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ABSTRACT

The advent of whole exome/genome sequencing and the technology-driven reduction in the cost of next-generation sequencing as well as the introduction of diagnostic-targeted sequencing chips have resulted in an unprecedented volume of data directly linking patient genomic variability to disorders of the brain. This information has the potential to transform our understanding of neurologic disorders by improving diagnoses, illuminating the molecular heterogeneity underlying diseases, and identifying new targets for therapeutic treatment. There is a strong history of mutations in GABA receptor genes being involved in neurologic diseases, particularly the epilepsies. In addition, a substantial number of variants and mutations have been found in GABA receptor genes in patients with autism, schizophrenia, and addiction, suggesting potential links between the GABA receptors and these conditions. A new and unexpected outcome from sequencing efforts has been the surprising number of mutations found in glutamate receptor subunits, with the GRIN2A gene encoding the GluN2A N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor subunit being most often affected. These mutations are associated with multiple neurologic conditions, for which seizure disorders comprise the largest group. The GluN2A subunit appears to be a locus for epilepsy, which holds important therapeutic implications. Virtually all α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor mutations, most of which occur within GRIA3, are from patients with intellectual disabilities, suggesting a link to this condition. Similarly, the most common phenotype for kainate receptor variants is intellectual disability. Herein, we summarize the current understanding of disease-associated mutations in ionotropic GABA and glutamate receptor families, and discuss implications regarding the identification of human mutations and treatment of neurologic diseases.

Introduction

The control of ion flow across the lipid membrane is essential for many cellular functions, including hormone secretion, volume regulation, motility, muscle contraction, and neuronal excitability. Inherited and de novo mutations in channels and transporters, the conduits that convey ions through lipid bilayers, are associated with many diseases, including diabetes, hypertension, cardiac arrhythmia, asthma, cystic fibrosis, as well as multiple neurologic diseases, some of which we will focus on here. The term channelopathy refers to a disease that arises due to the defect in a particular ion channel. Despite origins within a single molecular species (a channel), channelopathy etiology is often defined by a complex interaction between many processes, and thus similar symptoms can arise from mutations in different channels. To understand the pathogenesis of channelopathies, we must disentangle these effects, especially if we are to design targeted therapeutics to mitigate the ramifications of the causative mutation. For example, long QT syndrome, a delay in cardiac ventricular repolarization, can be caused by mutations in several different voltage-gated ion channel genes, including potassium channel genes KCNQ1, KCNQ2, KCNJ2, sodium

ABBREVIATIONS: AMPA, α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid; CAE, childhood absence epilepsy; CNS, central nervous system; DS, Dravet syndrome; FDA, U.S. Food and Drug Administration; FS, febrile seizure; GEFS+, generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures plus; NMDA, N-methyl-D-aspartate; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.
mutations in both Aittoniemi et al., 2009). In the central nervous system (CNS), the same three diseases can result from mutations in the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor gene GABRG2 and two forms of neonatal diabetes (Aittoniemi et al., 2009). Another epilepsy (early infantile epileptic encephalopathy) can be categorized into multiple subtypes, many being associated with a different channelopathy in a different gene (KCNQ2, SCN2A, SCN8A, and KCNT1) (Kim 2014). Finally, mutations in the nicotinic cholinergic receptor genes CHRNA2, CHRNA4, and CHRNB2 result in autosomal dominant nocturnal frontal lobe epilepsy, whereas mutations in CHRNA1, CHRNBI, CHRNND, and CHRNNE result in congenital myasthenic syndrome (Steinlein and Bertrand, 2010; Kim 2014). The examples given above emphasize that these diseases are multifactorial and that individual channel isoforms subserve many functions. This is especially true for the complex disorders of the central nervous system.

The brain is composed of networks of neurons interconnected by excitatory and inhibitory synapses, which generate patterns of activity that encode and convey information. Inhibitory synaptic signaling in the brain is mediated primarily by the vesicular release of the neurotransmitter GABA, which acts on a large family of postsynaptic ligand-gated ion channels that are pentameric assemblies of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunits (Benarroch 2007; Brickley and Mody, 2012; Kowalczyk and Kulig, 2014; Lee and Maguire, 2014). The vast majority of excitatory synaptic transmission involves the vesicular release of the neurotransmitter glutamate, which activates a group of tetrameric receptors that can be divided into three subtypes [α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA), kainate, and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA)] on the basis of pharmacology and structure (Traynelis et al., 2010; Paolotti et al., 2013). In addition to fast synaptic signaling, slower neurotransmission also occurs for both glutamate and GABA and can involve both ionotropic and metabotropic receptors. The balance of excitation and inhibition in the CNS is controlled by multiple mechanisms, and aberrations in this balance can lead to abnormal neuronal firing, altered network activity, and neuropathology. When excitatory synaptic transmission proceeds unchecked due to attenuation of GABAergic transmission, it can lead to hypersynchronous neuronal firing, abnormal burst generation, and seizures (Macdonald et al., 2010; Hines et al., 2012). Overactivation of Ca<sup>2+</sup>-permeable glutamate receptors can be neurotoxic and exacerbate neuronal death in brain injury (Garthwaite and Garthwaite, 1991; Choi 1994). Excess inhibition can cause several different clinical conditions, including absence seizures (Crunelli et al., 2011; Yağcık 2012), pathologic sleepiness, and dysphoria (Rye et al., 2012).

