High-Throughput Screening of Small Molecules Identifies Hepcidin Antagonists
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ABSTRACT

Anemia of inflammation (AI) is common in patients with infection, autoimmune diseases, cancer, and chronic kidney disease. Unless the underlying condition can be reversed, treatment options are limited to erythropoiesis-stimulating agents with or without intravenous iron therapy, modalities that are not always effective and can cause serious adverse effects. Hepcidin, the iron regulatory hormone, has been identified as a pathogenic factor in the development of AI. To explore new therapeutic options for AI and other iron-related disorders caused by hepcidin excess, we developed a cell-based screen to identify hepcidin antagonists. Of the 70,000 small molecules in the library, we identified 14 compounds that antagonized the hepcidin effect on ferroportin. One of these was fursultiamine, a Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved thiamine derivative. Fursultiamine directly interfered with hepcidin binding to its receptor, ferroportin, by blocking ferroportin C326 thiol residue essential for hepcidin binding. Consequently, fursultiamine prevented hepcidin-induced ferroportin ubiquitination, endocytosis, and degradation in vitro and allowed continuous cellular iron export despite the presence of hepcidin, with IC50 in the submicromolar range. Thiamine, the fursultiamine metabolite, and benfotiamine, another thiamine derivative, did not interfere with the effect of hepcidin on ferroportin. Other FDA-approved thiol-reactive compounds were at least 1000-fold less potent than fursultiamine in antagonizing hepcidin. In vivo, fursultiamine did not reproducibly antagonize the effect of hepcidin on serum iron, likely because of its rapid conversion to inactive metabolites. Fursultiamine is a unique antagonist of hepcidin in vitro that could serve as a template for the development of drug candidates that inhibit the hepcidin-ferroportin interaction.

Introduction

Anemia of inflammation (AI, also known as anemia of chronic disease) is a condition commonly associated with chronic inflammatory disorders, including infection, inflammatory bowel diseases, rheumatoid arthritis, cancer, and chronic kidney diseases (Weiss and Goodnough, 2005). Inflammation-induced anemia is typically a mild to moderate normocytic normochromic anemia associated with hypoferrremia, sequestration of iron in tissue macrophages, and a blunted response to erythropoietin. In addition, the lifespan of red blood cells may be shortened. If chronic, the anemia can eventually become microcytic and hypochromic (Cartwright, 1966).

Increased production of hepcidin may contribute to the development of AI. Hepcidin, a 25–amino acid peptide produced by the liver, regulates body iron concentration and distribution (Ganz and Nemeth, 2011). Hepcidin rapidly inhibits iron delivery to plasma by causing the degradation of its receptor ferroportin (Fpn; SLC40A1) (Nemeth et al., 2004b). Ferroportin is the only known conduit for the delivery of cellular iron to plasma and is highly expressed in enterocytes, which absorb dietary iron; macrophages, which recycle iron from senescent erythrocytes; and hepatocytes, which are a major iron storage site (Donovan et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2012). Hepcidin binding to Fpn triggers ubiquitination of multiple Fpn lysine residues (Qiao et al., 2012), leading to the endocytosis of Fpn and its degradation in lysosomes (Nemeth et al., 2004b), thereby blocking the iron supply to the plasma. Hepcidin–Fpn binding involves the interaction of several aromatic residues and an unusual thiosulfide interaction between Fpn cysteine thiol C326 and the hepcidin disulfide cage (Fernandes et al., 2009; Preza et al., 2011).

When hepcidin is produced in excess, the decrease of iron concentration in blood plasma leads to restriction of iron delivery to erythrocyte precursors, limiting hemoglobin synthesis. Hepcidin synthesis by hepatocytes is rapidly increased by interleukin-6 (Nemeth et al., 2004a) and other cytokines, including bone morphogenetic protein-2 (Maes et al., 2010) and activin B (Besson-Fournier et al., 2012). Accumulated evidence strongly supports the role of hepcidin...
as a key mediator in AI (Ganz and Nemeth, 2011). Elevated hepcidin levels have been documented in patients with chronic inflammatory conditions, in infection and sepsis, in chronic kidney diseases, and in malignancies, including ovarian cancer, multiple myeloma, and hepcidin-producing adenomas. In renal failure, decreased clearance of hepcidin may independently contribute to elevated hepcidin concentrations in blood (Zaritsky et al., 2009). Increased hepcidin is also seen in iron-refractory iron deficiency anemia, a genetic disorder caused by the mutations in the negative regulator of hepcidin, TMPRSS-6 (Finberg et al., 2008). Mice with increased hepcidin expression manifest resistance to erythropoietin (Roy et al., 2007; Sasu et al., 2010). In animal models of AI, interventions that target hepcidin or the regulators of its synthesis have improved anemia (Sasu et al., 2010; Theurl et al., 2011).

Current therapeutic options for patients with AI include relatively high doses of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents with or without high doses of intravenous iron (Goodnough et al., 2010). However, ESA treatments can have serious adverse effects (Glisky, 2012), and the long-term effects of high-dose iron therapy are not yet known. Targeting the hepcidin–Fpn axis could therefore improve the treatment of patients with AI.

