Casein Kinase 2α Regulates Multidrug Resistance-Associated Protein 1 Function via Phosphorylation of Thr249
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ABSTRACT
We have shown previously that the function of Ycf1p, yeast ortholog of multidrug resistance-associated protein 1 (MRP1), is regulated by yeast casein kinase 2α (Cka1p) via phosphorylation at Ser251. In this study, we explored whether casein kinase 2α (CK2α), the human homolog of Cka1p, regulates MRP1 by phosphorylation at the semi-conserved site Thr249. Knockdown of CK2α in MCF7-derived cells expressing MRP1 [MRP1 CK2α(−)] resulted in increased doxorubicin sensitivity. MRP1-dependent transport of leukotriene C4 and estradiol-17β-o-glucuronide into vesicles derived from MRP1 CK2α(−) cells was decreased compared with MRP1 vesicles. Moreover, mutation of Thr249 to alanine (MRP1-T249A) also resulted in decreased MRP1-dependent transport, whereas a phospho-mimicking mutation (MRP1-T249E) led to dramatic increase in MRP1-dependent transport. Studies in tissue culture confirmed these findings, showing increased intracellular doxorubicin accumulation in MRP1 CK2α(−) and MRP1-T249A cells compared with MRP1 cells. Inhibition of CK2 kinase by 2-dimethylamino-4,5,6,7-tetrabromo-1H-benzimidazole resulted in increased doxorubicin accumulation in MRP1 cells, but not in MRP1 CK2α(−), MRP1-T249A, or MRP1-T249E cells, suggesting that CK2α regulates MRP1 function via phosphorylation of Thr249. Indeed, CK2α and MRP1 interact physically, and recombinant CK2 phosphorylates MRP1-derived peptide in vitro in a Thr249-dependent manner, whereas knockdown of CK2α results in decreased phosphorylation at Thr1249. The role of CK2 in regulating MRP1 was confirmed in other cancer cell lines where CK2 inhibition decreased MRP1-mediated efflux of doxorubicin and increased doxorubicin cytotoxicity. This study supports a model in which CK2α potentiates MRP1 function via direct phosphorylation of Thr249.

Introduction
Development of multidrug resistance is a major cause of treatment failure in cancer. Increased drug efflux from cancer cells resulting from up-regulation of one or more members of the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter family is one of the drug resistance mechanisms. Within the ABC superfamily, ABCB1 (P-glycoprotein/multidrug resistance), ABCG2 (breast cancer resistance protein), and several members of the ABCB subfamily were shown to act as extrusion pumps for a broad range of therapeutic agents (Juliano and Ling, 1976; Cole et al., 1992; Grant et al., 1994; Lautier et al., 1998; Doyle et al., 1998; Munoz et al., 2007). To date, the best-characterized member of the ABCB subfamily is multidrug resistance-associated protein 1 (MRP1/ABCC1). MRP1 is a 190-kDa plasma membrane glycoprotein, composed of an ABC ‘core’ region and a unique N-terminal extension (NTE), a defining characteristic of ABCB subfamily (Fig. 1). MRP1 is ubiquitously expressed in normal human tissues, often as a component of blood-tissue barriers, limit-
ing penetration of numerous cytotoxic agents to the tissue. Furthermore, MRP1 is up-regulated in a number of cancer types (e.g., leukemias, lung, breast, prostate, etc.) where it confers resistance to many chemotherapeutic agents (Nooter et al., 1995; Chen and Tiwari, 2011). Although MRP1 has been shown to transport several endogenous substrates, such as leukotriene C₄ (LTC₄) and estradiol-17β-D-glucuronide (E₂₁₇βG), it also transports a wide range of structurally unrelated compounds, including chemotherapeutic agents such as doxorubicin and vincristine as well as numerous glutathione, glucuronide, and sulfate conjugates of various xenobiotics (Keppler et al., 1997).

CK2 is a highly conserved serine/threonine protein kinase that forms a tetrameric complex of two catalytic (α and/or α’) and two regulatory (β) subunits; however complex formation is not required, because separate subunits are also active (Litchfield, 2003). The kinase is highly pleiotropic, with more than 300 substrates identified, and interacts with multiple signaling pathways (Meggio and Pinna, 2003; Duncan and Litchfield, 2008; Ruzzene and Pinna, 2010). CK2 kinase is considered one of the “master regulators” of the cell, playing a major role in processes related to cell growth, proliferation, death, and survival (Trembley et al., 2010). The kinase is constitutively active and ubiquitously expressed in all eu-karyotes (Duncan and Litchfield, 2008). Moreover, CK2 expression is uniformly up-regulated in cancer and implicated in cellular transformation and tumorigenesis as well as multidrug resistance phenotype potentiation (Chambers et al., 1994; Chappe et al., 2003; Stolarczyk et al., 2011). For MRP1, the majority of the phosphorylation sites identified to date by high-throughput screens are within the “linker” region (“R-like” domain (Stolarczyk et al., 2011; http://www.phosphosite.org).

A proven and tractable genetic model to study ABCC function and regulation is the MRP1 ortholog yeast cadmium factor 1 (Ycf1p) of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Paumi et al., 2009). In an effort to identify new pathways by which the ABCC transporters are regulated, our group has carried out a number of high-throughput protein interactor studies (Paumi et al., 2008, 2009). As part of these studies, CK2α was identified as a regulator of Ycf1p function in response to salt stress. We showed that Cka1p, the yeast counterpart of human CK2α, regulates Ycf1p function via phosphorylation of Ser251 within its L0 region (Paumi et al., 2008; Pickin et al., 2010). It is noteworthy that the CK2 consensus site within Ycf1p is semiconserved in human MRP1 as Thr249 (Fig. 1).
In the study described herein, we examined the role of human CK2α in the regulation of MRP1 function via putative phosphorylation at Thr249. We provide evidence that strongly suggests that CK2α regulates MRP1 function via phosphorylation of Thr249. Furthermore, we show that MRP1 is regulated by CK2 in a variety of cancer cells. Inhibition of CK2 with CK2-specific inhibitors decreases MRP1-dependent efflux of doxorubicin and increases doxorubicin cytotoxicity.

