The Phenotypic Differentiation of Locus Ceruleus Noradrenergic Neurons Mediated by Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor Is Enhanced by Corticotropin Releasing Factor through the Activation of a cAMP-Dependent Signaling Pathway
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ABSTRACT

We have developed a model system of locus ceruleus (LC) neurons in culture, in which brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) induces the emergence of noradrenergic neurons attested by the presence of tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) and dopamine-β-hydroxylase and the absence of phenylethanolamine N-methyl-transferase. Although inactive in itself, the neuropeptide corticotropin releasing factor (CRF) strongly amplified the effect of BDNF, increasing the number of cells expressing TH and the active accumulation of noradrenaline by a factor of 2 to 3 via a mechanism that was nonmitogenic. CRF also acted cooperatively with neurotrophin-4, which like BDNF is a selective ligand of the TrkB tyrosine kinase receptor. The effect of CRF but not that of BDNF was prevented by astressin, a nonselective CRF-1/CRF-2 receptor antagonist. However, only CRF-1 receptor transcripts were detectable in LC cultures, suggesting that this receptor subtype mediated the effect of CRF. Consistent with the positive coupling of CRF-1 receptors to adenylate cyclase, the trophic action of CRF was mimicked by cAMP elevating agents. Epac, a guanine nucleotide exchange factor directly activated by cAMP, contributed to the effect of CRF through the stimulation of extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERKs) 1/2. However, downstream of ERK1/2 activation by CRF, the phenotypic induction of noradrenergic neurons relied upon the stimulation of the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase/Akt transduction pathway by BDNF. Together, our results suggest that CRF participates to the phenotypic differentiation of LC noradrenergic neurons during development. Whether similar mechanisms account for the high degree of plasticity of these neurons in the adult brain remains to be established.

The majority of noradrenergic (NA) neurons in the brain are localized within a single brainstem nucleus, the locus ceruleus (LC). However, because of a widespread projection system, these neurons innervate almost the entire central nervous system (Berridge and Waterhouse, 2003). Because of the ubiquitous distribution of NA, the LC-NA system plays a prominent role in a variety of brain functions and behaviors such as vigilance, attention, arousal, memory acquisition, locomotor control, and response to stress (Berridge and Waterhouse, 2003). LC NA neurons are also interesting for other reasons: 1) via their neurotransmitter, they influence the development and survival of other populations of neuronal cells either during development of the brain or later in life (Meier et al., 1991; Marien et al., 2004); 2) some of them can recover in the adult brain a phenotype that they transiently expressed during development (Bezin et al., 2000); and 3) they are vulnerable to neurodegenerative conditions such as Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s diseases (Zarow et al., 2003) and represent a potential target for pharmacological intervention.
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ABBREVIATIONS: NA, noradrenaline or noradrenergic; LC, locus ceruleus; BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; NT, neurotrophin; CRF, corticotropin releasing factor; NGF, nerve growth factor; Ucn, urocortin; FK, forskolin; PKA, cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase; MEK, mitogen-activated protein kinase/extracellular signal-regulated kinase kinase; PD98059, 2-amino-3-phospho-4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine; LY294002, 2-(4-morpholinyl)-8-phenyl-1-(4H)-benzopyran-4-one hydrochloride; MK-801, dizocilpine; Br, bromo; DA, dopamine or dopaminergic; DIV, days in vitro; TH, tyrosine hydroxylase; p-Akt, phospho-Akt; D-PBS, Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; DBH, dopamine-β-hydroxylase; PNMT, phenylethanolamine N-methyl-transferase; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; bp, base pair(s); RT-PCR, reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction; Epac, exchange protein directly activated by cAMP.
treatments of these disorders (Marien et al., 2004). Because of these multiple attributes, it is vital to understand the factors and signals that control the phenotype and/or survival of these neurons.

Previous studies have suggested that a limited number of trophic peptides may be involved in the development and maturation of LC NA neurons (Reiriz et al., 2002). Among these factors, TrkB ligands such as the neurotrophins BDNF and neurotrophin (NT)-4 may be of particular importance for two reasons: 1) both peptides increased the number of NA neurons in LC cultures maintained in serum-free conditions (i.e., in the absence of additional trophic support) (Holm et al., 2003); and 2) a reduction in the number of these neurons was observed in TrkB-null mutant mice (Holm et al., 2003). Other studies have shown that cAMP-dependent signaling might also play a crucial role in the maturation and maintenance of these neurons (Sklar-Tavron and Segal, 1993; Reiriz et al., 2002; Rusanuk and Gainer, 2005). TrkB activation by its ligands BDNF or NT-4, however, cannot stimulate the production of cAMP (Hanson et al., 1998). This suggests that other putative trophic factors contribute to the development of LC NA cells through a mechanism that involves the cyclic nucleotide.

