Molecular Dissection of the Butyrate Action Revealed the Involvement of Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase in Cystic Fibrosis Transmembrane Conductance Regulator Biogenesis
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ABSTRACT

Cystic fibrosis is caused by mutations in the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) gene, which belongs to the superfamily of ATP-binding cassette transporters and uniquely possesses an additional large cytoplasmic domain [regulatory (R) domain]. CFTR inefficiently folds by means of co- and post-translational interactions with the cytosolic chaperones as well as luminal chaperones in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Aberrant folding and defective trafficking of the CFTR protein, which functions as an apical membrane Cl channel, is the principal cause of cystic fibrosis. Recent data indicated that butyrate improves CFTR trafficking partly by regulating molecular chaperones; however, the precise mechanism of butyrate action remains elusive. In the present study, we examine the molecular aspect underlying the butyrate action in CFTR biogenesis by evaluating the expression and localization of the green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged CFTR transgenes in Cos7 cells. Our data show that butyrate significantly promoted stability of the ER-located form of GFP-wild-type (wt)-CFTR, followed by an increase in the amount of plasma membrane GFP-wt-CFTR. In contrast, the expression of the R domain deletion mutant GFP-ΔR-CFTR was slightly increased by butyrate. The butyrate action on wt-CFTR expression was partially blocked by PD98059 (2′-amino-3′-methoxy-flavone), a specific inhibitor of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), which is the upstream activator of extracellular-regulated kinase (ERK)/mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK). Furthermore, activation of ERK/MAPK by the coexpression of constitutively active MAPKK/MEK predominantly augmented the expression of wt-CFTR, but not of ΔR-CFTR, induced by butyrate. These data suggest that butyrate may facilitate the biogenesis and trafficking of wt-CFTR by requiring the presence of the R domain and further involving active ERK/MAPK in its biogenesis.

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is one of the most prevalent lethal genetic disorders among white persons (Welsh and Smith, 1993). The CF gene encodes the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR), a cAMP-regulated Cl− channel and conductance regulator polarized to the apical membrane in numerous epithelia (Rommens et al., 1989). CFTR, a member of the ATP-binding cassette transporter superfamily, is composed of two membrane-associated domains, each with six putative transmembrane segments, two nucleotide-binding domains (NBDs), and a large cytoplasmic regulatory (R) domain (Riordan et al., 1989). This complex, multidomain structure conceivably renders the post-translational folding of wild-type (wt) CFTR inefficient. The majority (50–75%) of newly synthesized wild-type CFTR molecules remains incompletely folded and is degraded at the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), whereas the remaining 25 to 50% undergoes an ATP-dependent conformational maturation and is exported to the cis/medial Golgi, where its complex glycosylation occurs (Lukacs et al., 1994; Ward and Kopito, 1994; Jensen et al., 1995). The most frequent mutation, deletion of phenylalanine at position 508 (ΔF508) in the NBD1, in-
creases the insufficiency of CFTR folding (to greater than 99%) and targets the core-glycosylated folding intermediate for degradation, predominantly via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway at the ER (Lukacs et al., 1993; Ward and Kopito, 1994; Ward et al., 1995). As a result, negligible expression of ΔF508-CFTR could be detected at the cell surface (Kopito, 1999). However, the exciting finding that the ΔF508-CFTR Cl⁻ channel is partly functional both in the ER (Pasyk and Foskett, 1995) and after its reconstitution into planar lipid bilayers (Li et al., 1993) suggested that the CF phenotype could be alleviated by relocating the mutant CFTR from the ER to the plasma membrane by manipulating CFTR processing.

Butyrate, a short-chain fatty acid, is reported to function as a transcription activator, possibly via inhibition of histone deacetylase activity (D'Anna et al., 1980; Kruh, 1982), and modulate a variety of cellular events (Yang et al., 2001; Adam et al., 2003; Le Poul et al., 2003). Treatment with butyrate and the butyrate analog 4-phenylbutyrate, which is approved for pharmaceutical use, corrects the ΔF508-CFTR trafficking defect and restores CFTR function at the plasma membrane (Cheng et al., 1995; Rubenstein et al., 1997; Rubenstein and Zeitlin, 1998; Zeitlin et al., 2002). Wild-type CFTR, which is also folded inefficiently, could likewise be up-regulated by this treatment (Kopito, 1999). Previous studies suggested that the enhancing effect of 4-phenylbutyrate on ΔF508-CFTR trafficking is elicited by decreased levels of heat shock cognate protein Hsc70, a constitutively expressed Hsp70 isoform (Rubenstein and Zeitlin, 2000). However, it is rather controversial whether butyrate and 4-phenylbutyrate influence ΔF508-CFTR folding or trafficking in addition to their effect on transcription, because the butyrate-induced overexpression of CFTR may simply overwhelm the ER's quality control (Cheng et al., 1995; Gelman and Kopito, 2002). Thus, the molecular mechanisms of butyrate action on CFTR biogenesis remain elusive. Furthermore, butyrate seems to inhibit CFTR directly (Lindsell, 2001) and suppress CFTR function indirectly by inhibiting Na-K-ATPases and Na-K-2Cl cotransporters, which are required for transepithelial salt transport (Matthews et al., 1998; Moyer et al., 1999). Thus, it is considered that the utility of butyrate as potential therapeutics may be limited by their inhibitory effects on the ion transporters in addition to the side effects elicited by the transcriptional activation of unidentified molecules. Nevertheless, identification of the specific targets of butyrate in CFTR biogenesis may lead to the possibility that CFTR processing can be manipulated by regulating their molecular functions. Thus, functional manipulation of the target molecules may enable us to perform the efficient CF treatment without side effects of butyrate.

To rationally develop therapeutic means to stimulate ΔF508-CFTR trafficking from the ER to the cell surface, it is first necessary to understand the mechanisms and pathways directing wild-type CFTR biogenesis and trafficking. In the present study, we examine the molecular aspect of the butyrate action on the biogenesis of CFTR while comparing its action on the deletion mutant of the R domain, whose interaction with NBD1 leads to the release of the Hsc70/Hdj-2 chaperone from CFTR during the critical step for CFTR biogenesis. By evaluating the expression and localization of the GFP-tagged CFTR transgenes in Cos7 cells, our data show that butyrate remarkably increased the amount of plasma membrane GFP-wt-CFTR, but not of GFP-ΔR-CFTR, with the aid of MAPK activity. Furthermore, the efficiency of the butyrate action on CFTR biogenesis, tightly depending on the cellular levels of active MAPK, suggests that MAPK activity may act as a rate-limiting step for the expression of mature CFTR, which is enhanced by butyrate treatment and mediated through the CFTR R domain.

