Multidrug Resistance-Related Protein 1 (MRP1) Function and Localization Depend on Cortical Actin
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ABSTRACT

MRP1 (ABCC1) is known to be localized in lipid rafts. Here we show in two different cell lines that localization of Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) in lipid rafts and its function as an efflux pump are dependent on cortical actin. Latrunculin B disrupts both cortical actin and actin stress fibers. This results in partial loss of actin and Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) from detergent-free lipid raft fractions, partial internalization of Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1), and reduction of Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1)-mediated efflux. Pretreatment with nocodazole prevents latrunculin B-induced loss of cortical actin and all effects of latrunculin B on Mrp1 (Abcc1) localization and activity. However, pretreatment with tyrphostin A23 does not prevent latrunculin B-induced internalization of Mrp1 (Abcc1). Cytochalasin D disrupts actin stress fibers but not cortical actin and this inhibitor much less affects Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) localization in lipid rafts, internalization, and efflux activity. In conclusion, cortical actin disruption results in reduced Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) activity concomitant with a partial shift of Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) out of lipid raft fractions and partial internalization of the ABC transporter. The results suggest that reduced Mrp1 (Abcc1) function is correlated to the loss of lipid raft association but not internalization of Mrp1 (Abcc1).

Introduction

One of the best characterized multidrug resistance (MDR) mechanisms is the overexpression of ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter proteins, which prevent intracellular drug accumulation. Among these proteins, P-glycoprotein (PGP/Pgp or ABCB1/Abcb1) and multidrug resistance-related protein 1 (Mrp1/MRP1 or Abcc1/ABCC1) are the most widely studied. Both ABC transporters are known to depend on their direct lipid environment in model membranes for optimal functioning (Sinicrope et al., 1992; Dudeja et al., 1995). Pgp (Abcb1) was found to have a higher affinity for its substrates when the surrounding lipids are in the liquid-ordered phase rather than in the liquid-disordered phase (Romsicki and Sharom, 1999). The liquid-ordered phase occurs when lipids have a high degree of saturation, like sphingolipids, which enables them to pack tightly. This is a putative characteristic of membrane microdomains or lipid rafts (including caveolae) in living cells as well (Schroeder et al., 1994; Brown and London, 2000).

Lavie et al. (1998) have shown for the first time the association of an ABC transporter with a membrane domain. They found that a substantial fraction of PGP (ABCB1) in PGP (ABCB1)-overexpressing cells was located in Triton X-100-based detergent-resistant membranes containing caveolin 1 (Cav-1). On the other hand, it was shown that PGP (ABCB1) and MRP1 (ABCC1) were not associated with caveolae in two human MDR tumor cell lines. Both MRP1 (ABCC1) and Cav-1 did not colocalize and were not coimmunoprecipitated (Hinrichs et al., 2004). Another study also reported dissociation of Pgp (Abcb1) from caveoleae in an MDR Chinese hamster ovary cell line and postulated that Pgp (Abcb1) resides in an intermediate-density membrane microdomain, which is
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distinct from both caveolar domains and classic lipid rafts and which is defined by insolubility in Brij-96 (decaethoxy oleoyl ether) (Radeva et al., 2005).

Formation and maintenance of lipid rafts seem to depend on specific lipids, namely sphingolipids and cholesterol. Lipid rafts are often considered to be highly dynamic entities, which may arise and dissolve continuously. On the other hand, the actin cytoskeleton seems to have the potential to stabilize them, at least temporarily. In fact, cortical actin may confer dynamic properties on lipid rafts, stabilizing them as separate domains upon actin binding, whereas the lipid rafts are able to move laterally and coalesce when disconnected from the actin cytoskeleton (Chichili and Rodgers, 2007). It is noteworthy that PGP (ABCB1) has been shown to be partially linked to the actin cytoskeleton (Bacso et al., 2004). Moreover, PGP (ABCB1)-actin association through ezrin, radixin, and moesin (ERM family proteins) has been shown in a MDR variant of a human T-lymphoblastoma cell line CEM-VBL100. Down-regulation of all three ERM proteins resulted in the loss of PGP (ABCB1)-actin association, and concomitant sensitization to vinblastine, which accumulated because of the reduction of Mrp1 (Abcc1) function is correlated to the loss of lipid raft association, but not internalization, of Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) by confocal microscopy and its submembrane localization by nondetergent lipid raft analysis. Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) function was determined by an efflux assay. We conclude that cortical actin stabilizes Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) efflux activity and its localization in lipid rafts on the cell surface. Studies using tyrphostin A23 to inhibit Mrp1 (Abcc1) internalization suggest that reduction of Mrp1 (Abcc1) function is correlated to the loss of lipid raft association, but not internalization, of the ABC transporter.

