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ABSTRACT

The present study underlines the importance of gemfibrozil, a lipid-lowering drug and an activator of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-α (PPAR-α), in inhibiting the disease process of adoptively transferred experimental allergic encephalomyelitis (EAE), an animal model of relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. Clinical symptoms of EAE, infiltration of mononuclear cells, and demyelination were significantly lower in SJL/J female mice receiving gemfibrozil through food chow than those without gemfibrozil. It is noteworthy that the drug was equally effective in treating EAE in PPAR-α wild-type as well as knock-out mice. Gemfibrozil also inhibited the encephalitogenicity of MBP-primed T cells and switched the immune response from a Th1 to a Th2 profile independent of PPAR-α. Gemfibrozil consistently inhibited the expression and DNA-binding activity of T-bet, a key regulator of interferon-γ (IFN-γ) expression and stimulated the expression and DNA-binding activity of GATA3, a key regulator of IL-4. Gemfibrozil treatment decreased the number of T-bet-positive T cells and increased the number of GATA3-positive T cells in spleen of donor mice. The histological and immunohistochemical analyses also demonstrate the inhibitory effect of gemfibrozil on the invasion of T-bet-positive T cells into the spinal cord of EAE mice. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the differential effect of gemfibrozil on the expression of T-bet and GATA3 was due to its inhibitory effect on NO production. Although excess NO favored the expression of T-bet, scavenging of NO stimulated the expression of GATA-3. Taken together, our results suggest gemfibrozil, an approved drug for hyperlipidemia in humans, may find further therapeutic use in multiple sclerosis.

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is one of the most common neurological diseases affecting young adults. Although the etiology of MS is not completely understood, studies of patients with MS suggest that the observed demyelination in the CNS is a result of a T-cell-mediated autoimmune response (Martin et al., 1992). Experimental allergic encephalomyelitis (EAE) serves as an animal model for MS (Tuohy et al., 1988; Benveniste, 1997) and further the possibility of developing effective therapeutic strategies for patients with MS.

A PPARα series of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily, have been implicated in a variety of human disorders. Three iso-
types have been described: PPAR-α, PPAR-β, and PPAR-γ (Lemberger et al., 1996). Activation of PPAR-α mainly leads to the induction of a variety of genes, such as those coding for the enzymes for β- and ω-oxidation of fatty acids (Dreyer et al., 1992).Gemfibrozil, an activator of PPAR-α, has often been prescribed in patients to lower the level of triglycerides (Bloomfield Rubins et al., 2001; Hsu et al., 2001). This drug decreases the risk of coronary heart disease by increasing the level of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol and decreasing the level of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (Bloomfield Rubins et al., 2001; Hsu et al., 2001). We (Pahan et al., 2002) have shown that gemfibrozil inhibits the expression of iNOS in human astrocytes, suggesting that this drug may ameliorate neuroinflammatory disorders. Racke and colleagues (Lovett-Racke et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2005) have found consistently that gemfibrozil and other fibrate drugs suppress the induction of NO production in microglia and attenuate the disease process in an actively immunized primary progressive EAE model in B10.PL mice, one of the animal models of primary progressive MS. Considering that the majority (85%) of patients with MS at the time of original diagnosis suffer from the relapsing-remitting disease, that the B10.PL mouse does not have the relevant locus for relapsing-remitting EAE (RR-EAE), and that gemfibrozil is a known agonist of PPAR-α, it is important to determine whether gemfibrozil is capable of suppressing the disease process of RR-EAE and whether antineuroimmune effect of gemfibrozil depends on PPAR-α.

Here, we demonstrate that gemfibrozil inhibited the disease process of RR-EAE in an adoptively transferred EAE model in female SJL/J mice by shifting the encephalitogenic immune response from Th1 to Th2 mode without the involvement of PPAR-α. We also present interesting evidence that gemfibrozil increases the expression of GATA-3, a key regulator of Th2 cytokines, and decreases the expression of T-bet, a key regulator of Th1 cytokines, through the inhibition of NO production.

Materials and Methods

Reagents. Fetal bovine serum (FBS) and RPMI 1640 medium were from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Gemfibrozil was purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). L-NAME (1-iminoethyl)-lysine and carboxy-PTIO were obtained from BIOMOL Research Laboratories (Plymouth Meeting, PA). Oligonucleotide probes for T-bet and GATA and antibodies against T-bet and GATA3 were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Rat anti-mouse pan macrophage marker (moma-2) was purchased from Biosource International (Camarillo, CA). Rabbit anti-mouse iNOS antibody was obtained from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA). [γ-32P]ATP was purchased from PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences (Waltham, MA).

Animals. Female and male SJL/J mice (4–6 weeks old) were purchased from Harlan Sprague-Dawley (Indianapolis, IN). The PPAR-α wild-type (B6.129) and knockout (B6.129) mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) and bred with SJL/J mice in the institutional animal care facility under germ-chased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) and bred.

Isolation of MBP-Primed T Cells. Female SJL/J, SJL/J X PPAR-α (+/+), and SJL/J X PPAR-α (−/−) mice were immunized s.c. with 400 μg of bovine MBP (Invtrogen) and 60 μg of Mycobacterium tuberculosis (H37 RA; Difco Labs, Detroit, MI) in IFA (Calbiochem). Lymph nodes were collected from these mice on day 10 after immunization, and single cell suspension was prepared in RPMI 1640 containing 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 50 μM 2-ME, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Dasgupta et al., 2003, 2004). Lymph node cells (LNC) cultured at a concentration of 4 to 5 × 10⁶ cells/ml in six-well plates were incubated with 50 μM MBP for 4 days. MBP reactivity of LNC was measured by 3H-thymidine ([3H]thymidine (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences) incorporation assay of parallel microplate cultures. The nonadherent LNC were harvested at 500g and resuspended in RPMI 1640 medium/FBS. Viability of the cells was checked by Trypan blue exclusion. These MBP-primed lymph node T cells were used to induce EAE in mice.

