The Link between Inactivation and High-Affinity Block of hERG1 Channels

Wei Wu, Alison Gardner, and Michael C. Sanguinetti

Nora Eccles Harrison Cardiovascular Research & Training Institute (W.W., A.G., M.C.S.), Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine (M.C.S.), University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah

Received January 23, 2015; accepted April 8, 2015

ABSTRACT

Block of human ether-à-go-go–related gene 1 (hERG1) K⁺ channels by many drugs delays cardiac repolarization, prolongs QT interval, and is associated with an increased risk of cardiac arrhythmia. Preferential block of hERG1 channels in an inactivated state has been assumed because inactivation-deficient mutant channels can exhibit dramatically reduced drug sensitivity. Here we reexamine the link between inactivation gating and potency of channel block using concatenated hERG1 tetramers containing a variable number (0–4) of subunits harboring a point mutation (S620T or S631A) that disrupts inactivation. Concatenated hERG1 tetramers containing four wild-type subunits exhibited high-affinity block by cisapride, dofetilide, and MK-499, similar to wild-type channels formed from hERG1 monomers. A single S620T subunit within a tetramer was sufficient to fully disrupt inactivation gating, whereas S631A suppressed inactivation as a graded function of the number of mutant subunits present in a concatenated tetramer. Drug potency was positively correlated to the number of S620T subunits contained within a tetramer but unrelated to mutation-induced disruption of channel inactivation. Introduction of a second point mutation (Y652W) into S620T hERG1 partially rescued drug sensitivity. The potency of cisapride was not altered for tetramers containing 0 to 3 S631A subunits, whereas the potency of dofetilide was a graded function of the number of S631A subunits contained within a tetramer. Together these findings indicate that S620T or S631A substitutions can allosterically disrupt drug binding by a mechanism that is independent of their effects on inactivation gating.

Introduction

Human ether-à-go-go–related gene 1 (hERG1) subunits coassemble to form a voltage-gated K⁺ channel that conducts the rapid delayed rectifier K⁺ current (Sanguinetti et al., 1995; Trudeau et al., 1995). Mutations in the hERG1 gene KCNH2 are a major cause of congenital long QT syndrome (Curran et al., 1995). Loss of function mutations in KCNH2 reduce rapid delayed rectifier K⁺ current, delay ventricular repolarization, lengthen the QT interval of the body surface electrocardiogram, and increase the risk of lethal cardiac arrhythmia (Keating and Sanguinetti, 2001). Prolongation of the action potential of cardiomyocytes can also be caused by block of hERG1 channels as an unintended side effect of many medications, and such activity is a major safety concern in drug development (Fenichel et al., 2004). Elucidation of the molecular mechanisms responsible for high-affinity block of hERG1 channels has facilitated efforts to avoid drug-induced arrhythmia.

The structural basis of the high-affinity ligand binding site for potent hERG1 blockers was revealed by a site-directed mutagenesis approach. Two aromatic residues (Y652, F656) located in each of the four S6 segments that line the central cavity of the hERG1 channel are critical for interactions with a variety of structurally diverse compounds (Lees-Miller et al., 2000; Mitcheson et al., 2000; Fernandez et al., 2004). These two aromatic residues are conserved in hERG1 and ether-à-go-go (eag) channel subunits, but noninactivating eag channels are much less sensitive to most hERG1 blockers (Ficker et al., 1998). In addition, most inactivation-deficient hERG1 mutant channels (e.g., S620T, S631A, G628C/S631C) exhibit significantly reduced sensitivity to high-affinity drugs (Suessbrich et al., 1997; Wang, et al. 1997a; Ficker et al., 1998; Numaguchi et al., 2000; Perrin et al., 2008). Together these findings strongly suggest that high-affinity blockers preferentially bind to the inactivated state of hERG1 channels. Contrary to this hypothesis was the observation that the IC₅₀ for block of wild-type and inactivation-deficient mutant channels is similar for some low-potency compounds such as quinidine (Lees-Miller et al., 2000; Perrin et al., 2008), erythromycin, and perhexilin (Perrin et al., 2008). Moreover, drug potency of high-affinity ligands is not always proportionate to the extent of channel inactivation. For example, G628C/S631C (GCSC) mutant channels do not.
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ABBREVIATIONS: E-4031, N-[4-[(2-ethyl)-1(2-(6-methyl)pyridin-2-yl)ethyl]piperidine-4-carboxylicamide; GSCC, G628C/S631C; IC₅₀-105574, 3-nitro-N-(4-phenoxypyphenyl)benzamide; MK-499, N-[4R]-1-[(2R)-6-cyano-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl]-4-hydroxyoxypiro [3,4-dihydrochromene-2,4`-piperidine]-6-yl)methanesulfonamide; PD-118057, 2-[[4-[[3,4-dichlorophenyl][ethyl]phenyl]amino]benzoic acid; RPR-260243, [3(R,4R)-4-[3-(6-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)-3-oxopropyl]-1-[3-[[2,3,5-trifluorophenyl][prop-2-ynyl]piperidine-3-carboxylic acid; ST, S620T; SA, S631A; WT, wild-type.
inactivate at all, yet these channels have a significantly higher drug sensitivity to methanesulfonanilides (e.g., MK-499 [N-[(4R)-1-[(2R)-6-cyano-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridazene-2-yl]-4-hydroxyxsipiro[3,4-dihydrochromene-2,4’-piperidene]-6-yl] methanesulfonamide] and E-4031 [N-[4-[1-(2-(6-methylpyridin-2-yl)ethyl)piperidine-4-carbonyl]phenyl]methanesulfonamide]) than other inactivation-deficient mutant channels (e.g., S620T or S631A hERG1) (Wang, et al., 1997b; Mitcheson et al., 2000).

