Tumor Suppressor Protein p53 Negatively Regulates Human Pregnan X Receptor Activity
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ABSTRACT

The human pregnane X receptor (PXR) regulates genes involved in drug metabolism and disposition. PXR associates with multiple corepressors that attenuate and coactivators that enhance its activity. PXR plays a vital role in the drug metabolism pathway, and a comprehensive examination of PXR-associated proteins will provide greater insight into the regulation of the receptor and possible therapeutic implications. We performed a mass spectrometric screen to identify PXR-associated proteins. Here we report that the tumor suppressor protein p53 can associate with PXR and downregulate its activity. A loss-of-function p53 mutant (R175H) interacts with PXR but does not repress its activity. Mutant p53 can relieve the suppressive effect of wild-type p53 by competing with its interaction with PXR, suggesting that protein-protein interaction is required but not sufficient for p53 to repress PXR activity. Interestingly, a PXR variant with a naturally occurring deletion of a conserved, unique sequence in the ligand binding domain (PXRΔ174–210) did not interact with p53, indicating that the PXR-p53 interaction is specific. Using a chromatin immunoprecipitation assay, we showed that p53 inhibits the binding of PXR to the CYP3A4 promoter. The loss of p53 function in tumor cells leads to aberrant cell proliferation, apoptosis, carcinogenesis, and altered sensitivity to chemotherapeutic drugs, whereas PXR contributes to chemoresistance in many cancer cells. Our findings show for the first time that wild-type p53 can negatively regulate PXR by physically associating with it. Thus, PXR and p53 appear to play important yet opposing roles in the sensitivity of tumor cells to chemotherapy.

Introduction

Pregnan X receptor (PXR) is a xenobiotic receptor of the nuclear receptor (NR) family. PXR functions mainly in the liver and is activated by a wide range of ligands, which are usually toxic by-products derived from the endogenous metabolism or exogenous chemicals (Blumberg et al., 1998a,b).

The flexible ligand binding domain (LBD) of PXR, from amino acid 142 to 434, can expand to accommodate structurally and pharmacologically diverse ligands, thus contributing to its ability to function as an efficient xenobiotic sensor. Once activated, PXR forms a heterodimer with the retinoid X receptor to engage specific response elements in the promoter region of its target genes to mediate the transcription of a variety of drug-metabolizing enzymes and drug transporters (Wang et al., 2012). A major class of proteins that is regulated by PXR is the 3A subfamily of cytochrome P450 (CYP3A4).

Human PXR has several alternatively spliced isoforms, of which PXR.1 (434 amino acids) is the most abundant and can be transcriptionally activated by ligands (Lamba et al., 2004) (we use “PXR” and “PXR.1” synonymously throughout the text). The second most abundant isoform, PXR.2 (397 amino acids, also referred to as [PXRΔ174–210]), constitutes 7% of the mRNA transcript and has a 57 amino acid deletion in the LBD, resulting in attenuated ligand binding capacity and reduced ligand-mediated transcriptional activity, although there is no loss of association with the PXR response elements in the genome (Dotzlaw et al., 1999; Lin et al., 2009). The physiologic role of PXR.2 has not been fully defined.

PXR is regulated by a variety of protein-protein interactions and post-translational modifications. Protein-protein interactions are dynamic processes that, depending on the associated protein, can result in the modulation of activity, subcellular localization, substrate specificity, and stability of the target protein. PXR associates with a variety of protein coregulators that can act as either coactivators or corepressors. Corepressors such as the silencing mediator for retinoid and thyroid receptors (SMRT) and nuclear receptor corepressor (NCOr) attenuate gene transcription through their association with unliganded PXR (Ding and Staudinger, 2005; Johnson et al., 2006). Upon ligand binding, PXR activity is enhanced by the dissociation of corepressors and coactivators.
association instead with coactivators such as forkhead transcription factor and steroid receptor coactivator-1 (Watkins et al., 2003; Kodama et al., 2004). To this end, we wanted to identify and expand on the protein partners that associate with PXR and can contribute to its regulation using mass spectrometric analysis. Our study identified tumor suppressor p53, which can bind directly to PXR.