Corticotropin releasing factor (CRF), a neuropeptide that is a crucial component of the brain’s response to stress (Carrasco and Van de Kar, 2003), is a possible candidate for several reasons. 1) It elevates cAMP levels through the activation of G protein-coupled receptors (Bale and Vale, 2004). 2) CRF afferents project to the LC, providing a neuroanatomical substrate for an interaction between CRF and LC NA neurons (Van Bockstaele et al., 1996). 3) CRF type 1 (CRF-1) receptors are detectable in virtually all LC NA neurons (Sauvage and Steckler, 2001), which may explain why CRF administered in the LC increases the firing rate of NA neurons and the release of NA in their terminal fields (Jedema and Grace, 2004). 4) CRF can also operate as a neurotrophic molecule, because it is highly effective in preventing neuronal apoptosis (Radulovic et al., 2003) and in stimulating dendritic differentiation (Chen et al., 2004).

The present study was carried out: 1) to establish whether CRF has an impact on the development of LC NA neurons; 2) to determine to what extent BDNF modulates this effect; and 3) to characterize the nature of the underlying molecular mechanisms. Our results show that CRF is highly potent in modulating the NA phenotype via a mechanism requiring the presence of BDNF.

**Materials and Methods**

**Peptides and Pharmacological Reagents.** Recombinant human brain-derived neurotrophic factor, NT-3, NT-4, and the monoclonal anti-human BDNF antibody (MAB-248) used to neutralize the biological activity of BDNF were from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN). The area dissected corresponded to the proximal mesencephalic flexure and the proximal part of the pontine flexure (Specht et al., 1981; Holm et al., 2003). Cultures of dopaminergic (DA) neurons were obtained subsequently by dissecting out the ventral mesencephalon of the same embryos (Michel et al., 1997). After mechanical trituration, LC and mesencephalic cells were seeded at a density of 3 to 4 and 1.5 to 2.0 × 10^5 cells/10-mm well, respectively, onto 48-well culture plates precoated with polyethyleneimine (1 mg/ml; Sigma/RBI). Cells were maintained in a culture medium consisting of minimal essential medium with Earle’s salts and Ham’s F-12 nutrient mixture [1:1 (v/v)] (Invitrogen, Cergy Pontoise, France), supplemented with 25 mM glucose, 10 µg/ml apotransferrin, 10 µg/ml insulin, and penicillin-streptomycin. When required, 350 µl of culture medium was changed at 3 and 6 DIV. Because neurons were grown in an astrocyte-poor environment that favors excitotoxic stress (Michel et al., 1997), the noncompetitive N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist dizocilipine (MK-801; 3 µM) was also added to the cultures before changing the medium from 3 DIV onward. Noradrenergic neurons represented 0.2 to 0.4% of all cultured cells in BDNF-treated LC cultures.

**Immunofluorescence Staining.** Tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) immunofluorescence detection was used to detect TH-positive NA neurons. After fixation with a mixture of 4% formaldehyde in Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (D-PBS) for 15 min, cells were washed three times with D-PBS and then incubated overnight at 4°C with a mouse anti-TH monoclonal antibody (Diasorin, Stillwater, MN) diluted at 1:5000 in D-PBS containing 0.2% Triton X-100. Subsequent incubations were performed, at room temperature, with a secondary anti-mouse IgG cyanin 3 conjugate (1:500; Sigma/RBI). In some cases, a rabbit anti-TH antibody (1:500; Pel Freez, Paris, France) was also used for the detection of NA neurons. Astrocytes were identified with a rabbit anti-glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) antibody diluted 1:100 (Dako North America, Inc., Carpinteria, CA) followed by a secondary antibody Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen). For phospho-Akt (p-Akt) immunofluorescence staining, nonspecific binding sites were blocked for 1 h with D-PBS containing 10% horse serum. For TrkB immunodetection, the blocking buffer contained 50% newborn goat serum, 1% bovine serum albumin, and 100 mM L-lysine. The cultures were then incubated for 2 days at 4°C with a rabbit polyclonal phospho-Akt (Ser473) antibody from Cell Signaling Technology Inc. (Beverly, MA), diluted at 1:250 in D-PBS containing 0.2% Triton X-100, or with a rabbit polyclonal antibody against the extracellular domain of TrkB (Transduction Laboratories) diluted at 1:500 in D-PBS without Triton X-100 to detect TrkB receptors bound to the plasma membrane. Both antibodies were detected with an Alexa Fluor 488 F(ab’)2 fragment of goat anti-rabbit IgG. Images were acquired with the Simple-PCI software from C-Imaging Systems using an inverted fluorescent microscope (TE-300; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with an ORCA-ER digital camera from Hamamatsu (Bridgewater, NJ).

**Uptake of [methyl-3H]Thymidine.** A marker of DNA synthesis, [methyl-3H]thymidine, was used to label proliferating cells as described previously (Troadec et al., 2002). LC cultures maintained in the presence of test treatments were exposed concomitantly to 0.5 µCi of [3H]methyl-thymidine (40 Ci/mmol) at 37°C in standard culture medium. After three rapid washes, the cells were allowed to
recover for 1 h in the same culture medium to remove unincorporated radioactivity. Finally, the cultures were fixed with a mixture of 0.5% glutaraldehyde/4% formaldehyde (in D-PBS) for 20 min. Positive nuclei were visualized with Hypercoat LM-1 emulsion (GE Healthcare) after 4 days of exposure at 4°C.