Finally, point mutations that enhance inactivation (e.g., G648A, T623A, and F656A) were found to exhibit reduced sensitivity to block by MK-499 (Mitcheson et al., 2000). How inactivation gating of hERG1 modulates block of the channel by drugs is not well understood, but presumably the subtle conformational change in the selectivity filter that mediates inactivation of hERG1 (Stansfeld et al., 2008) is allosterically coupled to movement of key residues (Tyr652 or Phe656) in the S6 segment that interact with a drug located within the central cavity of the channel. There is little evidence for this hypothesis other than the finding that repositioning (by site-directed mutagenesis) of aromatic residues in the S6 of Drosophila eag introduced high-affinity block by cisapride in the normally insensitive channel (Chen et al., 2002).

We recently characterized the biophysical properties of concatenated hERG1 tetramers containing a variable number of wild-type and S620T or S631A mutant subunits with defined stoichiometry and positioning (Wu et al., 2014a). A single S620T subunit disrupted gating of the tetramer to the same extent as that observed for S620T homotetraters, indicating that hERG1 inactivation is mediated by an all-or-none cooperative interaction between all four subunits. In contrast, suppression of inactivation by S631A subunits was a graded function of the number of S631A subunits present in a concatenated tetramer. Here, we ask whether disruption of inactivation gating by the presence of 1–4 S620T or S631A subunits in a concatenated hERG1 tetramer is associated with a reduction in the potency for drug block as would be expected if there was a 1:1 correlation between inactivation and drug block. We also explored the relationship between channel inactivation and drug response with channels formed by coassembly of S620T monomers that contained an additional mutation of specific residues within the S6 segment that were previously shown to be critical for drug block. Our findings provide evidence for a new interpretation of how mutation-induced disruption of inactivation can reduce the potency of high-affinity hERG1 channel blockers.

Materials and Methods

Molecular Biology. hERG1 cDNA (KCNJ2, isoform 1a, NCBI Reference Sequence NM_000238) was cloned into the pSP64 oocyte expression vector, and point mutations were introduced using the QuickChange mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Concatenated hERG1 tetramers containing a variable number of S620T or S631A subunits were constructed as previously described (Wu et al., 2014a). Concatenated tetramers formed by four wild-type (WT), S620T (ST), or S631A (SA) mutant subunits are designated as WT4, ST4, and SA4, respectively. Heterotypic tetramers were named to indicate the relative positioning of the WT, ST, or SA mutant subunits. For example, the ST4/WT4/ST4 conceneter contained a WT subunit in the second position and S620T subunits in the first, third, and fourth position of the tetramer. All constructs were confirmed by DNA sequencing. cRNA encoding hERG1 monomers or concatenated tetramers were prepared by linearization of the plasmid with EcoRI and in vitro transcription using the mMessage mMachine SP6 kit (Ambion, Austin, TX).

Isolation and Two-Electrode Voltage-Clamp of Oocytes. Oocytes were enzymatically isolated from ovariian lobes excised from Xenopus laevis using procedures as described previously (Abbruzzese et al., 2010) and approved by the University of Utah Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Single oocytes were injected with 5–30 ng of hERG1 cRNA and incubated for 1–4 days before use in voltage-clamp experiments.

