Potent, Selective and Cell Penetrant Inhibitors of SF-1 by Functional Ultra-High-Throughput Screening
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ABSTRACT

The steroidogenic factor 1 (SF-1, also known as NR5A1) is a transcription factor belonging to the nuclear receptor superfamily. Whereas most of the members of this family have been extensively characterized, the therapeutic potential and pharmacology of SF-1 still remains elusive. Described here is the identification and characterization of selective inhibitory chemical probes of SF-1 by a rational ultra-high-throughput screening (uHTS) strategy. A set of 64,908 compounds from the National Institute of Health’s Molecular Libraries Small Molecule Repository was screened in a transactivation cell-based assay employing a chimeric SF-1 construct. Two analogous isoquinolinones, ethyl 2-[2-[2-(2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzodioxin-7-ylamino)-2-oxoethyl]-1-oxoisooquinolin-5-yl]oxypropanoate (SID7969543) and ethyl 2-[2-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-ylmethyl-amino)-2-oxoethyl]-1-oxoisooquinolin-5-yl]oxypropanoate and (SID7970631), were identified as potent submicromolar inhibitors, yielding IC50 values of 760 and 260 nM. The compounds retained their potency in a more physiologic functional assay employing the full-length SF-1 protein and its native response element, yielding IC50 values of 30 and 16 nM, respectively. The selectivity of these isoquinolinines was confirmed via transactivation-based functional assays for RAR-related orphan receptor A (RORA), Herpes simplex virus transcriptional activator protein Vmw65 (VP16), and liver receptor homolog 1 (LRH-1). Their cytotoxicity, solubility, permeability and metabolic stability were also measured. These isoquinolinines represent valuable chemical probes to investigate the therapeutic potential of SF-1.

Nuclear receptors (NRs) are transcription factors that regulate the expression of downstream genes through the binding of lipophilic ligands such as hormones, vitamins, lipids, and/or small molecules (Giguère, 1999). They are involved in diverse biological processes, such as embryogenesis, homeostasis, reproduction, cell growth, and death (Mangelsdorf et al., 1995). With numerous NR-targeting drugs marketed or in development, NRs have proven to be successful therapeutic targets for a wide range of diseases (Moore et al., 2006). Whereas natural or synthetic ligands have been reported for numerous members of the NR superfamily, the pharmacology of so-called “orphan” nuclear receptors—for which no natural ligand has been reported—remains poorly characterized (Giguère, 1999). We are currently investigating the therapeutic potential of such unexplored nuclear receptors, among them the steroidogenic factor 1 (SF-1, also known as NR5A1).

SF-1 plays a central role in sex determination and the formation of steroidogenic tissues during development and is involved in endocrine function throughout life (Luo et al., 1995a; Parker et al., 2002; Val et al., 2003). SF-1 is expressed
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in the pituitary, testes, ovaries, and adrenal gland, where it regulates the expression of several genes involved in steroidogenesis (Val et al., 2003). SF-1-deficient mice exhibit male-to-female sex reversal (Luo et al., 1994), an impaired development of adrenals and gonads (Luo et al., 1995b; Sadowsky et al., 1995), defective pituitary gonadotroph, and an agenesis of the ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus (Ikeda et al., 1995; Shinoda et al., 1995). Although SF-1 has been shown to be rarely associated with clinical disorders of sexual differentiation (Parker et al., 2002), it has been reported to have a potential role in obesity (Majdic et al., 2002). More recently, it has been observed that an increased concentration of SF-1 causes adrenocortical cell proliferation and cancer (Doghman et al., 2007).

Small-molecule pharmacologic probes of SF-1 activity represent valuable investigational tools to better understand target involvement in both physiological and pathophysiological contexts (Lazo et al., 2007). Presented here is the use of cell-based functional assays in a rational high-throughput screening approach that led to the identification of two efficacious and selective isoquinolinone inhibitors of SF-1 activity.

Materials and Methods

Materials. Compounds SID7969543 and SID7970631 were purchased from Life Chemicals (Kiev, Ukraine). Compound AC-45594 (Del Tredici et al., 2008) was acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI).

