ABSTRACT
High-throughput screening has led to the identification of small-molecule blockers of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) chloride channel, but the structural basis of blocker binding remains to be defined. We developed molecular models of the CFTR channel on the basis of homology to the bacterial transporter Sav1866, which could permit blocker binding to be analyzed in silico. The models accurately predicted the existence of a narrow region in the pore that is a likely candidate for the binding site of an open-channel pore blocker such as Phe342 and Thr338, and the rates of reactions of anionic, thiol-directed reagents with cysteines substituted at these positions were slowed in the presence of the blocker, consistent with the predicted repulsive effect of the net negative charge on GlyH-101. When a bulky phenylalanine that forms part of the predicted binding pocket (Phe342) was replaced with alanine, the apparent affinity of the blocker was increased ~200-fold. A molecular mechanics-generalized Born/surface area analysis of GlyH-101 binding predicted that substitution of Phe342 with alanine would substantially increase blocker affinity, primarily because of decreased intramolecular strain within the blocker-protein complex. This study suggests that GlyH-101 blocks the CFTR channel by binding within the pore bottleneck.

Introduction
The cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) is a regulated anion channel (Riordan, 2008) and a key element in three devastating diseases, i.e., cystic fibrosis, secretory diarrhea, and polycystic kidney disease. Cystic fibrosis is a life-shortening, autosomal recessive, genetic disease characterized by decreased secretion of salt and water in the lungs and digestive system (Boucher, 2007). The disease is caused by mutations in CFTR that impair its trafficking and channel activity. Secretory diarrheas are a family of infectious diseases characterized by life-threatening intestinal hypersecretion of salt and water. The causative agents are enterotoxins, such as that produced by *Vibrio cholerae* (Sanchez and Holmgren, 2011); they increase cytosolic cAMP levels, which results in chronically active CFTR channels and unregulated salt and water loss. Polycystic kidney disease is a life-threatening genetic disease characterized by the presence of multiple cysts in the kidneys. The growth of cysts is...
promoted by CFTR-mediated Cl⁻ secretion (Yang et al., 2008). These diseases, which occupy different parts of the spectrum of CFTR function, have motivated the search for small-molecule modulators of CFTR channels.

Compounds that block anion conduction through CFTR channels (Sheppard and Robinson, 1997; Cai et al., 1999; Muanprasat et al., 2004; Sheppard, 2004; Zhang et al., 2004a; Sonawane et al., 2006, 2007; de Hostos et al., 2011; Cui et al., 2012) may lead to treatments for secretory diarrhea and polycystic kidney disease. The CFTR-blocking small molecule 3-(3,5-dibromo-4-hydroxyphenyl)-N-(4-phenoxybenzyl)-1,2,4-oxadiazole-5-carboxamide (iOWH032), which currently is being studied in a clinical trial (de Hostos et al., 2011), is structurally related to the pore blocker N-(2-naphthalenyl)-[3-(5-dibromo-2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)methylene]glycine hydrazide (GlyH-101) (mol. wt., 493) (Fig. 1A). GlyH-101 carries a net negative charge (pKᵦ = 5.5) under physiological conditions (pH ~ 7.4) and is thought to block the CFTR by entering the channel from the extracellular side and binding to a site within the pore (Muanprasat et al., 2004; Sonawane et al., 2006, 2007). Although the mechanisms of action of pore blocking small molecules thought to act from the cytosolic side of the CFTR channel have been explored extensively in electrophysiological studies and amino acid substitution analyses (Sheppard and Robinson, 1997; Hwang and Sheppard, 1999; Zhou et al., 2002, 2010; Linsdell, 2005; Cui et al., 2012), the mechanism of action of GlyH-101 has been less well studied. We chose to investigate the binding of GlyH-101 because the open-channel blocker seemed likely to bind in a narrow part of the CFTR pore.

Molecular models, in combination with virtual ligand-docking algorithms, have been used successfully to identify novel small-molecule modulators of a variety of proteins through in silico screening of compound libraries (Villoutreix et al, 2009; Shoichet and Kobilka, 2012). These and related techniques also have been used to reveal the molecular basis for binding affinity (Dailey et al., 2009). We used a homology model of the CFTR, in conjunction with cysteine scanning mutagenesis, to identify a narrow “bottleneck” in the anion-conducting pore that seemed a likely site for the binding of GlyH-101 (Alexander et al., 2009; Norimatsu et al., 2012). The bottleneck was predicted to lie just cytoplasmic to the pore-lining residue Thr338 and to include the more-cytoplasmic pore-lining residue Ser341. This position was verified through comparisons of the reactivity of cysteines substituted in TMs 6 and 12 toward channel-permeant and channel-impermeant, thiol-directed probes. The larger, channel-impermeant probes reacted with a cysteine at position 338 but not with one at position 341, which indicates that residue 338 lies on the outward-facing rim of the bottleneck but residue 341 lies inside the narrow region. The goal of the studies described here was to use computational and experimental methods to identify and to analyze a plausible binding site for GlyH-101 in the CFTR pore.

**Materials and Methods**

**Mutagenesis and In Vitro Transcription.** The methods used for mutagenesis and in vitro transcription were similar to those reported previously (Liu et al., 2001; Smith et al, 2001). A QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) was used to generate point mutations in a wt CFTR construct. Mutations were confirmed through direct DNA sequencing. The CFTR cRNAs for *Xenopus laevis* oocyte injection were synthesized by using a mMESSAGE mMACHINE T7 Ultra in vitro transcription kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). After transcription, poly(A) tails were added to the transcripts by using *Escherichia coli* poly(A) polymerase, as described for the mMESSAGE mMACHINE T7 Ultra transcription kit.

**Controlling for Spontaneous Reactions of Engineered Cysteines.** All oocytes expressing cysteine-substituted CFTR constructs...
were exposed to 2-mercaptoethanol (2-ME) or dithiothreitol (DTT) before exposure to any of the thiol-reactive probes used here. This protocol was based on extensive documentation of “spontaneous” changes in the chemical state of cysteine substituted at position 338 (Liu et al., 2006). We proposed that these spontaneous changes, which are not seen with either wt or cysteine-less CPTRs, reflect the coordination of trace amounts of copper (or some other, currently unidentified, metal) by the cysteine-substituted construct, which can be reversed with mobile metal ligands such as 2-ME, DTT, and CN−.

Molecular Dynamics Simulation. Previously we built a homology model of CFTR that was based on the crystal structure of a bacterial homolog, Sav1866, and we performed a 30-ns molecular dynamics (MD) simulation with a dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine lipid bilayer by using the GROMOS96 force field (Norimatsu et al., 2012). Snapshots of the CFTR protein from the simulation were aligned through least-squares fitting using the C-α atoms. The conformational drift of the CFTR channel during the simulation, as indicated by the root mean square deviation of C-α atoms from the starting structure, suggested that equilibrium was reached by 4 ns (Norimatsu et al., 2012). On this basis, frames between 4 and 30 ns were used in this study.

