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Abstract

Historically CYP1A1 protein is known to be located in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER; microsomes). More recently, CYP1A1 was shown to be targeted to the inner mitochondrial membrane; mitochondrial import is dependent on NH2-terminal processing that exposes a cryptic targeting signal. Intriguingly, microsomal and mitochondrial CYP1A1 enzymes exhibit different substrate specificities, electron donors, and inducer properties. To understand the physiological functions of microsomal versus mitochondrial CYP1A1, we have generated three knock-in lines by altering the CYP1A1 NH2-terminus. Cyp1a1(mtt/mtt) mice encode an NH2-terminal 31-amino acid-truncated protein, deleting the ER-targeting signal and exposing the cryptic mitochondrial-targeting signal. Cyp1a1(mtp/mtp) mice encode a protein carrying Leu7Asn and Leu17Asn mutations; this mutant lacks the signal recognition particle (SRP)-binding site and subsequent ER-targeting, but requires proteolysis by a cytosolic peptidase for mitochondrial import. Cyp1a1(mc/mc) mice encode a microsomal protein having Arg34Asp and Lys39Ile mutations which abolish the mitochondrial targeting signal. Following dioxin or β-naphthoflavone treatment of these mouse lines, the CYP1A1 protein was shown to be located in mitochondria of the Cyp1a1(mtp/mtp) and Cyp1a1(mtt/mtt) lines and in microsomes of the Cyp1a1(mc/mc) line. To test for differences in function, we compared the response to dietary benzo[a]pyrene (BaP). After 18 days of daily oral BaP, wild-type and Cyp1a1(mc/mc) mice were completely protected, whereas Cyp1a1(-/-) and Cyp1a1(mtp/mtp) mice showed striking toxicity and compensatory up-regulation of CYP1A2 and CYP1B1 mRNA in several tissues. Our data support the likelihood that it is the microsomal rather than mitochondrial CYP1A1 enzyme that protects against oral BaP toxicity.
Introduction

The cytochrome P450 monooxygenase superfamily includes 57 and 102 genes in the human and mouse genomes, respectively (Nelson et al., 2004). The CYP1 family is one of 18 mammalian CYP families and contains three highly conserved members: CYP1A1, CYP1A2 and CYP1B1. The basal and inducible expression of these three genes is regulated by the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) (Nebert et al., 2004; Puga et al., 2005; Hankinson, 2005; Nebert and Dalton, 2006; Kawajiri and Fujii-Kuriyama, 2007).

Historically CYP1A1 has been regarded as located only in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), until a mitochondrial (MT) CYP1A1 was characterized in liver of rats pretreated with β-naphthoflavone (BNF) (Niranjan et al., 1985; Raza and Avadhani, 1988). Further investigations revealed that ER-versus MT-targeting of the CYP1A1 protein is determined by NH₂-terminal signal sequences. Depending on the tissue, animal’s age and inducer pretreatment, varying amounts (from 5% to >50%) of CYP1A1 are directed to the MT inner-membrane by means of cryptic MT-targeting signals; a cytosolic peptidase-mediated proteolysis can result in either of two truncated isoforms of mitochondrial CYP1A1 (mt1A1)—one having the first four (rats) or eight (mice), the other having the first 32 (rats and mice), amino acids removed from the NH₂-terminus {119, 171}. In contrast to the NADPH-P450 oxidoreductase (POR)-mediated microsomal CYP1A1 (mc1A1) activity, mt1A1 activity depends on FDX1 (ferredoxin-1; previous name adrenodoxin) and FDXR (ferredoxin-1 reductase); this distinction in the electron-donor complex might explain substrate-specificity differences seen between the mc1A1 and mt1A1 enzymes (Anandatheerthavarada et al., 1999).

Substrates for CYP1A1 include both endogenous compounds and exogenous chemicals (Nebert and Russell, 2002). Many studies have shown that CYP1A1 metabolism plays a major role in detoxication of foreign chemicals as well as metabolic activation leading to oxidative damage, birth
defects, DNA adduct formation, mutagenesis, and carcinogenesis (Nebert, 1989; Park et al., 1996; Nebert et al., 2000b; Nebert et al., 2004; Wells et al., 2005; Nebert and Dalton, 2006; Chung et al., 2007). It is tempting to speculate that mc1A1 metabolism might be predominantly involved in some of these processes whereas mt1A1 metabolism might be more important in others. To test these hypotheses, we have generated three knock-in mouse lines by targeted alteration of the Cyp1a1 gene, such that the CYP1A1 protein is expected to be trafficked either to the ER (microsomes) or to the mitochondria. The present report describes the successful creation and characterization of these lines and then tests for functional differences, using the oral BaP paradigm previously described (Uno et al., 2004; Uno et al., 2006).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals. Benzo[a]pyrene (BaP) and β-naphthoflavone (BNF) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD; dioxin) was purchased from Accustandard, Inc. (New Haven, CT). Ferredoxin (FDX1) and ferredoxin reductase (FDXR) were purified from bovine adrenal mitochondria, as described (Foster and Wilson, 1975; Raza and Avadhani, 1988). Erythromycin and SKF-525A were purchased from Sigma Chemical Company. All other chemicals and reagents were obtained from either Aldrich Chemical Co. (Milwaukee, WI) or Sigma-Aldrich at the highest available grades. Rabbit anti-human CYP1A1/CYP1A2 (α-1A1/1A2) polyclonal antibody was purchased from BD Gentest (Woburn, MA). Anti-NADPH-P450 oxidoreductase (α-POR) and anti-prohibitin (α-PHB) were bought from Abcam (Cambridge, MA). The polyclonal antibody to bovine ferredoxin (α-FDX1) was raised in the BALB/cJ mouse (Anandatheerthavarada et al., 1997). A polyclonal antibody to mouse glutamate-cysteine ligase modifier subunit (α-GCLM) was generated in the chicken (Chen et al., 2007).

Generation of Targeting Constructs. A 352-bp Cyp1a1 genomic gene fragment, excised by SacI and ApaI from the 7.58-kb EagI-EcoRV fragment (Dalton et al., 2000), was cloned into the pBluescript II KS−
vector (Fig. 1A) to use as template DNA for site-directed mutagenesis. Using QuikChange® site-directed mutagenesis kits (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA), we mutated the SacI-ApaI fragment at codons 7 & 17, or we mutated codons 34 & 39; alternatively, we made a 93-bp deletion resulting in the removal of 31 NH2-terminal amino acids (Fig. 1B). Subsequently, these were cloned back into the pBluescript II KS+ vector that carried the Cyp1a1 7.58-kb EagI-EcoRV fragment—to generate the three Cyp1a1 mutant allele-targeting vectors (Figs. 1A & 1B). A NEO mini-cassette (Mansour et al., 1988), containing an extra EcoRV site flanked by direct-repeat loxP sites (Gu et al., 1994), was inserted into the Cyp1a1 intron 1 unique SacI site. This was followed by cloning of the HSV-TK gene (Capecchi, 1989), flanked by XhoI and SacII at the 3’ end of the EagI-EcoRV fragment, to make the targeting constructs (Fig. 1A, 2nd line). All constructs were linearized by digestion with SacII, prior to microinjection into 129/SvJ mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells by the Mouse Transgenesis Core in the Center for Environmental Genetics, University of Cincinnati.