Reverse Transcription and Polymerase Chain Reaction. Total RNA from 6 DIV LC or mesencephalic cultures or from adult rat adrenal medulla was extracted with the RNAlater solution (Eurobio, Les Ulis, France). First strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg of total RNA using the RT kit (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany). The expression of transcripts coding for TH, dopamine-β-hydroxylase (DBH), phenylethanolamine-N-methyltransferase (PNMT), CRF-1 and CRF-2 receptors, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), and TrkB receptors was analyzed by PCR under the following conditions: 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s with 1 μl of the reverse transcription mixture. The primers used are shown in Table 1. The PCR products were visualized by electrophoresis in a 1% agarose gel containing 0.1 mg/ml ethidium bromide.

Quantification and Visualization of [3H]NA Uptake. Intraneuronal accumulation of NA via active transport was monitored in LC cultures using a protocol adapted from Traver et al. (2005). In brief, after preincubation for 10 min in 500 mM glucose and 100 μM ascorbic acid, the uptake was initiated by addition of 50 nM [3H]NA (37 Ci/mmol) to the cultures and terminated after 15 min by removal of the incubation medium followed by two rapid washes with ice-cold D-PBS. Cells were scrapped off the culture wells and counted by liquid scintillation spectrometry. When the accumulation of [3H]NA was visualized by microautoradiography, the incubation time with [3H]NA was extended to 45 min, and the concentration of NA was raised to 100 nM to improve sensitivity. After two rapid washes with D-PBS, the cultures were fixed for 20 min in D-PBS containing 0.5% glutaraldehyde and 4% formaldehyde and dehydrated with ethanol. The incorporation of the tritiated label was detected with the Hypercoat LM-1 emulsion (GE Healthcare) after an exposure of 10 days in the dark at 4°C. Blockade of expression of transcripts coding for TH, dopamine-β-hydroxylase (DBH), phenylethanolamine-N-methyltransferase (PNMT), CRF-1 and CRF-2 receptors, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), and TrkB receptors was analyzed by PCR under the following conditions: 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s with 1 μl of the reverse transcription mixture. The primers used are shown in Table 1. The PCR products were visualized by electrophoresis in a 1% agarose gel containing 0.1 mg/ml ethidium bromide.

Western Immunoblotting of Akt and ERK1/2. The cultures exposed to the various test treatments were recovered in Laemmli buffer supplemented with 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 μM okadaic acid, and 0.5% β-mercaptoethanol. Cell samples boiled for 5 min were then electrophoresed through a 10% acrylamide gel and blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were incubated with a rabbit polyclonal phospho-Akt (Ser473) antibody or with a mouse monoclonal phospho-ERK1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204; clone E10) antibody and developed with the enhanced chemiluminescence detection kit (Pierce Chemical, Rockford, IL). Membranes were then stripped using the Re-Blot Plus kit from Chemicon International (Temecula, CA); incubated again with a rabbit polyclonal anti-ERK1/2 antibody or a mouse monoclonal anti-Akt (clone 523) antibody; and developed as described previously. The two antibodies were used at a dilution of 1:1000.

Statistical Analysis. Comparisons between two groups were performed with Student’s t test. Multiple comparisons against a single reference group were made by one-way analysis of variance followed by Dunnett’s test. When all pairwise comparisons were made, the Student-Newman-Keuls test was used. S.E.M. values were derived from at least three independent experiments.

### Results

**CRF Induces NA Neurons in BDNF-Treated LC Cultures.** We first established that TH+ cells were virtually absent from ED14 rat LC cultures regardless of their stage of maturation (Figs. 1A and 2A). TH+ cells became detectable when 20 ng/ml BDNF was applied to these cultures (Figs. 1A and 2B). This concentration of BDNF was established initially as producing optimal effects in this culture model (data not shown) and was used in all subsequent experiments described hereafter. When CRF was added concomitant to BDNF, the number of TH+ cells rose sharply (Figs. 1A and 2C), in a concentration-dependent manner, with an effect significant at 0.5 μM and optimal at 1 μM (Fig. 1B). Higher concentrations of CRF were progressively less effective (Fig. 1B). Either in the presence of BDNF and CRF or in the presence of BDNF alone, ~30 to 40% of the TH+ neurons were intensely stained (Fig. 2, B and C). The other TH+ cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer Name and Direction</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Product Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| TH Forward 5′-CCCTCTTGTCCTCGGCCTGAA-3′ 1053
| Reverse 5′-CTGAAGCTTGTCTCTCCTGGA-3′ |
| DBH Forward 5′-GTCACAAGAAAGGGAGAAGCACT-3′ 599
| Reverse 5′-CCGGGCTTCTTCTCTCCTGGA-3′ |
| PNMT Forward 5′-GTCGCAAGAGCTCATT-3′ 499
| Reverse 5′-CCCTCGAACACGACCAC-3′ |
| CRF-1 receptors Forward 5′-TCCTGACCACATCTGAGACCATTGCGTACA-3′ 248
| Reverse 5′-TCTCCGACCACGCGCCTCTCCTGGA-3′ |
| CRF-2 receptors Forward 5′-CTCTGACACTCCATGACCCAGGAAGC-3′ 307
| Reverse 5′-CCCTCTTGAGTCTGAGTCCAGGAGTCCAG-3′ |
| GAPDH Forward 5′-TCCAGAGCTGACGCGGAGATG-3′ 339
| Reverse 5′-TGGGAGGCTATGAGCCAGATGCGTAC-3′ |

a Sakurada et al. (1999).

