The Guanine Nucleotide Exchange Factor Vav2 Is a Negative Regulator of Parathyroid Hormone Receptor/Gq Signaling
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ABSTRACT

The parathyroid hormone receptor (PTHR) is a class B G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) that mediates the endocrine and paracrine effects of parathyroid hormone and related peptides through the activation of phospholipase Cβ-, adenyl cyclase-, mitogen-activated protein kinase-, and β-arrestin-initiated signaling pathways. It is currently not clear how specificity among these downstream signaling pathways is achieved. A possible mechanism involves adaptor proteins that affect receptor/effector coupling. In a proteomic screen with the PTHR C terminus, we identified vav2, a guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) for Rho GTPases, as a PTHR-interacting protein. The core domains of vav2 bound to the intracellular domains of the PTHR independent of receptor activation. In addition, vav2 specifically interacted with activated Goq but not with Gao subunits, and it competed with PTHR for coupling to Goq. Consistent with its specific interaction with Goq, vav2 impaired Goq-mediated inositol phosphate generation but not Gs-mediated cAMP generation. This inhibition of Gq signaling was specific for PTHR signaling, compared with other Gq-coupled GPCRs. Moreover, the benefit for PTHR-mediated inositol phosphate generation in the absence of vav2 required the ezrin binding domain of Na+/H+-exchanger regulatory factor 1. Our results show that a RhoA GEF can specifically interact with a GPCR and modulate its G protein signaling specificity.

Introduction

G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) represent the largest group of cell surface proteins and transduce exogenous chemical and sensory stimuli (hormones, ions, lipids, neurotransmitters, light, and odors) into intracellular signals through activation of heterotrimeric G proteins. To couple specifically to distinct intracellular signaling pathways, GPCRs seem to interact with additional intracellular adapter and signaling proteins and may form large protein complexes that tune the signals with respect to quality and quantity (Pierce et al., 2002).

To investigate the mechanisms of signaling specificity among different G proteins, we chose the model of the parathyroid hormone receptor (PTHR). The PTHR belongs to family B of GPCRs and is a main regulator of calcium homeostasis and bone metabolism and development (Potts, 2005). These effects are exerted through the activation of multiple signaling pathways. Binding of an agonist predominantly leads to stimulation of adenyl cyclases through activation of Gas and stimulation of phospholipase Cβ through activation of Gq (Jüppner et al., 1991; Friedman, 2004; Vilardaga et al., 2011). Furthermore, the PTHR couples to Gq, which leads to adenylyl cyclase inhibition, and to G12/13, which leads to phospholipase D and RhoA activation, and it can activate mitogen-activated protein kinases (Cole, 2005).

ABBREVIATIONS: GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; α1P-AR, α1P-adrenergic receptor; CT, C-terminal domain; GEF, guanine nucleotide exchange factor; M-ACHR, muscarinic acetylcholine receptor; NHERF, Na+/H+-exchanger regulatory factor; PDZ, postsynaptic density 95/Drosophila discs large/zona occludens; PLC, phospholipase C; PTH, parathyroid hormone; PTHR, parathyroid hormone receptor; DH, Dbl-homology; PH, pleckstrin-homology; PAGE, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; DMEM, Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium; NP40, Nonidet P-40; dnNHERF, dominant-negative Na+/H+-exchanger regulatory factor 1(1–326); siRNA, small interfering RNA; HA, hemagglutinin; MERM, merlin/ezrin/radixin/moesin; IP, inositol phosphate; MBP, maltose-binding protein.
These effects seem to be regulated in a tissue- and cell-type-specific manner, which may explain the partially opposing physiological effects of PTH (Potts, 2005). Directed signaling to specific downstream pathways may be established through different arrangements of adaptor proteins within signaling complexes, which mediate signaling between pathway-specific receptor conformations and signaling pathway activation. It is unclear, however, how adaptation between different receptor conformations and differential pathway activation is achieved by adaptor proteins. For the PTH receptor, it has become increasingly clear that the C-terminal domain exhibits critical components for interactions with adaptor proteins that alter signaling and trafficking of the receptor (Vilardaga et al., 2001, 2002; Mahon et al., 2002, 2006; Klenk et al., 2010). Two members of the family of Na+/H+-exchanger regulatory factors (NHERFs) were shown to interact with intracellular parts of the PTHR (Mahon et al., 2002; Sneddon et al., 2003), and NHERFs thereby regulate trafficking and signaling of the PTHR (Wang et al., 2007, 2010; Wheeler et al., 2008). NHERF also regulates PTH-induced Gs/PLC activation and reduces adenyl cyclase activity by stimulating inhibitory Gs/β/γ-proteins (Mahon et al., 2002). The NHERF-PTHR-complex is formed through a C-terminal PDZ binding cassette in the PTHR. The PTHR C-terminal domain serves to bind other adaptor and signaling proteins, such as β-arrestin and disheveled and heterotrimeric G proteins (Mahon et al., 2002, 2006; Vilardaga et al., 2002; Romero et al., 2010).

