Enantioselective Effects of Hydroxy Metabolites of Bupropion on Behavior and on Function of Monoamine Transporters and Nicotinic Receptors
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ABSTRACT

Bupropion is an atypical antidepressant that also has usefulness as a smoking-cessation aid. Because hydroxybupropion, a major metabolite of bupropion, is believed to contribute to its antidepressant activity, this metabolite may also contribute to the smoking-cessation properties of bupropion. This study investigated the effects of hydrobupropion enantiomers on monoamine transporters and nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) subtypes. Racemic bupropion and hydroxybupropion inhibit [3H]norepinephrine (NE) uptake with similar potency (IC50 values of 1.9 and 1.7 μM, respectively), but most of the latter activity resides in the (2S,3S)-hydroxy isomer (IC50 = 520 nM) rather than (2S,3R)-hydroxybupropion (IC50 > 10,000 nM). Similar results were found with [3H]dopamine (DA) uptake. The effects of bupropion and enantiomers of hydroxybupropion on human nAChR subtypes indicate that the (2S,3S) isomer is more potent than the (2S,3R) isomer or racemic bupropion as an antagonist of α4β2 (functional IC50 = 3.3 μM). In addition, (2S,3S)-hydroxybupropion and bupropion were considerably more potent than (2R,−3R)-hydroxybupropion in a mouse depression model (forced swimming test) and in antagonism of acute nicotine effects in mice. Together, our results suggest that clinical and behavioral effects of bupropion arise from actions at nAChR as well as DA and NE transporters. Furthermore, our data suggest that the (2S,3S)-hydroxybupropion isomer may be a better drug candidate for smoking cessation than bupropion because of its higher potency at the relevant targets.

Tobacco use is the leading cause of premature death in the United States. The vast majority of smokers (70%) report a desire to quit smoking, but poor smoking-cessation results indicate a need to explore innovative approaches to treating nicotine addiction. In addition to nicotine-replacement therapy, the atypical antidepressant bupropion is now recognized as an effective aid to smoking cessation. The efficacy of bupropion because of its higher potency at the relevant targets.
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hypothesis that long-term nicotine exposure acts to inhibit function of nAChR rather than to sustain nAChR activity (Gentry et al., 2003). Moreover, bupropion blocks several of nicotine’s behavioral effects at doses similar to or lower than those having activity in antidepressant behavioral tests (Martin et al., 1990; Slommer et al., 2000) and blocking in vivo striatal DA uptake in mice (Statlis et al., 1995). Bupropion was shown to differentially block the function of various nAChRs in oocytes (Slommer et al., 2000), cell lines (Fryer and Lukas, 1999), and nicotine-evoked DA and NE release in striatal slices (Miller et al., 2002). Reported plasma levels of bupropion and its hydroxy metabolite are in the range of bupropion concentrations that antagonize diverse nAChR subtypes (Golden et al., 1988).

It is believed that the effects of bupropion’s major metabolites may be critical to its antidepressant activity, because bupropion is extensively metabolized to (2S,3R)- and (2S,3S)-hydroxybupropion, (R, -R)- and (S, -S)-threo-hydroxybupropion, and (R, -S)- and (S, -R)-erythrohydrobupropion in humans (Cooper et al., 1994). The concentrations of hydroxybupropion isomers present in cerebrospinal fluid are six times greater than those of the parent bupropion (Cooper et al., 1994). Although it has weak NE-uptake properties, the high levels of the metabolite in brain may be sufficient to produce clinically meaningful blockade of NE reuptake and thereby account for much of the drug’s activity. Indeed, plasma levels of hydroxybupropion greatly exceed those of the parent drug, reaching 10 to 100 times the concentration of bupropion (Findlay et al., 1981; Welch et al., 1987; Golden et al., 1988; Hysu et al., 1997). Furthermore, hydroxybupropion shows stronger antitetrabenazine activity (indicative of an antidepressant activity in animals) and has a lower LD₅₀ value than the erythro- and threo- metabolites, suggesting that hydroxybupropion is the most important active metabolite in vivo for its antidepressant activity (Martin et al., 1990). In addition, (2S,3S)- but not (2S,3R)-hydroxybupropion partially substituted for nicotine in rat drug-discrimination procedure (Bondarev et al., 2003). Given the extensive metabolism of bupropion in humans and the apparent clinical activity of hydroxybupropion (Martin et al., 1990), as well as its long half-life, bupropion metabolites may play an important part in the mechanism of action of this medication. The goals of the current studies were to compare the pharmacological properties of bupropion and its hydroxy metabolites to determine the extent the latter were contributing to bupropion’s antidepressant effects and its interaction with nicotine. Furthermore, by examining the enantiomers of the hydroxy metabolites, we sought to establish the specificity of their actions.