Vector Construction. pGal4DBD_SF-1LBD and pGal4DBD_RORALBD were generated by cloning polymerase chain reaction fragments encoding either human SF-1 (aa 198–462) or mouse retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptor A (RORA; aa 266–523) ligand-binding domain (LBD) in frame with the DNA-binding domain (DBD) of the yeast transcriptional factor Gal4 encoded by the pFA-CMV vector (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). SF-1 (aa 198–462) was amplified from an Invitrogen expressed sequence tag clone (San Diego, CA). BamHI and XbaI sites introduced by the primers GATCGGATCCCCGGAGCCTTATGC- CAGCCC (forward) and GATCTCTAGATCAAGTCTGGTCTTG-GAGCAATTCTGATG (reverse) were used for subcloning the amplon into pFA-CMV. RORA (aa 266–523) was generated by polymerase chain reaction primers GCCGCCCCGGCGACCTTTGAGCTTGCAGCC (forward) and GATCTCTAGATCAAGTCTGGTCTTGCAGGACCTTTGATG (reverse) and subcloned through XmaI and HindIII restriction sites into pFA-CMV.

Cell Culture and Transient Transfection Conditions. Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells of the K1 subtype (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA) were grown in T-175 flasks (Corning Life Sciences, Mountain View, CA) and subcloned through XmaI and HindIII sites introduced by the primers GATCGGATCCCCGGAGCCTTATGC-CAGCCC (forward) and GATCTCTAGATCAAGTCTGGTCTTG-GAGCAATTCTGATG (reverse) were used for subcloning the amplon into pFA-CMV. RORA (aa 266–523) was generated by polymerase chain reaction primers GCCGCCCCGGCGACCTTTGAGCTTGCAGCC (forward) and GATCTCTAGATCAAGTCTGGTCTTGCAGGACCTTTGATG (reverse) and subcloned through XmaI and HindIII restriction sites into pFA-CMV.

For uHTS assays, cells transfected with the pG5-luc and empty pcDNA3.1 plasmids alone, designated “NR” cells (as opposed to “+NR” cells, which are cotransfected with the Gal4-LBD encoding plasmid) were used as positive control for inhibition. Flasks were then placed in the incubator at 37°C, 5% CO₂ and 95% relative humidity. Four hours after transfection, cells were trypsinized and suspended to a concentration of 8 × 10⁶ cells/ml in supplemented Ham’s F-12 media.

1536-Well Format SF-1 and RORA uHTS Assays. The assay was begun by dispensing 5 µl of transfected cell suspension to each well (i.e., 4000 cells/well) of a white solid-bottomed 1536-well plate (Greiner Bio-One, Longwood, FL) using a Bottle Valve liquid dispenser (GNF/Kalypsys, San Diego, CA). Cells from flasks designated −NR were seeded in the first two columns of the 1536-well plate (Low Control) and the remaining 46 columns were filled with + NR cells. One hour after seeding, +NR cells were treated with 50 nM/well test compounds or DMSO alone (High Control) using a 1536-well PinTool unit (GNF/Kalypsys). Plates were then incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ and 95% relative humidity. Twenty hours later, plates were equilibrated to room temperature for 20 min and a luciferase assay was performed by adding 5 µl/well SteadyLight HTS reagent (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences, Waltham, MA). After a 15-min incubation, light emission was measured for 30 s with the ViewLux reader (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences). Activity of each compound was calculated on a per-plate basis using the equation

% inhibition of compound

\[ = 100 \times \left(1 - \frac{\text{Test Well} - \text{Median Low Control}}{\text{Median High Control} - \text{Median Low Control}}\right) \]

where High Control represents wells containing + NR cells treated with DMSO (n = 24) and Low Control represents wells containing − NR cells treated with DMSO (n = 24).

Primary High-Throughput Screening and Hit Selection. A library of 64,908 compounds provided by the Molecular Library Screening Center Network (MLSCN) in 52 1536-well plates was tested in the SF-1 assay as described above. The final nominal test concentration was 10 µM, in a final DMSO concentration of 1%.