Other cytosolic and membrane-associated proteins have been implicated in the modulation of GPCR signaling specificity. Among them are several guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) for the Rho family of GTPases. These proteins catalyze the exchange of GDP for GTP in Rho GTPases and regulate various cellular functions. It is well known that several GPCRs are regulators of Rho proteins; of the >60 known RhoGEFs, four members have been determined to interact directly with α-subunits of heterotrimeric G proteins. p115RhoGEF and PDZ-RhoGEF both interact with Gα12/13 (Mao et al., 1998; Fukuhara et al., 1999, 2001), leukemia-associated RhoGEF was found to mediate RhoA activation through both Gαq- and Gα12/13-coupled receptors, and p63RhoGEF is activated exclusively through Gαq/11 (Fukuhara et al., 2000; Boodeen et al., 2002; Vogt et al., 2003; Lutz et al., 2005). Several lines of evidence indicate that Rho GTPases play an important role in bone remodeling. RhoA controls F-actin stress fiber formation and focal adhesions and thus has effects on osteoclast motility, podosome assembly, and bone metabolism (Chelahia et al., 2000; Ory et al., 2008). It was shown in osteoblasts that expression of several osteogenic markers and cytokines, such as bone morphogenetic protein-2, osteocalcin, and interleukin-6, is regulated by RhoA, and this effect seems to be mediated through PTHR-dependent Gα12/13 activation (Ohnaka et al., 2001; Radeff et al., 2004; Singh et al., 2005b). However, the exact molecular mechanisms of Rho activation as a signaling event downstream of the PTHR are not clear. In the present study, we have identified the RhoGEF vav2 as an adaptor protein for the PTHR and have shown that it regulates directed signaling of the receptor.
control experiments were conducted separately by using MBP alone instead of MBP-PTHR-CT.

Cell Culture and Transfection. HEK 293 AD cells (referred to as HEK 293 cells) were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM), and COS7 cells were maintained in 1:1 DMEM/Ham's F-12 medium. Tissue culture media were supplemented with 100 units/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, and 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum. Cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂/95% air. For generation of stable cell lines, HEK 293 cells were transfected with HA-PTHR by using the calcium phosphate coprecipitation method. Forty-eight hours after transfection, the cells were selected for 2 weeks in culture medium supplemented with 0.5 mg/ml G-418. Clonal cell lines were derived from limited dilution series and were screened for expression of the desired protein through Western blotting. Stable monoclonal cell lines were maintained in medium containing 0.2 mg/ml G-418.