Induction of Relapsing-Remitting EAE. The RR-EAE in mice was induced by adoptive transfer of MBP primed T cells via i.v. route as described previously (Dasgupta et al., 2003, 2004). In brief, 2 × 10⁶ viable MBP-primed T cells suspended in a volume of 200 μl of Hank’s balanced salt solution was injected to naive mice through tail veins. Pertussis toxin (150 ng/mouse; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was injected i.p. once on day 0. Animals were observed daily for clinical symptoms. Experimental animals were scored by a masked investigator as follows: 0, no clinical disease; 0.5, ploerection; 1.0, tail weakness; 1.5, tail paralysis; 2.0, hind-limb weakness; 3.0, hind-limb paralysis; 3.5, forelimb weakness; 4.0, forelimb paralysis; 5, moribund or death.

Treatment of Mice with Gemfibrozil. Mice were treated with gemfibrozil through food chow. Gemfibrozil was solubilized in ethanol, and the resulting solution was mixed with chow followed by air drying; control chow received only ethanol as the vehicle. For treatment, mice were given chow containing various doses of gemfibrozil [0.1–0.3% (w/w)] from 0 days post-transfer (dpt). In some experiments, mice were allowed to take chow containing 0.2% (w/w) gemfibrozil in different phases of the disease. Statistical analysis was determined by the RS/1 multicomparison procedure using a one-way analysis of variance and Dunnett’s test for multiple comparisons with a common control group. Differences between means were considered significant when P values were < 0.05.

Semiquantitative RT-PCR Analysis. It was carried out using a kit from CloneTech (Mountain View, CA), as described previously (Dasgupta et al., 2004; Saha and Pahan, 2006). In brief, total RNA was isolated from cerebellar tissues using UltraSpec-II RNA reagent (Biotec Laboratories, Inc., Houston, TX), and 1 μg of DNase-digested RNA was reverse transcribed using oligo(dT)₁₂₋₁₈ as primer and Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase in a 20-μl reaction mixture. The resulting cDNA was appropriately diluted, and diluted cDNA was amplified using Titanium TaqDNA polymerase and the primers shown in Table 1. Amplified products were electrophoresed on a 1.8% agarose gels and visualized by ethidium bromide staining. Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase was used to ascertain that an equivalent amount of cDNA was synthesized from different samples.

Quantification of Gemfibrozil by HPLC. The concentration of gemfibrozil in mouse brain was measured as described earlier by Kadenatsi et al. (1995) with some modifications. In brief, 100 μg of brain tissue of each mice was homogenized in 1 ml of chloroform/methanol/perchloric acid (2:1:0.05), and the homogenate was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min at room temperature. Pravastatin was added as internal standard before homogenization. The organic layer (upper) was taken out carefully and dried up in a Centrivap concentrator (Labconco), followed by resuspension in 50 μl of acetonitrile. Ten microliter sample was then analyzed in Waters 2695 separation module HPLC system using the Phenomenex Luna C18 separation column (250 × 4.6 mm). Samples were analyzed with an isocratic gradient consisting of acetonitrile/0.1 M phosphoric acid (1:1), at the flow rate of 0.5 ml/min at room temperature. The amount of gemfi-
The spinal cord tissues were then embedded in OCT Tissue-Tek (PBST), 10% sucrose for 3 h, and then 30% sucrose overnight at 4°C.

Immunofluorescence Microscopy. On 19 dpt (acute phase), six mice from each of the following groups (control, vehicle-treated EAE, and EAE mice receiving gemfibrozil through chow from 8 dpt) were anesthetized. After perfusion spinal cord was dissected out from each mouse as described earlier (Dasgupta et al., 2003, 2004). Half of a spinal cord was processed for immunofluorescence microscopy and the other half was used for hematoxylin & eosin (H&E) staining. In brief, for immunofluorescence microscopy, tissues were incubated in (PBST), 10% sucrose for 3 h, and then 30% sucrose overnight at 4°C. The spinal cord tissues were then embedded in OCT Tissue-Tek (Miles, Elkhart, IN) at -80°C and processed for conventional cryosectioning. The frozen sections (8 μm) were treated with ice-cold ethanol (-20°C) followed by two rinses in PBS. Samples were blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin in PBST for an hour, washed, and incubated in PBST-bovine serum albumin and goat anti-CD3 (1: 50) along with either rabbit anti-T-bet (1:200) or rabbit anti-GATA3 (1: 200) antibody for double-labeling tissue sections. For iNOS and moma-2 colocalization studies, splenic tissue sections were incubated with rabbit anti-iNOS (1:50) and rat anti-mouse pan macrophage marker moma-2 (1:25). After three consecutive washes in PBST, sections were further incubated with Cy2 and Cy5 (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA). A set of sections was incubated under similar conditions without primary antibodies. In some experiments, spleen tissue sections were also analyzed by immunofluorescence. The samples were mounted and observed under a confocal laser-scanning microscope (MRC1024ES; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA).

Histology. The other part of the tissue was processed for routine histologic examination to obtain perivascular cuffing and morphologic details of spinal cord tissues of EAE mice as described earlier (Dasgupta et al., 2003, 2004). The paraformaldehyde-fixed tissues were embedded in paraffin, and serial sections (4 μm) were cut. Sections were stained with conventional H&E staining method. Digital images were collected under bright-field setting using a 40× objective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Primers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>iNOS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antisense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IL-1β</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antisense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TNF-α</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antisense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IL-6</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antisense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PPAR-α</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antisense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PPAR-γ</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antisense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GAPDH</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antisense</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staining for Myelin. Serial longitudinal sections of paraformaldehyde-fixed spinal cords were stained with Luxol fast blue (LFB) for myelin as described elsewhere.

ELISA. Lymph node cells (1 × 10^6/ml) in each well of a 96-well plate were treated in the presence or absence of MBP and gemfibrozil for a period of 72 h. Supernatants in each well was collected, and the presence of Th1 cytokine IFN-γ and Th2 cytokine IL-4 was assayed using high-sensitivity ELISA kits (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA).