The uHTS campaign was executed on the automated GNF/Kalypsys robotic platform of the Scripps Research Institute Molecular Screening Center (Jupiter, FL). Raw data from each primary campaign were uploaded and analyzed in our institutional HTS database (MDL Information Systems, San Ramon, CA). The percentage inhibition of each tested compound was calculated on a per-plate basis as described under 1536-Well Format SF-1 and RORA uHTS Assays. A mathematical algorithm was used to determine active compounds (Hodder et al., 2003). Two values were calculated: 1) the average percentage inhibition of all compounds tested and (2) 3 times their standard deviation. The sum of these two values was used as a cutoff parameter; i.e., any compound that exhibited greater percent inhibition than the cutoff parameter was declared active. Z’ value was calculated as described previously (Zhang et al., 1999). Detailed information regarding this screen can be found in the MLSCN PubChem website (http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov, Bioassay AID 5255).

HTS Dose-Response Experiments. Compounds found active during primary screens were selected from the National Institutes of Health’s Molecular Libraries Small Molecule Repository (San Francisco, CA) and 10-point, 1:3 serial dilutions starting from a nominal 10 mM solution were prepared using an automated liquid handler (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA). Titration experiments were performed as described under 1536-Well Format SF-1 and RORA uHTS Assays by transferring 50 nM of the compound solutions in the titration plate into three different assay plates. For each compound, triplicate percentage inhibitions were plotted against compound concentration. A four-parameter equation describing a sigmoidal dose-response curve was then fitted with adjustable baseline using Assay Explorer software (MDL Information Systems). I₅₀ values were
generated from fitted curves by solving for X-intercept at the 50% inhibition level of Y-intercept. For compounds in which no curve was fitted by the algorithm, an IC\textsubscript{50} was determined manually. Detailed information regarding the SF-1 titration assay and the RORA counterscreen can be found at the MLSCN PubChem website (Bioassays AID 600 and 599, respectively).

**VP16 Promiscuity Assay.** This assay used the same protocol as the uHTS titration assays described in the previous section, except that 125 ng of pGal4\textsubscript{DBD}, VP16\textsubscript{LBD} plasmid (a kind gift from Dr. Michael Conkright, Dept. of Cancer Biology, Scripps Florida) was used for the transfection step (Amelio et al., 2007).

**SF-1 Response Element Assays.** Before the assay, an 11-point, 1:3 serial dilution of compound starting at 0.4 mM (40\times of final assay concentration) was prepared in PBS containing 5% DMSO using a liquid handling robot (PlateMate Plus; Matrix Technologies, Hudson, NH). Human embryonic kidney (HEK) cells of the 293T subtype (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA) were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Gibco). Cells were cotransfected in white 384-well plates (5000 cells per well in 40 \textmu l of media only (0%) and wells containing cells from either human, monkey, rat, dog, or mouse in 100 mM potassium glutamine mix (Gibco). Cells were then allowed to equilibrate for 15 min at room temperature and 37°C, 5% CO\textsubscript{2} and 95% RH. After incubation, 5 \textmu l of CellTiter-Glo (Promega) were added to each well, and plates were allowed to incubate at room temperature. After 5 h, aliquots were taken from the donor and receiver plates, and the concentration of drug was determined. Compound permeability was calculated using the equation

\[ P_s = -\ln\left(\frac{1 - C_A(t)}{C_{eq}}\right) \times \frac{1}{t} \times \frac{V_D}{V_A} \]

where \( P_s \) is expressed in units of centimeters per second, \( C_A(t) \) is drug concentration in the acceptor at time \( t \), \( V_D \) is donor well volume, \( V_A \) is acceptor well volume, \( A \) is the area of the filter (0.3 cm\textsuperscript{2}), \( t \) is time in seconds, and \( C_{eq} = (C_{eq})(t) \times V_D + C_A(t) \times V_J/D + V_A \).

**Data Representation.** All data representations have been done with Prism version 4.03 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Curve fitting and IC\textsubscript{50} determination were performed using the variable slope sigmoidal dose-response analysis tool of Prism.

**Cheminformatics** In silico analyses were performed using tools and screening data available in the PubChem website (http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). For determining whether compounds were luciferase assay artifacts, data existing in PubChem was used (Bioassay AID 411).

