D1 and D2 Dopamine Receptors Form Heterooligomers and Cointernalize after Selective Activation of Either Receptor
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ABSTRACT

We provided evidence for the formation of a novel phospholipase C-mediated calcium signal arising from coactivation of D1 and D2 dopamine receptors. In the present study, robust fluorescence resonance energy transfer showed that these receptors exist in close proximity indicative of D1-D2 receptor heterooligomerization. The close proximity of these receptors within the heterooligomer allowed for cross-phosphorylation of the D2 receptor by selective activation of the D1 receptor. D1-D2 receptor heterooligomers were internalized when the receptors were coactivated by dopamine or either receptor was singly activated by the D1-selective agonist \((\pm)-6\text{-chloro}-7,8\text{-dihydroxy}-1\text{-phenyl}-2,3,4,5\text{-tetrahydro}-1H-3\text{-benzazepine})\) hydrobromide (SKF 81297) or the D2-selective agonist quinpirole. The D2 receptor expressed alone did not internalize after activation by quinpirole except when coexpressed with the D1 receptor. D1-D2 receptor heterooligomerization resulted in an altered level of steady-state cell surface expression compared with D1 and D2 homooligomers, with increased D2 and decreased D1 receptor cell surface density. Together, these results demonstrated that D1 and D2 receptors formed heterooligomeric units with unique cell surface localization, internalization, and transactivation properties that are distinct from that of D1 and D2 receptor homooligomers.

The dopamine receptor family is subdivided into two distinct subclasses, based on structural similarities, pharmacological profiles, and signal transduction mechanisms, into D1- and D2-like receptors. Although D1 and D2 receptor subclasses are biochemically distinct in that D1 receptors couple positively and the D2 receptors couple negatively to adenyl cyclase, many physiological functions are known to be mediated by the coactivation of both receptors. For example, the augmentative effect of cocaine on locomotion and intracranial self-stimulation is mediated by the activation of both D1 and D2 receptors (Kita et al., 1999). Dopamine receptor synergism could occur either at the level of neuronal networks through D1- and D2-like receptors expressed in separate neuronal populations or, on the other hand, within the same neurons through convergent postreceptor mechanisms. The latter mechanism of D1-D2 receptor synergism is possible because dopamine receptor subclasses are colocalized in rat brain, with colocalization of D1- and D2-like receptors in virtually every neuron in the neonatal striatum (Aizman et al., 2000). Furthermore, our own studies have demonstrated robust colocalization of D1 and D2 receptors in a subset of neurons in human caudate nucleus, rat striatum, and cortex (Lee et al., 2004). These data suggest, therefore, that functional synergism could occur within individual neurons. In fact, the coactivation of both D1 and D2 receptors has been shown to result in a significant increase in action potential frequency in neurons of the substantia nigra pars reticulata (Waszczak et al., 2002) and a potentiation of arachidonic acid release in Chinese hamster ovary cells coexpressing both receptors (Piomelli et al., 1991). Our recent discovery of a common functional output generated by the concurrent coactivation of D1 and D2 receptors within the same cells resulting in the activation of a novel phospholipase C-dependent calcium signaling pathway (Lee et al., 2005).
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ABBREVIATIONS: HEK, human embryonic kidney; HA, hemagglutinin; APC, allophycocyanin; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; SCH 23390, \(R-(\pm)-7\text{-chloro}-8\text{-hydroxy}-3\text{-methyl}-1\text{-phenyl}-2,3,4,5\text{-tetrahydro}-1H-3\text{-benzazepine})\); SKF 81297, \((\pm)-6\text{-chloro}-7,8\text{-dihydroxy}-1\text{-phenyl}-2,3,4,5\text{-tetrahydro}-1H-3\text{-benzazepine})\) hydrobromide; GRK2, G-protein-coupled receptor kinase; PKA, protein kinase A; GFP, green fluorescent protein; H89, \(N\text{-[2-(4-bromocinnamylamino)ethyl]-5\text{-isoquinoline})}\); tFRET, time-resolved fluorescence resonance energy transfer; mRFP, monomeric red fluorescent protein; ER, endoplasmic reticulum.
creased D1 receptor cell surface expression. aktivation of either the D1 or D2 receptor within the heterooligomer. Furthermore, D1 and D2 receptor heterooligomerization resulted in conformations within the heterooligomer permitted cross-talk between receptors within the same neuron, forming heterooligomers. Our observations of D1 and D2 receptors within the same signaling complexes by coimmunoprecipitation from rat brain and heterologous cells coexpressing both receptors (Lee et al., 2004) suggested the receptors may heterooligomerize. In this report, we demonstrate definitively that D1 and D2 receptors existed as heterooligomers at the cell surface. D1 and D2 receptor conformations within the heterooligomer permitted cointernalization of the D2 receptor by D1 receptor activation. Furthermore, D1 and D2 receptor heterooligomerization resulted in D1 and D2 receptor cointernalization by selective activation of either the D1 or D2 receptor within the heterooligomer complex and altered steady-state cellular distribution of both receptors, with overall enhanced D2 and decreased D1 receptor cell surface expression.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture. All cell culture reagents, media, antibiotics, mammalian expression vectors, and transfection reagents were obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). COS-7 and human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T cells (American Tissue Culture Collection, Manassas, VA) were maintained as monolayer cultures at 37°C. COS-7 cells were maintained in α-minimum essential medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. HEK 293T cells were maintained in advanced minimum essential medium supplemented with antibiotic/antimycotic (Invitrogen) and 6% fetal bovine serum.

