Menthol Enhances the Desensitization of Human α3β4 Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptors
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ABSTRACT

The α3β4 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) subtype is widely expressed in the peripheral and central nervous systems, including in airway sensory nerves. The nAChR subtype transduces the irritant effects of nicotine in tobacco smoke and, in certain brain areas, may be involved in nicotine addiction and/or withdrawal. Menthol, a widely used additive in cigarettes, is a potential analgesic and/or counterirritant at sensory nerves and may also influence nicotine’s actions in the brain. We examined menthol’s effects on recombinant human α3β4 nAChRs and native nAChRs in mouse sensory neurons. Menthol markedly decreased nAChR activity as assessed by Ca2+ imaging, 86Rb efflux, and voltage-clamp measurements. Coapplication of menthol with acetylcholine or nicotine increased desensitization, demonstrated by an increase in the rate and magnitude of the current decay and a reduction of the current integral. These effects increased with agonist concentration. Pretreatment with menthol followed by its washout did not affect agonist-induced desensitization, suggesting that menthol must be present during the application of agonist to augment desensitization. Notably, menthol acted in a voltage-independent manner and reduced the mean open time of single channels without affecting their conductance, arguing against a simple channel-blocking effect. Further, menthol slowed or prevented the recovery of nAChRs from desensitization, indicating that it probably stabilizes a desensitized state. Moreover, menthol at concentrations up to 1 mM did not compete for the orthosteric nAChR binding site labeled by [3H]epibatidine. Taken together, these data indicate that menthol promotes desensitization of α3β4 nAChRs by an allosteric action.

Introduction

Menthol is a monoterpene alcohol widely used in consumer products. Most notably, menthol is extensively used in cigarettes. More than 90% of tobacco products contain some amount of menthol; the majority (~75%), has only low levels of L-menthol as an additive (0.03%), and 25% contain higher levels (0.1–0.45%) and are designated mentholated cigarettes. Menthol may be added to tobacco to deliver a distinct oral sensation, as it imparts a characteristic cooling sensation via activation of transient receptor potential M, member 8 (TRPM8) ion channels expressed in a population of thermosensory nerves (McKemy et al., 2002; Peier et al., 2002; Bautista et al., 2007). In turn, signaling downstream of TRPM8 can lead to analgesia through undefined mechanisms (Willis et al., 2011). In addition, menthol may produce analgesic/counterirritant effects through activation and desensitization of the nociceptive transient receptor potential channel, subfamily A, member 1 (TRPA1) channel (Macpherson et al., 2006; Karashima et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2008) by direct antagonism of the TRPA1 channel (Karashima et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2008). Indeed, in the context of smoking, the analgesic effects of menthol may be desirable for the smoker. Cigarette smoke contains numerous noxious compounds that may be irritants to the airway. Further, nicotine itself can be noxious by activating nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) located on pulmonary sensory neurons; in fact, nicotine may be the primary mediator of airway irritation and cough evoked by cigarette smoke (Lee et al., 2007). Menthol may, therefore, reduce the harshness of cigarette smoke and nicotine, and thereby increase the tolerability and/or palatability of smoking. This might be particularly important to the person just beginning to smoke.

Interestingly, two recent studies revealed that menthol inhibits ACh and nicotine-stimulated currents in heterologously expressed α4β2 (Hans et al., 2012) and α7 (Ashoor et al., 2013) nAChRs, subtypes predominantly expressed in the brain, and produces a slow, time-dependent inhibition of ACh- and nicotine-evoked currents in cells from the trigeminal ganglia (Hans et al., 2012). In each case, menthol appeared to act allosterically. These data suggest that nAChRs may be additional pharmacological targets of menthol. However, the effects of menthol on α3β4 nAChRs, the major nicotinic subtype expressed in sensory nerves, and the potential mechanisms of menthol’s inhibition of nAChRs are unknown. Understanding the effects of menthol on α3β4 nAChRs in particular is relevant to nociceptive signaling in
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the airways arising from cigarette smoke and nicotine. In addition, α3β4 nAChRs are highly expressed in the habenula and intrapuduncular nuclei, brain regions implicated in reward processing and possibly addiction to and/or withdrawal from nicotine (Salas et al., 2004; McCallum et al., 2012). Here we show that menthol acts allosterically to inhibit the function of α3β4 nAChRs by increasing the rate and extent of agonist-induced desensitization. We propose that this mechanism may contribute to the analgesic actions of menthol in the bronchial airways in the presence of tobacco smoke, as well as to some of the effects of nicotine in the brain. Both of these actions may contribute to nicotine addiction.