Materials and Methods

[³H]NE and DA Uptake Studies

Synaptosomal Preparation. Bupropion analogs were evaluated in neurotransmitter uptake assays using synaptosomes prepared from rat brain (adult male Sprague-Dawley rats weighing 250 g). Because no significant differences between rat and human synaptosomes were observed in studies of monoamine uptake (Kuhar et al., 1988; Paczkowski et al., 1999), data obtained using rat tissue should predict the behavior of these compounds at the corresponding human transporter. Synaptosomal uptake of [³H]NE was performed as described elsewhere (Eshleman et al., 2001). In brief, rat brain was rapidly removed after decapitation, and the cerebral cortex was dissected, weighed, and placed immediately in a Teflon-to-glass homogenizer prefilled with 10 ml of ice-cold oxygenated 5 mM HEPES buffer, pH 7.4, (4°C) containing 0.25 M sucrose. Additional buffer was added to achieve a 1:45 tissue/buffer dilution. The tissue was then gently homogenized using four up-down strokes of the Teflon homogenizer. The homogenate was centrifuged at 1000g (for 10 min at 4°C). The supernatant was collected and centrifuged at 12,000g (for 10 min at 4°C). After this step, the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended (Teflon-to-glass) in the original volume of an oxygenated 25 mM HEPES assay buffer, pH 7.4 (37°C) containing 128 mM NaCl, 2.4 mM KCl, 3.2 mM CaCl₂, 1.2 mM KH₂PO₄, 1.2 mM MgSO₄, and 10 mM dextrose. Ascorbate (1 mM) and iproniazid (10 µM, monoamine oxidase inhibitor) were added to the buffer on the day of the assay. In a final volume of 500 µl, each assay contains 100 µl of synaptosome preparation, one of at least eight different concentrations of test compound preincubated with the tissue (for 30 min at 37°C) and 5 nM [³H]NE (added last).

Maximal uptake and nonspecific uptake are determined in samples containing buffer instead of test compound or 10 µM nisoxetine, respectively. After 5 min, the samples were harvested by vacuum filtration onto GF/B filter papers (presoaked for 30 min with 0.1% polyethyleneimine) and washed twice with 10 volumes of ice-cold assay buffer. The filter disks were incubated with 0.5 ml of 10% SDS for 1 h before the addition of scintillation fluid, and trapped radioactivity was determined using standard liquid scintillation counting techniques. An aliquot of each tissue preparation was taken for protein determination to monitor consistency of the tissue preparations. The procedure for preparing the synaptosomal uptake of [³H]DA was the same as described above for [³H]NE uptake, except the caudate was harvested and diluted 1:70, 5 nM [³H]DA was added to the assay, and nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of 5 µM mazindol.

