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Abstract

Genistein has been shown to inhibit human prostate cancer (PCa) cell motility. Endoglin has been identified as an important suppressor of PCa cell motility, and its expression is lost during PCa progression. It is therefore important to determine whether endoglin loss affects genistein’s efficacy, and if so, by what mechanism. In the current study, genistein was shown to induce reversion of endoglin deficient cells to a low motility, endoglin replete, phenotype. As endoglin suppresses PCa cell motility in an ALK2 and Smad1 dependent manner, we sought to determine whether genistein was activating the ALK2-Smad1 pathway. While treatment with genistein, or over expression of Smad1 or ALK2, all increased Smad1 responsive promoter activity and decreased cell motility, genistein’s efficacy was abrogated by either Smad1 or ALK2 knock down. Further, transfection of cells with a kinase dead mutant of ALK2 abrogated genistein’s efficacy. Together, these findings demonstrate that genistein therapeutically induces reversion to a low motility phenotype in aggressive endoglin deplete PCa cells. It does so by activating ALK2-Smad1 endoglin-associated signaling. These findings support the notion that individuals with low endoglin expressing PCa will benefit from genistein treatment.
Prostate cancer (PCa) is a leading cause of cancer associated death in the United States and world wide (Jemal et al., 2006). Death from PCa is almost invariably caused by the development of metastatic disease (Carroll et al., 2001). Cancer metastasis, including prostate cancer (PCa), follows a multi-step pathway appropriately named the “metastatic cascade” (Woodhouse et al., 1997). The ultimate development of metastasis requires that cells successfully transition through initial steps in the cascade. Inhibition of initial steps precludes the ultimate development of metastasis. As such, the metastatic cascade, and in particular, early steps in the metastatic cascade, represents a rational pathway to target therapeutically. Increased cell invasion (i.e., increased cell motility) is an early step in the metastatic cascade, and is thus a rational therapeutic target.

We have previously demonstrated that genistein (4’,5,7-trihydroxyflavone) inhibits PCa cell invasion (Huang et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2006). Genistein is a constituent of soy, and epidemiological studies have associated dietary consumption of genistein with a reduced risk of death from PCa (Severson et al., 1989). Genistein has undergone phase I testing in man (Takimoto et al., 2003), and has been well tolerated. Phase II efficacy studies are under way.

Dysregulated cell motility is a basic characteristic of cancer, including PCa, and is seen during PCa progression. Molecular changes which relate to the regulation of cell motility underlie this abnormal cellular phenotype. To be effective, anti-cancer therapeutics must retain efficacy in the face of molecular aberrations associated with cancer progression. Alternatively, their use must be tailored to specific molecular profiles. In either situation, optimal therapeutic implementation requires an
understanding of the relationship between therapeutic intervention, and the underlying molecular profile.

A series of prior studies by us have identified endoglin as a key regulator of PCa cell motility, and have shown that its expression is lost during PCa progression (Jovanovic et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2002). Specifically, altered endoglin expression was uniquely identified by gene array technology during changes in human prostate cell motility (Jovanovic et al., 2001). Endoglin expression was then found to be lost during PCa cell progression, and this was shown to increase cell invasion (Liu et al., 2002).

Endoglin is a 180 kDa homodimeric type I transmembrane auxiliary receptor in the TGFβ superfamily (Gougos and Letarte, 1990).

A consideration of a series of studies by us and others supports the notion that genistein may exert effects upon the endoglin signaling pathway. Both endoglin and genistein act to suppress PCa cell invasion. Further, we have recently demonstrated that endoglin suppresses PCa cell motility by activating Smad1, in an ALK2 dependent manner (Craft et al., 2007). Smads act as transcription factors, after they are activated by a type I TGFβ superfamily receptor (RI) (for review see (Shi and Massague, 2003)). ALK2 is a RI subtype. In that same study, we also showed that endoglin-mediated activation of Smad1, as well as endoglin-mediated suppression of PCa cell motility, did not require exogenous TGFβ ligand. Yu et al. have reported that genistein can induce Smad activation in colon cancer cells, again in the absence of exogenous TGFβ (Yu et al., 2005). Finally, anecdotal reports suggest that genistein may have therapeutic efficacy in people with Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia type 1 (HHT1) (Korzenik et al., 1998). Endoglin is expressed at high levels in blood vessel endothelial cells. In HHT1,
mutations in endoglin lead to aberrant A-V malformations, uncontrollable bleeding, and early death (for review see (Fernandez et al., 2006)). Taken together, these considerations support the hypothesis that genistein has the potential to therapeutically compensate for endoglin deficiency, and that effects upon endoglin-associated signaling pathways are likely.