For transient transfections, cells were plated 4 h before transfection. HEK 293 cells were transfected by using the calcium phosphate coprecipitation method, with 5 μg of plasmid encoding HA-PTHR, HA-PTHR T480, M-AChR subtypes, α1B-AR, Goα, or Hi3αGoα or 1 μg of plasmid encoding myc-vav2, HA-NHERF1, or dnNHERF per 10-cm culture dish. All transfection mixtures were adjusted to equal DNA amounts with empty vector. siRNAs were transfected at a concentration of 10 nM.

Com Immunoprecipitation of Val2 and PTHR and Western Blotting. Cells were incubated with 5 μM Irysulin at 37°C for 30 min. Cells were then stimulated with 500 nM PTH for 20 min. After stimulation, cells were scraped into and lysed in ice-cold NP40 lysis buffer [5 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.5% (v/v) NP40, 0.1% (v/v) dodecylmaltoside] supplemented with a mixture of protease inhibitors (as described above). Lysates were cleared through centrifugation at 20,000×g for 30 min at 4°C, and supernatants were incubated for 2 h with anti-HA-agarose, anti-c-myc-agarose, or anti-myc antibodies bound to protein G-Sepharose (GE Healthcare Technologies). Precipitates were collected and washed three times with ice-cold NP40 lysis buffer. Proteins were eluted with SDS sample buffer, subjected to SDS-PAGE, and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore Corp., Billerica, MA). The blots were incubated with primary antibodies as indicated, and bound antibody was visualized with secondary antibodies and ECL Plus Western blotting detection reagent (GE Healthcare Technologies), in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Co-immunoprecipitation of Go subunits was performed essentially as described above. For cell lysates, NP40/proteinase lysis buffer [5 mM sodium dihydrogen phosphate, pH 7.2, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.5% (v/v) NP40, 0.1% (v/v) dodecylmaltoside] supplemented with 5 mM MgCl₂, 10 μM GDP, 200 μM Na₃VO₄, a mixture of protease inhibitors (as described above), 30 μM AlCl₃, and 5 μM NaF was used.

Insitol Phosphate Accumulation. HEK 293 cells stably expressing HA-PTHR were transfected with siRNA directed against vav2, nontargeting siRNA, HA-NHERF1, or NHERF1-126 as indicated, and were grown in six-well plates. Cells were labeled for 16 h at 37°C with 1 μCi/ml [myo-2-3H]inositol in isositol-free DMEM (MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH) containing 0.2% fetal calf serum. After labeling, cells were washed with 2 ml of HEPES buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.3, 137 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, and 1 mM MgCl₂) per well and were incubated for 10 min in 1 ml per well of the same buffer supplemented with 10 mM LiCl. Cells were stimulated with PTH at the indicated concentrations for 20 min at 37°C, and stimulation was stopped with the addition of 120 μl of ice-cold 20% (v/v) HClO₄ per well in a six-well plate. After 20 min on ice, lysates were neutralized with 1 M KOH and centrifuged for 20 min at 20,000g. cAMP levels were determined with a cAMP radioimmunoassay kit (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA) and a Wallace 1420 automatic gamma counter (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences).

Data Processing. Statistical analyses and curve-fitting were performed by using Prism 4.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA).

Results

Vav2 Binds to Intracellular Domains of the PTHR. The PTHR exhibits a large CT of ~130 amino acids, which is crucial for interactions with several adaptor and effector proteins that regulate receptor signaling (Vilardaga et al., 2001, 2002; Mahon et al., 2002, 2006). To identify novel PTHR-interacting proteins, we used a proteomic approach based on protein affinity and ion-exchange chromatography followed by mass spectrometry. Proteins associated with the PTHR were purified through affinity chromatography using the entire C-terminal domain (amino acids 464–593) of the receptor fused to MBP. For this, recombinantly expressed MBP-PTHR-CT was immobilized on amylose resin and cell lysates from bovine kidney were loaded on the columns. Bound proteins were eluted specifically through cleavage of an enterokinase site that had been inserted between MBP and the PTHR-CT. Eluted proteins were separated with SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie Blue, and 23 slices from the resulting gel were digested with trypsin and analyzed with liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization-tandem mass spectrometry. To exclude nonspecifically binding proteins, a control experiment using MBP alone, instead of MBP-PTHR-CT, was performed in parallel.