Although the vast majority of known channelopathies involve voltage-gated channels, many missense GABA receptor mutations have been reported over the past decade in patients with various neurologic diseases, including epilepsy (Table 1). Even more recently, a surprising number of disease-associated glutamate receptor mutations have been identified, with >80% found within the NMDA receptor subfamily (Table 2; reviewed by Soto et al., 2014; Burnashev and Szepetowski, 2015). In both GABA and glutamate receptor families, mutations that disrupt protein structure, conformation, abundance, or localization have been described. Missense mutations encode a different amino acid at a specific position; splice junction mutations alter alternative splicing or lead to protein truncation; and insertions or deletions can lead to a frame shift and protein truncation by a premature stop codon in the new reading frame. In addition, some mutations alter protein trafficking or RNA stability, leading to changes in the level and location of receptors that reach the neuronal plasma membrane (e.g., Macdonald and Kang, 2012; see below). By changing critical channel properties, surface expression, or localization, mutations can alter neuronal signaling, which leads to changes in brain function that can underlie patient symptoms (e.g., Macdonald et al., 2010; Pierson et al., 2014; Yuan et al., 2014). Adaptive neurobiological consequences of perturbations in neuronal function also occur secondary to the effects of the mutations, and thus functionally relevant mutations can alter circuitry to create neuropathological situations that subsequently persist and drive symptoms independent of the initial insult (e.g., Jefferys and Whittington, 1996). Moreover, molecularly distinct mutations can interact with adaptive changes to produce, at times, common physiologic effects.

Recent advances in next-generation sequencing technologies have led to a dramatic increase in the amount of exome sequencing data available, which has accelerated our understanding of human mutations in neurologic disease, including mutations in the channels that mediate inhibitory and excitatory synaptic transmission. In this review, we summarize the current state of knowledge for human disease-associated mutations in ionotropic GABA and glutamate receptor families, with a discussion of the implications for advancing the understanding of these conditions. We focus here on de novo mutations (newly acquired mutations in the patient that are absent in the healthy parents) as well as inherited rare variants that occur with a frequency of less than 1% in the

| TABLE 1 |
| Human GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor mutations in neurologic disorders |
| All missense mutations with a frequency of <1% as well as stop codons and splice junction mutations are included. Total indicates the number of published de novo or inherited mutations in each subunit. Many mutations have more than one phenotype. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene, Subunit</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>RVIS&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>ASD</th>
<th>DD/MR</th>
<th>Epi</th>
<th>SZ</th>
<th>ADD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GABRA1, a1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABRA2, a2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABRA4, a6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABBR2, β2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABBR3, β3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABRG1, γ1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABRG2, γ2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABRG3, γ3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABRB2, ρ2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABRD, δ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>RVIS is the residual variation intolerance score in percentile, for which lower numbers reflect genes less tolerant to mutation (see dataset S2 in Petrovski et al., 2013; http://www.plosgenetics.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003709.s002; see Supplemental Table S1 for references). AD, Alzheimer's disease; ADD, addiction; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; DD, developmental delay; Epi, epilepsy; MR, mental retardation; SZ, schizophrenia.
general population and thus are potential disease-associated mutations.

**GABA<sub>A</sub> Receptors**

GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors are cys-loop ligand-gated chloride/anion channels that broadly dampen neuronal electrical excitability as well as regulate spike timing, thereby controlling circuit function. Functional pentameric GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors can be homomeric or heteromeric assemblies of up to 3 of 19 GABR genes (GABRA1–GABRA6, GABRB1–GABRB3, GABRG1–GABRG3, GABRR1–GABRR3, GABRE, GABRP, and GABRQ) (Olsen and Sieghart, 2009) (Fig. 1). Receptors exhibit different spatial and temporal expression in the mammalian CNS (Pirker et al., 2000), and the structural differences in each subunit account for differences in receptor pharmacology, subcellular localization, and intrinsic channel kinetics (Lavoie et al., 1997). Receptors concentrated in the synapse provide brief but strong inhibition, whereas those located more diffusely in perisynaptic or extrasynaptic locations can cause a long-lived inhibitory shunt in response to ambient GABA, which is often amplified by neurosteroids or the presence of alcohol (Jia et al., 2007; Belelli et al., 2009).

It is not surprising that the disorders associated with the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor are behaviorally complex and multifactorial since GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors and GABAergic neurons are very heterogeneous and widespread throughout the CNS. The GABA receptor genes show a range of tolerances to mutations that are predicted to damage the encoded protein in the general population (Table 1), with genes that harbor fewer than expected protein-disrupting mutations (GABRG1 and GABRB2) considered less tolerant to mutations (see Petrovski et al., 2013). The roles of most de novo or inherited mutations in disease progression are not well understood, even though the majority of these mutations have been associated with autism, epilepsy, schizophrenia, or addiction disorders (see Table 1). Although most of the rare variants (<1% of the general population) are de novo mutations verified in trios, early infantile epileptic encephalopathy, generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures plus (GEFS+), idiopathic generalized epilepsy, and febrile seizures (FSs) have been linked to heritable mutations in GABR genes (Singh et al., 1999; Baulac et al., 2001; Wallace et al., 2001; Kananura et al., 2002; Dibbens et al., 2004; Lenzen et al., 2005; Audenaert et al., 2006; Carvill et al., 2013a, 2014). In addition, susceptibility to alcohol dependence, childhood absence epilepsy, and juvenile myoclonic epilepsy are all strongly associated with GABR mutations (Cossette et al., 2002; Radel et al., 2005; Maljevic et al., 2006; Lachance-Touchette et al., 2011). These heritable diseases are restricted to 5 of the 19 genes at four vulnerable chromosomal locations: 5q34, 4p12, 15q12, and 1p36 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/omim).

GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors have long been considered to play a central role in epilepsy (Jasper, 1984), a view that was eventually confirmed at the molecular level (Baulac et al., 2001; Wallace et al., 2001; Cossette et al., 2002). In these human studies, an inherited mutation in the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor γ2 subunit was associated with the manifestation of the disease. Since these important discoveries, many additional GABR mutations have been described in the literature (Supplemental Table S1). Of these, relatively few GABR missense mutations have been studied functionally, limiting insight into how the mutations might alter neuronal and circuit function and ultimately impact neurologic disease. One exception to the lack of functional understanding has come from a body of work by Macdonald et al., who have documented the functional effects of 15 mutations linked to inherited epilepsies in GABRA1, GABRB3, GABRG1, and GABRD genes, advancing our understanding of this complex family of diseases (reviewed by Kang and Macdonald, 2009; Macdonald et al., 2010). Interestingly, 11 of these 15 mutations occur in the mature peptide, allowing the use of in vitro techniques to assess changes in the functional and molecular properties. The remaining four mutations are either intronic or reside in regions encoding the promoter or signal peptide.

The results of these studies are fascinating yet complex, and show that receptor dysfunction can occur via a wide array of deficits. For example, the mutations γ2(R82Q) and γ2 (Q390X) (also referred to as R43Q and Q351X) are both retained in the endoplasmic reticulum, resulting in childhood absence epilepsy (CAE/FSs and GEFS+/Dravet syndrome (DS), respectively (Wallace et al., 2001; Harkin et al., 2002; Kang and Macdonald 2004; Kang et al., 2009). Although αβ-heteromers lacking the γ subunit are functional and exhibit higher potency (e.g., lower EC₅₀) for GABA than αγβ assemblies, the loss of the γ subunit impairs the targeting of αβ receptors to the synapse via the loss of interactions with the GABARAP-gephyrin trafficking-scaffolding machinery. In addition, α3(P11S), α3(S15F), and β3(G32R) result in N-linked glycosylation errors, impairing normal GABA receptor–mediated inhibition, resulting in GEFS+ and/or DS (Tanaka et al., 2008; Gurbka et al., 2012). In addition to those noted above, 10 more mutations in the most abundant α subunit, α1, have been linked with idiopathic epilepsies, Dravet syndrome, and epileptic encephalopathies. The mutations include two gene deletions, five point mutations, an intronic insertion, and a nonsense mutation. As with other epilepsy-associated GABR mutations, functional deficits include reduced cell surface expression and impaired receptor activation (see Supplemental Table S1) (Fisher, 2004; Krampl et al., 2005; Klassen et al., 2011; Lachance-Touchette et al., 2011; Mefford et al., 2011; Epilepsy Phenome/Genome Project, 2013; Carvill et al., 2014; Olson et al., 2014).

Premature termination codons can result in the production of truncated proteins or truncated mRNAs that are degraded prior to translation. These effects appear to occur with α1 (S326fs), γ2(Q40X), γ2(Q429X), as well as a splice site mutation in γ2 at the boundary of intron6/exon6 (Kananura et al., 2002; Hirose 2006; Maljevic et al., 2006; Sun et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2012; Tian and Macdonald, 2012), resulting in seizure disorders, including DS, GEFS+, FS, and CAE. Promoter mutations (GABRB3 haplotype) result in CAE following impairment of transcription, which might reduce surface expression of this subunit (Uraik et al., 2006). Finally, missense mutations can result in unincorporated, misfolded subunits [e.g., α1(A322D)] or intact pentameric receptors that harbor mutant subunits, which alter channel kinetics [e.g., γ2 (K328M), γ2(R177G), δ(E177A), and δ(R220H)]. In all four cases, impaired gating results in reduced inhibition and is proposed to cause GEFS+ or FS (Baulac et al., 2001; Dibbens et al., 2004; Audenaert et al., 2006). Thus, there are examples within the GABA receptor family of mutations that alter receptor function, cell surface density, and transcription and RNA processing. This could change the optimal balance of
synaptic excitation and inhibition, perturb subcellular signaling in neurons, influence disease progression outright, or constitute a risk factor working in concert with other processes to contribute to neurologic disease. In addition, compartmental changes in GABA receptor function associated with the various mutations could have large-scale effects on circuit and brain function, as mutations that impact where and when the GABA receptor operates could be as important as changes in biophysical properties. Thus, despite the functional evaluation of biophysical and biochemical properties, additional work still remains to fully understand the circuit level pathology and potential drug targets.

Schizophrenia is a disease of multifactorial origin that affects up to 1% of the population, with some cases showing a heritable component (Tsuang et al., 2001). Perturbation in GABAergic interneuron biology and function have been observed in schizophrenic patients in addition to changes in glutamatergic, serotonergic, and dopaminergic neurotransmission (Benes and Berretta, 2001; Coyle, 2012). Although GABR mutations have not been widely reported in schizophrenic patients, the importance of GABRA receptor perturbations was emphasized when five single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the GABBR2 gene were shown to be associated with schizophrenia (Lo et al., 2004). In common with the majority of the epilepsy mutations, many recently described SNPs lead to protein changes that appear to impair the delivery of functional receptors to the cell surface, compromising normal inhibition. For example, hypermethylation occurs in the vicinity of one schizophrenia-associated SNP, and two other SNPs introduce a CpG methylation site (Pun et al., 2011). In both cases, studies of trios indicated that these SNPs, and hence aberrant methylation, may play a role in GABBR2 imprinting and the risk of developing schizophrenia. Also in common with epilepsy-associated mutations (Baulac et al., 2001; Dibbens et al., 2004; Audenaert et al., 2006), some mutations result in an alteration of the channels delivered to the neuronal surface. GABBR2 can be expressed as a long or short alternative RNA splice variant. Notably, the short isoform lacks exon 10, which encodes residues that form a consensus phosphorylation site for calmodulin protein kinase II (Thr365), which might play a role in receptor retention in the membrane (Pun et al., 2011). Two schizophrenia-linked SNPs reduce the amount of the longer isoform in favor of the shorter, less stable isoform. This generates a population of surface GABAA receptors that are more prone to receptor desensitization and rundown and ultimately results in long-term disinhibition (Zhao et al., 2006, 2009).