The current study was undertaken to determine whether genistein retained its anti-invasion efficacy in human PCa in the face of endoglin loss, and to determine whether there was any mechanistic overlap between the endoglin pathway and genistein. Here we demonstrate for the first time that genistein can cooperate with endoglin associated signaling molecules, ALK2 and Smad1, to inhibit cell invasion in endoglin deficient PCa cells.
Materials and Methods

Materials. Genistein (4’,5,7-trihydroxyflavone) (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO), was prepared and stored as previously described (Liu et al., 2000). Unless otherwise stated, genistein was used at a final concentration of 50µM, for 24 hours, in serum free media; and control cells were treated with DMSO. Antibodies: anti-endoglin-phycoerythrin (PE) (R&D Systems; Minneapolis, MN), anti-endoglin (clone 35; BD Biosciences; San Jose, CA), anti-Smad1 (Upstate Biotechnology; Lake Placid, NY), anti-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH, clone: CSA-335E; Stressgen; Victoria, CA), anti-HA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; Santa Cruz, CA). Anti-mouse, -rabbit IgG-horseradish peroxidase from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech (Piscataway, NJ).

Vectors: β-galactosidase (pCMV-β-gal; Stratagene, La Jolla, CA); BRE2-Luciferase pGL3 (described and provided by Peter ten Dijke, Netherlands Cancer Institute (Monteiro et al., 2004)); Smad1 pCDNA3.1 (described and provided by Mark de Caestecker, Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center (de Caestecker et al., 1997)); endoglin-long isoform pcDNA3 (as described by us (Liu et al., 2002)); HA-ALK2 pCMV5 (provided by Andreas Lux, University of Applied Sciences Mannheim, and described by Jeff Wrana (Attisano et al., 1993); kinase dead (K233R) ALK2 pCMV5 (kd-ALK2) was engineered as previously described (provided by Calvin Vary, Center for Molecular Medicine, Maine Medical Center Research Institute). Constructs were confirmed by sequencing.

Cell Culture and Transfection. The origin, culture conditions, and phenotypic characterization of metastatic PC3 and PC3-M cells, early stage (i.e., localized) prostate cancer (PCa) 1532CPTX and 1542CPTX cells, and transformed normal prostate
1532NPTX and 1542NPTX epithelial cells, all of human origin, have been described (Liu et al., 2001). Cell viability, as determined by trypan blue exclusion, was monitored under all experimental conditions. It was not adversely altered in any of the experimental conditions, compared to control. Transient transfection of plasmids was performed with Mirus LT1 transfection reagent (Mirus; Madison, WI), per manufacturer’s instructions. Transfection of Smad1 (catalog#: M-012732-00-0005), ALK2 (M-004924-01-0005), endoglin (M-011026-00-00), and negative control (D-001210-01-05) SMARTpool siRNA utilized DharmaFECT (all from Dharmacon; Lafayette, CO) and was performed 5 hrs post plasmid transfection, per manufacturer’s instructions.

**Cell Invasion Assays.** Cell invasion assays were performed as described (Craft et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2005). Cells were co-transfected with β-gal and expression vector. Cells invaded through a gelatin-coated Nuclepore Track-Etch Membrane, with 8 µm pores (Whatman, Clifton, NJ), towards serum-free NIH-3T3 conditioned medium. The cell invasion time ranged from 18-24 hours. It was adjusted for each cell type such that for ≥ 200 cells counted, 5-10% of cells were invading. Further, similar results upon repeat were required. Transfected cells were visualized with a β-gal staining kit (Stratagene), and the percent invaded-transfected cells counted.