Dopamine Receptor Constructs. For transient transfections, DNA encoding the human D1 receptor, the long and short isoforms of the human D2 receptor, and the human D5 receptor were each inserted into the mammalian expression vector pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen). For confocal microscopy, D1 and D2 receptors were cloned in-frame into the pEGFP-N1 vector (BD Biosciences Clontech, Palo Alto, CA) or the mRFP-1 vector. The cDNA encoding monomeric red fluorescent protein mRFP1 was a gift from Dr. Roger Tsien (University of California, San Diego, CA).

For generation of D1, D2 and D1-D2 receptor stable cell lines, hemagglutinin (HA) epitope-tagged D1 receptor cDNA and FLAG epitope-tagged D2 receptor cDNA were introduced alone or together into the pBUDCE4.1 expression vector (Invitrogen). All experiments were performed with the long isoform of the D2 receptor, except where indicated.

Transient Transfections. Cells were transiently transfected using Lipofectamine reagent (Invitrogen), and membranes were prepared 48 h after transfection. To obtain equivalent cell surface expression levels of D1 and D2 receptors, the amount of D1 receptor cDNA was transfected in a 1:2 ratio in relation to the amount of D2 receptor cDNA. pcDNA3 vector was used to keep the total amount of cDNA in each transient transfection constant.

Stable Transfections. HEK 293T cells were transfected as described above, and selection was performed in the presence of 200 μg of Zeocin (Invitrogen). Between 10 and 20 clones expressing varying receptor densities were screened to select those with comparable expression levels.

Time-Resolved Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer. This protocol was similar to that of McVey et al. (2001) and colleagues with minor modifications. In brief, the donor and acceptor fluorophores were Europium chelate and allophycocyanin (APC), respectively (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences, Boston, MA). Europium chelate was conjugated to the anti-FLAG antibody. APC was conjugated to the anti-HA antibody. Each antibody was diluted in a solution of 50% phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)/50% fetal bovine serum. Cells expressing HA-D1 and FLAG-D2 receptors together or separately and mixed were incubated with these antibodies for 2 h at 37°C on a rotating wheel. After incubation, samples were pelleted at 5000 rpm, washed twice with PBS, and then resuspended in a final volume of 300 μl of PBS. One hundred microliters of each sample was then divided into aliquots on a 96-well plate in triplicate. Fluorescence analysis was performed on a Victor™ multi-label plate reader (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences) with excitation at 340 nm and emission measured, after a 400-μs delay, at 615 nm (Eu3+ signal) and 665 nm (trFRET signal). Energy transfer (E) was calculated as E = (EAD665/EAD615) – (EAD665/EAD615), where EAD665 and EAD615 represent emission at 665 and 615 nm, respectively, in the presence of the donor and acceptor fluorophores and EAD665 and EAD615 represent the emission at 665 and 615 nm from samples containing the donor only.

Confocal Microscopy. HEK 293T cells were transfected with DNA encoding D1-GFP with or without D2-mRFP for 48 h. Live-cell confocal microscopy was performed with a microscope (LSM 510; Carl Zeiss Inc., Thornwood, NY). Figures 1 and 2 show the expression only of the indicated receptors. For immunochemistry, HEK 293T stable cell lines expressing D1-HA, D2-FLAG, or coexpressing both receptors were fixed by 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100, and incubated with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma-Aldrich Canada Ltd, Oakville, ON, Canada) and anti-HA antibody (Roche Diagnostics Canada, Laval, QC, Canada). The antibody-labeled receptors were visualized by incubating permeabilized specimens in the presence of fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG or tetramethylrhodamine isothiocyanate-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma). Membrane Preparation. Cells transiently or stably expressing dopamine receptors were washed with PBS, resuspended in hypotonic lysis buffer with protease inhibitors (5 mM Tris-HCl, 2 mM EDTA, 5 μg/mL leupeptin, 10 μg/mL benzamidine, and 5 μg/mL soybean trypsin inhibitor, pH 7.4), and homogenized with a Polytron apparatus (Brinkmann Instruments, Westbury, NY). The homogenate was centrifuged to pellet unbroken cells and nuclei, and the supernatant was collected. The supernatant was centrifuged at 40,000g for 30 min to isolate a membrane fraction enriched in plasma membrane, and the resulting pellet (P2 membranes) was washed and resuspended in lysis buffer.