Materials and Methods

Materials. [3H]LTC4, [3H]E217, [3H]E218, and [32P]γ-ATP were purchased from PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences (Waltham, MA). CK2 and ABC transporter inhibitors used in this study were purchased as indicated: cycloheximide (CHX), 2-dimethylamino-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzimidazole (DMAT), and 4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-benzimidazole (TBBz) from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO); PSC883 and fumitremorgin C from Solvo Biotechnology (Budapest, Hungary). DMEM, FBS, penicillin/streptomycin, and G418 were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Puromycin and doxorubicin were from Calbiochem/Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany). MTT was from AMRESCO LLC (Solon, OH). FuGENE6 Transfection Reagent, Complete EDTA-free, and PhosSTOP tablets were obtained from Roche Diagnostics (Basel, Switzerland). Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and Pepstatin A from Cayman Chemicals (Ann Arbor, MI); and valsapar (PSC883) and MK571 from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

Cell Culture. All cell lines were derived from parental human breast cancer cells MCF7/WT and MCF7/MRP1 (a gift from Dr. Rolf Craven (University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY) and were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium and DMEM with 10% FBS and 100 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin, respectively. Site-Directed Mutagenesis and Transfections. The retroviral expression vector pLNCX-MCS-X/S-MRP1, containing coding sequence for human MRP1, was obtained as a kind gift from Dr. Charles Morrow. MRP1 was mutagenized at Thr249 to Ala or Gln using QuikChange XL (Stratagene/Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Primers were designed using the QuikChange primer design program. Mutagenesis was carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions and yielded two new products, pLNCX-mcs-X/S-MRP1-T249A and pLNCX-mcs-X/S-MRP1-T249E. Mutagenesis and MRP1 sequence integrity were confirmed by sequencing (Eton Biosciences, Inc., San Diego, CA). Expression vectors pLNCX-mcs-X/S-MRP1-T249A and pLNCX-mcs-X/S-MRP1-T249E were transfected into PA317 packaging cell line by the calcium phosphate precipitation method (Ausubel et al., 1987). Media containing viral particles was collected from above the cells and used to transduce MCF7/WT cells. Transduced cells were grown under G418 selection, and clones were selected and analyzed for MRP1 expression via Western blotting at as described previously (Paumi et al., 2003). At least two clones for each mutation were analyzed in cytotoxicity, transport, and doxorubicin accumulation assays that yielded similar results. Clones with MRP1 expression levels matching the one from MRP1 cells are described in this study.

CK2α Knockdowns. Nonsilencing (scrambled) CK2α-specific shRNAs in GIPZ transfer vectors were purchased from Open Biosystems (Huntsville, AL). To maximize transfection efficiency, GIPZ plasmids were transfected into WT and MRP1 cells with use of FuGENE6 (Roche Diagnostics) and ArrestIn (Open Biosystems) transfection reagents. In brief, cells were plated in six-well plates at 10³ cells/well; the next day, plasmid DNA (1 μg/well) was diluted in small volume of serum-free media, mixed with transfection reagent (5 μl of reagent per 1 μg of DNA), incubated for 30 min at room temperature to allow complex formation, and added drop-wise to cells. Forty-eight hours after transfection, fresh medium with 0.5 μM puromycin was added to scrambled control lines, and cells were grown until polygonal stable lines were obtained. As for CK2α knockdowns, 48 h after transfection, cells were trypsinized, transferred to a larger dish, and grown under puromycin selection to obtain stable monoclonal cultures. Multiple stable clones were analyzed by immunoblotting for CK2α expression. Several of them with variable suppression of CK2α were further characterized by cytotoxicity and doxorubicin accumulation assays, which confirmed similar behavior (data not shown). Clones with matching levels of CK2α suppression are described in this study.

Immunocytochemistry. Cells were plated on coverslips the day before staining. The next day, immunostaining was carried out as follows: coverslip cultures were rinsed twice with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed by incubation with ice-cold methanol/water (v/v) for 10 min at 4°C. The cells were washed three times with ice-cold PBS, blocked in 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS for 30 min, and incubated for 1 h with primary antibody MRPI (1:40; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) in 1% BSA in PBS. Then the cells were washed three times with 0.1% BSA in PBS and incubated in the dark with a secondary antibody conjugated to fluorochrome (Alexa Fluor 568; Invitrogen), washed, mounted on glass slides with ProLong antifade reagent with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Molecular Probes/Invitrogen), and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy (Olympus BX51F2 (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with fluorescence (EXFO X-cite 120 Fluorescence Illuminating System; Lumen Dynamics Group Inc., Mississauga, ON, Canada) and Nomarski interference contrast). Images were captured with an Olympus XM10 camera and digitally documented using cellSens software (Olympus).

Cycloheximide Chase Assay. Cells were seeded at 3 x 10⁵ cells/well in six-well dishes in 2 ml of DMEM/10% FBS and allowed to grow overnight at 37°C and 5% CO₂. The following day, cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS; freshly prepared media containing 100 μM of CHX was added, and the cells were returned to the incubator. Cell lysates were prepared at 0 (no CHX added), 8, 24, and 32 h after CHX addition. In brief, cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and lysed by the addition of 250 μl of ice-cold RIPA buffer, containing phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and protease inhibitors. Cells were incubated in the presence of RIPA buffer for 5 min to allow for complete cell lysis. Cell lysates were then placed in a new tube containing 50 μl of 6× SDS-PAGE loading buffer and frozen at −20°C. Frozen samples were later thawed and incubated at 37°C for 1 h; 70 μl of each sample was run on a 7% SDS-PAGE gel followed by Western blotting procedure as described previously (Paumi et al., 2003). MRPI protein was probed with rat MRPI antibody (1:1000). Quantification of band density was carried out using Adobe Photoshop CS4 (Adobe Systems, Mountain View, CA).