Wild-type p53, once activated by a variety of stressors that threaten the genomic integrity of cells, can induce cell-cycle arrest, DNA repair, senescence, or apoptosis, depending on the severity of the damage; p53 is mutated or inactivated in almost all forms of cancer (Hollstein et al., 1991; Hainaut and Hollstein, 2000). As a transcription factor, p53 regulates the expression or function of a large array of proteins through transcriptional control or protein-protein interactions (Levine et al., 2006).

Also, p53 regulates the activity of several NRs, such as glucocorticoid receptor, androgen receptor, estrogen receptor (ER), liver-X receptor, and hepatocyte nuclear factor-4a1 (Yu et al., 1997; Maeda et al., 2002; Angeloni et al., 2004; Iwano et al., 2006; Alimirah et al., 2007). For androgen receptor, p53 not only modulates its transcriptional activity but negatively regulates its expression (Shenk et al., 2001; Alimirah et al., 2007). p53 interacts directly with glucocorticoid receptor and downregulates its activity, and this downregulation depends on the activity of the wild-type p53 (Yu et al., 1997); p53 is known to enhance the recruitment of ERα to the proximal ER promoter in response to doxorubicin treatment (Angeloni et al., 2004; Shirley et al., 2009). We report here that wild-type p53 can attenuate PXR activity by inhibiting the binding of PXR to its target promoter. Furthermore, we show that the p53-PXR association is specific for PXR.1 and not for PXR.2, which lacks a 37-amino-acid sequence unique for PXR from various species, indicating the specificity of the p53-PXR interaction.

PXR is a master regulator of drug metabolism that contributes to enhanced cellular chemoresistance (Chen et al., 2007; Kodama et al., 2004). To this end, we wanted to identify and expand on the protein partners that associate with PXR and can contribute to its regulation using mass spectrometric analysis. Our study identified tumor suppressor p53, which can bind directly to PXR.

Materials and Methods

Materials. We obtained p53−/− HCT116 (human colon carcinoma cell line) cells from the Genetic Resources Core Facility at Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine (Baltimore, MD); human osteosarcoma cell line U2OS cells stably expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP)-PXR were obtained from Thermo Scientific (Ashville, NC); human embryonic kidney cells (HEK293T) and liver hepatocellular carcinoma cell line (HepG2) cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). Anti-p53 antibody was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. GFP-Trap agarose beads were obtained from ChromoTek (Planegg-Martinsried, Germany); charcoal-dextran treated fetal bovine serum (FBS) was obtained from HyClone (Logan, UT); blocking buffer, anti-mouse, and anti-rabbit IRDye secondary antibodies were from LI-COR Biosciences (Lincoln, NE). Mouse IgG used as control in immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays was from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. (Danvers, MA), and protein G-agarose beads were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

Cell Culture, Plasmids, and Transfection. All cells were maintained in a humidified environment at 37°C with 5% CO₂. HCT116 cells were maintained in McCoy’s 5A media supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. U2OS, HepG2, and HEK293T cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium containing 10% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. Additionally, U2OS stable cells were maintained in media containing 0.4 mg/ml G418. pcDNA3.1 containing FLAG-PXR and luciferase reporter gene under the control of the CYF3A4 promoter (CYF3A4-loc) were constructed as previously described (Luo et al., 2002). Wild-type FLAG-p53 and FLAG-p53-RH (R175H) p53 mutants were obtained from Origene (Rockville, MD). p53 mutants containing various mutations at the 21WKLK̶26 and 25ILTT̶25 motifs were generated by Mutagenex Inc. (Hillsborough, NJ). Standard molecular biology methods were used to generate various deletion mutants of PXR. TK-Runella luciferase plasmid was purchased from Promega (Madison, WI); p53 reporter plasmids PG13-luc and the reporter containing a mutation at the promoter sequence (MG15-luc) were from Addgene (Cambridge, MA) (Kern et al., 1992).

All transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

Immunoprecipitation. The HEK293T cells were transfected with pcDNA3.1 FLAG-PXR, or pcDNA3.1-FLAG vector using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s recommendation. After 48 hours of incubation, cells were collected in lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Indianapolis, IN), and Halt phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Pierce, Rockford, IL) and incubated for 20 minutes on ice. Lysates were centrifuged at 10,000g for 20 minutes at 4°C to remove cell debris, and the supernatant was incubated with 10 μl of EZview Red anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel (Sigma-Aldrich) for 2 hours at 4°C. The M2 beads with the bound proteins were then washed twice with lysis buffer and twice with TBS and then eluted with 100 ng/μl FLAG peptide (Sigma-Aldrich) in TBS for 1 hour at 4°C. U2OS cells stably expressing GFP-PXR or GFP were collected in lysis buffer and incubated with GFP-Trap agarose beads for 2 hours at 4°C. The beads were washed twice each with lysis buffer and TBS and then boiled in sample loading buffer (Invitrogen) to release the bound proteins.

Western Blot Analysis. All cell extracts were harvested in lysis buffer, and samples were centrifuged at 10,000g for 4°C for 20 minutes. The samples were then boiled in sample loading buffer (Invitrogen) containing SDS, and equal amounts of samples were resolved on 4–12% SDS-PAGE gradient gel and then transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was blocked and incubated with the indicated antibodies overnight at 4°C. All Western blot analyses and quantitation were performed on the Odyssey Infrared Imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences).

Protein Partner Identification. After immunoprecipitation using FLAG M2 affinity gel, the eluted protein solution was mixed with ice-cold ethanol and incubated overnight at −20°C to precipitate the proteins. The solution was then centrifuged at 6000g for 30 minutes and dried using a vacuum evaporator. The protein pellet was then submitted for processing and protein identification by the St. Jude Proteomics & Mass Spectrometry Shared Resource using an LTQ-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher, San Jose, CA); the data were processed using MASCOT searching algorithm (Matrix Science Inc., Boston, MA) and Scaffold (Proteome Software, Portland, OR).

Transactivation Assay. Cells were transfected with PXR or empty vector control along with CYF3A4-loc and vector expressing TK-Runella luciferase as transfection control. To check for p53 activity, cells were transfected with plasmids expressing either p53 or empty vector control along with luciferase reporter gene under the control of p53 promoter sequence (PG13) and vector expressing TK-Runella luciferase. After 48 hours of transfection, the cells were plated in 96-well plates containing either dimethylsulfoxide or the indicated compound in phenol-red free media containing 10% charcoal-dextran–treated FBS. The cells were
incubated for 24 hours before processing using the Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega). Data are reported in relative luciferase units by normalizing the firefly luciferase activity to Renilla luciferase activity.

**ChIP Assay.** HepG2 cells stably expressing FLAG-PXR and CYP3A4-luc, established using the procedure previously described (Lin et al., 2008), were transiently transfected with wild-type p53, p53-R175H mutant, or empty vector. Cells were incubated with 5 μM rifampicin for 24 hours and then treated with 1% formaldehyde to crosslink DNA with the associated proteins. DNA-protein crosslinks were sheared and extracted using sonication at 4°C in lysis buffer containing 10% Triton X-100, 0.5 M EDTA, 1 M Tris, 10% SDS, 100 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and protease inhibitor cocktail. Chromatin from 5 × 10⁶ cells was immunoprecipitated using either IgG as negative control or anti-FLAG M2 beads overnight at 4°C and eluted using FLAG peptide. The eluents were incubated with 200 mM NaCl overnight at 60°C to reverse the crosslinking and then for 2 hours at 45°C with 10 mM EDTA, 40 mM Tris (pH 6.5) and 5 μg/ml Proteinase K. DNA was purified using a QIAquick polymerase chain reaction (PCR) purification kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). PCR was performed using primers designed to amplify the DR3 region (the binding site for PXR) of the CYP3A4 promoter (Goodwin et al., 2002) using the following primers: forward 5′-ATC CAC TAG TAA CGG CCG-3′ and reverse 5′-TTC AGC TTG TGA TTC ACC TG-3′. PCR products were resolved on 1% agarose gel and quantified using the ImageJ image processing and analysis tool (NIH, Bethesda, MD).

