Glitazones Induce Astroglioma Cell Death by Releasing Reactive Oxygen Species from Mitochondria: Modulation of Cytotoxicity by Nitric Oxide
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ABSTRACT

The glitazones (or thiazolidinediones) are synthetic compounds used in type-2 diabetes, but they also have broad antiproliferative and anti-inflammatory properties still not well understood. We described previously the apoptotic effects of glitazones on astroglioma cells (J Biol Chem 279:8976–8985, 2004). At certain concentrations, we found a selective lethality on glioma cells versus astrocytes that was dependent on a rapid production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and seemed unrelated to the receptor peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-γ. The present study was aimed at characterizing the oxygen derivatives induced by cigitazone, rosiglitazone, and pioglitazone in C6 glioma cells and to investigate their intracellular source. We examined the interaction of ROS with nitric oxide (NO) and its consequences for glioma cell survival. Fluorescence microscopy and flow cytometry showed that glitazones induced superoxide anion, peroxynitrite, and hydrogen peroxide, with cigitazone being the most active. ROS production was completely prevented by uncoupling of the electron transport chain and by removal of glucose as an energy substrate, whereas it was unaffected by inhibition of NADPH-oxidase and xanthine oxidase. Moreover, glitazones inhibited state 3 respiration in permeabilized cells, and experiments with mitochondrial inhibitors suggested that complex I was the likely target of glitazones. Therefore, these results point to the mitochondrial electron transport chain as the source of glitazone-induced ROS in C6 cells. Glitazones also depolarized mitochondria and reduced mitochondrial pH. NO synthase inhibitors revealed that superoxide anion combines with NO to yield peroxynitrite and that the latter contributes to the cytotoxicity of glitazones in astroglioma cells. Future antitumoral strategies may take advantage of these findings.

The glitazones, also called thiazolidinediones, constitute a group of synthetic compounds with insulin-sensitizing effects. Two members of this family, rosiglitazone and pioglitazone, are approved for the treatment of hyperglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. In most countries, they are used as monotherapy or in combination with sulfonylureas or metformin, and in the United States, they are used in type-2 diabetes, but they also have broad antiproliferative and anti-inflammatory properties still not well understood. We described previously the apoptotic effects of glitazones on astroglioma cells (J Biol Chem 279:8976–8985, 2004). At certain concentrations, we found a selective lethality on glioma cells versus astrocytes that was dependent on a rapid production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and seemed unrelated to the receptor peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-γ. The present study was aimed at characterizing the oxygen derivatives induced by cigitazone, rosiglitazone, and pioglitazone in C6 glioma cells and to investigate their intracellular source. We examined the interaction of ROS with nitric oxide (NO) and its consequences for glioma cell survival. Fluorescence microscopy and flow cytometry showed that glitazones induced superoxide anion, peroxynitrite, and hydrogen peroxide, with cigitazone being the most active. ROS production was completely prevented by uncoupling of the electron transport chain and by removal of glucose as an energy substrate, whereas it was unaffected by inhibition of NADPH-oxidase and xanthine oxidase. Moreover, glitazones inhibited state 3 respiration in permeabilized cells, and experiments with mitochondrial inhibitors suggested that complex I was the likely target of glitazones. Therefore, these results point to the mitochondrial electron transport chain as the source of glitazone-induced ROS in C6 cells. Glitazones also depolarized mitochondria and reduced mitochondrial pH. NO synthase inhibitors revealed that superoxide anion combines with NO to yield peroxynitrite and that the latter contributes to the cytotoxicity of glitazones in astroglioma cells. Future antitumoral strategies may take advantage of these findings.

The glitazones, also called thiazolidinediones, constitute a group of synthetic compounds with insulin-sensitizing effects. Two members of this family, rosiglitazone and pioglitazone, are approved for the treatment of hyperglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. In most countries, they are used as monotherapy or in combination with sulfonylureas or metformin, and in the United States, they are approved to use in combination with insulin (Yki-Järvinen, 2004). Although the application of glitazones in the clinic is presently limited to diabetes, their potential therapeutic value expands beyond the regulation of the carbohydrate and lipid metabolisms because a growing number of reports have described that glitazones also affect vascular functions, inflammatory processes, cell proliferation, and apoptosis (Feinstein et al., 2005).

Many metabolic and anti-inflammatory properties of glitazones are linked to the activation of the peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-γ (PPARγ), a transcription factor of the nuclear receptor family that not only stimulates but also represses a number of genes (Delerive et al., 2001). However, alternative PPARγ-independent mechanisms are now emerging to account for a set of glitazone responses (Feinstein et al., 2005).
al., 2005), including antiproliferative and apoptotic actions on several tumor cells in vitro in animal models and in humans (Grommes et al., 2004). We demonstrated recently that the glitazones ciglitazone and rosiglitazone reduce the viability of astroglial cells (Pérez-Ortiz et al., 2004). This cytotoxic effect was cell-type-dependent, because both glitazones affected preferentially astroglial cell survival compared with primary rat astrocytes. The mechanism involved in this antitumoral effect was clearly not mediated by PPARs but seemed related to a short-term generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) from an unknown cellular source.

Mitochondria are major producers of ROS in response to agents that alter their functions. It is interesting that our preliminary studies found that glitazones can cause mitochondrial membrane depolarization in both glioma cells and astrocytes, pointing to mitochondria as a target of glitazones. In fact, glitazones have been reported to affect mitochondria in hepatoma cells (Masubuchi et al., 2006), Jurkat and Raji cells (Kanunfre et al., 2004), and human multiple myeloma, among others (Ray et al., 2004). Moreover, glitazones have been shown to generate ROS as a result of the modulation of mitochondrial functions in several cell types (Narayanan et al., 2003). On the other hand, glitazones could stimulate ROS production from extramitochondrial sources (i.e., by xanthine-oxidase and NADPH-oxidase, enzymes known to be expressed in astrocytes).