Cytotoxicity Assays. Doxorubicin cytotoxicity was determined using MTT assay. Cells were plated in 96-well plates at 3 x 10³ cells/well for MCF7 cells and 5 x 10³/well for HeLa, H460, and A549 cells. Twenty-four hours after cells were plated, fresh media containing drug or vehicle were added and incubated at 37°C under 5% CO₂ for 72 h. MTT solution in amount equal to 10% of the culture volume was added to the final concentration of 0.5 μM, and cells were returned to the incubator. After 3 h, media was removed, DMSO was added, and plates were shaken until all MTT crystals dissolved. Absorbance was read at 560 nm with Titertek Multiskan MCC/340 plate reader.

Downloaded from mpharm.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on May 2, 2017
Regulation of MRP1 by CK2α

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). The data were normalized to the baseline absorbance (no drug added) and analyses were performed with use of Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Drug sensitivity was characterized by three summary statistics: AUC was calculated by the trapezoidal method were derived from the survival-drug concentration smooth fit curve (Moon, 1980); IC50 and IC90 were derived from four-parameter logistic nonlinear regression curve fits (Camppling et al., 1991).

Inside-out Plasma Membrane Vesicles Preparation and Transport Assay. Inside-out vesicles were prepared by nitrogen cavitation as described previously (Loe et al., 1996; Paumi et al., 2001). In brief, frozen cell pellets (4 × 10^6 cells) were thawed on ice and in 7 ml of the homogenization mixture. Cells were disrupted by nitrogen cavitation at 1250 psi with constant stirring for 20 min at 4°C. The homogenate was centrifuged at 1700 rpm in a centrifuge (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA) at 4°C for 15 min. The supernatant was overlaid on a 3-ml sucrose cushion [35% (w/v) in 10 mM Tris, pH 7.5, and 1 mM EDTA]. After centrifugation at 35,000 rpm for 2 h at 4°C (SW41 rotor; Beckman Coulter), the opaque interface was collected, diluted into five parts TS buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.5, and 250 mM sucrose), and centrifuged at 35,000 rpm for 40 min at 4°C (SW41 rotor). The pellet was suspended in 1 ml of 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, and 250 mM sucrose, gently dispersed by 10 to 15 passages through a 27-gauge needle, and stored in aliquots at −80°C.

The MRP1-dependent uptake of 3H-labeled conjugate by vesicles was determined using an adaptation of the membrane rapid filtration method (Kepper et al., 1998; Paumi et al., 2003). In brief, 50-μl reaction mixtures contained 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl2, and 250 mM sucrose, 4 mM ATP or 5'-diphosphate (nonhydrolyzable ATP control), and various concentrations of 80°C. Reactions were initiated by addition of membrane vesicles (5 μg/50-μl reaction). After a 1-min incubation, the reactions were terminated by the addition of 1 ml of ice-cold TS buffer. Samples were immediately filtered with vacuum through 25 mm hydrophilic membrane filters (GVWP; Millipore Corp., Billerica, MA), and the retained vesicles were washed twice with 1 ml of ice-cold TS buffer before liquid scintillation counting.

Doxorubicin Accumulation Assay. Cells were plated in complete DMEM, without selection antibiotics, at 3 × 10^5 cells/well in 24-well dishes the day before assay to be roughly 90% confluent at the time of the assay. The next day, the cells were incubated with 50 μM doxorubicin for 1 h, followed by a 30-min efflux step without doxorubicin. Where indicated, cells were pretreated with inhibitor for 30 min to 1 h before addition of doxorubicin. Next, cells were washed with PBS, trypsinized, transferred to 1.7-ml Eppendorf tubes, spun down, and lysed in 700 μl of RIPA buffer. Lysate (200 μl; in duplicate or triplicate) was then transferred to OptiGas 96-well Microplates (Falcon; BD Biosciences Discovery Labware, Bedford, MA) and, for all MCF7-derived cell lines, fluorescence was read by SpectraMax M2 (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA), with excitation at 536 nm, emission at 648 nm, and cutoff at 630 nm (to exclude green fluorescence from GIPZ plasmid). For all other cell lines, we used Synergy 2 Multi-Mode Microplate Reader (BioTek Instruments, Inc.) with excitation filter 460/40 and emission 560/15.

After fluorescence reading, 25 μl of lysate from each well was taken for protein measurement by bichinchoninic acid assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Synthesis of Synthetic Peptides. All peptides were assembled by 9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl solid-phase peptide synthesis on a TETRA5 peptide synthesizer (CeoSals, Louisville, KY). In brief, the peptides were synthesized using Wang resins substituted with the first amino acid. Amino acid deprotection and coupling then followed standard methods to extend the peptide sequence as designed. Biotin was incorporated at the N terminus via standard coupling with the exposed carboxylic acid functionality. Upon completion of assembly, the resin was washed with dimethylformamide, MeOH, and CH2Cl2 and then dried. The peptide was cleaved from the resin by gentle mixing of the resin with a mixture of 6% phenol, 4% double-distilled water, and 90% trifluoroacetic acid for 5 h, and the resin was filtered away. The peptides were precipitated by the addition of ice-cold diethyl ether and dried under vacuum. The crude peptide was purified by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (double-distilled water/acetonitrile and 0.5% trifluoroacetic acid), and the mass of each of the peptides was confirmed by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization/time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Peptide synthesis yielded three peptides for use in the recombinant human CK2α kinase assays. The three peptides synthesized were biotin-Ahx-LNKEDASSEQV (MRP1-Thr249 peptide, Thr249 in bold), biotin-Ahx-LNKEDASSEQV (MRP1-Thr249 peptide, Ala249 in bold), and biotin-Ahx-LNKEDASSEQV (MRP1-Thr249 peptide, Ala249 in bold). Electrospray mass spectrometry confirmed the mass of each peptide.