**Materials and Methods**

All experimental procedures involving mice were approved by the Georgetown University Animal Care and Use Committee and conformed to National Institutes of Health guidelines.

(−)-Menthol and other chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) unless otherwise indicated. [3H]Epibatidine ([3H]EB) and 86RbCl were purchased from PerkinElmer (Boston, MA).

**Cell Culture**

Human embryonic kidney cells stably expressing human α3β4 nAChRs were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1% 100× minimum essential medium nonessential amino acids, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (HyClone Laboratories/GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Logan, UT) at 37°C in a water-saturated atmosphere containing 5% CO2. Cells were seeded in a culture flask (25 cm2; Sarstedt, Newton, NC) and subcultured twice a week.

**Nodose Ganglia Neurons**

For experiments with nodose ganglia neurons, adult C57BL/6J mice (25–30 g) were killed by CO2 decapitation and the nodose ganglia were dissected, digested with collagenase, and cultured in neurobasal medium plus 2% B-27 glia neurons, adult C57BL/6J mice (25 g lysine atmosphere containing 5% CO2. Cell cultures were seeded in a culture flask (25 cm2; Sarstedt, Newton, NC) and subcultured twice a week. For Ca2+ imaging and electrophysiology, cells were plated on poly-l-lysine-coated cover slips and used for experiments within 1–3 days.

**Ca2+ Imaging.** Ca2+ imaging was performed using the dye Fluor-4 AM (Invitrogen). The cells were loaded with 1 μM Fluor-4 AM in a standard buffer containing 140 mM NaCl, 4 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 1.2 mM CaCl2, 10 mM HEPES, 5 mM glucose, pH 7.3. The dye was excited at 480 ± 15 nm. Emitted fluorescence was filtered with a 535 ± 25-nm bandpass filter, captured by a SPOT RT digital camera (SPOT Imaging Solutions, Sterling Heights, MI) and read into a computer. Analysis was performed offline by using SimplePCI software (Compix Inc., University of New South Wales). Solutions were applied via a valve-controlled gravity-fed perfusion system with an outlet (200-μm diameter) positioned 50 μm from the cell of interest. The solution exchange time constant (∼1 second). To characterize desensitization, currents were evoked by ACh or nicotine in the absence or presence of menthol for 40 seconds and the time constant was measured by fitting the current decays with one or two exponent equations using Origin 8.6 software (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA). The fraction of the current remaining at the end of the 40-second drug application was designated as “D” and was used to quantify the extent of desensitization. All values were normalized to those produced by ACh for each individual cell.

**Results**

**Menthol Inhibits Human α3β4 Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptors in a Noncompetitive Manner.** Sensory and autonomic ganglia neurons predominantly express the α3β4 subtype of nAChR. To examine potential effects of menthol at these ion channels we performed Ca2+ imaging and 86Rb+ efflux measurements in HEK293 cells stably expressing human α3β4 nAChRs. As shown in Fig. 1, A and B, successive applications of 30 μM ACh (with an interstimulus interval of 5 minutes) evoked Ca2+ transients of similar magnitude (mean ΔF/Fo application 1 = 3.49 ± 0.18 versus application 2 = 3.45 ± 0.20, n = 39 cells). However, coapplication of menthol (100 μM) inhibited the ACh-induced peak Ca2+ signal by 47% (∆F/Fo = 3.30 ± 0.16 versus 1.90 ± 0.18, n = 37 cells) (Fig. 1, A and B).

We next examined menthol’s effect on nAChR channel function by measuring nicotine-stimulated 86Rb+ efflux in these cells. Menthol coapplied with 100 μM nicotine inhibited
nicotine-stimulated $^{86}$Rb$^+$ efflux in a concentration-dependent manner, with an IC$_{50}$ of 100 ± 8 μM (Fig. 1C). Preincubation with menthol for 10 minutes before addition of nicotine decreased menthol’s IC$_{50}$ only slightly, to 69 ± 8 μM (Fig. 1D).