**Southern blot hybridization.** DNA, extracted from ES cell colonies followed sequentially by EcoRV digestion, was examined by Southern blot on Nytran SuperCharge membranes (Schleicher & Schuell; Keene, NH) via capillary-transfer. A 792-bp region, which is 53 bp upstream (outside the targeting region) from the 5’ end of the EagI-EcoRV fragment, was used as template DNA for the probe generated by PCR (Fig. 1A, 3rd line). Primers used (flanking the 792-bp probe for Southern blot) were: for forward 5’-ACAGGGAGGGCAGGTGAAGGT-3’ and reverse 5’-TCACCTCTAAGGGTCACCTTAG-3’. 32P-labeled random-primed DNA probes (2 x 10^6 cpm/ml) were hybridized overnight with EcoRV-digested genomic DNA blotted on the membranes—following prehybridization at 65°C for 4 h in 6X SSC, 10X Denhardt’s, and 1% sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS). Membranes were washed in 1X SSC + 0.1% SDS at 65°C for 1 h; then washed in 0.2X SSC + 0.1% SDS; and finally washed in 0.1X SSC + 0.1% SDS at 65°C for 20 min twice, followed by a 48-h exposure to Kodak X-OMAT film (Rochester, NY).

**Generation of Cyp1a1(mmc/mmc), Cyp1a1(mtp/mtp), Cyp1a1(mtt/mtt) mouse lines.** Targeted ES cells (agouti) were microinjected into the blastocoele cavity of C57BL/6J embryos (non-agouti), and blastocysts were transferred into pseudopregnant CD-1 foster dams (Li et al., 1994). Identification of chimeric pups was determined by the presence of agouti coat color at 10 days of age. Male chimeric mice were then bred to
C57BL/6J females, and agouti-colored offspring were screened by PCR for presence of the NEO gene, denoting germ-line transmission of the targeted Cyp1a1 alleles (Fig. 1A, 3rd line). Heterozygotes carrying the loxP-flanked NEO gene were then bred with mice carrying bacterial Cre driven by the chicken β-actin promoter (Araki et al., 1995) to remove the NEO gene cassette; this resulted in the final three targeted Cyp1a1 alleles without NEO (Fig. 1A, bottom line). Breeding of heterozygotes generated homozygosity of the targeted alleles, which were genotyped by taking advantage of the extra 34-bp loxP site.

**Animals.** The Cyp1a1(mc/mc), Cyp1a1(mtp/mtp), Cyp1a1(mtt/mtt) and Cyp1a1(-/-) mouse lines were backcrossed into C57BL/6J for eight generations; this ensured that the knock-in genotypes reside in a genetic background that is >99.8% C57BL/6J (Nebert et al., 2000a). Age-matched C57BL/6J mice, purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME), could thus be used as Cyp1a1(+/+) wild-type (WT 1A1) controls. The Cyp1a1(-/-) knockout mouse line (Dalton et al., 2000) was used as the CYP1A1-null control. All animal experiments were approved by, and conducted in accordance with, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) standards for the care and use of experimental animals and the University Cincinnati Medical Center Institutional Animal Care & Use Committee (IACUC).

**Biohazard Precaution.** BaP and TCDD are regarded as highly toxic and probable human carcinogens. All personnel were instructed in safe handling procedures. Lab coats, gloves, and masks were worn at all times, and contaminated materials were collected separately for disposal by the Hazardous Waste Unit or by independent contractors. BaP- and TCDD-treated mice were housed separately, and their carcasses regarded as contaminated biological materials.

**Pretreatment.** TCDD (5 μg/ml) and BNF (8 mg/ml) were dissolved in corn oil. Cyp1a1(+/+), Cyp1a1(mc/mc), Cyp1a1(mtp/mtp), Cyp1a1(mtt/mtt) and Cyp1a1(-/-) mice were given intraperitoneal TCDD (15 μg/kg for 3 consecutive days) or BNF (80 mg/kg for 10 consecutive days), as described (Boopathi et al., 2000; Uno et al., 2004). At 10 days after initiating TCDD or BNF treatment, four tissues (kidney, lung, small intestine, liver) were collected. These regimens allow optimal accumulation of the CYP1A1 protein in mitochondria (Boopathi et al., 2000; Genter et al., 2006).
For the oral BaP experiments with these new lines, no differences in weight gain or immunosuppression between males and females were found after 5 or 15 days on dietary BaP; thus, males only were chosen for further studies. BaP, given in corn oil-soaked food, was administered to 6-week-old Cyp1a1(+/+), Cyp1a1(mc/mc), Cyp1a1(mtp/mtp) and Cyp1a1(-/-) male mice. The rodent food (Harlan Teklad, Madison, WI) was soaked at least 24 h in BaP-containing corn oil (10 mg/ml) before being offered to the mice. By knowing the weight of the food ingested daily by a 20-g mouse and by using [3H]benzo[a]pyrene in early experiments (Robinson et al., 1975), we estimated the daily oral BaP dose to be ~125 mg/kg/day. To start day 1 of the experiment, after an overnight fast mice were presented with the BaP-laced food; control mice received food soaked in corn oil alone. Mice eagerly eat corn oil-soaked food. The oral BaP experiments were concluded on day 18, which is when some mouse lines exhibit weight loss but no animals are overtly ill (Uno et al., 2004; Uno et al., 2006). All tissues were harvested between 9:00 and 10:00 am to exclude any circadian rhythm effects.

Reverse Transcription. Total RNA was isolated (liver, kidney, lung, small intestine, spleen; N= 4 mice per group) using the TRI Reagent total RNA isolation reagent (MRC; Cincinnati, OH). Total RNA (1 μg) was added to a final reaction solution of 13 μL containing oligo(dT)20 (3.8 μM) and dNTP (0.77 mM). Reactions were incubated at 65°C for 5 min and chilled on ice for 2 min. To the reaction mixture was added 7 μL of a solution containing 200 units of SuperScript III and 5 μM dithiothreitol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Reactions were incubated at 50°C for 50 min, followed by 85°C for 10 min (to inactivate the reverse transcriptase). The cDNA samples were stored at –80°C until further study.

Quantitative real-time polymerase-chain reaction (qRT-PCR). Primers used for detecting mouse mRNA were: for CYP1A1, forward (f) 5’-CCTCATGTACCTGGTAACCA-3’ and reverse (r) 5’-AAAGGATGAATGCGCAAGGT-3’; for CYP1A2, (f) 5’-AAGACAATGGCGGTCTCATC-3’ and (r) 5’-GACGGTCAGAAAGCGTGGT-3’; for CYP1B1, (f) 5’-ACATCCCCCAAGAATACGGTC-3’ and (r) 5’-TAGACAGTTTCCTCACCAGATG-3’; for β-actin, (f) 5’-CATCCGTAAGAAGACCTCTATGCG-3’ and (r) 5’-ACGCAGCTCAGTAAACAGTCC-3’. The qRT-PCR was performed with the ABI Prism 7000 Sequence
Detection System (Applied Biosystems), using iQ™ SYBR Green Supermix (BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA). Each sample was normalized to β-actin mRNA.

**Western immunoblot analysis.** Kidney, small intestine and liver were chopped finely and homogenized on ice in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer containing 0.1 mM EDTA and 1.15% KCl. Small pieces of lung were homogenized in H-medium, as described (Bhagwat et al., 1999). The homogenates were centrifuged at 500 × g for 15 min; supernatant fractions were centrifuged at 1000 × g for another 15 min, followed by centrifugation of the supernatant at 10,000 × g for 15 min. The pellets were resuspended and repeatedly centrifuged at 10,000 × g five more times, to acquire relatively pure mitochondrial fractions. The resulting supernatant fractions were centrifuged at 18,000 × g for 30 min, followed by centrifugation of the resulting supernatants at 100,000 × g for 60 min to obtain the microsomal fractions. The pellets were resuspended, quantified, and subjected to electrophoresis on a 12% SDS or mixed-alcohol-detergent (MAD) (Brown, 1988) polyacrylamide mini-gel under denaturing conditions. Proteins were then transferred to nitrocellulose membranes for immunoblotting. The membrane was blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin in TTBS (1.5 M sodium chloride, 0.1 M Tris, pH 7.4, containing 0.1% Tween 20) followed by incubation overnight at 4°C with α-1A1/1A2, α-POR, α-PHB, or α-GCLM. After triplicate washes in PBST, the membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA; 1:10,000) for 60 min. After triplicate washes, protein bands were visualized, using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL, Amersham; Piscataway, NJ).