b Unsworth et al. (1999).

c Baigent and Lowry (2000).

d Ge et al. (2004).
were also clearly detectable but less intensely labeled (Fig. 2, B and C). The effect of BDNF on TH\^/H11001\ cells was already optimal after 1 DIV, whereas that of CRF, in the presence of BDNF, increased progressively during the first 3 days in culture and remained at a maximum level thereafter (Fig. 1A). It should be noted that CRF had no effect when BDNF was absent from the cultures (Figs. 1A and 2D).

To characterize the phenotype of the TH\^/H11001\ neurons that were induced in LC cultures by BDNF and CRF or by BDNF alone, we performed RT-PCR to detect the expression of TH, DBH, and PNMT transcripts encoding the three key enzymes involved in the synthesis of catecholamines. GAPDH was used as an internal standard. As expected from immunofluorescence experiments, TH transcripts were totally absent from control cultures but readily detectable in BDNF or BDNF/CRF-treated cultures (Fig. 1C). It is noteworthy that mRNAs encoding DBH (the rate-limiting enzyme in the synthesis of NA) were also induced by the same treatments (Fig. 1C). However, PNMT (the enzyme that converts NA into adrenaline) was undetectable (Fig. 1C). Note that TH and DBH transcripts seemed to be more abundant in cultures exposed to a combined treatment to CRF and BDNF compared with cultures treated with BDNF only, as visualized on ethidium bromide-stained agarose gels (Fig. 1C).

Fig. 2. Effects of CRF and BDNF in LC cultures. A–D, detection of TH-positive cells in control LC cultures (A) or in cultures exposed for 8 DIV to BDNF (B), BDNF/CRF (C), or CRF (D). E and F, microautoradiographic detection of \[^{3}H\]thymidine-positive nuclei in 3 DIV BDNF/CRF-treated cultures. E, TH-positive cells (red label) did not incorporate the tritiated label (light blue). F, \[^{3}H\]thymidine-positive nuclei were found generally in GFAP\^/H11001\ cells (green label). Scale bars, 15 μm (A–D) and 25 μm (E and F). BDNF, 20 ng/ml; CRF, 1 μM.

The embryonic brains used for LC cultures served also to generate cultures from the mesencephalon. Contrasting to what we observed with LC cultures, TH\^/H11001\ neurons were detectable in mesencephalic cultures (3 DIV) in the absence of any treatment (Fig. 1D). BDNF alone or in the presence of CRF failed to increase the number of TH\^/H11001\ neurons in this culture system (Fig. 1D). CRF alone was also ineffective. It is worth noting that mesencephalic cultures exposed to BDNF or BDNF/CRF contained TH but no DBH transcripts, which indicates that the TH\^/H11001\ neurons from this brain area present a stable DA phenotype (Fig. 1C). Note that TH, DBH, and...
PNMT transcripts were all present, in adult rat adrenal medulla tissue used as a positive control (Fig. 1C).

CRF Does Not Act as a Mitogen for TH+ Neuroblasts or Their Precursor Cells. Next, we examined the possibility that the CRF-induced increase in the number of TH+ cells resulted, at least in part, from an effect of the peptide on the proliferation of TH+ neuroblasts in contact with BDNF. Therefore, LC cultures were exposed between 0 and 3 DIV to CRF and BDNF in the presence of [methyl-3H]thymidine, a marker of DNA synthesis used to label proliferating cells (Troadec et al., 2002). A small percentage of LC cells (8–10%) accumulated the tritiated label in their nucleus in the presence of both peptides. However, these cells never expressed TH (Fig. 2E). A large number of the positive nuclei were in astroglial cells that expressed GFAP (Fig. 2F).

