Agonist-Induced Internalization of Leukotriene B₄ Receptor 1 Requires G-Protein-Coupled Receptor Kinase 2 but Not Arrestins
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ABSTRACT

The leukotriene B₄ (LTB₄) receptor (BLT1) becomes desensitized upon repeated agonist stimulation. Little is known, however, about BLT1 internalization, which follows desensitization in most G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCR). In the current study, transiently expressed BLT1 readily internalized, after LTB₄ stimulation, in RBL-2H3 cells that express high levels of endogenous GPCR kinase 2 (GRK2) but did not in COS-7 or human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells, which do not overexpress GRK. The internalization of BLT1 could be blocked in RBL-2H3 cells by coexpressing dominant-negative (DN) GRK2 K220R and could be promoted in HEK293 cells by coexpressing wild-type (WT) GRK2. Coexpression of WT or DN nonvisual arrestins had no effect on BLT1 internalization. Moreover, upon stimulation with LTB₄, BLT1 did not induce arrestin-green fluorescence protein redistribution in either cell type, even in the presence of overexpressed GRK2. Coimmunoprecipitation experiments confirmed that BLT1 could associate with GRK2 but not with arrestins. A C-tail–truncated mutant of BLT1 lost the capacity to internalize and associate with GRK2 upon exposure to LTB₄, suggesting that the C-tail was required for receptor internalization and association with GRK2. Taken together, our results indicate that the C terminus of BLT1 plays a pivotal role in receptor internalization and GRK2 association. Moreover, ligand-induced BLT1 internalization is dependent on GRK2 but independent of arrestins. This may allow differential, cell-type–specific signaling in response to LTB₄, depending on GRK expression levels.

Cell responsiveness to agonists of GPCRs is usually characterized by a rapid desensitization to subsequent exposures, followed by a resensitization in the absence of stimulation. The mechanism of desensitization involves a series of distinct steps, including a functional uncoupling from G proteins, receptor internalization into the intracellular compartment, and receptor down-regulation. Among these steps, receptor internalization is believed to play an important role in both desensitization and resensitization of GPCRs (Yu et al., 1993).

Studies with the β₂-adrenergic receptor (β₂-AR) have delineated a general pathway for agonist-mediated internalization in which agonist-induced activation of receptors leads to receptor phosphorylation by GRKs and/or second messenger-dependent kinases. GRKs are serine-threonine kinases that specifically recognize and phosphorylate intracytoplasmic residues of the agonist-occupied form of many GPCRs (Premont et al., 1995; Pitcher et al., 1998; Ferguson, 2001). Binding of arrestins to phosphorylated receptors (reviewed in Pitcher et al., 1998; Ferguson, 2001) physically uncouples the receptor from the G-protein and finally targets the phosphorylated GPCRs to clathrin-coated pits and initiates endocytosis. In this paradigm, it is believed that the role of GRKs is to facilitate arrestin binding to GPCRs and that the interactions of arrestins with clathrin (Goodman et al., 1996) and the AP-2 adaptor protein (Laporte et al., 2000) are essential for GPCR internalization.

Leukotriene B₄ (LTB₄) is a potent lipid mediator of allergic

Z.C. and R.G. contributed equally to this work.

ABBREVIATIONS: GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; AR, adrenergic receptor; GRK, G protein-coupled receptor-specific kinases; LTB₄, leukotriene B₄; BLT1, leukotriene B₄ receptor 1; FBS, fetal bovine serum; GFP, green fluorescent protein; HEK, human embryonic kidney; DMEM, Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; PAGE, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; WT, wild type; Con A, concanavalin A; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; IP, inositol phosphate; PAFR, platelet-activating factor receptor; PAF, platelet-activating factor; Dyn, dynamin; FPR, N-formyl peptide receptor; AT₁R, angiotensin type 1A receptor; SR, secretin receptor; CCP, clathrin-coated pit; DN, dominant negative.

This work was supported by grant MOP-49470 (to M.R.-P. and J.S.) from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, a Studentship (to R.G.) from the Quebec Fonds pour les Chercheurs et l’Aide à la Recherche (FCAR), and a Canada Research Chair in Inflammation (M.R.-P.).
and inflammatory reactions, as well as a modulator of immune responses (Rola-Pleszczynski and Stankova, 1992). It plays a crucial role in chemokinosis (Ford-Hutchinson et al., 1980) and chemotaxis (Palmblad et al., 1981) of neutrophilic polymorphonuclear leukocytes and in aggregation (Ford-Hutchinson et al., 1980), degranulation (Showell et al., 1982), cation fluxes (Molski et al., 1981), and binding of neutrophils to endothelial cells (Bray et al., 1981). The presence of high in vivo concentrations of LTβ4 is associated with several diseases (Crooks and Stockley, 1998). LTβ4 is rapidly synthesized by phagocytic cells, principally polymorphonuclear leukocytes (Borget and Samuelsson, 1979) and alveolar macrophages (Fels et al., 1982) upon challenge with a variety of stimuli, including LTβ4 itself (McDonald et al., 1992).