**Enzyme assays.** BaP hydroxylase activity was assayed by the standard spectrophotofluorometric method (Nebert and Gelboin, 1968): determining the rate of formation of hydroxylated products of BaP (pmol/min/mg protein). Erythromycin N-demethylase (ERND) activity was assayed spectrophotometrically (Anandatheerthavarada et al., 1999; Boopathi et al., 2000), by following the rate of HCHO formation (nmol/min/mg protein).

**Detection of BaP in blood.** BaP levels in whole blood were quantified by modification of previously described methods (Garcia-Falcon et al., 1996; Kim et al., 2000). Whole blood (30 μL) was extracted three
times with ethyl acetate/acetone mixture (2:1, v:v). The organic extracts were pooled and dried under argon, and the residue resuspended in 250 µL of acetonitrile. An aliquot (100 µL) was injected onto a Nova-Pak C₁₈ reverse-phase column (4-µm, 150 x 3.9 mm i.d.; Waters Associates; Boston, MA). HPLC analysis was conducted on a Waters™ Model 600 solvent controller, equipped with a fluorescence detector (F-2000, Hitachi). Isocratic separation was performed using an acetonitrile:water (85:15, v:v) mobile phase at a flow-rate of 1 ml/min. Excitation and emission wavelengths were 294 and 404 nm, respectively. BaP concentrations in blood were calculated by comparing the peaks of samples with those of control blood that had been spiked with different known concentrations of BaP. The calibration curve for BaP showed excellent linearity (correlation coefficient $r > 0.998$); four major and several minor BaP metabolites were found to run far ahead of BaP on the column, and thus did not interfere. The detection limit (defined as 3 times the signal-to-noise ratio) was 0.05 pg/µL, and the limit of BaP quantification was determined to be 0.20 pg/µL. The intra-day and inter-day precision of repeated analyses (N=4) gave us coefficients of variation of <12%.

**Plasma Enzymes.** Peripheral blood was collected following 18 days of dietary BaP. Plasma was isolated from centrifuged whole blood for measuring alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) activities, using kits purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

**Statistical Analysis.** Statistics were performed using SigmaStat Statistical Analysis software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Group means were compared by one-way ANOVA, followed by Student’s $t$-test for pairwise comparison-of-means. All data were normally distributed and are reported as the means ± S.E.M. $P$-values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Generation of Knock-In Mouse Lines.** In previous studies (Addya et al., 1997), ER- versus MT-targeting of rat and mouse CYP1A1 protein was reported to be due to NH₂-terminal chimeric signal sequences in the segment from residues 1 to 44: the amino-acid stretch from 1 to 30 provides signals for ER membrane insertion and stop transfer; amino acids 33 to 44 provide MT-targeting signals.
Mouse Leu7 and Leu17 are critical for SRP-binding (and thus ER-targeting), whereas positively-charged Arg34 and Lys39 function critically for MT-targeting.

Based on this knowledge, by site-directed mutagenesis we made genetic alterations in the Cyp1a1 wild-type gene (Fig. 1). Mutations at codon-34 (AGA→GAC) and codon-39 (AAA→ATA), to produce Arg34Asp and Lys39Ilu, respectively, should target the CYP1A1 protein only to the ER; this “microsomal-only CYP1A1 line” is called mc1A1 (Fig. 1B, 2nd line). Removal of 93 bp (encoding residues 2-32) replaces Ala32 with methionine, and this truncated CYP1A1 protein should be targeted only to the mitochondria because the entire ER-insertion domain is missing; this “mitochondrial-only truncated CYP1A1 line” is called mtt1A1 (Fig. 1B, 3rd line). Mutations at codon-7 (CTT→AAT) and codon-17 (CTC→AAC), to produce Leu7Asn and Leu17Asn, respectively, should target the CYP1A1 protein exclusively to mitochondria, but only after cytosolic proteolysis; this “mitochondrial-only proteolysis CYP1A1 line” is called mtp1A1 (Fig. 1B, last line).

These organelle-specific variations were cloned into exon 2 of the targeting constructs (Fig. 1A), and then the linearized constructs were independently electroporated into 129/SvJ mouse embryonic stem cells for homologous recombination with the genomic Cyp1a1 gene. The occurrence of homologous recombination between targeting constructs and the genomic Cyp1a1 gene was confirmed by PCR, followed by Southern blot hybridization. By use of a primer inside the NEO cassette and a primer 20 bp downstream of the targeting constructs, ~10% of ES cell colonies showed positive targeting by PCR screening (data not shown). Further testing by Southern blot hybridization (Fig. 1C) by using a probe 53 bp upstream of the targeting constructs (Fig. 1A, 3rd line), we verified the proper incorporation of organelle-specific mutations into the Cyp1a1 locus. Male chimeric mice having partial or complete agouti coat-color were then bred to C57BL/6J females. Mice with agouti coats and carrying the NEO gene, which represents germ-line transmission of the targeted allele, were
crossed with mice carrying the bacterial Cre gene driven by the chicken β-actin promoter (Araki et al., 1995). This removes the NEO gene mini-cassette from the mouse genome via Cre-loxP-mediated recombination, giving the final targeted Cyp1a1 allele carrying an extra loxP site of 34 bp in intron 1 (Fig. 1A, bottom line). Mice homozygous for the targeted alleles, confirmed by genotyping for the extra loxP site (Fig. 1D)—are viable, fertile, and display no overt phenotype.

CYP1A mRNA Expression Levels in Knock-In Lines. TCDD and BNF are known AHR ligands, causing CYP1A1 induction and mitochondrial import (Boopathi et al., 2000; Uno et al., 2004; Uno et al., 2006). Following TCDD pretreatment (Fig. 2A), qRT-PCR analysis displayed no differences in CYP1A1 mRNA levels among each of the individual organs—liver, kidney, lung or small intestine—when we compared mc1A1, mtt1A1 and mtp1A1 mice with wild-type mice; exceptions included more highly induced CYP1A1 mRNA in kidney and in small intestine of mtt1A1 and mtp1A1 mice. In contrast and as expected, no CYP1A1 mRNA was detected in the Cyp1a1(-/-) knockout mouse. Following BNF pretreatment (Fig. 2B), qRT-PCR analysis displayed significantly higher amounts (5- to 8-fold) of CYP1A1 mRNA only in liver and kidney of mc1A1 than that of wild-type mice. Again, no CYP1A1 mRNA was detected in the KO 1A1 knockout mouse.