Whole cell hERG1 currents were recorded using agarose-cushion microelectrodes and standard two-electrode voltage-clamp techniques (Goldin, 1991; Stühmer, 1992). Oocytes were voltage clamped to a holding potential of ~80 mV, and 4-second pulses to a variable test potential (VT), usually 0 or ~30 mV, were applied once every 20 seconds. For some channel types, a current-voltage (I–VT) relationship was determined by applying 4-second pulses to a VT that ranged from ~70 to ~40 mV applied in 10-mV increments. Peak outward currents at each test potential (Iout) were measured, normalized to the largest current measured (~ +40 mV), and plotted as a function of VT. A Dell personal computer (Round Rock, TX), GeneClamp 500 amplifier (Molecular Devices, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA), Digidata 1322A data acquisition system (Molecular Devices, Inc.), and pCLAMP (ver. 8.2) software (Molecular Devices, Inc.) were used for data acquisition. pCLAMP and ORIGIN (ver. 8.6) software (OriginLab, Northampton, MA) were used for offline analysis of digitized data.

Solutions and Drugs. For two-electrode voltage clamp experiments, the extracellular solution contained (in mM): 96 NaCl, 2 KCl, 1 CaCl2, 5 HEPES, and 1 MgCl2, pH 7.6. Cisapride and dofetilide were purchased from Tocris Bioscience (Bristol, UK), and MK-499 was generously supplied by Merck & Co. (West Point, PA). All compounds were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide to make 10 mM stock solutions. Drug solutions at concentrations of 0.03–100 μM were made by diluting stock solutions with extracellular solution just before use in each experiment.

Data Analysis. Relative block of hERG1 channels was calculated as (Icontrol − Idrug)/Icontrol where Icontrol and Idrug are the magnitudes of current measured at the end of a 4-second depolarizing pulse under control conditions and after steady-state block in the presence of drug. Normalized current magnitude was plotted as a function of [drug] and the data fitted with the logistic equation:

\[
\frac{(I_{\text{control}} - I_{\text{drug}})}{I_{\text{control}}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-zF(V_{\text{act}} - V_{\text{IC50}})/RT}}
\]

where [D] is the concentration of drug, IC50 is the concentration of drug that caused a half-maximal inhibition, and H is the Hill coefficient.

The voltage dependence of inactivation was estimated using a two-pulse protocol. Current was first activated by a 2-second pulse to +40 (or +80) mV, then a tail current (Itail) was elicited with a 1.5-second pulse to a variable return potential (Vret) that was applied in 10-mV increments ranging from +30 (or +70) to ~140 mV. Normalized conductance (gmax) was estimated by calculating Itail/(Vret − Erev), where Erev is the reversal potential of Itail. The resulting relationship was fitted with a Boltzmann function:

\[
g = \frac{g_{\text{max}}}{1 + e^{-zF(V_{\text{act}} - V_{\text{half}})/RT}}
\]

where V0,5half is the half-point for inactivation, z is the equivalent charge, F is Faraday's constant, R is the universal gas constant, and T is the absolute temperature. For some experiments, plots of IC50 versus gmax or the number of mutant subunits/tetramer, were analyzed by linear regression, and the adjusted R² value was used to estimate the degree of relationship between the two variables. Data are presented as mean ± S.E.M. (n = number of individual oocytes). Where appropriate, data were analyzed with a one-way or two-way analysis of variance followed by a Tukey's multiple comparison test. A P < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Effects of Subunit Concatenation on the Potency of hERG1 Channel Blockers. Concatenation of subunits can
affect the pharmacology of the resulting tetrameric channels (Wu et al., 2014b, 2015). Therefore, we compared the concentration-response relationships for cisapride, dofetilide, and MK-499 on the block of concatenated WT hERG1 (WT₄) channels and channels formed naturally by coassembly of single WT subunits (WTmonomer). Cisapride and dofetilide inhibited the two channel types with equal potency (Fig. 1, A and B), whereas WT₄ channels were 2.6 times less sensitive to MK-499 than WTmonomer channels (Fig. 1C).