In this study, we report the design and the results of the first high-throughput small molecule screen with the primary goal of identifying hepcidin antagonists. We found 2 distinct classes of small molecules acting as hepcidin antagonists and characterized in detail the mechanism by which an FDA–approved drug, fursultiamine, antagonizes the hepcidin–Fpn axis.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture. The EcR:Fpn-green fluorescent protein (GFP) cell line (Nemeth et al., 2004b) was maintained in growth medium that consisted of OptiMEM phenol red-free (Gibco, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY), 4% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Logan, UT), 200 μg/ml G418, 200 μg/ml Zeocin, 10 μg/ml ciprofloxacin, and 1% Pen/strep (Invitrogen, Life Technologies). Ponasterone (10 μM; AG Scientific, San Diego, CA) was used to induce Fpn-GFP expression. Cells were passaged approximately every two days, were kept below 80% confluency, and were only used up to the twelfth passage.

Chemical Libraries. Chemical libraries were prepared by the Molecular Shared Screening Resource core facility at University of California, Los Angeles. Approximately 70,000 small molecules from multiple libraries were screened, including the Enzo Life Sciences bioactive compound library (bioactive lipids, endocannabinoids, ion channel ligands, kinase and phosphatase inhibitors, orphan receptor ligands, ∼500 compounds), the Prestwick library (>1000 FDA-approved compounds), the Microsource Spectrum Collection (∼2000 compounds), the ChemBridge DiverSet (∼30,000 compounds), and others.

Development of the High-Throughput Screen Assay for Hepcidin Antagonist. High-throughput screen (HTS) assay was performed at the Molecular Shared Screening Resource core facility. The scheme describing the HTS assay is shown in the Supplemental Fig. 1. The EcR:Fpn-GFP cells were treated with ponasterone to induce the cell-surface expression of Fpn-GFP. Addition of hepcidin causes a decrease in fluorescence because of the degradation of Fpn-GFP. The goal of the screen was to identify compounds that prevent internalization of Fpn-GFP in the presence of hepcidin, restoring the cell-surface fluorescence (hit).

To develop the HTS assay, we followed the recommendations of the National Institutes of Health assay guide, version 4.1. (http://www.nei.nih.gov/guidance/manual_toc.html). Plate uniformity assessment was performed to ensure the absence of systematic sources of variability, such as drifts or edge effects. Interplate and interday variability were within the acceptable range. The quality of the assay was determined using the Z’ factor, a statistical measure reflective of both the signal dynamic range and the data variation in the control groups (Zhang et al., 1999). Z’ is calculated as follows:

$$Z’ = 1 - \frac{3 \sigma_+ + 3 \sigma_-}{\mu_+ - \mu_-}$$

where μ+ and μ− are means of the positive control signal and the negative control signal, and σ+ and σ− are respective standard deviations. Ponasterone-induced cells (high fluorescence) were used as the positive control and hepcidin-treated cells (low fluorescence) as the negative control.

Poly-D-lysine 384-well black wall/clear bottom plates (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) were used for screening. In each plate, 32 wells were used as positive controls (ponasterone only), 32 wells were used as negative controls (hepcidin treated), and the center 320 wells were used for compound testing. EcR:Fpn-GFP cells were plated at a density of 8 × 10^4 cells/ml (4000 cell/well) using a Multidrop 384 (Thermo Scientific) and were allowed to adhere in growth medium containing 20 μM ferric ammonium citrate for 24 hours. Ponasterone was added to all wells for the induction of Fpn-GFP expression for 18 hours. The plate was washed using ELX 405 plate washer (Bio-Tek Instruments, Winooski, VT) to remove ponasterone, and growth medium was added back to the cells. For all the wells except for the wells designated as positive controls, 50ng/ml hepcidin (Peptides International, Louisville, KY) was added to all of the remaining 352 wells. The hepcidin concentration was selected on the basis of the dose-response study (Fig. 1A), in which 50 ng/ml hepcidin nearly maximally degraded Fpn-GFP (~90%), and was close to the steep portion of the dose-response curve, allowing a marked increase in fluorescence in response to inhibitors. Test wells received compounds with use of a Biomek FX (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA) using a 500 nl custom pin tool (V&P Scientific, San Diego, CA) at a target concentration of 10μM with a maximal DMSO concentration of 1%. DMSO was used as the solvent for compound addition. DMSO was also added to the positive and negative wells to the same final concentration. Twenty-four hours after addition of hepcidin and compounds, nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342 DNA stain (Invitrogen), added by the automated dispenser.

Well images were acquired using high-throughput epifluorescence microscope (ImageXpress, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) with extra-long working distance 10× objective. Images were analyzed using the Multi-Wavelength Scoring Module of the ImageXpress software platform for data-mining using the GFP intensity of the cells. GFP intensity measurements per plate were transferred to Microsoft Excel, in which a macro was created to calculate the Z’-statistic for each plate. Only plates with Z’>0.3 were accepted. All wells with high GFP signal were also visually inspected to account for the cellular localization of Fpn-GFP. Wells were rated categorically on a three-point scale: (1) Fpn-GFP clearly on the membrane, (2) no changes in the morphology of the cells, and (3) viability of cells.

The small molecules considered as preliminary hits were additionally screened in triplicates for confirmation (cherry-picking). The confirmed hits were then tested in a dose-dependent manner to calculate the IC50.