For subcellular fractionation studies, S1 supernatant was layered on top of a sucrose density column, which then was subjected to centrifugation at 150,000g for 90 min at 4°C. Precipitates were collected at the 15%/30% interface, consisting of the light vesicular membrane fractions, and at the 30%/60% interface, consisting of mostly surface membrane (Toews, 2000).
The receptor density in each fraction was quantified by radioligand binding of 1 nM [3H]SCH 23390 for the D1 and 1 nM [3H]raclopride for the D2 receptor and expressed as a percentage of the total number of receptors in the entire cell, which was determined by adding the radioligand binding in light and heavy membrane fractions of each individual experiment.

Protein content was determined by the Bradford method (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) with bovine serum albumin as the standard.

SDS-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis and Immunoblotting. The procedures used for protein gel electrophoresis and immunoblotting were identical to those described previously (Lee et al., 2000).

Radioligand Binding. Saturation binding experiments were performed with 15 to 30 µg of membrane protein with increasing concentrations of [3H]raclopride (final concentration, 250–1000 pM) for estimation of the D2 receptor density or [3H]SCH 23390 (final concentration, 100–4000 pM) for estimation of the D1 receptor density. Nonspecific binding was determined by 10 µM (+)-butaclamol.

Competition experiments were done in duplicate with increasing concentrations (10–11–10–3 M) of unlabeled agonists. The concentration of [3H]SCH 23390 used in the competition assays for the D1 receptor was approximately equivalent to its $K_d$ (1 nM). The final concentration of [3H]raclopride used in the competition assays for the D2 receptors was 2 nM. Bound ligand was isolated by rapid filtration through a 48-well cell harvester (Brandel, Montreal, QC, Canada) using Whatman GF/C filters (Whatman, Clifton, NJ). Data were analyzed by nonlinear least-squares regression using GraphPad Prism. Data from multiple experiments were averaged and expressed as the means ± S.E.M. The results were considered significantly different when the probability of randomly obtaining a mean difference was $p < 0.05$ using the paired Student’s t test.

Cell Surface Immunofluorometry. HEK 293T and COS-7 cells were transfected and maintained for 48 h after transfection. Cells were plated in 96-well clear-bottomed plates (Corning Glassworks, Corning, NY) at a confluence of 50,000 cells per well. Cells were then fixed by 4% paraformaldehyde, blocked with 4% bovine serum albumin in PBS, incubated with 1:200 dilution of anti-FLAG (Invitrogen) antibodies at ASPET Journals on January 13, 2018 molpharm.aspetjournals.org Downloaded from
high-affinity state = 0.17 ± 0.06, $K_{low} = 3950 ± 848$ nM) or SKF 81297 (D1R alone, $K_{high} = 31.78 ± 7.0$ nM, fraction of receptors in high-affinity state = 0.89 ± 0.06, $K_{low} = 2156 ± 910$ nM; D1R coexpressed with D2R, $K_{high} = 35.30 ± 8.4$ nM, fraction of receptors in high-affinity state = 0.90 ± 0.04, $K_{low} = 2097 ± 926$ nM) to membranes of COS-7 cells expressing the D1 receptor alone or coexpressing D1 and D2 receptors, demonstrated no significant change in the agonist affinities or the proportion of receptors detected in the agonist-detected high- and low-affinity states (Fig. 2, A and B; $p > 0.05$). Saturation binding isotherm with $[^3H]$SCH 23390 indicated no significant change when D1 and D2 receptors were coexpressed (D1R alone, $K_d = 0.64 ± 0.12$ nM; D1R coexpressed with D2R, $K_d = 0.79 ± 0.15$ nM, $p > 0.05$). Likewise, competition of the binding of the D2-specific antagonist $[^3H]$raclopride with dopamine (D2R alone, $K_{high} = 10.30 ± 5.50$ nM, fraction of receptors in high affinity state = 0.10 ± 0.03, $K_{low} = 2478 ± 639$ nM; D2R coexpressed with D1R, $K_{high} = 12.53 ± 6.13$ nM, the fraction of receptors in high affinity state = 0.11 ± 0.02, $K_{low} = 3450 ± 766$ nM; D2R coexpressed with D1R, $K_{high} = 129 ± 63$ nM, fraction of receptors in high affinity state = 0.19 ± 0.03, $K_{low} = 3691 ± 740$ nM) to membranes from COS-7 cells transiently expressing the D2 receptor alone or coexpressing D1 and D2 receptors also indicated no significant change in agonist affinities or the proportion of receptors detected in the high affinity state (Fig. 2, C and D, $p > 0.05$). Saturation binding isotherm with $[^3H]$raclopride indicated no significant
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**Fig. 2.** Coexpression of D1 and D2 receptors does not alter agonist binding affinities and agonist-detected high- and low-affinity states ($n = 4–5$). A, competition of $[^3H]$SCH 23390 binding by dopamine in cell membranes prepared from cells expressing D1R (■) or coexpressing D1R and D2R (Δ). B, competition of $[^3H]$SCH 23390 binding by the D1-specific agonist SKF 81297 in cell membranes prepared from cells expressing D1R (■) or coexpressing D1R and D2R (Δ). C, competition of $[^3H]$raclopride binding by dopamine in cell membranes prepared from cells expressing D2R (■) or coexpressing D2R and D1R (Δ). D, competition of $[^3H]$raclopride binding by the D2-selective agonist quinpirole in cell membranes prepared from cells expressing D2R (■) or coexpressing D2R and D1R (Δ). Black arrows indicate high- and low-affinity sites for receptor expressed alone; gray arrows indicate high- and low-affinity sites for receptors coexpressed.
phosphorylation was not dependent upon the activation of the PKA inhibitor H89, suggesting that the mechanism of D2 receptor activation was also observed in the presence of receptor expression. Phosphorylation of the D2 receptor by a selective agonist which did not activate the D1 receptor (1.1 ± 2.4%, n = 4 for D2R alone, 15.1 ± 1.8%, n = 4 for D2R with D1R, p < 0.05) (Fig. 4a). The D2 receptor did not internalize on exposure to dopamine when expressed alone but did internalize when coexpressed with the D1 receptor (17 ± 7.5%, n = 4 for D1R alone; 16 ± 4.0%, n = 4 for D1R with D2R, p > 0.05) for 30 min after which the number of the receptor binding sites in P2 membrane preparations were quantified by radioligand binding and the results observed was similar to that observed in cells transiently expressing the receptors (17 ± 7.5%, n = 4 for D1R alone; 16 ± 4.0%, n = 4 for D1R with D2R, p > 0.05; for the D2R, −2.2 ± 1.7%, n = 4 for D2R alone; 21 ± 4.0%, n = 4 for D2R with D1R, p < 0.05).