To determine if menthol acted at the agonist binding site of $\alpha_3\beta_4$ nAChRs, we examined its competition for nAChR binding sites labeled by $[3H]$Epibatidine in cell membrane homogenates, and compared it to nicotine. As shown in Fig. 2, in contrast to nicotine, which competed effectively for binding with a $K_i$ of $\sim 250$ nM, menthol at concentrations up to 1 mM was completely ineffective.

**Menthol Enhances the Apparent Desensitization of Human $\alpha_3\beta_4$ nAChR Activity.** To further explore how menthol inhibits the function of $\alpha_3\beta_4$ nAChRs we performed whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings. Application of 30 or 100 μM ACh to cells expressing $\alpha_3\beta_4$ nAChRs evoked inward currents with rapid onset and minimal desensitization (Fig. 3, A and B). However, coapplication of menthol (100 μM) with ACh markedly increased the rate and magnitude of desensitization without appreciably affecting the peak response to ACh (Fig. 3, A and B). These effects were nearly completely reversed 60 seconds after removal of menthol (Fig. 3A, right trace).

Menthol could potentially act as an agonist or partial agonist to increase receptor desensitization; however, consistent with its lack of binding to the receptor, we found that application of menthol alone (1–1000 μM) did not elicit inward currents (Fig. 3C). Further, a 5-minute treatment with 100 μM menthol alone followed by washout did not affect a subsequent ACh-evoked response (Fig. 3D). Neither the

**Fig. 1.** Effects of menthol on human $\alpha_3\beta_4$ nAChR-mediated [Ca$^{2+}$] influx and nicotine-stimulated $^{86}$Rb$^+$ efflux. (A) Representative Fluo-4 fluorescence images of $\alpha_3\beta_4$-expressing HEK293 cells captured during control, repeated application of 30 μM ACh (upper images), or application of 30 μM ACh alone and ACh plus 100 μM menthol (lower images). (B, upper trace) Mean Fluo-4 fluorescence during repeated application of ACh (n = 39 cells) or (B, lower trace) ACh and ACh plus menthol (n = 37 cells). The interstimulus interval was 5 minutes. (C) Inhibition of nicotine-stimulated $^{86}$Rb$^+$ efflux in the presence of menthol or (D) 10-minute preincubation with menthol; n = 3 independent assays.

**Fig. 2.** Menthol does not compete for the nAChR agonist binding site labeled by $[3H]$Epibatidine. Membranes from human embryonic kidney cells expressing $\alpha_3\beta_4$ nAChRs were incubated for 2 hours with ∼0.5 nM $[3H]$Epibatidine in the absence or presence of increasing concentrations of menthol or nicotine. The membranes were then filtered and counted. Data were analyzed by nonlinear least-squares regression analysis. The $K_i$ of nicotine in these studies was 256 nM. Menthol at concentrations up to 1 mM did not compete for these receptors. Data shown are the mean ± S.E.M. of five independent assays.
peak ACh-evoked current nor the level of desensitization was affected by menthol pretreatment (Fig. 3, D and E). These data indicate that menthol alone produces little to no desensitization, and that its effects thus require the presence of ACh.

Inhibitory Effects of Menthol Are Dependent on the Concentration of ACh. We next tested the effect of menthol (300 μM) on inward currents evoked by different concentrations of ACh ranging from 3 – 300 μM. We analyzed these currents to determine the level of desensitization (D) and the time constant (τ) or weighted time constant (τ_w) for current decay obtained by the best fits to single- or double-exponential functions. As shown in Fig. 4A, menthol had no measurable effect on currents evoked by a low concentration of ACh (3 μM), which exhibited a very slow rate of desensitization (τ ~50 seconds; Fig. 4B) and a low level of desensitization (~25%; Fig. 4C) with or without menthol. However, at ACh concentrations of 30 and 300 μM, menthol markedly increased the rate and extent of desensitization (Fig. 4A). Thus, as shown in Fig. 4, B and C, at 30 μM ACh, menthol decreased the τ_w from 35 seconds to 2.4 seconds and increased D from 35 to 91%; and at 300 μM ACh, menthol decreased the τ_w from 21 to 0.9 seconds and increased D from 74 to 94%. At 300 μM ACh, the τ_w approaches the time to activation of ~1 second (limited by the solution exchange time). Consequently, at these higher concentrations of ACh, the peak currents evoked in the presence of menthol were very much decreased (Fig. 4A). These results demonstrate the importance of the ACh