Autism, a developmental disorder that is characterized by deficits in reciprocal social interactions, impaired communication, and repetitive behaviors, is estimated to occur in 1 in 1000 children. However, the risk to siblings of autistic children is as high as 3% (Bolton et al., 1994; Bailey et al., 1995), with a male to female risk ratio of 4:1 (McLennan et al., 1993). Genetic abnormalities have been described in the Angelman critical region 15q11–13 in several individuals with autism (Tager-Flusberg et al., 2001). This region of chromosome 15 contains the GABRA receptor genes encoding the α5, β3, and γ3 subunits (Bass et al., 2000). Although rare mutations have not been identified, two SNPs in the GABRA receptor γ3 gene are significantly associated with autism.

![Fig. 1. Architecture and domain organization of ionotropic GABAA receptor family. (A) Top-down and (B) side view of a homomeric β3 GABAA receptor (PDB ID 4COF). (C) Linear representation of modular ligand-binding domain (blue) and TMD (yellow) within a subunit polypeptide chain. (D) Schematic illustration of a GABAA receptor subunit topology with the extracellular domain (blue) and membrane-associated elements (yellow) color coded to match the linear polypeptide chain in (C). Short peptide linkers between domains are shown in black lines.](https://molpharm.aspetjournals.org/doi/fig/10.1124/mol.117.102651)
(Menold et al., 2001), raising the idea that this gene or one proximal to it contributes a significant risk in autistic disease (e.g., β3) (Buxbaum et al., 2002). In addition, partial tetrasomy of this region can result in autistic-like behavior coupled with seizures and intellectual disability (Battaglia et al., 2010). More recently, a significant risk for autism has been hypothesized via complex gene-gene interactions involving \( \text{GABRA4} \) and \( \text{GABRB1} \), with other \( \text{GABRs} \) potentially playing an important role as well (Ma et al., 2005), although how the changes in gene expression affect GABAergic neurotransmission is not well understood.

Finally, many neuropsychiatric disorders, including addiction, do not manifest symptoms until patients become adults. More than half of the phenotypically linked SNPs and mutations are associated with drug abuse (predominantly alcohol) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/), which supports a role for GABA\( \text{A}_{\text{A}} \) receptors in addiction risk (Anstee et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014). In particular, \( \text{GABRA6} \) has been identified as an inheritable locus for developing alcohol dependence (Radel et al., 2005). Little of the available data point toward coding region mutations in critical alcohol targets. Instead, untranslated region and intronic mutations are commonly reported to lead to a reduction in human brain \( \text{GABR} \) gene transcription and RNA processing (Haughey et al., 2008). In a separate study, although no link between alcohol dependence and \( \text{GABRA2} \) SNPs was found, SNPs in this gene were associated with an abnormal electroencephalogram phenotype that might be rectified by self-administration of ethanol (Lydall et al., 2011). However, as with the majority of \( \text{GABR} \) SNPs, more data are needed to specifically understand how each SNP leads to a change in GABAergic function. If the same approach taken in the evaluation of epilepsy-linked mutations can be applied here (e.g., Gallagher et al., 2007; Gurba et al., 2012), our understanding of addiction could be substantially enhanced.

### NMDA-Selective Glutamate Receptors

NMDA-selective glutamate receptors are tetrameric complexes composed of two glycine-binding GluN1 subunits and two glutamate-binding GluN2 subunits. GluN3 subunits are thought to coassemble in some NMDA receptors, but there is incomplete understanding of the nature of GluN3 stoichiometry. Receptor activation requires binding of both glutamate and glycine, which are often referred to as coagonists (Fig. 2). The eight possible alternative mRNA splice variants of the

---

**Fig. 2.** Architecture and domain organization of the ionotropic glutamate receptor family. (A) Top-down and (B) side view of an NMDA receptor (PDB ID 4PE5) (Karakas and Furukawa, 2014). (C) Linear representation of modular amino-terminal domain (green), ligand-binding domain (blue), TMD (yellow), and C-terminal domain (gray) within a subunit polypeptide chain. (D) Schematic illustration of a glutamate receptor subunit topology with the extracellular domain (blue and green) and membrane-associated elements (yellow) color coded to match the linear polypeptide chain in (C). Short peptide linkers between domains are shown in black lines.
single GluN1 gene (GRIN1), four genes encoding the GluN2 subunits (GRIN2A–GRIN2D), and two genes encoding GluN3 subunits (GRIN3A and GRIN3B) endow the receptor with divergent single channel, pharmacological, and temporal signaling properties (Traynelis et al., 2010; Paolletti et al., 2013). Although the GluN1 subunit is broadly expressed throughout the CNS, GluN2 subunits show different spatial and temporal expression patterns (Monyer et al., 1994; Dunah et al., 1998; Dunah and Standaert, 2003; Lopez de Armentia and Sah, 2003; Salter and Fern, 2005). GluN2B and GluN2D subunits are highly expressed prenatally and decline after birth in most brain regions, whereas GluN2A and GluN2C subunits are mainly expressed after birth (Akazawa et al., 1994). NMDA receptors mediate a slow, Ca\(^{2+}\)-permeable synaptic current that is voltage dependent due to channel block by extracellular Mg\(^{2+}\) (Traynelis et al., 2010). The requirement for depolarization and synaptic release of glutamate renders NMDA receptors a trigger for synaptic plasticity, a cellular correlate of learning and memory (Lisman 2003; Huganir and Nicoll, 2013). NMDA receptors participate in the development of the CNS (Colonnese et al., 2005; Colonnese and Constantine-Paton, 2006; Kelsch et al., 2012). In addition, over-activation of NMDA receptors can promote seizures and cell death (Choi, 1994; Rothman and Olney, 1995; Obrenovitch et al., 1997; Dinnagl et al., 1999; Yurkewicz et al., 2005), and NMDA receptor hypofunction is a leading hypothesis for schizophrenia (Coyle, 2012; Menitti et al., 2013). Thus, there is considerable interest in factors that control NMDA receptor expression and function.