Through this screening, we identified three distinct peptides that corresponded to the guanine nucleotide exchange factor vav2 (Supplemental Fig. 1). To confirm the validity of these results and the specificity of the interaction, we performed co-immunoprecipitation experiments with PTHR and vav2 in a cellular system. For these experiments, myc-vav2 and full-length HA-PTHR were transiently expressed in HEK 293 cells. As a control, HA-PTHR lacking the intracellular C terminus (HA-PTHR T480) was used. Before precipitation of HA-tagged PTHR, cells were stimulated with PTH or left unstimulated. As depicted in Fig. 1A, vav2 co-precipitated robustly with HA-PTHR, independent of stimulation with PTH. It is noteworthy that vav2 also co-precipitated with the truncated HA-PTHR T480; however, the interaction was significantly weaker, compared with full-length HA-PTHR. To confirm the interaction, overexpressed myc-vav2 was precipitated from HEK 293 cells, which led to specific coprecipitation of HA-PTHR (Fig. 1B). We also assessed the stoichiometry of the PTHR-vav2 interaction. To do
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so, vav2 was immunoprecipitated quantitatively, and lysates before and after precipitation were probed for remaining PTHR and vav2. As shown in Fig. 1C, vav2 was almost completely precipitated from the lysate, and PTHR levels in the lysate were strongly reduced after immunoprecipitation, which indicated that the vast majority of the receptors were associated with vav2 under these conditions.

Unlike vav1, which is expressed exclusively in hematopoietic cells, vav2 is found in a wide range of tissues (Schuebel et al., 1996; Bustelo, 2000). Strong endogenous expression of vav2 is also found in kidney-derived HEK 293 cells. To test whether PTHR and vav2 coprecipitate at more-physiological expression levels, we tried to coimmunoprecipitate endogenous vav2 from HEK 293 cells transiently expressing HA-PTHR. Similar to overexpressed vav2, endogenous vav2 precipitated together with PTHR (Fig. 1D). Significantly weaker vav2 bands were detected in Western blots when PTHR T480 was immunoprecipitated. Densitometric analysis of the Western blots revealed 50% reduction of endogenous vav2 precipitated with PTHR T480, compared with PTHR (Fig. 1, D and E).

Vav proteins have complex structures, with multiple domains that promote specific interactions with other proteins. To delineate the part of vav2 that interacts with the PTHR, we used an N- and C-terminally truncated vav2 mutant, vav2188–512. This mutant consists solely of a Dbl-homology (DH) domain, which exhibits RhoGEF activity, and a pleckstrin-homology (PH) domain, which is involved in subcellular targeting. HA-PTHR and myc-vav2188–512 were transiently expressed in HEK 293 cells. Similar to the results with full-length vav2, myc-vav2188–512 robustly precipitated with HA-PTHR (Fig. 1F).