The Number of S620T Mutant Subunits Contained within a Concatenated Tetramer Determines Sensitivity of Channel to hERG1 Blockers. We previously reported (Wu et al., 2014a) that the presence of a single S620T mutant subunit in a concatenated hERG1 tetramer (ST₁/WT₃ tetramer) attenuates inactivation to the same extent as concatemers containing four S620T subunits (ST₄ tetramer). If the lack of inactivation gating was the main determinant of reduced potency of drug block of S620T hERG1 channels, then we would expect that tetrameric channels containing one or more S620T subunits would have a similarly reduced sensitivity to cisapride, dofetilide, and MK-499. To assess the potency of these compounds on concatenated channels, repetitive 4-second test pulses to 0 mV were applied and extent of current block was assessed when the block reached a steady-state level. Representative current traces for WT₄, ST₁/WT₃, ST₄, and STmonomer channels in the absence and presence of 0.3 μM cisapride are shown in Fig. 2A. In addition, ST₁/WT₃ channel currents exhibited a tonic block and a time-dependent block that developed during each 4-second test pulse. Current magnitude was measured at the end of the 4-second pulses. The [cisapride]-response relationships for the six different concatenated hERG1 channels and STmonomer channels were fitted with a logistic equation to estimate the IC₅₀ values (Fig. 2B; n = 4–6). ST₁ channels (IC₅₀ = 7.33 ± 0.92 μM) and STmonomer channels (IC₅₀ = 6.75 ± 1.0 μM) were 35-fold less sensitive to block by cisapride compared with WT₄ channels (IC₅₀ = 188 ± 21 nM), whereas ST₁/WT₃ channels (IC₅₀ = 588 ± 71 nM) were 3.1-fold less sensitive to block compared with WT₄ channels. The IC₅₀ for block of currents by cisapride was positively correlated to the number (0 to 4) of S620T subunits contained in a tetrameric hERG1 channel (Fig. 2C). Inactivation of WT₄ channels reduced g/gmax to a value of 0.09, whereas channels containing S620T subunits exhibit almost no inactivation at 0 mV and g/gmax > 0.95 (Fig. 2D). To quantify the relationship between inactivation and cisapride potency, g/gmax measured at 0 mV was plotted as a function of the IC₅₀ values for cisapride, also determined at 0 mV (Fig. 2E). There was no correlation between inactivation of these hERG1 channels and cisapride potency. Together these data indicate that the potency of cisapride block of hERG1 is dependent on the number of S620T subunits and not the extent of channel inactivation.

**Fig. 1.** Concentration-dependent block of WT hERG1 and concatenated tetrameric hERG1 channels expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes by cisapride, dofetilide, and MK-499. (A) Concentration-effect relationship for cisapride-mediated block of concatenated WT hERG1 tetramers (WT₄) and WT hERG1 channels formed naturally by coassembly of monomers (WTmonomer). The IC₅₀ values determined by fitting data to a logistic equation (smooth curve) were 188 ± 20 nM for WT₄ channels (n = 5) and 174 ± 21 nM for WTmonomer channels (n = 4). P = 0.99, two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). (B) Concentration-effect relationship for dofetilide-mediated block of WT₄ channels (IC₅₀ = 131 ± 21 nM, n = 5) and WTmonomer channels (IC₅₀ = 150 ± 12 nM, n = 5). P = 0.23, two-way ANOVA. (C) Concentration-effect relationship for MK-499-mediated block of WT₄ channels (IC₅₀ = 167 ± 25 nM, n = 4) and WTmonomer channels (IC₅₀ = 64 ± 9 nM, n = 4). P < 0.0001, two-way ANOVA. For all compounds, channel inhibition was quantified by measuring currents at the end of 4-second pulses applied once every 20 seconds to a Vₐ of 0 mV.

**Fig. 2.** Potency for cisapride block of hERG1 channels is dependent on the number of S620T subunits contained within a concatenated tetramer. (A) Representative current traces for WT₄, ST₁/WT₃, ST₄, and STmonomer hERG1 channels, recorded before and after treatment of oocytes with 0.3 μM cisapride. Channels were activated with a 4-second pulse to 0 mV, and tail currents were elicited at −70 mV. (B) [Cisapride]-response relationships for inhibition of Iᵣ (smooth curves). (C) IC₅₀ values plotted as a function of the number of S620T subunits contained within a concatenated tetramer. (D) Plot of g/gmax as a function of Vᵣ. Curves represent previously published average data for the indicated concatenated tetramers (Wu et al., 2014a). Vertical dotted line was used to determine g/gmax at 0 mV for each channel type. (E) Plot of IC₅₀ values versus g/gmax at 0 mV for channels as indicated by key (B).
The role of inactivation gating as a determinant of high-affinity hERG1 block was next evaluated with two methanesulfonanilides, dofetilide and MK-499. Representative traces of WT₄, ST₁/WT₃, ST₄, and ST₄monomer channel currents measured before and after the application of 0.3 μM dofetilide are illustrated in Fig. 3A. ST₁/WT₃ channels were much less sensitive to dofetilide than WT₄ channels but still significantly more sensitive than ST₄ and ST₄monomer channels. The [dofetilide]-response relationships for the six different concatenated hERG1 channels and ST₄monomer channels were fitted with a logistic equation to estimate the IC₅₀ values (Fig. 3B). The IC₅₀ values for dofetilide block of the four concatenated heterotetrameric channels were similar (~5 μM), 30–40 times greater than the IC₅₀ for WT₄ channels (0.13 μM) and 3 or 5 times less than ST₄ or ST₄monomer channels, respectively. The IC₅₀ for block of currents by dofetilide is plotted as a function of the number (0 to 4) of S620T subunits contained in a tetramer (Fig. 3C) or relative rectification at 0 mV (Fig. 3D). The same experimental approach and methods of analysis used for cisapride and dofetilide were repeated for MK-499 (Fig. 4, A–D). There was no significant correlation between the number of S620T subunits incorporated in a tetramer and the IC₅₀ for dofetilide or MK-499. High-affinity block of hERG1 channels by dofetilide and MK-499 was more dependent on inactivation than cisapride; however, for all drugs the IC₅₀ for block was reduced for concatenated channels with one or more WT subunit.