CRF Induces Expression of a Functional NA Transporter. Besides TH and DBH, NA neurons also express a high-affinity NA transporter, which serves to eliminate NA from the synaptic cleft. To detect the population of LC neurons that accumulate NA via this transporter, we visualized the uptake of tritiated NA by microautoradiography in 8 DIV LC cultures. The specificity of the accumulation was established in the presence of a selective NA uptake inhibitor desipramine. At the concentration used (0.1 μM), desipramine did not significantly inhibit the homologous DA transporter (Prasad and Amara, 2001; data not shown) that is expressed exclusively in DA neurons. In control cultures, virtually no cell bodies were labeled with [3H]NA. BDNF alone induced the appearance of a population of cells that accumulated [3H]NA (Fig. 3, B and D). When added to BDNF-treated cultures, 1 μM CRF increased the number of neurons that incorporated the tritiated neurotransmitter by 2- to 3-fold (Fig. 3, B and D). In a representative experiment, we counted 941 and 2818 [3H]NA+ neurons in BDNF and BDNF/CRF-treated cultures, respectively, whereas in sister cultures the corresponding numbers for TH+ cells were 1199 and 3240 (Fig. 3, A and B). Note that in the presence of 0.1 μM desipramine not a single cell body remained detectable in cultures exposed to both BDNF and CRF (Fig. 3D) or BDNF alone (data not shown), which indicates that [3H]NA was accumulated exclusively by NA neurons through the high-affinity transporter for NA. When the accumulation was measured using liquid scintillation spectrometry, we found that the incorporation of [3H]NA in CRF/BDNF-treated cultures was also 2- to 3-fold higher compared with BDNF-treated cultures. It is worth mentioning that a low but significant level of desipramine-sensitive uptake was constantly observed in control cultures. This was probably related to the fact that a small number of nerve endings were positively labeled with [3H]NA in these cultures even if no corresponding cell bodies were detectable (Fig. 3D).

An Early Exposure to BDNF Is Crucial for the Effect of CRF at Later Stages of the Cultures. To determine whether the response to CRF could also be initiated at later stages of the cultures, we postponed the treatment with the peptide up to 3 DIV. If BDNF was applied at the same time, CRF was ineffective in inducing NA neurons, whereas BDNF conserved a small but significant effect (Fig. 4). However, if BDNF was present throughout the culture time, a delayed treatment with CRF starting at 3 DIV was highly effective in inducing TH+ cells (Fig. 4), suggesting that an early contact...
with the neurotrophin was a prerequisite for the effect of CRF. The effect of CRF in BDNF-treated cultures persisted, however, after its withdrawal at 3 DIV, regardless of whether BDNF was maintained (Fig. 4).

**CRF Cooperates with TrkB but Not with TrkA or TrkC Ligands.** To determine whether CRF also cooperates with other neurotrophins, NT-4, a close homolog of BDNF that is also a selective ligand of TrkB tyrosine kinase receptors (Patapoutian and Reichardt, 2001), was added alone to the cultures (Fig. 5). Not surprisingly, CRF strongly increased the number of TH⁺ neurons in the presence of NT-4 (Fig. 5). However, when the cultures were exposed to the TrkA or TrkC ligands, NGF or NT-3, respectively, CRF was totally ineffective (Fig. 5), probably because neither NGF nor NT-3 was able to induce TH⁺ neurons when added alone to LC cultures.

**The Effects of CRF Are Mediated through CRF Type-1 Receptors.** We next characterized the receptor that was involved in the effect of CRF on TH⁺ cells. BDNF/CRF-treated cultures were maintained in the presence of astressin, a nonselective CRF-1/2 receptor antagonist (Bale and Vale, 2004). A concentration of 1 μM astressin totally blocked the effect of CRF but not that of BDNF (Fig. 6A), indicating that the effects of the peptides were mediated by two distinct plasma membrane receptors. Only CRF-1 receptor transcripts could be amplified by RT-PCR from LC cultures, suggesting that this receptor subtype alone was responsible for the effect of CRF on TH⁺ neurons (Fig. 6B). Note, however, that both CRF-1 and CRF-2 receptors were expressed in adrenal medulla tissue used as the positive control. It is noteworthy that Ucn, a peptide homolog of CRF, was able to induce NA neurons in BDNF-treated LC cultures through a mechanism that was also prevented by astressin. Consistent with the positive coupling of CRF-1 receptors to adenylate cyclase (Bale and Vale, 2004), elevation of endogenous cAMP levels by FK mimicked the effects of CRF on LC TH⁺ neurons exposed to BDNF (Fig. 6A). However, contrary to what we observed with CRF or Ucn, FK also exerted an effect by itself in the absence of BDNF (Fig. 6A). Note that rolipram, a selective inhibitor of cAMP-specific phosphodiesterase IV, failed to improve the effect of CRF used at an optimal concentration of 1 μM (data not shown).