Although we found that ROS are implicated in the lethal effect of glitazones on glioma cells, a matter that still needed clarification is the type of oxygen derivatives released. Superoxide anion ($O_2^-$) is a short-lived ROS generated as a byproduct of oxidative phosphorylation in mitochondria or as a result of the activity of the cytosolic oxidases mentioned before. It can be metabolized to hydrogen peroxide ($H_2O_2$) by dismutation or converted to peroxynitrite (ONOO$^-$) by combination with NO. In relation to this, the expression of different isoforms of the NO synthase (NOS) in C6 glioma cells has been reported. Both $O_2^-$ derivatives, $H_2O_2$ and ONOO$^-$, have deleterious effects on cells and could mediate the cytotoxicity of glitazones. NOS activity might modulate glioma cell death caused by glitazones, because $H_2O_2$ and ONOO$^-$ are expected to induce different levels of toxicity (Radi et al., 2002).

In consequence, the present report was aimed at understanding the actions of glitazones on C6 glioma cells. In particular, we characterized the type of ROS generated in response to glitazones and their subcellular origin. In addition, we addressed the implications of modulating NO levels for the cell lethality induced by glitazones. Our findings indicate a rather selective action of glitazones on mitochondria versus other ROS sources and contribution of both $O_2^-$ and ONOO$^-$ to glitazone cytotoxicity in C6 glioma cells.

Materials and Methods

Reagents. Ciglitazone [5-[4-[1-methylcyclohexyl]methoxy]phenyl)methyl]-1,3-thiazoline-2,4-dione] and carbonyl cyanide 4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenylhydrazide (FCCP) were purchased from Toeris (Ellisville, MO). Rosiglitazone [5-[4-[2-methyl-pyridin-2-yl-amino]ethoxy]phenyl)methyl]thiazoline-2,4-dione], pioglitazone [5-[4-[(5-ethylpyridin-2-yl)ethoxy]phenyl)methyl]thiazoline-2,4-dione], 1400W, and S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO) were obtained from Alexis (Läufelfingen, Switzerland). All of the fluorescent probes used [dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate ($H_2DCF$)], hydroethidine (HE), tetramethylrhodamine ethyl ester (TMRE)] were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA), except 4,5-diaminofluorescein diacetate, which was a generous gift from Dr. Kazuyu Kikuchi (Osaka University, Osaka, Japan). Apocynin was acquired from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA). Trypsin-EDTA, DMEM-Ham’s F12, fetal bovine serum, and antibiotics were obtained from Lonza Walkersville (Walkersville, MD). Mouse anti-nitrotyrosine antibody was purchased from Huylct Biotechnology (Uden, the Netherlands) and sheep antinouse IgG-horseradish peroxidase was from GE Healthcare (Chalfont St. Giles, Buckinghamshire, UK). All other chemicals and inhibitors were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO).

Rat C6 Glioma Cells. The rat astroglialoma cell line C6 was cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium-Ham’s F12 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and antibiotics. Experimental treatments were applied to 60 to 70% confluent cultures.

Measurement of Mitochondrial Membrane Potential. C6 cells were grown in 22-mm round coverslips, and 24 to 36 h after seeding, cells were washed twice with Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) containing 5 mM glucose and 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4. Cells were loaded with 0.1 $\mu$M TMRE for 20 min at room temperature and protected from direct light. After that, coverslips were transferred to a microscopy chamber (Attofluor; Invitrogen) and maintained in the presence of 0.1 $\mu$M TMRE. Cell fluorescence was imaged with an epifluorescence inverted microscope (DMIIRE-2; Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with an oil immersion 40× objective (numerical aperture, 1.25). Excitation light at 545 nm was obtained using a monochromator (Hamamatsu Photonics, Shizuoka, Japan) and emitted light was collected by a charge-coupled device camera (Ora-Era; Hamamatsu Photonics). The interference filter used for emission was 635 ± 27 nm, and the dichroic mirror was 570DRL (Omega Optical, Brattleboro, VT). Regions of interest (nucleus and cytoplasm) were selected manually, and pixel intensities were spatially averaged after background subtraction. A binning of two was used to improve the signal-to-noise ratio and minimize photobleaching of TMRE and photodamage to the cells. All images and data were acquired and analyzed using the Aquacosmos software (Hamamatsu Photonics).

Detection of Intracellular ROS by Microscopy and Flow Cytometry. Cells were incubated with the cell-permeant dye $H_2DCF$-DA, which intracellularly de-esterifies to dichlorodihydrofluorescein ($H_2DCF$). Reactive oxygen species oxidize $H_2DCF$ to its fluorescent DCF in the cell suspension was measured immediately by a BD-LSR flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) equipped with an argon ion laser (488 nm excitation); the emission filter was set at 530 nm. Triplicate samples were run in each experiment, and at least 10,000 cells per sample were analyzed. Mean fluorescence was calculated with the program CellQuest (BD...
Biosciences). Dead cells and debris were excluded from the analysis by electronic gating of forward- and side-scattering measurements. **Hydroethidine Staining Assay for Superoxide Formation.** Superoxide anion was measured with the fluorescent dye HE. This probe is oxidized to ethidium, which is trapped by intercalating with DNA resulting in a fluorescence signal that can be quantified by flow cytometry. C6 cells were grown in complete DMEM-Ham’s F12 and washed with phosphate-buffered saline before treatment. Thereafter, cells were incubated for 15 min with 5 μM HE in serum-free DMEM-Ham’s F12 and then treated with each gliozone for 15 min. Afterward, cells were detached from the culture plate with trypsin/EDTA, resuspended in 1 ml of DMEM-Ham’s F12 with 2% fetal bovine serum, protected from light, and placed on ice. The intensity of the fluorescent ethidium was measured immediately by a BD-LSR flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) equipped with an argon ion laser (488 nm excitation); the emission filter was set at 575 nm. For imaging HE, cells were loaded with 5 μM HE for 10 min at 37°C in an incubator. After that, coverslips were transferred to a microscopy chamber and maintained in HBSS. Cell fluorescence was imaged with the setup described before. Excitation light was at 397 nm, and emission was collected with a 595 nm emission filter. Regions of interest were selected manually, and pixel intensities were spatially averaged after background subtraction. A binning of two was used to improve the signal-to-noise ratio and minimize photobleaching of HE. All images and data were acquired and analyzed using the Aquacosmos software (Hamamatsu Photonics).