LTβ4 exerts its activities through binding to and activation of specific receptors on the plasma membrane of target cells. Two types of LTβ4 receptors have been described on human neutrophils (Goldman and Goetzl, 1984): Yokomizo and collaborators (Yokomizo et al., 1997) cloned and expressed a high-affinity human leukocyte LTβ4 receptor (BLT1) and, more recently, a second, lower affinity receptor for LTβ4 (BLT2) (Yokomizo et al., 2000). BLT2 has a broader ligand specificity for various eicosanoids (Yokomizo et al., 2001). Both receptors belong to the GPCR family, in a subfamily that includes receptors for chemokines and other chemotactic factors.

In 1984, Goldman and Goetzl reported that leukocyte deactivation by prior exposure to LTβ4 led to a loss of high-affinity binding sites. With both BLT receptors cloned, it became possible to investigate the molecular mechanisms involved in the agonist-induced BLT1 regulation, including disappearance of high affinity binding sites for LTβ4. Therefore, we have recently demonstrated that BLT1 was rapidly desensitized upon exposure to LTβ4 and that GRK6 and 2 were most likely implicated in the desensitization (Gaudreau et al., 2002). Structural determinants of the C-tail were found to be essential for GRK-mediated BLT1 desensitization. However, little is known about the molecular mechanisms involved in BLT1 internalization. The aim of this study was to elucidate whether BLT1 internalizes upon agonist stimulation and, if so, whether the regulatory elements, GRKs and nonvisual arrestins, play a role in its internalization. Our results indicated that BLT1 internalization was cell-type-dependent and required GRK2, but not nonvisual arrestins. Furthermore, the C-terminal segment of BLT1 was shown to play a role in receptor internalization and GRK2 association.

Materials and Methods

Materials. Reagents were obtained from the following sources: all culture media, LipofectAMINE, and synthesized oligonucleotides were from Invitrogen (Burlington, ON, Canada); protease inhibitor cocktail tablets, Pgo polymerase, and FuGENE 6 transfection reagent were from Roche (Laval, QC, Canada); restriction endonucleases and modifier enzymes were from Promega (Madison, WI); ECL agent were from Roche (Laval, QC, Canada); restriction endonucleases. The Myc-tagged WT and G291stop mutant BLT1 were described previously (Chen et al., 2002). The integrity of constructions was confirmed by sequencing.

Cell Culture and Transfection. COS-7 and human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) high glucose supplemented with 10% FBS and 40 μg/ml gentamicin. For confocal microscopy, cells were seeded in six-well plates containing a coverslip at a density of 1 × 10^5 COS-7 cells/well and 2 × 10^5 HEK293 cells/well. Cells were transfected following the manufacturer’s instructions using FuGENE 6 transfection reagent kit. We used 1 to 1.5 μg of DNA per well. For each 100-mm Petri dish (for coimmunoprecipitation), the total amount of DNA was 6 μg. Rat basophil leukemia (RBL)-2H3 cells (a kind gift of Dr. S. Bourgoin) were grown in minimum essential medium supplemented with 15% FBS and 40 μg/ml gentamicin and transfected with LipofectAMINE according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Radioligand Binding Assay. For binding experiments, 2 μg of cDNA of each receptor were used to transfect 1.2 × 10^6 COS-7 cells seeded in Petri dishes. Cells were harvested 48 h after transfection and washed twice in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and twice in 20 mM NaHCO_3, 125 mM NaCl, 1.2 mM NaH_2PO_4, 5.6 mM D-glucose, 0.49 mM MgCl_2, 0.37 mM NaH_2PO_4, and 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4) containing 0.1% (w/v) bovine serum albumin, in which cells were also resuspended for the assay. Competition binding curves were carried out on 2 × 10^5 cells with 0.25 nM [3H]LTβ4 and increasing concentrations of nonradioactive LTβ4 for 2 h at 4°C. Free radioactivity was separated from cells by centrifugation and a double-wash with 1 ml of ice-cold HEPES-Tyrode’s buffer. Cell-associated radioactivity was measured by liquid scintillation counting. Nonspecific binding represented less than 10% of total binding with 500 nM nonradioactive LTβ4. Dissociation constants (K_d) were calculated from competition binding curves using Prism software version 3.0a (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