**Cell Viability Assay.** CHO-K1 cells were plated at 500 cells per well in 1536-well plates in 5 \textmu l of media only (0%) and wells containing cells from either human, monkey, rat, dog, or mouse in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. The reactions were held at 37°C with continuous shaking. The reaction was initiated by adding NADPH (final concentration, 1 mM). The final incubation volume was 300 \textmu l, and 40-\textmu l aliquots were removed at 0, 1, 3, 5, 8, and 10 min for rapidly metabolized compounds, or at 0, 5, 10, 20, 40, and 60 min for more stable compounds. The removed aliquot was added to 160-\textmu l acetonitrile to stop the reaction and precipitate the protein. NADPH dependence of the reaction is evaluated with parallel incubations without NADPH. At the end of the assay, the samples were centrifuged through a 0.45-\textmu m filter plate (Millipore, Billerica, MA) and analyzed by liquid chromatography followed by tandem mass spectrometry. The data were log-transformed, and results are reported in units of half-life.

**Solubility.** In a glass test tube, 1 to 2 mg of probe compound was added to 1 ml of either pH 7.4 or pH 3.5 potassium phosphate buffer. The samples were allowed to invert for 24 h at room temperature. The samples were centrifuged and the supernatant was analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography against a known reference.

**Parallel Artificial Membrane Permeability Assay.** An assessment of permeability was done using a commercial parallel artificial membrane permeability assay kit (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ). Compounds were evaluated over a range of concentrations by addition of 300 \textmu l of PBS containing the compound to the bottom donor plate. Compounds were from DMSO stocks, and the final DMSO concentration in the donor wells was 1%. Two hundred microliters of blank PBS was added to the top receiver plate. The plates were allowed to incubate at room temperature. After 5 h, aliquots were taken from the donor and receiver plates, and the concentration of drug was determined. Compound permeability was calculated using the equation

\[ P_s = -\ln\left(\frac{1 - C_A(t)}{C_{eq}}\right) \times \frac{1}{t} \times \frac{V_D}{V_A} \]

where \( P_s \) is expressed in units of centimeters per second, \( C_A(t) \) is drug concentration in the acceptor at time \( t \), \( V_D \) is donor well volume, \( V_A \) is acceptor well volume, \( A \) is the area of the filter (0.3 cm\textsuperscript{2}), \( t \) is time in seconds, and \( C_{eq} = (C_{eq})(t) \times V_D + C_A(t) \times V_J/D + V_A \).

**Data Representation.** All data representations have been done with Prism version 4.03 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Curve fitting and IC\textsubscript{50} determination were performed using the variable slope sigmoidal dose-response analysis tool of Prism.

**Cheminformatics** In silico analyses were performed using tools and screening data available in the PubChem website (http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). For determining whether compounds were luciferase assay artifacts, data existing in PubChem was used (Bioassay AID 411).
Results

SF-1 uHTS Assay Development and Validation. The SF-1 uHTS assay was designed to specifically monitor the SF-1 activation state via cotransfection of CHO-K1 cells with a plasmid encoding a Gal4-SF-1 chimerical transcription factor, pGal4DBD-SF1LBD, and a second plasmid driving luciferase expression under control of five multimerized Gal4 binding sites (Fig. 1). Experiments were executed to validate this functional response (Fig. 2A). Cotransfected cells emitted a strong luminescent signal compared with cells transfected with the luciferase reporter plasmid alone, suggesting that the chimerical pGal4DBD-SF1LBD proteins were functional and the endogenous coactivators required for activation are present in CHO-K1 cells. In addition, specific amino acid substitutions in SF-1 LBD (M455A/L456A) known to disrupt coactivator interaction for most nuclear receptors (Li et al., 2003) and specifically to suppress SF-1 transcriptional activity (Hammer et al., 1999) reduced the luciferase signal by 90%, confirming that the elevated basal transcriptional activity was due to a functional LBD and not to the Gal4 DBD alone.

![Graph A](image1)

**Fig. 2.** A, Gal4DBD_SF-1LBD activity is LBD-dependent. CHO-K1 cells were transiently transfected with the nonfused Gal4 expressing vector pFA-CMV (Vec), or with the Gal4DBD_SF-1LBD expressing plasmid in its wild-type (WT) or mutated (Mut) form. Transfected cells were incubated and assayed for luciferase activity. Luminescent signal is expressed as a percentage of the maximal signal given by WT Gal4DBD_SF-1LBD. Bars represent the mean of three replicates plus or minus their standard deviation. B, cell seeding density optimization. Both −SF-1 and +SF-1 CHO-K1 cells were seeded in a 1536-well plate at densities ranging from 1000 to 5000 cells per well (n = 128 wells for each condition). Z’ (○) and signal-to-background ratio (□) calculated based on relative luminescence unit (RLU) values measured for −NR and +NR are shown for each tested cell density. The star indicates the selected optimal cell density.