Together with our results from the proteomic screening, these data indicate that vav2 is a novel PTHR-interacting protein. The DH and PH domains of vav2 were found to be sufficient for interactions with the C-terminal domain of the PTHR. Truncation of the C-terminal tail of the PTHR strongly reduced but did not completely abolish vav2 interactions, which suggests that other intracellular parts of the PTHR may serve as additional interfaces.
subunits of heterotrimeric G proteins and to modulate their activity (Mao et al., 1998; Fukuhara et al., 1999, 2000, 2001; Lutz et al., 2005). Therefore, we investigated whether vav2 might interfere with the cognate G protein signaling pathways of the PTHR. First we conducted coimmunoprecipitation experiments with the G protein subunits Goq and Gαs, which were transiently expressed together with myc-vav2 and HA-PTHR in HEK 293 cells. With stimulation with PTH and treatment with aluminum fluoride, G proteins were driven to and kept in the active state and myc-vav2 was transiently expressed together with myc-vav2 and HA-PTHR in HEK 293 cells. With stimulation with PTH and treatment with aluminum fluoride, G proteins were transiently transfected in HEK 293 cells. Vav2 expression was specifically reduced with siRNA directed against endogenous vav2 or vav2 levels were increased through overexpression of myc-vav2. Cells were stimulated with PTH, and HA-PTHR was precipitated in the presence of aluminum fluoride. Precipitated proteins were separated with SDS-PAGE, and Goq was detected through immunoblotting. Figure 2D shows that Goq coimmunoprecipitated with activated Gαs and activated PTHR. Therefore, we asked whether vav2 might affect Goq-mediated PTHR signaling. For this purpose, a HEK 293 cell line stably expressing PTHR was generated, which allowed more-accurate investigation of PTHR signaling, compared with the use of cells transiently overexpressing PTHR. As in many other cell

Fig. 2. Vav2 and PTHR compete for Goq but not cAMP generation. A and B, HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with HA-PTHR, myc-vav2, and His6-Gαq (A) or Goq (B). Cells were stimulated with 500 nM PTH for 20 min, and myc-vav2 was immunoprecipitated with anti-myc antibodies in the presence of aluminum fluoride. Western blots of immunoprecipitates and cell lysates were probed for vav2 and His6 (A) or Goq (B), as indicated. C, HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with HA-PTHR, Goq, and myc-vav2, as indicated. Cells were stimulated with 500 nM PTH for 20 min, as indicated. Myc-vav2 was immunoprecipitated with anti-myc antibodies in the presence or absence of aluminum fluoride. Western blots of cell lysates and immunoprecipitates were probed for Goq and vav2. D, HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with HA-PTHR, Goq, myc-vav2, siRNA against vav2 (si vav2), or nontargeting siRNA (si Ctrl), as indicated. Cells were stimulated with 500 nM PTH for 20 min, and HA-PTHR was immunoprecipitated in the presence of aluminum fluoride by using anti-HA affinity beads. Immunoprecipitates and cell lysates were assessed with immunoblotting for vav2, Goq, and HA. IP, immunoprecipitation; IB, immunoblotting.
types, vav2 is endogenously expressed at relatively high levels in HEK 293 cells. To ensure more-native conditions for cell signaling and to prevent nonspecific effects that might result from vav2 overexpression, we studied the effects of vav2 on PTHR signaling by reducing endogenous vav2 expression with siRNA. PTH-induced generation of \([\text{myo}-2-\text{H}]\)inositol phosphates (\([\text{H}]\)IPs) was markedly increased upon down-regulation of vav2 (with si vav2), compared with native vav2 expression levels (with si Ctrl) (Fig. 3A; Table 1). Basal \([\text{H}]\)IP levels were not affected by siRNA transfections (si vav2 RNA: mean, −0.4%; 95% confidence interval: −9.0 to 8.2%; si Ctrl RNA: mean, 1.5%; 95% confidence interval: −9.3 to 12.3%).

In addition to monitoring the effects of vav2 on \([\text{H}]\)IP accumulation, we studied the effects of vav2 depletion on cAMP accumulation. As described above, we used HEK 293 cells that stably expressed PTHR and were transfected with siRNA against vav2 or nontargeting siRNA. Cells were stimulated with PTH, and \([\text{H}]\)IP levels were quantified in a scintillation counter after chromatographic separation. Data represent the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of five independent experiments.

**TABLE 1** Effects of vav2 knockdown on \([\text{H}]\)IP and cAMP generation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Difference, si Ctrl vs. si vav2</th>
<th>Mean ± S.E.</th>
<th>95% C.I.</th>
<th>(\rho)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>([\text{H}])IP generation, %</td>
<td>44.3 ± 7.7</td>
<td>25.4 to 63.1</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cAMP generation, nM</td>
<td>1.5 ± 2.1</td>
<td>−3.3 to 6.2</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C.I., confidence interval.