Confocal Microscopy. Confocal microscopy analysis was performed as described previously with some modifications (Chen et al., 2002). The cells were grown on coverslips (22 mm) for 48 h after transfection, treated with 100 nM LTβ4 at 37°C for indicated times, and fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde (15 min at room temperature). The coverslips were then placed in 0.1% saponin in PBS for 20 min and then sequentially incubated with 5% dry milk and 0.01 M glycine at room temperature for 20 min each. The cells were then incubated with an anti-Myc monoclonal antibody, followed by rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG antibodies. For live cell visualization, cells grown on 22-mm coverslips were pretreated with 20 μg/ml of cycloheximide for 30 min to prevent new receptor syn-
thesis, and the coverslip was then placed in a tissue culture chamber. The DMEM with cycloheximide, without FBS, was added, and the temperature was maintained at 37°C. LTB4 (100 nM) was added after the first image was taken. For live confocal microscopy, experiments were performed several times, whereas when using fixed samples, multiple cells in several fields were studied. The cells were analyzed on a Multi-Probe 2001 confocal argon laser scanning system (Amersham Biosciences) equipped with a Nikon Diaphot epifluorescence inverted microscope. Scanned images were transferred onto an Indy 4000 workstation (SGI, Mountain View, CA) equipped with ImageSpace analysis software. Quantitation of receptor internalization was performed on confocal images of ten cells per slide, using the ImageJ software (http://rsweb.nih.gov/ij/) on a Macintosh G4 computer. Membrane and cytoplasmic areas were delineated using the freehand tool, and fluorescence intensity was measured, corrected for area, and expressed as relative fluorescence.

Coimmunoprecipitation. Forty hours after transfection, cells grown on 100-mm dishes were washed twice with PBS and then treated with 100 nM LTB4 at 37°C for the indicated time, then lysed with 0.5 ml of radiouimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 5 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 10 mM NaF, 1% Igepal, and protease inhibitor cocktail tablets). The lysate was solubilized by incubation at 4°C for 30 min, precleared with 50 μl of protein A-Sepharose beads at 4°C for 1 h, and clarified by centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 30 min. The concentration of soluble protein was determined with the BCA protein assay kit. Equal amounts of protein were used for all subsequent immunoprecipitations. The precleared lysate was incubated with anti-Myc (9E10 hybridoma) overnight, then 50 μl of protein A-Sepharose beads was added and incubated for 4 h at 4°C. After extensive washing with radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer, the immunoprecipitated proteins were eluted from the beads with 2× SDS sample buffer, resolved by SDS-PAGE, and then subjected to Western blot analysis.

Flow Cytometry Studies. HEK293 grown at 80% confluence in Petri dishes were transfected with 2 μg of cDNA encoding WT or mutant BLT1 receptors and 4 μg of each other cDNA or pcDNA3 expression vector DNA, as indicated in the figure legends. Twenty-four hours after transfection, HEK293 cells were transfected to six-well plates. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were stimulated, or not, with 300 nM LTB4. In some experiments, cells were pretreated for 20 min with blockers of internalization [0.45 M sucrose (sucrose), 2.5 mg/ml concanavalin A (Con A)]. Con A was removed after pretreatment, whereas sucrose was left during the stimulation with LTB4. Incubations were stopped by placing plates on ice and cells were washed twice with ice-cold Hank's balanced salt solution, then immunostained with anti-Myc antibody at 4°C for 1 h, followed by incubation with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody (BD Biosciences, Mississauga, ON, Canada) at 4°C for 1 h. Cells were collected, washed twice with 1× PBS, and then subjected to flow cytometry analysis. Acquisition and analysis were performed on a FACScan flow cytometer using CellQuest software (BD Biosciences).

Inositol Phosphate Determination. COS-7 cells transfected with indicated cDNAs were labeled for 18 to 24 h with 3 μCi/ml [3H]inositol in DMEM without inositol. The day of experiment, the cells were incubated in DMEM containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin and 20 mM LiCl for 10 min and then stimulated for 30 min with 100 nM LTB4. The reactions were terminated by the addition of perchloric acid. Inositol phosphates were extracted and separated on Dowex AG1-X8 columns. Total labeled inositol phosphates were then counted by liquid scintillation counting.