**Assay for NO Synthesis.** Synthesis of NO was determined by assay of culture supernatants for nitrite, a stable reaction product of NO with molecular oxygen. In brief, 400 μl of culture supernatant was allowed to react with 200 μl of Griess reagent and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. The optical density of the assay samples was measured spectrophotometrically at 570 nm. Fresh culture media served as the blank in all experiments. Nitrite concentrations were calculated from a standard curve derived from the reaction of NaNO2 in the assay.

**EMSA.** Nuclear extracts were prepared as described previously (Pahan and Schmid, 2000; Dasgupta et al., 2004) with slight modifications. In brief, spleens were homogenized in buffer A (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 10 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EGTA, 1.0 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 10 μg/ml each of leupeptin, antipain, and pepstatin A), incubated on ice for 15 min, and treated with 1.0% Nonidet P40. The extract was then vortexed for 15 s and centrifuged at 14,000 g for 30 s. The pellet nuclei were resuspended in buffer B (25% glycerol, 0.4 M NaCl, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 1 mM EGTA, 1.0 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and protease inhibitors), vortexed, sonicated briefly, and incubated on ice for 30 min. The lysates were then centrifuged at 14,000 g for 10 min. Supernatants containing the nuclear extract were collected and used for EMSA using 32P-labeled double-stranded T-bet and GATA oligonucleotide probes (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The corresponding mutated probes were used at the same time to verify the specificity of T-bet or GATA binding to DNA. The supershift assay was performed by incubating the nuclear extract with 2 μg of either T-bet or GATA3 antibody for a period of 30 min at 4°C before incubation with corresponding oligonucleotides for DNA-binding assay.

**Results**

**Effect of Gemfibrozil on Clinical Symptoms and Disease Severity of Relapsing-Remitting EAE in an Adoptively Transferred Model.** To understand the therapeutic efficacy of gemfibrozil against RR-EAE, we examined the effect of this drug on clinical symptoms and disease severity of adoptively transferred EAE. By adoptive transfer, we have achieved 100% incidence of EAE in female SJL/J mice displaying an acute phase of clinical signs peaking at 19 dpt and, subsequently, a pattern of relapsing-remitting signs in the chronic phase (Table 2 and Fig. 1). To determine the appropriate dose of gemfibrozil, mice (n = 6 in each group) were treated with different doses of gemfibrozil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Effect of Gemfibrozil on clinical symptoms of EAE in female SJL/J mice</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Incidence</th>
<th>Mean Peak Clinical Score</th>
<th>Suppression of EAE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemfibrozil (food chow; 0.2% (w/w))</td>
<td>3/6</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>62.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

The current study was designed to evaluate the therapeutic efficacy of gemfibrozil against relapsing-remitting experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) in female SJL/J mice. Gemfibrozil was administered orally to female SJL/J mice beginning on day 8 post-transfer (dpt) of MBP-primed T cells. The results showed that gemfibrozil treatment significantly reduced the clinical severity of EAE, as evidenced by lower clinical scores and suppression of disease progression. These findings are consistent with previous reports indicating that gemfibrozil possesses anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory properties that may be beneficial in the treatment of inflammatory disorders like EAE.

In conclusion, our study provides evidence that gemfibrozil may be a potential therapeutic agent for the management of relapsing-remitting EAE. Further studies are needed to validate these findings and to explore the molecular mechanisms underlying the therapeutic effects of gemfibrozil in EAE.
gemfibrozil via food chow from day 0 of transfer of MBP-primed T cells. Control mice received chow containing vehicle only. Clinical symptoms were monitored daily until 30 dpt. We have found that food chow containing 0.1% (w/w) gemfibrozil inhibited the clinical symptoms, and the maximum inhibition was observed with food chow containing 0.2 or 0.3% (w/w) gemfibrozil (Fig. 1A). Therefore, mice were treated with chow containing 0.2% (w/w) gemfibrozil in further experiments. The results summarized in Table 1 demonstrate that chow containing 0.2% (w/w) gemfibrozil could significantly (p < 0.001) reduce both the incidence and the clinical signs of EAE.

Next we examined whether after oral feeding, gemfibrozil enters into the brain. As mentioned under Materials and Methods, the level of gemfibrozil was quantified in plasma and brain tissues of mice (n = 4) after 7 days of feeding of chow containing 0.2% gemfibrozil. The level of gemfibrozil in plasma was 56.82 ± 17.38 μg/ml. Kim et al. (2006) have shown that 2 h after an oral dose of 300 mg of gemfibrozil, the plasma concentration of gemfibrozil in healthy male volunteers goes up to approximately 10 μg/ml. Because the usual dose of gemfibrozil in adult patients with hyperlipidemia is 1200 mg/day, the plasma level of this drug may go up to 40 μg/ml, which is comparable with the plasma concentration we found in mice. On the other hand, in the brain, the level reached to 17.2 ± 5.09 μg/g tissue. However, we detected no gemfibrozil in either plasma or brain of mice receiving normal chow containing only the vehicle. These results suggest that gemfibrozil is capable of entering into the brain.

**Gemfibrozil Inhibited the Progression of RR-EAE in an Adoptively Transferred Model.** Next, we investigated whether gemfibrozil could be used to prevent the progression of RR-EAE in the adoptively transferred model. To achieve this goal, mice (n = 6) were allowed to take chow containing 0.2% (w/w) gemfibrozil from the onset of acute phase (8 dpt). It is clearly evident from Fig. 1B that gemfibrozil was capable of inhibiting EAE clinical symptoms within 5 days of treatment (from 13 dpt). However, there was further marked inhibition on subsequent days of treatment, and this inhibition was maintained throughout the duration of the experiment (Fig. 1B). These results clearly suggest that gemfibrozil can control the ongoing relapsing-remitting EAE.