In Vitro Phosphorylation Assay. Kinase assays were carried out using a modification of the Sigma-Aldrich biotin substrate-tagged method. In brief, radiometric assays were carried out in 50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol, 100 nmol/50 μl BSA, 100 μM ATP, and 2 μl of [γ-32P]ATP (10 Ci/mmol) (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences), and 200 mM NaCl using 250 μM biotinylated synthetic peptide as the substrate in a 100-μl reaction volume. Reactions were initiated by the addition of recombinant human CK2α (Assay Designs, Ann Arbor, MI) and carried out for 10 min at 30°C. Reactions were stopped by the addition of a 25-μl reaction aliquot to 4 μl of 0.5% EDTA and 4 μl of 250 mg/ml avidin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and allowed to incubate at room temperature for 5 min. Terminated reaction aliquots were added to a 50-kDa spin column (Pall Scientific, East Hills, NY) spun at 14,000 rpm for 5 min and subsequently washed two times with 100 μl of wash solution (0.5 M phosphates and 0.5 M NaCl, pH 8.5). Spin columns were submerged directly into scintillation vials containing 12 ml of scintillation cocktail and counts per minute of phosphorylated peptide were determined via a scintillation counter (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences). All experiments were normalized to a zero time point and performed in triplicate. Results are reported as nanomoles of phosphorylated peptide formed per 5 min of reaction per milligram of recombinant protein.

Synthesis and Purification of the Thr249 Phosphospecific Antibody. The synthesis and purification of the MRP1-Thr249 P phosphospecific antibody was performed by Open Biosystems. In brief, two small peptides containing the MRP1-Thr249 CK2 consensus site in a nonphosphorylated and a nonhydroxylizable form [CWSLKNEDTSEQVVP and CWSLNKDDEP'TSEQVPP, respectively] were synthesized using standard techniques and conjugated to keyhole limpet hemocyanin. Two rabbits were injected with the phosphorylated version of the synthetic peptide over a 90-day period (Open Biosystems 90-day protocol). Serum was collected at three separate times (days 0, 35, and 90) and shipped. The specificity of each aliquot was tested by immunoprecipitation and Western blotting for MRP1. One rabbit was found to produce MRP1-specific antibodies. Serum containing MRP1-specific antibody was then subjected to negative affinity chromatography according to the Open Biosystems standard protocol.

Immunoprecipitations. For immunoprecipitations with MRP1-Thr249-P phosphospecific antibody, cells were lysed by brief sonication, and membrane fractions were prepared in the presence of protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Membranes were resuspended in 20 mM Tris-HCl and 0.5% n-dodecyl-β-D-maltopyranoside, and standard immunoprecipitation procedures were followed. In brief, immunoprecipitation, cells were lysed by addition of lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 0.5% n-dodecyl-β-D-maltopyranoside, and 1× protease inhibitor cocktail) and incubated while rocking for 10 min at 4°C, Leijft on ice for 1 h, and subsequently spun down at 500g for 15 min, and the supernatant was retained. Protein concentration was measured by bichinchoninic acid assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific). One milligram of membrane or total protein lysate was incubated overnight while rocking at 4°C with primary antibodies, MRP1-
Thr249-P (phosphospecific antibody synthesized by Open Biosystems), anti-MRP1 (QCRL-1; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), or anti-CK2α (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The next day, Protein AG PLUS-Agarose (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was added, and reactions were incubated overnight. Immunoprecipitates were washed three times in lysis buffer, then 2× Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) was added, and preparations were incubated for 1 h at 37°C followed by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis. Proteins were transferred by semi-dry transfer (Bio-Rad Laboratories) to nitrocellulose membrane, blocked in 3% BSA in Tris-buffered saline/Tween 20 (for phosphospecific antibody) or with 5% nonfat dry milk in Tris-buffered saline/Tween 20, and incubated with primary antibody to detect the protein of interest.

Results

Suppression of CK2α Protein Expression Results in Decreased MRP1 Transport Activity. We chose MCF7 cells as our study model because they lack ABCB1 and ABCG2 transporter expression, which was crucial for study of MRP1 function, because substrate specificity of these three transporters greatly overlaps. We generated a number of stable MCF7-derived cell lines, and those with matched CK2α and MRP1 expression were selected for further analysis. This was critical for cross–cell-line comparisons. To determine whether MRP1 is regulated by CK2α, we measured the effect of reduced CK2α expression on MRP1-mediated cellular resistance to doxorubicin and on MRP1-dependent transport in vitro. We reasoned that if CK2α regulates MRP1 function, then decreasing cellular CK2α activity via shRNA-mediated silencing of CK2α protein should result in a change in MRP1 function. MRP1-overexpressing (MRP1) and wild-type (WT) MCF7 cells were transfected with scrambled or CK2α-specific shRNAs, and stable clones with CK2α expression reduced by half were obtained (Fig. 2A, lanes b, c, e, and f). Immunofluorescence staining was performed to assess whether shRNA delivery or CK2α knockdown altered cellular localization of MRP1; however, no difference in localization of MRP1 protein was observed compared with MRP1 cell line (Fig. 2B, compare e, f, and d).