Virtual Ligand Docking. The GlyH-101 molecule was constructed manually by using Maestro 9.1 (Schrödinger LLC, New York, NY) and was prepared for docking by using LigPrep 2.4 (Schrödinger). The ionization state was predicted with Epik 2.1 (Schrödinger) for the pH range of 6.4 to 8.4. The prediction was consistent with the pH-dependent ion endemic of GlyH-101 determined by Muannprasad et al. (2004), and the p-hydroxyl group on the benzene ring was deprotonated, carrying a single negative charge. The 4- to 30-ns snapshots of the CFTR were prepared for docking by using the protein preparation wizard (Schrödinger suite 2010; Epik 2.1; Schrödinger). GlyH-101 was virtually docked to each snapshot of the CFTR by using the induced-fit docking (IFD) protocol (Glide 5.6 and Prime 2.2; Schrödinger) described previously (Sherman et al., 2006). In the IFD protocol, the receptor protein is allowed to alter its conformation for better accommodation of the ligand. Each CFTR–GlyH-101 complex was assessed by using an empirical scoring function called the GlideScore (Friesner et al., 2006). The complex with the best GlideScore was chosen for the study. This approach is similar to that used by Rao et al. (2008), who investigated the performance of different conformational ensembles of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase for in silico screening of diverse compounds and found that GlideScores represented an appropriate metric for the prediction of binding modes. The structure of the F342A CFTR was generated with Maestro 9.1 by replacing Phe342 of the wt CFTR in the predicted complex with alanine. GlyH-101 was docked to the structure of the F342A CFTR by using the IFD protocol, and the structure of the resulting complex was used for MM-GB/SA analysis (see Calculation of Binding Free Energy for more details).

Preparation and Microinjection of Oocytes. The preparation and microinjection of X. laevis oocytes were performed by using methods described previously (Liu et al., 2006). The follicular membranes were removed through mechanical agitation (1–2 h) in Ca2+-free solution containing 82.5 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 5 mM HEPES hemisodium salt, pH 7.5, and 0.2 Wünsch units/ml Liberase Blendzyme 3 (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN). Defolliculated oocytes were washed and maintained in modified Barth’s solution containing 88 mM NaCl, 1 mM KCl, 0.82 mM MgSO4, 0.33 mM Ca(NO3)2, 0.41 mM CaCl2, 2.4 mM NaHCO3, 10 mM HEPES hemisodium salt, and 250 mg/liter amikacin, pH 7.5. CFTR cRNA and cRNA encoding the human β2-adrenergic receptor were injected into stage V to VI oocytes. CFTR cRNA was diluted to yield 50 to 200 μg of stimulated conductance, i.e., −0.2 ng/oocyte in a 50-nl volume for most constructs.

Whole-Cell Recordings. The whole-cell recording methods used in the current study were similar to those described by Mansoura et al. (1998). Individual oocytes were placed in the recording chamber and continuously superfused with frog Ringer’s solution. The Ring-
er’s solution contained 98 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 1.8 mM CaCl2, and 5 mM HEPES hemisodium salt, pH 7.4. A TRVC-200 amplifier (Dagan, Minneapolis, MN) and the pClamp 8 data acquisition program (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) were used for data acquisition. Oocytes were maintained in the open-circuit condition, and the membrane potential was periodically ramped from −120 to +60 mV over 1.8 s for construction of the whole-cell I-V plots. For some experiments, a step protocol was used for current recordings. At the beginning of each trace, the voltage was held at 0 mV for 0.5 s; it was then stepped to −110, −80, −50, −20, or +40 mV for 2 to 5 s. After this step, the voltage was held at 0 or +40 mV for 5 to 10 s, to reach a steady current. Blockade by GlyH-101 was determined with concentrations ranging from 1 nM to 100 μM. The EC50 was determined for each voltage through fitting of a dose-response curve. The voltage dependence of the EC50 was analyzed by using a modified version of the Woodhull model (Woodhull, 1973; Tikhonov and Magazanek, 1998), EC50(V) = EC50(0)(exp[sV/RT]), where EC50(0) is the EC50 at 0 mV, z is the valence of the blocker, δ is the fractional electrical distance of the binding site from the extracellular bulk solution, V is the transmembrane voltage, and F, R, and T have their usual meanings.

Calculation of Binding Free Energy. The MM-GB/SA method described by Guimaraes and Cardozo (2008) was used to compute an estimate of the free energy of binding, ΔGbind, as 

ΔGbind = ΔGlig + ΔGconf = −RTΔSconf + Econf + Eelec + Eptn,

where ΔGlig and ΔGconf are changes (upon ligand binding) in the intramolecular strains of the ligand and protein, respectively, ΔGconf is the total desolvation penalty for the ligand and protein, TΔSconf is the ligand conformational penalty, and Econf and Eelec are the van der Waals and electrostatic energies, respectively. This method was used successfully for ranking ordering of compounds, in terms of binding affinities, for proteins such as cyclin-dependent kinase 2, factor Xa, thrombin, and HIV-1 reverse transcriptase (Guimaraes and Cardozo, 2008). Similar MM-GB/SA techniques were used to investigate the effects of protein mutations on ligand binding affinity (Carra et al., 2012). In the current study, the Embrace function of MacroModel 9.8 (Schrödinger) was used for the MM-GB/SA calculations. The CFTR–GlyH-101 complex, CFTR alone, and GlyH-101 alone were energy-minimized by using a conjugate gradient minimization scheme (Polak-Ribiere conjugate gradient). The free energy of binding was calculated as the energy difference between the CFTR–GlyH-101 complex in water and the CFTR alone plus GlyH-101 alone in water. The calculation was also performed with the channel inserted in a bilayer containing explicit dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine lipid molecules. The coordinates of membrane lipid molecules were derived from the MD simulation described by Norimatsu et al. (2012). The Optimized Potential for Liquid Simulations 2005 force-field was used to calculate intramolecular and intermolecular energies, and a generalized Born/surface area model was used to calculate solvation energies. In the energy minimization, no constraints were applied to residues within 10 Å of GlyH-101. A second shell of 5 Å around the first shell was defined, and harmonic restraints with a force constant of 200 kcal/mol per Å2 were applied to residues within that shell. A third shell of 5 Å around the second shell was defined, and residues within that shell were held fixed. The remaining residues were ignored. The membrane lipid molecules also were held fixed during the energy minimization. To avoid steric clashes between side chains of the CFTR channel and frozen lipid molecules, lipid molecules within 3 Å of the channel were removed. The number of lipid molecules included in the system was limited to 86 (10,148 atoms), to improve computing efficiency.

Reagents. The experiments presented here were conducted by using 10 μM isoproterenol and 1 mM IBMX (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) as the stimulating cocktail. Methanethiosulfonate re-agents (MTSET+ and MTSES−) were purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals (North York, ON, Canada). 2-ME, DTT, N-ethylmaleimide (NEM), and potassium dicyanamide (1) (K[Ag(CN)2]) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. KCN was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA).
Results