Fig. 3. Menthol enhances the decay of ACh-evoked currents. Representative inward currents in HEK293 cells expressing α3β4 nAChRs in response to (A) 30 μM ACh and (B) 100 μM, with or without menthol (100 μM) and after 60-second washout (A). The holding potential was -50 mV. (C) Menthol (100 μM) alone evokes no current. (D) A 5-minute treatment with menthol (100 μM) alone does not affect the subsequent current evoked by ACh (30 μM). (E) Summary of the peak current evoked by ACh and amount of current decay following 5-minute pretreatment with either control or menthol (n = 3). D, desensitization.

Fig. 4. Menthol modulation of α3β4 nAChRs is dependent on the concentration of ACh. (A) Representative whole-cell inward currents in response to different concentrations of ACh in the absence (left) and in the presence (right) of 300 μM menthol in α3β4 nAChR-expressing cells. The current decay during desensitization was best fit to a one- or two-exponential function, yielding the indicated time constants. (B) Mean weighted average time constants for decay and (C) percent of desensitization (D) of the ACh-mediated current obtained in the absence of menthol (open circle) and in the presence of 300 μM of menthol (filled circle). Data are mean ± S.E.M., n = 3–5.
concentration in the actions of menthol at α3β4 nAChRs. Moreover, the fact that menthol had little effect on desensitization parameters at the lowest ACh concentration used here, but a large effect as the ACh concentrations were increased and open-channel probability increased, indicates that menthol acts in a state-dependent manner; that is, menthol acts preferentially on the open or desensitized state of the channel.

**Menthol Inhibits in a Voltage-Independent Manner and Without Affecting Single-Channel Conductance.** The observation that the effects of menthol depend on ACh concentration raised the possibility of an open-channel block mechanism. To explore this hypothesis we tested for voltage-dependent effects of menthol. Figure 5A shows the current-voltage relationship (elicited by 200-millisecond voltage ramps) during the peak response to ACh, and 20 seconds after addition of menthol (100 μM). Both traces exhibit characteristic inward rectification that is relieved at high positive membrane potentials. The inset reveals the fractional current (menthol/control) at different voltages and shows that menthol likewise reduced the current by ~50% at all potentials. Therefore, menthol inhibits nAChRs in a voltage-independent manner. In addition, these data show that menthol acts independently of the direction of net current flow, which is inward and outward, respectively, at negative and positive potentials. We next tested for use-dependent effects that are characteristic of many open-channel blockers. Figure 5, B and C, shows the response of repeated, 5-second applications of ACh with or without continued presence of 200 μM menthol. The relatively brief application duration was chosen to minimize desensitization. In both cases the peak responses exhibited a marginal decrease with successive ACh applications (Fig. 5C) but there was no difference between control and menthol treatments. Thus, menthol does not produce a rapid, use-dependent block, although we cannot exclude the possibility that menthol binds very slowly to the pore (>5 seconds) and therefore failed to significantly inhibit current during brief applications of ACh used here. Finally, we tested the effects of menthol on single-channel activity. Figure 5D shows representative current traces from a cell-attached recording before and after application of 200 μM menthol. Under control conditions channel activity consisted of bursts of openings separated by long and variable closed times. Menthol markedly reduced the mean open time from 32.4 ± 6.4 milliseconds to 5.7 ± 1.1 milliseconds (Fig. 5, D and F) without affecting the single-channel conductance (Fig. 5E;
slope conductance 30.9 versus 30.3 pS). Taken together, these data suggest that menthol inhibits α3β4 nAChRs in an allosteric manner by altering gating rather than simply blocking the channel pore.

**Increasing Menthol Concentration Enhances the Desensitization of the ACh-Induced Currents.** We next examined the concentration dependent effects of menthol on currents evoked by 30 μM ACh. Figure 6A shows that both the rate and extent of desensitization increases with increasing menthol concentration. In assessing the desensitization parameters, τ_w and D, values were normalized to data obtained from the same cell in menthol-free conditions. Menthol increased the rate of desensitization in a concentration dependent manner and at 300 μM almost completely desensitized currents within 1 second of ACh/menthol application (Fig. 6, A and B). The extent of desensitization, D, also increased with increasing menthol concentration, and at the end of the 40-second application of ACh in the presence of 300 μM menthol, the extent of desensitization was more than twice as great as in the absence of menthol (Fig. 6C). Figure 6D shows the current integral (the total current passed during the 40-second application of agonist) as a function of menthol concentration. Half-maximal inhibition (obtained by normalized means ± S.E.M. of three to six experiments.