Results

Functional Characterization of Mutant Receptors. To follow BLT1 internalization in real time and determine whether the C-tail plays a role in receptor internalization, BLT1-GFP and the C-tail–truncated BLT1-291-GFP were used in the current study. The Myc-tagged WT and G291stop BLT1 receptors have been described previously (Gaudreau et al., 2002). None of the cell lines (COS-7, HEK293, or RBL-2H3) used in this study expressed endogenous BLT1 or responded to LTB4 in terms of total inositol phosphate (IP) production. The binding affinity and IP production of BLT1-GFP and BLT1-291-GFP were compared with those of Myc-tagged WT BLT1 or BLT1–291 in transiently transfected COS-7 cells. The results revealed that both mutant receptors had an affinity for LTB4 comparable with that of WT (Table 1). As previously shown (Gaudreau et al., 2002), LTB4 induces a significant increase in IP accumulation in COS-7 cells transiently expressing WT or mutant BLT1 receptors and the Gα16 subunit (Fig. 1). IP accumulation represented a 4-fold increase over the basal levels (data not shown). Fusion of the GFP protein at the C-terminal end (BLT1-GFP) or immediately after residue G291 (BLT1-291-GFP) of the receptor maintained effective, although partially decreased (66.1 ± 10.4% and 79.2 ± 3.7%, respectively) total IP production compared with Myc-BLT1 (defined as 100%) (Fig. 1). These constructs were used to study internalization of BLT1.

Visualization of Cell-Type–Dependent Internalization of BLT1. To investigate the features of BLT1 internalization, either Myc-tagged BLT1 or BLT1-GFP was transiently expressed in RBL-2H3, HEK293, and COS-7 cells. We measured the amounts of endogenous GRK2 in these three types of cells by Western blotting and found them to be consistent with previously published findings (Barlic et al., 1999): RBL-2H3 cells expressed 5- to 10-fold higher levels of GRK2 than either HEK293 or COS-7 cells. Shown in Fig. 2A are confocal microscopy studies of Myc-tagged BLT1 localization in the three cell types exposed to the vehicle (i, iii, v) or 100 nM LTB4 (ii, iv, vi). In RBL-2H3 cells, LTB4-stimulated BLT1 internalized into the intracellular compartment in punctate vesicles (Fig. 2A, ii), in contrast to unstimulated cells in which BLT1 was only present at the cellular membrane (Fig. 2A, i). In LTB4-stimulated HEK293 and COS-7 cells, BLT1 remained localized at the cytoplasmic membrane (Fig. 2A, iv and vi), as seen in unstimulated cells (Fig. 2A, iii and v). Quantification of membrane versus cytosolic BLT1 expression is illustrated in Fig. 2B. Identical results were observed with BLT1-GFP (data not shown). These results indicate that BLT1 internalization was cell-type dependent, which may be the result of different levels of GRK2 expressed in these cells.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>Dissociation Constant (Kd) nM</th>
<th>TC/MO</th>
<th>Constant (KD) nM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myc-BLT1</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLT1-GFP</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLT1-291-GFP</td>
<td>3.18 ± 0.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myc-G291stop</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.15*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Previously published data (Gaudreau et al., 2002)
Arrestin-Independent Internalization of BLT1. We have demonstrated previously that WT arrestin-2 or -3 could facilitate the internalization of platelet-activating factor receptor (PAFR), whereas DN arrestin-2 V53D and arrestin-3 V54D prevented PAFR internalization (Chen et al., 2002). To investigate whether arrestin-2 or -3 played a role in BLT1 internalization, the DN arrestins were coexpressed in RBL-2H3 cells with BLT1, and the WT counterparts were coexpressed with BLT1 in HEK293 and RBL-2H3 cells. The results in Fig. 3A, iii and iv, indicate that overexpressed DN arrestin-2 V53D did not impair BLT1 internalization in RBL-2H3 cells, and the overexpressed arrestin-2 did not promote BLT1 internalization in HEK293 cells (Fig. 3A, v and vi). Quantification of membrane versus cytosolic BLT1 expression is illustrated in Fig. 3B. Similar results were obtained with arrestin-3 (data not shown). Moreover, to define whether the inability of arrestins to affect BLT1 internalization was linked with a lack of association with BLT1, coimmunoprecipitation assays were performed in HEK293 cells and indicated that indeed arrestin-2 did not associate with BLT1 (Fig. 3C). As a control, under the same conditions, PAFR could coprecipitate arrestin-2.