Ferritin Measurements. Cellular protein was extracted using RIPA buffer (Boston BioProducts, Ashland, MA) and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Indianapolis, IN). Ferritin levels were determined using an an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) assay (Ramco Laboratories, Stafford, TX) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and were normalized for the total protein concentration in each sample. Total protein concentration was determined using the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay (Pierce, Rockford, IL).

Flow Cytometry. Flow cytometry was performed as previously described (Nemeth et al., 2006). EcR:Fpn-GFP cells were incubated...
with or without 10 μM ponasterone for 24 hours. After three washes with 1 × dPBS, the cells were treated with hepcidin and with small molecules or solvent for another 24 hours. Cells were detached using TrypLE Express (Invitrogen) and resuspended in medium at 1 × 10⁶ cells/ml. The intensity of green fluorescence was measured using flow cytometry at the UCLA Jonson Comprehensive Cancer Center and Center for AIDS Research Flow Cytometry Core Facility that is supported by National Institutes of Health awards CA-16042 and AI-28697 and by the Jonson Comprehensive Cancer Center, the UCLA AIDS Institute, and the David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA. Cells not expressing Fpn-GFP (no ponasterone) were used to establish a gate to exclude background fluorescence. Each treatment was repeated independently at least three times. The results were represented as a fraction of the GFP intensity of untreated cells, according to the formula (Fₓ - F hep) / (F untreated - F hep), where F represents the mean of the gated green fluorescence.

Immunoprecipitation and Western Blotting. Cell lysis, immunoprecipitation, and Western blotting were performed as previously described (Qiao et al., 2012). Polyclonal anti-GFP antibody (ab290; Abcam, Cambridge, MA) was used for immunoprecipitation, biotinylated proteins were detected with streptavidin–HRPO (Pierce), and either monoclonal antimouse GFP (clone 13.1, Roche) or rat antimouse Fpn antibody R1 (Qiao et al., 2012) was used to determine the amount of Fpn-GFP that was immunoprecipitated.

Cell Surface Biotinylation. EcR:Fpn-GFP cells were induced to express Fpn-GFP for 18 hours. After the removal of the inducing agent, the cells were treated with either hepcidin or selected small molecules for 30 minutes. Cells were rinsed with PBS and treated with 50 μM nonpermeable thiol-reactive biotinylation reagent (maleimide-PEG₂-biotin; Pierce) or nonpermeable primary amine-reactive biotinylation reagent (NHS-PEG₂-biotin; Pierce) for 30 minutes at 4°C in a rotary shaker. The reagent was washed off with PBS, and total protein was isolated and immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP antibody (Abcam) and blotted using streptavidin–HRPO (Pierce) for 12 minutes at 37°C. The cells were washed with PBS containing 0.2% bovine serum albumin and lysed in RIPA supplemented with protease inhibitors and phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (Sigma-Aldrich). Cell lysates were collected and cleared by centrifugation, and 30 μl aliquots were loaded in a 384-well plate. Fluorescent LDL was quantified using a Typhoon scanner (GE Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA) at an excitation wavelength of 554 nm and an emission wavelength of 571 nm. Total cellular protein was measured using BCA assay, and fluorescence units were normalized to total protein.

In Vivo Serum Iron Measurement. Six-week-old male C57BL/6 mice were fed a diet with decreased iron content (20 ppm) for 1 week. This regimen lowers endogenous hepcidin expression and increases animal responsiveness to synthetic hepcidin injection (Rivera et al., 2005). Mice (four per group) were injected intraperitoneally first with 0.5–2.5 mg fursultiamine (AK Scientific, Union City, CA) and, after 1 hour, with 50 μg hepcidin (Peptides International). In another experiment, three doses of fursultiamine (2.5 mg/injection) were administered over 24 hours, followed by hepcidin injection 1 hour after the last dose. Serum iron level was measured 3 hours after hepcidin injection with use of a colorimetric method (Nemeth et al., 2004a). The selected doses of fursultiamine were 5–25-fold below the reported LD₅₀ dose for intraperitoneal administration of fursultiamine in mice (540 mg/kg) (TAKHAA; Takeda Kenkyusho Ho, 1971).

Thiamine concentration measurements were performed at Antech Diagnostics (Irvine, CA).

Statistics. We used SigmaStat, version 11, for statistical analyses (Systat Software, Point Richmond, CA). Normally distributed data were compared using t test. Measurements that were not normally distributed were compared using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney rank sum test. P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. For in vivo experiments, we used four mice per treatment group on the basis of the following consideration. A therapeutically meaningful effect of fursultiamine would be to increase serum iron level by 10 μM or more. A typical standard deviation in our experiments is ~3 μM. With P = 0.05 and 4 mice per group, a power of 0.9 would be expected.

Results

Developing a Small Molecule High-Throughput Screen for Hepcidin Antagonists. We used the HEK293T cell line expressing an inducible Fpn-GFP construct (Nemeth et al., 2004b) to identify small molecules that prevent Fpn-GFP internalization in the presence of hepcidin (the screening scheme is shown in Supplemental Fig. 1). We opted for high-content screening approach using a high-throughput epifluorescence microscope and image analysis software, which allowed us to screen not only by quantifying the Fpn-GFP signal but also by the cellular localization of Fpn-GFP. This was important to eliminate compounds that only interfered with Fpn degradation but not its internalization, because those would not restore cellular iron export. The development of the assay included determination of the optimal concentration of hepcidin (Fig. 1A) and cellular density (Supplemental Table 1) based on the z-statistics (Zhang et al., 1999). The final screen was performed using a 24-hour incubation time with 50 ng/ml hepcidin and 10 μM concentration of small molecules (~70,000 compounds).