The mean differences in \([\text{H}]\)IP or cAMP generation induced by stimulation with 300 nM PTH were compared for vav2 knockdown and endogenous vav2 expression in HEK 293 cells with unpaired \(t\) tests. Data summarize results of five independent experiments.

The presence of 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine. In contrast to \(G_q\) signaling, down-regulation of vav2 had no impact on \(G_s\)-mediated cAMP generation (Fig. 3B; Table 1). To ensure that vav2 knockdown did not impair PTHR expression levels, we controlled for PTHR expression in Western blots (Fig. 3C).

These findings support the experiments described above (Fig. 2, A and B) and suggest that vav2 specifically modulates the PTHR/\(G_q\) interaction but does not affect PTHR/\(G_s\) coupling. Our data indicate that vav2 is a negative regulator of PTHR-induced PLC signaling, whereas PTH-induced adenylyl cyclase signaling remains unaffected.

**Vav2 Specifically Inhibits \(G_q\) Signaling Evoked by PTHR Activation.** Our findings that vav2 binds to both the PTHR and \(G_q\) and that it impairs signaling of the PTHR through \(G_q\) raises the question of whether the inhibition of signaling occurs primarily through the receptor or through \(G_q\). Therefore, we investigated whether the inhibition of \(G_q\) signaling was specific for the PTHR or also occurred for other \(G_q\)-coupled receptors. For this investigation, HEK 293 cells were transfected with one of the following \(G_q\)-coupled receptors: PTHR, M1-AChR, M3-AChR, M5-AChR, or \(\alpha_1B-AR\). Endogenous vav2 was depleted with cotransfection of a siRNA against vav2. Receptors were stimulated with full agonists (PTH, 300 nM PTH; M1-AChR, M3-AChR, and M5-AChR, 100 \(\mu\)M carbachol; \(\alpha_1B-AR\), 10 \(\mu\)M norepinephrine), and \([\text{H}]\)IP accumulation was measured. For each receptor, a robust >10-fold increase in \([\text{H}]\)IP levels was measured after agonist addition (data not shown). Similar to the findings described above, vav2 knockdown resulted in a ~60% increase in IP accumulation after activation of the PTHR, compared with the use of control siRNA. A difference in IP accumulation in vav2-depleted cells versus control cells was observed for none of the other \(G_q\)-coupled receptors (Fig. 4).

This clearly indicates that vav2 specifically inhibits PTHR-mediated \(G_q\) signaling and exerts its inhibitory effect primarily at the receptor level.

**The NHERF1 MERM Domain Is Required for Increased IP Generation with Vav2 Knockdown.** Our data provide evidence that direct or indirect interactions of vav2 with PTHR and \(G_q\) specifically lead to inhibition of PLC-mediated signaling. Similar to our findings for vav2, NHERF1 and NHERF2 were shown previously to interact with PTHR in a stimulation-independent manner. In contrast to vav2, however, NHERF1 and NHERF2 propagate PLC signaling of the PTHR by scaffolding PLC\(\beta\) (Mahon et al., 2002; Klenk et al., 2010). We and others have demonstrated that NHERF1 also acts as a scaffold for \(\beta\)-arrestin at the PTHR-CT, which leads to accelerated receptor desensitization (Mahon and Segre, 2004; Klenk et al., 2010). Therefore, we addressed the question of whether vav2 would interfere...
with the effects of NHERF1 on PTH-induced IP accumulation. An important prerequisite for the scaffolding function of NHERF1 is its C-terminal MERM binding domain, which connects the signaling complexes to the cytoskeleton (Voltz et al., 2001); therefore, HA-PTHR-expressing HEK 293 cells were transfected either with NHERF1 or with the dnNHERF mutant lacking the MERM domain (Weinman et al., 2001; Li et al., 2002). In addition, cells were transfected with siRNA oligonucleotides directed against vav2 or with nontargeting siRNAs. Subsequently, cells were stimulated with 300 nM PTH for 60 min, and IP generation was measured. Similar to the findings shown in Fig. 3A, we observed approximately 2-fold increased IP levels after knockdown of vav2 in NHERF-expressing cells, compared with cells expressing vav2 (Fig. 5A). dnNHERF completely abolished the effect of vav2 knockdown on IP generation, with IP generation at equally low levels, compared with vav2-expressing cells (Fig. 5A). The efficacy of vav2 knockdown and expression levels of HA-PTHR and NHERF were controlled in Western blots (Fig. 5B). These data suggest that vav2 interferes with the NHERF1-facilitated activation of PLC through the PTHR.