**Gemfibrozil Inhibited the Infiltration of Inflammatory Cells into the CNS of RR-EAE Mice.** H&E staining of
longitudinal sections of spinal cord was carried out to determine whether the diminished clinical disease in gemfibrozil-treated mice correlated with reduced CNS infiltration of blood mononuclear cells. Blood vessels of the spinal cord of mock control mice were completely devoid of inflammatory infiltrates, whereas substantial perivascular cuffing of mononuclear cells was observed in the spinal cord of EAE mice at the peak (19 dpt) of the acute phase (Fig. 1C). In contrast, very few immune cell infiltrates were found in the spinal cord of mice receiving gemfibrozil from the onset (8 dpt) of the acute phase (Fig. 1C). These results suggest that gemfibrozil may act in part by either preventing activated myelin-specific T cells and/or inflammatory cells from entering into the CNS parenchyma or from being retained in the CNS.

**Gemfibrozil Inhibited the Expression of Proinflammatory Molecules in the CNS of RR-EAE Mice.** Earlier, we (Pahan et al., 2002) and others (Xu et al., 2005) have observed that gemfibrozil attenuates the induction of proinflammatory molecules in glial cells. Therefore, we next examined whether gemfibrozil was capable of inhibiting the expression of proinflammatory molecules in vivo in the CNS of EAE mice. Marked expression of proinflammatory molecules like iNOS, IL-1β, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor-α was observed in cerebellum of EAE mice during the peak of the acute phase compared with control mice (Fig. 1D). However, gemfibrozil treatment through food chow dramatically reduced the expression of these proinflammatory molecules in the CNS of EAE mice (Fig. 1D).

**Gemfibrozil Suppressed Demyelination in the CNS of RR-EAE Mice.** It is believed that infiltration of blood mononuclear cells and associated neuroinflammation plays an important role in CNS demyelination observed in patients with MS and animals with EAE. Therefore, we examined whether gemfibrozil protected EAE mice from demyelination. We stained longitudinal sections of spinal cord by LFB for myelin and observed widespread demyelination zones in the white matter of the spinal cord of EAE mice (Fig. 1C, left column). However, gemfibrozil treatment via chow dramatically restored myelin level in the spinal cord of RR-EAE mice (Fig. 1C, left column).

**Level of Different PPARs in Brain and Spleen of Mice with RR-EAE.** Because gemfibrozil is a known activator of PPAR-α (Dreyer et al., 1992; Lemberger et al., 1996), a member of the nuclear hormone receptor super family, we analyzed the level of PPAR-α and two other PPARs (PPAR-β and PPAR-γ) in cerebellum and spleen of EAE mice at the peak of the acute phase. PPAR-α mRNA that was detected in both cerebellum and spleen of control mice was strongly inhibited after the induction of EAE (Fig. 2). However, gemfibrozil treatment restored the level of PPAR-α in both cerebellum and spleen of EAE mice (Fig. 2). On the other hand, compared with normal mice, the level of PPAR-β increased in cerebellum of EAE mice and decreased in cerebellum of gemfibrozil-treated EAE mice. We were surprised to find that neither induction of EAE nor treatment with gemfibrozil was able to modulate the level of PPAR-β in the spleen (Fig. 2). Similar to the regulation of PPAR-β in cerebellum, the expression of PPAR-γ also increased in cerebellum of EAE mice compared with control mice (Fig. 2). However, in contrast to the inhibition of PPAR-β, gemfibrozil was unable to suppress the expression of PPAR-γ in cerebellum of EAE mice. On the other hand, similar to PPAR-α, the expression of PPAR-γ decreased in the spleens of EAE mice but not gemfibrozil-treated EAE mice (Fig. 2). These results suggest that gemfibrozil differentially regulates the expression of different PPARs in brain and spleen of EAE mice.

**Did Gemfibrozil Prevent Adoptive Transfer of RR-EAE Independent of PPAR-α?** PPAR-α (−/−) mice were employed to address the question of whether gemfibrozil suppresses clinical symptoms of EAE via PPAR-α. PPAR-α (−/−) mice are based on B6.129 background; therefore, we examined whether RR-EAE could be induced in this background strain by adoptive transfer. However, in contrast to that observed in female SJL/J mice (Fig. 1A), female B6.129 mice did not develop RR-EAE after adoptive transfer of MBP-primered T cells (Fig. 3A). Only pilorigation was observed as the highest clinical symptom in these mice. Therefore, to maintain the RR-EAE—positive loci, we used the F1 cross between B6.129 and SJL/J to induce adoptively transferred RR-EAE. As observed in Fig. 3A, it was possible to induce RR-EAE in female B6.129 × SJL/J mice. Next, we examined the effect of gemfibrozil on adoptively transferred RR-EAE in female (B6.129 × SJL/J) PPAR-α wild-type and knockout mice. Four groups (PPAR-α wild-type EAE positive control, PPAR-α wild-type gemfibrozil-treated EAE, PPAR-α knockout EAE positive control, and PPAR-α knockout gemfibrozil-treated EAE) of mice (n = 6 in each group) were included in this study. The treatment with gemfibrozil-containing chow (0.2% w/w) in both wild-type and knockout groups began from 0 dpt. Upon adoptive transfer, EAE was developed in PPAR-α wild-type and knockout mice that attained a peak mean clinical score of around 2.2 at 18 to 19 dpt in both the cases (Fig. 2), followed by a relapsing-remitting course as seen in female SJL/J mice (Fig. 1). It is noteworthy that gemfibrozil suppressed clinical symptoms of EAE with similar potency in both PPAR-α (+/+ and PPAR-α (−/−) mice (Fig. 2). The results summarized in Table 3 demonstrate that chow containing 0.2% (w/w) gemfibrozil could significantly (p < 0.001) reduce incidence and clinical signs of EAE in both PPAR-α (+/+)(A) and PPAR-α (−/−) (B) mice. These results suggest that gemfibrozil ameliorates clinical symptoms of RR-EAE independent of PPAR-α.

Therefore, we next examined whether other agonists of PPAR-α also exert anti-EAE effect independent of PPAR-α.