Initially, 2,642 compounds were identified as preliminary hits based solely on the high intensity of GFP (arbitrarily chosen as ≥60% of the fluorescence of hepcidin-untreated cells) (Fig. 1B). We then visually inspected the images to select the primary hits that prevented Fpn endocytosis in the presence of hepcidin (Fig. 1C) and to delete from the hit list those compounds that only prevented Fpn-GFP degradation but not internalization and compounds with auto-fluorescence. The triplicate retesting of selected primary hits confirmed two chemically distinct groups of small molecules as hepcidin antagonists. One group of compounds (A1–A8; Fig. 1C) were cardiac glycosides that share a characteristic steroid backbone. Some of these small molecules have been used to treat chronic heart failure and atrial arrhythmias (Ehle et al., 2011). Of interest, only nanomolar
concentrations of cardiac glycosides were needed to prevent the internalization of Fpn. These concentrations are much lower than those known to cause adverse effects (Ehle et al., 2011). The mechanism by which cardiac glycosides antagonize hepcidin is the subject of a separate study, and these compounds will not be further discussed in the manuscript.

The second group of small molecules identified as hepcidin antagonists (B1, fursultiamine hydrochloride; B2, thioxolone; and B3, pyrithione zinc) were FDA-approved compounds that contain a sulfur moiety with potential thiol-directed chemical reactivity. This set of molecules was of particular interest, because we previously showed that hepcidin-Fpn binding may involve a thiol-disulfide interaction (Fernandes et al., 2009; Preza et al., 2011). Dose-response curves generated using the high-throughput microscope and image analysis software showed that, in the presence of 50 ng/ml hepcidin, these compounds prevented Fpn-GFP retention on the membrane in the presence of hepcidin (0.02% hit rate for 70,000 small molecules). (C) HTS fluorescence images of small molecules identified as hepcidin antagonists. Shown are cells treated with ponasterone only (“no treatment”), 50 ng/ml hepcidin only, or hepcidin and 10 μM compounds. A1–A8 represent small molecules collectively known as cardiac glycosides, and B1–B3 are potential thiol-modifiers.
The Effect of HTS Hits on Hepcidin-Mediated Fpn Degradation and Cellular Iron Export. To further validate fursultiamine hydrochloride, thioxolone, and pyrithione zine as hepcidin antagonists, we quantitated their effect on the degradation of Fpn-GFP by flow cytometry. Fpn-GFP cells were treated for 24 hours with 100 ng/mL hepcidin and 10 μM compounds, and intracellular iron concentrations were assessed using ferritin ELISA. Pyrithione zine at 10 μM consistently caused cellular toxicity after 24 hours of treatment; thus, only data for fursultiamine and thioxolone are shown. As expected, induction of Fpn-GFP with ponasterone caused a decrease in ferritin levels, whereas hepcidin addition reversed this effect causing iron retention and an increase in ferritin (Fig. 2C).

When fursultiamine was added with hepcidin, it completely blocked the effect of hepcidin and decreased intracellular ferritin to the levels seen in ponasterone-induced cells. Thioxolone was much less potent in antagonizing hepcidin and only had a minor effect on reducing intracellular ferritin. Western blotting of the total cellular protein confirmed that fursultiamine and, to a lesser extent, thioxolone treatment was able to prevent hepcidin-mediated degradation of Fpn (Fig. 2C).

Hepcidin Antagonists: Mode of Action. Antagonists may prevent hepcidin-mediated Fpn internalization by at least three distinct mechanisms: (1) preventing the interaction between hepcidin and Fpn, (2) inhibiting hepcidin-induced ubiquitination of Fpn, and (3) inhibiting the endocytosis pathway for Fpn internalization. We hypothesized that the three hits with potential thiol reactivity prevented hepcidin binding to Fpn by blocking the critical C326 thiol residue on Fpn. To assess the binding of hepcidin to Fpn, we treated Fpn-GFP expressing cells with radiolabeled 125I-hepcidin and putative antagonists (10 μM) for 1 hour and monitored cell-associated 125I-hepcidin. Because of the short duration of the experiment, pyrithione zine was well tolerated. In comparison with control cells (solvent-treated), fursultiamine and pyrithione zine, but not thioxolone treatment, significantly decreased 125I-hepcidin uptake (Fig. 2D). The results indicate that fursultiamine and pyrithione zine interfered with the hepcidin-Fpn interaction that is necessary for Fpn endocytosis and iron retention.

Of the three FDA-approved, potentially thiol-reactive compounds, fursultiamine showed the most desirable characteristics as hepcidin antagonist in vitro: it potently prevented hepcidin-mediated Fpn degradation and restored iron export in the presence of hepcidin. The other two compounds were less effective. Although pyrithione zine mode of action appeared to be similar to that of fursultiamine (blocking of hepcidin binding to Fpn), it had significant cellular toxicity with prolonged treatment duration, whereas thioxolone failed to promote iron export in the presence of hepcidin and only prevented Fpn degradation. Therefore, our subsequent analyses were focused on fursultiamine.