Early genome-wide associational studies suggested that GRIN2A, but not GRIN2B, was a modifier gene for Parkinson’s disease (Hamza et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2011; Yamada-Fowler et al., 2014). Although a large genome-wide associational study did not correlate epilepsy to any of the NMDA receptor genes (International League Against against Epilepsy Consortium on Complex Epilepsies, 2014), the first potential disease-causing mutations in NMDA receptors were described by Enede et al. (2010) in GRIN2A, the gene encoding the GluN2A subunit of the NMDA receptor. One of the mutations, N615K, resided at the tip of a re-entrant pore loop at a position known to control voltage-dependent Mg\(^{2+}\) block (Wollmuth et al., 1998). The mutation removed voltage-dependent Mg\(^{2+}\) block, thereby increasing the amount of current flowing when NMDA receptors were activated at normal resting membrane potentials. The profound increase in the current produced by this mutation seems likely to drive aberrant excitation and potentially contribute to neuronal loss and consequently the patients’ clinical symptoms. In subsequent years, a large number of missense mutations and deletions/truncations (>100) have been identified through whole exome and genome sequencing (reviewed by Soto et al., 2014; Burnashev and Szepetowski, 2015) and are scattered across all domains in NMDA receptor subunits (Supplemental Table S2; Tables 2 and 3) (Hamdan et al., 2011; Myers et al., 2011; Tarabuek et al., 2011; de Ligt et al., 2012; O’Roak et al., 2012; Carvill et al., 2013b, DeVries and Patel, 2013; Epi4K and Epilepsy Phenome/Genome Project, 2013; Freunsscht et al., 2013; Lemke et al., 2013, 2014; Lesca et al., 2013; Adams et al., 2014; Andreoli et al., 2014; Fromer et al., 2014; Kenny et al., 2014; Pieron et al., 2014; Redin et al., 2014; Venkateswaran et al., 2014; Yuan et al., 2014; Burnashev and Szepetowski, 2015; Ohba et al., 2015; Turner et al., 2015). More recently, several case-control studies have isolated de novo and inherited mutations in the GRIN2A gene in patients diagnosed with different forms of epilepsy, including continuous spike-and-waves during slow-wave sleep syndrome, epileptic encephalopathy, Landau-Kleffner syndrome, and Rolandic epilepsy (Endele et al., 2010; Carvill et al., 2013b; Lemke et al., 2013; Lesca et al., 2013; reviewed by Burnashev and Szepetowski, 2015). These studies suggest that GRIN2A constitutes a locus for mutations in a subset of patients with early-onset seizures (Fig. 3; Table 2). The exceptional number of mutations in GRIN2A could reflect the postpartum expression of GluN2A, precluding catastrophic preterm neurologic complications and enabling patients to survive full term but with neurologic complications that later appear as GRIN2A expression ramps up.

Surprisingly, the incidence of de novo and inherited NMDA receptor mutations in all subunits in patients with early onset neurologic problems was ~6%, with 202 patients with GRIN1, GRIN2A, GRIN2B, GRIN2C, or GRIN2D mutations identified in 3549 patients subjected to exome/genome sequencing (see references in Supplemental Table S2). In addition, variants in two genes (GRIN3A and GRIN3B) encoding the poorly understood GluN3 NMDA receptor subunits have been reported in patients with intellectual disability, schizophrenia, autism, and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, although their

---

**TABLE 2**

Human NMDA receptor mutations in neurologic disorders

All missense mutations with a frequency of <1% as well as stop codons and splice junction mutations are included. Total indicates the number of published de novo or inherited mutations in each subunit. Many mutations have more than one phenotype.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene, Subunit</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>RVIS(^{a})</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>ADHD</th>
<th>ASD</th>
<th>DD/DR</th>
<th>Epi</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>SZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GRIN1, GluN1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRIN2A, GluN2A</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRIN2B, GluN2B</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRIN2C, GluN2C</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRIN2D, GluN2D</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)RVIS is the residual variation intolerance score in percentile, for which lower numbers reflect genes less tolerant to functional mutation in the population (see dataset S2 in Petrovski et al., 2013; http://www.plosgenetics.org/article/ fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003709.s002; see Supplemental Table S2 for references).
relation to these diseases is uncertain (Niemann et al., 2008; Hamdan et al., 2011; Tarabeux et al., 2011; Matsuno et al., 2015). The frequency of NMDA receptor mutations found in epilepsy patients with slow wave sleep syndrome and benign epilepsy with centrotemporal spikes (Carvill et al., 2013b; Lemke et al., 2013), together with the rates of incidence of these conditions (from the Centers for Disease Control, http://www.cdc.gov/epilepsy/basics/fast_facts.html; Pavlou et al., 2012; Singhal and Sullivan, 2014), suggests that thousands of North American pediatric epilepsy patients have undiagnosed NMDA receptor mutations. In addition to seizure disorders, NMDA receptor mutations have been identified in patients with Alzheimer’s disease, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, autism spectrum disorder, developmental delay, schizophrenia, and intellectual disability (Table 2). The number of mutations in NMDA receptor subunits is an important new development in pediatric neurology and presents an opportunity to better understand a subset of previously undiagnosed developmental diseases in children (Burnashev and Szepetowski, 2015). Moreover, the identification of mutations in all domains (amino-terminal domain, ligand-binding domain, transmembrane domain, and C-terminal domain) and all subunits (Table 3) provides an opportunity to gain new insight into the structural basis underlying NMDA receptor function.