**Mitochondrial Respiration.** Oxygen consumption of digitonin-permeabilized cells was monitored polarographically with a Clark-type oxygen electrode (Oxygraph, Hansatech Instruments, Norfolk, England) connected to a suitable recorder in a 1-ml thermostated water-jacketed closed chamber with magnetic stirring. The reactions were carried out at 30°C in 1 ml of the standard medium (225 mM sucrose, 10 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM KH₂PO₄/K₂HPO₄, 0.1% bovine serum albumin, 1 mM EGTA, and 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4) containing 10⁶ cells; 5 mM pyruvate/2.5 mM malate and 250 μM ADP were added to achieve state 3 of respiration.

**NO Analysis with DAF-2.** NO production was assayed using the fluorescent probe 4,5-diaminofluorescein (DAF-2). C6 cells were grown in complete DMEM-Ham’s F12. After washing with phosphate-buffered saline, cells were incubated with 5 μM 4,5-diamino-fluorescein diacetate in DMEM-Ham’s F12 serum-free for 15 min after the addition of glitazone at 20 μM. Cell fluorescence was analyzed by a BD-LSR flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). Excitation was at 488 nm (argon ion laser), and the emission filter was centered at 530 nm.

**Cell Viability by Propidium Iodide Exclusion.** This assay is based on the exclusive nuclear labeling of nonviable cells with the DNA intercalating fluorescent dye propidium iodide. C6 glioma cells were harvested with trypsin and stained with 10 μg/ml propidium iodide before analysis by flow cytometry. Fluorescence was excited at 488 nm and measured through a 575 ± 13 bandpass filter.

**Western Blotting.** Cell lysates were loaded onto SDS-polyacrylamide gels, electrophoresed, and subsequently transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Pall, Ann Arbor, MI), incubated with anti-β-actin (1:2000) or anti-nitrotyrosine (1:50) antibodies, and probed with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody following manufacturer’s instructions. An enhanced chemiluminescence system was used for visualization.

**Statistical Analysis.** Results are expressed as a percentage of controls treated with the appropriate vehicle (MeSO₄). Values represent mean ± S.D. of three to six replicate wells. Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance followed by a least significant differences test. Statistical significance of differences versus respective controls is shown (#, p < 0.05; *, p < 0.01; **, p < 0.001; ***, p < 0.0001).

**Results**

**Characterization of ROS Induced by Glitazones in C6 Glioma Cells.** Our previous work has shown that glitazones generate ROS that cause glioma cell death (Pérez-Ortiz et al., 2004). To further investigate the mechanisms by which glitazones alter glioma cell viability, we first characterized the oxidative-stress response triggered by ciglitazone, rosiglitazone, and pioglitazone. Rat C6 glioma cells were loaded with the ROS-sensitive fluorescent probe H₂DCF in the absence or presence of the different glitazones. The intracellular DCF fluorescence resulting from interaction of H₂DCF with ROS was evaluated by microscopy and flow cytometry. As shown in Fig. 1A, the microscopy images showed an increase in intracellular ROS 1 h after the addition of each glitazone at 20 μM. Quantitative analysis of fluorescence accumulated by cells in these experiments (Fig. 1B) revealed that the maximal increase was achieved by ciglitazone treatment (5.4-fold), followed by rosiglitazone (3.1-fold) and pioglitazone (1.9-fold). Flow cytometry experiments confirmed statistically significant increases in DCF fluorescence 15 min after the addition of any of the glitazones at 20 μM (Fig. 1C).

The more robust effect was observed in the ciglitazone-treated group. All glitazones affected ROS production in a dose-dependent manner. At the lowest concentration used (10 μM), ciglitazone induced a highly significant increase in DCF fluorescence (p < 0.0001 versus untreated controls), pioglitazone caused a moderate change (p < 0.05 versus untreated controls), and rosiglitazone was ineffective.

This analysis was complemented with the measurement of O₂⁻ by flow cytometry (Fig. 2A). For this purpose, cells were loaded with HE, treated with glitazones, and processed as described for DCF detection. HE fluorescence (488 nm excitation, 575 nm emission) increased markedly with 10 or 20 μM ciglitazone but did not change with either rosiglitazone or pioglitazone. The specificity of HE for O₂⁻ in live cells is limited by autooxidation and other processes that can yield ethidium. However, O₂⁻ has been shown to react with HE to form 2-hydroxyethidium, which can be selectively excited at 396 nm with little interference from other oxidation products (Robinson et al., 2006). We used these conditions in imaging experiments because of the lack of an appropriate laser line in our flow cytometer. As a positive control for O₂⁻ formation, cells were incubated with the redox-cycling dimethoxyxanthoquinone (DMNQ). Figure 2B shows a time course of 2-hydroxyethidium formation, which occurred within minutes of ciglitazone addition. The HE oxidation was more sustained with DMNQ. These results complement the cytometric analysis and support that O₂⁻ is in fact produced by ciglitazone in C6 cells. In conclusion, this first set of experiments reveals that all glitazones affect ROS production in C6 glioma cells. However, substantial differences among glitazones could be observed, ciglitazone causing higher oxidative stress than rosiglitazone or pioglitazone.

**Production of ROS by Glitazones: Need for Functional Mitochondria.** It is well-accepted that the mitochondrial electron transport chain is a major endogenous source of ROS (Boveris et al., 1972). Generation of ROS by mitochondria depends on the mitochondrial membrane potential and its electron transport chain flux. Thus, mitochondrial ROS production was shown to decrease exponentially with decreasing mitochondrial transmembrane electric potential.
Therefore, to evaluate the implication of mitochondria on glitazone-induced ROS, we examined the effect of altering the mitochondrial membrane potential with FCCP on the ability of ciglitazone to generate ROS. FCCP is a mitochondrial uncoupling agent that acts by carrying protons across the inner mitochondrial membrane. Dissipation of the proton gradient by FCCP leads to a rapid depolarization of mitochondria, acceleration of the electron transport chain, and, consequently, impairment of ROS production by the mitochondria. Therefore, FCCP is expected to inhibit ROS production induced by glitazones if the ROS originate in mitochondria. Figure 3A shows DCF fluorescence of C6 glioma cells after 15-min incubation with 10 μM ciglitazone in the absence or presence of FCCP. Whereas 1 to 2 mM FCCP alone did not alter basal ROS levels, preincubation with FCCP completely inhibited ciglitazone-mediated ROS production. These observations suggest that the mitochondrial electron transport chain is the main source of ROS in C6 glioma cells treated with glitazones. In addition, the availability of energy substrates determines mitochondrial membrane potential and electron transport rate, so that impairment of mitochondria access to fuel severely dampens mitochondrial function and the capacity of mitochondria to produce ROS (Nishikawa et al., 2000). Therefore, to further prove the involvement of mitochondrial electron transport...
chain in the oxidative stress caused by glitazones, we analyzed the consequences of suppressing glucose availability. For these experiments, C6 glioma cells were maintained in HBSS medium with 5.5 mM D-glucose or the nonmetabolizing compound 2-deoxy-glucose. DCF fluorescence recordings after treatment with 10 μM ciglitazone during 15 min are shown in Fig. 3B. 2-Deoxy-glucose completely abrogated the ability of ciglitazone to generate ROS. Removal of D-glucose from the medium produced the same inhibition (data not shown). Moreover, similar results were also obtained using the O₂⁻ probe HE (data not shown). In conclusion, these experiments further support our previous evidence indicating that the source of glitazone-induced ROS is the mitochondria.