Fursultiamine Inhibits Hepcidin-Induced Internalization of Fpn and Promotes Cellular Iron Export. To fully characterize fursultiamine as a hepcidin antagonist, we examined its dose-dependent effect on cellular iron export. Fpn-GFP cells were treated with 100 ng/ml hepcidin and 0–50 μM fursultiamine for 24 hours, and intracellular ferritin concentration was determined (Fig. 3A). Fursultiamine reversed the effect of hepcidin on ferritin levels, with the IC50 dose in the submicromolar range. To confirm that Fpn is indeed retained on the plasma membrane when treated with fursultiamine, we performed a cell surface biotinylation assay using primary amine-reactive biotin, followed by an immunoprecipitation of cell lysates with an anti-Fpn antibody. Immunoblotting with streptavidin to detect biotinylated Fpn-GFP demonstrated that, even in the presence of hepcidin, fursultiamine-treated cells retained Fpn on the plasma membrane in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3B).

Retention of Fpn-GFP on the membrane despite the presence of hepcidin should also manifest as decreased Fpn-GFP degradation. Western blotting of total cellular protein confirmed that overnight treatment with fursultiamine prevented hepcidin-induced Fpn-GFP degradation, and this was also seen at a higher hepcidin dose (100 versus 250 ng/ml hepcidin) (Fig. 3C).

Fursultiamine Prevents Hepcidin-Induced Posttranslational Modification of Fpn. The interaction between hepcidin and Fpn leads to rapid ubiquitination of Fpn, which triggers Fpn endocytosis (Qiao et al., 2012). Because fursultiamine prevents hepcidin-mediated endocytosis of Fpn, we hypothesized that fursultiamine may prevent Fpn ubiquitination. Fpn-GFP-expressing cells were treated with 1 μg/ml hepcidin and increasing doses of fursultiamine, cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-Fpn antibody, and Fpn-GFP ubiquitination was detected using an antibody against mono/poly-ubiquitin (Fig. 3D). Fursultiamine decreased Fpn ubiquitination in a dose-dependent manner, confirming that the antagonist acts upstream of ligand-induced ubiquitination of Fpn.

Fursultiamine Does Not Inhibit the Endocytosis of LDLR. We next sought to address the specificity of fursultiamine for Fpn by testing its effect on endocytosis of another receptor. We chose LDLR because it is ubiquitously expressed in most cell types and its endocytosis is also ligand induced (cholesterol-rich LDL). Fig. 3E shows that increasing dose of fursultiamine had no effect on the uptake of fluorescent LDL by LDLR. As a control, cells were also treated with a liver X receptor agonist (GW3965), a known inhibitor of the LDL uptake by LDLR (Zelcer et al., 2009). This result indicates that fursultiamine does not cause a general inhibition of endocytosis pathways.

Fursultiamine Tightly Associates with Fpn. Earlier in the study, we reported that fursultiamine prevented association of 125I-hepcidin with cells expressing Fpn-GFP (Fig. 2D). To assess whether fursultiamine irreversibly blocks Fpn interaction with hepcidin, we pretreated Fpn-GFP cells with fursultiamine for 30 minutes, then in one set of cells, removed fursultiamine by thoroughly rinsing the cells three times with PBS (pretreatment) and, in another set of cells, left fursultiamine in the cell media (cotreatment). Hepcidin was then added to all the cells for 1 or 2 hours, and Fpn-GFP was measured using flow cytometry (Fig. 4A). Hepcidin caused Fpn-GFP degradation, whereas both pretreatment and cotreatment of Fpn-GFP cells with fursultiamine prevented hepcidin-induced Fpn-GFP degradation. This indicates that
**Fig. 2.** The effect of HTS hits on hepcidin-mediated ferroportin degradation, cellular iron export, and hepcidin uptake. (A) Initial validation of HTS hits: dose-response studies. EcR:Fpn-GFP cells were seeded in 384-well plates and induced with 10 μM ponasterone for 18 hours to express Fpn-GFP. Hepcidin (50 ng/ml) was added to a range of concentrations of fursultiamine, thioxolone, or pyrithione zinc for 24 hours, and images were acquired and analyzed as described for the high-throughput screening. The results are expressed as normalized fluorescence (ponasterone-only = 100%, hepcidin-only = 0%). Each point is the mean of 3 replicates, and error bars represent standard deviation. The data points were fitted with a 4-parameter logistic curve. EC50 was calculated as the dose causing a midpoint response between minimum and maximum fluorescence for each compound. (B) Secondary validation of HTS hits. The antagonistic effect of fursultiamine (Furs), thioxolone (Thiox), and pyrithione zinc (PyrZn) on hepcidin-mediated Fpn-GFP degradation was analyzed using flow cytometry. The compounds were added at 10 μM, and hepcidin at 100 ng/ml for 24 hours. Each bar represents the mean of at least 6 replicates, and the error bar is the standard deviation. The results are expressed as normalized fluorescence (ponasterone-only sample=100% Fpn-GFP, hepcidin-only sample = 0%). *P < 0.001. (C) The effect of HTS hits on cellular iron export. Cells were not induced (-Pon) or were induced (+Pon) to express Fpn-GFP for 18 hours. Hepcidin (Hep, 100 ng/ml) was then added with or without 10 μM fursultiamine (Furs) or thioxolone (Thiox). After 24 hours, protein lysates were assayed for intracellular ferritin with use of an ELISA. Pyrthione zinc results are not included, because the compound caused significant cellular toxicity. Each bar represents the mean of 3 replicates, and error bars represent the standard deviation. The same protein lysates (30 μg) were analyzed by Western blotting for Fpn-GFP and GADPH. (D) The effect of HTS hits on 125I-hepcidin uptake. Cells induced to express Fpn-GFP were pretreated with fursultiamine (Furs), pyrithione zinc (PyrZn), thioxolone (Thiox), or solvent for 30 minutes. 125I-hepcidin was then added for 1 hour, and cell-associated radioactivity was determined using a gamma counter. Each bar represents the mean of 3 replicates, and the error bar is the standard deviation. *P < 0.05.
interaction of fursultiamine with Fpn is sufficient for hepcidin antagonism and that fursultiamine remains associated with Fpn despite washing, possibly because of a formation of a covalent bond.