To elucidate whether higher levels of GRKs were required for BLT1 to interact with arrestins, we coexpressed separately GRK2, GRK3, GRK5, or GRK6 with arrestin-2-GFP and Myc-tagged BLT1 in HEK293 cells. As observed in Fig. 4, A and B, the level of arrestin-2-GFP was comparable in all cells. Moreover, Western blot analysis showed that all GRKs were adequately overexpressed compared with the pcDNA3 control (Fig. 4C). As shown in Fig. 4A, GRK2, -5, or -6 coexpression resulted in evident BLT1 internalization upon LTB4 stimulation; GRK2 had the most significant impact on receptor internalization. Overexpression of GRK3, however, was associated with only minimal BLT1 internalization. It was interesting that arrestin-2-GFP neither translocated to the plasma membrane nor colocalized with BLT1. Similar results were obtained with arrestin-3-GFP (data not shown). Again, a control experiment revealed that arrestin-2-GFP translocated to and colocalized with PAFR upon PAF stimulation (Fig. 4B). These results clearly show that BLT1 internalization was not dependent on arrestin translocation to and association with the receptor. However, the level of intracellular GRKs seemed to influence BLT1 sequestration.

GRK2-Dependent Internalization of BLT1. As shown in Fig. 4A, coexpression of BLT1 with GRK2 and arrestin-2-GFP resulted in BLT1 internalization and localization to intracellular vesicles in HEK293 cells. The next questions were whether GRK2 overexpression in the absence of arrestins was sufficient to cause or potentiate ligand-induced...
BLT1 internalization in HEK293 cells and whether the kinase inactive K220R mutant GRK2 could block the internalization of BLT1 in RBL-2H3 cells. As expected, overexpression of WT GRK2 alone promoted BLT1 internalization in HEK293 cells (Fig. 5A, i and ii), whereas the DN GRK2 K220R did not (Fig. 5A, iii and iv). It was interesting that this DN GRK2 completely blocked BLT1 endocytosis in RBL-2H3 cells (Fig. 5A, vii and viii), whereas overexpressed WT GRK2 had no additional effect on these cells. Quantification of data illustrated in Fig. 5A is presented in Fig. 5B.

Fig. 3. Effect of arrestins on BLT1 internalization. A, RBL-2H3 cells transiently coexpressing Myc-BLT1 and arrestin-2 or DN arrestin-2 V53D, or HEK293 cells transiently coexpressing Myc-BLT1 and arrestin-2, were stimulated (ii, iv, vi) or not (i, iii, v) with 100 nM LTB4 for 30 min at 37°C, then immunostained as described above. B, quantification of receptor internalization. RBL* denotes cotransfection with DN arrestin-2 V53D. C, HEK293 cells transiently coexpressing arrestin-2 with Myc-tagged PAFR or BLT1 were, respectively, stimulated with 100 nM PAF or LTB4 for 10 min at 37°C. Cells were harvested and lysed, and receptors were immunoprecipitated using anti-Myc antibodies and separated on SDS-PAGE gel. Coimmunoprecipitated arrestin proteins were revealed with anti-arrestin-2 antibody. Lower shows arrestin-2 content in total cell lysates. Equal amounts of protein content were applied to each lane of the gel. The results are representative of at least three independent experiments.

Fig. 4. Effect of GRK overexpression on BLT1 internalization. A, HEK293 cells transiently transfected with Myc-BLT1, arrestin-2-GFP, and either GRK2, GRK3, GRK5, GRK6 cDNA or pcDNA3, were unstimulated (NS) or stimulated with 100 nM LTB4 for 30 min and immunostained as described above. B, as a positive control of internalization, cells coexpressing Myc-PAFR, arrestin-2-GFP, and GRK2 were stimulated with 100 nM PAF for 30 min at 37°C. BLT1 or PAFR are red and arrestin-2-GFP is green. Bright yellow color indicates colocalization of receptor and arrestin-2. C, levels of expression of transfected GRKs versus pcDNA3 (p) controls, revealed by Western blotting of whole-cell lysates. GRK2* denotes the dominant-negative mutant K220R of GRK2, used in Fig. 5.
Using flow cytometry analysis (Fig. 5C), we further showed that GRK2-coexpression in HEK293 cells increased LTB₄-induced BLT1 sequestration compared with the pcDNA3-transfected control cells (Fig. 5C). It is interesting that cells coexpressing BLT1 and GRK2 K220R showed no appreciable loss of cell surface BLT1 in response to LTB₄. Coimmunoprecipitation studies revealed that GRK2 physically interacted with BLT1, as shown in Fig. 5D (lane 2). As a positive experimental control, PAFR also coprecipitated with GRK2 (Fig. 5D, lane 1). These results implied that BLT1 associated with GRK2 and required GRK2 kinase activity for its internalization.