Fig. 2A shows that the basal CYP1A2 mRNA level in small intestine was negligible, but TCDD-induced CYP1A2 mRNA was statistically significantly elevated in mtt1A1 (3.2-fold), mtp1A1 (1.3-fold), and KO 1A1 (7.4-fold) mice—compared with that in TCDD-treated WT 1A1 and mc1A1 mice; these intriguing data suggest that absence of the mc1A1 but not the mt1A1 protein (or presence of the mt1A1 but not the mc1A1 protein) in small intestine might cause up-regulate the Cyp1a2 gene by TCDD (Uno et al., 2008). Fig. 2B illustrates no differences in basal or in BNF-induced hepatic CYP1A2 mRNA levels among the wild-type, mc1A1, mtt1A1, or mtp1A1 or KO 1A1 mice. TCDD-induced CYP1A2 mRNA levels are negligible in kidney and lung, except slightly elevated in KO 1A1
Subcellular Distribution of CYP1A1 Protein. Following TCDD or BNF pretreatment (Fig. 3), organelle-targeting of the CYP1A1 protein was examined in WT IA1, mc1A1, mtt1A1, and mtp1A1 mice. Microsomal and mitochondrial proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE or MAD-PAGE (Brown, 1988), the latter rendering a superior separation of the 56-kDa CYP1A1 from the 54.5-kDa CYP1A2 protein. Subcellular distribution of the CYP1A1 protein was compared by Western immunoblot using microsomal POR, mitochondrial PHB, and cytosolic GCLM as subcellular-specific markers. Distribution of the CYP1A1 protein (Fig. 3) was consistent with the CYP1A1 mRNA levels in the various tissues (Fig. 2). The CYP1A1 protein in kidney (Fig. 3A, upper left) was found: in TCDD-treated but not untreated WT IA1 mitochondria and microsomes, in TCDD-treated mc1A1 microsomes but not mitochondria, predominantly in TCDD-treated mtt1A1 mitochondria more so than microsomes, and predominantly in TCDD-treated mtp1A1 mitochondria more so than microsomes. The same pattern was seen in lung (Fig. 3A, upper right) and small intestine after TCDD treatment (Fig. 3A, lower left) and lung after BNF treatment (Fig. 3A, lower right).

In TCDD-treated mtt1A1 and mtp1A1 mice, trace amounts of CYP1A1 protein were detectable in the ER fractions of lung (Fig. 3A, upper right) and especially of liver (not shown). The liver contains so much ER that we always faced some degree of contamination of mitochondrial fragments by microsomes, and this was confirmed using the specific organelle markers. However, when specific subcellular markers are included—POR for microsomes, PHB for mitochondria, and GCLM for cytosol—no detectable contamination was seen on the Western blots (Fig. 3). In our experience, kidney and lung clearly provided more robust separations of mitochondria from microsomes (and vice versa) than small intestine, and especially more robust than liver.

CYP1A2 protein cannot be detected on Western immunoblots from kidney or lung, and cannot be
detected in small intestine following TCDD pretreatment [whereas CYP1A2 protein is detectable following oral BaP treatment (Uno et al., 2008)]. On the other hand, CYP1A2 protein levels are very high in the liver of control as well as TCDD- or BNF-pretreated mice. These are the reasons why in **Fig. 3** we chose to show immunoblots probed by α-1A1/1A2 from kidney, lung and intestine of TCDD-treated mice and from lung of BNF-treated mice.

Importantly, no CYP1A1 protein was detected in any of the cytosolic fractions (**Fig. 3B**), indicating that those CYP1A1 proteins without microsomal targeting signals do not inherently accumulate in cytoplasm. In summary, the data in **Fig. 3** confirm that the CYP1A1 protein has been successfully targeted in the tissues examined, and into the expected subcellular compartment: mc1A1 protein in the mc1A1 line, and mt1A1 protein in the mtt1A1 and mtp1A1 lines.

**Enzyme Activities in the Cyp1a1 Knock-In Lines.** Differences in substrate specificities for mt1A1 versus mc1A1 in metabolizing particular drugs, such as erythromycin and psychotropic drugs including morphine (Anandatheerthavarada et al., 1999; Dasari et al., 2006), have been reported. Because drug metabolism is far more robust in liver than nonhepatic tissues, we compared ERND and BaP hydroxylase activities in hepatic mitochondria versus microsomes. Mitochondrial fractions in the BNF-treated mtt1A1 and mtp1A1 lines displayed significantly higher ERND activity than that in WT 1A1 or mc1A1 mice (**Fig. 4A, upper panel**); in microsomal fractions, no differences in ERND activity were seen among the groups of BNF-treated mice (**Fig. 4A, lower panel**)—although ERND was induced by BNF to levels ~1.5-fold higher than basal ERND activity.

Microsomal CYP1A1, in the presence of POR added in vitro, is known to exhibit virtually no ERND activity, whereas mt1A1 in the presence of added FDX1 and FDXR shows very high ERND activity (Anandatheerthavarada et al., 1997; Anandatheerthavarada et al., 1999; Boopathi et al., 2000). BNF-induced ERND thus represents the mt1A1 protein, whereas mitochondrial basal ERND activity
may reflect either CYP1A2 or CYP2D, both of which exist in mitochondria (Boopathi et al., 2000). In all likelihood, microsomal basal and BNF-inducible ERND activities reflect one or more of the CYP3A enzymes (Xu et al., 2006); this most likely explains why we see nearly equal ERND activity in microsomes of all the BNF-treated mouse lines.

**Fig. 4A (upper panel)** shows that, in mitochondria of BNF-treated animals, an antibody to FDX1 inhibited ERND activity ~70% in *mtt1A1* and ~45% in *mtp1A1* mice, compared with ~30% in WT IA1 mice and negligible amounts in *mc1A1* mice. These findings confirm further the mt1A1 protein is the principal contributor to mitochondrial ERND activity in the *mtt1A1* and *mtp1A1* lines.

**Fig. 4A (upper and lower panels)** illustrates that ERND activity can be completely inhibited by 2-diethylaminoethyl-2,2-diphenylvalerate hydrochloride (SKF-525A) in both mitochondria and microsomes of all mice. These data confirm that P450 monooxygenases are responsible for virtually all of the detectable ERND activity in these assays.

Hepatic microsomes from BNF-treated WT IA1 and *mc1A1* mice (**Fig. 4B, top panel**) showed a ~3.7- and 3.5-fold induction of BaP hydroxylase activity, respectively, compared with untreated WT IA1 mice; this finding confirms that BNF-induced BaP hydroxylase in the *mc1A1* line indeed reflects mc1A1 protein. BNF-treated KO IA1 mice showed no significant increases in BaP hydroxylase activity over that seen in the untreated wild-type mouse; these data are consistent with numerous previous reports describing the *Cyp1a1(-/-)* mouse treated with various CYP1A1 inducers (Dalton et al., 2000; Uno et al., 2001; Uno et al., 2004; Jiang et al., 2005; Derkenne et al., 2005; Cheung et al., 2005; Uno et al., 2006; Genter et al., 2006; Ma et al., 2007; Drigin et al., 2007; Uno et al., 2008). BaP hydroxylase activity which exists in liver of untreated mice is known to reflect a CYP2C enzyme {170}. On the other hand, hepatic microsomes from BNF-treated *mtp1A1* mice produced ~1.6-fold greater induced BaP hydroxylase activity, compared with untreated WT IA1 mice; we believe this
reflects the degree of contamination of liver mitochondria in the microsomal fractions.