**Hepcidin Binding to Fpn Is Attenuated in the Presence of Fursultiamine.** To confirm that fursultiamine interferes with hepcidin binding to Fpn, we assessed the binding of increasing concentrations of biotinylated hepcidin (2.5 – 10 μM) to cells expressing Fpn-GFP in the absence or presence of fursultiamine (10 μM) (Fig. 4B). Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP antibody and association of biotin-hepcidin with Fpn visualized by immunoblotting using streptavidin–horseradish peroxide. Fursultiamine interfered with hepcidin binding to Fpn at the two lower biotin-hepcidin doses and was outcompeted by only the highest concentration of biotin-tagged hepcidin. The data also indicate that very high concentrations of hepcidin can reverse the inhibition of hepcidin binding after pretreatment by fursultiamine.

Our group had previously identified that an extracellular Fpn thiol-cysteine residue (C326) is essential for hepcidin binding (Fernandes et al., 2009). C326-SH can be specifically biotinylated using maleimide-biotin reagent (Fernandes et al., 2009; Preza et al., 2011), and this biotinylation is prevented in the presence of hepcidin (Fig. 4C). To assess whether fursultiamine antagonizes hepcidin by blocking the C326 residue on Fpn, we treated cells expressing Fpn-GFP with either hepcidin or fursultiamine, followed by the maleimide-biotin reagent. Fpn-GFP expressing cells were treated with a solvent (white bar), a range of fursultiamine concentrations (0, 3, 10, or 30 μM fursultiamine) for 1 hour, and 1 μg/ml hepcidin was added for 20 minutes. Protein lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP Ab (ab290) and immunoblotted with anti-poly/monoUb Ab (FK2, top panel) or anti-Fpn Ab R1 (bottom panel). (E) Fursultiamine does not inhibit the endocytosis of LDL receptor. Fpn-GFP expressing cells were treated with a solvent (white bar), a range of fursultiamine concentrations (0.03–10 μM, gray bars), or an LXR agonist (1L,M, black bar) known to decrease LDL uptake. Dil-LDL was added for 30 minutes, and its uptake was quantified using a fluorescence scanner. The data shown represent the mean of at least six measurements, and the error bars represent the standard deviation.

**Fig. 3.** Fursultiamine is a potent antagonist of hepcidin-Fpn interaction. (A) Fursultiamine dose-dependently increases iron export in the presence of hepcidin. Cells expressing Fpn-GFP were incubated for 24 hours with 100 ng/ml hepcidin and a range of fursultiamine concentrations (0–50 μM). Cell lysates were assayed for ferritin. Each data point represents the mean of intracellular ferritin concentrations for at least 6 separate measurements, and error bars represent the standard deviation. Because the absolute levels of ferritin differed in individual experiments, the data were normalized in each experiment before they were combined. The normalization was done so that hepcidin-unprotected samples had 0% ferritin and hepcidin-treated samples had 100% ferritin. *P = 0.018 and **P < 0.001. (B) Fursultiamine prevents hepcidin-mediated endocytosis of Fpn. Cells were induced to express Fpn-GFP and were treated with hepcidin (100 ng/ml) and/or fursultiamine (1 or 10 μM) for 30 minutes. Cells were then biotinylated with nonpermeable primary amine-reactive biotinylation reagent (NHS-PEG4-biotin), and protein lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP Ab (ab290). Cell surface Fpn-GFP was detected with streptavidin-HRP. The amount of immunoprecipitated Fpn-GFP was confirmed by Western blotting with anti-GFP Ab (monoclonal mouse). (C) Fursultiamine prevents hepcidin-mediated Fpn-GFP degradation. Cells were induced to express Fpn-GFP and were treated for 24 hours with 100 or 250 ng/ml hepcidin and 0, 3, 10, or 30 μM fursultiamine. Protein lysates (30 μg) were immunoblotted with anti-GFP (ab290) to detect Fpn-GFP. The housekeeping protein, GAPDH, was detected by Western blot to verify the amount of protein loaded per lane. (D) Fursultiamine prevents hepcidin-induced posttranslation modification of Fpn. Cells were not induced (-Pon) or were induced (+Pon) to express Fpn-GFP. Induced cells were then pretreated with fursultiamine (0, 10, and 30 μM) for 1 hour, and 1 μg/ml hepcidin was added for 20 minutes. Protein lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP Ab (ab290) and immunoblotted with anti-poly/monoUb Ab (FK2, top panel) or anti-Fpn Ab R1 (bottom panel). (E) Fursultiamine does not inhibit the endocytosis of LDL receptor. Fpn-GFP expressing cells were treated with a solvent (white bar), a range of fursultiamine concentrations (0.03–10 μM, gray bars), or an LXR agonist (1L,M, black bar) known to decrease LDL uptake. Dil-LDL was added for 30 minutes, and its uptake was quantified using a fluorescence scanner. The data shown represent the mean of at least six measurements, and the error bars represent the standard deviation.
is cleaved by an unknown enzyme releasing thiamine into the bloodstream (Kitamori and Itokawa, 1993; Lonsdale, 2004). We thus asked whether thiamine will exert a similar effect on hepcidin-Fpn interaction as fursultiamine. Cells expressing Fpn-GFP were treated with hepcidin and either fursultiamine (1–30 μM) or thiamine (1–100 μM), and Fpn-GFP levels were quantified using flow cytometry. Unlike fursultiamine, thiamine did not antagonize hepcidin-induced degradation of Fpn-GFP (Fig. 5A).