**Flow Cytometry.** Flow cytometric analysis was performed as described (Craft et al., 2007). Cell surface endoglin was detected using an anti-endoglin-Phycoerythrin conjugated (PE) IgG (R&D Systems), per manufacturer’s instructions. Median
fluorescent intensity was determined on a Beckman Coulter (Fullerton, CA) Epics-XL-MCL flow cytometry machine.

**Western blot.** Western blotting of equal amounts of resultant protein was performed as described (Craft et al., 2007).

**Smad1 promoter luciferase reporter assays.** Cells were co-transfected with BRE2-Luc and β-gal, and luciferase and β-gal activity were measured as described (Craft et al., 2007; Hayes et al., 2003), using Luciferase and β-galactosidase Assay Systems (Promega, San Luis Obispo, CA), per manufacturer’s instructions. Luciferase activity was then normalized to total protein and to β-gal.

**Quantitative reverse transcription/polymerase chain reaction (qRT/PCR).** RNA isolation and real time qRT/PCR were performed as described (Ding et al., 2006). Reactions were run in duplicate on a single Applied Biosystems 7500 Real Time PCR workstation, using a TaqMan universal PCR kit and validated gene-specific exon spanning primers and probe sets (all from Applied Biosystems). Gene expression was normalized to GAPDH.
Results

**Genistein induces a low motility phenotype in endoglin deficient cells.** Human PC3-M PCa cells express low levels of endoglin (Liu et al., 2002). PC3-M cells were transfected with endoglin (ENG) or empty vector (VC), treated with genistein or not and cell invasion was measured, Figs. 1A and B. Genistein significantly (2 sided t test p value \(< 0.05\)) decreased the invasion of VC cells to nearly 50% of that of untreated VC cells, and endoglin had similar effects. There was no significant difference between untreated ENG cells and genistein treated VC cells. However, genistein further decreased the invasion of ENG cells by 40%, relative to untreated ENG cells.

As PC3-M cells do express endoglin, albeit at low levels, we employed siRNA technology to further suppress endoglin and to further evaluate genistein’s efficacy, Figs. 1C and 1D. PC3-M cells were treated with siRNA targeting endoglin (siENG) or with non-targeting siRNA (siNeg), for control. Endogenous endoglin was effectively and specifically knocked down by siENG, Fig. 1C. However, siENG had no significant effect on PC3-M cell invasion (Fig. 1D), thus providing another measure of the low levels of endoglin in those cells. Importantly, genistein was equally effective in siENG and siNeg cells, and decreased invasion by approximately 50%. Together, these findings demonstrate that both genistein and endoglin exert similar anti-invasion efficacy upon low endoglin expressing cells. Further, they demonstrate that endoglin is not necessary for genistein efficacy. Finally, they suggest that endoglin and genistein have additive effects.
Genistein increases Smad1 promoter activity. The above findings raised the possibility that genistein could be activating the endoglin pathway. Others have reported that genistein could increase endoglin expression in human PCa cells (Rokhlin and Cohen, 1995). We investigated this possibility by treating PC3-M cells with 0, 25 or 50 µM genistein for 24 hours, and measuring endoglin expression by FACS. Endoglin is a cell surface protein, and we have previously used FACS to measure cell surface endoglin expression (Craft et al., 2007). As can be seen in Fig. 2A, genistein did increase endoglin expression by approximately 1.5-fold; however, this was not statistically significant.

Given the small magnitude of the increase, and the lack of statistical significance, this increase was felt not to be responsible for genistein’s effects. This notion is supported by other findings. First, genistein retained efficacy in the face of endoglin knock down. Second, though endoglin expression did not increase with increases in genistein concentration, we have previously shown enhanced anti-invasion efficacy by genistein across this concentration range (Huang et al., 2005). Given these considerations, we sought to determine whether genistein could be affecting proteins other than endoglin in the endoglin signaling pathway.