Materials and Methods

Construction of Mutants. The pEGFP-C1-CFTR mammalian expression vector, which allows fusion of GFP to the N-terminal region of CFTR, was kindly provided by Dr. Foskett (University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA). To construct the pEGFP-ΔR-CFTR mammalian expression vector, an AluI and SacI fragment from pTM1-CFTRAR-S660A containing the C-terminal region of CFTR was swapped with the wild-type cassette present in pEGFP-C1-CFTR. ΔF508-CFTR was made using a polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based mutagenesis technique. To construct the mutant ΔF508-CFTR, two rounds on PCR amplification were performed by KOD-plus-DNA polymerase (TOYOBO, Tokyo, Japan). By employing two flanking external primers that immediately flank single sites of BspI and BstZ17I in CFTR, two overlapping fragments were generated in the first round of PCR amplification, using the internal mutagenic forward primer (5'-AGAAATAATCATCGGTGTTCTCATGATGATATAATAGA-3') with the 3' end external reverse primer and, in a separate reaction, using the internal mutagenic reverse primer (5'-CATAGGGAAACCGATGATTTTCTTAAATGTTGCCCAG-3') with the 5' end external forward primer. The two overlapping fragments generated in the first PCR were subjected to the second round of PCR amplification with external primers. The PCR-mutagenized cassette from the second step was swapped with the wild-type cassette present in pEGFP-C1-CFTR, using the unique restriction sites BspI and BstZ17I. To insert a V5 tag between GFP and CFTR, the N-terminus of CFTR was PCR-amplified, using the synthetic oligonucleotide primers that were designed to introduce a BspEI site and a V5 tag upstream of the CFTR initiation ATG codon (5'-TTATCCGGAGGTAAGCCTATCCTTAAACCCCTTCCTCGGTCATGATTCTCAGGTCGGCTTCTGGAAAG-3') and to immediately flank a single BspEI site in CFTR. The BspEI-BspEI fragment was subcloned into the above clones to produce pEGFP-V5-CFTR, pEGFP-V5-ΔR-CFTR, and pEGFP-V5-ΔF508-CFTR. The PCR-amplified region was confirmed by sequencing.

Cells and CFTR Expression. Cos7 cells were used for transfection and expression of wild-type and mutant CFTR proteins. The cells in 35-mm dishes were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum in 5% CO₂. They were transfected with pEGFP-CFTR for 12 h using the LipofectAMINE reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Transfected Cos7 cells were then incubated for 12 h in the growing medium, followed by the further incubation in the presence or absence of 5 mM butyrate for 24 h. Fresh solutions of butyrate were prepared immediately before use for each experiment.

SDS-PAGE and Western Blotting. Cells were washed twice with 1 ml of PBS, solubilized in buffer A (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 10% glycerol, 0.2 mM orthovanadate, and containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO)), and spun at 14,000 g for 10 min to pellet insoluble materials. Aliquots of cell lysates were removed for SDS-PAGE analysis. Equal amounts of protein (5 µg) were separated on 2 to 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gradient gels (Daiichi Pharmaceutical Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were blocked overnight at 4°C in 5% nonfat dry milk in Tris-buffered saline/Tween 20 and incubated with primary antisera in the blocking solution, followed by...
horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies. Detection of immunoreactivity was performed with the enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences, Boston, MA) and the medical X-ray film RX-U (Fuji Photo Film Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Immunoblots with multiple exposures were quantified using Epscan transparency scanner and NIH Image 1.63 software (developed by the National Institutes of Health and available at their web site).

**Metabolic Pulse-Chase.** The cells in 35-mm dishes were transfected with 1 μg of pEGFP-V5-CFTR, pEGFP-V5-ΔR-CFTR, or pEGFP-V5-ΔF508-CFTR and incubated in the presence or absence of 5 mM butyrate for 24 h. The cells expressing the V5-tagged CFTR were starved in methionine/cysteine-free minimal essential medium. After 1 h of methionine starvation, 200 μCi/ml PRO-MIX (ICN Biomedicals, Inc., Irvine, CA) was added to each dish and butyrate treatment was continued for 10 min. Nonspecific binding sites were blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin for 30 min. Cells were incubated with primary antisera and then incubated with TRITC-conjugated secondary antibodies. Cells were observed under a confocal laser microscope (LSM410; Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany). The subcellular distribution of GFP-CFTR was directly visualized by the GFP fluorescence, excited using a 488-nm laser line, and collected using a 510 to 525-nm band-pass filter. Fluorescence associated with TRITC-labeled secondary antibodies was simultaneously excited using a 543-nm laser line and collected using a 570-nm long-pass filter. The fluorescent and differential interference contrast (DIC) images were imported into Adobe Photoshop version 7.0 for image processing and printing.

**Materials.** The following antibodies were used: HRP-conjugated goat polyclonal anti-GFP (600-103-215; Rockland, Gilbertsville, PA); mouse monoclonal anti-HA (12CA5; Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany); mouse monoclonal anti-phosphorylated extracellular-regulated kinase (ERK) (sc-7383; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA); rabbit polyclonal anti-Hsc70 (3095-100; BioVision Inc., Palo Alto, CA); mouse monoclonal anti-V5 (R960-25; Invitrogen); mouse monoclonal anti-CFTR (clone24-1; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN); TRITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (RAF-011-2; EY Laboratories, San Mateo, CA); and HRP-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (sc-2005; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.). The mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase [MAPKK (also known as MEK)] inhibitor PD98059 and the p38 MAPK inhibitor SB203580 were purchased from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA). Wortmannin and butyrate were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and Wako Pure Chemicals (Osaka, Japan), respectively.