The Electron Transport Chain as the Molecular Target of Glitazones in Mitochondria. Once we determined that ROS synthesis by glitazones is linked to mitochondria, we set up experiments to directly test the influence of glitazones on complex I of the electron transport chain. This respiratory complex is the main mitochondrial site in which ROS can be originated in mammals (Turrens, 2003). Moreover, several agents that interact with complex I are known to increase oxidative stress (Votyakova and Reynolds, 2001; Schönfeld and Reiser, 2006). The effect of glitazones on the oxygen consumption of freshly detached C6 glioma cells was evaluated with an oxygen electrode. Permeabilized cells were energized with complex I substrates (5 mM pyruvate/2.5 mM malate) for 3 min, after which 250 μM ADP was added to induce state 3 of respiration for another 3 min. At that point, the effect of 10 μM ciglitazone, rosiglitazone or pioglitazone on oxygen consumption was evaluated. Results are shown in Fig. 4A as a percentage of untreated controls. Ciglitazone and rosiglitazone decreased the oxygen consumption rate by approximately 27.5 and 21.5%, respectively (p < 0.01). In turn, pioglitazone diminished respiration by 18.5% (p < 0.05). It is interesting to note that the potency of inhibition was in accordance with the ROS level elicited by each glitazone, suggesting that ROS production can be a consequence of the glitazone inhibition of the electron transport chain.

Inhibition of complex I-dependent oxygen consumption by glitazones prompted us to further analyze the involvement of the mitochondrial electron transport chain complexes in ROS induction. Figure 4B shows the effects of different mitochondrial inhibitors on ciglitazone-evoked ROS production. C6 glioma cell cultures grown to a subconfluent density were incubated with the complex I inhibitor rotenone (2 μM), complex III inhibitor antimycin A (1 μM) or the ATP synthase inhibitor oligomycin (10 μM). These drugs were also used in combination to assess further inhibition of mitochondrial function. All inhibitors induced significant increases in O₂⁻ generation when used alone or in combination in a flow cytometry assay using the probe HE. Nevertheless, addition of 10 μM ciglitazone significantly increased O₂⁻ production beyond the levels achieved by each of the inhibitors alone. In conclusion, none of the inhibitors tested can reproduce the ROS-generating action of glitazones, suggesting that the molecular target of glitazones in mitochondria differs from those of the inhibitors tested. These observations are compatible with an interaction upstream of the rotenone inhibition site in complex I or with a multiplicity of action sites of glitazones in mitochondria.

Glitazones Depolarize Mitochondria and Lower Mitochondrial pH in C6 Glioma Cells. Having shown the implication of the mitochondrial electron transport chain in ROS production induced by glitazones in C6 glioma cells and the likely inhibition at the level of complex I, we next examined whether mitochondrial membrane potential was affected, as would be expected from the proposed mechanism of action. ΔΨm was estimated by fluorescence microscopy using the probe TMRE, a small cationic molecule that accumulates in negatively charged compartments such as the mitochondrial matrix following Nernst’s equation. C6 cells were pre-incubated for 15 min with 0.1 μM TMRE. Subsequent treatment with 10 μM ciglitazone provoked mitochondrial depolarization, detected in the images as a gradual loss of mitochondrial staining, accompanied by an increase in cytoplasmic, nuclear, and extracellular fluorescence resulting from TMRE diffusion (Fig. 5A and Supplemental Fig. S1). Rosiglitazone and pioglitazone also induced mitochondrial depolarization, although the effect was clearly more limited. The mitochondrial uncoupler FCCP (1 μM) was used to pro-
duce a complete loss of \( \Delta \Psi \text{m} \) after incubation with glitazones. Images showing TMRE fluorescence changes after the addition of glitazones are shown in Fig. 5A, whereas the plots depicted in Fig. 5B illustrate the temporal courses of mitochon-drial depolarization. In these recordings, TMRE values were expressed as the nuclear versus perinuclear fluorescence ratio. Nuclear localization of TMRE represents its diffusion from depolarizing mitochondria, whereas perinuclear fluorescence corresponds to polarized mitochondria plus some cytoplasmic diffuse staining. Therefore, increases in the nuclear/perinuclear fluorescence ratio indicate mitochondrial depolarization (as demonstrated in more detail in Supplemental Fig. S1 in a single cell) and thus provide a reliable estimate of the \( \Delta \Psi \text{m} \) in single cells. Control experiments with FCCP used alone showed that it depolarized mitochondria in seconds and that this effect was reversible upon washing (Supplemental Video). In contrast, the depolarization induced by ciglitazone was not reversed with removal of the drug from the bath in imaging experiments of up to 1-h duration, suggesting that the mechanism of action was different from that of FCCP.

The mitochondrial proton-motive force has two constitu-ents: membrane potential (\( \Delta \Psi \text{m} \)), and pH gradient across the inner mitochondrial membrane (\( \Delta \text{pHm} \)). We used the recom-binant pH indicator “mito-EYFP H148G” (see Supplemental Methods) to determine whether mitochondrial pH was af-fected by glitazones. Supplemental Fig. S2 shows that cigli-tazone (10 \( \mu \text{M} \)) decreased resting mitochondrial pH in C6 glioma cells transfected with mito-EYFP H148G. The reduction of mitochondrial pH by ciglitazone occurred concomitantly with the loss of \( \Delta \Psi \text{m} \), as was observed in experiments combining imaging of C6 cells transfected with the pH probe and labeled with TMRE (Supplemental Fig. S3), which were well-sepa-rated spectrally.