The differences in microsomal BaP hydroxylase activity are much more easily visualized in kidney and lung than in liver for two reasons: [a] basal BaP metabolism is negligible in untreated WT 1A1 mice; [b] due to the abundance of microsomes in liver and therefore contamination of the mitochondrial fraction with microsomes (and vice versa), separation of the two organelles is much less problematic in kidney and lung. Hence, relative to the untreated WT 1A1 (Fig. 4B, middle panel), a 50- to 60-fold induction of kidney BaP hydroxylase activity was seen in BNF-treated WT 1A1 and mc1A1 mice, compared with a ~10-fold induction of enzyme activity in BNF-treated mtp1A1 mice. A ~40- and ~20-fold induction of lung BaP hydroxylase activity (Fig. 4B, lower panel) is seen in BNF-treated WT 1A1 and mc1A1 mice, respectively, compared with a no detectable induction of enzyme activity in BNF-treated mtp1A1 mice. These differences in microsomal BaP hydroxylase activity, comparing BNF-treated WT 1A1 with the mc1A1 and mtp1A1 lines, are therefore consistent with the qRT-PCR (Fig. 2B) and Western immunoblot data (Fig. 3A). In the Cyp1a1(-/-) mouse, a compensatory up-regulation of CYP1B1 has previously been noted (Uno et al., 2006; Uno et al., 2008), which would explain the small increases in BaP hydroxylase activity observed in liver, kidney and especially lung.

Proof-of-Principle Experiments in mc1A1 versus mt1A1 Following Oral BaP. We wanted to show a clinical difference between mc1A1- and mt1A1-containing mice. Previous studies (Raza and Avadhani, 1988) have shown that the mt1A1 protein is ~10% as efficient as the mc1A1 protein in BaP metabolism in vitro. Previous oral BaP studies have shown that intestinal (and perhaps hepatic) CYP1A1 functions primarily in the process of detoxication, to protect the mouse against oral BaP-induced immunosuppression (Uno et al., 2004; Uno et al., 2006). We therefore evaluated the role of mc1A1 versus mt1A1 in animals receiving oral BaP. Because of the numbers of mice needed and
expenses, we completed all studies with only *mtp1A1* mice, although preliminary data with *mtt1A1* mice (not shown) were very similar.

After 5 days of continuously administered oral BaP, whole blood BaP levels in *mc1A1* mice were not different from that of *WT 1A1* mice; by contrast, BaP levels were ~11-fold and ~25-fold higher in *mtp1A1* and *KO 1A1*, respectively, than in *WT 1A1* mice (Fig. 5). Thus, the *mtp1A1* line is more similar to the *Cyp1a1(-/-)* knockout line than the *mc1A1* line; these data support our hypothesis that, in the wild-type mouse, it is *mc1A1* rather than *mt1A1* that is responsible for detoxication of oral BaP.

The data in Table 1 are consistent with previous studies (Uno et al., 2004; Uno et al., 2006). The *Cyp1a1(-/-)* knockout mouse treated with oral BaP for 18 days exhibits striking oral BaP-induced damage, including: [a] the wasting syndrome as seen by lowered body weight; [b] immunosuppression as shown by decreased spleen and thymus weight, lymphocytopenia, and bone marrow hypocellularity accompanied by a decreased ratio of lymphoid to myeloid series of cells; [c] anemia as revealed by decreased hematocrit and hemoglobin; [d] enhanced oxidative stress as evidenced by elevated methemoglobin; and [e] liver toxicity as depicted by increased liver weight and elevated plasma ALT and AST activities. These parameters are associated with mortality in *Cyp1a1(-/-)* mice that is seen after ~28 days of continuous oral BaP exposure (Uno et al., 2004; Uno et al., 2006).

At 125 mg/kg/day of oral BaP for 18 days, *WT 1A1* and *mc1A1* mice did not show any of these abnormalities (Table 1). On the other hand, *mtp1A1* mice displayed moderate degrees of toxicity in each of the above-mentioned parameters. The data in Table 1 are thus consistent with the whole blood BaP levels in these lines (Fig. 5) and suggest that absence of the mc1A1 protein in the *mtp1A1* mouse leads to oral BaP-induced whole-body damage—albeit to a lesser extent, when compared with
that in the Cyp1a1(-/-) knockout mouse.

**CYP1 mRNA Levels in Oral BaP-Treated Mice.** In order to understand Cyp1 expression in several organs following 18 days of oral BaP administration, we measured mRNA levels from all three Cyp1 genes in small intestine, liver and spleen. CYP1A1 mRNA was not detectable in any of the three tissues from the Cyp1a1(-/-) mouse. Oral BaP-induced CYP1A1 mRNA levels (Fig. 6, top row) in small intestine were >2-fold higher in mtp1A1 than in mc1A1 or WT 1A1. In liver, CYP1A1 mRNA in mtp1A1 was ~70-fold higher than that in mc1A1, and ~560-fold greater than that in WT 1A1. In spleen of oral BaP-treated mice, CYP1A1 mRNA concentrations were >10-fold higher in mtp1A1 than in mc1A1 or WT 1A1.

Oral BaP-induced CYP1A2 mRNA levels (Fig. 6, middle row) in small intestine were not different among the four oral BaP-treated groups examined; however, basal CYP1A2 mRNA concentrations were ~10-fold elevated in the untreated KO 1A1 mouse—suggesting that complete absence of intestinal CYP1A1 causes a compensatory up-regulation of the basal CYP1A2 mRNA. This finding (in small intestine, but not in liver or spleen) is consistent with what had been found previously (Uno et al., 2006; Uno et al., 2008). In liver, oral BaP-induced CYP1A2 mRNA levels in mtp1A1 and KO 1A1 mice were >3-fold higher than that in mc1A1, and ~9-fold greater than that in WT 1A1 mice. In spleen, oral BaP-induced CYP1A2 mRNA concentrations were ~7-fold higher in KO 1A1 than in mtp1A1, which in turn were ~3-fold greater than that in mc1A1 or WT 1A1.

Oral BaP-induced CYP1B1 mRNA levels (Fig. 6, bottom row) in intestine were >5-fold higher in KO 1A1 than in mtp1A1 mice, and CYP1B1 mRNA was ~12-fold greater in mtp1A1 than that in mc1A1 or WT 1A1. A compensatory up-regulation of basal CYP1B1 mRNA was observed in small intestine of both the KO 1A1 and mtp1A1 mice; this finding (seen in small intestine, but not in liver or spleen) is consistent with what had been reported previously (Uno et al., 2006; Uno et al., 2008).
liver, oral BaP-induced CYP1B1 mRNA levels in the KO 1A1 and mtp1A1 mice were >700-fold and ~25-fold higher, respectively, than that in mc1A1 or WT 1A1 mice. In spleen, oral BaP-induced CYP1B1 mRNA concentrations in the KO 1A1 and mtp1A1 mice were ~7-fold and ~2-fold greater, respectively, than that in mc1A1 or WT 1A1 mice.

Our findings in the two knock-in lines (Figs. 5 & 6; Table 1) follow the pattern that the mc1A1 line is more capable of detoxication of oral BaP than the mtp1A1 line: BaP is cleared more readily from the mc1A1 gastrointestinal tract (similar to what is seen in the wild-type GI tract), leading to lower BaP blood levels and less BaP inducer reaching the liver or spleen and, hence, lower CYP1 mRNA accumulation in the mc1A1 than the mtp1A1 line in these two organs.

DISCUSSION

For the past decade it has been appreciated that the mammalian CYP1A1 protein is located in both the ER and the inner membrane of mitochondria; relative amounts vary—depending on the species (rat, mouse or human), age, and the organ or tissue being studied (Raza and Avadhani, 1988; Addya et al., 1997; Anandatheerthavarada et al., 1997). Moreover, differences in inducer, as well as substrate and electron donor specificity, exist between mc1A1 and mt1A1 (Raza and Avadhani, 1988; Addya et al., 1997; Anandatheerthavarada et al., 1997). The obvious questions include: why have these two different subcellular CYP1A1 proteins evolved during the past 70 million years of the mammalian radiation, and what special critical life function(s) is(are) specific to one or the other?