---

**Fig. 2.** Effect of gemfibrozil on the expression of different PPARs in EAE mice. A, EAE animals receiving either vehicle-containing food chow or gemfibrozil-containing food chow from 8 dpt were sacrificed on 19 dpt. Brain (cerebellum) and spleen were analyzed for the expression of PPAR-α, PPAR-β and PPAR-γ by semiquantitative RT-PCR. Results represent three independent experiments.
Gemfibrozil Switched the Differentiation of MBP-Primed T Cells from Th1 to Th2 Mode Independent of PPAR-α. Whereas encephalitogenic IFN-γ-producing Th1 cells play an important role in the initiation and progression of EAE, IL-4-producing Th2 cells suppresses the disease process. Therefore, we examined whether amelioration of the EAE disease process and suppression of encephalitogenicity of neuroantigen-primed T cells by gemfibrozil is accompanied by inhibition of the differentiation of myelin-specific T cells into effector Th1 cells, and/or switching toward Th2. Splenocytes isolated from MBP-immunized female SJL/J mice were stimulated with MBP in the presence or absence of gemfibrozil, and treated with gemfibrozil, and LNC were prepared from draining lymph nodes as described above. Then, 2 × 10^7 viable LNC were adoptively transferred into naive SJL/J recipients, followed by treatment with 150 ng of pertussis toxin on 0 dpt. It is evident from Fig. 4 that MBP-primed T cells isolated from vehicle-treated B6.129 × SJL/J PPAR-α wild-type and knockout mice transferred EAE to recipient SJL/J mice. There was no significant difference in the degree of transfer from PPAR-α wild-type and knockout mice (Fig. 4). However, MBP-primed T cells isolated from gemfibrozil-treated B6.129 × SJL/J PPAR-α wild-type and knockout mice failed to transfer EAE to recipient SJL/J mice (Fig. 4). In this instance as well, the absence of PPAR-α did not influence the antiencephalitogenic effect of gemfibrozil. These results clearly suggest that gemfibrozil inhibits encephalitogenicity of neuroantigen-primed T cells independent of PPAR-α.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Incidence</th>
<th>Mean Peak Clinical Score</th>
<th>Suppression of EAE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incidence</td>
<td>Score</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B6.129 × SJL/J) PPAR-α (+/+</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemfibrozil [food chow; 0.2% (w/w)] from 0 dpt</td>
<td>3/6</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B6.129 × SJL/J) PPAR-α (−/−)</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
zil. Figure 5A shows that gemfibrozil dose-dependently inhibited the ability of splenic MBP-primed T cells to produce IFN-γ with the maximum inhibition observed at 100 µM or higher concentration. In contrast, however, gemfibrozil markedly stimulated the production of IL-4 by MBP-primed T cells (Fig. 5B). These results suggest that gemfibrozil is capable of shifting the immune response from a Th1 to a Th2 pattern. This is consistent with an earlier report by Lovett-Racke et al. (2004) that also demonstrated similar immunomodulatory effect of gemfibrozil in neuroantigen-primed T cells from B10.PL mice. Next, we investigated whether gemfibrozil required the involvement of PPAR-α to switch the differentiation of MBP-primed T cells from Th1 to Th2. For this, we treated a group of MBP-immunized female (B6.129 × SJL/J) PPAR-α−/− and wild-type mice with either vehicle-treated food chow or food chow containing 0.2% gemfibrozil from day 0 of immunization. After 10 days of immunization, splenocytes were isolated and stimulated with different doses of MBP for 48 h. Results in Fig. 5C clearly show that the production of IFN-γ increases with increasing concentration of MBP and such increase is found in splenocytes isolated from both female (B6.129 × SJL/J) PPAR-α wild-type and knockout mice. However, splenocytes isolated from both gemfibrozil-treated female (B6.129 × SJL/J) PPAR-α wild-type and gemfibrozil-treated knockout mice produced much less IFN-γ compared with vehicle-treated mice. On the other hand, gemfibrozil treatment stimulated the ability of splenocytes to produce higher levels of IL-4 in both female (B6.129 × SJL/J), PPAR-α wild-type, and knockout mice compared with vehicle-treated mice (Fig. 5D). Taken together, these results suggest that gemfibrozil does not require PPAR-α to shift the immune response from Th1 to Th2.

Effect of Gemfibrozil on T-bet and GATA3 in MBP-Primed Splenocytes. We extended our studies further to determine underlying mechanisms behind gemfibrozil-mediated switching of Th cells. Whereas T-bet transcription factor regulates the expression of IFN-γ gene in Th1 cells, GATA3 is known to control the expression of IL-4 in Th2 cells (Ouyang et al., 2000; Szabo et al., 2000). Therefore, we were prompted to determine whether gemfibrozil has any effect on activation and expression of these two transcription factors. Activation of T-bet and GATA3 was monitored by DNA-binding activity. Splenocytes from MBP-immunized female SJL/J mice were incubated with MBP (50 µg/ml) and at different period of stimulation, nonadherent cells were harvested, and nuclear extracts were analyzed for DNA-binding activity of T-bet and GATA-3 by electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA). DNA binding activities of T-bet and GATA3 were evaluated by the formation of a distinct and specific complex in gel shift assay. Within 2 h of MBP stimulation, significant amount of T-bet DNA-binding activity was observed that peaked at 12 h (Fig. 6A). This gel shift assay detected a specific band in response to MBP-priming that was not found in the case of mutated double-stranded oligonucleotides (Fig. 6A). To fur-

Fig. 4. Gemfibrozil inhibits encephalitogenicity of MBP-primed T cells independent of PPAR-α. Female (B6.129 × SJL/J) PPAR-α wild-type and knockout mice (n = 6) were immunized with MBP, IFA, and M. tuberculosis. From the 0 day of immunization, donor mice were treated with gemfibrozil-containing food chow (0.2% w/w) and on 12 days of immunization, mice were sacrificed and total LNC were further primed with MBP (50 µg/ml) for 4 days. Viable MBP-primed T cells (2 × 10⁷) were adoptively transferred to naïve female SJL/J mice. Six mice were used in each recipient group. Mice were examined for clinical symptoms daily.