**Menthol Enhances Desensitization of Currents Evoked by Nicotine.** To corroborate and further explore the effects of menthol on nAChRs, we determined if these effects extended to nicotine, the addictive component of tobacco. As with its effect on the ACh-induced currents, we observed that menthol enhanced the apparent desensitization of the nicotine-induced currents by reducing the time constant and increasing current decay during a 40-second concomitant application of nicotine and 100 μM menthol. Figure 7, A–D, illustrate the currents induced by nicotine alone at concentrations of 1–100 μM (left column); the combination of nicotine and 100 μM menthol (middle); and a second application of nicotine alone after a 5-minute washout of the menthol (right). At a low concentration of nicotine (1 μM), menthol had no measurable effect on the currents. At concentrations of 3 and 10 μM nicotine, the decay of current during the 40-second nicotine exposure was fit best to a single exponential with time constants of 18.1 and 15.9 seconds, respectively, and the current desensitized by 26 and 22%. In the presence of menthol, the time constants of the current decay were decreased to 9.5 and 10.8 seconds, respectively, and there was a nearly 2-fold increase in the extent of desensitization at both concentrations of nicotine. After the 5-minute washout, the time constant and the degree of desensitization to 3 μM nicotine nearly fully recovered to the initial control levels. The time constant to 10 μM nicotine did not fully recover, but the degree of desensitization did. At a nicotine concentration of 100 μM, the decay current during the 40-second nicotine exposure was fit best to a single exponential with a time constant of 9.4 seconds, and the current desensitized by 60%. In the presence of menthol, the decay current was best fit to two exponentials with time constants of 5.1 seconds and 1.9 seconds, which yielded a weighted time constant, τ_w, of 3.2 seconds; the current in the presence of menthol desensitized by 78%. After the 5-minute washout, the decay constant again fit best to a single exponential but had only partially recovered to the original control value. Likewise, the degree of desensitization had not recovered completely. Taken together, these findings indicate

![Fig. 6.](Image) Concentration-dependent effects of menthol. (A) Representative currents activated by ACh (30 μM) and ACh plus menthol (30 and 300 μM); (B) Bar graph of time constant; (C) the fraction of desensitized (D) receptors; and (D) the current integrals in response to the concentration of menthol from 10 to 300 μM, which was coapplied with 30 μM ACh. Data are the normalized means ± S.E.M. of three to six experiments.

![Fig. 7.](Image) Menthol enhances the desensitization of the currents evoked by nicotine. Representative inward currents induced by (A) 1 μM, (B) 3 μM, (C) 10 μM, and (D) 100 μM nicotine in the absence of menthol (left), presence of 100 μM menthol (middle), and 5 minutes after washout of the menthol (right). Time constant (τ) and the extent of desensitization (D) were used to characterize the desensitization.

---

Menthol Inhibits α3β4 Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptors
Menthol enhances the apparent desensitization of human α3β4 nAChRs to nicotine.

Menthol Traps α3β4 nAChRs in the Desensitized State. We examined next whether continued presence of menthol would hinder the receptor's recovery from desensitization. We stimulated receptors with 30 μM ACh in the presence of menthol to promote desensitization, and subsequently measured the time course for recovery (over 1–5 minutes) in either the presence or absence of 100 μM menthol. Figure 8 shows that in the absence of menthol the α3β4 receptors recovered rapidly from desensitization with ACh (Fig. 8A), with 83 ± 5% (n = 3) recovery after 1 minute and 97 ± 2% (n = 5) recovery after 5 minutes (Fig. 8B). In contrast, in the presence of menthol, recovery from desensitization was greatly attenuated (Fig. 8A), with only 20 ± 3% (n = 3), 26 ± 0.6 (n = 3), and 33 ± 5% (n = 5) recovery after 1, 3, and 5 minutes, respectively (Fig. 8B). As shown in Fig. 8D, treating non-desensitized α3β4 receptors with menthol had minimal effect on subsequent recovery, suggesting that menthol acts mainly on the desensitized state. To further discriminate actions of menthol at open or desensitized states, we tested effects of menthol on α3β4 nAChRs that were already fully desensitized by a high concentration (300 μM) of ACh. Figure 8C shows that menthol (100 μM) almost fully prevented recovery of these receptors from desensitization; however, following removal of menthol, channel activity was nearly fully restored (n = 3). Together, these data suggest that menthol traps α3β4 nAChRs preferentially in their desensitized state(s), thereby markedly slowing their recovery from desensitization. Thus, menthol may both augment initial agonist-induced desensitization and prolong it.