Data Analysis. The IC₅₀ values for inhibition of [³H]NE and [³H]DA uptake were determined from a plot of the specific uptake versus log concentration data fit to a four-parameter logistic equation (Prism version 3.0; GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). The IC₅₀ data were expressed as mean ± S.D. from at least two independent experiments.

nAChR Functional Studies

Cell Culture. Cells of the TE671/rd human clone (Lukas, 1989) or the SH-SY5Y human neuroblastoma (Lukas, 1993) naturally expressing muscle-type (α3β2)α4nAChR, were used for nAChR functional studies. Cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (high glucose, bicarbonate-buffered, with 1 mM sodium pyruvate and 8 mM L-glutamine) supplemented with 10% horse serum, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, and 0.25 µg/ml amphotericin B (all from Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) plus 5% fetal bovine serum (HyClone Laboratories, Logan, UT) on 100-mm diameter plates in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ in air at 37°C (Lukas, 1986; Lukas et al., 1993). Media for maintenance of transfected SH-EP1 cells also contained 0.25 µg/ml zeocin (Invitrogen) and 0.4 ng/ml hygromycin B (190 µg/ml biologically active hygromycin; Calbiochem, San Diego, CA) to maintain positive selection for cells expressing dual drug resistance as well as nAChR α4 and β2/δ subunit cDNA. All cell lines were maintained as low-passage number (1–26 from our frozen stocks) cultures to ensure stable expression of phenotype, and they were passaged once weekly by splitting just-confluent cultures 1/5 (SH-SY5Y), 1/20 to 1/40 (SH-EP1-hα4β2/δ4) or 1/300 (TE671/rd) to maintain cells in proliferative growth (Lukas et al., 2002).

⁸⁶Rb⁺ Efflux Assays of nAChR Function. Cells were harvested at confluence from 100-mm plates by mild trypsinization (Irvine Scientific, Santa Ana, CA) before being resuspended in complete medium and evenly seeded at a density of one confluent 100-mm
plate per 24-well plate (Falcon Plastics, Oxnard, CA; −100–125 μg of
total cell protein per well in a 500-μl volume). After cells had adhered
(generally overnight, but no sooner than 4 h later), medium was
removed and replaced with 250 μl per well of complete medium
supplemented with ~300,000 cpm of $^{86}$Rb$^+$ (PerkinElmer Life
and Analytical Sciences, Boston, MA) and counted at 40% efficiency using
Cerenkov counting (TriCarb 1900 liquid scintillation analyzer, 59% efficiency;
PerkinElmer Life Sciences). After at least 4 h and typically
overnight, $^{86}$Rb$^+$ efflux was measured using the "flip-plate" technique
(Lukas et al., 2002). In brief, after aspiration of the bulk of
$^{86}$Rb$^+$ loading medium from each well of the "cell plate", each well
containing cells was rinsed three times with 2 ml of fresh $^{86}$Rb
0 for antagonists and IC50 determinations) (Prism, GraphPad Soft-
ening in the cell plate were the same for each well) and to determine the
difference in rectal temperature before and after treatment was
measured for each mouse. The ambient temperature of the labora-
the normal latency (reaction time) was 8 to 12 s. Antinoci-
nespecific $^{86}$Rb$^+$ efflux of 3:1 and with total efflux being approximately
of Hill coefficient $F_{max}/(1 + X^H)$ for Hill equation
$F = F_{\max}/(1 + (Y/X))n!$ for specific ion flux (F) as a percentage of
control ($F_{\text{max}}$) for EC50/IC50 value (Y) at ligand concentration (X) and
for Hill coefficient n (n > 0 for agonists and EC50 determinations, n <
0 for antagonists and IC50 determinations) (Prism, GraphPad Soft-
ware). Most ion flux data were fit allowing maximum and minimum
ion flux values to be determined by curve-fitting, but in some cases in
which antagonists had weak functional potency, minimum ion flux
was set at 0% of control. Statistically significant differences between
IC50 values for ion flux assays were determined by assessing overlap
in 95% confidence intervals.

Materials. All other techniques and commercial sources for re-
agents were as described previously (Bencherif and Lukas, 1993).

In Vivo Studies

Animals. Male Institute of Cancer Research (ICR) mice (weighing
20–25 g) obtained from Harlan (Indianapolis, IN) were used through-
out the study. Animals were housed in an Association for Assessment
and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care-approved facility, were
placed in groups of six, and had free access to food and water. Studies
were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of
Virginia Commonwealth University.