Materials and Methods

Materials. E)-3-[(3S)-3-Chloro-2-quinoxalinylethenylylethenylylethenyl]-[(3-di-methylamino)-3-oxopropyl]thio)methyl]thio)-propanoic acid (MK571) was a gift from Dr. A.W. Ford-Hutchinson (Merek-Frosst, Inc., Kirkland, Canada). The monoclonal anti-giantin antibody was a gift from Dr. Hauri (Department of Pharmacology, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland). Costar cell culture plastic was from Corning (Lowell, MA). RNaseA Mini Kit was obtained from QIAGEN (Valencia, CA). Cell culture media, Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS), antibiotics, 1-glutamine, sodium pyruvate, trypsin, Platinum Blue PCR SuperMix, primers and all reagents for reverse transcription were from Invitrogen (Paisley, UK). Fetal calf serum (FCS) was from Bodinco (Alkmaar, The Netherlands). Latrunculin B, cytochalasin D, nocodazole, 5-carboxyfluorescein diacetate, rhodamine 123, TRI reagent, and the monoclonal anti-β-actin antibody were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Cyclosporin A was from Alexis (Carlsbad, CA). Jasplakinolide was from Calbiochem (La Jolla, CA). Tyrphostin A23 was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA). Phalloidin Alexa Fluors 488 and 633 and all Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies were obtained from Molecular Probes (Carlsbad, CA). OptiPrep was from Axis-Shield PoC AS (Dundee, Scotland). C12-fatty acid homologs of ceramide, sphingomyelin, glucosylceramide, and lactosylceramide were from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL). The rat monoclonal anti-MRP1 (Abcc1) (MRP1) antibody was obtained from Signet Laboratories (Dedham, MA). The polyclonal anti-Cav-1 antibody was from Transduction Laboratories (Lexington, KY). The monoclonal anti-transferrin receptor antibody was from Zymed Laboratories (South San Francisco, CA).

Cell Culture and Incubation Conditions. The murine neuroblastoma cell line Neuro-2a was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). These cells were grown as adherent monolayer cultures in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% FCS, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, 2 mM l-glutamine, and 1 mM sodium pyruvate, under standard incubator conditions (humidified atmosphere, 5% CO2, 37°C). The hamster BHK cell line stably expressing the human MRP1/ABCC1 gene, named BHK-MRP1, was a gift from Dr. Rior- dan (Mayo Clinic Arizona, S.C. Johnson Medical Research Center, Scottsdale, AZ) (Chang et al., 1997). These cells were grown as adherent monolayer cultures in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium/ NUT mix F-12 (1:1) supplemented with 10% FCS, 100 U/ml penicil- lin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, and 2 mM l-glutamine under standard incubator conditions (humidified atmosphere, 5% CO2, 37°C). The cells were kept under selective pressure by growing them in the presence of 100 μM methotrexate. To disrupt the actin cytoskeleton, cells were treated with 10 μg/ml cytochalasin D or 10 μM latrunculin B for 35 min. In some cases, cells were preincubated with 10 μM nocodazole for 45 min to disrupt microtubules, followed by treatment with both nocodazole and latrunculin B for 35 min. On the other hand, cells were pre- and cotreated with 300 μM tyrphostin A23. In some experiments, cells were treated with 50 nM jasplakinolide for 20 h. All incubations with cytoskeleton modulators and all control experiments without these modulators were performed in medium without serum to avoid binding of the modulators to serum factors. Detergent-free lipid rafts were formed in a T-lymphoblastoma cell line CEM-VBL100. Down-regulation of all three ERM proteins resulted in the loss of PGP (ABCB1)-actin association, and concomitant sensitization to vinblastine, which accumulated because of the reduction of PGP (ABCB1) function is correlated to the loss of lipid raft association, but not internalization, of PGP (ABCB1). In this study, we investigated the effect of disruption of the actin cytoskeleton on the localization of Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) and its efflux function. We studied subcellular localization of Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) by confocal microscopy and its submembrane localization by nondetergent lipid raft analysis. Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) function was determined by an efflux assay. We conclude that cortical actin stabilizes Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) efflux activity and its localization in lipid rafts on the cell surface. Studies using tyrphostin A23 to inhibit Mrp1 (Abcc1) internalization suggest that reduction of Mrp1 (Abcc1) function is correlated to the loss of lipid raft association, but not internalization, of the ABC transporter.
90 min at 22,000 rpm and 4°C in a Beckman SW41 rotor (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Fullerton, CA) nine fractions of 1.34 ml of were collected (from top to bottom) and stored at −80°C.

**Immunoblot Analysis.** Protein from equal volumes of the gradient fractions was trichloroacetic acid-precipitated and resuspended in sample buffer (5% SDS, 5% β-mercaptoethanol, 0.125M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, and 40% glycerol). The samples were resolved on SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (10%) minigels and subsequently electrophoresed onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Trans-Blot Transfer Medium membrane; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). The membranes were rinsed with PBS and incubated (1 h at RT) with Odyssey blocking buffer/PBS [1:1 (v/v)]. Membranes were incubated (overnight at 4°C) with a primary antibody against MRP1 (ABCC1) (1:1000), Cav-1 (1:1000), or actin (1:5000) in Odyssey blocking buffer/PBS [1:1 (v/v)], containing 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20. Membranes were rinsed in washing buffer [PBS containing 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20] and subsequently incubated for 1 h with the appropriate infrared dye-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5000) (LI-COR Biosciences, Westburg, Leusden, The Netherlands) in Odyssey blocking buffer/PBS [1:1 (v/v)], containing 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20. After rinsing with washing buffer followed by PBS, the immunoblots were scanned with the Odyssey (LI-COR Biosciences) to visualize the immunoreactive complexes, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Relative quantification of the complexes was performed using the Odyssey software.