The SF-1 uHTS assay was implemented in 1536-well plates in a total volume of 10 μl/well. Experimental conditions were optimized to give the best balance between assay performance (determined by Z’ factor), reagent consumption, and suitability of the protocol on the robotic screening platform. A cell seeding density of 4000/well (Fig. 2B) and 20-h incubation time with test compound yielded the best assay results (see Materials and Methods for all final conditions). During assay optimization efforts, no inhibitors of SF-1 activity were available; therefore, a pharmacologic positive control could not be used in the uHTS campaign. Instead, cells transiently transfected with the luciferase reporter plasmid alone were used as the positive control, representing 100% inhibition.

High-Throughput Screening for SF-1 Inhibitors. The SF-1 assay was used to screen a collection of 64,908 compounds made publicly available by the National Institutes of Health through the MLSCN initiative (Austin et al., 2004). As run, the steady state throughput of the uHTS campaign was ~11,520 compounds tested per hour. After having tested each member of the library at a final nominal concentration of 10 μM (Fig. 3), 359 compounds exhibited a percent inhibition greater than that calculated by a nominal cutoff algorithm (47.96%, see Materials and Methods for details). To further confirm their activity, the 359 primary hits were selected and retested in dose-response experiments.

Selection and Characterization of the Isoquinolinones. As a first step in triage, nonpromiscuous SF-1 inhibitors were identified by titrating all 359 primary hits in parallel in the SF-1 assay and in a second cell-based dose-response assay targeting another nuclear receptor, RORA. The majority of compounds originating from the SF-1 uHTS effort gave comparable IC50 values in both the SF-1 and RORA assays (Fig. 4A). Among these were the compound doxorubicin (SID855944), known to be cytotoxic in cancer-derived mammalian cell lines. It yielded IC50 values of 443 ± 25 nM (n = 3) in the SF-1 assay and 392 ± 34 nM (n = 3) in the RORA assay. Compounds with similar properties (e.g., vinblastine sulfate (SID855758), vincristine sulfate (SID855866), and daunorubicin hydrochloride (SID855543) yielded comparable results. Moreover, analogs of 3-phenoxymethyl-benzoic acid (SID4263122, SID4255902, SID4261716, SID4243980), previously identified as luciferase detection format artifacts, gave almost identical potencies in both SF-1 and RORA assays (IC50 values in the range of 500 nM were typical). These observations suggested that other compounds from the SF-1 uHTS campaign with comparable IC50 values in the SF-1 and RORA assays were probably promiscuous inhibitors and/or cytotoxic compounds and were therefore not considered further. Compounds selected for further follow-up passed the two following criteria: 1) the IC50 in the SF-1 assay was at least 10-fold lower than the one determined in the RORA assay, and 2) the calculated SF-1 IC50 was lower than 1 μM. Two isoquinolinone derivatives, annotated as SID7969543 and SID7970631, passed these criteria (Fig. 4B).

Fresh powders of SID7969543 and SID7970631 were retested in the SF-1 and RORA assays (Fig. 5). An SF-1 inverse agonist recently described in the literature, AC-45594 (Del Tredici et al., 2008), was used as a positive control in the SF-1 experiment. Consistent with the screening results, SID7969543 and SID7970631 dose dependently inhibited lu-
ciferase expression in the SF-1 assay, giving IC$_{50}$ values of 760 ± 102 (n = 3) and 255 ± 63 nM (n = 3), respectively (Table 1).

**Structure-Activity Relationship of the Isoquinolin-one Scaffold.** An in silico structure-activity relationship study was performed by retrieving primary HTS results of compounds containing the isoquinolinone scaffold (Table 2). Compound SID7971227 differs from SID7970631 only by the absence of the branched methyl on the phenoxy group and was found to be less active (30.6% inhibition versus 83.1% at 10 µM). As observed in SID7970257, SID7970995, SID7969723, SID7970701, and SID7970398, compounds with substitutions of the SID7970631 dioxolane moiety exhibited reduced activity in the SF-1 uHTS assay.