Drugs. (−)Nicotine was obtained from Aldrich Chemical Com-
pany, Inc. (Milwaukee, WI) and converted to the ditartrate as described by
Aceto et al. (1979). Bupropion HCl was purchased from Sigma/RBI (Natick, MA). (+)-(2S,3S)- and (−)-(2S,3R)-hydroxybupro-
pin tartrates were synthesized using methods reported previ-
ously (Fang et al., 2000). All drugs were dissolved in physiological
saline or sodium chloride and given in a total volume of 1 ml per
100 g body weight for subcutaneous injection. All doses are ex-
pressed as the free base of the drug.

Antinociceptive Tests

Tail-Flick Test. Antinociception was assessed by the tail-flick
method of D’Amour and Salzman (1941). In brief, mice were lightly
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Mice were placed into individual Omnitech
photocell activity cages (28 × 16.5 cm; Omnitech Electronics, Colum-
bus, OH) 5 min after subcutaneous administration of either 0.9% saline or nicotine. Interruptions of the photocell beams (two banks of
eight cells each) were then recorded for the next 10 min. Data were
expressed as the number of photocell interruptions. Antagonism
studies in the tail-flick and hot-plate tests were carried out by pre-
treating the mice with either saline or bupropion metabolites 15 min
before nicotine. The animals were then tested 5 min after adminis-
trating a subcutaneous dose of 2.5 mg/kg nicotine.

Locomotor Activity. Mice were placed into individual Omnitech
photocell activity cages (28 × 16.5 cm; Omnitech Electronics, Colum-
bus, OH) 5 min after subcutaneous administration of either 0.9%
saline or nicotine. Interruptions of the photocell beams (two banks of
eight cells each) were then recorded for the next 10 min. Data were
expressed as the number of photocell interruptions. Antagonism
studies were carried out by pretreating the mice with either saline or
bupropion metabolites 15 min before nicotine. The animals were
then tested 5 min after administration of a subcutaneous dose of 1.5
mg/kg nicotine.

Body Temperature. Rectal temperature was measured by a
thermistor probe (inserted 24 mm) and digital thermometer (YSI Inc.,
Yellow Springs, OH). Readings were taken just before and 30
min after the subcutaneous injection of either saline or nicotine. The
difference in rectal temperature before and after treatment was
calculated for each mouse. The ambient temperature of the labora-
tory varied from 21 to 24°C from day to day. Antagonism studies were carried out by pretreating the mice with either saline or bupropion metabolites 15 min before nicotine. The animals were then tested 5 min after administration of a subcutaneous dose of 2.5 mg/kg nicotine.

**Forced Swimming Test in Mice.** The test was performed as described previously (Porsolt et al., 1977). In brief, mice were gently placed individually into glass cylinders (25 x 10 cm) containing 10 cm of water, maintained at 24°C, and left there for 6 min. Immobility was recorded during the last 4 min. A mouse was considered to be immobile when it floated in an upright position and made only small movements to keep its head above water but did not produce displacements. For the calculation of ED_{50} values, a percentage decrease in immobility time after drug treatment was determined as follows: % decrease = [(time postdrug/time postsaline) - 1] x 100.

**Statistical Analysis.** Statistical analysis of all anaglesic studies was performed using either t test or analysis of variance with Tukey’s post hoc test when appropriate. All differences were considered significant at p < 0.05. ED_{50} and AD_{50} values with 95% CL for behavioral data were calculated by unweighted least-squares linear regression, as described by Tallarida and Murray (1987). Statistically significant differences between ED_{50} and AD_{50} values were determined by assessing overlap in 95% confidence intervals.