The effect of CK2α on MRP1-mediated resistance to doxorubicin was measured by MTT assay, and AUC, IC₅₀, and IC₉₀ values were extrapolated from the cell survival plots (Fig. 3, A and C; Table 1). Knockdown of CK2α expression had no effect on cellular viability of WT cells [Fig. 3, A and C; Table 1; WT scrambled versus WT CK2α(−)], whereas it significantly decreased resistance to doxorubicin in MRP1-expressing cells [Fig. 3, A and C; Table 1; MRP1 scrambled versus MRP1 CK2α(−)].

To determine whether the decrease in MRP1-mediated resistance to doxorubicin in MRP1 CK2α(−) cells was due to a decrease in MRP1 function, in vitro transport assays were performed on WT scrambled, WT CK2α(−), MRP1 scrambled, and MRP1 CK2α(−) derived inside-out vesicles. ATP-dependent transport of LTC4 and E₂₁₇G was measured as described under Materials and Methods. Transport of LTC₄ into MRP1 CK2α(−) vesicles was significantly reduced compared with MRP1 and MRP1 scrambled control (Fig. 3E). Transport of E₂₁₇G also shows a trend to be reduced; however, it did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 3F).

MRP1 Phosphorylation at Thr249 Positively Regulates Transport Activity in Vitro. To determine whether phosphorylation of Thr249 affects MRP1 transporter function, two site-specific mutants were generated: MRP1-T249A, with alanine blocking phosphorylation at Thr249; and MRP1-T249E mutant, mimicking threonine phosphorylation. WT cells were retrovirally transduced with each mutant vector, and stable clones of both MRP1-T249A and MRP1-T249E were selected to have expression comparable with MRP1 cells (Fig. 2A, lanes g and h versus lane d). None of the cell lines expressed ABCB1 or ABCG2. Immunofluorescence staining confirmed that mutations had no visible effect on MRP1 cellular localization, and both mutants localized predominantly to the plasma membrane, similar to wild-
type MRP1 (Fig. 2B, d, g, and h). In addition, we performed cycloheximide chase assay to address whether mutation of Thr249 to Ala or Glu affects MRP1 protein stability. We have found that the stability of both MRP1-T249A and MRP1-T249E does not differ from that of the wild-type MRP1 protein, suggesting that the mutations at Thr249 do not alter protein folding (Supplemental Fig. 1).

The resistance of MRP1-, MRP1-T249A-, and MRP1-T249E-expressing cells to doxorubicin and in vitro transport assays were carried out as described above for the CK2α knockdowns. Overexpression of MRP1 resulted in approximately 10-fold increase in cellular resistance to doxorubicin (Fig. 3B; Table 1; WT versus MRP1), consistent with previous reports (Paumi et al., 2003). Although cell survival plots for MRP1-T249A and MRP1-T249E do not seem to differ from those for the MRP1 cell line, a modest increase in sensitivity can be seen for MRP1-T249A cells, and a trend to confer more resistance can be seen for MRP1-T249E cells (Fig. 3, B and D; Table 1). In vitro transport assays (Fig. 3, E and F) revealed that MRP1-T249A dependent transport of both LTC4 and \( E_2 \) was roughly double that of MRP1. These results support our hypothesis that phosphorylation at Thr249 positively regulates MRP1 transport activity.

**Inhibition of CK2α Results in Decreased Doxorubicin Efflux from MRP1-Expressing Cells in Cell Culture.** As a complementary analysis to the work described above, we assessed MRP1-mediated drug efflux from cells pretreated with doxorubicin by measuring intracellular accumulation of the drug. Consistent with cytotoxicity and transport data described earlier, CK2α knockdown in MRP1-expressing cells resulted in increased doxorubicin accumulation in these cells compared with MRP1 scrambled control (Fig. 4, com-
TABLE 1
Doxorubicin sensitivity of MCF7-derived cell lines used in this study
AUC was derived from fraction cell survival-doxorubicin concentration plots in Fig. 3, C and D. IC<sub>50</sub> and IC<sub>90</sub> values derived from four-parameter logistic nonlinear regression curve fit presented in Fig. 3, A and B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Line</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>IC&lt;sub&gt;90&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nM</td>
<td>nM</td>
<td></td>
<td>nM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT scrambled</td>
<td>162&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>10.90–12.52</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>1.81–2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT CK2α(−)</td>
<td>155&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>9.459–11.90</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.08–1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP1 scramble</td>
<td>360&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>88.6&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>79.23–98.97</td>
<td>5.45&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.99–7.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP1 CK2α(−)</td>
<td>229&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>24.9&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.55–28.73</td>
<td>1.31&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.9036–1.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP1</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>10.98–13.47</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>1.9–3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP1-T249A</td>
<td>1114</td>
<td>105.3&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>97.85–113.3</td>
<td>12.99&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.68–15.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP1-T249E</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>101.7&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>87.53–118.2</td>
<td>5.58&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.76–8.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1514</td>
<td>107.7&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>94.61–122.6</td>
<td>11.67&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.39–16.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval.
<sup>a</sup> AUC calculated only for data points between 0 and 1 µM to allow for comparison between the lines.
<sup>b</sup> Significantly different from WT scrambled control.
<sup>c</sup> Significantly different from MRP1 scramble.
<sup>d</sup> AUC calculated only for data points between 0 and 1 µM.
<sup>e</sup> Significantly different from WT control.
<sup>f</sup> Significantly different from MRP1.