Despite the increasing identification of new NMDA receptor mutations, there is only minimal functional analysis of missense mutations and evaluation of the effects of mutations on complex processes that govern receptor trafficking (Horak et al., 2014). For example, of more than 100 published mutations in NMDA receptor subunits, functional data are reported for only 12 (Endele et al., 2010; Hamdan et al., 2011; Carvill et al., 2013b; Lemke et al., 2013, 2014; Lesca et al., 2013; Adams et al., 2014; Pierson et al., 2014; Yuan et al., 2014). The lack of functional information for de novo mutations in genes with a strong genetic link to disease underscores a pressing need. Within GluN2A, functional data exist for the previously mentioned mutation that alters Mg2+ sensitivity (Endele et al., 2010). In addition, a mutation in the Zn2+ -binding amino-terminal domain, GluN2A(A243V), impaired the negative allosteric modulation by nanomolar concentrations of Zn2+ (Lemke et al., 2013). Two more GluN2A mutations in the ligand-binding domain (T531M and R518H) and a mutation in the transmembrane domain (F652V) are proposed to increase the mean channel open time (Carvill et al., 2013b; Lesca et al., 2013). Another mutation, GluN2A(L812M), lies adjacent to known gating elements at a conserved position in the linker preceding the M4 transmembrane helix/domain (Fig. 4A). This mutation was identified in a pediatric patient suffering from intractable seizures, early-onset epileptic encephalopathy, cortical parenchymal cell loss, thinning of the corpus callosum, retinal degeneration, and other neurologic problems, including...
developmental delay. Functional studies in NMDA receptors, for which stoichiometry was controlled so that receptors contained a single copy of GluN2A(L812M) (Yuan et al., 2014), were hyperactive as a result of increased agonist potency (Fig. 4B), decreased sensitivity to negative modulators (Mg$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, and protons), prolonged deactivation time course (Fig. 4C), and increased single channel open time and open probability (Fig. 4D). This profound increase in receptor function likely contributes to seizure activity and has the potential to trigger excitotoxicity, which may have contributed to parenchymal cell loss.

Since this patient’s seizures were resistant to all of the antiepileptic regimens tested, several U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)–approved drugs known to inhibit NMDA receptors (even weakly) were screened in an effort to identify those with the potential to inhibit the overactive mutant NMDA receptors in this patient with similar potency and efficacy compared with the wild-type receptors. Among them, memantine (Namenda) (Fig. 4E), previously well tolerated in a pediatric population, was selected for off-label use as a potentially effective antagonist of the mutant NMDA receptors (Owley et al., 2006; Chez et al., 2007; Erickson et al., 2007). Although only a single patient was examined, the addition of memantine to valproate treatment (see also Urbanska et al., 1992) nevertheless decreased the frequency of seizures from >11 per week to approximately three per

![Fig. 4. Functional analysis of GluN2A mutation (L812M) and personalized therapy. (A) Location of mutant L812M (green space fill) and possible van der Waals interaction with the adjacent GluN1 subunit pre-M1 helix (purple) and SYTANLAAF (yellow) of the NMDA receptor gate as predicted from the homomeric GluA2 structure. The GluN2A/L812M mutation changes the pharmacology and channel properties of NMDA receptors and shows increased glutamate potency (B), prolonged deactivation time course (C), and increased open probability (D) with triheteromeric NMDA receptors, with 0, 1, or 2 copies of the L812M mutation in each complex (B–D reproduced from Yuan et al., 2014). (E) GluN2A/L812M modestly reduces the sensitivity to the FDA-approved drug memantine. (F) Adjunct antiepileptic drug treatment with memantine reduced seizure frequency after progressive weaning off of lacosamide and rufinamide between weeks 40–60, whereas valproate dosing remained unchanged (E and F reproduced from Pierson et al., 2014; http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)
week, with associated improvement of electroencephalogram and abnormal motor function, suggesting that the seizures involve excessive NMDA receptor excitatory drive (Fig. 4F) (Pierson et al., 2014). This example illustrates the potential utility of comprehensive functional and pharmacological data in the context of understanding and treating disease-associated highly penetrant or de novo mutations, and suggests that further studies and carefully controlled clinical trials should be informative. These results emphasize the need to fill the large gap in our functional understanding of the rapidly expanding list of ion channel mutations revealed by gene sequencing programs for patients with refractory epilepsy, developmental delay, autism spectrum disorders, and other neurologic conditions. Gain-of-function mutations that increase NMDA receptor function raise the possibility that each individual mutation could be tested for sensitivity to a host of FDA-approved low affinity channel blockers that can inhibit NMDA receptor function, some of which appear to be safe in a pediatric population. These drugs may allow an attenuation of NMDA receptor overactivation, which could slow excitotoxic damage to preserve gray matter in pediatric patients, and perhaps partially rectify circuit imbalances that develop from NMDA receptor dysfunction.

In addition to GRIN2A, de novo mutations have been described in all other NMDA receptor subunits (Table 2). A functional analysis has been performed on two GRIN1 mutations (Ser560dup reduces current amplitude and Glu622Lys has no effect on glycine potency and Mg$^{2+}$ sensitivity) (Hamdan et al., 2011) (see Supplemental Table S2) and three GRIN2B mutations that reduce Mg$^{2+}$ sensitivity (GluN2B(R540H), GluN2B(N615I), and GluN2B(V618G) (Lemke et al., 2014) (see Supplemental Table S2). A fourth mutation [GluN2B(E413G)] that caused a 50-fold reduction in glutamate potency was recently described, which should diminish current responses to GluN2B-containing NMDA receptors (Adams et al., 2014). No functional information is available yet for missense mutations in other NMDA receptor subunits (see Supplemental Table S2).