In summary, these results evidence rapid (within minutes) and marked effects of glitazones on \( \Delta \Psi \text{m} \) and \( \Delta \text{pHm} \) in C6 glioma cells, which were more pronounced with ciglitazone. The reason for this mitochondrial impairment could be the primary block in the electron transport chain described above or secondary damage caused by ROS being released from mitochondria. It is likely that the inhibition of the respira-tory chain produces ROS, depolarization, and decrease of mitochondrial \( \Delta \text{pH} \) at the same time.

Extramitochondrial Sources of ROS Are Unrespon-sive to Ciglitazone. The next set of experiments was de-signed to test whether extramitochondrial sources of ROS are also responsible for the ROS increase generated by glita-zones. Cytosolic NADPH-oxidase and xanthine-oxidase were tested as possible glitazone targets using specific enzyme inhibito-ristors of NADPH-oxidase and xanthine-oxidase, respec-tively, were able to modify ciglitazone-mediated \( \Delta \Psi \text{m} \) production. These compounds did not have any effect on HE fluorescence by themselves (data not shown). Therefore, gli-tazones show specificity for mitochondria as their target in relation to ROS production.

NO Modulates \( \Delta \Psi \text{m} \) Production by Glitazones: Implica-tions for Cell Survival. As shown in Fig. 1, DCF fluo-orescence increased after glitazone treatment, illustrating that at least part of the \( \Delta \Psi \text{m} \) generated by these drugs is converted to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and/or \( \text{ONOO}^- \). The interaction of \( \Delta \Psi \text{m} \) with NO to form \( \text{ONOO}^- \) is at least three times faster than \( \Delta \Psi \text{m} \) dismuta-tion, and it has been argued that \( \text{ONOO}^- \) would be the compound predominantly formed in the presence of NO (Beckman and
To investigate this issue, we first confirmed the expression of the inducible form of nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) in C6 cells by Western blot. Levels of iNOS in unstimulated C6 cells were significant and exceeded those found in primary astrocyte cultures (Supplemental Fig. S5A). Because one of the downstream effectors of NO is the soluble guanylate cyclase, which yields the second messenger cGMP, we also confirmed the presence of functional iNOS indirectly by quantifying cGMP with an enzyme immunoassay in cell extracts. There was a 9-fold increase in the basal cGMP levels by treatment of C6 cells with tumor necrosis factor-α plus lipopolysaccharide, well-known inducers of iNOS (Supplemental Fig. S5B). Changes in cGMP levels were also measured by fluorescence microscopy in single live cells using the recombinant reporter cygnet-2 (Honda et al., 2001). We found that the NO donor GSNO increased cGMP within minutes of addition to the extracellular medium (data not shown).

To further investigate what O$_2^.$ derivatives are formed in C6 cells upon glitazone exposure and the possible influence of NO in this process, we used flow cytometry with the probe DAF-2 to monitor NO levels after glitazone treatment. DAF-2 reacts with NO in the presence of oxygen, leading to a green fluorescent triazole compound (Nagano, 1999). As a consequence, the reaction of O$_2^.$ with NO to yield ONOO$^{-}$ is expected to reduce DAF-2 fluorescence. In fact, treatment of C6 glioma cells with 10 μM ciglitazone reduced DAF-2 fluorescence significantly (p < 0.05) (Fig. 6A). This decrease in NO is in accordance with the ciglitazone-mediated O$_2^.$ increase detected previously with HE. Therefore, these results suggest that O$_2^.$ released by glitazones is, at least in part, combined with NO to form ONOO$^{-}$. On the contrary, rosiglitazone and pioglitazone did not affect the DAF-2 signal, in correspondence with the lack of change in O$_2^.$ levels observed with HE fluorescence (Fig. 2A). As a positive control in these assays, C6 glioma cells were also incubated with the NO donor GSNO (500 μM), which markedly increased DAF-2 fluorescence, in agreement with the results obtained with the cGMP sensor, cygnet-2.

As a second approach to study the modulation of glitazone-evoked ROS production by NO, we checked the effect of a decrease in NO on O$_2^.$ levels in C6 glioma cells. NO levels were reduced using the NOS inhibitor N$^\text{ω}$-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME) or 1400W, a specific inhibitor of the inducible form, iNOS. The enzyme immunoassay for cGMP described above was used to demonstrate the ability of L-NAME and 1400W to inhibit NO release (stimulated by tu-

---

Fig. 5. Temporal courses of mitochondrial depolarization by glitazones. A, fluorescence microscopy images of C6 glioma cells showing the initial TMRE fluorescence state in vehicle-treated controls (left); after 10 μM ciglitazone, rosiglitazone, or pioglitazone treatment (middle); and after the addition of 1 μM FCCP (right). FCCP is herein used as a positive control of mitochondrial depolarization, yielding the maximal fluorescence shift. This is observed as diffusion of staining from the mitochondria to the nucleus and cytosol. B, time course of mitochondrial depolarization after glitazone and FCCP treatments. Results are expressed as mean ± S.D. of the ratio between nuclear and perinuclear fluorescence. Although all glitazones tested induce mitochondrial depolarization, the greatest effect was obtained with ciglitazone.
mor necrosis factor-α in combination with lipopolysaccharide) in our experimental model. As shown in Supplemental Fig. S5B, both drugs are strong inhibitors of NO production in C6 cells. In addition, flow cytometry using the probe HE was used to evaluate $O_2^-$. Figure 6B shows that when NO synthesis was suppressed by preincubation with NAME or 1400W, HE oxidation in cells treated with 10 μM ciglitazone was enhanced reflecting an increase in $O_2^-$ levels. On the other hand, the oxidation of HE by $O_2^-$ was partially lost in the presence of the NO donor GSNO, probably because of the rapid interaction between both $O_2^-$ and NO, yielding ONOO$^-$. In contrast to ciglitazone, rosiglitazone was unable to modify HE fluorescence when administered either alone or in combination with NOS inhibitors or GSNO. Therefore, our results indicate that part of the $O_2^-$ generated by ciglitazone reacts with NO to form ONOO$^-$. A more direct way to demonstrate ONOO$^-$ formation was to quantify the presence of nitrotyrosine by Western blot in C6 cell protein extracts. Nitrosylated tyrosine residues were found to increase by ciglitazone treatment (Fig. 6C), supporting our contention of ONOO$^-$ generation. Furthermore, the band with enhanced nitration was found to comigrate with α-tubulin (Supplementary Fig. S6), in agreement with other reports (Eiserich et al., 1999; Zedda et al., 2004). The following mechanism could explain the accumulation of nitrotyrosine in tubulin over other proteins. Cells exposed to nitric oxide-derived species may have an increased pool of nitrotyrosine, which can be selectively incorporated into the extreme C terminus of α-tubulin by a post-translational mechanism in a reaction catalyzed by tubulin-tyrosine ligase (Eiserich et al., 1999; Zedda et al., 2004). Therefore, enhanced tubulin tyrosine nitrosylation in ciglitazone-treated C6 cells is probably caused by increased exposure to NO and funneling of the modified amino acid into this protein.