**Fig. 4.** CK2α knockdown, inhibition of CK2, and mutation of the putative CK2 phosphorylation site at Thr249 to alanine results in decreased MRP1-mediated doxorubicin efflux. Doxorubicin accumulation assays were performed as described under Materials and Methods. Where indicated, cells were pretreated with 10 µM DMAT, a potent CK2α inhibitor, before the addition of doxorubicin (gray bars). The bars represent mean value ± S.D. of three or more separate experiments with treatments performed in triplicate or greater. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post test.
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Doxorubicin accumulation to levels similar to that of the WT, WT CK2α(−), MRP1 CK2α(−), and MRP1-T249A cells (Fig. 4). Thus, through two independent techniques, we have shown that CK2 activates MRP1 through Thr249.

**CK2α Regulates MRP1 Function via the Direct Phosphorylation of Thr249.** The findings described above show that MRP1 and CK2α proteins interact functionally and suggest that CK2α regulates MRP1 function via the phosphorylation of Thr249. In this light, we further hypothesized that CK2α directly phosphorylates MRP1 at Thr249, without intermediate proteins and/or pathways. Direct interaction of kinase and substrate, even if transient, is necessary for phosphorylation. Hence, to determine whether MRP1 and CK2α proteins indeed interact physically within cells, we carried out coimmunoprecipitation studies. As described under Materials and Methods, lysates from WT and MRP1 cells were subjected to coimmunoprecipitation with an antibody against either CK2α or MRP1, and immunoprecipitated protein complexes were further characterized by Western blotting. Both CK2α and MRP1 proteins were found in coimmunoprecipitations (coIPs) carried out against MRP1 and CK2α (Fig. 5A, lane 3 and 6), providing evidence for direct interaction of the two proteins.

To determine whether CK2α kinase can phosphorylate Thr249 on MRP1, we performed in vitro kinase assays with human recombinant CK2α and three peptide substrates: a control peptide to measure general CK2 activity and two peptides derived from the CK2 consensus site in MRP1, the first containing Thr249, the second with Thr249 substituted by alanine. Peptide substrates were used instead of full-length protein because MRP1 is a membrane protein with 17 membrane spans and high molecular weight; as such, a purification method of the full-length protein has yet to be described. Human recombinant CK2α phosphorylated both the control and the MRP1<sub>244–255</sub> peptides, whereas the phosphorylation of the MRP1<sub>244–255–T249A</sub> was reduced 6-fold.
compared with the MRP1<sub>244-255</sub> peptide (Fig. 5B). Summarizing, these results suggest that CK2α can phosphorylate MRP1 at Thr249.

Next, a phosphospecific antibody to Thr249 (MRP1-Thr249-P) was produced in a rabbit as described under Materials and Methods. Upon purification of the phosphoantibody by negative affinity chromatography, we tested its specificity by using it to immunoprecipitate the antigen from WT, MRP1, and MRP1-T249A membrane lysates (Fig. 5C). We reasoned that if MRP1 was phosphorylated at Thr249, as our data suggested, then MRP1 should be immunoprecipitated from MRP1 cells but not from MRP1-T249A line. Custom-made rabbit antibody against synthetic peptide corresponding to MRP1-Thr249 consensus site [WSLNKEDTSEQVVP] was used to immunoprecipitate (IP) MRP1 protein from membrane fractions prepared from WT, MRP1, and MRP1-T249A cells, followed by IB with MRP1 antibody (QCR1-1). D, test of linearity carried out by immunoprecipitation with fixed amount of MRP1-Thr249-P antibody on increasing amount of MRP1 membrane fraction. E, knockdown of CK2α results in decreased MRP1 phosphorylation at Thr249. Membrane fractions prepared from MRP1 and MRP1 CK2α(-) cells were subjected to immunoprecipitation with rabbit MRP1-Thr249-P antibody (top). Relative phosphorylation (phosphorylated MRP1/total MRP1) was determined by densitometric analysis with use of Adobe Photoshop CS4.

Inhibition of CK2 in MRP1-Expressing Cancer Cell Lines Results in Decreased MRP1-Mediated Doxorubicin Efflux and Increased Sensitivity to Doxorubicin. To validate our findings from MCF7 system overexpressing MRP1, we carried out doxorubicin accumulation assays in cells endogenously expressing MRP1 protein. To validate our model, a small panel of MRP1-expressing cell lines was selected: A549 non–small-cell lung cancer and HeLa cervical cancer cells. We considered the expression of other ABC proteins that transport doxorubicin, [e.g., ABCB1 and a mutant form of ABCG2 (R482T/G)]. Immunoblots in Fig. 6A show that all cell lines express MRP1 and CK2α but not ABCB1. ABCG2 was detected only in H460 (Fig. 6A). Doxorubicin accumulation assays were performed on all cell lines, untreated or pretreated with MK571 (MRP1 inhibitor), TBBz (CK2 inhibitor), fumitremorgin C (FTC; ABCG2 inhibitor), and PSC833 (ABC1 inhibitor), where indicated (Fig. 6B). In this study, TBBz was substituted for DMAT as the CK2 inhibitor because DMAT recently went under patent and is no longer available for purchase. TBBz, the parental compound from which DMAT was derived, inhibits CK2 to a similar extent except that the IC<sub>50</sub> is approximately 5-fold higher (Pagano et al., 2004). Therefore, in these studies, cells were treated with 50 μM TBBz as a replacement for 10 μM DMAT.
As anticipated, inhibition of MR1P with MK571 resulted in significant increase in doxorubicin accumulation in all three cell lines, consistent with high expression of MR1P protein. Compared with untreated and MK571-treated cells, TBBz pretreatments alone resulted in an intermediate but significant increase in doxorubicin accumulation in cells. We reasoned that if TBBz-mediated increase in doxorubicin accumulation in these cells were dependent upon MR1P, then cotreatment of the cells with TBBz and MK571 would not increase doxorubicin accumulation beyond what was obtained with MK571 alone. The results depicted in Fig. 6B confirmed that this is indeed the case for HeLa, H460, and A549 cells. It is important to note that the doxorubicin accumulation was unaltered by treatment of cells with PSC833 and FTC, confirming that ABCB1 and ABCG2 do not play a role in doxorubicin efflux in these cells. Likewise, cell toxicity assays demonstrated that doxorubicin sensitivity of HeLa, H460, and A549 cell lines is enhanced by pretreatment with MK571 or TBBz (Fig. 6C). Moreover, similarly to doxorubicin, accumulation assays, copretreatment with both MK571 and TBBz showed no additive effect, strongly suggesting that the effect of TBBz treatment results from inhibition of CK2α-mediated up-regulation of MR1P function.