**Results**

**In Vitro Studies**

**DA and NE Transporter Uptake Studies.** Bupropion and hydroxynicotinone metabolites of bupropion were evaluated for their effects on monoamine uptake. Bupropion is a relatively weak inhibitor of DA uptake with an IC_{50} of 550 nM, and it is even less potent as an inhibitor of NE reuptake (Table 1), with an IC_{50} of 1.9 μM. Compared with bupropion, its racemic hydroxy metabolite produces equal inhibition of NE reuptake and much weaker inhibition of DA uptake (IC_{50} > 10 μM). The (2S,3S) isomer is the active form (with IC_{50} values of 790 and 520 nM for blockade of DA and NE uptake, respectively), whereas the (2R,3R)-isomer shows no significant inhibition of either DA or NE uptake (IC_{50} > 10 μM). Compared with bupropion, (2S,3S)-hydroxybupropion produces similar or stronger inhibition of DA and NE uptake, respectively.

**nAChR Functional Studies.** Initial studies of possible intrinsic activity of bupropion, (2S,3S)-hydroxybupropion, or (2S,3R)-hydroxybupropion clearly showed no ability of any of these agents to activate 3H]-nicotinic receptor agonists. In contrast, bupropion, (2S,3S)-hydroxybupropion, or (2S,3R)-hydroxybupropion had activity as functional antagonists at each human nAChR subtype tested (Fig. 1 and Table 2). Antagonist log dose-response profiles showed full inhibition of nAChR function stimulated by carbamylcholine at a concentration 10 times higher than its EC_{50} value. Bupropion (IC_{50} = 7.9 μM) and (2S,3R)-hydroxybupropion (IC_{50} = 7.6 μM) have similar functional inhibitory potency, significantly (p < 0.05) exceeding that of the (2S,3S)-hydroxy metabolite (IC_{50} = 28 μM), at human α_{1}β_{1}γ_{0} muscle-type nAChR, thereby showing evidence of enantioselectivity for the (2S,3R)-hydroxy isomer. Racemic bupropion has its highest functional antagonist potency (IC_{50} = 1.8 μM) at human α_{2}β_{2}-nAChR, whereas 2R,3R- (IC_{50} = 6.5 μM) and (2S,3S)-hydroxybupropion (IC_{50} = 10 μM) were significantly less potent. (2S,3S)-Hydroxybupropion (IC_{50} = 3.3 μM) has significantly higher functional inhibitory potency at human α_{2}β_{2}-nAChR than either racemic bupropion (IC_{50} = 12 μM) or the (2S,3R)-hydroxy metabolite (IC_{50} = 31 μM). In contrast, racemic bupropion (IC_{50} = 14 μM) has significantly higher functional inhibitory potency than either its (2S,3R)- or (2S,3S)-hydroxy metabolites (IC_{50} = 41 and 30 μM, respectively), which show little to no enantioselectivity at human α_{2}β_{2}-nAChR. Functional inhibitory potency is significantly higher for bupropion at α_{2}β_{2}-nAChR, for (2S,3S)-hydroxybupropion at α_{2}β_{2}-nAChR, and for (2S,3R)-hydroxybupropion at α_{2}β_{2}γ_{0}-nAChR than at the other nAChR subtypes.

When agonist dose-response studies were done alone or in the presence of antagonists at concentrations near to their IC_{50} values (data not shown), block by bupropion or its hydroxy metabolites is noncompetitive as determined by insurmountability of functional inhibition with higher doses of agonist.

**In Vivo Studies**

**Mouse Forced Swimming Studies.** Bupropion and its hydroxy metabolites were evaluated for their ability to reduce immobility time in the forced swimming test. Time course for immobility time for these analogs was determined after an immediate dose of 10 mg/kg s.c. As shown in Fig. 2A, the onset of action for bupropion and its hydroxy metabolites was rapid, with maximum effect occurring between 0 and 10 min. The duration of effect was relatively brief in that the effect had disappeared completely within 60 min after bupropion administration in mice. The duration of effect was even shorter (30 min) for racemic hydroxybupropion and (2S,3S)-hydroxybupropion. In addition, no significant effect on immobility time was found after injection of the (2S,3R)-isomer at different time points. Dose-response relationships were established for bupropion and its hydroxy metabolites by measuring immobility time at the time of maximal effect (Fig. 2B). Ten minutes after injection, bupropion significantly reduced the immobility time of mice in a dose-related manner with an ED_{50} value (± CL) of 4.2 (3.8–4.8) mg/kg. Racemic hydroxybupropion was 1.5-fold less potent than bupropion in the swimming test with a potency of 6.5 (5.0–6.8) mg/kg. Compared with bupropion, (2S,3S)-hydroxybupropion was equally potent in the swimming test, with an ED_{50} value (± CL) of 4.4 (3.3–5.8) mg/kg, whereas the (2S,3R)-isomer showed no significant inhibition of immobility time of mice. These results demonstrate that (2S,3S)-hydroxybupropion is the active isomer.