After thiamine is cleaved from fursultiamine, the remaining prosthetic group (tetrahydrofurfuryl mercaptane) is converted into a number of metabolites that are excreted in urine (Nishikawa et al., 1970). The metabolites of the prosthetic group are not available commercially, but we tested a related compound, furfuryl methyl sulfide. Flow cytometry of Fpn-GFP–expressing cells treated with furfuryl methyl sulfide showed that the compound failed to antagonize hepcidin (Supplemental Fig. 2A). Benfotiamine, a synthetic thiamine derivative lacking the labile disulfide of fursultiamine (Pan et al., 2010), also did not prevent hepcidin-mediated degradation of Fpn (Supplemental Fig. 2B).

**Other Thiol-Modifying Drugs Are Weak or Inactive as Antagonists of Hepcidin.** Previous studies from our group have suggested that a thiol-disulfide exchange may occur during hepcidin binding to Fpn (Fernandes et al., 2009; Preza et al., 2011). Fursultiamine is a compound with a disulfide bond connecting thiamine to tetrahydrofurfuryl mercaptane. Our new data suggest that the disulfide bond of fursultiamine likely interacts with the Fpn C326-thiol and, as a result, blocks hepcidin from docking. We searched for compounds with similar structure as fursultiamine by performing structural clustering with the compounds in the libraries of PubChem (National Institutes of Health) and Collaborative Drug Discovery, but our searches demonstrated that fursultiamine has no structural homologs in these libraries. We therefore compared fursultiamine with other FDA-approved compounds with known thiol-modifying abilities: N-acetylcysteine (NAC) and sodium 2-sulfanylthene-sulfonate (commonly known as MESNA). Both NAC and MESNA have antioxidant properties and are currently used as therapeutics. NAC, a derivative of cysteine, is used as an antidote for acetaminophen overdose, and has potential use in the treatment of psychiatric disorders, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, pulmonary fibrosis, and other conditions (Milliea, 2009). MESNA is an organosulfur compound used as a cytoprotective agent to help reduce bladder toxicity caused by certain chemotherapy drugs (Hogle, 2007). Figure 5B shows the flow cytometry analysis of the effect of NAC and MESNA on hepcidin-mediated Fpn degradation. In contrast to fursultiamine, which potently prevented hepcidin-induced Fpn degradation, NAC only modestly antagonized hepcidin at 1000-fold higher concentrations, and MESNA did not interfere with hepcidin effect on Fpn-GFP at concentrations tested.

**Fursultiamine Is Not a Robust Antagonist In Vivo.** To assess the potential of fursultiamine as a hepcidin antagonist in vivo, male C57BL/6 mice were injected intraperitoneally with 0.5–2.5 mg fursultiamine, followed 1 hour later by 50 μg hepcidin, and serum iron concentrations were assessed 3 hours after hepcidin injection. The fursultiamine doses were expected to yield much higher concentrations in circulation (0.6–3 mM) than the IC50 that we observed in vitro for fursultiamine (< 1 μM). Although in one experiment, fursultiamine reversed the effect of hepcidin on serum iron (Fig. 6A), the effect was not reproducible (Fig. 6B; Supplemental Fig. 3). This is likely to be attributable to the very rapid degradation of fursultiamine into thiamine in vivo.
As expected, fursultiamine administration resulted in a dramatic increase in thiamine concentrations in mouse serum within 4 hours (151 μg/l in solvent-injected mice versus 1393 μg/l in fursultiamine-injected mice).

**Discussion**

Anemia of inflammation is an iron disorder associated with abnormally high hepcidin. Because hepcidin causes rapid removal of Fpn from the membrane, iron is sequestered in the tissues, limiting iron availability for erythropoiesis. The use of neutralizing hepcidin antibodies or inhibitors of hepcidin expression in animal models improved or prevented development of anemia caused by an inflammatory stimulus (Sasu et al., 2010; Theurl et al., 2011), showing the potential use of hepcidin antagonists in treating AI.

We took an unbiased approach to identify small compounds acting as hepcidin antagonists, both to inform about the mechanisms of hepcidin-induced Fpn internalization and to develop lead compounds for the treatment of AI. In AI, the antagonism of the effect of hepcidin would promote cellular iron export in the context of elevated hepcidin concentrations, reverse the iron-restrictive effect of inflammation, and make more iron available for hemoglobin synthesis. We chose high-throughput microscopy of Fpn-GFP–expressing cells, because this high-content screening methodology allowed us to decrease the rate of false positives and only focus on small molecules that retained Fpn on the plasma membrane despite the presence of hepcidin.