**Results**

**Effect of Butyrate on GFP-CFTR Expression.** To elucidate the molecular aspect underlying the butyrate action on CFTR biogenesis, we evaluated the expression of GFP fused to the NH2 terminus of either the wild-type or mutant CFTR, which allows us to directly visualize its subcellular distribution and to attain high-sensitivity immunodetection, in Cos7 cells. Previous reports indicated that the fusion of GFP to the NH2 terminus of CFTR does not affect the trafficking and function of CFTR (Moyer et al., 1998). The immunoblot analysis showed that GFP-tagged wt-CFTR (GFP-wt-CFTR) appears as an immaturely glycosylated, ER-localized B form (~173 kDa) and a maturely glycosylated C form (~197 kDa) (Fig. 1, A and B). Thus, the delivery of CFTR to the Golgi was detected by the conversion of the core-glycosylated B form to the complex-glycosylated C form, which resulted from processing by Golgi-associated mannosidases and glycosyltransferases leading to the addition of polylactosamine, as previously reported (Yoo et al., 2002). The electrophoresis mobility of GFP-wt-CFTR was appropriate for a chimera of GFP (~27 kDa) and full-length human CFTR (~145 kDa). Chronic butyrate treatment remarkably enhanced the steady-state levels of both the maturely glycosylated C form and the immaturely glycosylated B form of wt-CFTR in a dose-dependent fashion with the half-maximal activation constant of ~0.5 mM (Fig. 1, A and B). To compare the previous reports (Rubenstein et al., 1997; Moyer et al., 1999) with the current report, we selected the butyrate concentration of 5 mM and evaluated its action on CFTR biogenesis below. In contrast, the B form of the deletion mutant GFP-ΔR-CFTR, which lacks much of the R domain and replaces Ser660 with alanine (Rich et al., 1993), was detected as an approximately 15-kDa species in the growing medium, and followed by further incubation in the presence or absence of 5 mM butyrate for 24 h. Cells were washed twice with 1 ml of PBS, solubilized in buffer A, and spun at 14,000 rpm for 10 min to pellet insoluble materials. Aliquots of cell lysates (80 μg) were diluted with buffer B [150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM EGTA] and fractionated by 2 to 15% gradient SDS-PAGE. Detection of radioactive bands was performed with BioMax Translucence L: Intensifying Screen (Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY) and BioMax MR films (Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY). Immunoblots with multiple exposures were quantified using Epscan transparency scanner and NIH Image 1.63 software.

**Commmunoprecipitation.** The cells in 100-mm dishes were transfected with 4 μg of either pEGFP-V5-CFTR or pEGFP-V5-ΔR-CFTR for 12 h using the LipofectAMINE reagent, incubated for 12 h in the growing medium, and followed by further incubation in the presence or absence of 5 mM butyrate for 24 h. Cells were washed twice with 1 ml of PBS, solubilized in buffer A, and spun at 14,000 g for 10 min to pellet insoluble materials. Aliquots of cell lysates (80 μg) were diluted with buffer A to a final volume of 0.6 ml and precleared with protein G Sepharose beads. The V5-tagged CFTR protein was immunoprecipitated using anti-V5 monoclonal antibody (Invitrogen) and protein G Sepharose. The immunoprecipitates were washed extensively with buffer B [150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM EGTA] and fractionated by 2 to 15% gradient SDS-PAGE. Detection of radioactive bands was performed with BioMax Translucence L: Intensifying Screen (Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY) and BioMax MR films (Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY). The bands were quantified using Epscan transparency scanner and NIH Image 1.63 software.

**Statistical Analysis.** Results are presented as means ± S.E. of n observations. Unless otherwise noted, statistical significance was determined using unpaired Student’s t test; p values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Visualizing the Subcellular Distribution of GFP Fusion Proteins in Live Cells.** Transfected Cos7 cells were placed in a chamber (MI-IBX; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan), maintained in 5% CO2 at 37°C, and observed under an inverted epifluorescence microscope (IX71; Olympus). The subcellular distribution of GFP-CFTR was visualized by the GFP fluorescence, excited using a mercury lamp, and collected using a 510 to 525-nm band-pass filter. Time-lapse image processing was performed with MetaMorph software (Universal Imaging Corporation, Downingtown, PA). Images were acquired every 15 min with 8-s epifluorescence exposure and stored in a computer.

**Confocal Imaging.** Transfected Cos7 cells were washed twice with 1 ml of PBS, fixed and permeabilized with 3.7% formaldehyde (ICN Biomedicals, Inc., Irvine, CA) and 0.18% Triton X-100 for 5 min. Cells were incubated with primary antisera and then incubated with TRITC-conjugated secondary antibodies. Cells were observed under a confocal laser microscope (LSM410; Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany). The subcellular distribution of GFP-CFTR was directly visualized by the GFP fluorescence, excited using a 488-nm laser line, and collected using a 510 to 525-nm band-pass filter. Fluorescence associated with TRITC-labeled secondary antibodies was simultaneously excited using a 543-nm laser line and collected using a 570-nm long-pass filter. The fluorescent and differential interference contrast (DIC) images were imported into Adobe Photoshop version 7.0 for image processing and printing.
in the plasma membrane region (Fig. 2, A and C). In sharp contrast, the application of butyrate had minimal effect on the subcellular distribution of GFP-ΔR-CFTR, mainly located in the perinuclear region (Fig. 2, A and E). Because butyrate activates transcription from many viral promoters, including the cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter (Kruh, 1982; Shima et al., 1997), it is likely that the increased expression of GFP-wt-CFTR and GFP-ΔR-CFTR after butyrate treatment is partly attributable to the activation of the CMV promoter driving its expression. Nevertheless, the butyrate-induced increase in CFTR expression may result not only from its transcriptional regulation, but also its post-transcriptional regulation, because GFP-wt-CFTR expression was predominantly enhanced by butyrate treatment, compared with GFP-ΔR-CFTR, with the identical efficiencies of the butyrate-activated transcription of wt- and ΔR-CFTRs driven by the CMV promoter. These data may also imply that the R domain is involved in determining the efficiency of CFTR biogenesis.