**Antagonism of Nicotine’s Pharmacological Effects by Bupropion Hydroxy Metabolites After Immediate Administration.** Bupropion and its hydroxy metabolites were evaluated for their ability to antagonize nicotine’s ef-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>IC_{50} (nM)</th>
<th>nM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bupropion</td>
<td>550 ± 65</td>
<td>1900 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2S,3R,5S)-Hydroxybupropion</td>
<td>&gt;10,000</td>
<td>1700 ± 830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2S,3S)-Hydroxybupropion</td>
<td>790 ± 11</td>
<td>520 ± 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2S,3R)-Hydroxybupropion</td>
<td>&gt;10,000</td>
<td>&gt;10,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
fects in the following procedures: antinociception using the tail-flick and hot-plate tests, decrease in locomotor activity, and hypothermia. Table 3 summarizes the potency of the different bupropion analogs in blocking these different effects of nicotine.

**Antinociception.** Nicotine-induced antinociception in the tail-flick and hot-plate tests after systemic administration in mice (2.5 mg/kg) was blocked by bupropion and its hydroxy metabolites in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3, A and B). Calculation of the AD₅₀ values (see Table 3) showed that (2S,3S)-hydroxybupropion was 7- to 12-fold more potent than bupropion, (2R,3R)-hydroxybupropion, and (2S,3R)-hydroxybupropion in blocking the antinociceptive effect of nicotine. Similarly to the tail-flick results, (2S,3S)-hydroxybupropion isomer was the most potent nicotinic antagonist in the hot-plate test. By themselves, bupropion analogs did not cause antinociception at the indicated doses and times.

**Body temperature and Spontaneous Activity.** Hypothermia induced by systemic administration of nicotine (2.5 mg/kg) was blocked by bupropion and its hydroxy metabolites with differential potency (Fig. 3, C and D). Bupropion’s AD₅₀ was 7.5 mg/kg, and that of racemic hydroxybupropion was 19.4 mg/kg. As with blockade of nicotine-induced antinociception, (2S,3S)-hydroxybupropion (AD₅₀ = 1.5 mg/kg) was considerably more potent than the other compounds in antagonizing nicotine’s hypothermic effects. The (2S,3R) isomer was inactive at a dose of 20 mg/kg. Similar findings were obtained with blockade of hypomotility produced by nicotine (1.5 mg/kg). Bupropion significantly blocked nicotine’s hypomotility effects with AD₅₀ of 3 mg/kg. (2S,3S)-hydroxybupropion was the most potent blocker with an AD₅₀ of 0.9 mg/kg. In contrast, the (2S,3R) isomer showed no significant inhibition at the highest dose tested (20 mg/kg). By themselves, none of these analogs had a significant effect on the body temperature or locomotor activity at the indicated doses and times.

**Discussion**

Nicotine is a powerful pharmacological agent that has both stimulant and depressant effects on the central nervous system (Henningfield et al., 1991). The very high prevalence of smoking among patients with depression and other psychiatric disorders may be caused by nicotine’s effect on mood. Major depression is more common among smokers than non-smokers, further suggesting an important relationship between nicotine dependence and mood disorders. The relationship between nicotine dependence and mood disorders led researchers to consider antidepressants as potential treat-