Although it is not a free radical, ONOO$^-$ is a potent oxidant that can potentially attack a wide range of biological molecules, causing lipid peroxidation, protein oxidation and nitration (as shown above), and induction of DNA strand breaks (Salgo et al., 1995). ONOO$^-$ also may impair the function of mitochondrial complex I (Riobo et al., 2001), which would be in accordance with the observed reduction in oxygen consumption with complex I substrates in the presence of ciglitazone. Therefore, to elucidate whether $O_2^-$ conversion to ONOO$^-$ by combination with NO affects cytotoxicity of glitazones in C6 glioma cells, the effect of NOS inhibition on cell viability was tested using propidium iodide (PI) staining of cells in a flow cytometry assay. The percentage of PI-positive cells increased as soon as 3 h after ciglitazone addition (Fig. 7). This effect was prevented by preincubation of cells with the NOS inhibitors L-NAME and 1400W, suggesting that ONOO$^-$ contributes to ciglitazone cytotoxicity.

In conclusion, these results indicate that the fate of glioma cells treated with glitazones is affected by both $O_2^-$ and NO-derived reactive species.

![Fig. 6. Interaction between $O_2^-$ and NO in C6 cells treated with glitazones.](image-url)
Discussion

We use several strategies to identify the intracellular sources of ROS that are produced by glitazones in C6 glioma cells. The fluorescent probe H$_2$DCF is mainly sensitive to H$_2$O$_2$ and ONOO$^-$ (Hempeletal., 1999), whereas HE detects more specifically O$_2^-$ (Rothe and Valet, 1990), particularly may also be undetected if it is rapidly converted into when they are reduced (Massey, 1994). ROS formation, and a decrease in the and other oxygen derivatives have been produced, whereas mechanism is probably independent of PPAR inhibitors of NADPH-oxidase and xanthine-oxidase rule out mitochondrial pH. On the other hand, our studies with in- fluorescence of both probes, whereas rosiglitazone and pioglitazone would produce O$_2^-$ at a slower rate. O$_2^-$ may also be undetected if it is rapidly converted into H$_2$O$_2$ and/or ONOO$^-$.

H$_2$DCF detects the latter species formed after treatment with ciglitazone, rosiglitazone, or pioglitazone. The fact that the glitazone-induced ROS increase was prevented by the uncoupler FCCP and by the nonme- tabolizable 2-deoxy-glucose suggests that it is dependent on mitochondrial electron transport. As discussed below, glitazones may cause an inhibition of respiratory activity with substrates of complex I with two effects: one-electron transfer to O$_2$, with O$_2^-$ formation, and a decrease in the Δψm and mitochondrial pH. On the other hand, our studies with inhibitors of NADPH-oxidase and xanthine-oxidase rule out these extramitochondrial sources of ROS. Moreover, the mechanism is probably independent of PPARγ activation, as we (Pérez-Ortiz et al., 2004) and others (Brunmair et al., 2004) have suggested for several actions of glitazones.

All glitazones tested caused mitochondrial depolarization (ciglitazone being the most potent), measured by changes in TMRE fluorescence and subcellular distribution, and a sim-ultaneous decrease in the mitochondrial pH, determined with the novel recombinant pH indicator mito-EYFP H148G. Such a rapid decrease in the mitochondrial membrane potential has also been reported after treatment of liver HepG2 cells with troglitazone (Bova et al., 2005), troglitazone or cigitazone in mice liver mitochondria (Masubuchi et al., 2006), and ciglitazone in Jurkat, Raji, and human multiple myeloma cells (Kanunfret et al., 2004; Ray et al., 2004). Mitochondrial depolarization by glitazones could be a conse-quence of the opening of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore, because this mechanism has been proposed to explain the in vitro cytotoxicity of some glitazones (Masubuchi et al., 2006). However, the mitochondrial pore inhibitor cyclosporin A did not block the glitazone-induced depolarization in our case (results not shown). Because glitazones and FCCP cause mitochondrial depolarization, it is possible that they act as uncoupling agents similar to FCCP, which accelerates the electron transport by reducing the proton gradi-ent. However, FCCP was unable to increase ROS levels in our experiments. In consequence, glitazones are likely to induce mitochondrial depolarization, a decrease in the mito-chondrial pH gradient and ROS production in C6 cells by inhibiting electron transport rather than accelerating it. Other authors have proposed a direct interaction of glita-zones with components of the electron transport chain, impairing the mitochondrial function. The highly lipophilic structure of glitazones would favor their accumulation in mitochondrial membranes (Brunmair et al., 2004).

Our results showing that ciglitazone, rosiglitazone, and pioglitazone reduce pyruvate and malate-dependent oxygen consumption in state 3 of respiration constitute a direct evidence of their inhibitory effect on mitochondrial function, involving respiratory complex I. Studies performed in muscle and liver homogenates and human cell lines support this contention and also point to complex I as the site inhibited by glitazones (Brunmair et al., 2004; Scatena et al., 2004). Therefore, ROS release, mitochondrial depolarization and pH decrease, and inhibition of oxygen consumption elicited by glitazones are probably caused by the inhibition of mito-chondrial electron transport. A similar relationship has been proposed previously for rotenone (Li et al., 2003). We ob-served a correlation between the inhibition of mitochondrial oxygen consumption by individual glitazones and the magni-tude of the ROS burst produced, with ciglitazone having the largest effect. O$_2^-$ and other oxygen derivatives have been shown to block the function of several respiratory complexes (Rióbó et al., 2001). Therefore, a positive feedback loop might be at play, in which ROS formed because of glitazone binding to mitochondria and inhibition of electron flow in complex I would enhance further ROS release.