Because D1 receptor activation within the heterooligomer allowed for cross-modulation of D2 receptor function, the effect of selective agonists on the internalization of the hetero-oligomer was investigated. When the D2 receptor was coexpressed with D1-E359A and treated with 1 nM SKF 81297, phosphorylation of the D2 receptor was observed (Fig. 3A, lane 4). Figure 3B demonstrates that the amount of receptor protein in each lane was approximately equivalent, demonstrating that the increase in phosphate accumulation did not result from changes in D2 receptor expression. Phosphorylation of the D2 receptor by D1 receptor activation was also observed in the presence of the PKA inhibitor H89, suggesting that the mechanism of D2 phosphorylation was not dependent upon the activation of protein kinase A mediated by the occupancy of the D1 receptor (data not shown).

Because D1 and D2 receptors formed heterooligomers at the cell surface, we investigated if the close interaction was maintained during agonist-mediated receptor internalization. To determine whether the D1-D2 receptor complex was internalized upon coactivation of both receptors, HEK 293T cells transiently expressing HA-D1, FLAG-D2 or both receptors were subjected to cell surface immunofluorescence assays (Fig. 4). After 10 μM dopamine treatment for 30 min, internalization of the D1 receptor was observed when expressed alone or coexpressed (16.7 ± 8.7%, n = 4 for D1R; 17.4 ± 4.0%, n = 4 for D1R with D2R, p > 0.05) (Fig. 4a). The D2 receptor did not internalize on exposure to dopamine when expressed alone but did internalize when coexpressed with the D1 receptor (1.1 ± 2.4%, n = 4 for D2R alone, 15.1 ± 1.8%, n = 4 for D2R with D1R, p < 0.05) (Fig. 4b). To confirm our results obtained by transient transfection, HEK 293T cells stably expressing HA-D1 (1559 ± 446 fmol/mg), FLAG-D2 (746 ± 199 fmol/mg) and coexpressing HA-D1 and FLAG-D2 (D1-HA = 1762 ± 361 fmol/mg, D2-FLAG = 1877 ± 434 fmol/mg) receptors were treated with 10 μM dopamine for 30 min after which the number of the receptor binding sites in P2 membrane preparations were quantified by radioligand binding and the results observed was similar to that observed in cells transiently expressing the receptors (17 ± 7.5%, n = 4 for D1R alone; 16 ± 4.0%, n = 4 for D1R with D2R, p > 0.05; for the D2R, −2.2 ± 1.7%, n = 4 for D2R alone; 21 ± 4.0%, n = 4 for D2R with D1R, p < 0.05).

**Fig. 3.** Phosphorylation of the D2 receptor by selective activation of the D1 receptor (representative of n = 3). A, cells expressing either HA-E359A-D1 (lanes 1 and 2) or c-myc-D2 receptor alone (lanes 3 and 4) or coexpressing both receptors (lanes 5 and 6) were treated with 1 nM SKF 81297. [32P incorporation was detected after immunoprecipitation by anti-HA (lanes 1 and 2) or anti-c-myc (lanes 3–6) antibodies. Arrows indicate phosphorylated receptor monomers and oligomers. B, immunoblot detection of E359A-HA-D1 (lanes 1 and 2) and c-myc-D2 (lanes 3–6) receptors by anti-HA or anti-c-myc antibodies. The immunoprecipitated samples were subjected to immunoblotting to demonstrate that the amount of receptor in each lane was approximately equivalent.