Ensemble IFD of GlyH-101 Predicts a Binding Mode near Thr338. Figure 1A shows the structure of GlyH-101 and the electrostatic potential at its molecular surface predicted with Jaguar 7.7 (Schrödinger). The molecule is composed of a hydrophobic naphthalene tail and a negatively charged dibromodihydroxyphenyl head. According to atomic partial charges assigned with Antechamber (http://ambermd.org) (Wang et al., 2006), more than 80% of the net negative charge of GlyH-101 resides within the dibromodihydroxyphenyl group. The representative I-V plots shown in Fig. 1B confirm the report by Muanprasat et al. (2004) that blockade of the wt CFTR by GlyH-101 is highly voltage-dependent, in the manner predicted for a negatively charged molecule that enters the pore from the outside and binds to a site within the pore. As illustrated, the blockade by 1 μM GlyH-101 was relieved when the transmembrane potential was negative. Figure 1C contains the results of a Woodhull analysis (Woodhull, 1973; Tikhonov and Magazanik, 1998) of the voltage-dependent blockade of the wt CFTR by GlyH-101, which yielded a value of 0.35 ± 0.013 (n = 4) for the fraction of the electric potential sensed by the blocker as it enters the channel from the outside and a value of 1.1 ± 0.11 μM (n = 4) for EC50(0). This behavior is consistent with a “cork-in-the-bottle” type of open-channel block, in which the bound blocker is expected to lodge in a narrow portion of the pore and obstruct anion flow on its negative charge and bulk. An IFD protocol (Sherman et al., 2006) that permits modeling of protein conformational changes around the ligand (see Materials and Methods for details) was used to dock GlyH-101 in the predicted bottleneck region of the CFTR pore. The IFD protocol was applied to 27 MD snapshots (4–30 ns) derived from a previously described 30-ns MD simulation (Norimatsu et al., 2012) of the CFTR in a lipid membrane with explicit water molecules (the structure of the 9-ns snapshot of the CFTR is presented in Supplemental PDB File 1). The best GlideScore (~9.7 kcal/mol) was obtained for a binding mode in the 9-ns snapshot illustrated in Fig. 2 (the structure of the predicted complex is presented in Supplemental PDB File 2).

In the predicted binding mode (Fig. 2), the hydrophobic naphthalene tail sits in a hydrophobic pocket lined by the bulky side chain of Phe342, whereas the negatively charged head protrudes into the outer vestibule of the pore in the vicinity of two residues, Phe337 and Thr338. When either Phe337 or Thr338 was replaced with cysteine, the EC50 for GlyH-101 blockade at 0 mV was only modestly increased (Table 1).1 Increasing the bulk of either cysteine side chain through alkylation with iodoacetamide further increased the EC50(0) ~6-fold for position 338 but only 1.3-fold for position 337. Views of the predicted blocker-CFTR complex shown in Supplemental Fig. 1 indicate that addition of the acetamide moiety to a cysteine at position 338 would produce a steric clash with the proposed binding conformation of GlyH-101, whereas the same addition at position 337 would not. Previous studies documented the important role of the positive charge on Lys95 in the binding of blockers (such as glibenclamide) that enter the pore from the cytosolic side (Lindsell, 2005; Zhou et al., 2010). Replacing Lys95 with cysteine had little impact on the EC50(0) for GlyH-101 (Table 1), however, consistent with the notion that the GlyH-101 binding site does not overlap with those of the cytoplasmic blockers.

1 The modest impact of the cysteine substitution at position 338 on the apparent affinity of GlyH-101 was unexpected, in view of our previous demonstration of a pH-dependent, partial, negative charge on a cysteine at this position (Liu et al., 2004). Under the conditions of the present experiments (pH 7.4) and with the measured pKₐ of 7.3, the cysteine partial charge would be predicted to be ~0.5. The net negative charge of bound GlyH-101, however, is expected to promote protonation of the cysteine at position 338, which would effectively shift the pKₐ to a more-basic value and thus substantially reduce the net negative charge on the cysteine, as reported previously for a stromelysin inhibitor (Parker et al., 1999).
The presence of the negatively charged head of GlyH-101 near positions 337 and 338 is expected to alter the reactions of anionic, thiol-directed reagents with cysteines substituted at those positions. Because GlyH-101 is an open-channel blocker, however, protection of an engineered cysteine by GlyH-101 is expected only if the engineered cysteine reacts with thiol-directed probes primarily in the open state of the channel. Therefore, we began by investigating the state dependence of reactions between engineered cysteines and thiol-directed probes.

Reactions of Engineered Cysteines at Positions 334, 337, and 338 with Externally Applied, Thiol-Directed Reagents Are State-Dependent. Figure 3 illustrates the protocol used to investigate the state dependence of the reactivity of cysteines substituted at positions 334, 337, and 338. In this example, externally applied [Au(CN)2]− was reacted with the F337C CFTR. We showed previously that the ligand-exchange reaction of [Au(CN)2]− with cysteines at positions 337 and 338 reduces channel conductance by depositing the negatively charged [AuCN]− moiety on the thiol (Serrano et al., 2006; Alexander et al., 2009). Therefore, the time course of the ligand-exchange reaction can be monitored by recording the decrease in membrane conductance after the application of [Au(CN)2]−. The reactions do not reverse spontaneously but can be reversed through exposure of the channel to CN− (a high-affinity metal ligand), in the form of KCN (Serrano et al., 2006; Alexander et al., 2009). We compared the [Au(CN)2]− reaction rate observed when oocytes were exposed to the reagent before activation, when channels were mostly closed, with that seen when oocytes were exposed to [Au(CN)2]− after activation of CFTR channels. In the case of preactivation exposure, the extent of the reaction was judged by determining the conductance recovered with exposure to KCN after subsequent channel activation (Fig. 3B). Application of the high-affinity metal ligand revealed any ligand-exchange reaction that occurred before channel activation, when the channels were primarily in the closed state.

Figure 3, C and D, contains the time courses for the reactions of [Au(CN)2]− with the F337C and T338C CFTRs before and after activation of the channels. In the case of preactivation exposure, the extent of the reaction was judged by determining the conductance recovered with exposure to KCN after subsequent channel activation (Fig. 3B). Application of the high-affinity metal ligand revealed any ligand-exchange reaction that occurred before channel activation, when the channels were primarily in the closed state.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFTR</th>
<th>EC50 at 0 mV (μM)</th>
<th>S.E.M. (μM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wt</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.11 (n = 4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K95C</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.35 (n = 4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F337C</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.06 (n = 3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F337C + iodoacetamide</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.29 (n = 3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T338C</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.27 (n = 3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T338C + iodoacetamide</td>
<td>24 ± 2.6 (n = 3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. State-dependent reactivity of the F337C CFTR with [Au(CN)2]−. A, exposure of an oocyte to 30-s and 1-min pulses of 600 μM [Au(CN)2]− resulted in reductions of conductance. Cova lent labeling of the F337C CFTR was almost complete after a cumulative exposure time of 2 min. Isop, isoproterenol. B, before activation of the F337C CFTR with isoproterenol and IBMX, 600 μM [Au(CN)2]− was applied to the oocyte for 18 min. The conductance was partially reduced, as demonstrated by the additional increase in conductance with the application of 1 mM KCN. The subsequent application of [Au(CN)2]− almost completely abolished F337C CFTR conductance. C and D, time courses of the decreases in normalized conductance of the F337C CFTR before and after activation with isoproterenol. The second-order reaction rate constants for the F337C CFTR before and after activation were 1.5 and 26 M−1 s−1, respectively. The second-order reaction rate constants for the T338C CFTR before and after activation were 1.2 × 105 and 3.0 × 103 M−1 s−1, respectively.
and after activation. In the case of the T338C CFTR, different concentrations of $\text{[Au(CN)₂]}^-$ were used to construct the time course (50 $\mu$M for the preactivation rate and 5 $\mu$M for the postactivation rate), to compensate for the slower reaction rate in the preactivation condition. The half-life of each single-exponential fit is shown. For both $\text{[Au(CN)₂]}^-$ and MTSET, the reaction rate for the R334C CFTR before activation of the channels was faster than the rate after activation. The second-order reaction rate constants for $\text{[Au(CN)₂]}^-$ before and after activation were $8.9 \times 10^2$ and $2.6 \times 10^2$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively. The second-order reaction rate constants for MTSET$^+$ before and after activation were $7.2 \times 10^4$ and $3.9 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively.

