 1.54 |
| 2 | B | 726 | SEP | P-O2P | 2.27 | 1.61 | 1.54 |
| 2 | B | 729 | SEP | P-O2P | 2.26 | 1.61 | 1.54 |
| 2 | B | 729 | SEP | P-O1P | 2.25 | 1.61 | 1.54 |
| 1 | A | 729 | SEP | P-O1P | 2.24 | 1.61 | 1.54 |
| 2 | B | 726 | SEP | P-O1P | 2.23 | 1.61 | 1.54 |
| 2 | B | 729 | SEP | P-O3P | 2.19 | 1.61 | 1.54 |
| 1 | A | 729 | SEP | P-O3P | 2.15 | 1.61 | 1.54 |
| 1 | A | 729 | SEP | P-O2P | 2.14 | 1.61 | 1.54 |
| 2 | B | 724 | SEP | P-O3P | 2.05 | 1.60 | 1.54 |

All (4) bond angle outliers are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | Atoms | Z | Observed $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Ideal $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | B | 726 | SEP | OG-CB-CA | 2.75 | 111.19 | 108.31 |
| 1 | A | 724 | SEP | OG-CB-CA | 2.56 | 110.99 | 108.31 |
| 2 | B | 729 | SEP | OG-CB-CA | 2.37 | 110.79 | 108.31 |
| 1 | A | 726 | SEP | OG-CB-CA | 2.18 | 110.60 | 108.31 |

There are no chirality outliers.
There are no torsion outliers.
There are no ring outliers.

### 5.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no carbohydratés in this entry.

### 5.6 Ligand geometry (i)

2 ligands are modelled in this entry.
In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds (or angles) for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds (or angles) that are observed in the model and
the number of bonds (or angles) that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with $|Z|>2$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

| Mol | Type | Chain | Res | Link | Bond lengths |  |  | Bond angles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Counts | RMSZ | $\#\|Z\|>2$ | Counts | RMSZ | $\#\|Z\|>2$ |
| 3 | STU | L | 1 | - | $42, ?, ?$ | 3.02 | $15(35 \%)$ | $68, ?, ?$ | 1.85 | $12(17 \%)$ |
| 3 | STU | L | 2 | - | $42, ?, ?$ | 3.01 | $15(35 \%)$ | $68, ?, ?$ | 1.87 | $11(16 \%)$ |

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means no outliers of that kind were identified.

| Mol | Type | Chain | Res | Link | Chirals | Torsions | Rings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | STU | L | 1 | - | - | $0 / 4 / ? / ?$ | $0 / 0 / ? / ?$ |
| 3 | STU | L | 2 | - | - | $0 / 4 / ? / ?$ | $0 / 0 / ? / ?$ |

All (30) bond length outliers are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | Atoms | Z | Observed $(\AA)$ | Ideal $(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C8-N1 | 9.00 | 1.43 | 1.35 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C8-N1 | 8.92 | 1.43 | 1.35 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | O5-C8 | 8.73 | 1.40 | 1.23 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | O5-C8 | 8.67 | 1.40 | 1.23 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C25-N3 | -7.05 | 1.36 | 1.46 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C25-N3 | -6.85 | 1.36 | 1.46 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C19-N3 | 5.97 | 1.50 | 1.38 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C19-N3 | 5.93 | 1.50 | 1.38 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C9-C10 | 5.09 | 1.55 | 1.50 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C9-C10 | 5.08 | 1.55 | 1.50 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C22-C23 | -4.12 | 1.48 | 1.52 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C22-C23 | -4.12 | 1.48 | 1.52 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C20-N3 | 3.89 | 1.46 | 1.39 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C20-N3 | 3.87 | 1.46 | 1.39 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C26-C21 | -3.04 | 1.48 | 1.51 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C26-C21 | -2.94 | 1.48 | 1.51 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | O4-C21 | -2.85 | 1.39 | 1.43 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | O4-C21 | -2.82 | 1.39 | 1.43 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | O4-C25 | 2.63 | 1.48 | 1.43 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C9-N1 | 2.58 | 1.48 | 1.45 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | O4-C25 | 2.56 | 1.48 | 1.43 |
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| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | Atoms | Z | Observed $(\AA)$ | Ideal $(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C7-C8 | -2.48 | 1.39 | 1.49 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C9-N1 | 2.46 | 1.48 | 1.45 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C7-C8 | -2.45 | 1.39 | 1.49 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C17-N2 | 2.40 | 1.44 | 1.41 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C17-N2 | 2.40 | 1.44 | 1.41 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C21-N2 | -2.31 | 1.46 | 1.48 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C21-N2 | -2.20 | 1.46 | 1.48 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C24-C25 | 2.14 | 1.55 | 1.51 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C24-C25 | 2.02 | 1.54 | 1.51 |