As endoglin has been shown to suppress PCa cell invasion by activating Smad1, we hypothesized that genistein was activating Smad1 (Craft et al., 2007). Smad1 is a transcription factor, whose activation by cell surface TGFβ superfamily receptors can be detected by use of the Smad1-responsive promoter BRE2-Luciferase (BRE2-Luc) construct (Monteiro et al., 2004). We have recently shown that measurement of Smad1-responsive promoter activity provides a more accurate measure of Smad1 activation in human prostate than does measurement of Smad1 phosphorylation status (Craft et al.,
Human prostate contains high levels of acid phosphatase that serves to disrupt the accurate measurement of protein phosphorylation status (Hayes et al., 2003). To evaluate whether genistein was activating Smad1, cells were first transfected with BRE2-Luc, β-galactosidase (β-gal; for normalization), and either endoglin (ENG) or empty vector (VC). Cells were then treated with genistein (or not), and luciferase activity measured, Fig. 2B. Both genistein and endoglin significantly increased BRE2 promoter activity. In ENG cells, genistein further increased BRE2 activity, as compared to untreated ENG cells. These findings demonstrate that genistein activates Smad1, and that its effects in this regard appear additive with that of endoglin.

To determine whether genistein-mediated activation of Smad1 was dependent upon endoglin, cells were transfected with siENG or siNeg, treated with genistein or not, and BRE2 promoter activity measured, Fig. 2C. Knock down of endoglin had no effect upon BRE2 promoter activity. Importantly, genistein’s ability to increase BRE2 promoter activity was not altered by endoglin knock down.

**Genistein cooperates with Smad1 to inhibit PCa cell invasion.** Since genistein increases Smad1 activation, additional studies were performed which focused upon Smad1. First, cells were transfected with either Smad1 (Sd1) or empty vector (VC), then treated with genistein or not, and BRE2 promoter activity measured, Figs. 3A and 3B. In VC cells, genistein significantly increased BRE2 promoter activity 2-fold. Compared to VC cells, BRE2 promoter activity in Sd1 cells increased by 15-fold. Importantly, for Sd1 cells, genistein increased BRE2 promoter activity 2.5-fold, compared untreated Sd1 cells.
Taking a similar approach, we went on to evaluate the functional relevance of these findings by measuring the effect upon cell invasion, Fig. 3C. In VC cells, genistein significantly decreased cell invasion to 60%. Compared to untreated VC cells, the invasion of untreated Sd1 cells was significantly decreased to 58%. Consistent with BRE2 findings, genistein retained anti-invasion efficacy in Sd1 cells. Specifically, the invasion of genistein treated Sd1 cells was significantly decreased to 39% of that of untreated Sd1 cells.

The above studies suggested that genistein and Smad1 have additive effects. This is consistent with our endoglin findings, and with the fact that endoglin’s effects are mediated through Smad1. Investigations were next conducted to evaluate whether genistein’s effects were dependent upon Smad1. Cells were therefore transfected with siRNA targeting Smad1 (siSd1) or siNeg. After confirming siSd1 efficacy and specificity, Fig. 3D, effects upon invasion were evaluated, Fig. 3E. Compared to siNeg, siSd1 had little effect upon invasion. Importantly, while genistein significantly decreased the invasion of siNeg cells, knock down of Smad1 completely abrogated genistein’s anti-invasion effects. These findings demonstrate that Smad1 is necessary for genistein-mediated inhibition of cell invasion.

**ALK2 is necessary for genistein-mediated inhibition of cell invasion.**

Type I (RI) TGFβ superfamily receptors have kinase domains which function as activators of Smad proteins (Shi and Massague, 2003). We previously demonstrated that ALK2, a RI receptor, cooperates with endoglin to inhibit cell motility, as well as to promote Smad1 transcriptional activity (Craft et al., 2007). As the studies above demonstrated that
genistein’s effects are additive with that of Smad1, but require Smad1, we evaluated the role ALK2 in modulating genistein-mediated inhibition of invasion. The efficacy and specificity of siRNA targeting ALK2 (siA2) was first confirmed, Fig. 4A. Next, cells were transfected with siA2 or siNeg, treated with genistein or not, and cell invasion measured, Fig. 4B. Cell invasion was not affected by siA2, compared to siNeg. However, siA2 abrogated genistein’s anti-invasion activity.