If we assume, on the basis of the report by Zhang et al. (2005), that the modification rates for MTSET$^+$ and $\text{[Au(CN)₂]}^-$ are approximately 0 for open channels, then it is possible to estimate the open probability indicated by the 2- to 3-fold difference in reaction rates observed in our experiments. As suggested by del Camino et al. (2000), the thiol modification rate constant, before or after activation, can be expressed as a weighted average of the modification rates for open and closed channels, $\kappa_{ob} = f_o \times \kappa_c + (1 - f_o) \times \kappa_o$, where $\kappa_{ob}$ is the observed reaction rate constant, $f_o$ is the closed probability of the channels, and $\kappa_c$ and $\kappa_o$ are the reaction rate constants for the closed and open channels, respectively. Inserting $\kappa_o = 0$ into eq. 2 yields a value for the postactivation closed probability, $f_o$, of 0.3 to 0.5 (open probability of $0.5–0.7$) (see Appendix for details), values qualitatively consistent with the results of previous studies (Fischer and Machen, 1994; Cai et al., 2003; Bompadre et al., 2005).

**Engineered Cysteines at Positions 337, 338, and 341 Are Protected from Thiol-Directed Reagents by GlyH-101.** Figure 5, A and B, illustrates the protocol, similar to that used by del Camino et al. (2000), that was used to investigate the possible protection of cysteines at positions 337, 338, and 341 by GlyH-101. Figure 5A presents a representative time course showing the cumulative effects of 30-s
and 1-min applications of 5 μM [Au(CN)₂]⁻ to an oocyte expressing T338C CFTR. A similar procedure, in which [Au(CN)₂]⁻ was applied during brief exposures of the oocyte to GlyH-101 and the extent of the reaction was estimated from the conductance recovered after washout of the blocker, is illustrated in Fig. 5B. Inspection of the response to the first, 30-s exposure revealed that the extent of modification of T338C CFTR conductance by [Au(CN)₂]⁻ was markedly re-

duced when the reagent was applied in the presence of the blocker. Figure 5, C and D, summarizes the inhibition of the F337C and T338C CFTRs by [Au(CN)₂]⁻ in the presence and absence of GlyH-101. The blockade attributable to covalent labeling was less than 50% after a cumulative exposure time of 2 min. The application of GlyH-101 was started 30 s before the application of [Au(CN)₂]⁻, to ensure maximal blockade of the channels during the [Au(CN)₂]⁻ reaction. The GlyH-101 application was continued 30 s after the end of the [Au(CN)₂]⁻ application. C and D, F337C (C) and T338C (D) CFTR channels were protected by 10 μM GlyH-101 from reactions with [Au(CN)₂]⁻. Data points represent mean ± S.E.M. (n = 3). The F337C CFTR was reacted with 600 μM [Au(CN)₂]⁻ and the T338C CFTR was reacted with 5 μM [Au(CN)₂]⁻ in the presence and absence of 10 μM GlyH-101. The lines represent the best fits of single-exponential functions to the normalized conductance data. The half-life of each single-exponential fit is shown.

Fig. 5, GlyH-101 protection of T338C (an engineered cysteine at position 338) from modification by [Au(CN)₂]⁻. A, exposure of an oocyte to 30-s and 1-min pulses of 5 μM [Au(CN)₂]⁻ resulted in reductions of conductance. Covalent labeling of the T338C CFTR was almost complete after a cumulative exposure time of 2 min. Isop, isoproterenol. B, the oocyte was exposed to 5 μM [Au(CN)₂]⁻ in the presence of 10 μM GlyH-101. The blockade attributable to covalent labeling was less than 50% after a cumulative exposure time of 2 min. The application of GlyH-101 was started 30 s before the application of [Au(CN)₂]⁻, to ensure maximal blockade of the channels during the [Au(CN)₂]⁻ reaction. The GlyH-101 application was continued 30 s after the end of the [Au(CN)₂]⁻ application. C and D, F337C (C) and T338C (D) CFTR channels were protected by 10 μM GlyH-101 from reactions with [Au(CN)₂]⁻. Data points represent mean ± S.E.M. (n = 3). The F337C CFTR was reacted with 600 μM [Au(CN)₂]⁻ and the T338C CFTR was reacted with 5 μM [Au(CN)₂]⁻ in the presence and absence of 10 μM GlyH-101. The lines represent the best fits of single-exponential functions to the normalized conductance data. The half-life of each single-exponential fit is shown. E and F, the rates of reaction of [Au(CN)₂]⁻ with F337C (E) and T338C (F) CFTRs decreased as the concentration of GlyH-101 was increased. Data points represent mean ± S.E.M. (n = 3). Solid lines, best fits of sigmoidal dose-response curves to the reaction rate data. Dashed lines, dose-response curves for GlyH-101 blockade of the cysteine-substituted constructs observed in this study. The reaction rate constant observed in the absence of GlyH-101 was used as the maximal value of the sigmoidal curve. The EC₅₀ and the minimal rate constant for the F337C CFTR were 1.6 μM and 0.08 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively; values for the T338C CFTR were 3.3 μM and 0.02 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹. The EC₅₀(0) values for GlyH-101 blockade for the F337C and T338C CFTRs were 1.8 ± 0.063 (n = 3) and 3.7 ± 0.27 μM (n = 3), respectively.

and 1-min applications of 5 μM [Au(CN)₂]⁻ to an oocyte expressing T338C CFTR. A similar procedure, in which [Au(CN)₂]⁻ was applied during brief exposures of the oocyte to GlyH-101 and the extent of the reaction was estimated from the conductance recovered after washout of the blocker, is illustrated in Fig. 5B. Inspection of the response to the first, 30-s exposure revealed that the extent of modification of T338C CFTR conductance by [Au(CN)₂]⁻ was markedly reduced when the reagent was applied in the presence of the blocker. Figure 5, C and D, summarizes the inhibition of the F337C and T338C CFTRs by [Au(CN)₂]⁻ in the presence and
GlyH-101 concentration. In each case, the dose-response data could be fit with a Hill coefficient of 1 and the curves were virtually identical to those describing the dose dependence of blockade of the cysteine-substituted channels by GlyH-101, which suggests that, whenever the channel is blocked by GlyH-101, the engineered cysteine is protected. The protection of engineered cysteines at positions 337 and 338 from reaction with [Au(CN)₂]⁻ is consistent with the model suggested in Fig. 2, in which GlyH-101 binds to a site within the anion conduction pathway that places its negative charge near these two pore-lining residues.