**The Effect of CRF but Not BDNF Is Mediated by a cAMP-Dependent Mechanism Requiring Activation of ERK1/2.** PKA might be a proximal target of the cAMP-dependent mechanism elicited by CRF. This is unlikely, however, because the effect of CRF was resistant to the PKA inhibitor H89 at a concentration of 3 μM, sufficient to totally block the activation of the cAMP response element binding protein (Troade et al., 2002), one of the substrates of this kinase. Another potential cAMP target is an exchange protein directly activated by cAMP (Epac) that operates as a guanine nucleotide exchange factor (Bos, 2003). The possible involvement of Epac in the signaling cascade was studied using 8-Br-2’-O-Me-cAMP, a synthetic and permeant cAMP analog that selectively stimulates Epac but not PKA (Christensen et al., 2003). Our data show that 500 μM 8-Br-2’-O-Me-cAMP mimicked the effects of CRF on TH⁺ neurons in the presence of BDNF via a mechanism that was not prevented by H89 (Fig. 7A). Most interestingly, unlike FK, 8-Br-2’-O-Me-cAMP was totally inactive in the absence of BDNF (Fig. 7A), which suggests that the modified cAMP analog reproduced the effect of CRF more closely than FK.
Binding of cAMP to Epac could possibly lead in turn to the activation of the ERK1/2 signaling pathway (Bos, 2003). Using an antibody that recognizes selectively the phosphorylated form of ERK1/2, we found that the ERK2 isoform was activated by CRF alone. BDNF itself produced a strong activation of ERK1/2, which was enhanced further by a concomitant application of CRF (Fig. 7B). In each case, the activation of ERKs was prevented by PD98059, a selective inhibitor of MEK, a MAPK kinase immediately upstream of ERK1/2. In addition, MEK inhibition by PD98059 prevented induction of TH+ neurons by CRF and 8-Br-2-O-Me-cAMP in the presence of BDNF. The effect of BDNF was resistant, however, to PD98059 regardless of whether CRF was present, suggesting that the activation of ERK1/2 was only crucial for the effect of CRF in the presence of the neurotrophin (Fig. 7B).

The Effect of CRF Results from the Amplification of a BDNF-Dependent Mechanism. That BDNF was required for the effect of CRF suggested that a common BDNF-dependent mechanism was activated downstream of ERK1/2 signaling. This was confirmed by the fact that an antibody that neutralized the biological activity of BDNF (MAB-248) was also able to prevent the combined effect produced by CRF and BDNF on TH+ neurons (Fig. 8A). This prompted us to determine whether the population of TH+ neurons induced by CRF also expressed functional BDNF receptors. Immuno-fluorescent analysis of the cultures with an antibody raised against an extracellular epitope of the receptor (Meyer-Franke et al., 1998) showed that virtually 93% (131/140) of the TH+ neurons exposed to CRF/BDNF expressed TrkB on the plasma membrane (Fig. 8B). The proportion was similar (101/105; 96%) in the presence of BDNF alone. CRF, however, did not simply stimulate the synthesis of this receptor because semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis revealed that the corresponding transcripts were not increased in BDNF-treated LC cultures exposed to CRF or FK (Fig. 8C).

The PI3K/Akt signaling pathway is involved in several of the effects of BDNF mediated by TrkB receptors (Patapoutian and Reichardt, 2001). Therefore, we examined whether this pathway was also involved in the effect of CRF in BDNF-treated NA cultures. Because the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway can also be activated by insulin (Kermer et al., 2000), which is present in our culture medium, Western blot analysis of the p-Akt (activated) form of Akt was performed using LC cultures maintained in a culture medium in which insulin...
was omitted. The absence of insulin did not reduce the trophic effect of BDNF alone or BDNF/CRF (data not shown). In these conditions, basal activation of Akt was low but still detectable (Fig. 9A). In the presence of BDNF or BDNF/CRF, a strong activation of Akt was observed. The signal was specific because the PI3K inhibitor LY294002 strongly reduced activation of Akt in all three experimental conditions (Fig. 9A). It is noteworthy that detection of p-Akt at the cellular level by immunofluorescence confirmed that the phosphorylation of the protein was induced in a large number of TH− neurons exposed to BDNF and CRF and that LY294002 was able to prevent this effect (Fig. 9B). Most interestingly, LY294002 prevented the induction of TH− neurons in the cultures by BDNF alone or in the presence of CRF (Fig. 9C). Note that ERK activation, which is crucial for the CRF effect, was unaffected by LY294002 treatment (data not shown), which indicates that the role of the PI3K/Akt pathway in the induction of NA neurons was restricted to BDNF-dependent signaling via TrkB receptors. A schema summarizing all demonstrated steps of CRF and BDNF activation is provided in Fig. 10.

**Discussion**

We demonstrate here that the neuropeptide CRF powerfully stimulates the induction of NA neurons in LC cultures. It is noteworthy that the effect of CRF required the activation of CRF-1 receptors and the presence of TrkB receptor ligands such as BDNF or NT-4. CRF but not BDNF operated through a cAMP-dependent mechanism that served to activate the ERK1/2 signaling pathway. Downstream of ERK1/2 activation by CRF, however, the induction of NA neurons relied upon the stimulation of the PI3K/Akt transduction pathway by BDNF.