To quantitatively compare the efficiency of maturation of CFTR from the band B to band C forms, we evaluated the ratio of CFTR band C to band B in the presence and absence of butyrate. Although the expression of GFP-ΔR-CFTR exhibited a significantly lower C/B ratio than its wt counterpart, the butyrate treatment had little effect on the C/B ratios of both wt-CFTR and ΔR-CFTR (Fig. 1D), suggesting that butyrate may modulate either the biogenesis or degradation of the ER-resident CFTR. To explore the biosynthetic steps of CFTR, which are affected by butyrate, the biological stability of GFP-wt-CFTR and GFP-ΔR-CFTR was determined upon inhibition of protein biosynthesis with cycloheximide, before the preincubation with butyrate. Cells were incubated in the presence of cycloheximide, and the remaining CFTR was measured with quantitative immunoblotting as a function of incubation time. Densitometry revealed that butyrate treatment did not significantly affect the proportions of the remaining C forms of wt-CFTR (Fig. 3, A and C) and ΔR-CFTR (Fig. 3, B and D). However, the disappearance rate of the ER-localized B form of wt-CFTR (Fig. 3C, right), but not of ΔR-CFTR (Fig. 3D, right), was significantly slowed by butyrate treatment ($p < 0.05$, $n = 3$). Because the application of butyrate without cycloheximide had minimal effect on the C/B ratio, these results suggest that butyrate may stabilize the ER-localized B form of wt-CFTR; however, the amount of GFP-wt-CFTR in the ER after butyrate treatment is much higher than that in the control cells. This level of expression might simply overwhelm the ER's quality control mechanism. Thus, the reduced degradation by butyrate treatment might be secondary to its effect on transcription rather than a specific effect on CFTR folding or degradation. To address and argue against this, we attempted to manipulate the expression levels of CFTR by transfecting the various amounts of the expression plasmid, followed by a comparative study of CFTR degradation. The degradation of the C and B forms of wt-CFTR in the cells transfected with either 0.25 or 2 μg of the expression plasmid exhibited similar characteristics compared with those in the cells transfected with 1 μg (Fig. 3, A, C, and E). Thus, butyrate treatment slowed the degradation rates of the ER-localized B form of wt-CFTR at the various expression levels. Although the identical levels of CFTR expression were observed in the control cells transfected with 2 μg of the expression plasmid and in the butyrate-treated cells trans-
Fig. 2. Effect of butyrate on the subcellular distribution of GFP-wt-CFTR and GFP-ΔR-CFTR in Cos7 cells. A, subcellular distribution by confocal imaging of GFP-wt-CFTR and GFP-ΔR-CFTR, transiently expressed in Cos7 cells in the absence (control) or presence of 5 mM butyrate, directly visualized by the GFP fluorescence (top) 24 h after the addition of butyrate. DIC images (bottom) were also revealed. B–D, visualization of the subcellular distribution of GFP-wt-CFTR and GFP-ΔR-CFTR in the live cells treated with or without butyrate. The sequential images, taken at the indicated time points (hours) after the addition of butyrate, are shown.
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Effect of butyrate on the stability of the wt-CFTR and R-CFTR monitored in the presence of cycloheximide. Cells were transfected with 1 g/ml). Cells were solubilized, and equal amounts of protein (5 μg) were immunoblotted with an anti-GFP antibody. B, representative immunoblots showing the disappearance of the B and C forms of GFP-AR-CFTR monitored in the presence of cycloheximide. Cells were transfected with 1 μg of the GFP-AR-CFTR expression plasmid and analyzed as in A. C, relative intensities of the C and B forms of GFP-AR-CFTR monitored in the presence of cycloheximide. The complex-glycosylated C (left) and core-glycosylated B (right) forms of GFP-AR-CFTR persisting in the cells were calculated from densitometry of immunoblots shown in A. The initial amounts of the B and C forms either with (open triangles) or without (closed circles) butyrate treatment were set to 1, and the relative amounts of those forms at the indicated time points were quantified. The data are expressed as the mean ± S.E.M. of three independent experiments. D, relative intensities of the C and B forms of GFP-AR-CFTR monitored in the presence of cycloheximide. The C (left) and B (right) forms of GFP-AR-CFTR persisting in the cells were calculated from densitometry of immunoblots shown in B. The relative amounts of those forms either with (open triangles) or without (closed circles) butyrate treatment at the indicated time points were quantified as in C. E, representative immunoblots showing the relationship between the expression levels and degradation rates of GFP-wt-CFTR in the presence and absence of butyrate. Cells were transfected with either 0.25 or 2 μg of the GFP-wt-CFTR expression plasmid and analyzed as in A. The representative data from three independent experiments are shown.

Fig. 3. Effect of butyrate on the stability of the wt-CFTR and AR-CFTR cellular pool. A, representative immunoblots showing the disappearance of the B and C forms of GFP-wt-CFTR, monitored in the presence of cycloheximide. Cells were transfected with 1 μg of the GFP-wt-CFTR expression plasmid, incubated with or without butyrate treatment for 24 h, and harvested at the indicated time points after the addition of cycloheximide (25 μg/ml). Cells were solubilized, and equal amounts of protein (5 μg) were immunoblotted with an anti-GFP antibody. B, representative immunoblots showing the disappearance of the B and C forms of GFP-AR-CFTR monitored in the presence of cycloheximide. Cells were transfected with 1 μg of the GFP-AR-CFTR expression plasmid and analyzed as in A. C, relative intensities of the C and B forms of GFP-AR-CFTR monitored in the presence of cycloheximide. The complex-glycosylated C (left) and core-glycosylated B (right) forms of GFP-AR-CFTR persisting in the cells were calculated from densitometry of immunoblots shown in A. The initial amounts of the B and C forms either with (open triangles) or without (closed circles) butyrate treatment were set to 1, and the relative amounts of those forms at the indicated time points were quantified. The data are expressed as the mean ± S.E.M. of three independent experiments. D, relative intensities of the C and B forms of GFP-AR-CFTR monitored in the presence of cycloheximide. The C (left) and B (right) forms of GFP-AR-CFTR persisting in the cells were calculated from densitometry of immunoblots shown in B. The relative amounts of those forms either with (open triangles) or without (closed circles) butyrate treatment at the indicated time points were quantified as in C. E, representative immunoblots showing the relationship between the expression levels and degradation rates of GFP-wt-CFTR in the presence and absence of butyrate. Cells were transfected with either 0.25 or 2 μg of the GFP-wt-CFTR expression plasmid and analyzed as in A. The representative data from three independent experiments are shown.

affected with 0.25 μg, the degradation of the wt-CFTR B form was reduced in the butyrate-treated cells (Fig. 3E). Thus, these results suggest that the butyrate action plays a specific role in determining the rate of CFTR degradation in addition to its effect on transcription. Because the degradation of AR-CFTR was less severely affected by butyrate treatment, these data suggest that butyrate promotes the stability of the B form of wt-CFTR in the ER, possibly in an R domain-dependent manner.