The protection of engineered cysteines by GlyH-101 might reflect the −1 charge on the blocker, a steric effect of the blocker, or some combination thereof. As a test for the role of charge-charge interactions, we compared the protection of the T338C CFTR against reactions with MTSET⁺ and MTSES⁻. The results summarized in Fig. 6 showed that the T338C CFTR was protected by GlyH-101 from negatively charged MTSES⁻ but not from positively charged MTSET⁺, indicating that the charge on the blocker is a major determinant of the protective effect at this position. This finding is consistent with our previously presented model for the pore, which places Thr338 on the outward-facing rim of the pore bottleneck, within the larger outer vestibule of the pore (Alexander et al., 2009; Norimatsu et al., 2012), where it would be less likely to be protected through steric restriction alone. We also studied the reaction of the I1131C CFTR with MTSES⁻. In our molecular model, Ile1131 is predicted to lie within the outer vestibule of the pore but to occupy a position more distant from the negative charge of GlyH-101 than that of either Phe337 or Thr338 (Supplemental Fig. 2). This additional distance suggests less electrostatic protection of a cysteine at position 1131 by GlyH-101. No occlusion of I1131C was observed with 10 μM GlyH-101, despite more than 80% blockade of the I1131C CFTR current. The rate of reaction between MTSES⁻ and the I1131C CFTR was actually somewhat greater in the presence of GlyH-101 (Fig. 7A).

The rates of reactions of charged reagents with the I1131C CFTR would be influenced by the relative positions of nearby charges, which might change slightly upon GlyH-101 binding. As shown in Supplemental Fig. 2, our molecular models of the CFTR predict one positively charged residue (Arg1128) and two negatively charged residues (Glu1124 and Glu1126) within 1 Debye length (9.2 Å) of position 1131. The electrostatic potential near an engineered cysteine thiolate is expected to influence the reaction rate of the cysteine with a thiol-reactive reagent in at least two ways: by altering the pK₀ of the thiol group and by modifying the local concentration of the thiol-reactive reagent (Karlin and Akabas, 1998; Liu et al., 2004). The presence of negative charges near Ile1131 is consistent with the observed slow reaction of the I1131C CFTR with MTSES⁻ (20 M⁻¹ s⁻¹) (Fig. 7), which is more than 100-fold less than the rate seen with a cysteine at position 338 (3.3 × 10³ M⁻¹ s⁻¹) (Fig. 6). As reported previously (Norimatsu et al., 2012), the macroscopic conductance of the I1131C CFTR was increased by deposition of the negatively charged sulfonic acid group through reaction with MTSES⁻. This result is most likely a reflection of an increase in the open probability of I1131C CFTR channels, similar to that observed for the R352C CFTR (Bai et al., 2010). In the binding mode predicted for GlyH-101 (Fig. 2), a residue predicted to lie within the pore bottleneck, Ser341, is occluded by the bulk of the blocker, which suggests that a cysteine at this position should be at least partially protected from reactions with thiol-directed reagents in the presence of GlyH-101. A cysteine at position 341 is not reactive toward externally applied MTSET⁺ or MTSES⁻, however, presumably because these bulky polar reagents cannot enter the narrow portion of the pore from the outside (Norimatsu et al., 2012). The S341C CFTR is reactive toward channel-permeant reagents such as [Ag(CN)₂]⁻ but the reactions at this position are not irreversible, which is a requirement for implementation of the post-GlyH-101 washout protocol. For this reason, we compared the reactivity of a cysteine at position 341 with the uncharged reagent NEM in the presence and absence of GlyH-101. NEM reacts irreversibly with a cysteine at position 341 with the uncharged reagent NEM.

![Fig. 6. GlyH-101 protection of T338C (an engineered cysteine at position 338) from MTSES⁻ but not from MTSET⁺.](image-url)
teine at position 341 and reduces conductance to nearly 0 (Supplemental Fig. 3). The data in Fig. 7B indicate that GlyH-101 protected the cysteine at position 341 from reaction with NEM, which is consistent with a cork-in-the-bottle type of obstruction of the pore bottleneck. We could not determine with certainty the exact route by which externally applied NEM reaches the cysteine at position 341, i.e., by traversing the outer vestibule and entering the pore bottleneck or by taking a more-circuitous path through the lipid bilayer, which reflects the membrane permeability of this compound (Li-Quoc et al., 1981). In either case, the presence of GlyH-101 in the proposed binding site is predicted to obstruct the access of NEM to the cysteine thiolate.

The GlyH-101 EC50 Is Reduced Approximately 200-Fold with F342A CFTR. The binding mode predicted for GlyH-101 drew our attention to another residue that is predicted to lie within the pore bottleneck, Phe342. A cysteine at this position was reactive toward the channel-permeant, thiol-directed reagent [Ag(CN)2]− but not the larger reagents MTSET+ and MTSES− applied from the extracellular side of the channel. This result suggested that the residue formed part of the lining of the narrow region of the pore (Alexander et al., 2009). The bulky side chain of Phe342 appeared to impinge on the docked GlyH-101; in principle, this juxtaposition might lead to a favorable π–π interaction (Meyer et al., 2003; Paton and Goodman, 2009) or a steric obstruction that would be unfavorable to GlyH-101 binding. We analyzed GlyH-101 binding to wt and F342A CFTR channels by using the MM-GB/SA method described by Guimarães and Cardozo (2008). To predict the structure of the F342A CFTR–GlyH-101 complex, the phenylalanine at position 342 of the wt CFTR was mutated to alanine in silico (see Materials and Methods) and GlyH-101 was docked to the mutant CFTR by using IFD techniques (Supplemental PDB File 3). The MM-GB/SA method estimates the free energy of binding, ΔGbind, by computing its components described by eq. 1. The estimated parameter values for wt and F342A CFTRs are presented in Table 2. The calculations suggested that the free energy of binding of GlyH-101, ΔGbind, is more negative for the F342A CFTR than for the wt CFTR, that is, the F342A CFTR binds GlyH-101 more tightly than does the wt CFTR. Examination of the total binding free energy according to the terms listed in eq. 1 revealed that the predicted energy difference arises mainly from reduction of the strain energy of

TABLE 2
GlyH-101 binding free energy, ΔGbind, and its components estimated through MM-GB/SA analysis for wt and F342A CFTRs, without and with a membrane

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFTR</th>
<th>ΔErig</th>
<th>ΔEPTN</th>
<th>Esub + Econf + ΔGsub</th>
<th>−TΔSconf</th>
<th>ΔGbind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wt</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>−21.2</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>−8.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F342A</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt − F342A</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>−19.8</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>−7.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F342A</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>−20.4</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>−11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt − F342A</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the F342A CFTR ($E_{\text{v-TR}}$), consistent with the notion that the side chain of Phe342 in the wt CFTR channel causes an unfavorable steric clash with GlyH-101. Calculations were performed with the absence and presence of a lipid membrane, but the presence of a membrane had a relatively small effect on the free energy calculation. The calculated free energies of GlyH-101 binding were $-7.43$ and $-8.54$ kcal/mol for the wt CFTR and $-11.8$ and $-12.6$ kcal/mol for the F342A CFTR with and without the membrane, respectively.