All (23) bond angle outliers are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | Atoms | Z | Observed $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Ideal $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C9-N1-C8 | -8.49 | 107.37 | 114.02 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C9-N1-C8 | -8.18 | 107.61 | 114.02 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | O5-C8-N1 | -6.15 | 120.39 | 125.49 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | O5-C8-N1 | -5.92 | 120.57 | 125.49 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C7-C8-N1 | 4.24 | 111.78 | 106.46 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C7-C8-N1 | 4.23 | 111.76 | 106.46 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C5-C20-N3 | 4.16 | 110.88 | 108.90 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C5-C20-N3 | 4.05 | 110.83 | 108.90 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C28-N4-C23 | -3.34 | 110.07 | 114.67 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C28-N4-C23 | -3.24 | 110.22 | 114.67 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C19-N3-C20 | -3.22 | 104.02 | 108.22 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C19-N3-C20 | -3.20 | 104.04 | 108.22 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C21-N2-C17 | 3.14 | 132.18 | 127.64 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C21-N2-C17 | 3.13 | 132.16 | 127.64 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C24-C25-N3 | -2.53 | 109.93 | 112.75 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C1-C20-N3 | -2.35 | 125.73 | 129.71 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C1-C20-N3 | -2.23 | 125.92 | 129.71 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | C21-O4-C25 | 2.18 | 125.00 | 118.31 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C21-O4-C25 | 2.16 | 124.93 | 118.31 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C24-C25-N3 | -2.15 | 110.36 | 112.75 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | O4-C25-C24 | -2.12 | 109.25 | 112.50 |
| 3 | L | 2 | STU | O4-C25-C24 | -2.02 | 109.40 | 112.50 |
| 3 | L | 1 | STU | C9-C10-C7 | 2.01 | 111.14 | 109.79 |

There are no chirality outliers.
There are no torsion outliers.
There are no ring outliers.

### 5.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such residues in this entry.

### 5.8 Polymer linkage issues

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 6 Fit of model and data (i)

### 6.1 Protein, DNA and RNA chains (i)

In the following table, the column labelled ' $\#$ RSRZ $>2$ ' contains the number (and percentage) of RSRZ outliers, followed by percent RSRZ outliers for the chain as percentile scores relative to all X-ray entries and entries of similar resolution. The OWAB column contains the minimum, median, $95^{t h}$ percentile and maximum values of the occupancy-weighted average B-factor per residue. The column labelled ' $\mathrm{Q}<0.9$ ' lists the number of (and percentage) of residues with an average occupancy less than 0.9.

| Mol | Chain | Analysed | $\langle$ RSRZ $>$ | \#RSRZ $>\mathbf{2}$ |  | OWAB( $\left.\AA^{2}\right)$ | $\mathbf{Q}<\mathbf{0 . 9}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | $385 / 385(100 \%)$ | 0.54 | $9(2 \%)$ | 57 | 60 | $23,47,74,90$ | 0 |
| 2 | B | $384 / 384(100 \%)$ | 0.62 | $14(3 \%)$ | 41 | 42 | $23,46,72,98$ | 0 |
| All | All | $769 / 769(100 \%)$ | 0.58 | $23(2 \%)$ | 48 | 50 | $23,46,74,98$ | 0 |