**Analysis of Cholesterol, Sphingolipid, and Protein Content of OptiPrep Gradient Fractions.** Lipids were extracted from pooled OptiPrep gradient fractions (Bligh and Dyer, 1959). In the extract, the cholesterol concentration was determined spectrophotometrically by a cholesterol oxidase/peroxidase assay (Game et al., 1978). Sphingolipids were analyzed by liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry on a PE-Sciex API 3000 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with a turbo ionspray source as described previously (Sullards and Merrill, 2001). High-performance liquid chromatography separation was performed as described previously (Sullards et al., 2003) with the following changes: an APS-2 Hypersil 150 × 2.1 mm column (Thermo Electron, Breda, The Netherlands) was used, and the flow rate was 200 μl/min. N2 was used as the nebulizing gas and drying gas for the turbospray ion source. The ion spray needle was held at 5500 V, and the ionspray source was held at 5500 V. The ion spray needle was held at 5500 V, and the ionspray source was held at 5500 V. The ion spray needle was held at 5500 V, and the ionspray source was held at 5500 V.

**Detection of Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1)-Mediated Efflux by Flow Cytometric Analysis.** Neuro-2a cells were plated at a density of 2 × 10⁶ cells/ml and BHK-MRP1 at 2.5 × 10⁶ cells/ml, 1 day before the experiment. Cells were harvested by trypsinization, washed with HBSS, and incubated with the Mrp1/MPR1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) substrate 5-carboxyfluorescein diacetate (CFDA; 0.5 μM) in HBSS) at 10°C for 60 min. Cells were transferred to ice and washed with ice-cold HBSS. In case of the time point 0-min samples, the Mrp1/MPR1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) inhibitor MK571 (20 μM) was added at this point. In other samples, to allow efflux, the cells were incubated at 37°C in absence of MK571 during various time intervals. In case of the inhibitor control, the 37°C incubation was performed in the presence of MK571. All subsequent steps were performed on ice. The efflux of the fluorescent substrate was stopped by ice-cold centrifugation, and the cells were resuspended in ice-cold HBSS containing MK571. On the other hand, in some experiments, Neuro-2a cells were incubated with the substrate rhodamine 123 (10 μM in HBSS), and the inhibitor cyclosporin A (10 μM) was used. The remaining cell-associated fluorescence was determined by flow cytometric analysis using an Elite flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter). For each sample, 5000 events were collected and analyzed using Win-list 5.0 software (Veraity Software House Inc., Topsham, ME). With 5000 events, clear Gaussian fluorescent distributions were obtained with a calculated error of measurement of 1.4%. In all graphic representations of results, the values express the fluorescence remaining in the cells after a certain time window of efflux at 37°C as a percentage of total fluorescence.
of the fluorescence after loading the cells (i.e., 100%). In the latter case, the cells were not allowed to efflux, because they were kept on ice. This was done for all conditions, so the values always indicate the fraction of the initial CFDA load that remains in the cells after a certain period of efflux at 37°C.

**Confocal Laser-Scanning Fluorescence Microscopy.** Neuro-2a cells were plated on glass cover slips in 12-well plates at a density of 7 × 10^4 cells/ml and BHK-MRP1 at 1.5 × 10^5 cells/ml, 1 day before the experiment. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at RT for 20 min, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 at RT for 5 min, and blocked with 2% FCS in PBS at RT for 30 min before antibody incubation. On the other hand, cells were fixed with cold (−20°C) methanol or acetone on ice for 5 min, washed extensively with PBS, and blocked with 2% FCS in PBS at RT for 30 min before antibody incubation. It should be noted that the latter protocol does not require a permeabilization step, because methanol and acetone readily permeabilize cells. Both fixation protocols were compared for all antigens to optimize the staining procedure for each antigen. For instance, MRP1 staining by primary and (fluorescent) secondary antibodies is much more pronounced after methanol fixation compared with paraformaldehyde fixation. Primary (overnight at 4°C) and secondary (2 h at RT) antibody incubations were carried out in PBS. Cells were stained with antibodies against MRP1 (ABCC1) (1:50), Cav-1 (1:100), transferrin receptor (1:100), giantin (1:500), or PBS. Cells were stained with antibodies against MRP1 (ABCC1) and secondary antibodies is much more pronounced after methanol fixation compared with paraformaldehyde fixation. Primary (overnight at 4°C) and secondary (2 h at RT) antibody incubations were carried out in PBS. Cells were stained with antibodies against MRP1 (ABCC1) (1:50), Cav-1 (1:100), transferrin receptor (1:100), giantin (1:500), or β-tubulin (1:100) and appropriate Alexa-conjugated secondary antibodies. On the other hand, F-actin was stained with Alexa 488- or 633-conjugated phalloidin. After incubations, cells were washed three times with PBS. Analysis of the samples was performed using a TCS Leica SP2 AOBS Confocal Laser Scanner Microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with an HCX PL APO 63 × 1.4 oil CS objective in combination with Leica Confocal Software. Images were processed using Adobe Photoshop CS3 (Adobe Systems, Mountain View, CA).