ROS production by ciglitazone could not be blocked by any of the specific mitochondrial complex inhibitors used, including rotenone. A possible explanation is that ciglitazone inhibits electron transport upstream of the inhibition site of rotenone on complex I. Likely targets would be the flavin components of this complex, which are univalent electron carriers of sufficiently low redox potential to react with O$_2$ and produce O$_2^-$ when they are reduced (Massey, 1994). ROS release by those components has been implicated in a rote-none model of Parkinson (Panov et al., 2005). On the other hand, the lack of effect of respiratory complex inhibitors on the induction of ROS by glitazones might be a consequence of the existence of multiple mitochondrial targets. A novel glita-zone binding site in mitochondria has been demonstrated using tritiated pioglitazone and a photoaffinity cross-linker (Colca et al., 2004). The protein identified, termed “mi-toNEET”, is located in the mitochondrial fraction of rodent
brain, liver, and skeletal muscle. Part of the stimulatory effect of glitazones on ROS may also be caused by a feed-forward loop, in which ROS further interact with several mitochondrial loci. It is significant that O$_2^-$ and other ROS show a well-defined affinity for the iron-sulfur clusters present at least in respiratory complexes I and II (Flint et al., 1993; Ribó et al., 2001). Moreover, ONOO$^-$ has the ability to inactivate several enzymes by stimulating tyrosine nitration and may cause damage to the electron transport chain (Ribó et al., 2001). We observed increased nitrotyrosine in glitazone-treated cells by Western blot.

We have shown that ciglitazone and rosiglitazone are lethal for human and rodent glioma cell lines but not for primary astrocytes in culture (Pérez-Ortiz et al., 2004). Pioglitazone has also shown selective inhibition of glioma growth in vivo (Grommes et al., 2006). Tumor cells are metabolically more active (Warburg effect) and subjected to a greater oxidative stress than nontumor astrocytes, which may explain their higher sensitivity to ROS-inducing stimuli such as glitazones. The mitochondrial mechanisms triggered by glitazones may inspire the development of new strategies for the treatment of tumors (Kondo et al., 2001). In fact, several antitumor agents, such as anthracyclines, cisplatin, bleomycin, arsenic trioxide, and irradiation, show cytotoxicity based at least partly in the potentiation of ROS release (Pelicano et al., 2004).

Our previous work established that the enhancement of ROS production by glitazones is linked to cytotoxicity in C6 glioma cells (Pérez-Ortiz et al., 2004). In the present study, we detected O$_2^-$ as the initial oxygen byproduct stimulated by glitazones. However, O$_2^-$ is rapidly metabolized into H$_2$O$_2$ and/or ONOO$^-$, which are relatively stable oxidants with the capacity to pass cell membranes and induce cell damage. Because their cytotoxicity seems to be cell type-dependent, we devoted to determine which of these O$_2^-$ derivatives were formed in C6 cells after glitazone treatment and their relative contribution to cytotoxicity. The interaction between NO and O$_2^-$ to form ONOO$^-$ is favored over the O$_2^-$ dismutation that results in H$_2$O$_2$ (Beckman and Koppenol, 1996). NO is the product of several cytoplasmic NOS, and a mitochondrial NOS isoform has also been reported (Ribó et al., 2002). C6 glioma cells have been shown to possess all cytosolic NOS isoforms (Barna et al., 1996; Yin et al., 2001; Ciampa et al., 2005), and we show the presence of iNOS by Western and functional analysis (cGMP levels).

Our results suggest that C6 glioma cells release NO that combines with O$_2^-$ to form ONOO$^-$ and that reactive species derived from both O$_2^-$ and NO are implicated in ciglitazone cytotoxicity. Upcoming therapeutic antitumoral strategies might derive from this and other work on glitazones. Furthermore, the present results lead us to subscribe to an appealing proposal (Brunnair et al., 2004) in which the decline in the mitochondrial function provoked by glitazones in several tissues may contribute to their antidiabetic effects, whose molecular mechanisms are still poorly characterized.
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Supplemental Figure 3
Supplemental Figure 6
Supplemental Figure 1. **Mitochondrial depolarization effect in C6 glioma cells.**

A Fluorescence microscopy images of a C6 glioma cell loaded with the potentiometric mitochondrial indicator TMRE during a time lapse experiment. Two separate regions of interest (nuclear and perinuclear) were manually drawn on a cell. TMRE stained mitochondria in the cell at rest (at time=9 min in the time course) whereas nuclear intensity remained very low. Incubation with ciglitazone (10 µM) results in a decrease of mitochondrial TMRE concentration with a rise of cytoplasmic and nuclear intensity (time=16 min), whereas the protonophore FCCP (1 µM) results in complete mitochondrial depolarization and loss of mitochondrial staining (time=36 min).

B Time-lapse experiment on the loaded cell of panel A. Fluorescence in the nuclear and perinuclear region (panel A) is expressed relative to intensity at time=0 min (F/F₀, measured fluorescence at each time point/initial fluorescence). Immediately after ciglitazone addition there was an increase in perinuclear fluorescence, owing to dequenching of mitochondrial TMRE, and a larger relative increase of TMRE fluorescence in the nuclear region. The ratio of nuclear/perinuclear TMRE intensity (expressed as R/R₀, measured ratio at each time point/initial ratio) correlates with mitochondrial depolarization.

Supplemental Figure 2. **Decrease in mitochondrial pH induced by glitazones.**

A Fluorescence microscopy images of C6 glioma cells transiently transfected with the recombinant mitochondrial pH indicator “mito-EYFP H148G”. The subcellular distribution of the chimera was mitochondrial, as indicated by colocalization with the
potentiometric mitochondrial indicator TMRE. Two separate regions of interest were drawn manually on transfected cells (shown on the right panel).