**Fig. 4.** Cointernalization of D1 and D2 receptors by 10 μM dopamine in HEK 293T cells (n = 4). A, internalization of D1 receptor in cells expressing the D1 receptor alone or coexpressed with the D2 receptor. B, internalization of the D2 receptor in cells expressing the D2 receptor alone or coexpressed with the D1 receptor (*, p < 0.05). Percentage internalization represents the loss of fluorescence from the cell surface of the whole cell after agonist treatment compared with the vehicle-treated control.
rooligomer was tested. To quantify this effect, HEK 293T cells expressing either one or both HA-D1 and FLAG-D2 receptors were treated for 30 min with varying concentrations of SKF 81297 or quinpirole and cell surface expression of each receptor was quantified by cell surface immunofluorescence assays. Dose-dependent internalization of the D1 receptor was detected after 30-min treatment with increasing concentrations of SKF 81297 when the D1 receptor was expressed alone or coexpressed with the D2 receptor (19 ± 5.8%, n = 4 for the D1R alone; 23 ± 8.1%, n = 4 for the D1R with D2R, p > 0.05) with a significant decrease in the potency of the D1 agonist to result in the internalization of the D1-D2 receptor heterooligomer (EC_{50} = 13 ± 7.7 nM, n = 4 for the D1R alone; EC_{50} = 45 ± 4.0 nM, n = 4 for D1R with D2R, p < 0.05) (Fig. 5A). To observe if the D2 receptor cointernalized with the D1 receptor upon selective D1 receptor activation, the concentration-dependent response to the D1 selective agonist SKF 81297 was analyzed. Whereas no internalization of the D2 receptor was observed in response to quinpirole when expressed alone, internalization of the D2 receptor was observed when coexpressed with the D1 receptor (Fig. 5B) (13 ± 3.9% internalized; EC_{50} = 12 ± 6.0 nM, n = 3 for D2R with D1R).

Dose-dependent internalization of the D2 receptor was not detected after 30-min treatment with increasing concentrations of quinpirole when D2 receptor was expressed alone. However, on coexpression with the D1 receptor, internalization of the D2 receptor was observed in response to quinpirole (Fig. 5C) (14 ± 4.8% internalized; EC_{50} = 24.5 ± 5.7 nM, n = 4 for D2R with D1R). Because D1 and D2 receptors physically interact, it may be possible that the D1 receptor would cointernalize with the D2 receptor, similar to what was observed for the D2 receptor when coexpressed with the agonist activated D1 receptor. Concentration-dependent internalization of the D1 receptor in response to the D2 selective agonist quinpirole was observed upon coexpression with the D2 receptor (31 ± 7.7% internalized; EC_{50} = 13.2 ± 5.4 nM, n = 4 for D1R with D2R) (Fig. 5D). No internalization of the D1 receptor was observed in response to quinpirole when expressed alone (Fig. 5D).

Because the D1-D2 receptor heterooligomers function as a unit at the cell surface and internalize as such, the steady-state cellular distribution of the receptors was investigated. The subcellular localization of the transiently transfected D1-GFP and D2-mRFP receptors in cells expressing one or both receptors was examined by confocal microscopy, with receptor distribution displayed by serial z-sections through HEK 293T cells (Fig. 6). When expressed alone, D1 receptors were found predominantly on the cell surface (Fig. 6A), whereas D2 receptors were localized at the cell surface with a significant proportion present intracellularly (Fig. 6B). When coexpressed, D1 and D2 receptors were observed to have a similar distribution on the plasma membrane as well as in intracellular compartments (Fig. 6C) suggesting a common localization of D1 and D2 receptors, probably stemming from the formation of D1-D2 heterooligomers. This distribution was also observed when receptors were coexpressed in COS-7 cells and in the neuroblastoma cell line N1E 115 (data not shown). Similar localization of D1 and D2 receptors was also observed in stable cell lines expressing the receptors alone or coexpressing both receptors (Fig. 6, D–F), indicating that these observations were due to an alteration in the steady-state distribution of the receptors upon coexpression and not due to transient transfection.