The experimental results presented in Fig. 8A showed that dose-dependent blockade of the F342A CFTR by GlyH-101, as predicted by the MM-GB/SA analysis, exhibited an $E_{C50}(0)$ that was dramatically reduced ($5.2 \pm 0.83$ nM; $n = 3$), compared with that of the wt CFTR ($1.1 \pm 0.11$ μM; $n = 4$) (Fig. 1C), which is consistent with a substantial increase in binding affinity for the blocker with elimination of the bulky phenylalanine side chain. The substantially higher apparent affinity of the F342A CFTR for GlyH-101 suggests dramatic slowing of the off-rate for the bound blocker. This prediction was confirmed in experiments such as those illustrated in Fig. 8, B and C, in which we measured the time course of the changes in channel blockade in response to voltage steps. A negative voltage step would be expected to drive the bound blocker off the binding site, whereas a positive voltage step would be expected to drive the blocker into the binding site. In the representative experiment depicted in Fig. 8C, 100 nM GlyH-101 was present in the bath and the voltage was held initially at 0 mV to allow equilibration of the blocker with the binding site. When the voltage was stepped to negative values, the time course of the recovery of current could be monitored as the blocker was driven off the binding site. This was followed by a voltage step to $+40$ mV so that the time course of the enhanced blockade of current could be monitored as the blocker was driven into the binding site. The change in channel blockade during a voltage step was too fast to be measured for the wt CFTR (Supplemental Fig. 4), but a single-exponential time course was easily resolved for the high-affinity mutant. Experiments of this type that were performed with different concentrations of GlyH-101 enabled us to determine the single-exponential relaxation rate (the reciprocal of the time constant of the relaxation) at different membrane potential values. This rate, $k_{\text{gly}}$, is the sum of the on- and off-rates; i.e., $k_{\text{gly}} = k_{\text{on}}[\text{GlyH}] + k_{\text{off}}$, where $k_{\text{on}}$ is the second-order rate constant for blocker binding, [GlyH] is the concentration of GlyH-101, and $k_{\text{off}}$ is the dissociation rate constant. Values for $k_{\text{on}}$ and $k_{\text{off}}$ were obtained from plots like those shown in Fig. 8D, in which $k_{\text{gly}}$ is plotted versus the GlyH-101 concentration. Consistent with eq. 3, the relaxation rates exhibited linear dependence on the concentration of GlyH-101. Whereby both $k_{\text{on}}$ and $k_{\text{off}}$ were voltage-dependent for glibenclamide binding (Zhou et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2004a,b), only $k_{\text{off}}$ displayed voltage dependence for GlyH-101 binding, which suggests that the main energy barrier to GlyH-101 entry into the pore bottleneck lies outside or near the edge of the electric field. Values for $k_{\text{on}}$ ($2.3 \times 10^7$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) and $k_{\text{off}}$ (0.088 s$^{-1}$ at 0 mV) (Fig. 8, E and F) yielded an estimated $K_D$ at 0 mV of $\sim$4 nM, close to the value of $E_{C50}(0)$ determined from the dose-response analysis of steady-state blockade (5.2 nM). The $k_{\text{in}}$ of GlyH-101 for the wt CFTR could be calculated from the mean open lifetime of the channel in the presence of GlyH-101, as observed by Muanprasat et al. (2004) in single-channel recordings. With the data reported by those authors, the $k_{\text{in}}$ for the wt CFTR was calculated to be $1.6 \times 10^7$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, a value similar to the $k_{\text{in}}$ for the F342A CFTR ($2.3 \times 10^7$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) determined in the current study, which indicates that the difference in $E_{C50}$ values between the wt and F342A CFTRs is attributable to the slow off-rate of GlyH-101 with the F342A CFTR. The binding free energy values based on the observed $E_{C50}$ values, estimated as $RT\ln(E_{C50})$, were $-8.12$ kcal/mol for the wt CFTR and $-11.3$ kcal/mol for the F342A CFTR. These empirical values are qualitatively consistent with the computed free energies obtained by applying the MM-GB/SA technique to the proposed model of GlyH-101 binding (Fig. 2) for the wt CFTR ($-7.43$ kcal/mol) and the F342A CFTR ($-11.8$ kcal/mol).

**Discussion**


The results presented here identify a plausible binding mode for the pore blocker GlyH-101. Molecular modeling and experimental assay results are consistent with the notion that GlyH-101 blocks anion conduction by entering the CFTR channel from the external solution, passing through the outer vestibule, and then lodging in the mouth of a narrow bottleneck in the pore. This model is consistent with previous findings by Muanprasat et al. (2004) that indicated that GlyH-101 is an open-channel blocker that enters the CFTR channel from the extracellular side and with studies by Sonawane et al. (2006, 2007) that showed that GlyH-101 analogs rendered membrane-impermeant and channel-impermeant through conjugation with polyethylene glycol and lectin also blocked the CFTR channel from the extracellular side. In the predicted binding mode, the hydrophobic tail of GlyH-101 inserts into the pore bottleneck such that the entrance to the bottleneck is partially occluded and the charged end of the molecule resides near two TM6 residues within the outer vestibule of the pore, i.e., Phe337 and Thr338.

The predicted binding mode for GlyH-101 is in accord with three experimental observations presented here. First, reactions of thiol-directed probes with cysteines substituted at positions 337 and 338, which occur predominantly in the open conformation of the channel, were occluded when GlyH-101 was within the channel. For position 338, this occluding effect was attributable primarily to the net negative charge in the portion of GlyH-101 that protrudes into the outer vestibule. An occluding effect was not observed for a cysteine substituted at the more-distant position 1131 (Fig. 7A). GlyH-101 also occluded the reaction of the neutral reagent NEM with a cysteine at position 341, which is consistent with the notion that position 341 lies within the narrow region of the pore, where a cork-in-the-bottle effect would be expected to reduce the access of an uncharged thiol-directed probe regardless of its route of access. Second, alkylation of the T338C CFTR with iodoacetamide, which results in covalent addition of an acetamide moiety that is predicted by the model to create a steric clash with GlyH-101, significantly reduced the apparent binding affinity of GlyH-101. In contrast, alkylation of the F337C CFTR with iodoacetamide was not predicted by the molecular model to cause a steric clash and did not alter GlyH-101 blockade markedly. Finally, when a predicted steric clash between the side chain of Phe342 and the naphthalene tail of the bound blocker was removed through mutation of the residue to an alanine (F342A), the
apparent blocker affinity was increased more than 200-fold, a change consistent with the free energies of binding estimated using a MM-GB/SA approach.

The proposed mode of GlyH-101 binding is reminiscent of glibenclamide blockade of the CFTR, for which it was suggested that the charged sulfonamide moiety and the benzamide group of the blocker molecule interact with different parts of the CFTR pore (Cai et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2004b).