**Results**

**Validation of the Model Cell Lines.** This study aims to determine the effect of cortical actin on Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) localization and function and relies on the use of two model cell lines that express active Mrp1 (Abcc1) or MRP1 (ABCC1). Neuro-2a cells express endogenous murine Mrp1 (Abcc1), whereas hamster BHK-MRP1 cells strongly express human MRP1 (ABCC1) as a result of transfection. If Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) is sensitive to cortical actin disruption in both cell lines, this would indicate that the effect is not cell type-specific. We used CFDA as a substrate to measure Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) activity. Its efflux from Neuro-2a cells (Fig. 1A) was effectively inhibited by the Mrp/MRP-specific inhibitor MK571. When using the Pgp (Abcb1) inhibitor cyclosporin A, less inhibition of CFDA efflux was observed in Neuro-2a cells (Fig. 1A). With the Pgp (Abcb1) substrate rhodamine 123, very little efflux activity was observed, and there was little or no effect of cyclosporin A or MK571 (Fig. 1A). This indicates that with CFDA as a substrate, we measure Mrp (Abcc) activity in these cells. With the CFDA assay, we cannot discriminate between various members of the Mrp (Abcc) subfamily. Therefore, we performed reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) to analyze the Mrp (Abcc) mRNA expression profile in Neuro-2a cells. Of the seven subfamily members that were tested, Neuro-2a cells only expressed Mrp1 (Abcc1) (Fig. 1C). Thus, potential interfering effects of other Mrps (Abccs) in efflux assays using CFDA as a substrate are minimized. Moreover, in accordance with the absence of rhodamine 123 efflux, the two murine forms of Pgp (Abcb1) [i.e., Mdr1a (Abcb1a) and Mdr1b (Abcb1b)] were not expressed in Neuro-2a cells (Fig. 1C). In BHK-MRP1 cells, RT-PCR could not be performed because of the lack of cDNA sequence information of the Mrps (Abccs) in these hamster cells. However, because of the forced expression, BHK-MRP1 cells displayed a drastically higher level of MRP1 than the level of Mrp1 in Neuro-2a cells (Fig. 1D). Moreover, CFDA efflux from BHK-MRP1 cells was effectively inhibited by MK571 (Fig. 1B). Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that efflux of CFDA in these cells can be attributed to MRP1.

**Cortical Actin Stabilizes Lipid Raft Localization and Function of Mrp1 (Abcc1) in Neuro-2a Cells.** A large group of Neuro-2a cells was imaged at two different focal planes in the z-direction, one focal plane for visualization of stress fibers, and the other focal plane for visualization of cortical actin. The actin cytoskeleton is organized in such a way in these cells that the stress fibers occur mostly in the bottom part of the cells, in which the cells are attached to the glass coverslip. The stress fibers are typically long fibers that
extend from one membrane anchor point to another membrane anchor point on the opposite side of the cell. Upward in the cells in z-direction, the cortical actin becomes apparent.

The cortical actin is localized close to the plasma membrane to support the membrane and provide tension to the membrane. By focusing the confocal laser microscope on a z-layer close to the glass coverslip, we can image stress fibers in control Neuro-2a cells (Fig. 2B, arrowhead) and by focusing more up in the cells, we can image cortical actin (Fig. 2D, arrow). We used two modulators of the actin cytoskeleton, latrunculin B, and cytochalasin D. Treatment with 10 μM latrunculin B resulted in loss of both stress fibers and cortical actin (Fig. 2, F and H, respectively). As a control, to show that we indeed focused on the right z-layer, cells were stained for ezrin, which still is localized in the cortical region of the plasma membrane (Fig. 2G). Upon treatment with 10 μg/ml cytochalasin D, stress fibers were disrupted (Fig. 2L), but cortical actin was still present (Fig. 2N, arrow). Taken together, this shows that latrunculin B and cytochalasin D at the used concentrations affect cortical actin differently and make these two modulators valuable tools for studying the role of cortical actin in function and localization of Mrp1 (Abcc1).

We used a detergent-free method for the isolation of lipid rafts and first characterized the gradient fractions in terms of cholesterol and sphingolipid enrichment. For this purpose, fractions 1–2 were pooled, as well as 3–4, 5–6 and 7–9. Fractions 1 and 2 were most strongly enriched in both cholesterol and sphingolipids (Fig. 3) and, to a lesser extent, also fractions 3 and 4. This indicates that fractions 1 to 2, with the lowest buoyant density, optimally fulfill the criteria for lipid rafts. Latrunculin B, but not cytochalasin D, treatment resulted in a shift of Mrp1 (Abcc1) out of lipid raft fractions in Neuro-2a cells (Fig. 4A). Mrp1 (Abcc1) shifted from the lipid raft fractions 1 to 2 to the fractions 5 to 6, but mostly 7 to 9, all nonraft fractions (Fig. 4B). Also Cav-1, an established lipid raft marker, displayed a shift out of lipid raft fractions upon latrunculin B treatment but not upon cytochalasin D treatment (Fig. 4A). It is noteworthy that actin was partly localized in lipid raft fractions in control cells and also shifted from lipid rafts fractions in latrunculin B-treated but not in cytochalasin D-treated cells (Fig. 4A). Next, we measured the efflux activity of Mrp1 (Abcc1). Efflux kinetics were determined (Fig. 5A) and the efflux time of 5 min was chosen for all subsequent experiments on the effect of cytoskeleton modulators. Efflux inhibition by latrunculin B was concentration-dependent.
dependent (Fig. 5B), and 10 μM was taken for subsequent experiments. Concomitant with a shift of Mrp1 (Abcc1) out of lipid rafts, its efflux function was reduced by latrunculin B treatment (Fig. 5C). Although latrunculin B strongly reduced Mrp1 (Abcc1)-mediated efflux, cytochalasin D was much less effective (Fig. 5C). As a control experiment, we tested whether the agent latrunculin B inhibited Mrp1 efflux function as a direct competitive inhibitor (DCI). In this case, Neuro-2a cells were not preincubated at 37°C with latrunculin B, but the actin modulator was administered during the CFDA substrate loading period at 10°C (DCI 1). On the other hand, latrunculin B was added just before the efflux commenced (DCI 2). Under both conditions, latrunculin B could not exert its effect through actin modulation, but only by direct inhibition of Mrp1 function. However, loss of Mrp1 function was not observed (Fig. 5D). Taken together, these results indicate that both Mrp1 (Abcc1) localization in lipid rafts and its functional activity as an efflux pump are dependent on intact cortical actin.