The liver receptor homolog 1 (LRH-1, NR5A2) is considered the closest NR related to SF-1 (Fayard et al., 2004). Its LBD shares 65% identity with SF-1 and its active site is thought to accommodate similar ligands (Whitby et al., 2006). To further investigate their selectivity on a closely related target, both isoquinolinones were assessed in an assay similar to the SF-1 response element (SFRE)/SF-1 assay described below, except that full-length LRH-1 was transiently expressed in place of SF-1. Used as a positive control for this assay, short heterodimer partner NR0B2 repressed LRH-1 activity (Lee and Moore, 2002) (data not shown). In contrast, neither compound SID7969543 nor SID7970631 inhibited LRH-1-dependent SFRE activation (Table 1).

**SFRE/SF-1 Activity Confirmation Assay.** To ensure that SID7969543 and SID7970631 potency was not unique to the chimeric construct functional assay, the isoquinolinones were assessed in an assay similar to the SFRE/SF-1 activity confirmation assay. This assay was performed by transiently expressing full-length LRH-1 in place of SF-1 and using short heterodimer partner NR0B2 as a positive control. Used as a positive control for this assay, short heterodimer partner NR0B2 repressed LRH-1 activity (Lee and Moore, 2002) (data not shown). In contrast, neither compound SID7969543 nor SID7970631 inhibited LRH-1-dependent SFRE activation (Table 1).
were further investigated in a more relevant biological system (Fig. 5). In particular, both compounds were assayed for functional activity against HEK 293T cells cotransfected with a plasmid encoding full-length SF-1 and a second vector allowing expression of the luciferase gene under control of five tandem repeats of the natural SF-1 response element (SFRE). Both compounds inhibited SF-1-dependent luciferase expression with IC\textsubscript{50} values of 30 ± 15 (n = 3) and 16 ± 7 nM, respectively, a rank order consistent with the chimeric SF-1 construct experiments (Table 1).

**Transactivation Promiscuity and Cytotoxicity Assays.** Similar in format to the SF-1 uHTS and RORA assays, a VP16 assay was also performed to confirm that the isoquinolinones do not promiscuously inhibit transactivation reporter systems. Gal4-VP16 is a synthetic fusion protein that links the yeast Gal4 protein DBD and the herpes simplex virus protein VP16 (also called Vmw65) together, thus acting as a potent transcriptional activator (Sadowski et al., 1988). In the VP16 assay, both isoquinolinones exhibited an inhibition profile similar to that observed in the RORA assay (i.e., IC\textsubscript{50} values greater than 33,333 μM) suggesting that their SF-1 inhibition cannot be attributed to transactivation assay artifact (Table 1). A cell viability assay was also performed to determine the cytotoxicity of both compounds (Fig. 5 and Table 1). Although cytotoxicity was observed at higher test concentrations, the isoquinolinones did not exhibit cytotoxicity at a concentration near their respective IC\textsubscript{50} values.

**Solubility, Permeability, and Microsome Studies.** In the perspective of investigating potential in vivo stability, physicochemical properties of both isoquinolinones were evaluated, including solubility, permeability, and microsomal stability. As reported in Table 3, both compounds demonstrated excellent permeability and solubility, adding confidence to the results obtained from the various cell-based

![Fig. 5. Dose-response results of isoquinolinones. SID7969543 (A) and SID7970631 (B) were assessed in the SF-1 assay (○), the RORA assay (□) and a viability assay (△). In addition (C), SID7969543 (◇) and SID7970631 (■) were also assessed in the SFRE/SF-1 assay. Error bars represent the S.E. of three separate experiments.](image)