**CRF Induces a NA Phenotype in the Presence of BDNF in LC Cultures.** Consistent with previous studies, we found that BDNF had the capacity of increasing the number of TH− neurons in LC cultures (Holm et al., 2003; Traver et al., 2005). CRF, which was inactive by itself, greatly improved the trophic effect of BDNF. LC cultures exposed to BDNF alone or in the presence of CRF contained not only transcripts of TH, as expected from immunocytochemical detection studies but also of the NA synthetic enzyme DBH, whereas transcripts of PNMT, the enzyme that converts NA into adrenaline, were absent. This demonstrated that TH− neurons with a NA phenotype were present in these cultures. Indeed, the majority of the TH− cells induced by BDNF or BDNF/CRF were probably NA neurons, because 1) intraneuronal accumulation of [3H]NA in these cultures was prevented by a concentration of desipramine that selectively inhibits the NA transporter localized exclusively on NA neurons; and 2) for each treatment condition, the number of cells accumulating [3H]NA was of the same order as the number of cells immunopositive for TH. It is noteworthy that the effect of CRF was apparently restricted to TH− neurons that originate from the LC. Indeed, CRF neither increased the number of TH− cells nor induced DBH transcripts in mesencephalic cultures.

**CRF Operates via a cAMP-Dependent Mechanism Involving CRF-1 Receptors.** The effect of CRF but not that of BDNF was prevented by astressin, a nonselective CRF-1/CRF-2 receptor antagonist (Bale and Vale, 2004). However, only CRF-1 receptor transcripts were detectable in LC cultures exposed to BDNF alone or in the presence of CRF, because 1) intraneuronal accumulation of [3H]NA in these cultures was prevented by a concentration of desipramine that selectively inhibits the NA transporter localized exclusively on NA neurons; and 2) for each treatment condition, the number of cells accumulating [3H]NA was of the same order as the number of cells immunopositive for TH. It is noteworthy that the effect of CRF was apparently restricted to TH− neurons that originate from the LC. Indeed, CRF neither increased the number of TH− cells nor induced DBH transcripts in mesencephalic cultures.
8-Br-2′-O-Me-cAMP both mimicked the effect of CRF in the presence of BDNF, which indicates that the action of CRF was also probably mediated by cAMP. This is consistent with the positive coupling of CRF receptors to the G protein-adenylate cyclase pathway (Bale and Vale, 2004). It also corroborates previous reports suggesting that cAMP was crucially involved in the development and plasticity of LC NA neurons (Sklar-Tavron and Segal, 1993; Reiriz et al., 2002; Rusnak and Gainer, 2005). Most importantly, the effect mediated via CRF-1 receptors required concurrent activation of a specific TrkB receptor. Indeed, CRF also increased the number of TH+ neurons if added to LC cultures with NT-4, another TrkB ligand, but not with NGF or NT-3, two neurotrophins that interact preferentially with TrkA and TrkC, respectively (Patapoutian and Reichardt, 2001).

**Origin of the TH+ Neurons Induced by CRF.** The induction of TH+ neurons by CRF in the presence of BDNF may possibly result from 1) the rescue of a subpopulation of TH+ cells for which CRF was a survival factor, as described previously (Radulovic et al., 2003); 2) a mitogenic effect of CRF on BDNF-induced TH+ cells via cAMP-dependent signaling (Ha et al., 2000); 3) the restoration of a phenotype lost transiently as the result of cell suffering or lack of appropriate trophic stimulation during the processing of the embryonic tissue; 4) the recruitment of postmitotic neurons, which have not yet completed their differentiation. Survival promotion is unlikely to account for the effect of CRF. Indeed, CRF had no effect by itself and remained highly effective when its application was delayed provided that BDNF was applied shortly after plating. The early requirement of BDNF indicates, however, that part of the effect of the neurotrophin may be to protect LC cells that did not yet express TH as suggested previously (Holm et al., 2003). CRF is also unlikely to be mitogenic because we were unable to detect a single [3H]thymidine-positive nucleus in the population of LC TH+ neurons induced by CRF and BDNF. The restoration of a NA phenotype is more plausible, because cAMP stimulates TH and DBH gene expression (Kim et al., 1994; Lim et al., 2000). On the other hand, CRF could induce the NA phenotype in a population of LC neurons that requires a cAMP-dependent signal for complete specification. This would imply, however, that BDNF was also crucially involved in this process. The two latter explanations may both apply to our model system.

**The CRF-Dependent Mechanism Results from the Activation of ERK1/2 by cAMP.** Because cAMP mediates the effects of CRF as the result of CRF-1 receptor activation, we investigated potential downstream targets of the cyclic nucleotide. ERK1/2 are part of a MAPK signaling pathway that can be activated by cAMP (Troadec et al., 2002; Christiansen et al., 2003). CRF induced a modest but significant activation of ERK1/2 when applied alone to the cultures. It also amplified the activation of ERK1/2 by BDNF, suggesting that the trophic effect of CRF was perhaps mediated through an ERK-dependent mechanism as reported previously (Cibelli et al., 2001). As expected, inhibition by PD98059 of MEK, the immediate upstream kinase of ERK1/2, prevented ERK1/2 activation regardless of the treatments. PD98059 also abolished the trophic effect of CRF revealed in the presence of BDNF, but it did not reduce the effect of BDNF itself. This indicates that ERK1/2 activation was involved exclusively in the effect of CRF. This activation could occur through two proximal targets of cAMP: PKA and the guanine nucleotides that interact preferentially with TrkA and TrkC, respectively (Patapoutian and Reichardt, 2001).