Discussion

In recent years, it has become increasingly clear that signaling processes from the plasma membrane to intracellular compartments are highly regulated spatially and temporally (Lohse et al., 2012). Especially for receptors that can activate as many diverse G protein-initiated signaling pathways as the PTHR, fine-tuned regulation of signaling seems necessary for the exertion of specific cellular effects. Such regulation can be provided by diverse scaffold and adaptor proteins that can specifically interact with receptors, G proteins, and/or effectors, forming dynamic signaling complexes in the proximity of the receptor. In this study, we set out to identify proteins that interact with and regulate the signaling properties of the PTHR. A biochemical interaction assay using the immobilized C-terminal domain of the PTHR combined with mass spectrometric analysis allowed us to screen native tissues, such as kidney, that endogenously express PTHR. On the basis of this initial screening, we identified the RhogEF vav2 as a PTHR-interacting protein. PTHR interacted strongly with vav2 in cells, and the majority of receptors were associated with vav2 in our model, which strongly suggests a functional role for the interaction. Although the interaction screening was performed with the PTHR-CT, a truncated version of the PTHR that lacked most of the C-terminal tail (PTHr T480) was still able to bind vav2, albeit weakly. This finding suggests that vav2 may make additional contacts with the PTHR, presumably between amino acids 463 and 480 within the C-terminal tail or at intracellular loops. Because vav2 binding to PTHR T480 was strongly impaired, compared with binding to the full-length PTHR, we conclude...
that the C terminus of the PTHR provides the most important binding site for vav2. Additional mapping of the PTHR binding interface was not possible, because truncation of the PTHR upstream of position 480 resulted in nonfunctional receptor mutants (data not shown).

The major PTHR interaction interface in vav2 most likely includes the tandem DH and PH domains, as indicated by pulldown experiments with the DH/PH domain-containing vav2 truncant vav2188–512. It is interesting to note that the activation of PTHR by PTH and the subsequent signaling and trafficking events did not detectably affect the interaction of vav2 with the receptor. Together, our protein-protein interaction data show that vav2, a guanine nucleotide exchange factor for small GTPases, can associate with the heterotrimeric G protein-coupled PTHR.

These results are in line with findings showing that several RhoGEFs are able to interact directly with heterotrimeric G proteins (Fukuhara et al., 1999, 2000; Lutz et al., 2005, 2007). Most of these RhoGEFs harbor a regulator of G protein signaling homology domain, which is required for interaction with activated G proteins of the Gα12 subfamily (Mao et al., 1998; Fukuhara et al., 1999, 2000). In contrast, p63RhoGEF does not contain regulator of G protein signaling domains and interacts with Gαq through its PH and DH domains (Lutz et al., 2007).