It therefore seemed reasonable to genetically engineer mouse lines carrying either an exclusively ER-targeted or an exclusively MT-targeted CYP1A1 protein. Although the proposed feat had no 100% guarantee of success, the present study (Figs. 1 & 3) confirms that we have accomplished our aim. Given this success, a proof-of-principle experiment was then performed in order to show
differences in function by mc1A1 versus mt1A1 in the intact animal; this was done, by using the oral BaP paradigm previously studied in these laboratories, and we show (Fig. 5; Table 1) that the mc1A1 appears to be more important than the mt1A1 protein in the detoxication of oral BaP.

**ER-Specificity of the Targeted CYP1A1 Protein.** Following stringent centrifugation, it was rare to see microsomes contaminated by MT fractions (as judged by our finding no MT-specific marker PHB in microsomal fractions—especially TCDD-treated kidney, BNF-treated lung); we therefore believe that the CYP1A1 protein detected in microsomes in mc1A1 mice is indeed the microsomal form. By way of Western immunoblot analysis (Fig. 3), the ER-targeted mc1A1 protein appeared to be localized ~100% to the microsomes (and not mitochondria) in lung, kidney and small intestine from TCDD-induced mc1A1 mice; these data indicate that our site-directed mutagenesis experiments, to efficiently block the MT-targeting signal (Fig. 1), were successful in preventing import of CYP1A1 protein into mitochondria.

In the liver from TCDD or BNF-treated mc1A1 mice, however, there were always small but detectable amounts of anti-CYP1A1-reactive protein in mitochondrial fractions—whether isolated via repeated differential centrifugations or via digitonin-stripped mitoplasts (data not shown). Because there was always the concomitant presence of small but detectable anti-POR reactive proteins also in these same fractions, we believe this finding reflects some degree of contamination by microsomes—in hepatocytes, which are very abundant in rough and smooth ER—rather than some “liver-specific difference in organelle-targeting” which was not seen in lung, kidney or small intestine. By inducing CYP1A1 via TCDD or BNF pretreatment, this simply elevated the ratio of CYP1A1 to POR in liver mitochondria but did not decrease the amount of contamination of mitochondria by microsomes.

**MT-Specificity of the Targeted CYP1A1 Protein.** In the mtp1A1 and mtt1A1 lines, the MT-targeted CYP1A1 protein was detected only in mitochondrial fractions of kidney and small intestine.
following TCDD, and in lung following BNF pretreatment. In mtp1A1 and mtt1A1 mice, trace amounts (~1-10%) of CYP1A1 could always be detected in microsomes from lung or liver after TCDD and from small intestine after BNF pretreatment (data not shown). The low level of CYP1A1 present in microsomes from TCDD- or BNF-pretreated mtp1A1 and mtt1A1 mice varied as a function of different inducers and tissues, suggesting that the NH2-terminal signal sequence might not be absolute in effecting MT-targeting of the CYP1A1 protein in the intact animal. This conclusion is consistent with the finding that the protein kinase C (PKC)-mediated phosphorylation of Thr-35 in the nascent CYP1A1 peptide chain lowers the affinity for SRP binding—thus decreasing the amount of ER-targeting (Dasari et al., 2006). Considering the highly variable expression of PKC isoforms in different tissues and cell types (Yoshida et al., 1988; Webb et al., 2000; Blay et al., 2004) and the involvement of PKC in AHR-signaling pathways (Carrier et al., 1992; Long et al., 1998; Webb et al., 2000), PKC activity might contribute to the incomplete ablation of ER-targeted CYP1A1 in microsomes of some tissues, as seen in our MT-targeted mouse lines. Further studies are needed to understand the underlying mechanisms of tissue-specific CYP1A1 subcellular-targeting in the intact mouse and its physiological significance.

**Oral BaP-Induced Immunosuppression is mc1A1-Dependent.** Previous studies of mice receiving daily dietary BaP in our laboratories have demonstrated the importance of CYP1A1 in detoxication. In Cyp1a1(-/-) knockout mice, having no functional CYP1A1 protein in any tissue, daily oral BaP produced striking abnormalities within 18 days: loss in body weight, increased liver weight per total body weight, atrophy of the spleen and thymus, hypocellularity of the bone marrow with the lymphoid series particularly affected, elevated plasma ALT and AST levels, and evidence of anemia and increases in methemoglobin (Uno et al., 2004; Uno et al., 2006). These abnormalities were observed in the mtp1A1 line (Table 1) but not in the mc1A1 or WT 1A1 mice—strongly
suggesting that the absence of microsomal CYP1A1 protein is the critical determinant in not allowing oral BaP detoxication to occur normally. Therefore, this study has established that the mc1A1 protein, and not the mt1A1 protein, is necessary and sufficient to support detoxication of BaP given orally to the intact mouse.

It should also be noted that, following daily oral BaP, absolute CYP1A1 mRNA levels in mtp1A1 are higher than those in mc1A1 or wild-type mice to a minor degree in small intestine, and more strikingly in both liver and spleen (Fig. 6). The significance of these observations is not known.

**Differences in Up-Regulation of CYP1A2 and CYP1B1 mRNA.** Intriguingly, the subcellular location of the CYP1A1 protein appeared to have an effect on CYP1A2 or CYP1B1 mRNA inducibility; moreover, this effect was tissue-specific. CYP1A2 mRNA levels are higher in mtt1A1 and mtp1A1 (compared with that in mc1A1) mice in small intestine following intraperitoneal TCDD pretreatment; in this regard, the MT-targeted lines are more similar to the Cyp1a1(-/-) knockout than the wild-type mouse (Fig. 2A, bottom panel). CYP1A2 mRNA levels are higher in mtp1A1 (compared with that in mc1A1) mice in liver and spleen following administration of daily oral BaP; again, the MT-targeted line is more similar to the Cyp1a1(-/-) knockout mouse (Fig. 6, middle right panels). CYP1B1 mRNA levels are higher in mtp1A1 (compared with that in mc1A1) mice in small intestine, liver and spleen following daily oral BaP; yet again, the MT-targeted line is more similar to the Cyp1a1(-/-) knockout mouse (Fig. 6, bottom panels). Hence, CYP1A2 and/or CYP1B1 mRNA accumulation requires either the presence of mitochondrial CYP1A1 (unlikely, because WT 1A1 mice have mt1A1) or the absence of microsomal CYP1A1. Reasons for these fascinating observations are not understood and will require further experimentation.

How can we explain these “compensatory increases” in CYP1A2 and/or CYP1B1 mRNA when CYP1A1 is absent in one or the other organelle? Our analysis of basal CYP1 mRNA levels in the
organelle-specific Cyp1a1 knock-in mouse lines suggests some interesting cross-talk exists between the Cyp1 genes. This is particularly evident in small intestine, in which the CYP1B1 basal mRNA level is elevated in both KO 1A1 and mtp1A1 mice, whereas the CYP1A2 basal mRNA level is elevated only in KO 1A1 mice. In previous studies (Uno et al., 2006; Uno et al., 2008) we have noted that compensatory up-regulation of one Cyp1 family member oftentimes occurs in the absence of one or more of the other members. In the present study, we now find that the mitochondrial isoform of CYP1A1 apparently can compensate for CYP1A2, but not for CYP1B1, in the small intestine. The reason for this is unclear. Recent genomics research has uncovered multiple (currently poorly understood) mechanisms of genomic cross-talk. Perhaps the dynamic genome should be considered to be like a “community”: when one member of that community suddenly becomes absent (e.g. due to gene ablation), the rest of the genome decides which other members should raise or lower their levels of expression in order to compensate for that missing member. In liver of the untreated Cyp1a2(-/-) knockout mouse, for example, eleven genes became significantly up-regulated and 21 genes down-regulated (Smith et al., 2003).