To further characterize the butyrate action on CFTR biogenesis, we performed metabolic pulse-chase experiments as described previously (Gelman et al., 2002; Varga et al., 2004). In these experiments, we compared the amount of the newly synthesized B form, half-life, and its conversion to the C form among wt-CFTR, AR-CFTR, and ΔF508-CFTR as another control (Fig. 4). In either the butyrate-untreated or butyrate-treated cells, the same levels of translation efficiency were observed among the expressions of GFP-V5-wt-CFTR, GFP-V5-AR-CFTR, and GFP-V5-ΔF508-CFTR, judging from the amount of the pulse-labeled B form at t = 0 (Fig. 4A). It is noteworthy that butyrate treatment did not enhance translation efficiencies of wt-CFTR, AR-CFTR, and ΔF508-CFTR in Cos7 cells at this time point, 24 h after the addition of butyrate (Fig. 4A). However, the addition of butyrate significantly enhanced the stability of the B form of wt-CFTR (p < 0.05), but not of AR-CFTR and ΔF508-CFTR, without affecting the amounts of the converted C form (Fig. 4, B–D). Early degradation of wild-type and mutant CFTR by proteasome has been described as a common feature of CFTR biogenesis (Jensen et al., 1995; Ward et al., 1995). To address whether a change in degradation rate is related to an effect on the ubiquitin-proteasome system, we investigated the effect of ALLN, a proteasome inhibitor, on protein half-life. In either butyrate-untreated or butyrate-treated cells, the addition of ALLN had minimal effect on the disappearance rate of the B forms of wt-CFTR (Fig. 4, A and B), suggesting that the butyrate-enhanced stability of the B form was not elicited by the proteasome inhibition. Therefore, in addition to proteasomes, other proteolytic systems might significantly contribute to ERAD for wt-CFTR (Jensen et al., 1995). It is interesting that the addition of ALLN slightly and significantly slowed the disappearance of AR-CFTR and ΔF508-CFTR in the butyrate-treated cells but not in the butyrate-untreated cells (Fig. 4, A, C, and D), although during the chase without ALLN, the same levels of disappearance rate were observed between the butyrate-untreated and butyrate-treated cells. These results imply that butyrate may modulate the degradation of AR-CFTR and ΔF508-CFTR while slightly stimulating the proteasome-dependent ERAD and inhibiting proteasome-independent ERAD at a certain equilibrium. Nevertheless, our results clearly demonstrate that butyrate remarkably enhanced the stability of the B form of wt-CFTR in sharp contrast with its effect on AR-CFTR and ΔF508-CFTR.

MAPK Activity Determines the Efficiency of the Butyrate-Induced Expression of CFTR. To explore the mo-
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Fig. 4. Pulse-chase analysis of degradation and maturation of wild-type and mutant CFTR. A, representative pulse-chase data showing degradation and maturation of wild-type and mutant CFTR proteins in Cos7 cells treated with or without butyrate and monitored in the presence or absence of ALLN. Cos7 cells were transfected with 1 µg of the expression plasmids of GFP-V5-wt-CFTR, GFP-V5-ΔR-CFTR, or GFP-V5-ΔF508-CFTR and incubated for 24 h in the presence or absence of 5 mM butyrate. The cells were labeled with [35S]methionine/cysteine and chased for the indicated time intervals in the presence or absence of 50 µM ALLN. Cells were then solubilized, and lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-V5 antibody. The immunoprecipitated CFTR proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE. B, quantification of the B and C forms of pulse-labeled GFP-V5-wt-CFTR. The C and B forms of GFP-wt-CFTR persisting in the cells were calculated from densitometry of radioactive bands shown in A. The initial total amounts of the B and C forms after a 30-min pulse were set to 1, and the relative amounts of those forms at the indicated time points were quantified. The data are expressed as the mean ± S.E.M. of two independent experiments. In the left, the disappearance rates of the B form in Cos7 cells treated with butyrate (closed triangles) or without butyrate (open triangles) were calculated. Formations of the C form in Cos7 cells treated with butyrate (open triangles) and without butyrate (closed circles) were also denoted. In the middle, the disappearance of the B form in Cos7 cells, which were not treated with butyrate, was chased with ALLN (open squares) or without ALLN (closed circles) and compared. In the right, the disappearance of the B form in the butyrate-treated Cos7 cells was chased with ALLN (open squares) or without ALLN (closed triangles) and compared. C, quantification of the B form of pulse-labeled GFP-V5-ΔR-CFTR. Because the C form of pulse-labeled GFP-V5-ΔR-CFTR was not clearly observed during the chase periods, only the B form of GFP-V5-ΔR-CFTR persisting in the cells was calculated from densitometry of radioactive bands shown in A. Thus, the initial total amounts of the B form after a 30-min pulse were set to 1, and the relative amounts at the indicated time points were quantified. The data are expressed as the mean ± S.E.M. of two independent experiments. In the left, the disappearance rates of the B form in Cos7 cells treated with butyrate (closed triangles) or without butyrate (open triangles) were calculated. Formations of the C form in Cos7 cells treated with butyrate (open triangles) and without butyrate (closed circles) were also denoted. In the middle, the disappearance of the B form in Cos7 cells, which were not treated with butyrate, was chased with ALLN (open squares) or without ALLN (closed circles) and compared. In the right, the disappearance of the B form in the butyrate-treated Cos7 cells was chased with ALLN (open squares) or without ALLN (closed triangles) and compared. D, quantification of the B form of pulse-labeled GFP-V5-ΔF508-CFTR. Because the C form of pulse-labeled GFP-V5-ΔF508-CFTR was not clearly observed during the chase periods, only the B form of GFP-V5-ΔF508-CFTR persisting in the cells was calculated from densitometry of radioactive bands shown in A and compared as in C.