![Fig. 3. Dofetilide block of concatenated hERG1 channels containing a variable number of S620T subunits. (A) Representative current traces of WT₄, ST₁/WT₃, ST₄, and ST₄monomer hERG1 channels, recorded before and after treatment of oocytes with 0.3 μM dofetilide. Channels were activated with a 4-second pulse to 0 mV, and tail currents were elicited at ~70 mV. (B) [Dofetilide]-response relationships for inhibition of I₅₀ measured at 0 mV for indicated concatenated hERG1 channels (n = 3–5). Data were fitted with a logistic equation (smooth curves). (C) IC₅₀ values plotted as a function of the number of S620T subunits contained within a concatenated tetramer. (D) Plot of IC₅₀ values versus g₉₅max at 0 mV for channels as indicated by key in (B).](image)

![Fig. 4. MK-499 block of concatenated hERG1 channels containing a variable number of S620T subunits. (A) Representative current traces of WT₄, ST₁/WT₃, ST₄, and ST₄monomer channels recorded before and after treatment of oocytes with 0.3 μM MK-499. Channels were activated with a 4-second pulse to 0 mV, and tail currents were elicited at ~70 mV. (B) [MK-499]-response relationships for inhibition of I₅₀ measured at 0 mV for indicated concatenated hERG1 channels (n = 3–5). Data were fitted with a logistic equation (smooth curves). (C) IC₅₀ values plotted as a function of the number of S620T subunits contained within a concatenated tetramer. IC₅₀ for ST₁/WT₃ channels is shown as dashed bar; IC₅₀ for ST₄monomer channels is shown as crosshatched bar (at far right). P < 0.0001, one-way analysis of variance; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 compared with ST₄ channels. (D) Plot of IC₅₀ values versus g₉₅max at 0 mV for channels as indicated by key in (B).](image)

Response of Concatenated Channels Containing a Variable Number of S631A Subunits to hERG1 Blockers. Ser631 is located just outside the selectivity filter of the hERG1 subunit. Mutation of this residue to Ala (S631A) shifts V₀.₅inact to more positive potentials (reduces inactivation) as a graded function of the number of S631A subunits present in a concatenated tetramer, consistent with highly cooperative, but not fully concerted (i.e., all-or-none), subunit interactions (Wu et al., 2014a). We tested the effects of cisapride and dofetilide on concatenated channels containing 1, 2, 3, or 4 S631A subunits. The IC₅₀ for cisapride was similar for WT₄ channels (188 ± 20 nM, n = 5) and channels containing 1, 2, or 3 S631A subunits/tetramer (Fig. 5, A and B). Only SA₄ channels exhibited a reduced sensitivity to cisapride with an IC₅₀ of 0.77 ± 0.2 μM (n = 5). We previously reported the voltage dependence of inactivation for tetramers containing 1–4 S631A subunits, and these relationships are presented in Fig. 5C. The dotted vertical line in this figure was used to estimate the g₉₅max at 0 mV for the different concatenated tetramers. The relationship between g₉₅max at 0 mV and the IC₅₀ for cisapride, also determined at 0 mV, is plotted in Fig. 5D. Despite an increase in g₉₅max from 0.09 for WT₄ channels to 0.73 for SA₁/WT₃/SA₂ channels, there was no change in the IC₅₀ for cisapride. The [dofetilide]-response relationships for WT₄ and the four different concatenated tetramers containing S631A subunits are plotted in Fig. 5E. The IC₅₀ values determined from these plots were highly correlated (adjusted R² = 0.95) with the number of S631A subunits (from 0 to 4) contained in a tetramer (Fig. 5F). As shown in Fig. 5G, the IC₅₀ values for dofetilide block of
tetramers containing 1 or more S631A were also highly correlated with $g/g_{\text{max}}$ at 0 mV (adjusted $R^2 = 0.98$); however, when WT4 channels were included in the analysis, the correlation was reduced (adjusted $R^2 = 0.76$). Thus, the potency of dofetilide is more closely related to the number of S631A subunits contained within a concatenated tetramer than with the extent of channel inactivation.