To further assess the cellular redistribution of the receptors upon coexpression, radioligand binding was performed on heavy

![Fig. 5. Cointernalization of D1 and D2 receptors by activation of either receptor was detected by cell surface immunofluorometry in HEK 293T cells (n = 3–4). A, internalization of the D1 receptor by SKF 81297 in cells expressing the HA-D1 receptor alone or coexpressed with the FLAG-D2 receptor. B, internalization of the D2 receptor by SKF 81297 in cells expressing the FLAG-D2 receptor alone or coexpressed with the HA-D1 receptor. C, internalization of the D2 receptor by quinpirole in cells expressing FLAG-D2 receptor alone or coexpressed with HA-D1 receptor. D, internalization of D1 receptor by quinpirole in cells expressing HA-D1 receptor or coexpressed with the FLAG-D2 receptor. Cells were treated for 30 min with variable concentrations of agonists. Arrows indicate EC_{50}. Percentage internalization represents the loss of fluorescence from the cell surface of the whole cell after agonist treatment compared with the vehicle-treated control.](image-url)
and light subcellular membrane fractions obtained using a discontinuous sucrose gradient, which contained distinct cellular markers (Fig. 1C). Expressed alone, the D1 receptor was detected predominantly in the heavy membrane fraction. Upon coexpression with the D2 receptor, an increased proportion of the D1 receptor was detected in the light membrane fraction (Fig. 7A) (40 ± 4% for D1R alone; 64 ± 5.9% for D1R with D2R, p < 0.05) with a decrease observed in the heavy membrane fraction (68 ± 4.6% for D1R alone; 34 ± 5.9% for D1R with D2R, p < 0.05). When the D2 receptor was expressed alone, the receptor was detected to be approximately equally distributed in both the heavy and light membrane fractions. Upon coexpression with the D1 receptor, an increase in the proportion of the D2 receptors in the heavy membrane fraction was observed (47.8 ± 3.2% for D2R alone; 66 ± 5.3% for D2R with D1R, p < 0.05) with a decrease observed in the light fraction (51.4 ± 3.4% for D2R alone; 32.6 ± 5.4% for D2R with D1R, p < 0.05) (Fig. 7B). These results supported the observations from confocal microscopy indicating changes in receptor localization upon coexpression. It is interesting that the redistribution of the receptors in cotransfected cells changed the overall receptor density of both receptors. When total receptor expression was quantified, both by radioligand binding on whole-cell lysates and from the addition of the number of binding sites in heavy and light sucrose gradient fractions, the number of D2 receptor binding sites
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was increased when coexpressed with the D1 receptor, and the number of D1 receptor binding sites was decreased when coexpressed with D2 receptor (data not shown).

To quantify changes at the cell surface, COS-7 cells were cotransfected with D1 and D2 receptors, and the surface expression of the receptors was estimated by cell surface immunofluorescence assays. A 51% increase in cell surface expression of the D2 receptor was documented upon coexpression with the D1 receptor (11,416 ± 525 AFU for D2R, 22,009 ± 3245 AFU for D2R with D1R, p < 0.05). (Fig. 8A). Binding of [3H]raclopride in the plasma membrane enriched P2 fraction also showed a significant 50% (p < 0.05) increase in the number of D2 receptor binding sites when coexpressed with the D1 receptor compared with when the D2 receptor was expressed alone (B_{max} = 1600 ± 164 fmol/mg, n = 5 for D2R alone; B_{max} = 2578 ± 208 fmol/mg, n = 3 for D2R(short) with D1R, p < 0.05) with no change in the affinity of the receptor for [3H]raclopride (K_d = 1.2 ± 0.25 nM, n = 5 for D2R(1) alone; K_d = 1 ± 0.12 nM, n = 3 for D2R(2) with D1R, p > 0.05). (Fig. 8B). This increase in the number of D2 receptor-binding sites also correlated with the increase in receptor protein species as observed by Western blot (Fig. 8B). To determine whether the enhancement upon coexpression with the D1 receptor was also observed for the short isoform of the D2 receptor, which lacks 26 amino acids in the third intracellular loop, the D2R(short) receptor was coexpressed with the D1 receptor in COS-7 cells. A significant 50% (P < 0.05) increase in the number of D2R(short) receptor binding sites was observed when coexpressed with the D1 receptor compared with when it was expressed alone (B_{max} = 1412 ± 237 fmol/mg, n = 5 for D2R(short) alone; B_{max} = 2378 ± 208 fmol/mg, n = 3 for D2R(2) with D1R, p < 0.05) with no change in the affinity of the receptor for [3H]raclopride (K_d = 1.2 ± 0.25 nM, n = 5 for D2R(1) alone; K_d = 1 ± 0.12 nM, n = 3 for D2R(2) with D1R, p > 0.05). (Fig. 8C). This increase in D2 receptor-binding sites also correlated with the increase in receptor protein species visualized by Western blot (Fig. 8C). No enhancement of the D2 receptor was observed when coexpressed with the D5 receptor (data not shown).