**Role of BLT1 C Terminus in Receptor Internalization.** Increasing evidence indicates the existence of several sites of interaction between the activated receptor and GRK, the kinase-targeted residues being the primary site of interaction (Pitcher et al., 1998). We have previously demonstrated with a C-tail truncated BLT1 (G291stop) that the cytoplasmic segment of BLT1 was essential for GRK-mediated receptor desensitization (Gaudreau et al., 2002). It is
interesting that removal of BLT1 C-tail also prevented GRK2 coimmunoprecipitation (Fig. 5D, lane 3).

Under real-time live cell confocal microscopy, BLT1-GFP internalization from the cytoplasmic membrane into intracellular vesicles was seen only in HEK293 cells overexpressing GRK2 (Fig. 6, lane 1 versus lane 2), which was consistent with the results from fixed cell confocal microscopy (Figs. 4A and 5A). Intracellular vesicles appeared as early as 15 min after agonist stimulation in cells that overexpressed GRK2. On the other hand, cells coexpressing BLT1-GFP and GRK2 K220R showed no vesicle formation but showed greater cell shape changes compared with cells expressing only BLT1-GFP (Fig. 6, lane 3 versus lane 1).

Because G291stop BLT1 did not associate with GRK2, we further investigated the ability of the truncated mutant receptor to internalize in HEK293 coexpressing GRK2. Over a time-course study of 30 min in real-time confocal microscopy, we observed a drastically reduced sequestration of BLT1-291-GFP into intracellular vesicles in response to LTB4 (Fig. 6, lane 4). Using the Myc-tagged G291stop BLT1, we confirmed in RBL-2H3 cells (Fig. 7A, i and ii) and in HEK293 cells overexpressing GRK2 (Fig. 7A, iii and iv) that the C-tail was essential for BLT1 endocytosis. In addition, flow cytometry analysis of cell surface disappearance of the G291stop BLT1 in HEK293 cells showed that the truncated mutant receptor, in the presence of coexpressed GRK2, remained on the cell surface upon LTB4 exposure (Fig. 7B). These results indicate that BLT1 C-tail was required for receptor internalization and GRK2 association.

Clathrin and Dynamin Requirement for BLT1 Endocytosis. Clathrin-coated vesicle formation has been shown to require dynamin. We therefore investigated whether GRK2 promoted BLT1 receptor internalization through a dynamin-and clathrin-dependent mechanism. We measured BLT1 sequestration in HEK293 cells overexpressing GRK2 and dynamin (Dyn) 1A or its mutant form (Dyn K44A). In Fig. 8, we show that overexpression of Dyn enhanced BLT1 sequestration (60% increase), whereas the Dyn K44A DN had the inverse effect, inhibiting BLT1 sequestration (64% decrease). Moreover, prior treatment of cells with sucrose (0.45 M), as well as pretreatment with the lectin Con A, totally blocked cell surface disappearance of BLT1 receptors (95% decrease) (Fig. 8). These results suggest that GRK2 enhanced BLT1 endocytosis through clathrin-coated vesicles.

Discussion

In the paradigm of GPCR internalization (which is, for a majority of GPCRs, dependent on GRK-mediated phosphorylation), the role of GRK is to facilitate arrestin binding to GPCRs and subsequent targeting of the receptors to the endocytic machinery. However, a number of receptors do not follow this paradigm. In the present study, we demonstrate for the first time, using flow cytometry as well as real-time confocal microscopy, that agonist-induced BLT1 internalization requires GRK2 but not nonvisual arrestins. In addition, BLT1 C-tail plays a critical role in GRK2 association and receptor internalization.

Our findings show that BLT1 internalization is dependent on cell phenotype. Cell type-dependence of GPCR internalization was previously observed with the CXCR1 receptor (Barlic et al., 1999), and we made similar observations with PAFR in RBL-2H3, HEK293, and COS-7 cells (Chen et al., 2002). Similar to CXCR1, BLT1 internalizes in RBL-2H3

![Fig. 6. Real-time visualization of BLT1 mobility in HEK293 cells. HEK293 cells were grown on coverslips and transiently cotransfected with BLT1-GFP (lanes 1–3) or BLT1-291-GFP (lane 4) with either pcDNA3 vectors (lane 1), GRK2 cDNA (lanes 2 and 4), or DN GRK2 K220R cDNA (lane 3). Forty hours after transfection, the coverslips were placed in a chamber that maintained the media at 37°C. Cells were stimulated with 100 nM LTB4, and images were acquired at time 0, 5, 15, and 30 min after stimulation. The results are representative of at least three independent experiments.](image)