**B** A time-lapse experiment on the transfected cells of panel A showed a stable signal corresponding to resting mitochondrial matrix pH. Incubation with ciglitazone (10 µM) resulted in a decrease of mitochondrial fluorescence with a very rapid drop (<1 min) and a slower phase, indicative of a decrease of mitochondrial pH. Fluorescence change in time is expressed as F/F₀ (measured fluorescence in each time point/initial fluorescence). The protonophore FCCP (1 µM) was subsequently added to make mitochondrial pH approximately equal to cytoplasmic pH (7-7.2 from control experiments using the pH indicator BCECF). Therefore, ciglitazone lowers cytoplasmic pH in addition to causing mitochondrial depolarization (shown in Figure 5, Supplemental Figures 1 and 3, and Supplemental Video).

Supplemental Figure 3. **Simultaneous detection of mitochondrial membrane potential and pH. Effect of ciglitazone.**

**A and B** Fluorescence microscopy images of C6 glioma cells transiently transfected with the recombinant mitochondrial pH indicator “mito-EYFP H148G” and loaded with the mitochondrial membrane potential indicator TMRE. All cells were labeled with TMRE (A) and two cells transfected with mito-EYFP H148G are shown (B). The green and red emission bands were separated by appropriate excitation and emission filters (see Supplemental Methods) and there was no cross-talk between the fluorophores. **C** Time-lapse experiment on the above cells. Mitochondrial pH (average of the two transfected cells) was expressed as the mito-EYFP H148G fluorescence change in time, F/F₀ (measured fluorescence in each time point/initial fluorescence). The ratio of nuclear to perinuclear TMRE fluorescence is also shown (average of 7 stained cells).
This ratio is a faithful representation of mitochondrial depolarization (Supplemental Figure 1). Finally, the extracellular TMRE fluorescence (F) divided by the value at zero time (F₀) is represented. Incubation of cells with 10 µM ciglitazone causes simultaneous decrease in mitochondrial pH and loss of mitochondrial membrane potential. At time = 16 min, FCCP (1 µM) was added, and mitochondrial pH and membrane potential decreased further.

Supplemental Figure 4. Flow cytometry analysis of extramitochondrial ROS.

C6 glioma cells were pre-incubated during 15 min with 0.1 mM allopurinol or 20 µM apocynin, specific inhibitors of the cytosolic enzymes xanthine-oxidase and NADPH-oxidase, respectively. Then, cells were exposed to 10 µM ciglitazone or vehicle (0.02% Me₂SO) for another 15 min period. O₂⁻ production was evaluated by flow cytometry after addition of the fluorescent probe hydroethidine (5 µM) to cell cultures 5 min prior to ciglitazone treatment. Results are shown as percentage of controls (cells treated with vehicle). Data represent the mean ± S.D. from three independent experiments. None of the inhibitors used altered O₂⁻ formation in response to ciglitazone.

Supplemental Figure 5. iNOS expression and function in C6 glioma cells.

A Western blot detection of iNOS in protein extracts from C6 cells. iNOS expression in C6 cells was compared with mouse primary astrocyte cultures as previously described (Burgos et al., 2007). Membranes were incubated with anti-iNOS (1:100; BD Transduction Laboratories) or anti-β-actin (1:2000; Sigma) antibodies and probed with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody following manufacturer’s instructions. An enhanced chemiluminescence system was used for visualization.
B Determination of cGMP levels by enzymeimmunoassay (EIA Direct cyclic GMP kit, Sigma) in control C6 cells and cells stimulated overnight with the iNOS inducers TNFα (100 ng/ml) and LPS (5 µg/ml). A 2 h preincubation with the NOS inhibitors L-NAME (500 µM) and 1400W (50 µM) was able to significantly reduce (p<0.0001) the cGMP formation induced by TNFα/LPS.

Supplemental Figure 6. Nitrotyrosine and α-tubulin in C6 glioma cells.
Western blot detection of nitrotyrosine and α-tubulin in protein extracts from C6 cells. Membranes were incubated with anti-nitrotyrosine (1:50; HyCult Bt.) or anti-α-tubulin (1:1000; Oncogene) antibodies and probed with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody following manufacturer’s instructions. An enhanced chemiluminescence system was used for visualization.

Supplemental Video. Mitochondrial depolarization of C6 cells by ciglitazone.
A field with eight live C6 cells labeled with the mitochondrial membrane potential indicator TMRE is shown. In resting cells the fluorescence is mitochondrial, and flickering of this staining (transient depolarizations) are seen. Addition of FCCP (1 µM) results in release of TMRE to the cytoplasm and nucleus (the extracellular TMRE cannot be resolved by eye). When the protonophore FCCP is washed out from the bath, mitochondria regain their resting membrane potential and accumulation of TMRE is observed. Two cycles of protonophore addition and wash are shown. When ciglitazone was added to cells (10 µM), membrane potential was lost (TMRE distribution became cytoplasmic and nuclear). However, this effect was not reversed by removal of ciglitazone from the bath in the time scale of tens of minutes. The duration of the experiment shown in the clip was 60 min.
Supplemental Methods

Imaging of membrane potential with TMRE was done as indicated in the Materials and Methods section. We have previously used mitochondrially-targeted EYFP as a reporter of mitochondrial matrix pH (Llopis et al., 1998). EYFP has a pKa of 7, and this value is about 1 pH unit below the prevailing pH in the mitochondrial matrix. In this study we used the mutant “EYFP H148G” (histidine 148 is replaced by the smaller glycine). The introduction of this point mutation has been shown to cause a proton channel into the fluorochrome within the YFP barrel structure (Wachter et al., 1998) resulting in a pKa of 8.02 (Elsliger et al., 1999), a value matching the resting pH of energized mitochondria in live cells.

The pH sensor “EYFP H148G” was targeted to the mitochondrial matrix using the N-terminal 12 aminoacids of the presequence of subunit IV of cytochrome c oxidase (Hurt et al., 1985). We named this targeted chimeric protein “mito-EYFP H148G”.

Imaging was done on a wide-field fluorescence microscope equipped with a monochromatic light source (Hamamatsu Photonics) able to shift excitation wavelength within tens of milliseconds. Emission filters were mounted on a Sutter Instruments filterwheel placed on a Hamamatsu Orca-ER CCD camera. For “mito-EYFP H148G” imaging, excitation was set at 480 nm, and a 505 nm dichroic and 535/26 nm bandpass emission filters were used (Omega).
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