lucrual targets of butyrate, we have examined the effect of pharmacological reagents on the butyrate-induced increase in CFTR expression. Cells use a limited number of intracellular signaling pathways for a variety of cellular responses. One of those pathways is the classic MAPK/ERK cascade (Cobb and Goldsmith, 1995). In response to extracellular stimuli, MAPKK/MEK, a direct activator for MAPK/ERK, becomes activated and then activates MAPK in the cytoplasm (Cobb and Goldsmith, 1995). Although the activated MAPK is translocated into the nucleus where it phosphorylates several nuclear targets, MAPK also phosphorylates the target molecules in the cytoplasm (Pierce et al., 2000). Although it is reported that not only does butyrate modulate MAPK activity in a cell type-dependent manner (Le Poul et al., 2003), but also that endogenous MAPK activity is involved in determining and restricting the efficiency of the butyrate action (Yang et al., 2001), the involvement of MAPK either in CFTR biogenesis or in the butyrate action on its biogenesis remains elusive. To check whether MAPK regulates those steps, we examined the effect of PD98059, a specific inhibitor of MAPKK/MEK, which is the upstream activator of ERK/MAPK. PD98059 (50 µM) partially inhibited the butyrate action on the enhancement of the steady-state levels of both the mature glycosylated C form and immaturity glycosylated B form of wt-CFTR (Fig. 5A and D) (p < 0.05, n = 3). The application of PD98059 without butyrate treatment had no significant effect on the expression of the C form of wt-CFTR, although it significantly (p < 0.05) reduced the expression of the wt-CFTR B form (Fig. 5D). The biochemical data were supported by the results showing that the application of PD98059 prevented the cell surface localization of CFTR induced by butyrate treatment (Fig. 6). It is important that the same levels of PD98059 sensitivity were observed between the expressions of CFTR and GFP-tagged CFTR (M. Sugita, unpublished data), suggesting that the GFP fusion did not affect the role of MAPK. Compared with wt-CFTR, ΔR-CFTR expression was not significantly affected by the application of PD98059 either with or without butyrate treatment (Fig. 5C). Inhibition of p38, another subfamily member of the MAPK superfamily, by a specific inhibitor, SB203580 (10 µM), had no significant influence on the expressions of wt-CFTR and ΔR-CFTR either with or without butyrate (Fig. 5B and C). Furthermore, inhibition by wortmannin (200 nM) of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases, reported as a regulator of membrane trafficking of several
channels and transporters (Kurushima et al., 1998), also had minimal effect on the steady-state levels of the B and C forms of wt-CFTR as well as ΔR-CFTR (Fig. 5, B and C). Thus, our results imply that butyrate may improve the biogenesis of wt-CFTR, but not of ΔR-CFTR, by involving active ERK/MAPK. Because it is reported that Hsc70 specified by cochaperones significantly influences the efficiency of both CFTR biogenesis and degradation, we assessed the effect of butyrate and PD98059 on complex formation between CFTR and Hsc70. Although previous reports suggested that butyrate down-regulated Hsc70 expression in the immortalized cystic fibrosis bronchiolar epithelial cell line IB3-1 (Rubenstein and Zeitlin, 2000), our results indicate that the expression level of Hsc70 was not affected by the treatment with butyrate and/or PD98059 in Cos7 cells (Fig. 5E). Hsc70 was coimmunoprecipitated with either wt-CFTR or ΔR-CFTR. The relative amount of Hsc70 coimmunoprecipitated with ΔR-CFTR was 2-fold greater than that with wt-CFTR (Fig. 5E). Butyrate treatment reduced the relative amount of Hsc70 coimmunoprecipitated with wt-CFTR as well as ΔR-CFTR (Fig. 5E). In the butyrate-treated cells, the addition of PD98059 further reduced the amount of Hsc70 coimmunoprecipitated with wt-CFTR (Fig. 5E), presumably by either stimulating their dissociation or preventing their association. In sharp contrast, the addition of PD98059 had minimal effect on complex formation between Hsc70 and ΔR-CFTR in the butyrate-treated cells (Fig. 5E). Because it is reported that Hsc70 associates with CFTR and facilitates the early steps in CFTR biogenesis, and its prolonged association with CFTR leads to degradation (Meacham et al., 1999), MAPK may transiently participate in regulating complex formation between Hsc70 and CFTR to facilitate the early steps in CFTR biogenesis, followed by the sequential second step underlying the stabilization controlled by the butyrate-induced gene or the butyrate-regulated molecules.

To further clarify the involvement of MAPK in CFTR biogenesis, we coexpressed either wt-CFTR or ΔR-CFTR with the constitutively active mutant of MAPK/MEK, which is a direct activator of ERK/MAPK. In the present study, LA-SDSE-MAPKK, in which Leu33, Leu37, Ser218, and Ser222 were replaced by Ala, Ala, Asp, and Glu, respectively, was used as the constitutively active mutant (Fukuda et al., 1997). Coexpression of LA-SDSE-MAPKK induced an increase in the expression of glycosylated C (white columns) and core-glycosylated B (black columns) forms of GFP-wt-CFTR expressed in the cells were calculated from densitometry of immunoblots. The amounts of the B and C forms with butyrate treatment were set to 1, and the relative amounts of those forms in the presence of PD98059 were quantified. The data are expressed as the mean ± S.E.M. of three independent experiments. In the bottom, the cellular levels of the phosphorylated, active form of ERK/MAPK in the various experimental conditions were evaluated using anti-phosphorylated ERK mAb. E, effect of butyrate and PD98059 on complex formation between Hsc70 and CFTR. Cos7 cells were transiently transfected with the expression plasmid encoding GFP-V5-wt-CFTR or GFP-V5-ΔR-CFTR and incubated for 24 h in the presence of butyrate and/or PD98059. Cells were solubilized, and V5-tagged CFTR and associated proteins in the lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-V5 antibody. The components of V5-CFTR immune complexes were then probed by the Western blot using anti-V5 (the top blot) and anti-Hsc70 (the middle blot). The amounts of immunoprecipitated CFTR and coimmunoprecipitated Hsc70 were quantified by densitometry, normalized, and denoted below the blot. Then the relative amounts (the ratio of Hsc70/CFTR) were calculated and denoted in the top graph. The cellular expression levels of Hsc70 in the various experimental conditions were also compared by the Western blot of lysates (5 μg) probed with anti-Hsc70 (the bottom blot).
crease in the cellular levels of the active, phosphorylated ERK/MAPK (Fig. 7A, bottom) and predominantly augmented the expression of the B and C forms of CFTR induced by butyrate treatment (Fig. 7, A and C, top), whereas the slight augmentation of CFTR expression was observed without butyrate treatment. These results seem to be consistent with the data of CFTR localization in the butyrate-treated cells showing that coexpression of LA-SDSE-MAPKK caused GFP-CFTR to accumulate in the cell surface location in addition to a perinuclear location (Fig. 8). We also checked the effect of cotransfection of the dominant-negative construct SASA-MAPKK, in which Ser\(^{218}\) and Ser\(^{222}\) were replaced by Ala (Gotoh et al., 1999); however, the cellular levels of active ERK/MAPKK were significantly reduced by CFTR transfection (Fig. 7A). Although PD98059 further reduced the active ERK/MAPK (Fig. 5D), cotransfection of SASA-MAPKK did not further decrease the cellular levels of active ERK/MAPKK in the CFTR-transfected cells. Therefore, cotransfection of SASA-MAPKK had minimal effect on CFTR expression (Fig. 7A). In sharp contrast with the effect on wt-CFTR, coexpression of LA-SDSE-MAPKK had minimal detectable influence on the expression of the B and C forms of AR-CFTR either with or without butyrate treatment (Fig. 7, B and D). It is noteworthy that both butyrate treatment without LA-SDSE-MAPKK cotransfection nor the butyrate-induced overexpression of CFTR had significant effects on the endogenous levels of active ERK/MAPK in Cos7 cells (Fig. 7, A and B), suggesting that butyrate could facilitate CFTR biogenesis by collaborating with endogenously activated MAPK without further increasing active MAPK. However, the reducing and enhancing of MAPK activity resulted in an appearance of inhibitory and stimulatory effects on the butyrate-induced