**Statistical Analysis.** In all reporter assays, error bars indicate S.E.M. For ChIP assay, results are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation of at least three independent experiments, and error bars indicate the standard deviation. Statistically significant differences between samples (noted with a line in Fig. 5B: comparisons between empty-vector and wild-type and between empty vector and RH) are indicated by the P value, which was determined using the Student’s t test and considered significant if P < 0.05 (*) or 0.01 (**).

### Results

**p53 Interacts with PXR.** To gain better insight into the regulation of PXR function, we decided to identify protein partners associated with PXR and investigate the effect of such associations on its function. To this end, FLAG-tagged PXR (FLAG-PXR) was transiently overexpressed in HEK293T cells and immunoprecipitated using FLAG-tag-specific antibody covalently attached to agarose beads. The immunoprecipitated samples were then processed, and protein partners associated with FLAG-PXR were identified using mass spectrometry. To identify and eliminate false positives, lysates from cells transiently transfected with plasmid expressing only the FLAG-tag were used as negative controls for immunoprecipitations. A database search using the MASCOT searching algorithm identified 200 proteins associated with PXR. Peptides identified in the negative control samples were eliminated as nonspecific binding partners.

Among the specific binding partners, retinoid X receptor protein, a known PXR binding partner, was consistently detected in only the FLAG-PXR samples. Tumor suppressor protein p53 was one of the associated proteins identified with PXR but not in the negative control sample (Fig. 1A). Using Western blot analysis, we confirmed the association of p53 with PXR.
with FLAG-PXR but not with the FLAG control by using antibody specific for p53 (Fig. 1B). Similar to other NRs, ligand binding to PXR affects its association with coregulators that can regulate PXR signaling. PXR is activated in HEK293T cells in response to rifampicin, a compound known to bind and activate PXR (Supplemental Fig. 1A); however, the association between PXR and p53 was not altered when cells were treated with rifampicin (Fig. 1C).

**Wild-Type p53 Downregulates PXR Activity.** To assess the functional significance of p53-PXR association, the activity of PXR was tested in the presence or absence of wild-type p53. For this purpose, colon cancer cell line HCT116 was used since PXR is functional in this cellular context and isogenic clones with deleted p53 are available (Bunz et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2011). p53<sup>−/−</sup> HCT116 cells were used to first show that transiently transfected PXR (Supplemental Fig. 1B) and wild-type p53 (Supplemental Fig. 1C) were functional by using the respective reporter gene. Wild-type p53 significantly downregulated PXR reporter activity, and this downregulation occurred in a p53 dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2A). To further test the effect of p53 on PXR activity, a p53 mutant carrying a point mutation at amino acid 175 (R175H) in its DNA binding domain (DBD) was used to determine whether p53 transactivational activity is necessary for its inhibitory effect on PXR. p53 R175H, one of the frequently detected mutants in cancers, is unable to bind to the promoters of p53-regulated genes and is thus considered a nonfunctional protein in regard to transcriptional regulation of p53-dependent genes. As shown in Fig. 2B, the p53 R175H had no effect on PXR reporter activity in p53<sup>−/−</sup> HCT116 cells, even with increasing amounts of R175H transfected.

The effect of p53 R175H was further tested by cotransfecting wild-type p53 along with increasing amounts of p53 R175H. As shown in Fig. 2C, the inhibitory effect of wild-type p53 on PXR was decreased by the R175H mutant and completely rescued when larger amounts of p53 R175H were transfected, indicating that the p53 R175H mutant functions in a dominant negative manner. The dominant negative effect of p53 R175H mutant prompted us to determine whether it interacts with PXR. As shown in Fig. 3A, p53 R175H mutant interacts with PXR similarly to wild-type p53, suggesting that p53 R175H abolishes the inhibitory effect of wild-type p53 by blocking it from interacting with PXR.