Fig. 5. Effect of gemfibrozil on the induction of Th1 and Th2 cytokines in MBP-primed splenocytes. Splenocytes isolated from MBP-immunized female SJL/J mice were stimulated with MBP in the presence or absence of gemfibrozil. After 72 h of stimulation, induction of IFN-γ (A) and IL-4 (B) was assayed in supernatants. Female (B6.129 × SJL/J) PPAR-α wild-type and knockout mice were immunized with MBP and from day 0 of immunization, mice were treated with gemfibrozil (0.2% w/w) via food chow. After 10 days of immunization, splenocytes were collected and stimulated with MBP in the absence of gemfibrozil. After 72 h of stimulation, induction of IFN-γ (C) and IL-4 (D) was assayed in supernatants. Results are mean ± S.D. of three different experiments.
ther characterize the gel shift band, we performed supershift analysis using antibodies against T-bet. As represented in Fig. 6B, the notable DNA-protein band was completely eliminated by preincubation of the binding mixture with anti-T-bet antibodies. Although after 24 h of MBP stimulation, the DNA-binding activity of T-bet decreased, certain amount of activity was detected until the duration (72 h) of the experiment (Fig. 6A).

Likewise, MBP-priming also induced the DNA-binding activity of GATA (Fig. 6C). The specific band in response to MBP-priming was observed only in the case of the double-stranded wild-type oligonucleotides, but not in case of the mutated ones (Fig. 6C). By supershift assay, we were able to eliminate this band by anti-GATA3 antibodies (Fig. 6D), suggesting that the observed DNA-binding activity was due to GATA3. Similar to T-bet, the DNA-binding activity of GATA3 was also visible within 2 h of priming and maximum after 12 h (Fig. 6C). However, the DNA-binding activity decreased markedly after 24 h of priming (Fig. 6C).

Next, we examined the effect of gemfibrozil on DNA-binding activities of T-bet and GATA3. Splenocytes isolated from MBP-immunized mice were incubated with 50 μg/ml MBP in the presence or absence of MBP in the presence or absence of gemfibrozil. After 12 h of stimulation, DNA-binding activities of T-bet and GATA3 were monitored in nuclear extracts. Consistent to the inhibitory effect of gemfibrozil on the release of Th1 cytokine IFN-γ (Fig. 5) in MBP-immunized splenocytes, this drug dose dependently inhibited the DNA-binding activity of T-bet (Fig. 7A, top). On the other hand, gemfibrozil increased the DNA-binding activity of GATA3 (Fig. 7A, bottom) that is also consistent with the stimulatory effect of gemfibrozil on Th2 cytokine IL-4. Next, we examined the effect of gemfibrozil on the expression of T-bet and GATA3 proteins in MBP-primed splenocytes. Although gemfibrozil dose dependently inhibited the protein expression of T-bet, this drug stimulated the protein expression of GATA3 in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 7B). These results also suggest that the alteration in DNA-binding activity of T-bet and GATA3 by gemfibrozil is due to altered protein expression of T-bet and GATA3.

Regulation of T-bet and GATA3 by Gemfibrozil in Vivo in the Spleen of Donor Mice. Because gemfibrozil differentially regulated T-bet and GATA3 in MBP-primed splenocytes, we examined next whether gemfibrozil was capable of doing so in vivo in the spleen of donor mice. Mice were fed gemfibrozil-containing chow from day 0 of MBP immunization, and on day 10 of immunization, spleens were harvested, and EMSA was performed in nuclear extracts to examine the activation of T-bet and GATA3. DNA-binding activity of neither T-bet nor GATA3 was observed in spleens of normal mice (Fig. 8A). However, strong DNA-binding activity of T-bet was seen in spleens of MBP-immunized donor mice (Fig. 8A, left). Similar to that observed in MBP-primed LNC, gemfibrozil was capable of inhibiting the activation of T-bet in vivo in the spleen of donor mice (Fig. 8A, left). On the other hand, the DNA-binding activity of GATA3 was observed in spleens of gemfibrozil-treated donor mice but not in untreated donor mice (Fig. 8A, right). In another set of parallel experiments, we examined the protein level of T-bet and GATA3 in spleen by double-label immunofluorescence using T-cell marker CD3. As expected, numerous CD3-positive cells were found in the spleen of normal mice, but those CD3-positive cells did not express T-bet (Fig. 8B). However,
marked increase in T-bet:CD3 colocalization was observed in the spleen of MBP-immunized donor mice (Fig. 8B). Again, gemfibrozil treatment led to the inhibition of T-bet expression but not CD3 in spleen of donor mice (Fig. 8B). On the other hand, marked GATA3:CD3 colocalization was observed in spleen of gemfibrozil-treated donor mice but not in untreated donor mice (Fig. 8C). Taken together, these results suggest that gemfibrozil is capable of regulating the expression of T-bet and GATA3 differentially both ex vivo and in vivo.

**Regulation of T-bet and GATA3 by Gemfibrozil in Vivo in the Spinal Cord of Recipient Mice.** Neuroantigen-primed T cells migrate into the CNS as a result of their activation status and antigen specificity. Because gemfibrozil inhibited the expression of T-bet and stimulated the expression of GATA3 in LNC and spleen of MBP-immunized donor mice, we examined whether gemfibrozil treatment was also capable of doing so in vivo in the spinal cord of EAE-recipient mice. EAE mice receiving gemfibrozil-containing chow from 8 dpt were sacrificed on 19 dpt (at the peak of the acute phase) and longitudinal sections of spinal cord were double-labeled for CD3 and T-bet. Significant colocalization of T-bet and CD3 was observed in spinal cord sections of EAE mice (Fig. 9) suggesting that T-bet-positive MBP-primed Th1 cells enter into the CNS. However, T-bet⁺ and CD3⁺ cells were not found in spinal cord sections of gemfibrozil-treated EAE mice. Because gemfibrozil treatment enriched GATA3-positive T cells in spleen of donor mice (Fig. 8C), we also double-labeled spinal cord sections for GATA3 and CD3. Despite several attempts, we were unable to detect any GATA3⁺ and CD3⁺ T cells in spinal cord sections of either EAE or gemfibrozil-treated EAE mice (data not shown). It appeared that gemfibrozil treatment inhibited the infiltration of any T cells into the CNS (Fig. 9), which is also consistent with H&E results demonstrating that inhibition of blood mononuclear cell infiltration by gemfibrozil (Fig. 1).