To examine whether cell surface expression of the D1 receptor was altered in parallel with the expression of the D2 receptor when coexpressed, the number of D1 receptors was quantified by cell surface immunofluorescence assays. Decreased cell surface expression of the D1 receptor when coexpressed with the D2 receptor was quantified (29,014 ± 4995 AFU for D1R alone; 14,653 ± 2464 AFU for D1R with D2R, p < 0.05). By radioligand binding studies, the number of D1 receptor binding sites within the plasma-enriched P2 membrane fractions was decreased by 55% (p < 0.05) when coexpressed with the D2 receptor (B_{max} = 3156 ± 415 fmol/mg, n = 10 for D1R alone; B_{max} = 1447 ± 375 fmol/mg, n = 10 for D1R with D2R, p < 0.05) (Fig. 8E). This decrease in D1 receptor binding sites corresponded to a decrease in the amount of receptor in the P2 fraction as verified by Western blot (Fig. 8E).

**Discussion**

Our discovery of the phospholipase C-mediated calcium signal generated by the coactivation of D1 and D2 dopamine receptors (Lee et al., 2004) has provided a novel insight into the unique ability of these coactivated receptors to access a completely new signaling pathway. In this report, we definitively demonstrate that D1 and D2 receptors heterooligomerize within these signaling complexes. FRET analysis demonstrated that within these complexes, D1 and D2 receptors exist as heterooligomers on the cell surface and within intracellular compartments. As a result of heterooligomerization, these receptors displayed altered steady state cellular distribution and unique internalization kinetics compared with D1 and D2 receptor homooligomers. A unique characteristic of the heterooligomer was that it enabled robust internalization of both receptors in response to a D2-specific agonist, whereas the D2 receptor expressed alone did not internalize in response to the same agonist. The close proximity of the receptors within the heterooligomer enabled cross-phosphorylation of the D2 receptor by selective activation of the D1 receptor.

FRET analysis demonstrated that the D1 and D2 receptors were in proximity of 50–100 Å of each other at the cell surface and within intracellular compartments. This observation indicated that the D1 and D2 receptors existed as heterooligomers, which may have been formed within the ER before being trafficked to the cell surface. This has been suggested previously by other studies on G-protein-coupled receptor homo- and heterooligomerization (Canals et al., 2003; Terrillon et al., 2003). However, based on the presence of the recycling endosomal marker Rab 11 in the light membrane fraction, it may be possible that heterooligomers in the
light fraction will also include those within recycling endosomes. The formation of heterooligomers, however, did not change the affinity of the D1 and D2 receptors to the selected prototypical agonists and antagonists used previously to generate the novel calcium signal, indicating that the ligand binding pocket for these drugs was unchanged by heterooligomer formation.

Despite no observable changes in the affinity of selected agonists and antagonists to the ligand-binding pocket, heterooligomer formation enabled cross-modulation of receptor activity by phosphorylation. The activation of the D1 receptor by SKF 81297 resulted in cross-phosphorylation of the D2 receptor. The phosphorylation of the D2 receptor probably occurred because in the heterooligomeric conformation, as suggested by the generation of the novel calcium signal upon coactivation of both receptors and the novel pattern of internalization of the D2 receptor, putative phosphorylation sites located in the third intracellular loop of the D2 receptor may have been located in close enough proximity to the D1 receptor to allow for phosphorylation by kinases such as GRKs. The PKA inhibitor H89 had no effect on the D1-receptor–mediated D2 receptor phosphorylation, thus suggesting that the phosphorylation of the D2 receptor was not dependent upon PKA activation.

The conformation of D1-D2 receptor heterooligomers may also have allowed for novel internalization characteristics in response to agonist treatment. The internalization studies revealed that the D1-D2 receptor heterooligomer was internalized by coactivation of both receptors by dopamine or by the single activation of one of the receptors within the heterooligomer. D1-D2 receptor heterooligomers internalized when the D1 receptor was activated by SKF 81297 or the D2 receptor was activated by quinpirole. These results suggested that the interactions between the receptors within the heterooligomer were maintained upon agonist exposure, unlike other examples in which one receptor within the heterooligomer internalizes separately upon agonist activation (Xu et al., 2003).

The D2 selective agonist quinpirole, which failed to internalize the D2 receptor when expressed alone, internalized the D1-D2 receptor heterooligomer. This is a remarkable finding considering that the characteristics of D2 receptor internalization depends on the cell type and may be related to the variable expression of endogenous GRKs and β-arrestins (Zhang et al., 1994; Ito et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2004).