Since publication of the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE) pilot project (The ENCODE Project Consortium, 2007), our lack of knowledge about the genome is more apparent than ever before. It is now realized how little is known about “what ‘a gene’ is”, what mechanisms are involved in the cross-talk of cis- and trans-regulatory factors, how chromatin-remodeling and epigenetics affect gene expression, and why 60% of all (gene-rich and gene-desert) regions of the DNA chosen for the ENCODE study are incredibly conserved between pufferfish and mammals (Gerstein et al., 2007; Nebert et al., 2008). We can only conclude that there is much more to learn about compensatory up- and down-regulation of gene expression.

Conclusions. The generation of three knock-in mouse lines carrying CYP1A1 protein targeted
principally to the ER or the MT has been successful. Whether there are tissue- or cell-specific differences in the success of CYP1A1-protein organelle-targeting—or the tissue-specific dissimilarities represent minor problems in our ability to separate microsomes from mitochondrial (and vice versa) with absolute certainty—remains open to further study. Administration of daily dietary BaP to these knock-in mouse lines provided us with proof-in-principle, i.e. we conclude that the mc1A1 and not the mt1A1 is important in oral BaP detoxication and thus protection from the various forms of BaP-induced damage. We have also found striking increases in CYP1A2 and CYP1B1 mRNA up-regulation by oral BaP in the mt1A1 (similar to that previously found in the KO 1A1) but not the mc1A1 lines.

It would be desirable also to show a clinical effect that happens in mt1A1 and mtp1A1 mice but not in mc1A1 mice. Knowing that the LD50 for erythromycin in mice is 280 mg/kg, we gave doses of 28, 56 and 140 mg/kg to WT 1A1, mc1A1, mt1A1 and mtp1A1 and watched for overt toxicity during the next 2 weeks; no differences were seen clinically, and no histological differences were observed in liver, lung, kidney, thymus, spleen or bone marrow. Because one or more enzymes of the CYP3A family are responsible for erythromycin metabolism (Tanaka, 1998), it is likely that mt1A1-mediated erythromycin metabolism is relatively minor compared with CYP3A-mediated metabolism. This is also likely to be true of other psychotropic drugs metabolized by mt1A1 (Anandatheerthavarada et al., 1999; Dasari et al., 2006).

Our reasons for the construction of these knock-in mouse lines include several hypotheses. First, our overriding assumption is that both ER- and MT-specific CYP1A1 function primarily for endogenous regulatory reasons (Nebert and Karp, 2008). ER-specific CYP1A1 might be important principally for the synthesis and degradation of critical life endogenous compounds and detoxication, as well as metabolic activation of various foreign chemicals entering the cell; this metabolism might
lead to genotoxicity and mutagenesis of the genomic DNA, carcinogenesis, and perhaps organ-specific toxicity. On the other hand, MT-specific CYP1A1 might be important principally for the synthesis and degradation of mitochondrial critical life endogenous compounds and the detoxication, as well as metabolic activation of various foreign chemicals entering the mitochondrion; such metabolism might lead to genotoxicity, mutagenesis of the mitochondrial DNA, apoptosis by way of the cytochrome c release-signaling pathway, and perhaps birth defects. One postulate—mc1A1, rather than mt1A1, being important in the detoxication of oral BaP—has been demonstrated in the present study. The remaining hypotheses will require additional work. These knock-in mouse lines are available to all interested colleagues.
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**FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Fig. 1.** Generation of three *Cyp1a1* knock-in mouse lines. **A,** Scheme showing the wild-type (WT) *Cyp1a1* gene (*top line*), targeting construct carrying the organelle-specific alterations (*2nd line*), the final targeted alleles (*bottom line*) after the NEO gene had been removed via Cre-mediated recombination to create the *Cyp1a1*(mc), *Cyp1a1*(mtt) and *Cyp1a1*(mtp) alleles. NEO (G418-resistant mini-cassette) and TK (thymidine kinase mini-cassette) represent genes used as selectable markers. *Closed rectangles* denote the seven exons; exon 2 (where ATG translation start-site is located) spans 851 bp. The ATG start-site for translation (denoted as *vertical line & horizontal arrow*) is located 15 nucleotides into exon 2. Exon 2 insertions (*bold arrows pointing to striped rectangles*, not drawn completely to scale) represent the organelle-specific variations. *Eco*RV and *Eag*I sites and the inserted *loxP* sites (*orientation* denoted by *small arrow heads*) are shown. **B,** NH2-terminal chimeric signal sequences of *CYP1A1* proteins encoded by *Cyp1a1* genes targeted with organelle-specific variations: WT 1A1 = wild-type; mc1A1 = ER-specific targeting; mtt1A1 = mitochondrial truncated targeting; mtp1A1 = mitochondrial proteolysis targeting. The altered residues are *outlined* in grey. **C,** Southern blot analysis. Genomic DNA from 129/SvJ mouse ES cell colonies was digested with *Eco*RV endonuclease followed by hybridization with the probe shown upstream of exon 1 in panel A (*3rd line*). The 8.6- and 3.8-kb bands represent the *Cyp1a1* wild-type and targeted (mc, mtt, and mtp) alleles, respectively. **D,** PCR analysis of genomic DNA for the *Cyp1a1* wild-type and targeted (mc, mtt, and mtp) alleles. Positions of the primers in intron 2 are shown in panel A (*bottom line*).

**Fig. 2.** Tissue mRNA levels. CYP1A1 and CYP1A2 mRNA levels were determined by qRT-PCR in mouse tissues after treatment with TCDD (**A,** 15 μg/kg i.p. for 3 consecutive days) or BNF (**B,** 80 mg/kg i.p. for 10 consecutive days). The CYP1A1 mRNA levels from corn-oil treated WT 1A1 mice in each tissue were set as “1.0 control”, and relative mRNA levels are expressed as fold-increases on a logarithmic scale; note values on the Y-axis vary over a >10,000-fold range. The mouse lines are noted at bottom: WT 1A1, mc1A1, mtt1A1, mtp1A1 and KO 1A1. ND, CYP1A1 mRNA was nondetectable. The mRNA levels are expressed relative to β-actin mRNA levels; thus, values within a tissue, but not between tissues, can be compared. Data are reported as means ± S.E.M. of four mice per group. *P* <0.05, when compared with mRNA levels of CYP1A1 in WT mice following the same treatment. †P <0.05, compared with mRNA levels of CYP1A2 in WT mice following the same treatment.

**Fig. 3.** Western immunoblot analysis of subcellular localization of CYP1A1 proteins. Mitochondrial (MT) and ER (*i.e.* microsomes) fractions (**A**) and cytosolic fractions (**B**) were isolated and resolved by SDS-PAGE or MAD-PAGE. Designation of the mouse lines, with or without TCDD or BNF treatment, noted at top, is the
same as that in Fig. 2. Across each row, CYP1A1, POR (ER marker), PHB (MT marker), and GCLM (cytosolic marker) proteins were detected using antibodies. Protein loadings (μg per lane) for the CYP1A1 immunoblots are noted in parentheses; 20 μg of protein from subcellular fractions was loaded for the detection of the ER and MT markers; 30 μg of protein was loaded for detection of the cytosolic marker. K, kidney. Liv, liver. S.I., small intestine. Lg, lung.