As the above findings implicated ALK2 in mediating genistein function, additional studies were performed. Human prostate contains high levels of acid phosphatase, complicating the accurate measurement protein phosphorylation. Experience has taught us that measurement of in vivo function represents the optimal approach (Hayes et al., 2003). Cells were therefore transfected with either wild type (WT) or K233R kinase dead (KD) ALK2, Figs 4C-E. The K233R mutation results in an non-phosphorylated and kinase inactive receptor (Wieser et al., 1995). As can be seen in Fig. 4C, there was a small decrease in BRE2 promoter activation in KD cells, compared to WT cells. With genistein treatment, BRE2 promoter activity increased significantly by 4.5-fold, compared to untreated WT cells. Importantly, KD-ALK2 completely abrogated genistein-mediated increases. When cell invasion was evaluated, Fig. 4D, KD-ALK2 had no significant effect compared to WT-ALK2. Importantly, KD-ALK2 completely abrogated genistein’s anti-invasive activity. These studies demonstrate that ALK2 is necessary for genistein activity.

Effects in other prostate cells. To ensure that the above findings were not limited to a single cell line, a series of additional investigations was performed. Initial studies
utilized PC3 cells. PC3 cells are the parental line for PC3-M cells, also express low levels endoglin, and also represent an aggressive metastatic phenotype (Liu et al., 2002). First, PC3 cells were treated with 0, 25 or 50 µM genistein for 24 hours, and the level of cell surface endoglin measured by FACS, Fig 5A. With PC3 cells, there was a dose-dependent increase in endoglin expression, but again, it was not significant. Cells were then transfected with endoglin (ENG) or empty vector (VC), treated with genistein or not, and effects upon BRE2 activation, Fig. 5B, and cell invasion, Fig. 5C, measured. In both assays, genistein’s effects closely approximated those of endoglin. Further, in both assays, genistein displayed additional activity in the face of endoglin expression. Next, cells were transfected with siENG or siNeg, treated with genistein or not, and invasion measured, Fig 5D. While siENG did not decrease invasion, genistein significantly decreased invasion to a similar degree in both siENG and siNeg cells. Similarly, genistein’s anti-invasion activity was maintained in siENG cells, confirming that genistein can exert anti-invasion efficacy similar to endoglin in low endoglin expressing cells. These studies also demonstrate that genistein induces a low motility phenotype in endoglin deficient PC3 cells.

Studies next evaluated Smad1. As can be seen in Fig 5E, cell invasion was decreased to a similar extent in genistein treated and in Sd1 cells. However, for Sd1 cells, genistein’s additional effects were only modest. That is, the invasion of genistein treated Sd1 cells was only 20% lower than that of untreated Sd1 cells. Knock down of Smad1 by siSd1 abrogated genistein’s anti-invasion effect, Fig. 5F. For ALK2, knock down by siA2, Fig. 5G, or transfection with kinase dead mutant (KD), Fig. 5H, both
abrogated genistein's anti-invasion effect. In total, findings in PC3 cells corroborate those found in PC3-M cells.

The final series of studies demonstrates that ALK2 is also necessary for genistein’s effect in early stage human prostate cells. These studies used 1532CPTX, 1532NPTX, 1542CPTX, and 1542NPTX cells, which are endoglin replete (Liu et al., 2002). Cells were transfected with either WT-ALK2 or with KD-ALK2, treated with genistein or not, and the resultant effects upon cell invasion measured. As can be seen in Fig. 5I, genistein decreased invasion by ~50% in WT-ALK2 cells, in all cell lines evaluated. Importantly, in all cell lines evaluated KD-ALK2 abrogated genistein’s effect, when compared to KD-ALK2 cells not treated with genistein.
Discussion

We demonstrate for the first time that treatment with genistein can compensate for endoglin deficiency. This was demonstrated by showing that genistein causes low endoglin expressing PCa cells to revert to a low motility, endoglin replete, phenotype. This has important implications for the therapeutic use of genistein in man. This is because endoglin expression appears to be lost relatively early during the transition to a metastatic phenotype (Liu et al., 2002), and genistein is being used relatively early in the clinical course of PCa, as a chemopreventative agent (Takimoto et al., 2003). These findings suggest that it may be possible to therapeutically compensate for molecular aberrations which enhance motility, by directly activating anti-motility pathways. Further, these findings suggest that individuals with endoglin deficient PCa may in fact experience a greater therapeutic benefit from therapy, than those with normal endoglin expression.