**Discussion**

Here we have examined the role of CK2α in regulating MR1P function via phosphorylation of Thr249. CK2 kinase plays a major role in cell death/survival decisions; consequently, CK2α subunit knockout mice die in midembryogenesis, whereas CK2α knockdown in cell culture is associated with decreased cell survival (Di Maira et al., 2007; Seldin et al., 2008), and even modest reduction in its expression has a large impact on cancer cell homeostasis (Wang et al., 2001; Seeber et al., 2005; Duncan and Litchfield, 2008; Trembley et al., 2010). Thus, it was not surprising that 50% reduction in CK2α expression in our cells was reflected by increased doxorubicin sensitivity (Fig. 3, A and C; Table 1), significant changes in cells ability to efflux doxorubicin (Fig. 4), and reduced MR1P transport ability (Fig. 3, E and F).

Cell survival when exposed to a known MR1P substrate, doxorubicin, was assessed by MTT assay; IC50 and IC90 values were extrapolated from this plot (Fig. 3, A and B). The statistics listed in Table 1 demonstrate significant reduction in doxorubicin sensitivity in MR1P-expressing cells upon CK2α knockdown, survival curves for MR1P and MR1P mutant lines show little difference at lower doxorubicin concentrations (including IC50), but they start to differentiate toward higher concentrations, as depicted by the IC90 values. To better understand this phenomenon we plotted the data directly as fraction of cell survival versus concentration (Fig. 3, C and D) and calculated the area under the resulting fit curves (AUC; Table 1), as described by others (Moon et al., 1981). Figure 3D clearly shows that the survival curve for MR1P-T249E mutant reaches a plateau much ear-
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**Fig. 6.** Inhibition of CK2 in HeLa, H460, and A549 cancer cell lines results in decreased MR1P-dependent doxorubicin efflux. A, Western blot showing expression of MR1P, ABCB1, ABCG2, and CK2α proteins in HeLa, H460, A549, and MCF7 (WT) and MCF7/MR1P (MR1P) cell lines. In lane 1, ABCB1-expressing cell line was loaded as a positive control for immunoblotting of ABCB1. B, doxorubicin accumulation assays were performed as described under Materials and Methods. Where indicated, cells were pretreated with 50 μM MK571, 40 μM TBBz, 10 μM FTC, or 1 μM PSC833 for 1 h before the addition of doxorubicin. Experiments were performed in triplicate, and results were presented as mean values ± S.D. Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-test. C, cytotoxicity profiles of doxorubicin were determined by MTT assay as described under Materials and Methods. Points represent mean values ± 95% confidence intervals of two or three separate experiments performed with repeated measures in 96-well plates. The data were normalized to the baseline absorbance, and four-parameter logistic nonlinear regression curves were generated with use of GraphPad Prism 5. Summary statistics derived from these data are listed in Table 2.
lier than for either MRP1 or MRP1-T249A, represented by a larger AUC value. The earlier plateau for MRP1-T249E indicates reduction in lethality beyond 100 to 200 nM and suggests the presence of cell subpopulations biochemically or kinetically resistant to doxorubicin (Moon et al., 1981). Taken together, we postulate that there are differences in doxorubicin sensitivity among MRP1, MRP1-T249A, and MRP1-T249E cell lines, although they are difficult to demonstrate with this assay for several reasons (Chambers et al., 1984; Cole, 1986; Campling et al., 1988; Campling et al., 1991). Moreover, toxicity of doxorubicin does not depend simply on total amount of drug within the cell but also on the proliferative state of the cell (Chambers et al., 1984). It is noteworthy that cell density is also known to affect localization of MRP1 protein. Roelofsen et al. (1997) showed that MRP1 localized to the lateral membrane of adjacent cells but was not detectable in membranes of separate cells MRP1, also seen in Fig. 2B. In our doxorubicin accumulation assays, cells are assayed at 80 to 90% confluence, whereas in MTT assays, cells are plated to be in exponential phase and reach ≤70% confluence on a third day, before addition of MTT. If indeed MRP1 localized to a different compartment of the cell in nonadjacent cells, it would not efflux drug from the cell, and depending on the compartment, it might actually contribute to drug sequestration inside, resulting in altered apparent drug cytotoxicity. In this light, the most reasonable explanation for the apparent discrepancies between the results of cytotoxicity, doxorubicin accumulation, and transport assay is that each assay measures different parameters under different conditions. The transport assay measures apparent saturable kinetics of efflux in vitro, whereas the doxorubicin accumulation experiment measures MRP1-dependent efflux from the cell and is tied directly to the ability of the drug to enter the cell. At the conditions used in the doxorubicin accumulation assay, it is unlikely that our system was saturated, and therefore the assay is likely to be much more sensitive to loss of function rather than gain of function. In addition, because the results of doxorubicin accumulation and cytotoxicity assays are sensitive to cell-seeding density and MRP1 cellular localization, even if performed at very similar conditions, these factors would not allow for direct correlation. With respect to our cytotoxicity experiments, those are carried out over 72 h and therefore reflect not only drug induced cytotoxicity but also inhibition of cell growth. For these reasons, we feel that the doxorubicin accumulation and transport assays are more representative of MRP1 function in vivo. Analysis of MRP1-dependent in vitro transport (Fig. 3, E and F) and MRP1-dependent doxorubicin intracellular accumulation in tissue culture (Fig. 4) strongly suggests that MRP1 function is regulated by CK2α in a Thr249-dependent manner. The regulation of MRP1 via CK2α seems to be general, not substrate-specific, because both CK2α knockdown and Thr249A Ala mutation similarly alter MRP1 function toward different substrates: LTC4, E217G, and doxorubicin (Figs. 3 and 4). It is noteworthy that transport analysis suggests that in MCF7 cells, MRP1 is phosphorylated at Thr249 at approximately 50% of capacity, because T249E mutation increases transport by 2-fold and T249A mutation decreases it by 50%. However, tissue culture experiments show similar doxorubicin accumulation for MRP1 and MRP1-T249E, whereas the MRP1-T249A and MRP1 CK2α(−) cells accumulate 2-fold more doxorubicin compared with MRP1 cells, suggesting that a large fraction of MCF7 cellular pool is phosphorylated (50–100%).