**Effect of Cisapride on G628C/S631C hERG1 Concatenated Tetrameric Channels.** The combination of two point mutations, one in the selectivity filter (G628C) and another just outside this structure (S631C), eliminates inactivation in hERG1 channels (Smith et al., 1996). Two concatenated tetramers incorporating G628C/S631C mutant subunits were constructed (GCSC1/WT3 and GCSC4) and used to further investigate the role of inactivation in the potency of block by cisapride. Figure 6A illustrates the effect of 0.3 μM cisapride on currents activated during 4-second pulses to 0 mV followed by repolarization to −70 mV to elicit tail currents. GCSC1/WT3 and GCSC4 hERG1 concatamers do not inactivate (Wu et al., 2014a), and both were equally sensitive to block by cisapride with $IC_{50}$ values of 0.51 μM for GCSC1/WT3 channels and 0.49 μM for GCSC4 channels (Fig. 6B), only a 2.5-fold increase of $IC_{50}$ compared with WT4 channels. Thus, when inactivation gating of hERG1 channels was removed with the G628C/S631C double mutations, the decrease in sensitivity to cisapride was far less than that observed when inactivation was eliminated with the S620T mutation. This finding suggests that inactivation per se is not the primary determinant of altered drug sensitivity in S620T channels.

**Second Site Mutation (Y652W) Partially Restores Blocker Sensitivity of S620T hERG1 Channels.** The putative binding site for most drugs that block hERG1 channels, including cisapride (Mitcheson et al., 2000), dofetilide (Kamiya et al., 2006), and MK-499 (Mitcheson et al., 2000) is located in the central cavity. Site-directed mutagenesis assays indicate that these drugs primarily...
interact with a few residues that face toward the central cavity, including two aromatic residues in the S6 segment (Tyr652 and Phe656) and three residues located between the pore helix and the selectivity filter (Thr623, Ser624, and Val625). We hypothesized that a S620T mutation in the pore helix might reduce the affinity for interaction with one or more of these key residues and that this action rather than simply a lack of inactivation gating might be the cause of the reduced drug potency. To test this idea, we determined if introduction of a second mutation into S620T subunits could partially rescue drug sensitivity that was reduced by S620T. In addition, we also determined if drug potency could be rescued by “repositioning” the single Tyr and Phe residues in the S6 segment that are the most important molecular determinants of blocker potency (Mitcheson and Perry, 2003; Fernandez et al., 2004). Specifically, Tyr652 or Phe656 were mutated to Ala and the preceding residue was mutated to Tyr or Phe to yield M651Y+Y652A and I655F+F656A channels. In essence, each double mutation moves a key aromatic residue to the preceding position in the S6 segment, a procedure that we previously found could introduce sensitivity to cisapride block of otherwise insensitive eag channels (Chen et al., 2002).

For these experiments, channels formed by natural coassembly of single subunits expressed in oocytes were studied. $I_{\text{test}}$-$V_{\text{t}}$ relationships for all the different mutant channels were determined by applying 4-second pulses to a $V_{\text{t}}$ that was varied from −70 to +40 mV. Representative current traces at a $V_{\text{t}}$ of −20 and +20 mV for WT, S620T, and S620T+Y652W hERG1 channels are illustrated in Fig. 7A. For WT hERG1 channel, $I_{\text{test}}$ at −20 mV was about 2.5-fold larger than $I_{\text{test}}$ at +20 mV, reflecting strong channel inactivation at the depolarized potential. In contrast, for S620T and S620T+Y652W channels $I_{\text{test}}$ was much larger at a $V_{\text{t}}$ of +20 mV than at −20 mV, a consequence of attenuated channel inactivation. S620T+Y652W channel current at +20 mV exhibited a time-dependent decay that presumably indicates that these channels retained an ability to partially inactivate. The normalized $I_{\text{test}}$-$V_{\text{t}}$ relationships for mutant channels containing the S620T mutation alone or combined with one or two additional mutations are plotted in Fig. 7, B and C. The $I_{\text{test}}$-$V_{\text{t}}$ relationship exhibited outward rectification with no region of negative slope conductance, indicating that inactivation was highly disrupted in all 10 of the mutant channels. The effect of the three drugs on channel currents was assessed by applying repetitive pulses to 0 mV once every 20 seconds. The percent inhibition of $I_{\text{test}}$ of WT and the 10 mutant hERG1 channels by 0.3 μM cisapride, dofetilide, and MK-499 are summarized in Fig. 7, D–F, respectively. Y652W was the only mutation that partially restored sensitivity of S620T channels to block by all three drugs. However, as shown in Fig. 7A, S620T+Y652W channels also appeared to inactivate, albeit only slightly compared with WT channels. The voltage dependence of inactivation of S620T+Y652W channels is quantitatively compared with WT, Y652W, and S620T channels in Fig. 7G. Although the voltage dependence of $g_{\text{max}}/g_{\text{test}}$ for WT and Y652W channels was similar, rectification of S620T+Y652W channels was less attenuated than that observed for S620T channels.