---

**Fig. 6.** Effect of PD98059 on the subcellular distribution of GFP-wt-CFTR in Cos7 cells exposed to butyrate. Cos7 cells, transiently expressing GFP-wt-CFTR, were observed 24 h after the addition of PD98059 (50 \(\mu\)M) and/or butyrate (5 mM). The subcellular distribution of GFP-wt-CFTR was directly visualized by the GFP fluorescence (right). The phase-contrast images were also revealed (left).

**Fig. 7.** Involvement of MAPK activity in CFTR expression. A, effect of the cotransfection of LA-SDSE-MAPKK (left) and SASA-MAPKK (right) on GFP-wt-CFTR expression revealed by immunoblotting. Cos7 cells, co-transfected with the expression plasmids of GFP-wt-CFTR (1 \(\mu\)g) and either LA-SDSE-MAPKK (1 \(\mu\)g) or SASA-MAPKK (1 \(\mu\)g) were harvested 24 h after the addition of butyrate (5 mM). GFP-wt-CFTR expression was monitored using an anti-GFP antibody (top). The expression levels of either LA-SDSE-MAPKK or SASA-MAPKK (middle) and the phosphorylated, active form of ERK/MAPK (bottom) were evaluated using anti-HA mAb and anti-phosphorylated ERK mAb. B, effect of the cotransfection of LA-SDSE-MAPKK on GFP-AR-CFTR expression revealed by immunoblotting. The expression levels of GFP-AR-CFTR, LA-SASE-MAPKK, and phosphorylated ERK were detected as above. C, relative intensities of the C and B forms of GFP-wt-CFTR monitored under the cotransfection of LA-SDSE-MAPKK in the absence or presence of butyrate. The complex-glycosylated C (white columns) and core-glycosylated B (black columns) forms of GFP-wt-CFTR expressed in the cells were calculated from densitometry of immunoblots shown in A. The amounts of the B and C forms with butyrate treatment were set to 1, and the relative amounts of those forms under the cotransfection of LA-SDSE-MAPKK were quantified. The data are expressed as the mean ± S.E.M. of three independent experiments. D, relative intensities of the C and B forms of GFP-AR-CFTR monitored under the cotransfection of LA-SDSE-MAPKK. The relative amounts of the C and B forms shown in B were quantified as above.
expression of CFTR, respectively. Thus, MAPK and the molecules either induced or regulated by butyrate may be synergistically, but not additively, involved in CFTR biogenesis or degradation. Together, our data suggest that the cellular MAPK activity may determine and restrict the efficiency of the expression of mature CFTR, which is enhanced by butyrate treatment and mediated through the CFTR R domain.

Discussion

Our data show that butyrate remarkably increased the expression of the plasma membrane-localized GFP-wt-CFTR, whereas GFP-ΔR-CFTR expression was slightly increased by butyrate. Although the levels of the butyrate-induced augmentation of the ΔR-CFTR expression varied, presumably by means of the cellular expression levels of the molecules involved in the butyrate action and CFTR biogenesis, butyrate caused the more significant increase in the wt-CFTR expression compared with the ΔR-CFTR expression. It is controversial whether butyrate and the butyrate analog 4-phenylbutyrate affect CFTR folding and trafficking in addition to their effect on transcription (Cheng et al., 1995; Rubenstein et al., 1997; Gelman and Kopito, 2002). However, our data indicate that the influence of butyrate on CFTR biogenesis is not simply caused by transcriptional regulation and thus overwhelming of the ER’s quality control. First, although the identical efficiencies of the CMV promoter-driven transcription and translation of wt-CFTR and ΔR-CFTR were observed in metabolic pulse-chase experiments, butyrate treatment and translation of wt-CFTR and ΔR-CFTR were observed in metabolic pulse-chase experiments, butyrate treatment predominantly enhanced GFP-wt-CFTR expression compared with GFP-ΔR-CFTR. Second, both half-life studies and the cycloheximide application revealed that butyrate treatment enhanced CFTR expression by promoting stability of the ER-located B form of wt-CFTR, but not of ΔR-CFTR, suggesting that the presence of the R domain is required for CFTR to facilitate its biogenesis enhanced by butyrate treatment. Third, when the identical levels of wt-CFTR expression were induced in the control and butyrate-treated cells, the degradation of the B form was remarkably slowed in the butyrate-treated cells compared with the control cells. Therefore, butyrate treatment may elicit the specific post-transcriptional regulation of CFTR folding or degradation in addition to its transcriptional regulation, leading to an increase in the expression of plasma membrane CFTR.

Wild-type CFTR is predominantly found as a complex-glycosylated form in the post-ER membrane, including the plasma membrane, whereas ΔF508-CFTR is found as an immature, core-glycosylated form localized to the ER membrane. The R domain deletion mutant possessed intermediates of the C/B ratio and the subcellular distribution. It is reported that CFTR maturation can use the nonconventional pathway, including the coat protein complex II export machinery, whereby the protein is first likely to be transported to the distal Golgi compartment and/or the endosomal system before retrieval to earlier Golgi compartments for oligosaccharide processing to the complex form (Yoo et al., 2002). The lower C/B ratio observed in ΔR-CFTR expression than the wild-type counterpart suggests that wt-CFTR may more efficiently interact with trafficking components such as the coat protein complex II export machinery than does ΔR-CFTR to divert CFTR away from the ER quality control systems that normally scan the ER for misfolded CFTR. Nevertheless, butyrate treatment had minimal detectable influence on the C/B ratios of both wt-CFTR and ΔR-CFTR and the conversion of the B form to the C form in metabolic pulse-chase experiments, importantly suggesting that the molecular targets of butyrate may exist in the pre-Golgi compartment. The increased stability of the ER-located B form, observed in wt-CFTR expression, support that this is the case.