**TABLE 1**
Activity profile of SF-1 inhibitors
Values represent mean percentage of inhibition measured at 10 μM plus or minus S.D. (n = 3) and mean IC\textsubscript{50} (or CC\textsubscript{50}) plus or minus S.D. where applicable (n = 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Inhibition (Cytotoxicity)</th>
<th>IC\textsubscript{50} (nM)</th>
<th>Inhibition (Cytotoxicity)</th>
<th>IC\textsubscript{50} (nM)</th>
<th>Inhibition (Cytotoxicity)</th>
<th>IC\textsubscript{50} (nM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gal4-fusion assays</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-1</td>
<td>84 ± 2</td>
<td>760 ± 102</td>
<td>80 ± 2</td>
<td>255 ± 63</td>
<td>59 ± 3</td>
<td>7,384 ± 368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROR-α</td>
<td>16 ± 4</td>
<td>&gt;33,333</td>
<td>20 ± 13</td>
<td>&gt;33,333</td>
<td>N.T.</td>
<td>N.T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP16</td>
<td>18 ± 2</td>
<td>&gt;33,333</td>
<td>-48 ± 21</td>
<td>&gt;33,333</td>
<td>N.T.</td>
<td>N.T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRE promoter assays with full-length proteins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-1</td>
<td>136 ± 4</td>
<td>30 ± 15</td>
<td>126 ± 3</td>
<td>16 ± 7</td>
<td>N.T.</td>
<td>N.T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRH-1</td>
<td>0 ± 3</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>-9 ± 9</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.T.</td>
<td>N.T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytotoxicity</td>
<td>-1 ± 6</td>
<td>&gt;99,000</td>
<td>19 ± 1</td>
<td>&gt;33,333</td>
<td>N.T.</td>
<td>N.T.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.A., not applicable, because compound did not reach 50% inhibition; N.T., not tested.
assays performed in this study. Short half-life values were observed in the hepatic-microsomal stability experiments for both compounds in the five species evaluated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>R1</th>
<th>R2</th>
<th>SF-1 Inhibition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SID7970631</td>
<td>–CH₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>83.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SID7969543</td>
<td>–CH₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>81.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SID7970257</td>
<td>–CH₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SID7969723</td>
<td>–CH₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SID7970398</td>
<td>–CH₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SID7971227</td>
<td>–H</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SID7970995</td>
<td>–H</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SID7970701</td>
<td>–H</td>
<td></td>
<td>−12.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 3**

Physicochemical properties of selected SF-1 inhibitors

Compounds were evaluated at 10 μM. Antipyrine and propanolol, used as references of highly permeable compounds, both exhibited a logP of −4.81 in the same assay. In contrast, ranitidine, a poorly permeable compound, exhibited a logP value of −6.67. The following compounds were also tested in the same assays: highly stable reference compounds tolbutamide and dapsone exhibited $t_{1/2}$ of 120 min and ranging from 13.04 to 120 min in the same microsome panel, respectively. Sunitinib, a moderately stable compound, gave $t_{1/2}$ ranging from 13.21 to 54.57 min. The poorly stable compound verapamil exhibited $t_{1/2}$ ranging from 2.34 to 7.37 min in the same panel.

**Discussion**

**Development of Functional Assays for SF-1 Activity.** It is well known that transcription factors of the NR superfamily share two important conserved structural features, namely a DBD and an LBD (Steinmetz et al., 2001). Transactivation reporter gene functional assays have been extensively used to study NRs and characterize their natural or synthetic ligands (Jausons-Loffreda et al., 1994). Accordingly, for the research presented here, several cell-based transactivation assays were implemented to discover novel inhibitors of SF-1 activity as well as probe for selectivity of the most potent compounds. Combined with appropriate assays to identify cytotoxic and promiscuous transactivation assay inhibitors, this chemical biology approach facilitates the rapid characterization of physiologically relevant, cell-penetrant chemical probes.

**Choice of the RORA Functional Assay to Triage SF-1 uHTS Assay Results.** There were several reasons for choosing RORA as a preliminary selectivity assay for compounds found active in the SF-1 uHTS assay. First, SF-1 and RORA are both transcriptionally active in cell-based functional assays (Carlberg et al., 1994; Mellon and Bair, 1998) and they both bind DNA as monomers, whereas most of NRs do so only in a homo- or heterodimerized state (Giguère, 1999). Second, their ligand binding domains may be responsive to small-molecule ligands (Kallen et al., 2004; Li et al., 2005), and their phylogeny is distant enough to make them susceptible to different ligands (Moore et al., 2006). An ancillary benefit is that assay protocols and reagents used for both assays were nearly identical; the major difference is the transient transfection procedure for each receptor's particular ligand binding domain.

