Making the T-type even tinier – CRF mediated inhibition of low voltage activated calcium channel activity
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Abstract:

T-type calcium channels are important for a variety of physiological processes such as brain and heart function. Proper regulation of these channels by second messengers is fundamental, however, our knowledge of the molecular pathways that regulate T-type calcium channels is limited in comparison with other voltage-dependent calcium channels. In this issue of *Molecular Pharmacology*, Tao and colleagues demonstrate that Cav3.2 is regulated by activation of the corticotroprin-releasing factor receptor 1 in a Gβγ-dependent manner.
T-type calcium channels are important regulators of physiological processes such as neuronal firing, hormone secretion and cardiac function (Leuranguer V et al. 2000, Molineux ML et al. 2006, Vassort G et al. 2006). Therefore a precise control over T-type calcium channel activity is necessary, and acute regulation is obtained by G-protein coupled receptors that trigger downstream transduction pathways such as phosphorylation by protein kinases such as PKC, CaMKII, tyrosine kinase or Rho Kinase (Chemin J et al. 2006, Iftinca M et al. 2007). Many hormones and neurotransmitters including dopamine, serotonin, acetylcholine, angiotensin have been reported to activate or inhibit T-type currents (Chemin J et al. 2006). The regulation of these channels by these hormones is less well understood than that of the high voltage calcium channels. This may in part be due to the fact that it is difficult to clearly distinguish individual T-type calcium channel isoforms from each other in native cells, thus giving rise to varied responses that range from decreases to increases of T-current amplitudes, depending on tissue type, species or the recording condition.

In their well-designed study, Tao and colleagues (2008) have provided novel evidence for another hormone regulation for the Cav3.2 channel via the activation of the corticotropin-releasing factor receptor 1 (CRFR1). This receptor belongs to the superfamily of G protein-coupled receptors and is part of the family of corticotropin-releasing factor receptors which in mammals include CRFR1 (with 8 splice variants) and CRFR2 (with 3 splice variants) (Hemley CF et al. 2007). The main physiological role of these receptors is to mediate responses to stress, including the control of the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis by regulating the secretion of adrenocorticotropic (CRFR1), and the control of metabolism, vasculature and muscular responses (CRFR2) (Hillhouse EW and Grammatopoulos DK 2006).
In their exciting study, the authors show that specific activation of CRFR1, which leads to an increase in cAMP production, selectively induces a reversible inhibition of Cav3.2 T-type calcium channel activity while other channels of the same family (Cav3.1 and Cav3.3) show no modulation by CRFR1. The effect on this calcium channel is dose dependent, is prevented by the use of CRFR1 antagonist, and dependent on membrane potential. Specifically, the authors show that receptor activation is able to induce a hyperpolarizing shift in the steady-state inactivation potential whereas the voltage-dependence of activation appears to be unaffected. Through a carefully pharmacological approach, the authors show that this effect is dependent on Gs signaling whereas Gi/o and Gq/11 are not involved. Moreover, the authors rule out a contribution from phospholipase C or any of the other kinases known to be associated with CRFR1 activation (Fig 1). Instead, the authors demonstrate that the regulation critically depends on Gβγ-mediated signaling. Taken together, the authors have identified a novel mechanism of Cav3.2 T-type calcium channel inhibition, involving a cholera-toxin sensitive, Gβγ-dependent pathway that is triggered by corticotropin-releasing factor receptor1 activation. The fact that cholera toxin mimicked the effect of CRFR1 activation suggests that this type of regulation may perhaps also be observed with other types of Gs linked receptors.

In a previous study, Wolfe and colleagues (Wolfe JT et al. 2003) showed that Gβ2γ2 inhibits Cav3.2 calcium channels directly without affecting the voltage dependent gating properties of the channel, whereas other types of G protein β subunits do not mediate this type of direct regulation. This then suggests that the CRF1 receptor mediated regulation does not involve a direct action of Gβγ on Cav3.2 channel activity, but instead likely occurs via a Gβγ dependent activation of a downstream signaling pathway that is yet to be identified (Fig. 1).
Alternatively, it is possible that the concerted action of Gβγ and Gαs on the channel may produce the observed effects on steady state inactivation of the channel.

The wide expression of CRFR in the central nervous system (Swinny JD et al. 2003), together with the established expression of T-type calcium channels in different neuronal types (McKay BE et al. 2006) underscore the potential physiological implications of the findings of Tao and colleagues. T-type calcium channels are known to be involved in rebound bursting, a phenomenon in which a transient membrane hyperpolarization sufficiently recovers T-type calcium channels from tonic inactivation, thus allowing them to become active and thus contribute to the membrane depolarization that in turn underlies the initiation of a train of successive of sodium spikes. Rebound burst activity is a key feature associated with the transition between the awake state and sleep (Contreras D 2006), a process in which agonists of CRF receptors have been implicated (Zoumakis E et al. 2006). In this context, the observed hyperpolarizing shift in half-inactivation potential in response to CRF1 receptor activation have the propensity to regulate sleep rhythm. Along these lines, the shift in the steady state inactivation curve also decreases the amount of overlap between steady state inactivation and activation curves, thus decreasing the size of the window current and thus a reduced level of basal T-type calcium channel activity (Vassort G et al. 2006). Such a decrease in the window current is thus expected reduce basal intracellular calcium and consequently hormone secretion (Leuranguer V et al. 2000). Finally, it should be reiterated that T-type channel expression is not confined to the nervous system, but also seen in other excitable tissues known to express CRF receptors, such that alterations in the activity profiles of Cav3.2 T-type channels due to altered window currents may well affect the excitability of cardiac output.
As noted above, CRF receptors undergo extensive alternate splicing, although not all possible splice isforms may be physiologically important (Hemley CF et al. 2007). It remains to be determined if the coupling between CRF receptors and T-type calcium channels is dependent on the type of splice variant present in a given cell. Nonetheless, the findings by Tao clearly identify a novel means by which T-type calcium channels are regulated by G protein coupled receptors, with potentially far reaching consequences for neuronal, and possible cardiac function which will need to be explored in greater detail in future studies.
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Figure legend:

**Cav3.2 inhibition by CRFR activation.** Upon CRFR1 receptor activation, G protein dissociate into $G_\alpha_s$ and $G_{\beta\gamma}$ subunits, which activate different signalling pathways. (Left) Red arrows show pathways that were shown not to be involved in the inhibition of the channel according to Tao et al. (Right) Blue arrows show possible mechanisms for the observed inhibition. $G_{\beta\gamma}$ may indirectly act via an intracellular signalling pathway, or $G_\alpha_s$ and $G_{\beta\gamma}$ might directly inhibit channel activity via concerted action.