**p53 Activity Is Necessary for its Inhibitory Effect on PXR.** Two unique sequences, LWKLL in the transactivation domain and ILTII in the DBD, were identified in the p53 protein that corresponds to motifs described in other coactivators and corepressors of NRs (Fig. 3B). The LXXLL motif, which is present in several coactivators of PXR, mediates protein-protein interactions to enhance the activity of the associated receptor (Plevin et al., 2005). The I/LXXI/VI corepressor NR boxes mediate binding of corepressors such as NCoR and SMRT to NRs, where they attenuate receptor activity (Perissi et al., 1999). To determine whether the LWKLL or ILTII motifs in p53 mediate the protein-protein interaction with PXR, we mutated the LWKLL or ILTII sequences individually (Mt1, Mt2) and in combination (Mt3), as indicated in Fig. 3B.

![Fig. 2](https://molpharm.aspetjournals.org/2017/1232/elias-etal.png)

**Fig. 2.** Wild-type, but not the inactive p53, attenuates PXR activity. HCT116 p53<sup>−/−</sup> cells were transiently transfected with PXR or an empty vector (FLAG), CYP3A4-luc, TK-Renilla luciferase as transfection control, and either (A) wild-type p53 (p53 WT) or (B) p53 R175H (p53 RH) mutant in the amounts indicated for 48 hours. Cells were then treated with dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) as control or rifampicin (2.5, 5, or 10 μM) for 24 hours before processing using the Dual-Glo luciferase assay system. (C) HCT116 p53<sup>−/−</sup> cells were transiently transfected with FLAG-PXR, CYP3A4-luc, TK-Renilla luciferase, 0.2 μg of p53 WT, and varying amounts of p53 RH. The firefly luciferase signal was normalized to the corresponding Renilla luciferase signal and reported as relative luciferase units (RLUs).
unable to regulate the activity of the p53 response luciferase reporter gene PG13 (Fig. 3C). Mutation at Trp23 within the LWKLL sequence has been shown to result in a loss of p53 transactivation activity and is thus critical for p53 function (Lin et al., 1994). The loss of function was observed when either Trp23 or Lys24 within the LWKLL motif was mutated individually or together to an alanine (Mt4, Mt5, and Mt6) (Fig. 3, B and C).

In immunoprecipitation experiments from U2OS cells stably expressing GFP-PXR or GFP alone, GFP-PXR showed a similar association with all the p53 mutants that were used (Fig. 3A), suggesting that neither the LWKLL nor the ILTII motif is required for p53 to interact physically with PXR. Consistent with the results observed with the R175H mutant (Fig. 2, B and C), the inactive p53 mutants did not attenuate PXR activity (Fig. 3D) and showed a dominant negative effect on the inhibitory effect of wild-type p53 on PXR (Fig. 2C and data not shown).

**PXR.2 (PXRΔ174–210) Fails to Interact with p53.** To identify which domains of PXR are responsible for interacting with p53, various truncation mutants of PXR were generated (Fig. 4A). PXRΔ1–99, which contains the full LBD (amino acids 142–434) but lacks the DBD, failed to interact with p53 (Fig. 4B), suggesting that the N-terminal 99 amino acids are required for the interaction. A more refined study showed that deletion of either amino acids 2–40 (Δ2–40) or amino acids 21–60 (Δ21–60) at the N terminus did not noticeably affect the interaction of with p53 (Supplemental Fig. 2), suggesting that the amino acids 61–99, which are part of the DBD (amino acids 41–107), are critical for p53-PXR interaction. On the
other hand, deletion of either the last 14 amino acids ($\Delta$421–434) or the last 134 amino acids ($\Delta$301–434) at the C terminus, which removes the activation function domain 2 (AF-2), also abolishes the interaction of PXR with p53 (Supplemental Fig. 2), suggesting that the AF-2 domain of PXR is critical for its interaction with p53.