We identified 2 classes of small molecules as potential hepcidin antagonists: a group of compounds with potential thiol reactivity and cardiac glycosides. Cardiac glycosides function by binding to Na/K ATPase and either inhibiting its activity or initiating a signal transduction cascade (Riganti et al., 2011). The role of Na/K ATPase in iron metabolism has not been described and is the subject of a separate study (manuscript in preparation). The discovery of the hepcidin-antagonistic effects of three thiol-reactive molecules is consistent with our prior studies indicating that hepcidin-Fpn binding involves interaction between C326 thiol on Fpn and the disulfide cage of hepcidin (Fernandes et al., 2009; Preza et al., 2011). Furthermore, human mutations in the C326 residue cause complete resistance of Fpn to hepcidin.
induced endocytosis and lead to the development of severe iron overload (Sham et al., 2005). Of the three sulfur-containing compounds, fursultiamine was of the greatest interest, because the others either caused cellular toxicity with long-term treatment (pyrithione zinc) or did not reverse the inhibitory effect of hepcidin on iron export (thioxolone).

Fursultiamine is a synthetic thiamine derivative with a disulfide bond in its chemical backbone. We showed that fursultiamine blocked the C326 residue on Fpn and prevented hepcidin from binding. Consistent with this mechanism, fursultiamine also inhibited hepcidin-induced Fpn ubiquitination, an early signal controlling Fpn endocytosis. Co-treatment of cells with hepcidin and fursultiamine resulted in Fpn retention on the cell membrane and continued cellular iron export. Furthermore, the fursultiamine effect seems specific to hepcidin-Fpn interaction, because fursultiamine did not affect the endocytosis of the LDLR.

Of interest, in searches of PubChem and Collaborative Drug Discovery libraries, fursultiamine seemed to possess a unique chemical structure. Other compounds that are closest to the chemical structure of fursultiamine are thiamine and their thiol-modifying abilities, but these also failed to significantly antagonize the hepcidin effect on Fpn at comparable concentrations. It is not clear why fursultiamine shows superior potency as hepcidin antagonist in comparison with other thiol-modifying molecules. Our previous study (Preza et al., 2011) demonstrated that, in addition to the thiol-disulfide interaction between hepcidin and Fpn, the ligand-receptor binding also depends on the interaction of neighboring aromatic residues. We speculate that the ring region of fursultiamine may increase the affinity of this compound for Fpn on the cell membrane, compared with other thiol-reactive molecules.

Although fursultiamine is already an FDA-approved drug, opening a possibility of repurposing the drug for the treatment of AI, its effects in vitro do not readily translate into the in vivo setting. Fursultiamine was designed to be an efficient replacement for thiamine. When fursultiamine is orally delivered, the drug is very rapidly (within 1 hour) metabolized into thiamine (Kitamori and Itokawa, 1993). Thiamine, however, does not antagonize hepcidin-Fpn interaction. Thus, for fursultiamine to be effective as a hepcidin antagonist in vivo, modifications of its chemistry, formulation, or methods for delivery to target tissues would be necessary.
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Supplemental Figure 1. Scheme of the high-throughput screen for hepcidin antagonists
Supplemental Figure 2. Furfuryl methyl sulfide and benfotiamine do not act as hepcidin antagonists. Furfuryl methyl sulfide is an analog of furfuryl metabolites generated by fursultiamine degradation. Benfotiamine is a thiamine derivative which lacks the disulfide bond of fursultiamine. Cells were induced with ponasterone to express Fpn-GFP, and treated for 24 h with 100 ng/ml hepcidin, fursultiamine and either furfuryl methyl sulfide (A) or benfotiamine (B). Amount of Fpn-GFP was quantified using flow cytometry. Each data point represents 3 replicates and the error bars represent the standard deviation. Results are expressed as %Fpn-GFP where ponasterone-only sample = 100% and hepcidin-treated sample = 0%. *p<0.001.
Supplemental Figure 3. Fursultiamine is not a robust hepcidin antagonist *in vivo*. Mice (n=4/group) were injected intraperitoneally three times over 24 hours with solvent or fursultiamine (2.5 mg per injection). One hour after the last dose, mice were injected with 50 μg hepcidin. Serum iron was analyzed 3 h after hepcidin injection. Bars represent the mean serum iron for each group and error bars represent the standard deviation. Contrary to our hypothesis, multiple doses of fursultiamine further suppressed serum iron in mice injected with hepcidin when compared to animals injected with hepcidin alone (p=0.042), possibly due to off-target effects of high doses of fursultiamine or its metabolites.
Supplemental Table 1. Optimization of the HTS for hepcidin antagonists. Optimization of the high throughput screen for hepcidin antagonists by varying the cell plating density (1000-4000 cells per well) and hepcidin concentration (12.5-50 ng/ml). Table shows the $z'$ calculated for each set of conditions. We chose a plating density of 4000 cells per well and 50 ng/ml hepcidin for the hepcidin antagonist screen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$z'$</th>
<th>Number of cells per well</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepcidin (ng/ml)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>-2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>