---

**Table 2**

Bupropion and its hydroxy metabolites as functional antagonists toward nAChR subtypes in cell lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SH-SY5Y α₂β₄⁺</th>
<th>SH-EP1-α₄β₂⁺</th>
<th>SH-EP1-α₄β₄⁺</th>
<th>TE671/RD α₁⁺</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>μM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bupropion</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.1ᵇ⁻ (1.24 ± 0.19)</td>
<td>12 ± 1.1ᵇ⁻ (1.24 ± 0.18)</td>
<td>14 ± 1.1ᵇ⁻ (1.19 ± 0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2S,3S) OH-bupropion</td>
<td>10 ± 1.5 (1.08 ± 0.35)</td>
<td>33 ± 1.1ᵇ⁻ (1.31 ± 0.11)</td>
<td>30 ± 1.1ᵇ⁻ (1.10 ± 0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2R,3R) OH-bupropion</td>
<td>6.5 ± 1.2ᵇ⁻ (1.08 ± 0.18)</td>
<td>31 ± 1.1 (1.53 ± 0.27)</td>
<td>41 ± 1.1ᵇ⁻ (1.65 ± 0.19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃ P < 0.05 relative to other subtypes for the indicated drug.
ᵇ P < 0.05 within subtype relative to (2S, 3S)-hydroxybupropion.
ᵇᵇ P < 0.05 within subtype relative to bupropion.
ments for smoking cessation. Thus far, the most useful antidepressant evaluated is bupropion.

Much research has been directed toward studies to identify the mechanism of antidepressant activity of bupropion. However, the specific sites that are responsible for its biological activity are still not fully understood. It is well recognized that bupropion exhibits both noradrenergic and dopaminergic activity. Thus, the effectiveness of bupropion as a smoking-cessation treatment may be related to its effects on mood via enhancement of noradrenergic and dopaminergic signals. In addition, recent studies reported that bupropion is a non-competitive antagonist at various nAChR subtypes (Fryer and Lukas, 1999; Slemmer et al., 2000), thereby suggesting another possible component in bupropion’s utility as an aid to smoking cessation. The fact that bupropion is extensively converted to biologically active metabolites raises the possibility that the latter may contribute to the mechanism of bupropion’s actions. However, to our knowledge, no studies have been reported on this subject.

The results of the current study indicate that bupropion and its hydroxy metabolites are noncompetitive antagonists of nAChR at concentrations similar to those inhibiting [3H]NE and [3H]DA uptake. The in vitro concentrations for bupropion action at $\alpha_3\beta_4$-*nAChR ($IC_{50} = 1.8 \mu M$) are comparable with those needed to inhibit DA ($IC_{50} = 0.55 \mu M$) or NE transporter ($IC_{50} = 1.9 \mu M$) function. In addition, the action of (2S,3S)-hydroxybupropion at $\alpha_3\beta_2$-nAChR ($IC_{50} = 3.3 \mu M$) occurs in the concentration range needed to inhibit DA ($IC_{50} = 0.79 \mu M$) or NE transporter ($IC_{50} = 0.52 \mu M$) function. These findings suggest that blockade of nAChR, in addition to blockade of monoamine transporter function, may be involved in the effectiveness of bupropion as a treatment for smoking cessation. Not only are the effects of the hydroxy metabolites enantioselective, (2S,3S)-hydroxybupropion displays the same or better activity than the parent compound at endpoints associated with blockade of nicotine-stimulated behaviors. Thus, the (2S,3S)-metabolite may play a critical role in the effectiveness of bupropion as a smoking-cessation pharmacotherapy. It would be interesting to determine whether levels of the (2S,3S) metabolite are relatively low in patients for whom bupropion is an ineffective treatment for smoking cessation. In contrast, the other enantiomer (2S,3R) exhibited greater functional antagonism at muscle-type nAChR, and no enantioselectivity was seen for nAChR containing $\beta_4$ subunits (e.g., $\alpha_3\beta_4$-, or $\alpha_5\beta_4$-*nAChR).