To better quantify the relationship between drug potency and channel inactivation of these four channels, we determined the concentration-dependent inhibition of $I_{\text{test}}$ by all
three drugs (Fig. 8, A–C) and then plotted the calculated IC50 values as a function of the relative channel conductance ($g/g_{\text{max}}$) at 0 mV (Fig. 8, D–F). The value of $g/g_{\text{max}}$ at 0 mV was chosen because the relative block of $I_{\text{test}}$ was also determined at a Vt of 0 mV. As before (Figs. 2E, 3D, and 4D), there was no correlation between the extent of current rectification and drug potency, suggesting that inactivation is not the primary determinant of hERG1 channel sensitivity to block by cisapride, dofetilide, or MK-499. Finally, we also determined the IC50 for block of S620T and S620T+Y652W channels by all three drugs in oocytes that were repetitively pulsed to a Vt of −30 mV, a potential where both of the mutant channels do not inactivate (i.e., $g/g_{\text{max}} = 1.0$). The plots of relative block of $I_{\text{test}}$ at −30 mV as a function of the concentration of cisapride, dofetilide, and MK-499 are shown in Fig. 9, A–C, respectively. Although S620T channels were still less sensitive to block than S620T+Y652W channels when assessed at −30 mV, the fold increase in IC50 was less than 30 mV for cisapride and dofetilide. In contrast, the fold increase in IC50 at the two test potentials was not appreciably changed for MK-499 (Fig. 9D). Thus, even when the extent of inactivation is quantitatively accounted for in our analysis, mutating Tyr652 to the more bulky and hydrophobic Trp partially restores the sensitivity of S620T hERG1 channels to block by the three drugs examined in this study.

**Discussion**

Based on numerous studies, it is generally believed that hERG1 channels are preferentially blocked when in an inactivated state. The most compelling evidence for this state-dependent block is the finding that most inactivation-deficient mutant channels exhibit dramatically reduced drug sensitivity (Suessbrich et al., 1997; Wang et al., 1997a; Ficker et al., 1998; Numaguchi et al., 2000; Perrin et al., 2008). However, there is not a simple correlation between point mutation-induced reduction or elimination of inactivation and the potency of hERG1 blockers. For example, the low-affinity blockers quinidine, perhexiline, and erythromycin inhibit WT and inactivation-deficient N588K hERG1 channels with equal potency (Perrin et al., 2008).

The study of channels formed by concatenation of multiple hERG1 subunits has provided unique insights into the mechanisms of drug action. Concatenated hERG1 dimers were used to show that cisapride interacts with Tyr652 residues on adjacent subunits, whereas terfenadine interacts with Tyr652 residues on diagonal, but not on adjacent, subunits (Imai et al., 2009). We previously used concatenated hERG1 tetramers to show that modification of hERG1 channel gating requires occupancy of all four available ligand binding sites to achieve maximal efficacy by the agonists PD-118057 [2-[[4-[2-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)ethyl]phenyl]amino]benzoic acid], ICA-105574 [3-nitro-N-(4-phenoxyphenyl)-benzamid] (Wu et al., 2014b), and RPR-260243 [3R,4R]-4-[3-(6-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)-3-oxopropyl]-1-[3-(2,3,5-trifluorophenyl)prop-2-ynyl]piperidine-3-carboxylic acid] (Wu et al., 2015). In the present study, we further explored the link between inactivation gating and potency of block by cisapride, dofetilide, and MK-499 using concatenated hERG1 tetramers containing a variable number of mutant subunits harboring the S620T or S631A mutation known to disrupt inactivation without significantly changing ion selectivity (as occurs with the double mutation G628C/S631C). Previous studies have shown that concatenation of voltage-gated K channel subunits can result in unanticipated coassembly of multiple units (McCormack et al., 1992; Hurst et al., 1995; Sack et al., 2008). Improper assembly is less problematic...
when concatenated subunits are nearly identical to one another (Hurst et al., 1992; Sack, et al., 2008). As discussed previously (Wu et al., 2014a,b), the formation of multimerized hERG1 concatamers is unlikely.