We provide strong evidence that CK2α regulates MRP1 function via a Thr249-dependent mechanism involving direct phosphorylation of Thr249 by CK2α. Support for our model comes from colPs showing that MRP1 and CK2α physically interact (Fig. 5A), CK2α kinase assays showing that CK2α consensus site of MRP1 is phosphorylated in a Thr249-dependent manner (Fig. 5B), and immunoprecipitations with phosphospecific antibody demonstrating absent phosphorylation of Thr249 in MRP1-T249A and reduced in MRP1 CK2α(−) cells (Fig. 5E).

The basis for our study came from discovery of a CK2 phosphorylation site in Ycf1p and previous reports of homologous site in murine MRP6 phosphorylated in vivo (Villén et al., 2007; Paumi et al., 2008; Pickin et al., 2010). As for other potential CK2 consensus sites on MRP1, prediction engines (e.g., GPS, KinasePhos) most consistently list, among the multitude of possibilities, Ser1268 (Xue et al., 2008). Ser1268 analogous site in murine MRP6 phosphorylated in vivo (Villén et al., 2007; Paumi et al., 2008; Pickin et al., 2010). As for other potential CK2 consensus sites on MRP1, prediction engines (e.g., GPS, KinasePhos) most consistently list, among the multitude of possibilities, Ser1268 (Xue et al., 2008). Ser1268 is localized toward the C terminus of the protein, between membrane-spanning domain 2 and nucleotide-binding domain 2, but thus far, high-throughput studies have not con-
firmed that this residue is phosphorylated. As discussed in our recent review (Stolarczyk et al., 2010), the majority of protein kinase A and C phosphorylation sites identified so far lie within the regulatory domain (R), located on the cytosolic linker connecting the two “halves” of the full-length ABC transporters. The case for MRP1 is expected to be similar, because there are multiple potential protein kinase A and C consensus sites within the R-like linker region on MRP1, and high-throughput detection studies found 11 phosphorylated residues in this region. However, identity of the kinase and the biological effect of the phosphorylation remain to be elucidated.

Immunoprecipitation analysis shows that in MRP1 CK2α(−) cells, phosphorylation is reduced but not absent, consistent with reduced but not eliminated CK2α protein expression, confirming that Thr249 phosphorylation is CK2α-dependent. This observation is in agreement with our finding that vesicles derived from MRP1 CK2α cells have an intermediate ability to transport LTC4 and E217βG compared with MRP1 and MRP1-T249A. Our model for CK2α phosphorylation of Thr249 is further supported by results from doxorubicin accumulation assays in the presence of DMAT, a specific CK2 inhibitor. Pretreatment of MRP1 cells with DMAT increased doxorubicin cellular accumulation by 2-fold, whereas no change was observed for pretreatments of MRP1 CK2α(−), MRP1-T249A, and MRP1-T249E cells, indicating that Thr249 is the primary site of CK2α-mediated phosphorylation of MRP1. However, without further investigation, we cannot eliminate the possibility that other CK2 sites (perhaps dependent or secondary to Thr249) exist, that other kinases also phosphorylate Thr249, or that other condition-specific phosphorylation events occur.

It remains to be determined whether other pathways of MRP1 regulation involve Thr249 and intersect or compete with CK2α. One interesting possibility is that CK2α may interact with MRP1 as a member of a bigger protein complex. CK2α has been shown to be recruited to the plasma membrane by a pleckstrin homology domain protein, CK2-inhibitor coadministration with currently used chemotherapeutic drugs resistant in a small panel of cancer cell lines that opens a promising opportunity for improvement in cancer chemotherapy and genetic diseases. 

References


Stolarczyk and Paumi.
Regulation of MRP1 by CK2α

Christian M. Paumi, Graduate Center for Toxicology, University of Kentucky, Combs 212, 800 Rose St., Lexington, KY 40536. E-mail: cmpaum2@uky.edu

Address correspondence to:

Cystic fibrosis transmembrane regulator fragments with the Phe508 deletion exert a dual allosteric control over the master kinase CK2. Biochim Biophys Res Commun 321:1040–1044.


Supplemental Figure 1. Stability of MRP1 protein is not affected by mutation of Thr249 to Ala or Glu. MRP1, MRP1-T249A, and MRP1-T249E stability was assessed by cycloheximide (CHX) chase. MRP1, MRP1-T249A, and MRP1-T249E cells were treated with CHX as described in the Materials and Methods. Cell lysates were collected at 0, 8, 24, and 32 hours post CHX treatment and analyzed for MRP1 expression by Western blot (A). MRP1, MRP1-T249A, and MRP1-T249E expression levels from Supplemental Figure 1A were quantified for each time point and plotted as a percent of control (0 time point = 100%) (n=3).