Cortical Actin Dependence of Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) Localization and Function Is Not Cell Type-Specific. In BHK-MRP1 cells, a cell line that highly expresses human MRP1 (ABCC1), a similar effect of latrunculin B on MRP1 (ABCC1) localization in lipid raft fractions was observed (Fig. 6A). MRP1 (ABCC1) shifted from the lipid raft fractions 1 to 2 to the nonraft fractions 5 to 6 (Fig. 6B). Moreover, MRP1 (ABCC1)-mediated efflux was reduced by latrunculin B (Fig. 7A). Again, cytochalasin D had a minor effect on both localization in lipid raft fractions and efflux function of MRP1 (ABCC1) (Figs. 6, A and B, and 7A). Control BHK-MRP1 cells displayed stress fibers and cortical actin (Fig. 8A). Latrunculin B (Fig. 8C), but not cytochalasin D (Fig. 8B), caused disruption of cortical actin. When BHK-MRP1 cells were treated with latrunculin B for 35 min, washed, and further incubated for 15 h in FCS-containing medium without latrunculin B, the actin cytoskeleton recovered (Fig. 8D), and the cells displayed normal cell morphology and growth behavior. Concomitantly, the efflux activity of MRP1 (ABCC1) completely recovered (Fig. 7A). Finally, jasplakinolide was used to stabilize the actin cytoskeleton. BHK-MRP1 cells treated for 20 h in medium without serum including this actin modulator showed normal morphology, stress fibers, and cortical actin (Fig. 8F) compared with control cells that had been incubated for 20 h in medium without serum (Fig. 8E). In addition, jasplakinolide-treated cells displayed an accumulation of actin aggregates in the perinuclear region of the cells (Fig. 8F). Upon jasplakinolide treatment, MRP1 (ABCC1)-mediated efflux was enhanced, opposite to the effect of latrunculin B (Fig. 7B). In Neuro-2a cells, a similar trend was observed, but this effect was not significant (data not shown). MRP1 (ABCC1) association with lipid raft fractions was normal in jasplakinolide-treated BHK-MRP1 cells compared with control cells (Fig. 6, A and B). Taken together, these results indicate that the effects of cortical actin on both Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) localization in lipid rafts and its functional activity as an efflux pump are not cell type-specific but occur in two different cell lines, Neuro-2a and BHK-MRP1, and thus can be generalized.

Fig. 4. Disruption of cortical actin results in loss of lipid raft association of Mrp1 (Abcc1) in Neuro-2a cells. Neuro-2a cells were untreated (CON), treated with 10 μg/ml cytochalasin D (CYT), with 10 μM latrunculin B (LAT), with 10 μM nocodazole followed by 10 μM nocodazole + 10 μM latrunculin B (NOC/LAT), or with 300 μM tyrphostin A23 followed by 300 μM tyrphostin A23 + 10 μM latrunculin B (TYR/LAT). A, lipid raft association of Mrp1 (Abcc1), Cav-1, and actin was analyzed under these conditions. The values indicate the percentage of the specific protein found in the lipid raft fractions (1–2), relative to the total of that protein in the entire gradient (nine fractions). Typical results taken from three independent experiments are shown. B, quantification of the distribution of Mrp1 (Abcc1) over the gradient fractions under these conditions.
Cortical Actin Disruption Leads to Intracellular Accumulation of Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1). So far we have established that latrunculin B reduces efflux activity of Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1), and this effect seems to be correlated with a shift of Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) out of lipid rafts. To further investigate the subcellular localization of the ABC transporter under these conditions, we used confocal microscopy. In control Neuro-2a cells, Mrp1 (Abcc1) is exclusively detected in the plasma membrane (Fig. 9a, A and L). After latrunculin B treatment, there is still strong plasma membrane staining of Mrp1 (Abcc1), but in addition, some intracellular Mrp1 (Abcc1) is detected in the cell center (Fig. 9a, L). This was not observed after cytochalasin D treatment (Fig. 9a, G), in which Mrp1 (Abcc1) was detected exclusively in the plasma membrane and partly colocalized with actin (Fig. 9a, G–K). Upon incubation with latrunculin B, Cav-1 displayed pronounced intracellular staining in the cell center (Fig. 9a, M). Here, Mrp1 (Abcc1) and Cav-1 seemed to colocalize (Fig. 9a, L–N). Apparently, intact cortical actin prevented intracellular appearance of Mrp1 (Abcc1) and accumulation of Cav-1. Similar results were obtained in BHK-MRP1 cells, in which both MRP1 (ABCC1) and Cav-1 displayed increased intracellular staining upon latrunculin B treatment (Fig. 9b, G–K) but not upon cytochalasin D treatment (Fig. 9b, D–F). However, in control BHK-MRP1 cells, some intracellular MRP1 (ABCC1) (and Cav-1) staining was readily observed (Fig. 9b, A–C), in contrast to control Neuro-2a cells. This may be related to the forced expression of human MRP1 (ABCC1) in BHK-MRP1 cells. In view of these observations, we continued our studies regarding Mrp1 (Abcc1) localization in Neuro-2a cells.