Menthol Inhibits ACh-Evoked Currents in Nodose Ganglia Neurons. To test whether menthol likewise affects native α3β4 nAChRs, we examined responses in cultured nodose ganglia neurons. These neurons send vagal projections to the lung and predominantly express the α3β4 nAChR subtype (Mao et al., 2006). Figure 9 shows that ACh evoked a slowly desensitizing current in a voltage-clamped sensory neuron. Coapplication of menthol markedly reduced the peak current and increased the extent of desensitization from ~50 to ~90%. The current responses to ACh nearly fully recovered following washout of the menthol. These responses in nodose neurons are therefore consistent with menthol increasing the speed and magnitude of desensitization and mirror the responses observed with recombinant α3β4 nAChRs.

Discussion

The studies presented here demonstrate that menthol attenuates signaling through human α3β4 nAChRs. This was shown with three different kinds of measurements: ACh-stimulated Ca2+ signaling, nicotine-stimulated 86Rb+ efflux, and both ACh- and nicotine-stimulated currents measured by whole-cell and single-channel voltage-clamp recordings. Our data also demonstrate that menthol does not bind to the orthosteric site, indicating that its actions are via an allosteric mechanism. Importantly, these effects of menthol on nAChRs occurred at pharmacologically relevant concentrations similar to those required to activate TRPM8 and TRPA1 receptors.

Previous studies also found that menthol attenuated nAChR functions. Hans et al. (2012) found that menthol at concentrations similar to those used here decreased nicotine-stimulated currents through unidentified nAChRs in trigeminal ganglia cells and reduced single-channel currents in cells heterologously expressing α4β2 nAChRs. Their data also suggested that menthol acted as a negative allosteric modulator. Ashoor et al. (2013) found that menthol attenuated function of α7 nAChRs expressed in Xenopus oocytes but did not compete for α7 receptor binding sites, again suggesting a negative allosteric effect.

Our studies extend these findings to human α3β4 nAChRs and, importantly, identify augmented desensitization as the mechanism by which menthol attenuates this receptor's function. This conclusion is supported by the following observations: First, addition of menthol to cells for 5 minutes and its removal immediately before addition of agonist did not alter the response of α3β4 nAChRs to ACh, indicating that menthol did not produce a long-lasting effect in the absence of an agonist. Second, the effects of menthol on channel function are minimal or absent in the presence of low concentrations of agonist but become prominent as the agonist concentration is increased to the level where desensitization begins to occur. This indicates that menthol acts preferentially on the open state or desensitized state(s) of the channel. Third, the effects of menthol are independent of the membrane voltage and the net current direction, two parameters that can affect the
actions of open-channel blockers. Furthermore, menthol did not produce a rapid, use-dependent inhibition nor reduce the single-channel conductance characteristic of open-channel blockade. Rather, menthol reduced the open probability and mean open time of single $\alpha3\beta4$ nACh channels consistent with alterations in channel gating. Interestingly, Hans et al. (2012) reported similar effects of menthol on single-channel properties of $\alpha4\beta2$ nAChRs. Fourth, menthol markedly delayed recovery from desensitization; in particular, menthol prevented the recovery of receptors already fully desensitized by a high concentration of ACh. This suggests that menthol binds and traps the receptor in a desensitized conformation (s). Importantly, these data argue strongly against the possibility that menthol acts as a slow, open-channel blocker. Instead, menthol appears to speed and magnify nAChR desensitization and stabilize a desensitized conformation. Thus, we propose that menthol augments and facilitates the normally weak desensitizing effect of ACh and low concentrations of nicotine, with the result that menthol, acting via an allosteric site, markedly decreases the current carried by the receptor.