Fig. 8. F342A CFTR transmembrane currents. F342A CFTR channels were expressed in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes, and transmembrane currents were measured at clamped voltages by using a two-electrode, voltage-clamp technique. A, the voltage dependence of the GlyH-101 EC50 for the F342A CFTR is consistent with the Woodhull model (Woodhull, 1973; Tikhonov and Magazanik, 1998), in a manner similar to that of wt CFTR (Fig. 1). Data points represent mean ± S.E.M. (n = 3). The EC50 at 0 mV was 5.2 ± 0.83 nM (n = 3), and the apparent electrical distance was 0.35 ± 0.019 (n = 3). B, the channels were activated with a stimulating cocktail containing isoproterenol and IBMX. Six current traces were recorded in the absence of GlyH-101 and are shown superimposed. At the beginning of each trace, the voltage was held at 0 mV for 0.5 s; it was then stepped to −110, −80, −50, −20, +10, or +40 mV for 1.5 s. After this step, the voltage was held at 0 mV for 1 s. C, GlyH-101 was applied to the same oocyte as used in B. Six traces were recorded in the presence of 100 nM GlyH-101 and are shown superimposed. At the beginning of each trace, the voltage was held at 0 mV for 0.5 s; it was then stepped to −110, −80, −50, −20, +10, or +40 mV for 2 s. After this step, the voltage was held at +40 mV for 5 s. D, the rates of single-exponential time courses shown in B were plotted against the concentration of GlyH-101. The relaxation rate (the reciprocal of the time constant for the single-exponential relaxation) exhibited a linear dependence on the GlyH-101 concentration. As described by eq. 3, the second-order binding rate constant $k_{\text{on}}$ and the off-rate $k_{\text{off}}$ could be determined from the best-fit line. E, the second-order binding rate constant $k_{\text{on}}$ values (mean ± S.E.M.; n = 3) were plotted against the transmembrane voltage. The data were fit by using the equation $k_{\text{on}}(V) = k_{\text{on}}(0)\exp(-\delta FV/RT)$, where $\delta$ is the voltage dependence constant for $k_{\text{on}}$ and $F$, $R$, and $T$ have their usual meanings (Tikhonov and Magazanik, 1998); $k_{\text{on}}(0)$ was estimated to be $2.3 \times 10^7$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. F, the off-rates (mean ± S.E.M.; n = 3) were plotted against the transmembrane voltage. The data were fit by using the equation $k_{\text{off}}(V) = k_{\text{off}}(0)\exp(-\delta FV/RT)$, where $\delta$ is the voltage dependence constant for $k_{\text{off}}$ and $F$, $R$, and $T$ have their usual meanings (Tikhonov and Magazanik, 1998); $k_{\text{off}}(0)$ was estimated to be 0.088 s$^{-1}$. 
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In the case of GlyH-101, the combination of the charged head and the naphthalene tail is required for potent blockade. The $EC_{50}$ increases to more than 50 $\mu$M if the charged dibromo-dihydroxyphenyl head or the naphthalene tail is substituted with a benzene ring (Muanprasat et al., 2004). Although glibenclamide has multiple binding sites and shows complex binding kinetics, the blockade is dominated by the binding site with the slowest off-rate (Zhang et al., 2004a). The $k_{on}$ of GlyH-101 for the wt CFTR ($1.6 \times 10^7$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) (Muanprasat et al., 2004) is much greater than that of glibenclamide ($\sim 2 \times 10^6$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) (Zhou et al., 2002), whereas the off-rates of the two molecules are similar ($10–26$ s$^{-1}$) (Zhou et al., 2002; Muanprasat et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2004b), which suggests that the greater potency of GlyH-101 for the wt CFTR ($EC_{50}(0) = 1.1 \mu$M), in comparison with that of glibenclamide ($EC_{50}$ of $\sim 50 \mu$M at $-60$ mV) (Zhou et al., 2002), is attributable mainly to the difference in $k_{on}$ values.

**State-Dependent Cysteine Reactivity Suggests Movements of the Outer Vestibule of the Pore.** The results presented in the current study provide evidence for strong state dependence of the rates of reactions of externally applied, thiol-directed probes with cysteines substituted at positions 337 and 338. In both cases, reactions were favored by a factor of at least 20 in channels activated by increases in cytosolic CAMP levels. In view of our finding that the preactivation closed state is operationally equivalent to the closed state that is visited transiently during the gating cycle, after channel activation, these results argue for an open outer vestibule in the conducting state of the CFTR pore, as predicted by our Sav1866-based homology model (Norimatsu et al., 2012). The state-dependent reactivity of the T338C CFTR that was observed in the current study is consistent with the finding by Beck et al. (2008) that MTSES$^-$ reacted slightly faster with a mutant with high open probability (T338C/E1371Q CFTR) than with the T338C/wt CFTR.2

Mornon et al. (2009) created a homology model of the CFTR that was based on the inward-facing conformation of the prokaryotic transporter MsbA (PDB code 3B5X) (Ward et al., 2007). In this crystal structure, the nucleotide-binding domains of MsbA are partially dissociated, a conformation that is generally associated with the nonconducting state of the CFTR channel. Comparison of this model with our Sav1866-based model suggests the possibility of dramatic movement in the region around Phe337 and Thr338 during the transition between the open and closed states. Whereas Phe337 and Thr338 are accessible to the aqueous environment in our Sav1866-based model (Norimatsu et al., 2012), these residues are predicted to be occluded by surrounding residues in the MsbA-based model described by Mornon et al. (2009) (Fig. 9). A conformational change of this sort would be consistent with the state-dependent reactivity of the F337C and T338C CFTRs observed in the current study. The MsbA-based model described by Mornon et al. (2009) also suggests that the side chain of Arg334 protrudes into the external aqueous environment; when Arg334 is mutated to a cysteine

---

2 The findings presented here regarding the state-dependent reactivity of engineered cysteines are at odds with previous reports (Wang and Linsdell, 2012; Fatehi and Linsdell, 2008). Wang and Linsdell (2012) studied reactions of the T338C/E1371Q CFTR with MTSES$^-$ and [Au(CN)$_2$]$^-$. and suggested that the reaction of an engineered cysteine at position 338 with externally applied reagents was favored in the closed state. However, this observation conflicts with that by Beck et al. (2008), who reported that MTSES$^-$ reacted slightly faster with a double-mutant with high open probability (T338C/E1371Q CFTR) than with the T338C/wt CFTR. We observed reaction rates for MTSES$^-$ with T338C/wt and T338C/E1371Q CFTRs that were similar to those observed by Beck et al. (2008) (data not shown), which supports the idea that the T338C CFTR reacts in the open state. Fatehi and Linsdell (2008) reported, contrary to our findings, that reactions of MTSES$^-$ and [Au(CN)$_2$]$^-$ with a cysteine at position 334 were favored in the activated state of the channel. Cells expressing the R334C CFTR were preincubated with MTSES$^-$ with and without an activating cocktail of forskolin and IBMX, and the reaction, which was inferred on the basis of changes in the rectification ratio of the CFTR I-V curves, was observed only with cells exposed to the activating cocktail. However, the differences observed between cells incubated with or without MTSES$^-$ or with or without the activating cocktail were very subtle, and such changes easily could result from metal contamination (Liu et al., 2006; Liu, 2008), which was not controlled in that study. Similarly, the outcome of cell preincubation with [Au(CN)$_2$]$^-$ and the subsequent reversal of CFTR blockade by CN$^-$ can be influenced by metal contamination and the redox state of the cell (Liu, 2008). It is possible that the state dependence observed by Fatehi and Linsdell (2008) was attributable to such an artifact.

---

![Fig. 9. Homology model of the CFTR based on MsbA (Mornon et al., 2009). A, top view from the extracellular side. Arg334, Phe337, and Thr338 are shown as van der Waals representations. The carbon atoms of Thr334 are colored green, and Phe337 and Thr338 are colored pink and yellow, respectively. B, close-up view of the structure shown in A, around Thr334. The CFTR molecule is shown as a cartoon representation, with its molecular surface indicated by mesh. Transmembrane domain 1 is shown in cyan and transmembrane domain 2 in orange. C, view with Thr334, shown in B, mutated to cysteine with Maestro 9.1 (Schrodinger).](image-url)
with Maestro 9.1 (Schrödinger) in the MsbA-based model, the reactive thiolate is clearly accessible from the extracellular solution (Fig. 9C), which is consistent with the closed-state reactivity of R334C observed in the current study and in the study by Zhang et al. (2005). The mechanism that renders the R334C CFTR unreactive in the conducting state is not clear. When Arg334 is mutated to a cysteine with Maestro 9.1 in the snapshots from our MD simulation (Norimatsu et al., 2012), the thiol group is clearly accessible from the external solution in all of the snapshots. The R334C mutation may cause significant conformational changes in the CFTR protein (that are not captured in our MD simulation), as suggested by Zhou et al. (2007), which renders the engineered cysteine inaccessible in the conducting state of the CFTR.