**Fig. 4.** Mitochondrial versus microsomal enzyme activities in BNF-treated and untreated mice. A, Erythromycin N-demethylase (ERND) is expressed as the rate of HCHO formation in nmol per min per mg protein. Inhibition of ERND activity by prior addition of α-FDX1 or SKF-525A was carried out as described previously (Anandatheerthavarada et al., 1997; Anandatheerthavarada et al., 1999). *P <0.05, when hepatic mitochondrial ERND activity from BNF-treated mt1A1 or mtρ1A1 mice is compared with that from BNF-treated WT 1A1 mice. B, BaP hydroxylase activity is expressed in pmol 3- plus 9-hydroxyBaP/min/mg protein. Note the different values on the Y-axis in all panels. Data are reported as means ± S.E.M. (N = 3 or 4 mice per group). Designation of mouse lines is same as that in Fig. 2. *P <0.1, when microsomal BaP hydroxylase from BNF-treated WT 1A1 or mc1A1 is compared with that from untreated WT 1A1 mice. †P <0.05, when microsomal BaP hydroxylase from BNF-treated mtp1A1 is compared with that from untreated WT 1A1 mice.

**Fig. 5.** Whole blood BaP levels following continuous oral BaP. Whole blood was collected, as described, from mice receiving oral BaP 125 mg/kg/day for 5 days. Data are reported as means ± S.E.M. of four mice per group. Designation of mouse lines is the same as that in Fig. 2. *P <0.1, when compared with levels in mc1A1 or WT 1A1 mice. The bracket denotes that BaP levels in KO 1A1 mice are significantly greater (P <0.01) than those in mtp1A1 mice.

**Fig. 6.** CYP1 mRNA levels following 18 days of continuous oral BaP (125 mg/kg/day). The CYP1A1 mRNA levels from corn-oil treated WT 1A1 mice in each tissue were set as “1.0 control”, and relative mRNA levels are expressed as fold-increases on a logarithmic scale; note values on the Y-axis vary over a >1000-fold range. ND, nondetectable. The mRNA levels are expressed relative to β-actin mRNA levels; thus, values within a tissue, but not between tissues, can be compared. Data are reported as means ± S.E.M. of four mice per group. Designation of mouse lines is the same as that in Fig. 2. *P <0.05, when compared with mRNA levels for that gene in BaP-treated WT 1A1 mice. †P <0.05, when compared with mRNA levels for that gene in corn oil-treated WT 1A1 mice.
TABLE 1

Effect of oral BaP on body weight, organ weight, liver enzymes, hematocrit, hemoglobin, and peripheral blood cell types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cyp1a1:</th>
<th>Corn oil only</th>
<th>BaP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>mc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (g, gained or lost)</td>
<td>+0.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>+0.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver weight (mg/g body weight)</td>
<td>47 ± 4.1</td>
<td>46 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen weight (mg/g body weight)</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymus weight (mg/g body weight)</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (I.U./L)</td>
<td>33 ± 6.9</td>
<td>26 ± 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (I.U./L)</td>
<td>105 ± 18</td>
<td>115 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit (%)</td>
<td>52 ± 1.0</td>
<td>52 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total hemoglobin (mM)</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methemoglobin (%)</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peripheral Blood

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils (%)</td>
<td>24 ± 4.7</td>
<td>24 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes (%)</td>
<td>78 ± 5.3</td>
<td>73 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mice (N=4 per group) were fed corn oil-soaked food or BaP-soaked food (125 mg/kg/day) for 18 days. Values are expressed as means ± S.E.M. *P < 0.05, when compared with BaP-treated WT CYP1A1 wild-type mice. †P < 0.05, when compared with BaP-treated mtp1A1 mice.
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Figure 3

A

**KIDNEY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cyp1a1:</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>ER</th>
<th>Cyp1a1:</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>ER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>(µg)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| α-1A1/1A2 (SDS 2 h) |   |   |   | α-POR |   |   |   |
|α-PHB |   |   |   |α-PHB |   |   |   |

**LUNG**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cyp1a1:</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>ER</th>
<th>Cyp1a1:</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>ER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>(µg)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| α-1A1/1A2 (SDS 2 h) |   |   |   | α-POR |   |   |   |
|α-PHB |   |   |   |α-PHB |   |   |   |

**SMALL INTESTINE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cyp1a1:</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>ER</th>
<th>Cyp1a1:</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>ER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>(µg)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| α-1A1/1A2 (MAD 4 h) |   |   |   | α-POR |   |   |   |
|α-PHB |   |   |   |α-PHB |   |   |   |

**BNF:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>-</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

B

**Cytosol**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cyp1a1:</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>mc</th>
<th>mtt</th>
<th>mtp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tissue:</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>Liv</td>
<td>SL</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>Lg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(µg)</td>
<td>(0.5)</td>
<td>(30)</td>
<td>(30)</td>
<td>(30)</td>
<td>(30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| α-1A1/1A2 |   |   |   | α-GCLM |   |   |   |
|α-POR |   |   |   |α-POR |   |   |   |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TCDD:</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Figure 4
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**Mitochondria**

- Black bars: fraction
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- Dark grey bars: + SKF-525A

ERND activity (nmol/min/mg protein)

**Microsomes**

- Cyp1a1: WT, mc, mtt, mtp
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*Significant difference compared to the control group.*
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BaP hydroxylation activity (pmol/min/mg protein)
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Figure 6
Correction to “Knock-In Mouse Lines Expressing either Mitochondrial or Microsomal CYP1A1: Differing Responses to Dietary Benzo[a]pyrene as Proof of Principle”

In the above article [Dong H, Dalton TP, Miller ML, Chen Y, Uno S, Shi Z, Shertzer HG, Bansal S, Avadhani NG, and Nebert DW (2009) Mol Pharmacol 75:555–567], Fig. 1A is incorrect as originally drawn; presented here is the corrected version. The EcoRV and EagI sites that were erroneously shown as upstream of exon 1 are actually within intron 1. The EcoRV site is 44 base pairs (bp) downstream of exon 1, the distance between the EcoRV and EagI sites in intron 1 is 883 bp, and the EagI site is 1453 bp from the beginning of exon 2, in the 5’ direction. The distance from the EagI site in intron 1 to the EcoRV site beyond exon 7 is 7580 bp. In this corrected version, the lengths of the probe (792 bp; third line) and the \textit{NEO} minicassette (1249 bp; second and third lines) are also drawn more proportionately to their actual sizes. The 34-bp \textit{loxP} sites (arrowheads) and the exon-2 insertions (bold arrows pointing to hatched rectangles representing the organelle-specific variations) in the last three lines, and the primers (arrows) in the last line, are exaggerated in size so that they can be more easily seen.

The authors regret this error and apologize for any confusion or inconvenience it may have caused.

Fig. 1. Generation of three \textit{Cyp1a1} knock-in mouse lines. A, scheme showing the WT \textit{Cyp1a1} gene (top line), targeting construct carrying the organelle-specific alterations (second line), targeted \textit{Cyp1a1} alleles after removal of the \textit{TK} gene (third line), and the final targeted alleles (bottom line) after the \textit{NEO} gene had been removed via Cre-mediated recombination to create the \textit{Cyp1a1(mtc)}, \textit{Cyp1a1(mtt)} and \textit{Cyp1a1(mtp)} alleles. \textit{NEO} (G418-resistant minicassette) and \textit{TK} (thymidine kinase minicassette) represent genes used as selectable markers. ■ denotes the seven exons; exon 2 (where ATG translation start-site is located) spans 851 bp. The ATG start-site for translation (denoted as vertical line and horizontal arrow) is located 15 nucleotides into exon 2. Exon 2 insertions (bold arrows pointing to hatched rectangles, not drawn completely to scale) represent the organelle-specific variations. EcoRV and EagI sites and the inserted \textit{loxP} sites (orientation denoted by small arrowheads) are shown. (legend continues)