Allosteric modulators, both positive and negative, of nAChRs have been studied previously (for review, see Pandya and Yakel, 2011; Williams et al., 2011). These modulators include metal ions (Vernino et al., 1992; Zwart et al., 1995; Hsiao et al., 2001), steroid hormones (Valera et al., 1992; Ke and Lukas, 1996; Paradiso et al., 2000; Curtis et al., 2002), and small synthetic ligands (Bertrand and Gopalakrishnan, 2007; Moaddel et al., 2007; Henderson et al., 2010). In fact, menthol has recently been reported to allosterically inhibit currents mediated by $\alpha4\beta2$ (Hans et al., 2012) and $\alpha7$ (Ashoor et al., 2013) nAChRs. However, to our knowledge menthol is the first example of a drug demonstrated to act allosterically to augment desensitization of a neuronal nAChR without activating or even binding to the receptor’s orthosteric site.

nAChRs are desensitized immediately after or even during their activation by high concentrations of ACh or by nicotine and other nicotinic agonists and partial agonists. Moreover, this desensitization usually lasts much longer than the brief agonist-induced activation (Katz and Thesleff, 1957; Sharp and Beyer, 1986; Hulihan-Giblin et al., 1990). We do not yet know whether menthol’s effect of augmenting desensitization extends to other nAChR subtypes, but interestingly, the $\alpha3\beta4$ nAChR subtype is one of the slowest to desensitize and fastest to resensitize (Cachelin and Jaggi, 1991; Fenster et al., 1997; Quick and Lester, 2002); thus, the effect of menthol-augmented desensitization at this receptor may be especially important.

The suppression of $\alpha3\beta4$ nAChR activity by menthol has potentially important implications for its analgesic effects in sensory nerves and in airways. Rat trigeminal ganglia neurons innervating the mouth and throat express predominantly $\alpha3\beta4$ nAChRs (Flores et al., 1996), as do nodose ganglia neurons innervating the airways (Mao et al., 2006). Bronchial epithelial cells express this subtype, as well as other nAChRs (Maus et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2001). Notably, compared with other subtypes, the $\alpha3\beta4$ nAChR is more resistant to agonist-induced desensitization (Olaie et al., 1997); thus, menthol may augment the desensitization effects of nicotine at these receptors. Indeed, we found that menthol markedly inhibited ACh-evoked currents in nodose sensory neurons apparently by increasing the speed and magnitude of desensitization. The consequences of this effect are not known with certainty, but one possibility is that it could offset the irritant effects of nicotine in the airways, allowing cigarette smoke to be inhaled deeper into the lungs and held there for a longer time. Thus, the known analgesic effects of menthol, acting via sensory nerve TRPM8 (Willis et al., 2011) and TRPA1 channels, may be augmented by enhanced desensitization of sensory nerve nAChRs.

Interestingly, desensitization of brain $\alpha3\beta4$ nAChRs may also be an important component of nicotine addiction. For example, mice null for the $\beta4$ nAChR subunit display fewer signs of withdrawal from nicotine (Salas et al., 2004). Accordingly, by augmenting desensitization of brain $\alpha3\beta4$ nAChRs, menthol may likewise delay or blunt signs and symptoms of nicotine withdrawal. Additionally, one hypothesis supporting an underlying mechanism of nicotine addiction predicts that the drive to smoke a cigarette is prompted by a cyclical need to desensitize overactive brain nAChRs, some of which are upregulated by chronic administration of nicotine (Dani and Heinemann, 1996; Hussmann et al., 2012). In both of these cases, menthol’s effect of increasing desensitization of the nAChRs that underlies addiction and/or withdrawal may actually result in less nicotine being needed to desensitize the overactive receptors and to blunt withdrawal effects. If menthol were to modulate nAChRs in the central nervous system, then sufficient levels of menthol must accumulate in the brain along with nicotine. Although precise concentrations of menthol in the brains of smokers are unknown, the results of an animal study show that menthol can readily penetrate the central nervous system. For example, Pan et al. (2012) showed that menthol can reach high levels in the brains of mice within 5 minutes of a bolus intraperitoneal injection and was still measurable 60 minutes after injection.

In conclusion, we have shown that menthol in the presence of ACh or nicotine acts allosterically to augment desensitization of $\alpha3\beta4$ nAChRs, resulting in decreased agonist-induced intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ currents. In addition, menthol appears to prolong the time that the receptor resides in a desensitized state.
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