All (23) RSRZ outliers are listed below:

| Mol | Chain | Res | Type | RSRZ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | B | 701 | ALA | 3.9 |
| 1 | A | 864 | ARG | 3.6 |
| 1 | A | 916 | ALA | 3.5 |
| 2 | B | 637 | PHE | 3.4 |
| 1 | A | 719 | ALA | 3.4 |
| 1 | A | 892 | TYR | 3.2 |
| 2 | B | 911 | TYR | 3.1 |
| 2 | B | 923 | GLY | 3.1 |
| 2 | B | 918 | VAL | 3.1 |
| 2 | B | 849 | ILE | 2.9 |
| 2 | B | 787 | LEU | 2.8 |
| 2 | B | 655 | GLN | 2.8 |
| 1 | A | $801[$ A $]$ | GLU | 2.6 |
| 2 | B | 916 | ALA | 2.6 |
| 2 | B | 864 | ARG | 2.6 |
| 1 | A | 787 | LEU | 2.4 |
| 2 | B | 652 | TYR | 2.3 |
| 2 | B | 742 | MET | 2.3 |
| 2 | B | 884 | THR | 2.2 |
| 1 | A | 835 | LEU | 2.2 |
| 2 | B | 892 | TYR | 2.1 |
| 1 | A | 925 | LEU | 2.1 |
| 1 | A | 709 | ILE | 2.0 |

### 6.2 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

In the following table, the Atoms column lists the number of modelled atoms in the group and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. LLDF column lists the quality of electron density of the group with respect to its neighbouring residues in protein, DNA or RNA chains. The B-factors column lists the minimum, median, $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile and maximum values of B factors of atoms in the group. The column labelled ' $\mathrm{Q}<0.9$ ' lists the number of atoms with occupancy less than 0.9.

| Mol | Type | Chain | Res | Atoms | RSR | LLDF | B-factors $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $\mathbf{Q}<\mathbf{0 . 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SEP | A | 729 | $10 / ?$ | 0.21 | 0.89 | $39,50,57,61$ | 0 |
| 1 | SEP | A | 724 | $10 / ?$ | 0.17 | -0.06 | $57,63,72,75$ | 0 |
| 2 | SEP | B | 724 | $10 / ?$ | 0.18 | -0.56 | $59,69,82,84$ | 0 |
| 2 | SEP | B | 726 | $10 / ?$ | 0.17 | -0.90 | $49,59,71,74$ | 0 |
| 2 | SEP | B | 729 | $10 / ?$ | 0.17 | -1.07 | $37,44,49,50$ | 0 |
| 1 | SEP | A | 726 | $10 / ?$ | 0.13 | -1.76 | $53,66,91,93$ | 0 |

### 6.3 Carbohydrates

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

### 6.4 Ligands (i)

In the following table, the Atoms/column lists the number of modelled atoms in the group and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. LLDF column lists the quality of electron density of the group with respect to its neighbouring residues in protein, DNA or RNA chains. The B-factors column lists the minimum, median, $95^{t h}$ percentile and maximum values of B factors of atoms in the group. The column labelled ' $\mathrm{Q}<0.9$ ' lists the number of atoms with occupancy less than 0.9.

| Mol | Type | Chain | Res | Atoms | RSR | LLDF | B-factors $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | Q $<\mathbf{0 . 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | STU | L | 1 | $35 / ?$ | 0.19 | 0.05 | $23,33,43,55$ | 0 |
| 3 | STU | L | 2 | $35 / ?$ | 0.19 | -0.01 | $23,31,42,53$ | 0 |

### 6.5 Other polymers (i)

There are no such residues in this entry.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Intensities estimated from amplitudes.