**AMPA-Selective Glutamate Receptors**

AMPA-selective glutamate receptors are tetrameric assemblies of GluA1–GluA4 subunits encoded by GRIA1–GRIA4 genes. AMPA receptors interact with multiple accessory proteins (e.g., TARP and cornichon) (Straub and Tomita, 2012) and are localized to the postsynaptic density, where they interact with scaffolding and other proteins (Specht and Triller, 2008; Huganir and Nicoll, 2013). AMPA receptors bind to and are activated by synthetically released glutamate, which triggers the rapid opening of a cation conductance. The GRIA2 mRNA is often edited in a region encoding the apex of a reentrant pore loop, which confers Ca$^{2+}$ impermeability to mature receptors containing the edited GluA2 subunit (Traynelis et al., 2010). Modification of adenosine deaminase and RNA editing of GluA2 subunits could be relevant in epileptic foci (Grigorenko et al., 1998). The AMPA receptor-mediated conductance underlies the majority of excitatory synaptic signaling in the central nervous system, and is typically brief (on the order of a few milliseconds) because glutamate rapidly unbinds from AMPA receptors and is removed from the synaptic cleft by diffusion and active transport. The AMPA receptor-mediated current during synaptic transmission leads to a brief depolarization, which is critical for virtually all circuits, and thus is an indispensable aspect of normal brain function. Therefore, it is not surprising that this gene family is predicted to be largely intolerant to mutagenesis that disrupts protein function (Table 4).

Intellectual disability is a neurodevelopmental disorder affecting 2–3% of the general population (Chelly and Mandel, 2001) that has been explored for potential links to gene families involved in synaptic transmission. It has traditionally been characterized by lower intelligence test scores and deficits in at least two behaviors related to adaptive functioning. For the GRIA gene family, there have been reports of a fusion transcript in GRIA2, a de novo interstitial deletion of chromosome 4q32 that contains the GRIA2 loci, missense mutations in the ligand binding and transmembrane domains of the GluA3 subunit (GRIA3), partial tandem duplication that reduced GRIA3 transcript levels, as well as frameshift in the GRIA3 gene (Fig. 5; Supplemental Table S3) (Chiyonobu et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2007; Bonnet et al., 2009, 2012; Poot et al., 2010; Tzschach et al., 2010; Hackmann et al., 2013; Philips et al., 2014). These data suggest that mutations within the GRIA gene family participate in a small subset of patients with intellectual disability; however, few cellular or mechanistic studies of these modifications have been reported.

**Kainate-Selective Glutamate Receptors**

Kainate receptors are tetrameric assemblies of GluK1–GluK5 subunits encoded by GRIK1–GRIK5. Kainate receptors mediate a rapidly activating inward current, which can persist longer than AMPA receptor signaling following removal of glutamate from the synaptic cleft (Traynelis et al., 2010). RNA editing of certain kainate receptor subunits can render kainate receptors Ca$^{2+}$ impermeable in a similar fashion to editing of the AMPA receptor subunit GluA2, and editing of GluK2 may be regulated at epileptic foci (Grigorenko et al., 1998). A number of publications report that genetic variants in GRIK genes influence kainate receptor ion channel function in humans. An early genome scan highlighted chromosome 6q21, which contains GRIK2, as a candidate region for autism (Jamain et al., 2002). One missense mutation, GluK2(M867I), in a highly conserved domain of the C-terminal region, was identified in the GRIK2 gene (Fig. 5; Table 4). Characterization of the M867I missense mutation revealed no detectable effect on GluK2 receptor gating (Han et al., 2010), but revealed a modest ~1.6-fold slowing of the desensitization time course. Additional SNP association studies on various populations support a role of GRIK2 in autism (Shuang et al., 2004; Dutta et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2007; Holt et al., 2010; Casey et al., 2012; Griswold et al., 2012).

An insertion/deletion variant located at the 3’ untranslated region just downstream of the GRIK4 stop codon (Pickard et al., 2008) (Supplemental Table S4) was suggested to result in a higher cellular transcript level of GRIK4, which may confer a genetic protective effect against bipolar disorder. Furthermore, there was an overrepresentation of the deletion-carrying allele in two behaviors related to adaptive functioning. For the GRIA gene family, there have been reports of a fusion transcript in GRIA2, a de novo interstitial deletion of chromosome 4q32 that contains the GRIA2 loci, missense mutations in the ligand binding and transmembrane domains of the GluA3 subunit (GRIA3), partial tandem duplication that reduced GRIA3 transcript levels, as well as frameshift in the GRIA3 gene (Fig. 5; Supplemental Table S3) (Chiyonobu et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2007; Bonnet et al., 2009, 2012; Poot et al., 2010; Tzschach et al., 2010; Hackmann et al., 2013; Philips et al., 2014). These data suggest that mutations within the GRIA gene family participate in a small subset of patients with intellectual disability; however, few cellular or mechanistic studies of these modifications have been reported.
human brain tissue in the deletion group (Whalley et al., 2009; Knight et al., 2012). SNPs in GRIK5 have also shown an association with bipolar disorder (Gratacòs et al., 2009).

Amu tati o n

in GRIK2 causing a partial deletion of the amino-terminal domain and transmembrane domain and resulting in loss of function was reported in patients with intellectual disability (Motazacker et al., 2007). Similarly, a reported micro-deletion involving the GRIK3 gene was detected in a patient diagnosed with severe developmental delay (Takenouchi et al., 2014). As GRIK3 heterozygous mice exhibit impaired synaptic transmission, the functional deletion of the GRIK3 gene may lead to development delay (Pinheiro et al., 2007).

The glutamatergic dysfunction hypothesis suggests genes involved in glutamatergic transmission are candidates for schizophrenia susceptibility genes. The GRIK3 variant that encodes GluK3(S310A) showed a significant association with schizophrenia (Begni et al., 2002; Ahmad et al., 2009; Djurovic et al., 2009; Minelli et al., 2009; Dai et al., 2014; see also Lai et al., 2005).