![Fig. 7. Effect of C-tail truncation on BLT1 internalization. A, HEK293 and RBL-2H3 cells transiently expressing Myc-tagged G291stop BLT1 and GRK2 (HEK293 only) were stimulated or not with 100 nM LTB4 for 30 min at 37°C. Cells were immunostained with anti-Myc antibody followed by rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG antibodies as described under Materials and Methods. B, HEK293 cells, transiently co-transfected with Myc-tagged BLT1 or G291stop BLT1 and either GRK2 or pcDNA3, were stimulated with 300 nM LTB4 at 37°C for indicated times. Cells were immunostained using anti-Myc antibodies followed by FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG and analyzed by flow cytometry as described under Materials and Methods. The results are representative of three independent experiments, each done in duplicate.](image)
cells, but not in HEK293 and COS-7 cells, as visualized by confocal microscopy. Differential cellular responses to distinct GPCR could be attributed to disparity in cellular contents of essential signaling components. Others and we have observed high levels of GRK2 in RBL-2H3 and low levels in HEK293 and COS-7 cells (Barlic et al., 1999; data not shown). CXCR1, PAFR, and BLT1 all internalize in RBL-2H3 cells. In HEK293 cells, however, CXCR1 internalization requires overexpression of both arrestin-2 and GRK2 (Barlic et al., 1999), whereas PAFR can internalize without arrestin overexpression, with arrestins only facilitating large vesicle formation (Chen et al., 2002). Our present findings show that BLT1 internalization needs coexpression of only GRK2, whereas overexpression of arrestins alone is unable to promote detectable BLT1 internalization. In COS-7 cells, PAFR internalization could be enhanced by coexpression of arrestins, with synergy between coexpressed arrestin-2 or -3 and GRK2 (Z. Chen and J. Staňková, unpublished data), whereas BLT1 did not appreciably internalize in COS-7 cells even with overexpression of arrestin-2 or -3. In all cell types, however, PAFR internalization was much more pronounced than BLT1 internalization. The disparate behavior of different GPCRs in the same cells could thus be attributed to their distinct structures and differential requirements for functional regulators. The potential physiological relevance of GRK2 expression levels was recently highlighted in a report by Vroon et al. (2004) showing that reduced GRK2 levels, as seen in lymphocytes from rheumatoid arthritis patients, are associated with enhanced responses to CCL4 in terms of chemotaxis and signaling.

The dependence of GPCR internalization on arrestins is also evaluated by coexpression of their DN counterparts. By using this approach, BLT1 internalization was shown to be independent of arrestins because DN arrestin-2 V53D and arrestin-3 V54D did not block BLT1 internalization in RBL-2H3 cells. Furthermore, LTB4-induced BLT1 internalization was observed in absence of arrestin translocation, colocalization, and association with the receptor. According to these observations, BLT1 seems not to belong to either of these two classes of GPCRs proposed by Oakley et al. (2000), based on their affinities to arrestin-1, arrestin-2, and arrestin-3. Class A receptors, including the β2-AR, bind arrestin-3 with higher affinity than arrestin-2 and do not interact with visual arrestin-1. In contrast, class B receptors, including AT1A, bind both nonvisual arrestin isoforms with similar high affinities and also interact with visual arrestin.

Arrestin-independent endocytosis of GPCR has been described for the 5-hydroxytryptamine 2A receptor, N-formyl peptide receptor (FPR), angiotensin type 1A receptor (AT1A), secretin receptor (SR), and M2 muscarinic receptor (Zhang et al., 1996; Pals-Rylaarsdam et al., 1997; Walker et al., 1999; Bennett et al., 2000; Bhatnagar et al., 2001). It is surprising that SR, AT1A, and FPR are able to interact with arrestins to induce their translocation and colocalization, but receptor internalization is only dependent on phosphorylation and does not require arrestins (Walker et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 1999; Bennett et al., 2000; Oakley et al., 2000; Gilbert et al., 2001). Moreover, AT1A, SR, and M2 muscarinic receptors were shown to internalize independently of the clathrin-coated pit (CCP) pathway (Zhang et al., 1996; Walker et al., 1999; Claing et al., 2000). In contrast, we showed that BLT1 endocytosis is CCP-dependent because it was enhanced by dynamin overexpression, blocked by DN Dyn-K44A as well as by the CCP internalization blockers sucrose and Con A.