Most interestingly, we found that the amino acids from 174 to 210 on PXR play a significant role in preserving the p53-PXR association (Fig. 4B). This sequence is absent in the alternatively spliced isoform of PXR, also referred to as PXR.2 (Lin et al., 2009), indicating that p53 specifically associates with the more abundant isoform of PXR, PXR.1. In agreement with previously reported findings, whereas PXR.1 was robustly activated by rifampicin, PXR.2 was not (Supplemental Fig. 3, A and B). Interestingly, amino acids 174–210, which are present in PXR.1 but missing from PXR.2, are specific for PXR and are highly conserved among PXR proteins from different species identified to date (Supplemental Fig. 4). The requirement for a highly conserved and PXR-specific sequence for the interaction further indicates the specificity of the p53-PXR association.

**Wild-Type p53 Attenuates PXR Binding to CYP3A4 Promoter.** As transcription factors, both PXR and p53 bind to specific sequences in the promoters of target genes to initiate transcription (Kliewer et al., 2002; Menendez et al., 2009). We used the ChIP assay to determine whether p53 specifically associates with the more abundant isoform of PXR, PXR.1. In agreement with previously reported findings, whereas PXR.1 was robustly activated by rifampicin, PXR.2 was not (Supplemental Fig. 3, A and B). Interestingly, amino acids 174–210, which are present in PXR.1 but missing from PXR.2, are specific for PXR and are highly conserved among PXR proteins from different species identified to date (Supplemental Fig. 4). The requirement for a highly conserved and PXR-specific sequence for the interaction further indicates the specificity of the p53-PXR association.

**Discussion**

PXR is a master xenobiotic sensor whose activity is upregulated by binding to agonists, and the agonist-mediated receptor activity is modulated by the association of PXR with various protein partners. We showed in this study, for the first time, that p53 is one such PXR protein partner whose physical association leads to attenuation of PXR activity. We also show that the decrease in the binding of PXR to its target promoter contributes to such attenuation of receptor activity.

Indisputably, p53 is one of the most important tumor suppressors, as evidenced by the fact that more than 50% of tumors carry a p53 mutation (Hainaut and Hollstein, 2000). Most tumors carry a mutation in the p53 protein that affects either its transactivation function or its ability to bind specific DNA sequences at the promoter of p53 target genes (Soussi and Wiman, 2007). R175 is one of the hotspots for p53 missense mutations. p53 has been shown to interact with various proteins, including NRs, with various functional outcomes. In the case of p53-ER association, p53 enhances the recruitment of ER to its target promoter (Angeloni et al., 2004). In contrast, in our study, the p53-PXR association results in decreased binding of PXR to its target promoter in CYP3A4 gene, suggesting that the functional outcome of the protein-protein interaction depends on the specific protein partner involved. The effect of p53 on PXR may also vary with different PXR target promoters.

Since p53 interacts with multiple protein partners with different functional outcomes, it is important to determine whether such an interaction is specific and how this specificity is achieved. Interestingly, we found that the interaction between p53 and PXR is specific to the more abundant PXR isoform PXR.1 (434 amino acids) and does not occur with the alternatively spliced form of the protein PXR.2 (397 amino acids).
acid, with amino acids 174–210 deleted). The segment of 37 amino acids (174–210) missing from PXR-2 is unique to PXR and is not present in any other protein. It is also highly conserved among PXR proteins from different species, indicating that the interaction between p53 and PXR-1 is specific and unique. Although the physiologic function of PXR-2 has not been fully defined, such function is expected to be insensitive to p53 regulation.

PXR functions as a xenobiotic sensor mainly due to its ability to accommodate structurally diverse xenobiotics. Whereas ligand binding to PXR can alter the interaction of PXR with corepressors such as SMRT and NCoR (Ding and Staudinger, 2005; Johnson et al., 2006), it does not affect the p53-PXR-1 interaction, suggesting that the cellular levels of p53 may affect both the basal and agonist-induced activities of PXR. However, the observation that rifampicin does not alter the p53-PXR-1 association in vitro does not exclude the possibility that in vivo rifampicin could affect such an association in a subcellular localization-specific manner.