GRIK1 and GRIK4 gene variants have also been studied as schizophrenia susceptibility genes; however, no consistent association has been identified (Shibata et al., 2001; Pickard et al., 2006; Li et al., 2008).

Receptors

Two poorly understood subunits in the glutamate receptor family, δ-1 (δ1, GluD1) and δ-2 (δ2, GluD2), bear distant resemblance to ionotropic glutamate receptors through sequence homology (Araki et al., 1993; Lomeli et al., 1993). When expressed alone or with other glutamate receptors, δ2 does not form functional glutamate-gated ion channels, although δ2 does bind the glycine-site ligand D-serine (Naur et al., 2007). Although the physiologic function of both gene products in humans has not been well defined, a number of case-control association studies on SNPs and CNVs in GRID1 encoding δ1 focused on schizophrenia, cognition deficits, and depression (Fallin et al., 2005; Guo et al., 2007; Griswold et al., 2012; see also Treutlein et al., 2009; Nenadic et al., 2012). In addition, mice expressing the δ2 Lurcher mutation altered cerebellum development, which resulted in the animals displaying ataxia and jerky movement of the hindlimbs (Kashiwabuchi et al., 1995; Zuo et al., 1997; Lalouette et al., 1998). Recently, exon deletions in the GRID2 gene encoding δ2 were reported in patients with cerebellar ataxia and autism spectrum disorder (Hills et al., 2013; Utine et al., 2013; see also Huang et al., 2014) (Fig. 5). Immunohistochemical evidence suggests that the exclusive expression of GRID2 at parallel fiber-Purkinje cell synapses observed in mice is preserved in the human cerebellum (Hills et al., 2013). The phenotypic resemblance and similarity in protein

![Fig. 5.](https://www.molpham.aspetjournals.org/atomically/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005709.s002)
expression pattern between humans and mice suggest loss of function mutations in GRID2 could contribute to cerebellar ataxia.

Functional Genomics and Future Directions

Tremendous advances in our understanding of the genetic basis of neurologic disease have occurred over the last 20 years. In addition, there has been a virtual tsunami of genetic data from accelerating sequencing studies designed to help with the diagnosis of complex neurologic diseases (Heinzen et al., 2015). For the ionotropic glutamate and GABA receptor families, many rare de novo and inherited mutations appear to be associated with multiple diseases and, in some cases, clearly define a phenotype via an identifiable pathway or mechanism. Although individual mutations are rare, some genes appear to harbor many mutations (e.g., GABRA1, GABRA2, GABRB2, GABRB3, GRIA3, and GRIN2A), suggesting these genes may be a focus for disease-associated mutations. These advances herald the entry into a new era of personalized medicine, in which specific genes or mutations will allow precision diagnostics of neurologic disease in an ever growing number of patients. The advent of this information will improve clinical care by reducing unnecessary and costly tests, thereby allowing physicians to focus on treatment rather than identification of the underlying condition. Identification of growing numbers of mutations will allow consideration of new therapeutic strategies that take advantage of genetic and functional knowledge of variants and rule out ineffective therapies. In addition, the increasing understanding of how modified genes contribute to disease will advance our understanding of the disease and catalyze development and testing of new preclinical disease models and therapeutic strategies. For complex receptors, we expect mutations in different regions to have a myriad of effects on circuits. For example, within the NMDA receptor family, one would predict distinct changes in circuit function to result from mutation-linked alterations in Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition, glutamate potency, glycine potency, receptor surface expression, or channel opening frequency. Each of these actions could alter the synaptic and nonsynaptic response time course and consequent signaling as well as spike timing in subtle and potentially different ways.

Although there has been a tremendous increase in information relating neurologic disease to specific genes, variants, or mutations, the ability to generate genomic data has not been matched by complementary advances in the understanding of the functional effects of mutations. Indeed, data on disease-linked mutations appear to be orders of magnitude more plentiful than functional data on these mutations, and this ratio is increasing. For ion channels, one reason for this mismatch is that functional studies have not seen the cost reduction or increases in efficiency witnessed by DNA sequencing technology. Thus, a large chasm currently exists between the volume of information known about mutations in the coding region of specific proteins and our understanding of how individual mutations impact protein function. This chasm is widening with the accelerating pace of sequencing and is poised to eclipse the scientific community’s ability to functionally investigate the enormous volumes of newly generated sequence data. Although computational methods have provided some guidance as to which mutations might be harmful, these algorithms are a poor substitute for functional evaluation. For example, an algorithm suggesting a mutation is deleterious cannot predict whether the mutation enhances or reduces protein function, making these predictions of dubious value in terms of guiding the development of treatment options and understanding of the underlying disease mechanisms. Thus, there is a strong need for resources by which the recently growing laboratories can obtain functional insight into the effects of mutations uncovered in candidate genes.

The promise of precision medicine and the lack of functional data highlight the need for future development of technical means to efficiently explore the functional effect of mutations identified in patients. For ion channels, this is relatively straightforward in concept yet slow and tedious in practice, with cell-by-cell patch clamp studies still being the gold standard for determining how a mutation alters receptor function. Improving this throughput is essential to provide an efficient means for clinical investigators to obtain high quality functional data on mutant receptors. This will enable the community to capitalize on the opportunity for deeper understanding of neurologic disease brought about by a technical revolution that has accompanied DNA sequencing. It is also critical to enhance the ability to broadly screen the library of FDA-approved medications against in vitro assays of altered receptor function, looking for safe, approved compounds that might rectify functional problems associated with specific mutations. Development of a means to obtain these data quickly and at low cost could enable clinical investigators to understand the mechanisms underlying disease caused by mutant receptors. This will assist in advancing understanding of the disease and new therapeutic strategies, which, in some cases, involve the repurposing of a drug. For glutamate and GABA receptors, there appears to be a clear path forward to understanding functional effects given that ion channels are amenable to functional studies.
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