The relative levels of the cellular protein folding and surveillance machinery dictate the time given to CFTR biogenic intermediates to reach the native state and thus influence the overall efficiency of its maturation. Several molecular chaperones have been suggested to facilitate CFTR biogenesis and maturation. Cytosolic chaperones Hsp70, Hsc70, Hdj-2, and Hsp90, as well as the ER lumenal chaperone calnexin transiently associate with CFTR in the ER, and their dissociation coincides with CFTR maturation (Loo et al., 1998; Kopito, 1999; Meacham et al., 1999). In particular, the cellular levels of cochaperones that specify Hsc70 function, such as the carboxyl terminus of Hsc70-interacting protein and Hdj-2, seem to directly influence the efficiencies of both CFTR biogenesis and degradation (Meacham et al., 1999, 2001). The present studies suggest that the complex formation between Hsc70 and CFTR is affected by butyrate and ERK/MAPKK activity. However, the CFTR expression, enhanced by butyrate and augmented by active MAPK, may not be simply elicited by the dissociation of Hsc70 at a single step. Rather, MAPK might regulate the complex formation between Hsc70 and CFTR to facilitate the early steps in

![Effect of LA-SDSE-MAPKK cotransfection on the subcellular distribution of CFTR.](https://example.com/image.png)
CFTR biogenesis, followed by the sequential step where the butyrate-induced genes or the butyrate-regulated molecules could control the CFTR stability while releasing the Hsc70 complexes from CFTR. During the sequential steps of the synthesis and cotranslational folding of CFTR, the Hdj2/Hsc70 chaperone pair participates in either promoting NBD1 folding or stabilizing it (Meacham et al., 1999). The synthetized R domain then interacts with the N-terminal half of CFTR in a manner that reduces Hdj2 binding, presumably with NBD1 and the R domain forming a complex that buries some of the binding sites on CFTR for Hdj2 (Meacham et al., 1999). The stabilization of NBD1-R domain interactions, which leads to the release of most of the Hdj2 from CFTR, seems to involve the formation of an MSD1-MSD2 complex within the ER membrane (Ostedgaard et al., 1997; Meacham et al., 1999). Thus, the assembly defect, elicited by the deletion of the R domain in the present study, might cause chaperone binding sites on CFTR to remain exposed and contribute to the diversion of ΔR-CFTR from its biogenic pathway to degradation. It is noteworthy that our comparative data exploring the biogenesis of wt-CFTR and ΔR-CFTR suggest that butyrate might act on this step by involving MAPK activity, because the deletion of the R domain attenuated the butyrate action of increasing the stability of the B form and cooperative action of MAPK with butyrate in facilitating CFTR biogenesis. Furthermore, because the inhibition of MAPK activity by PD98059 and its activation by LA-SDSE-MAPKK coexpression suppressed and augmented butyrate action, respectively, our results suggest that endogenous MAPK activity may determine the efficiency of the butyrate action on CFTR expression. Thus, MAPK may function as a rate-limiting step for the butyrate action on CFTR biogenesis, requiring the presence of the R domain for CFTR to facilitate its biogenesis. Therefore, the manipulation of the cellular MAPK activity might be critically relevant in controlling the expression levels of the plasma membrane-loctated CFTR. The manifestation of the butyrate action may require the expression of, and CFTR interaction with, another molecule(s), although neither the nature of this interaction nor the other molecule(s) are known. Butyrate-induced genes might be regulated by MAPK phosphorylation to facilitate CFTR biogenesis. On the other hand, the MAPK-induced genes might undergo the functional regulation by butyrate. In the present study, our results suggest an important role for the R domain in contributing to the manifestation of the efficient butyrate action and the MAPK-regulated augmentation of CFTR expression, because its deletion attenuates those effects. Thus, the R domain may play a key role in the regulatory interaction.

The deletion of Phe508 reduces the efficiency with which CFTR folds, leading to alter the protein’s interaction with the quality control system in the ER (Sharma et al., 2001; Gelman et al., 2002). Although this mutation also alters the kinetics of CFTR gating (Haws et al., 1996) and its stability as a cell surface glycoprotein (Heda et al., 2001; Sharma et al., 2001), the ΔF508-CFTR C1 channel is partially functional. Therefore, it is suggested that the first step for the CF therapy with this mutation is to rescue the ΔF508-CFTR mislocalization in the ER. The previous study revealed that complexes between Hsc70/Hdj2 with immature ΔF508-CFTR were ~2-fold more abundant than similar complexes with CFTR (Meacham et al., 1999), implying that ΔF508-CFTR has more difficulty than CFTR in progressing to a point in its folding pathway, where it buries binding sites for Hdj2- and Hsc70 with the aid of the R domain. In this case, the primary folding defect, derived from the ΔF508-NBD1, may elicit the inadequate exposure of those binding sites, whereas the R domain might be intact. Further studies will be required to clarify the direct molecular targets of butyrate and MAPK in facilitating CFTR biogenesis by involving the R domain, because the specific engineering of those molecular functions may enable the efficient CF treatment for trafficking mutants without side effects of butyrate and thus may assist patients who use such a therapy throughout their lives.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. K. Foskett for providing pEFGFP-CFTR, Dr. M. Welsh for CFTRAR-S660A, and Dr. E. Nishida for LA-SDSE-MAPKK and SASA-MAPKK. We sincerely thank the members of the Radioisotope Research Facility, Hiroshima University, for supporting the radioisotope research.

References


The deletion of Phe508 reduces the efficiency with which CFTR folds, leading to alter the protein’s interaction with the quality control system in the ER (Sharma et al., 2001; Gelman et al., 2002). Although this mutation also alters the kinetics of CFTR gating (Haws et al., 1996) and its stability as a cell surface glycoprotein (Heda et al., 2001; Sharma et al., 2001), the ΔF508-CFTR C1 channel is partially functional. Therefore, it is suggested that the first step for the CF therapy with this mutation is to rescue the ΔF508-CFTR mislocalization in the ER. The previous study revealed that complexes between Hsc70/Hdj2 with immature ΔF508-CFTR were ~2-fold more abundant than similar complexes with CFTR (Meacham et al., 1999), implying that ΔF508-CFTR
occurs in the endoplasmic reticulum and requires ATP. EMBO (Eur Mol Biol Organ) J 12:6076–6086.


Address correspondence to: Dr. Makoto Sugita, Department of Oral Physiology, Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Hiroshima University, Kasumi 1-2-3, Minami-ku, Hiroshima 734-8553, Japan. E-mail: sugisan@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

Involvement of MAPK in CFTR Biogenesis 1259