Although PXR-2 can retain certain corepressor associations similar to those of PXR-1, PXR-2 fails to activate PXR target genes upon ligand treatment. The deletion of 37 amino acids (174–210) in the LBD is predicted to make PXR-2 unable to retain certain ligands, thereby altering the ligand and protein partner profile (Lin et al., 2009). It is thus not surprising that the integrity of the PXR protein structure is important to retain certain protein-protein interactions such as PXR-p53 binding, as revealed by the loss of interaction with p53 when the AF-2 domain (Δ421–434) or the N-terminal 99 amino acids of PXR (Δ1–99) were deleted. The N-terminal deletion mutant Δ1–99, but not the Δ2–40 or Δ21–60, abolished the interaction, suggesting that the major part of the DBD (41–107), is required for PXR to interact with p53 and is in agreement with the observation that p53 can attenuate the binding of PXR to the CYP3A4 promoter through its DBD.

As we showed in this report, p53 association is not always sufficient to attenuate the activity of the target protein since p53 wild-type and mutant forms can bind to PXR, but only the wild-type form can downregulate the activity of PXR. p53 mutants such as R175H, although inactive, have been shown to attain gain-of-function properties, which can initiate novel protein-protein interactions and transactivation of new target genes, contributing to tumor progression and chemoresistance (Blandino et al., 1999). All the transcriptionally inactive p53 mutants examined in our study maintained their association with PXR, although they all failed to attenuate PXR-mediated transactivation of target genes such as CYP3A4. As expected, these inactive p53 mutants, including p53-R175H, were shown to function in a dominant-negative manner by decreasing the interaction of wild-type p53 and PXR, thereby releasing the inhibitory effect of wild-type p53 on PXR. The dominant-negative effect of the p53-R175H mutant was also observed in the ChIP assay when ectopically expressed from a plasmid; whereas wild-type p53 decreased the binding of PXR to the CYP3A4 promoter, the R175H mutant actually enhanced the binding, possibly by replacing the endogenous wild-type p53 from the CYP3A4 promoter. Although it is unclear why binding of p53-R175H to PXR did not attenuate the binding of PXR to the CYP3A4 promoter, it is possible that the gain-of-function of p53 mutants, including the R175H mutant, contributes to the altered functional outcome of protein-protein interactions. Trp23 is necessary for the transactivation of p53 as well as its association with Mdm2 (Lin et al., 1994; Picksley et al., 1994). Interestingly, Trp23 falls within one of the sequences (LXXLL) used by coactivators to bind NRs. Although p53 also harbors the corepressor association sequence (IXXII), neither motif contributes to PXR binding.

In colon cancer cells, expression of wild-type p53 induces apoptosis (Shaw et al., 1992), whereas activation of PXR induces colon tumor growth and malignancy (Wang et al., 2011; Mani et al., 2013; Pondugula and Mani, 2013). In this study, we showed that in colon cancer cell line HCT116, wild-type p53 downregulates PXR activity. It is conceivable that in colon cancers with wild-type p53, decreased PXR activity as a result of inhibition by p53 might contribute to p53-mediated growth inhibition. Both the status of p53 and the levels of PXR will be crucial in determining their effect on tumor cell growth and chemosensitivity.

The regulation of a master xenobiotic sensor by a master tumor suppressor raises some interesting questions and
exciting possibilities. As the key regulator of the drug metabolism pathway, PXR regulates drug efficacy and contributes to drug resistance in tumors (Chen et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2012). On the other hand, loss of wild-type p53 activity contributes greatly to radioresistance and chemoresistance in tumors containing p53 mutations (Foyo, 2002; El-Deiry, 2003). Our finding that wild-type p53 interacts with and attenuates the activity of PXR suggests that the status of p53 might affect the efficacy of drugs, partially through affecting PXR-regulated pathways. Further investigations, including a comprehensive study on the effect of various naturally occurring p53 mutants on the activity of PXR and correlation of such effects to clinical observations will help establish the role of p53 in systematic drug metabolism.
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