A single S620T subunit in a concatenated tetramer is sufficient to disrupt inactivation gating to the same extent as that observed for concatenated S620T tetramers (Wu et al., 2014a). However, despite equivalent disruption of inactivation gating, the sensitivity of ST4 channels to block by dofetilide, MK-499, and especially cisapride was much less than ST1/WT3 channels. These and other findings indicate that Ser620 mutations have effects on drug efficacy that are not fully explained by high-affinity binding to an inactivated state of the channel. For example, whereas S620C and S620T mutations are equally effective at eliminating inactivation, S620C did not affect the potency of channel block by cocaine, whereas block of S620T channels was reduced by 21-fold (Guo et al., 2008), whereas S631A and N588K channels only rarely enter an inactivated state at moderate potentials (e.g., 0 mV), the much higher affinity for inactivated state block would naturally result in a lower IC50 for block of these channels compared with S620T channels. Perrin et al. (2008) suggested that block of S620T hERG1 channels by dofetilide provided an estimate of the open state binding affinity, and Markov modeling was used to calculate affinities for the open and inactivated state that differed by 73-fold. However, we found that ST1/WT3 channels were more sensitive than ST4 channels to block by dofetilide (and MK-499 and cisapride) despite the fact that inactivation was absent in both mutant channel types. Moreover, GCSC1/WT3 and GCSC4 channels do not inactivate, yet were equally sensitive to block by cisapride and only 2.7 times less sensitive than WT4 channels. Interestingly, we found that ST1/WT3 channels were 3.1 times less sensitive to cisapride than WT4 channels, and Perrin et al. (2008) reported that when expressed in Chinese hamster ovary cells, N588K channels were 2.7-fold less sensitive to cisapride than WT hERG1 channels. Together these findings suggest that (1) block of G628C/S631C or ST4 WT3 inactivation-removed channels might provide a better estimate of drug binding affinity to the open state of hERG1 channels than that estimated from block of S620T homotetrameric channels and (2) inactivation state-dependent binding only accounts for ~3-fold increase in sensitivity to channel block by cisapride.

Molecular dynamics simulations of a homology model suggest that inactivation of hERG1 channels results when the carbonyl of Phe627 in the selectivity filter rotates away from the ion conduction axis and that this is prevented by the S620T mutation (Stansfeld et al., 2008). We presume that each S620T mutation affects drug affinity by an intrasubunit effect, because increasing the number of S620T subunits contained within a concatenated tetramer produced a progressive reduction in the potency of cisapride, dofetilide, and MK-499. As suggested previously (Perrin et al., 2008), the S620T mutation might induce a conformational change in one or more of the critical drug binding residues located at the base of the pore helix or nearby S6 residues that face toward the central cavity (Mitcheson et al., 2000). We probed for this possibility by introducing into S620T channels a second site mutation of a residue located at the base of the pore helix (Ser624, Thr623, Val625) or in the S6 segment (Tyr652, Phe656). Only one second site mutation (Y652W) appreciably enhanced drug sensitivity of S620T channels. Substitution of Tyr with Trp could facilitate hydrophobic interactions between the channel and a drug located in the central cavity; however, the Y652W mutation alone did not enhance drug potency. Based on these findings, we propose that S620T, in addition to disrupting the molecular machinery of inactivation, may also allosterically alter the position of the side chain of Tyr652 in a manner that reduces high affinity drug binding.
In summary, our findings suggest that although the S620T and S631A mutations reduce drug sensitivity in part by eliminating activation, these mutations also apparently cause an allosteric disruption of drug binding by an independent mechanism. Thus, the subtle reconfiguration of channel structure associated with inactivation gating facilitates, but is not a strict requirement for, high-affinity block of HERG1 channels.

Authorship Contributions

Participated in research design: Wu, Gardner, Sanguinetti.

Conducted experiments: Wu, Gardner.

Performed data analysis: Wu, Sanguinetti.

Wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript: Wu, Sanguinetti.

References


Address correspondence to: Michael C. Sanguinetti, Nora Eccles Harrison Cardiovascular Research & Training Institute, University of Utah, 95 South 2000 East, Salt Lake City, UT 84112. E-mail: sanguinetti@cvti.utah.edu