Intracellular Localization of Mrp1 (Abcc1) Is Due to Increased Endocytosis. To investigate whether intracellular...
lar accumulation of Mrp1 (Abcc1) and Cav-1 was due to increased internalization of the proteins from the plasma membrane or alternatively impaired transport from the Golgi apparatus to the plasma membrane, we performed the following three experiments. First, immunolocalization with established markers for either Golgi (giantin) or endosomes (transferrin receptor) showed that intracellular Mrp1 (Abcc1) in latrunculin B-treated cells partly colocalized with the transferrin receptor (Fig. 10, A–C) but not with giantin (Fig. 10, D–F). This indicated that intracellular Mrp1 (Abcc1) was not a result of impaired transport out of the Golgi. Second, latrunculin B-treated cells were pretreated and cotreated with 10 μM nocodazole to disrupt microtubules. If intracellular Mrp1 (Abcc1) originated from impaired exit from the Golgi, the intracellular labeling pattern would stabilize, given that biosynthetic trafficking from the Golgi apparatus to the plasma membrane is microtubule-dependent. However, intracellular Mrp1 (Abcc1) was not detected (Fig. 11a, D), and Cav-1 did not accumulate in the cell center (Fig. 11a, H). The labeling pattern of Mrp1 (Abcc1) and Cav-1 resembled that of control cells (Fig. 11a, A and E, respectively) as well as cells treated with 10 μM nocodazole (Fig. 11a, C and G) and was largely different from that in latrunculin B-treated cells (Fig. 11a, B and F). In addition, this result indicated that intracellular Mrp1 (Abcc1) localization was not due to impaired Golgi to plasma membrane trafficking. Rather, nocodazole pretreatment prevented internalization of Mrp1 (Abcc1). Finally, to confirm endocytosis as the mechanism underlying intracellular localization of Mrp1 (Abcc1) in latrunculin B-treated cells, tyrphostin A23 was used to inhibit the process of endocytosis. When latrunculin B-treated Neuro-2a cells were pre- and coincubated with 300 μM tyrphostin A23, intracellular staining of Mrp1 (Abcc1) and Cav-1 was indeed not observed (Fig. 12a, D and H, respectively). The labeling pattern resembled that of control cells (Fig. 12a, A and E) as well as cells treated with 300 μM tyrphostin A23 (Fig. 12a, C and G) and was largely different from that in latrunculin B-treated cells (Fig. 12a, B and F). Taken together, these results indicate that latrunculin B-induced intracellular localization of Mrp1 (Abcc1) is due to increased endocytosis of the transporter from the plasma membrane.

### Lipid Rafts Association, Plasma Membrane Localization, and Efflux Function of Mrp1 (Abcc1) Are Coupled and Depend on Intact Cortical Actin.

As indicated, nocodazole pretreatment seemed to prevent latrunculin B-in-
Fig. 9. a, disruption of cortical actin results in internalization of Mrp1 (Abcc1) as well as the lipid raft marker Cav-1 in Neuro-2a cells. Neuro-2a cells were untreated (CON; A–F), treated with 10 μg/ml cytochalasin D (CYT; G–K), or treated with 10 μM latrunculin B (LAT; L–N). Cellular localization of Mrp1 (Abcc1) (A, D, G, and L), actin (B and H), or Cav-1 (E and M) was analyzed by confocal microscopy under these conditions. C, F, K, and N are the overlay images. In latrunculin B-treated cells (L), but not cytochalasin D-treated cells (G), Mrp1 (Abcc1) displays intracellular staining, in which it seems to partly colocalize with Cav-1 (L–N). In control cells, Mrp1 (Abcc1) partly colocalizes with actin (arrows in C), but not with Cav-1 [arrows in F indicate either Mrp1 (Abcc1) or Cav-1]. Scale bar, 10 μm. b, disruption of cortical actin results in internalization of MRP1 (ABCC1) and the lipid raft marker Cav-1 in BHK-MRP1 cells. BHK-MRP1 cells were untreated (CON; A–C), treated with 10 μg/ml cytochalasin D (CYT; D–F), or treated with 10 μM latrunculin B (LAT; G–K). Cellular localization of MRP1 (ABCC1) (A, D, and G) or Cav-1 (B, E, and H) was analyzed by confocal microscopy under these conditions. (C, F, and K) are the overlay images. In latrunculin B-treated cells (G), but not cytochalasin D-treated cells (D), MRP1 (ABCC1) displays enhanced intracellular staining, in which it partly colocalizes with Cav-1 (G–K). In control cells, MRP1 (ABCC1) does not colocalize with Cav-1 in the plasma membrane (A–C). In contrast to control Neuro-2a cells (Fig. 9aA), control BHK-MRP1 cells display some intracellular MRP1 (ABCC1) staining in the cell center (Fig. 9bA). Scale bar, 10 μm.