**Fig. 10.** Schematic representation of the mechanisms that may control the acquisition of the NA phenotype in LC neurons. A, a subset of LC neurons acquires the NA phenotype by a mechanism controlled by BDNF and its cognate receptor TrkB leading to activation of PI3K/Akt-dependent signaling. The effect of BDNF can be prevented either by treatment with a monoclonal antibody (MAB-248) that neutralizes the biological activity of the trophic peptide or with LY294002, which blocks PI3K activity. It is mimicked by another TrkB ligand, NT-4. B, a distinct population of LC neurons can also acquire the NA phenotype by becoming responsive to BDNF through a mechanism that requires activation of CRF-1 receptors by CRF or Ucn. Astressin, a competitive antagonist at the CRF receptor site prevents the action of both CRF and Ucn but has no effect against BDNF. The effect of CRF occurs via activation of a cAMP-dependent signaling pathway that involves the guanine nucleotide exchange factor Epac and subsequently ERK1/2 signaling. Note that the effects of both CRF and 8-Br-2′-O-Me-cAMP, a selective activator of Epac, which mimics the effect of CRF, are resistant to PKA inhibition by H89. The precise mechanism by which CRF-induced ERK1/2 activation makes LC neurons responsive to BDNF remains to be determined but the recruitment of an intracellular pool of TrkB receptors to the plasma membrane is a candidate mechanism. PD98059, inhibitor of MEK, the immediate upstream activator kinase of ERK1/2.
nucleotide exchange factor Epac (Mayr and Montmíny, 2001; Bos, 2003). Epac was probably involved for two reasons: 1) The 2′-O-alkyl modified cAMP analog 8-Br-2′-O-Me-cAMP, a selective activator of Epac (Christensen et al., 2003) that does not activate PKA, mimicked the trophic action of CRF in the presence of BDNF. 2) The effects of both CRF and 8-Br-2′-O-Me-cAMP were abolished by PD98059 and resistant to the PKA inhibitor H89. Finally, that ERK1/2 activation produced by BDNF was not required for its effect on TH neurons suggests that different ERK1/2 substrates are phosphorylated depending on whether the activation occurs initially via TrkB or CRF-1 receptors.

CRF-Induced ERK1/2 Activation Reinforces a BDNF-Dependent Mechanism. Our results demonstrate that the presence of BDNF is a prerequisite for the trophic effect of CRF on NA neurons. This explains why the activation of the PI3K/Akt signaling cascade, which was critical for the effect of BDNF alone, was also essential for its cooperative action with CRF. This also indicates that PI3K activation by BDNF intervened, probably downstream of the ERK1/2-dependent mechanism crucial for the effect of CRF. Therefore, the activation of ERK1/2 in CRF/BDNF-treated cultures was unaffected by PI3K inhibition (data not shown). The key role of BDNF in the effect of CRF may indicate that CRF served to reach optimal concentrations of the neurotrophin in the culture medium possibly via cAMP-dependent signaling (Goodman et al., 1996). This is unlikely, however, because we used a saturating concentration of BDNF that already produced maximal effects medium possibly via cAMP-dependent signaling (Goodman et al., 1996). This is unlikely, however, because we used a saturating concentration of BDNF that already produced maximal effects on TH neurons (data not shown). Increased synthesis of TrkB receptors by CRF might also explain the potentiation of the effects of BDNF but neither CRF nor FK increased the expression of TrkB transcripts in LC cultures. However, one cannot exclude alternative mechanisms, such as transactivation of TrkB receptors (Rajagopal et al., 2004) or their recruitment to the plasma membrane. Transactivation of TrkB receptors in response to G protein-coupled receptor signaling occurs generally in the absence of Trk ligand (Rajagopal et al., 2004). Such a mechanism is unlikely because the presence of BDNF was always required for the action of CRF. In contrast, the possibility that CRF facilitated the recruitment of an intracellular pool of TrkB receptors to the plasma membrane is feasible. Indeed, cAMP has this effect in other model systems (Meyer-Franke et al., 1998; Nagappan and Lu, 2005). Consistent with this hypothesis, we observed that virtually all of the TH neurons induced by CRF treatment expressed TrkB receptors at the plasma membrane, suggesting that they acquired their NA phenotype by becoming responsive to the neurotrophin. Because TrkB ligands are thought to regulate the expression of Phox2a (Holm et al., 2003), a homeodomain transcription factor required for the development of LC NA neurons (Hirsch et al., 1998), Phox2a may conceivably represent an indirect target of CRF via the BDNF-dependent pathway.

Together, our results suggest that the phenotype of LC NA neurons can be controlled during development by CRF provided that BDNF is also present. The plasticity of these neurons is apparently not restricted to the developmental period because dormant NA neurons in the LC of the adult brain can also re-express NA traits when stimulated by appropriate treatments in vivo (Bezin et al., 2000). The possible involvement of CRF and BDNF in this process remains a subject for further study.
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