Our in vivo data support a role for the (2S,3S) isomer in the actions of bupropion, because this isomer was the most potent compound in blocking nicotine’s behavioral effects. The in vitro data indicate that these effects are mediated by $\alpha_3\beta_2$-nAChR, because racemic bupropion is rapidly converted to its hydroxy metabolites, and the (2S,3S) hydroxy metabolite preferentially blocks the $\alpha_3\beta_2$-nAChR subtype. Although bupropion has higher affinity for $\alpha_3\beta_4$-*nAChR and muscle-type nAChR than for $\alpha_4$-*nAChR, rapid metabolism of the compound would minimize its effects on autonomic ganglia. Moreover, enhancement of sympathetic tone via blockade of NE reuptake would counter toxic effects caused by autonomic nAChR blockade. Indeed tachycardia is one of the most frequent side effects of bupropion treatment. Nevertheless, any $\alpha_3\beta_4$-* nAChR expressed in the brain also are potential clinically relevant targets for more actions of bupropion itself. With regard to targeted design of drugs useful in smoking cessation, depression, or both, the present findings indicate that (2S,3S)-hydroxybupropion would have a smaller peripheral nAChR-mediated side-effect profile than would bupropion, assuming that central nAChRs are the desired target.

The strong enantioselectivity for hydroxybupropion action at DA transporters, NE transporters, and $\alpha_3\beta_2$-nAChR is consistent with the enantioselectivity seen in vivo for actions in a mouse depression model (forced swimming test). However, the 10- to 20-fold higher potency of (2S,3S)-hydroxybu-
interest for future work are effects of nicotine on models of depression and sensitivity of any effects to bupropion.

It is interesting to note that racemic hydroxy bupropion lacks potency at DAT relative to the activity of the (2S,3S) isomer. It is generally accepted that when one isomer has much higher activity than the other, the activity of the mixture is normally closer to the active isomer. This was the case of the NE transporter but not the DAT inhibition by hydroxybupropion and its isomers. Although no clear explanation can be proposed for this discrepancy, it is possible that the inactive isomer has a negative allosteric effect on binding to the DA transporter, which suggests a differential interaction of hydroxybupropion stereoisomers at DAT that could invoke an allosteric site/multimer models.

Our findings collectively support the hypothesis that bupropion’s usefulness as both an antidepressant and an aid in the treatment of nicotine dependence reflects actions of bupropion and/or its hydroxy metabolites on a combination of targets including the DA transporter, the NE transporter, and members of the diverse family of nAChRs. These findings suggest that it may be desirable to synthesize compounds with multiple biological activities. Thus, a successful smoking-cessation pharmacotherapy would at least include activity at monoamine transporters and α3β2-nAChR. That the (2S,3S) isomer possesses the most desirable pharmacodynamic properties of the compounds tested suggests that the efficacy of bupropion in the treatment of depression and smoking cessation may be linked to how it is metabolized. Furthermore, our data suggest that the (2S,3S) isomer may be a better drug candidate for smoking cessation than bupropion because of its higher potency at the relevant targets and low activity at α3*-nAChR.
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**Table 3**

Summary of the antagonistic potency of bupropion and bupropion hydroxy metabolites on different pharmacological actions of nicotine after subcutaneous administration in mice. Results are presented as AD10 values (±CL) calculated from the dose response. Each dose group included 8 to 12 animals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacological Effect</th>
<th>Bupropion</th>
<th>(2RS,3RS)-Hydroxybupropion</th>
<th>(2S,3S)-Hydroxybupropion</th>
<th>(2R,3R)-Hydroxybupropion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tail-flick</td>
<td>2.0 (1.8–3.6)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.6–3.8)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1–0.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot-plate</td>
<td>7.0 (5.9–9.5)</td>
<td>5.5 (3.6–16)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.2–5.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypomotility</td>
<td>3.0 (2.5–6.3)</td>
<td>8.2 (4–17.3)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.3–3.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothermia</td>
<td>7.5 (3–15.4)</td>
<td>19.4 (10–35)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.8–3.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>B</sup> <sup>P</sup> <0.05 relative to (2RS,3RS)-hydroxybupropion.
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