We next addressed the question of whether the vav2/PTHR interaction has relevance for G protein-mediated PTHR signaling. We found that vav2 preferentially binds to activated Gαq but not to Gαi. The amount of activated Gαq bound to vav2 was dramatically decreased with coexpression of PTHR, and vice versa, which indicates that vav2 may function as a switch that modulates Gαq coupling to the PTHR. Because vav2 most likely interacts with the C-terminal tail and intracellular loops of PTHR, it is conceivable that this interaction sterically interferes with Gαq coupling. Studies on the G protein-binding interface for PTHR suggest that critical components for G protein coupling are present at residues 468 to 491 of the C-terminal tail of the PTHR (Mahon et al., 2006). Other studies on PTHR signaling with the C-terminally truncated PTHR T480 revealed Gαq-mediated IP generation to be even more efficient with the truncated T480 mutant (Dicker et al., 1999; Castro et al., 2002). These data suggest that C-terminal residues between 468 and 480 may play an important role in PTHR coupling to Gαq. As mentioned above, vav2 may exhibit binding capacities for the PTHR upstream of residue 480; therefore, vav2 and G proteins may share a common binding interface on PTHR.

Our data revealed that vav2 modulated Gαq coupling to the PTHR. We next investigated whether this competitive action would affect PTH-induced generation of second messengers. We observed that vav2 negatively regulated PTH-induced IP generation, inasmuch as vav2 knockdown increased IP accumulation. In contrast, vav2 overexpression resulted in reduced IP levels after PTHR activation, which corroborated our findings (data not shown). cAMP accumulation was not affected by vav2 knockdown. These findings emphasize that vav2 specifically interferes with Gαq coupling to the PTHR. This interference with Gαq signaling by vav2 was specific for the PTHR, because signaling from other Gαq-coupled receptors was not altered by vav2. It seems likely that the interaction of vav2 with PTHR-CT is the key feature in its ability to block Gαq signaling from the receptor.

In this regard, vav2 and NHERF display remarkable similarities; both proteins constitutively interact with the PTHR. NHERF positively regulates Gαq signaling, whereas the present findings indicate that vav2 negatively regulates Gαq signaling. The two PDZ domains of NHERF were shown to direct PLCs and PTHR to signaling complexes for effective signaling (Mahon and Segre, 2004; Sun and Mierke, 2005). Furthermore, evidence that PTHR signaling and trafficking are directed by NHERF-assembled microdomains was reported (Mahon and Segre, 2004; Ardura et al., 2011). These microdomains are assembled by NHERF proteins, which link signaling complexes to the cytoskeleton through the MERM domain. Our experiments indicated that overexpression of dnNHERF, which is lacking the MERM domain, did not affect PTH-induced IP generation per se. However, disruption of the NHERF-actin interaction completely abolished the increase in Gαq signaling provoked by vav2 depletion. From this finding, we conclude that vav2 may constitute a specific counterpart to NHERF-directed PLC signaling.

The results from this work provide evidence for vav2 being a negative regulator of PTHR-Gαq signaling. The physiological roles of this novel interaction remain to be determined. It is noteworthy that several lines of evidence indicate that vav proteins may play a role in bone metabolism in vivo. In one study, homozygote vav3-knockout mice exhibited increased bone mass induced by PTH and were less sensitive to bone resorption induced by PTH or receptor activator of nuclear factor kB ligand (Faccio et al., 2005). Although this study did not reveal an effect in vav2-knockout mice, distinct expression patterns for vav subtypes and differences in the phenotypes of selective vav-knockout mice raise questions regarding the hypothesis of complete functional redundancy of vav isoforms. However, a phenotype for homozygous vav2-knockout mice has not been reported (Turner and Billadeau, 2002). Recent studies indicated that Gαq signaling, which leads to IP generation, can inhibit osteoanabolic effects of PTH (Ogata et al., 2011). The vav2-dependent modulation of PTH-induced Gαq signaling may be relevant for this mechanism, and the interaction of vav2 and PTHR described here may contribute to our understanding of the physiological regulation of PTH-mediated signaling events.

In summary, our data show that vav2, which is known to function as a nucleotide exchange factor for Rho GTPases, can interact specifically with a GPCR and control its signaling specificity by directing PTHR signaling away from Gαq. These results reveal another element of the complex network that controls intracellular signaling.
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