We also show for the first time that genistein compensates for endoglin deficiency by activating endoglin-associated signaling pathways. In particular, endoglin activated Smad1 transcriptional activity. This in turn was shown to require ALK2, and in particular, a kinase competent ALK2. We have recently shown that endoglin inhibits PCa cell motility through a mechanism involving the type I TGFβ superfamily receptor ALK2 and Smad1 (Craft et al., 2007). Therefore, it was not surprising that the current study identified ALK2-Smad1-depedent activation of Smad1 transcriptional activity as the endoglin-linked mechanism by which genistein can compensate for endoglin deficiency. In addition to genistein’s effect upon this pathway, other findings support the notion of specificity. In particular, we have previously shown that under the current
treatment conditions, genistein decreases PCa cell invasion but not cell viability (Huang et al., 2005). Further, we have previously demonstrated that while engineered changes in endoglin expression affected cell motility, they did not affect viability (Liu et al., 2002). Finally, in the current study, cell viability was closely monitored, and was not adversely altered under experimental conditions, compared to relevant controls. We thus propose the schema depicted in Fig. 6.

We have previously shown that genistein inhibits TGFβ-mediated increases in cell invasion by blocking TGFβ-mediated activation of p38 MAP kinase, and it’s downstream effector, HSP27 (Huang et al., 2005; Xu and Bergan, 2006; Xu et al., 2006). Further, we have shown that p38 MAP kinase can activate Smad3 through signaling pathway cross-talk, and that Smad3 is pro-invasive (Hayes et al., 2003). The effect of TGFβ ligand was not evaluated in the current study for a number of reasons. Recently, we demonstrated that endoglin inhibits cell motility and activates Smad1 regardless of the activation state of the TGFβ-Smad3 pathway (Craft et al., 2007). In the same study, it was shown that endoglin-ALK2-Smad1 signaling does not interfere with TGFβ-Smad3 signaling. Thus, the presence of exogenous TGFβ ligand is irrelevant to endoglin signaling and function in our system. In addition, given that genistein is known to inhibit TGFβ-mediated activation of the p38 MAP kinase pro-invasion pathway, the use of TGFβ in the current study would only serve to confound our ability to evaluate genistein’s mimicry of endoglin. Taken together, these considerations support the notion that genistein appears to function through at least two distinct mechanisms. One involves activation of Smad1 signaling, thereby augmenting anti-invasion pathways. The other involves inhibition of Smad3 signaling, thereby inhibiting pro-invasion pathways.
The current study identifies ALK2, and in particular, kinase competent ALK2 as necessary for genistein-mediated reversion to an endoglin replete phenotype. However, additional studies will be required to further elucidate the underlying mechanism. One possibility is that genistein may alter the molecular make up of heteromeric cell surface receptor complexes. Canonical signaling through TGFβ superfamily receptors requires the formation of a multi-protein cell surface complex which contains two or more RI subtypes, two or more RII subtypes, and with and without one or more endoglin subunits (Shi and Massague, 2003). It should also be noted that there are also many ligands associated with the TGFβ superfamily. At this time, the involvement of a ligand, or a combination of ligands, cannot be ruled out. For example, expression of endoglin or treatment with genistein could initiate ligand production and/or secretion in PCa cells which would potentially result in autocrine-like signaling. We are currently pursuing these possibilities.

In summary, genistein was shown to induce reversion of low endoglin PCa cells to a low motility, high endoglin, phenotype. This was due to genistein-mediated activation of Smad1, which in turn was dependent upon kinase competent ALK2. As endoglin is lost during PCa progression, and contributes to its metastatic phenotype, the current study supports the notion that individuals with low endoglin expressing PCa may derive relatively high therapeutic benefit from genistein. These findings may help interpret ongoing phase II molecular efficacy studies of genistein in prostate and other cancers.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1: **Genistein-mediated decreases in cell invasion are not affected by endoglin expression.** PC3-M cells were transfected with endoglin (ENG), empty vector (VC), siENG, or siNeg, and then treated genistein (or not), as indicated. (A) Endoglin and genistein both inhibit cell invasion. The invasion of ENG and VC cells was measured, and expressed as a percentage, compared to untreated VC cells. Data for all invasion assays are the mean ± S.E.M. (N = 4) of a single experiment, with similar results seen in a separate experiment, performed at a separate time (also N = 4). (B) The expression of endoglin protein in equal amounts of protein lysate from transfected cells was confirmed by Western blot. (C) siENG knocks down endoglin. The expression of endoglin was measured by qRT/PCR in RNA isolated 24 hours after cells were transfected with siENG or siNeg. Values are the percent of endoglin transcript, compared to siNeg control cells. Values represent the mean ± S.D. of a single experiment (N = 2), with similar results seen in a separate experiment (also N = 2). (D) Genistein-mediated decreases in cell invasion do not require endoglin. The invasion of siENG and siNeg cells was measured, and expressed as a percentage, compared to untreated siNeg cells. Cell invasion, Western blot, and qRT/PCR assays were all performed as described in Materials and Methods. * denotes values which differ from control as defined by a 2 sided t test p value of <0.05; controls are: (A), VC/genistein-; (C and D), siNeg/genistein-.