GlyH-101 Docking Is a Test of the Sav1866-Based Model of the Pore. In the absence of a reported crystal structure, homology models of the CFTR provide the only means by which the effects of point mutations or chemical modifications can be interpreted in a structural context. Low levels of homology between the template protein (in this case, Sav1866) and the CFTR, however, require that any such model be tested extensively. Dalton et al. (2012) used a Sav1866-based homology model of the CFTR to identify potential binding modes for pore-blocking molecules thought to access the channel from the cytosolic side, but neither the model nor the predicted blocker binding modes were experimentally verified. In previous studies, we used several approaches to test the predictions of our Sav1866-based molecular models. Cysteine scanning was used to identify pore-lining residues in TM6, 12, 3, and 9. Levels of agreement with model predictions for side chain orientations ranged from 77 to 90% (Norimatsu et al., 2012). We compared the patterns of reactivity of cysteines engineered into the two primary pore-lining helices, i.e., TM6 and TM12, toward channel-permeant and channel-impermeant, thiol-directed probes. The observed patterns of reactivity located the outer boundary of a narrow bottleneck in the pore to a short segment between Thr338 and Ser341, the location predicted by the Sav1866-based model. Finally, we used the motion of the transmembrane segments inferred from MD simulations to predict the transient formation of a salt bridge, which had been postulated by Cui et al. (2008) on the basis of the effects of charge substitutions on single-channel behavior. The agreement between the predicted binding mode of the open-channel blocker GlyH-101 in the narrow region of the CFTR pore and the experimental observations reported here suggests that more-stringent tests of homology models can be based on locating binding sites for multiple small molecules within the pore. With further refinement, the Sav1866-based model (or its descendents) should be a useful template for in silico screening of compound libraries.
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Appendix

The thiol modification rate constant, before or after activation, can be expressed as a weighted average of the modification rates for open and closed channels, $k_{ab} = f_c \times k_c + (1 - f_c) \times k_o$ (eq. 2), where $k_c$ is the observed reaction rate constant, $f_c$ is the closed probability of the channels, and $k_o$ and $k_c$ are the reaction rate constants for the closed and open channels, respectively.

With the assumption of $k_o = 0$, $k_{ab} = f_c \times k_c$ before activation of the CFTR channels. After activation, $k_{ab} = f'_c \times k_c$, where $f'_c$ is the postactivation closed probability. Therefore, $k_{ab}/k_c = (f'_c \times k_c)/(f_c \times k_c)$. With MTSET$^+$, $k_c = 7.2 \times 10^4 M^{-1} s^{-1}$, $k_{ab} = 3.9 \times 10^3 M^{-1} s^{-1}$, and $k_{ab}/k_c$ is ~1.9. With [Au(CN)$_2$]$^-$, $k_{ab} = 8.9 \times 10^2 M^{-1} s^{-1}$, $k_{ab} = 2.6 \times 10^2 M^{-1} s^{-1}$, and $k_{ab}/k_c$ is ~3.5. The closed probability of the channels before activation, $f_c$ is close to 1. Because we observed experimentally more than 100-fold increases in macroscopic conductance during channel activation, $f_c$ was estimated to be >0.99. With the substitution of 1.9 for $k_{ab}/k_c$ and 1 for $f'_c$, the postactivation closed probability, $f'_c$ is ~0.5; with the substitution of 3.5 for $k_{ab}/k_c$ and 1 for $f_c$, $f'_c$ is ~0.3. Therefore, the postactivation closed probability is ~0.3 to 0.5 and the open probability is ~0.5 to 0.7.
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Locating a Plausible Binding Site for an Open Channel Blocker, GlyH-101, in the Pore of the Cystic Fibrosis Transmembrane Conductance Regulator
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SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION (Molecular Pharmacology)

Supplemental Figure S1 shows a steric clash between GlyH-101 in the predicted binding pose and an acetamide moiety covalently attached to a cysteine at position 338.

Supplemental Figure S2 illustrates the locations of charged residues in the extracellular vestibule of CFTR.

Supplemental Figure S3 illustrates reaction of S341C CFTR expressed in oocytes with NEM.

Supplemental Figure S4 shows the response of wt CFTR current to voltage jumps in the absence and presence of GlyH-101.
**Figure S1.** Top view of the CFTR channel from the extracellular side. An acetamide moiety added to T338C CFTR *in silico* is predicted to cause a steric clash with GlyH-101 whereas this is not the case with F337C CFTR. (A) GlyH-101 is shown in the predicted binding pose using a stick and semi-transparent van der Waals representations. F337 = magenta. TM6 = green. TM12 = red. An acetamide moiety was added to a cysteine at position 338. The alkylated side chain is shown in one of the conformations predicted by MacroModel (version 9.8, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2010) in the absence of GlyH-101. For the alkylated T338C, carbon = green, oxygen = red, nitrogen = blue, sulfur = yellow, hydrogen = white. (B) GlyH-101 is shown in the predicted binding pose using a van der Waals representation. T338 = yellow. An acetamide moiety was added to a cysteine at position 337. The alkylated side chain is shown in one of the conformations predicted by MacroModel (version 9.8) in the absence of GlyH-101. For alkylated F337C CFTR, none of the predicted conformations of the alkylated cysteine resulted in a steric clash with GlyH-101. For the alkylated F337C, carbon = green, oxygen = red, nitrogen = blue, sulfur = yellow, hydrogen = white.
Figure S2. Top view of the CFTR channel from the extracellular side. F337, T338 and I1131 in the predicted binding pose of GlyH-101 (shown in Figure 2) were mutated to cysteines \textit{in silico} (Maestro, Schrödinger Inc.). The carbon atoms of the cysteine at 337 are colored pink. Those for 338 and 1131 are blue and green respectively. Charged residues within the Debye length (9.2 Å in the Frog Ringer’s solution) of the engineered cysteines are shown in blue (positive) and red (negative). The CFTR protein is represented in cartoon and its molecular surface is indicated by the mesh. Transmembrane domain 1 shown in cyan. Transmembrane domain 2 shown in orange.
**Figure S3.** Covalent modification of S341C CFTR with NEM. Exposure of an oocyte to 30 s and 1 min pulses of 1 mM NEM resulted in irreversible reductions of conductance. The covalent labeling of S341C CFTR was almost complete after a cumulative exposure time of 2 min.

**Figure S4.** The wt CFTR channels were activated with a stimulating cocktail containing 10 µM isoproterenol and 1 mM IBMX. Six current traces were recorded in the absence (A) and presence (B) of 10 µM GlyH-101 and are shown superimposed. At the beginning of each trace, the voltage was held at 0 mV for 0.5 s. It was then stepped to either -110, -80, -50, -20, +10 or +40 mV for 5 s. After this step, the voltage was again held at 0 mV for 5 s.