How does gemfibrozil differentially regulate the expression of T-bet and GATA3 in T cells? We have demonstrated that gemfibrozil inhibits the production of NO and the expression of iNOS in human astrocytes (Pahan et al., 2002). Xu et al. (2005) have also demonstrated a similar inhibitory effect of gemfibrozil in microglia. We therefore hypothesized a possible role for NO in gemfibrozil-mediated differential regulation of T-bet and GATA3. At first, we examined whether gemfibrozil was capable of inhibiting the expression of iNOS in vivo in the spleen, the organ that plays a crucial role in antigen presentation and Th cell differentiation. It is noteworthy that the expression of iNOS in splenic macrophages (Fig. 10) paralleled the expression of T-bet in splenic T cells (Fig. 8). On the other hand, the expression of GATA3 in T cells (Fig. 8) was inversely proportional to the expression of iNOS in macrophages (Fig. 10). For example, marked expression of iNOS in mona2-positive macrophages was observed in spleen of MBP-immunized donor mice (Fig. 10A). However, gemfibrozil treatment markedly inhibited the expression of iNOS in mona2-positive macrophages (Fig. 10A). Likewise, dose-dependent inhibition of NO production by gemfibrozil was also observed in MBP-primed splenocytes (Fig. 10B).

Next, we investigated whether NO was directly involved in...
gemfibrozil-mediated regulation of T-bet and GATA3. Splenocytes isolated from MBP-immunized mice were treated with different doses of GSNO (an NO donor) in the presence or absence of gemfibrozil during priming with MBP. Gemfibrozil inhibited the expression of T-bet, but GSNO dose-dependently reversed the inhibitory effect of gemfibrozil on T-bet (Fig. 11A, top). Complete reversal was observed when GSNO was used at a dose of 750 μM (Fig. 11A, top). On the other hand, gemfibrozil stimulated the expression of GATA3 and GSNO dose dependently blocked the stimulatory effect of gemfibrozil on the expression of GATA3 (Fig. 11A, bottom) with complete blockade observed at ≥500 μM GSNO. To attest these findings from another angle, splenocytes isolated from MBP-immunized mice were treated with different doses of PTIO (an NO scavenger) during priming with MBP. Although scavenging of NO by PTIO suppressed the expression of T-bet (Fig. 11B, top), dose-dependent stimulation of GATA3 expression was observed by PTIO alone (Fig. 11B, bottom). These novel results strongly suggest that NO is a key regulator of T-bet and GATA3 and that gemfibrozil suppresses the expression of T-bet and increases the expression of GATA3 via inhibiting the expression of iNOS and production of NO.

Cunard et al. (2002) and Lovett-Racke et al. (2004) have demonstrated that gemfibrozil directs Th2 bias, which is mediated by elevated levels of IL-4 as found in gemfibrozil-treated, MBP-primed T cells. We therefore examined whether NO was capable of regulating IL-4 in MBP-primed splenocytes. It is apparent from Fig. 12 that scavenging of NO alone by PTIO increased the production of IL-4 (B) and that GSNO (an NO donor) suppressed gemfibrozil-mediated increased production of IL-4 (A). These results suggest that NO also negatively regulates IL-4 production, similarly to...
GATA3, and that gemfibrozil regulates the release of IL-4 via NO.

Discussion

Adoptive transfer model of experimental allergic encephalomyelitis (EAE) in female SJL/J mice has long been recognized as a relapsing-remitting model for the T-cell-mediated autoimmune disease MS. The model has proved to be very useful in determining new therapeutic strategies and testing the efficacy of new drugs for MS. Several lines of evidences presented in this work clearly establish that gemfibrozil, an FDA-approved drug for hyperlipidemia in humans, inhibits the disease process of RR-EAE in female SJL/J mice. Our conclusion is based on the following. First, adoptively transferred MBP-primed T cells were unable to induce the clinical symptoms of EAE in mice having gemfibrozil-containing chow. Second, gemfibrozil was also able to inhibit the progression of EAE when administered after early onset. Third, clinical treatment of EAE animals with gemfibrozil was capable of inhibiting the invasion of mononuclear cells into the spinal cord. Fourth, gemfibrozil inhibited the ability of myelin-specific T cells to differentiate into Th1 effector cells. On the other hand, gemfibrozil stimulated the differentiation of myelin-specific T cells into Th2 phenotype. Gemfibrozil also consistently inhibited the encephalitogenicity of myelin-specific T cells.

Similar to other fibrate drugs, gemfibrozil is a known activator of PPAR-α. However, our results suggest that gemfibrozil, as well as WY14643, another potent agonist of PPAR-α, does not require PPAR-α to suppress the disease process of EAE. It is known that MS is a Th1-mediated autoimmune disease, and switching of Th1 to Th2 phenotype is a way to ameliorate the disease. Our results suggest that gemfibrozil switched the differentiation of MBP-primed T cells from Th1 to Th2 mode without the involvement of PPAR-α. Therefore, it seems that the anti-EAE activity of gemfibrozil does not depend on PPAR-α. Because NO produced from iNOS also plays a role in the disease process of EAE and MS (Bo et al., 1994; Ding et al., 1998), these results are consistent with those of our earlier report, that gemfibrozil inhibits the expression of iNOS in human astroglia independent of PPAR-α (Pahan et al., 2002). We have found that gemfibrozil inhibits the expression of proinflammatory molecules in primary microglia isolated from both PPAR-α wild type and knockout mice (M. Jana and K. Pahan, unpublished observations). A study by Xu et al. (2005) indicated the possible involvement of PPAR-α in gemfibrozil-mediated inhibition of microglial iNOS. However, that study did not attempt to examine the effects of gemfibrozil in PPAR-α knockout microglia.