Figure 2: **Genistein activates Smad1.** (A) Genistein has little effect upon endoglin expression. PC3-M cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of genistein for 24 hours, and cell surface endoglin expression measured by FACS. The resultant level of
endoglin expression, normalized to untreated cells, was then determined. Values are the mean ± S.D. fluorescent intensity of two separate experiments, performed at different times (N = 2). (B and C) Genistein increases Smad1 transcriptional activity. Cells were co-transfected with BRE2-Luc and β-gal, along with endoglin (ENG), empty vector (VC), siENG, or siNeg, and treated with genistein (or not), as indicated. Normalized luciferase activity was then expressed as the mean ± S.E.M. (N = 6 for B, N=2 for C), performed at separate times, relative to that observed with untreated VC (B) or untreated siNeg (C). FACS and reporter assays were all performed as described in Materials and Methods. * denotes values which differ from control by a p value of ≤0.05; controls are: (A), genistein 0 µM; (B), VC/genistein-; (C), siNeg/genistein-.

**Figure 3: Genistein cooperates with Smad1 to inhibit PCa cell invasion.** (A and B) Genistein and Smad1 have additive effects upon Smad1-responsive promoter activity. PC3-M cells were transfected with VC or Smad1 (Sd1), BRE2-Luc and β-gal, treated with genistein (or not), and luciferase activity measured (A), as described in Figure 2. Normalized luciferase activity was then expressed as the mean ± S.D., relative to that observed with untreated VC cells. Smad1 protein expression in equal amounts of total protein was evaluated by Western blot, as described in Figure 1 (B). (C) Genistein and Smad1 have additive anti-invasion effects. PC3-M cells were transfected with Smad1 or VC, treated with genistein (or not), and percent invasion relative to untreated VC cells determined, as in Figure 1. Data are the mean ± S.E.M. (N = 4) of a single experiment, with similar results seen in a separate experiment (also N = 4). (D and E) Smad1 is necessary for genistein’s action. PC3-M cells were transfected with siRNA to Smad1
(siSd1) or with siNeg, and treated with genistein (or not). The resultant effects upon Smad1 transcript levels, as measured by qRT/PCR (D), and upon cell invasion (E), were then measured. Values are from a single experiment, run in replicates of N = 2 for promoter and qRT/PCR assays, and N = 4 for invasion assays. For all assays, separate experiments, run at separate times (with identical replicates), gave similar results. * denotes values which differ from control by a p value of <0.05; controls are: (A and C), VC/genistein-; (D and E), siNeg/genistein-.

Figure 4: ALK2 is necessary for genistein-mediated inhibition of cell invasion. PC3-M cells were transfected with siRNA targeting ALK2 (siA2), siNeg, wild type ALK2 (WT) or kinase dead ALK2 (KD), and treated with genistein (or not), as indicated. Resultant effects upon ALK2 expression, by qRT/PCR (A), upon cell invasion (B, D), Smad1 activation (C), and upon protein expression, by probing for HA tagged ALK2 by Western blot (E), are shown. Alls values are from a single experiment, run in replicates of N = 2 for promoter and qRT/PCR assays, and N = 4 for invasion assays. For all assays, separate experiments, run at separate times (with identical replicates), gave similar results. * denotes values which differ from control by a p value of <0.05; controls are: (A and B), siNeg/genistein-; (C and D), WT-ALK2/genistein-.