Fig. 10. Intracellular Mrp1 (Abcc1) partly colocalizes with transferrin receptor but not with giantin in Neuro-2a cells. Neuro-2a cells were treated with 10 μM latrunculin B (LAT). Cellular localization of Mrp1 (Abcc1) (A and D), transferrin receptor (TfR; B), or giantin (E) was analyzed by confocal microscopy under these conditions. C and F are the overlay images. Scale bar, 10 μm.
duced internalization of Mrp1 (Abcc1). In accordance, under these conditions of combined treatment, lipid raft association of Mrp1 (Abcc1) was largely intact and comparable with that in control cells (Fig. 4, A and B). Moreover, with the combined treatment, the efflux activity of Mrp1 (Abcc1) was comparable with that in control cells and substantially different from that in latrunculin B-treated cells (Fig. 5C). It was therefore important to study the cortical actin status under these conditions. When applied separately, nocodazole disrupted microtubules without affecting cortical actin (Fig. 11b, C and G versus A and E), and latrunculin B disrupted cortical actin without affecting microtubules (Fig. 11b, B and F versus A).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>NOC</th>
<th>NOC/LAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrp1</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="image" /></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="image" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cav-1</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="image" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="image" /></td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="image" /></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>actin</td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="image" /></td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="image" /></td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="image" /></td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-tubulin</td>
<td><img src="image13" alt="image" /></td>
<td><img src="image14" alt="image" /></td>
<td><img src="image15" alt="image" /></td>
<td><img src="image16" alt="image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 11.** a, Mrp1 (Abcc1) and Cav-1 do not accumulate intracellularly in latrunculin B-treated cells after pretreatment with nocodazole in Neuro-2a cells. Neuro-2a cells were untreated (CON; A and E), treated with 10 μM latrunculin B (LAT; B and F), treated with 10 μM nocodazole (NOC; C and G), or treated with 10 μM nocodazole followed by 10 μM nocodazole + 10 μM latrunculin B (NOC/LAT; D and H). Cellular localization of Mrp1 (Abcc1) (A–D) or Cav-1 (E–H) was analyzed by confocal microscopy under these conditions. Scale bar, 10 μm. b, cortical actin is not disrupted in latrunculin B-treated cells after pretreatment with nocodazole in Neuro-2a cells. Neuro-2a cells were untreated (CON; A and E), treated with 10 μM latrunculin B (LAT; B and F), 10 μM nocodazole (NOC; C and G), or 10 μM nocodazole followed by 10 μM nocodazole + 10 μM latrunculin B (NOC/LAT; D and H). Cells were stained for actin (A–D) and β-tubulin (E–H). The focus was on cortical actin in these cells. Note that cortical actin (arrows) remains in latrunculin B-treated cells after pretreatment with nocodazole. Scale bar, 20 μm.

**Fig. 12.** a, Mrp1 (Abcc1) and Cav-1 do not accumulate intracellularly in latrunculin B-treated cells after pretreatment with tyrphostin A23 in Neuro-2a cells. Neuro-2a cells were untreated (CON; A and E), treated with 10 μM latrunculin B (LAT; B and F), treated with 300 μM tyrphostin A23 (TYR; C and G), or treated with 300 μM tyrphostin A23 followed by 300 μM tyrphostin A23 + 10 μM latrunculin B (TYR/LAT; D and H). Cellular localization of Mrp1 (Abcc1) (A–D) or Cav-1 (E–H) was analyzed by confocal microscopy under these conditions. Scale bar, 10 μm. b, tyrphostin A23 does not prevent latrunculin B-induced loss of cortical actin. Neuro-2a cells were untreated (CON; A), treated with 10 μM latrunculin B (LAT; B), 300 μM tyrphostin A23 (TYR; C), or 300 μM tyrphostin A23 followed by 300 μM tyrphostin A23 + 10 μM latrunculin B (TYR/LAT; D). Cells were stained for actin. Note that cortical actin is disrupted in latrunculin B-treated cells (B) and after pretreatment with tyrphostin A23 (D). In tyrphostin A23-treated cells, cortical actin and stress fibers are intact (C), as in control cells (A). Scale bar, 20 μm.
and E). It is noteworthy that latrunculin B-treatment in combination with nocodazole and after nocodazole pretreatment did not result in the disruption of cortical actin (Fig. 11b, D and H), but stress fibers were still sensitive to the inhibitor (data not shown). Moreover, actin-lipid raft association under these conditions was comparable with control (Fig. 4A).