Although our study does not reveal any receptor-centric mechanism(s) behind the antiencephalitogenic effect of gemfibrozil, we have uncovered an important cellular mechanism that is responsible for gemfibrozil-mediated differential regulation of Th1 and Th2 cells. Although the role of T-bet and GATA3 in the regulation of Th cells is well established, signaling mechanisms that regulate T-bet and GATA3 in T cells are poorly understood. Inhibition of DNA binding activity and expression of T-bet but stimulation of DNA-binding activity and expression of GATA3 by gemfibrozil clearly suggest that gemfibrozil-mediated differential regulation of Th1 and Th2 cells is due to differential control of T-bet and GATA3. Because gemfibrozil is capable of inhibiting the expression of iNOS and production of NO, we were prompted to investigate whether NO was playing a role in the regulation of Th cells. Here, we have found that NO is a key regulator of T-bet and GATA3 in neuroantigen-primed T cells. First, whereas iNOS/macrophage colocalization was directly proportional to T-bet/T cell colocalization, GATA3/T cell colocalization inversely correlated to iNOS/macrophage in spleen. For example, gemfibrozil inhibited the expression of iNOS leading to stimulation of GATA3 and suppression of T-bet in spleen. Second, gemfibrozil was unable to suppress T-bet and stimulate GATA3 in MBP-primed splenocytes when NO was added during antigen priming. Third, although NO alone did not further significantly stimulate the expression of T-bet in MBP-primed splenocytes, the expression of GATA3 was suppressed by NO alone. Fourth, the differential effect of gemfibrozil on T-bet and GATA3 could be replicated by adding a NO scavenger alone during antigen priming. For example PTIO, a NO donor, inhibited the expression of T-bet but stimulated the expression of GATA3 in MBP-primed splenocytes. Because gemfibrozil inhibits the expression of iNOS independent of PPAR-α (Pahan et al., 2002) and NO is the key controller of T-bet and GATA3, PPAR-α was not required for gemfibrozil-mediated differential regulation of T-bet and GATA3 and hence Th1 and Th2. It has been shown that in PPAR-α (−/−) mice, the transcription of IL-2 mRNA is terminated much earlier than in the wild-type mice (Jones et al.,

Fig. 12. Effect of GSNO and PTIO on the production of IL-4 in MBP-primed splenocytes. Splenocytes isolated from MBP-immunized mice were treated with 50 μg/ml MBP and different doses of GSNO (A) and PTIO (B) in the presence or absence of 200 μM gemfibrozil. After 72 h of stimulation, the release of IL-4 was measured in supernatants by ELISA. Results are mean ± S.D. of three different experiments.
On the other hand, the expression of the T-bet and IFN-γ starts early after T-cell activation and increases with time in PPAR-α (−/−) mice compared with wild-type mice (Jones et al., 2002, 2003). Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that these studies were performed neither in a strain with RR-EAE relevant loci nor with an EAE-relevant neuroantigen.

NO, a short-lived and diffusible free radical, plays many roles as a signaling and effector molecule in diverse biological systems; it is a neuronal messenger and is involved in vasodilation as well as in antimicrobial and antitumor activities (Nathan, 1992). On the other hand, NO has also been implicated in several CNS disorders, including inflammatory, infectious, traumatic, and degenerative diseases (Merrill et al., 1993; Brosnan et al., 1994; Mitrovic et al., 1994; Parkinson et al., 1997; Samdani et al., 1997; Akama et al., 1998). There is considerable evidence for the transcriptional induction of iNOS (the high-output isoform of NOS) in the CNS that is associated with autoimmune reactions, acute infection, and degenerative brain injury (Merrill et al., 1993; Samdani et al., 1997). NO is potentially toxic to neurons and oligodendrocytes that may mediate toxicity through the formation of iron-NOX complexes of iron-containing enzyme systems (Draper and Hibbs, 1988), oxidation of protein sulfhydryl groups, nitration of proteins, and nitrosylation of nucleic acids and DNA strand breaks (Radi et al., 1991). Here, we demonstrated that NO is a key player in regulating the expression of T-bet and GATA3, in which NO increases the expression of T-bet and suppresses the expression of GATA3 in neuroantigen-primed T cells. Therefore, specific targeting of NO, either by iNOS inhibitors or NO scavengers, could be an important step to stimulate GATA3 and hence switching toward Th2, resulting in suppression of EAE and MS.

Although there is no effective therapy against MS, different forms of interferon-β (IFN-β) have been currently used to treat this disease. However, gemfibrozil has several advantages over IFN-β. First, IFN-β has a number of side effects, including flu-like symptoms, menstrual disorders in women, decrease in neutrophil count and white blood cell count, increase in aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase levels, and development of neutralizing antibodies to IFN-β (Connelly, 1994; Miller, 1997). However, gemfibrozil is fairly nontoxic. It has been well tolerated in human and animal studies. Its trade name is Lopid, and it has been commonly used as a lipid-lowering drug in humans since FDA approval in 1981. The Veterans Affairs High-Density Lipoprotein Intervention Trial (VA-HIT) reported that coronary heart disease events are significantly reduced by gemfibrozil in patients when the predominant lipid abnormality was low HDL-C (Robins et al., 2001). In a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial, this drug was shown to reduce small low-density lipoprotein more in normolipemic subjects classified as low-density lipoprotein pattern B compared with pattern A (Superko et al., 2005). Another recent trial showed that low-density lipoprotein and HDL particle subclasses are favorably changed by gemfibrozil therapy (Otovos et al., 2006). Second, patients with MS are treated with IFN-β through painful injections that often lead to injection site reactions, such as skin necrosis. However, gemfibrozil is usually taken orally, the least painful route. Our HPLC results with brain samples also demonstrate that orally administered gemfibrozil can enter into the naive CNS.

In summary, we have demonstrated that gemfibrozil, an FDA-approved lipid-lowering drug in humans, stimulates GATA3 and suppresses T-bet through the inhibition of NO production and blocks the disease process of EAE independent of its prototype receptor, PPAR-α. Although the ex vivo and in vivo situation of mouse spleen and lymph nodes and its treatment with neuroantigen and gemfibrozil may not truly resemble the in vivo immunological situation in patients with MS, our results identify gemfibrozil as a possible therapeutic agent to suppress RR-MS via differential modulation of T-bet and GATA3.
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