**Interpreting Results of the Functional and Cytotoxicity Assays.** For the two isoquinolinones presented here, the correlation observed between the RORA inhibition and cell viability assay results suggests that the inhibition measured in the RORA assay is attributed to the general toxicity of the isoquinolinones at higher concentrations rather than via specific RORA inhibition. The pharmacology of doxorubicin in the SF-1 and RORA assays serves as a useful example. Exhibiting behavior typical of a nonspecific inhibitor (i.e.,
identical potency in both SF-1 and RORA activity assays), doxorubicin’s selectivity index (defined as the ratio RORA IC_{50}/SF-1 IC_{50}) was unity. In contrast, SID7969543 and SID7970631 gave much higher IC_{50} values in the RORA assay (>33,333 nM) compared with those measured in the SF-1 assay. The selectivity indexes of >44 and >131 for SID7969543 and SID7970631, respectively, suggest that the compounds are indeed selective to SF-1.

The SF-1 uHTS assay was a useful tool to discover the isoquinolinones presented here, and the SFRE/SF-1 assay, employing full-length SF-1 protein and a luciferase reporter under control of the natural promoter (SFRE), confirmed their efficacy in a more physiologically relevant context. Their potency in both the SF-1 uHTS (performed in a CHO cell line background) and SFRE/SF-1 (performed in an HEK 293T cell line background) assays support the hypothesis that abrogation of SF-1 activity by SID7969543 and SID7970631 is not cell-line-specific. The increased potency in the SFRE assay is attributed to the difference in cell backgrounds: when HEK 293T cells were transfected with the chimeric SF-1 construct, the potency of the isoquinolinones increased (data not shown). Finally, the results of the LRH-1 selectivity and VP16 promiscuity assays manifest that they are selective inhibitors of SF-1 and also not responsible for general transactivation assay artifact.

Possible Mechanisms of Action of the Isoquinolinone Inhibitors. As mentioned above, SF-1 demonstrates constitutive transcriptional activity, and therefore alternative hypotheses can be formed on the isoquinolinones’ mechanism of action. Because crystallographic studies show lipids can occupy the canonical lipid-binding pocket of SF-1 (Krylova et al., 2005; Li et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2005), one possible mechanism of action is that they behave as antagonists, competing with endogenous lipids located in this pocket. Another mechanism of action could be that they behave as inverse agonists, originally described by Klein et al. (1996). Because there is no direct evidence that lipids occupy the binding site in living cells, it may be hypothesized that the isoquinolinones behave in this way, or they may bind somewhere outside of the ligand-binding pocket, perhaps in a noncompetitive fashion. Although their exact mode of action remains to be elucidated in future studies, the advantage of the SF-1 assays described here is that they provide a rapid method of identifying ligands that affect SF-1 function and potentially facilitate the discovery of novel NR pathway targets.

The results of permeability and solubility studies show that the isoquinolinones presented here are practical tools to probe SF-1 activity in cell-based and biochemical experimentation. The short half-life observed in microsomal studies is attributed to the presence of three features on these compounds, namely 1) the ethyl ester, 2) the amide bound, and 3) the 1,4-dioxane or 1,3-dioxolane ring. The addition of NADPH accelerated the metabolism of SID7969543 and SID7970631 by hepatic microsomes (data not shown). However, the compounds were also rapidly metabolized in the absence of NADPH, presumably by hepatic esterases and/or amidases. There is no evidence to suggest that the compounds are metabolized during the course of the functional assay. However, it can be hypothesized that such metabolism would result in reducing the actual isoquinolinone concentration inside the cell and may lead to an underestimate of the efficacy of the inhibitors. Results of an ongoing medicinal chemistry effort aimed at improving isoquinolinone potency, selectivity, and microsomal stability will be the subject of future reports.

Conclusion

Using a chemical biology approach, the goal of the presented research was to identify compounds that selectively inhibit SF-1 functional activity. To this end robust cell-based assays were implemented that employ a luciferase reporter gene transcriptional readout. The SF-1 uHTS assay was successfully executed against a publicly available 65,000 member compound library from the National Institutes of Health's MLSCN. Two isoquinolinone analogs, SID7969543 and SID7970631, demonstrated submicromolar efficacy and selectivity to SF-1 via a panel of nuclear receptor functional assays. Moreover, their inhibition was confirmed in a physiologically relevant assay employing a human cell-line with a full-length, nonchimerical SF-1 protein and a natural SF-1 response element. The isoquinolinones presented here are readily soluble and cell-permeable. They constitute excellent chemical probes for further elucidation of SF-1 pharmacology and represent a novel chemical scaffold for future studies on general NR modulation.
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