Phosphorylation seems to be a prerequisite for desensitization of most GPCRs. In this study, we demonstrated that BLT1 internalization depended on functional GRK2. GRK2, which is abundantly expressed in human peripheral leukocytes (Chuang et al., 1992), may represent the main endogenous kinase responsible for BLT1 phosphorylation in neutrophils, somewhat eclipsing GRK5 or -6, which may also serve this function. Either GRK5 or -6 can potentiate BLT1 internalization in HEK293 cells. GRK2, however, may be preferred for phosphorylation of BLT1 and subsequent internalization, because the strongest internalization of BLT1 was seen in HEK293 cells coexpressing GRK2. We have observed previously that BLT1 desensitization occurred without exogenous GRK but was enhanced by GRK2, -5, or -6 expression in COS-7 cells (Gaudreau et al., 2002). Given that no appreciable BLT1 internalization was observed in COS-7 cells when GRK2 was not overexpressed (data not shown), we presume that BLT1 internalization may not be responsible for its desensitization.

Some GPCRs have different requirements for GRK-mediated phosphorylation for their internalization. For instance, FSHR internalization is phosphorylation-independent (Nakamura et al., 1998). Overexpression of GRK2 enhances agonist-induced, glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide receptor phosphorylation and desensitization, but receptor endocytosis is not affected by cotransfection with GRKs (Tseng and Zhang, 2000). GRK2 can phosphorylate and desensitize the SR, but GRK2 and arrestins can mediate receptor internalization only when protein kinase A is inhibited (Walker et al., 1999). β2-AR desensitization and internalization can be mediated by GRK2- and protein kinase A-mediated phosphorylation (Ferguson, 2001). As shown in this article, BLT1 seems to specifically require intact GRK2-ki-
nase activity for its internalization, because the DN GRK2 K220R blocked BLT1 sequestration in RBL-2H3 cells. In addition, GRK5 and -6 can also promote BLT1 sequestration, albeit to a lesser extent, probably through phosphorylation of BLT1 C-tail serines and/or threonines. These and other structural determinants of BLT1 C-tail seem to play a role in GRK2 action. We defined the C terminus of BLT1 as a pivotal domain for receptor internalization and interaction with GRK2, which is also observed in other GPCRs (Premont et al., 1995). However, the mechanisms by which GRK2 promotes BLT1 internalization as well as the targeted structural determinants located in the C-tail remain to be clarified. One possibility could be that GRK2 phosphorylation reveals an AP-2 complex binding site and increases AP-2 interaction with BLT1, thus directing receptors to clathrin-coated vesicles.

Dicker et al. (1999) have shown that the DN GRK2 K220R can physically associate with an activated parathyroid hormone receptor. This interaction was shown to be sufficient to disrupt receptor coupling to effector G proteins. To our knowledge, there is no clear evidence that GRK2 K220R can potentiate GPCR internalization. Herein, under real-time confocal microscopy, we showed that GRK2 K220R blocked BLT1 internalization but also changed BLT1-GFP mobility on the cellular membrane upon agonist exposure. This phenomenon may indicate that GRK2 K220R can associate with BLT1, as it can with the parathyroid hormone receptor, and enhance its mobility on the plasma membrane. However, this phenomenon did not represent receptor internalization because 1) no intracellular vesicles of BLT1 were formed in real-time live cells or fixed cells after LTBr stimulation, 2) no receptor loss from the cell surface was detected by flow cytometry, and 3) BLT1 internalization was blocked in RBL-2H3 cells by GRK2 K220R.

Another interesting question is why BLT1 did not promote arrestin translocation upon LTBr activation. Increasing evidence suggests that receptor activation, conformation stability, and receptor phosphorylation are necessary to induce arrestin translocation and association to ligand-activated GPCRs. Recent studies also suggested that the conserved DRY sequence motif of CCR5 was necessary to promote arrestin interaction with the receptor (Huttonrauch et al., 2002). Moreover, the activated state of FPR was sufficient to allow arrestin translocation and association with the receptor, independently of the phosphorylation state (Bennett et al., 2000). BLT1 does not have a perfect DRY sequence motif in its second intracellular loop. It would therefore be interesting to determine whether the altered motif is responsible for the inability of the activated BLT1 to recruit arrestins. In addition, Bisello et al. (2002) showed that analogs of the parathyroid hormone-related protein stabilized the parathyroid hormone receptor in a conformation that initialized receptor signaling but not arrestin translocation, in contrast to the original hormone.

In summary, the current study demonstrates, for the first time, that BLT1 internalization is cell type-dependent and requires functionally active GRK2, without the involvement of arrestins. Moreover, the C terminus of the receptor plays an important role in BLT1 internalization and association with GRK2.
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