**Tyrphostin A23 Prevents Latrunculin B-Induced Internalization but Not Reduced Lipid Raft Association and Efflux Activity of the ABC Transporter.** Tyrphostin A23 was able to prevent latrunculin B-induced internalization of Mrp1 (Abcc1) (Fig. 12a). It is noteworthy that this inhibitor of endocytosis was ineffective in preventing the other effects of latrunculin: under the conditions of combined tyrphostin A23/notrunculin B treatment, lipid raft association of Mrp1 (Abcc1) was reduced and comparable with that in latrunculin B-treated cells (Fig. 4, A and B). Tyrphostin A23 by itself somewhat reduced Mrp1 (Abcc1)-mediated efflux activity but could not prevent the stronger latrunculin B-mediated effect on Mrp1 (Abcc1) activity (Fig. 13). As expected, when latrunculin B-treated Neuro-2a cells were pre- and coincubated with 300 μM tyrphostin A23 (Fig. 12b, D), the actin-labeling pattern resembled that of latrunculin B-treated cells (Fig. 12b, B). This indicated that pre- and cotreatment with tyrphostin A23 did not prevent latrunculin B-induced loss of cortical actin. Moreover, lipid raft association of actin was reduced under these conditions, comparable with the situation in cells that had been treated with latrunculin B alone (Fig. 4A). In tyrphostin A23-treated cells, cortical actin and also stress fibers remained intact (Fig. 12b, C), as in control cells (Fig. 12b, A).

**Discussion**

In this study, we have shown for the first time that cortical actin is involved in stabilizing Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) in lipid rafts on the cell surface of two cell lines, Neuro-2a and BHK-MRP1. Neuro-2a cells have endogenous expression of Mrp1 (Abcc1) but not the related Mrp (Abcc) subfamily members 2 to 7. BHK-MRP1 is a cell line that stably expresses human MRPI (ABCC1). In contrast to cytochalasin D, latrunculin B caused the disruption of cortical actin, which is in agreement with other studies (e.g., Spector et al., 1999) and loss of actin from lipid raft fractions. This resulted in a shift of Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) out of lipid raft fractions and its internalization by endocytosis. Similar events were recorded for the lipid raft marker Cav-1. These were probably separate events, because Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) did not seem to colocalize with Cav-1: Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) displayed continuous fluorescence in the plasma membrane, whereas Cav-1 presented with punctate fluorescence. This is in accordance with previous studies showing that ABC transporters are found in noncaveolar lipid rafts (Hirnichs et al., 2004; Radeva et al., 2005). Apparently, cortical actin was acting as a fence keeping raft-associated proteins from internalizing by endocytosis. For Cav-1, similar observations have been reported (Mundy et al., 2002).

Inward budding of membranes leading to the formation of endocytic vesicles, may well be stimulated by the loss of cortical actin, which normally confers elasticity on the plasma membrane (Ananthakrishnan et al., 2006).

The Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) activity is reduced concomitant with a shift out of lipid rafts and internalization of the ABC transporter. This suggests that lipid raft-cortical actin complexes provide the cell with a mechanism to stabilize Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) function. We have shown this in Neuro-2a cells, which express endogenous (murine) Mrp1 and extended our observations to a different cell line, BHK-MRP1, which expresses human MRPI. In this context, previous studies have provided evidence for a functional link between actin and two other ABC transporters [i.e., PGP (ABCB1) and MRP2 (ABCC2)] (Kikuchi et al., 2002; Luciani et al., 2002; Bacso et al., 2004). Therefore, actin association becomes a general theme in ABC transporter biology and may open new avenues for future therapeutic strategies to overcome MDR.

Our results obtained with nocodazole pretreatment have three important implications. First, they provide additional evidence that the intracellular accumulation of Mrp1 (Abcc1) in latrunculin B-treated cells is due to endocytosis and not hampered biosynthetic transport out of the Golgi apparatus. Second, they provide additional evidence for the specific role of cortical actin in stabilizing Mrp1 (Abcc1) localization and function: all effects of latrunculin B treatment on Mrp1 (Abcc1) localization and function were prevented by nocodazole pretreatment, because cortical actin was not disrupted under these conditions. Moreover, it shows that the effects of latrunculin B on Mrp1 (Abcc1) can not be attributed to a general toxic effect of latrunculin B, because this toxic effect would also occur after nocodazole treatment. Third, they suggest that effective cortical actin disruption by latrunculin B requires intact microtubules. Loss of sensitivity to latrunculin B is specific for cortical actin and not displayed by stress fibers. This suggests a function of microtubules in dynamic turnover of cortical actin.

The studies performed with the endocytosis inhibitor tyrphostin A23 have the following implications. First, they once more show that intracellular accumulation of Mrp1 (Abcc1) is due to endocytosis. Second, with this tool, we were able to disconnect the effects of latrunculin B on Mrp1 (Abcc1) internalization from its effects on lipid raft association and efflux activity.
In conclusion, cortical actin stabilizes Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) in a lipid raft environment on the cell surface and supports optimal activity of this transporter as an efflux pump. We suggest that loss of the proper lipid raft environment in the context of cortical actin results in loss of Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) activity. Internalization of Mrp1 (Abcc1) does not seem to contribute to the reduced activity but could be a mechanism to remove inactive Mrp1 (Abcc1) molecules from the cell surface. This also implies that it is not the number of ABC transporter molecules on the cell surface that determines overall efflux activity but rather the activity status of cell surface-resident Mrp1/MRP1 (Abcc1/ABCC1) molecules. This activity status in turn depends on properties of the specific membrane domain environment, including the presence of intact cortical actin.
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