Figure 5: Effects in other human prostate cells. (A) Genistein treatment has modest effect on endoglin protein cell surface expression. As described in Fig. 2, non-transfected PC3 cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of genistein, and surface expression of endoglin measured by FACS analysis. (B-H) PC3 cells were then
transfected with the indicated expression construct, or siRNA, treated with genistein (or not), and effects upon BRE2 promoter activity (B) and cell invasion (C-H) were measured, as in Fig. 4. (I) ALK2 is necessary for genistein-mediated inhibition of invasion in early stage human prostate cell lines. 1532CPTX, 1532NPTX, 1542CPTX, and 1542NPTX were transfected with either WT-ALK2 or with KD-ALK2, treated with genistein (or not), and effects upon cell invasion were measured. All values are from a single experiment, run in replicates of N = 2 for FACS assay, N=6 for promoter assay (3 experiments), and N = 4 for invasion assays. For all assays, separate experiments, run at separate times (with identical replicates), gave similar results. * denotes values which differ from control by a p value of \(<0.05; controls are: (A), genistein 0 \mu M; (B, C, and E), VC/genistein-; (D, F, and G), siNeg/genistein-; (H and I), WT-ALK2/genistein-.

Figure 6: Proposed model of genistein’s effect upon the endoglin signaling pathway in human prostate cancer.
Figure 1

**A**

![Graph showing invasion percentage with Geni and ENG](image)

**B**

![Western blot showing ENG and GAPDH expression with Geni and ENG](image)

**C**

![Graph showing transcript percentage with Geni and ENG](image)
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![Graph showing invasion percentage with Geni and ENG](image)
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Figure 3

A

![Graph showing luminescence with bars for different conditions: VC, Sd1, VC + Sd1, Geni, Geni + Sd1.

B

![Western blot images showing Smad1 and GAPDH expression with bars for different conditions: VC, Sd1, VC, Sd1, Geni, Geni + Sd1.

C

![Bar graph showing invasion percentages for different conditions: VC, Sd1, VC, Sd1, Geni, Geni + Sd1.

D

![Bar graph showing transcript percentages for different conditions: siNeg, siSd1, siNeg, siSd1, Geni, Geni + Sd1.

E

![Bar graph showing invasion percentages for different conditions: siNeg, siSd1, siNeg, siSd1, Geni, Geni + Sd1.}
Figure 4

A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geni</th>
<th>siNeg</th>
<th>siA2</th>
<th>siNeg</th>
<th>siA2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geni</th>
<th>siNeg</th>
<th>siA2</th>
<th>siNeg</th>
<th>siA2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geni</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>KD</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>KD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geni</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>KD</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>KD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geni</th>
<th>VC</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>KD</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>KD</th>
<th>VC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HA-ALK2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5

**A**

Fluorescence levels of Geni at different concentrations (0, 25, 50 µM). The bars represent mean ± standard deviation.

**B**

Luminescence levels of Geni with and without treatment. The bars indicate treated conditions (VC, ENG) and controls (VC, ENG). The asterisk (*) denotes statistical significance.

**C**

Invasion levels of Geni at different concentrations (VC, ENG) and siRNA conditions (siNeg, siENG). The asterisk (*) indicates significant differences.

**D**

Invasion levels of Geni with and without treatment and siRNA conditions. The asterisk (*) signifies statistical significance.
Figure 5 Continued

**E**

![Graph showing invasion percentage with Geni conditions](image)

**F**

![Graph showing invasion percentage with Geni conditions](image)

**G**

![Graph showing invasion percentage with Geni conditions](image)

**H**

![Graph showing invasion percentage with Geni conditions](image)
Figure 5 Continued

**1532CPTX cells**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Invasion (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>125%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Geni: - - + +

**1532NPTX cells**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Invasion (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>125%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Geni: - - + +

**1542CPTX cells**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Invasion (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>150%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD</td>
<td>125%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Geni: - - + +

**1542NPTX cells**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Invasion (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>125%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Geni: - - + +

*Significant difference
Figure 6

Genistein $\rightarrow^+$ ALK2 $\leftarrow^+$ Endoglin
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