Fig. 8. Membrane expression of D2 receptor was enhanced by coexpression with the D1 receptor in COS-7 cells (n = 3–5). A, cell surface expression of the D2 receptor expressed alone or coexpressed with the D1 receptor as quantified by cell surface immunofluorescence (*, p < 0.05). B, saturation binding of [3H]raclopride to D2 receptor expressed alone or coexpressed with D1 receptor. Immunoblot detecting FLAG-D2 receptor in P2 membranes from cells expressing the D2 receptor alone or coexpressed. C, saturation binding of [3H]raclopride to D2 receptor (short) expressed alone or coexpressed with D1 receptor. Immunoblot detecting FLAG-D2 receptor (short) expressed in P2 membranes in cells expressing D2 receptor (short) alone or coexpressed. D, cell surface expression of the D1 receptor expressed alone or coexpressed with the D2 receptor as quantified by cell surface immunofluorescence (*, p < 0.05). E, saturation binding of [3H]SCH 23390 to D1 receptor expressed alone or coexpressed with D2 receptor. Immunoblot detection of the HA-D1 receptor expressed on P2 membranes in cells expressing HA-D1 receptor alone or coexpressed. For immunoblots, arrows indicate monomer, dimer, and higher order of oligomeric species.
and has also been reported to up-regulate on the cell surface after long-term agonist exposure (Zhang et al., 1994; Ng et al., 1997). In vivo animal experiments, however, demonstrated that the D2 receptor readily internalized in brain in response to endogenous dopamine (Chugani et al., 1988; Sun et al., 2003). Because D1 and D2 receptors are colocalized in many neurons in human and rat brain (Aizman et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2004), it is possible that the internalized D2 receptor detected was colocalized with the D1 receptor. Oligomerization with the D1 receptor may either put the D2 receptor into a conformation that enabled the D2 receptor to recruit endocytic machinery on its own or enable the D2 receptor to access the endocytic processes linked to the D1 receptor. The latter is a possibility because activation of D1 receptors resulted in the phosphorylation of the D2 receptor. Another possibility is that the novel entity of the D1 and D2 receptor heteromeric, because of the new receptor conformations achieved, now has different internalization characteristics compared with that of the D1 and D2 receptor homooligomers. This possibility is indicated by the reduced potency of SKF 81297-mediated heterooligomer internalization and enhanced potency of quinpirole to induce internalization of the D1 and D2 receptor heteromer.

Heterooligomerization resulted in altered steady-state cellular distribution of D1 and D2 receptors within cells that was distinct from that of D1 and D2 receptor homooligomers. This situation involving D1 and D2 receptors is unique in that the cell surface expression of two receptors, which independently traffic to the cell surface as homooligomers, were both altered by heterooligomer formation. D1 and D2 receptor homooligomers differed in their cellular distribution in that D1 receptor homooligomers were predominantly expressed on the cell surface with little intracellular localization, whereas D2 receptor homooligomers displayed both prominent cell surface and intracellular localization. Intracellular localization of the D2 receptor was not a product of transient transfection because a similar result was observed in stable cell lines expressing the D2 receptor alone as we have shown. The intracellular localization of the D2 receptor has been suggested previously to stem from a relatively inefficient processing of the D2 receptor protein (Fishburn et al., 1995; Prou et al., 2001) or because the receptor participated in an agonist-independent constitutive internalization process (Vickery and von Zastrow, 1999). Changes in the configuration of the intracellular domains of both D1 and D2 receptors within the heterooligomer may have resulted in changes in receptor processing or cell surface turnover and thus altered the number of receptors available for radioligand binding. These changes may have resulted in the exposure or masking of consensus sequences such as ER retention motifs (Zerangue et al., 1999) or ER export motifs (Ma et al., 2001) and may allow for novel interactions with adaptor proteins involved in receptor trafficking.

In summary, the results presented in this study provide cogent evidence that heterooligomerization occurs between D1 and D2 receptors. Together with our report of the robust D1-D2 receptor mediated calcium signal (Lee et al., 2004), these results provide a molecular mechanism for how D1-D2 receptor synergism may occur in cells in which these receptors coexist. The extent of receptor heterooligomerization must be significant because several changes in receptor function were observed, such as the generation of a novel calcium signal and the novel pattern of agonist-induced D2 receptor internalization. Furthermore, as reported within our previous article (Lee et al., 2004), the efficiency of communoprecipitation of D1 and D2 receptors in cell lines and rat brains by selective antibodies was high, indicating that a significant proportion of receptors existed as heterooligomers. The receptors within the heterooligogenic complex have attained novel properties distinct from the individual D1 and D2 homooligomers, possibly stemming from novel receptor conformations within these heterooligomers. The many lines of evidence we have generated all point to the creation of a novel D1-D2 signaling unit, with ability to internalize after selective activation of either D1 or D2 receptors but requiring concurrent activation of both receptors for generation of the calcium signal. The enhanced ability of the D2 agonist to internalize the D1-D2 heteromeric complex also signifies important ramifications for the understanding of the action of these clinically relevant drugs in brain. It has been proposed that abnormal calcium signaling may constitute the central dysfunction in schizophrenia (Lidow, 2003). At the same time, the leading mainstay of schizophrenia therapeutics is based on the transmitter dopamine. Because neither the D1 nor the D2 dopamine receptor has been consistently shown in different cellular models to directly alter calcium signaling, it was difficult to reconcile these two streams of evidence. Our findings related to the D1 and D2 receptor heterooligomers may provide a novel insight into how these receptors function synergistically and eventually lead to mechanisms of potential dysfunction. The D1-D2 heterooligomers, therefore, may represent an important and compelling drug target for diseases related to the dopaminergic system.
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