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ABSTRACT

The proton-coupled folate transporter (PCFT) is a folate-proton symporter with an acidic pH optimum, approximating the microenvironments of solid tumors. We tested 6-substituted pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine antifolates with 1 to 6 carbons in the bridge region for inhibition of proliferation in isogenic Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) and HeLa cells expressing PCFT or reduced folate carrier (RFC). Only analogs with 3 and 4 bridge carbons (compounds 2 and 3, respectively) were inhibitory with 2 >> 3. Activity was negligible toward RFC-expressing cells. Compound 2 and pemetrexed (Pmx) competed with [3H]methotrexate for PCFT transport in PCFT-expressing CHO (R2/hPCFT4) cells from pH 5.5-7.2; inhibition increased with decreasing pH. In Xenopus oocytes microinjected with PCFT cRNA, uptake of 2 like Pmx was electrogenic. Cytotoxicity of 2 toward R2/hPCFT4 cells was abolished in the presence of adenosine or 5-amino-4-imidazolecarboxamide, suggesting that glycinamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase (GARFTase) in de novo purine biosynthesis was the primary target. Compound 2 decreased GTP and ATP pools by ~50 and 75%, respectively. By an in situ GARFTase assay, 2 was ~20-fold more inhibitory toward intracellular GARFTase than toward cell growth or colony formation. Compound 2 irreversibly inhibited clonogenicity although this required at least 4 hours of exposure. Our results document the potent anti-proliferative activity of compound 2, attributable to its efficient cellular uptake by PCFT, resulting in inhibition of GARFTase and de novo purine biosynthesis. Further, they establish the feasibility of selective chemotherapy drug delivery via PCFT over RFC, a process which takes advantage of a unique biological feature of solid tumors.
INTRODUCTION

The biologic role of folate cofactors derives from their participation in one-carbon transfer reactions leading to nucleotide precursors, serine, and methionine (Stokstad, 1990). Since mammalian cells cannot synthesize folates de novo, membrane transport of extracellular folates is essential. Three major folate uptake systems have been described. (i) The reduced folate carrier (RFC or SLC19A1) is an anion antiporter that is ubiquitously expressed and represents the primary folate transporter in tissues and tumors at physiologic pH. (ii) Folate receptors (FRs) are glycosyl phosphatidylinositol-anchored proteins that transport folates by receptor-mediated endocytosis (Elnakat and Ratnam, 2004). (iii) The proton-coupled folate transporter (PCFT; SLC46A1) is a proton-folate symporter that functions optimally at acidic pH by coupling the downhill flow of protons to the uphill transport of folates (Nakai et al., 2007; Qiu et al., 2006; Zhao and Goldman, 2007).

Folate-dependent biosynthetic pathways serve as important therapeutic targets for antifolates. Antifolate drugs for cancer include potent inhibitors of dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) [methotrexate (Mtx) and PT523], thymidylate synthase [raltitrexed (Rtx), GW1843U89, pemetrexed (Pmx)], and the purine biosynthetic enzymes, β-glycinamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase (GARFTase) [lometrexol (Lmx), Pmx] and 5-amino-4-imidazolecarboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase (Pmx) (Chattopadhyay et al., 2007; Hughes et al., 1999; Mendelsohn et al., 1999; Monahan and Allegra, 2006; Racanelli et al., 2009; Smith et al., 1999). While these agents are all transported by RFC (Matherly et al., 2007), expression of RFC in both normal and tumor
cells presents an obstacle to antitumor selectivity. Further, loss of RFC is associated with antifolate resistance (Matherly et al., 2007; Zhao and Goldman, 2003).

Thus, there is compelling rationale for developing cytotoxic antifolates that are substrates for transporters other than RFC with limited expression and/or transport in normal tissues compared with tumors. This reasoning was the impetus to develop drugs that are selectively transported by FRs over RFC (Deng et al., 2008; Deng et al., 2009; Gibbs et al., 2005; Hilgenbrink and Low, 2005; Salazar and Ratnam, 2007; Wang et al., 2010). Such agents can target tumors (e.g., ovarian adenocarcinomas) that express high levels of FRs (Elnakat and Ratnam, 2004). For instance, we described 6-substituted pyrrolo[2,3-\textit{d}]pyridinim antifolates (Figure 1, compounds 1-6) with varying lengths of the bridge region as selective substrates for FRs but not RFC (Deng et al., 2008). The 3- and 4-carbon bridge analogs (2 and 3, respectively) were most inhibitory toward FR-expressing cells.

PCFT is expressed in the proximal small intestine where it mediates folate absorption at acidic pH (Qiu et al., 2006) and in tissues such as liver and kidney which do not experience low pH conditions (Zhao et al., 2009). The interstitial pH of solid tumors is often acidic (Helmlinger et al., 1997; Raghunand et al., 1999) which favors PCFT transport. A prominent low-pH transport route was identified in 29 of 32 solid human tumor cell lines (Zhao et al., 2004a), and high levels of human PCFT (hPCFT) transcripts were reported in a broad range of human tumors (Kugel Desmoulin et al., 2010). Whereas the role of hPCFT in antifolate activity and tumor selectivity is still evolving,
transport of classical antifolates by PCFT has been described (Zhao et al., 2008), though Pmx shows the highest affinity for the carrier at both acidic and neutral pHs (Zhao and Goldman, 2007).

Loss of RFC results in resistance to Mtx and Rtx, yet Pmx cytotoxic activity can be preserved or even increased if PCFT is present (Zhao et al., 2004b). For agents such as Pmx that are transported by both RFC and PCFT, loss of tumor selectivity could result due to RFC transport in normal tissues. Although RFC-selective agents were described without PCFT transport (PT523, GW1843U89) (Deng et al., 2009; Zhao and Goldman, 2007), until our recent report of pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine thienoyl antifolate substrates for PCFT (Wang et al., 2010), no analogous PCFT-selective cytotoxic agents without RFC transport had been reported.

In this report, we further explore the potential of PCFT to selectively deliver cytotoxic antifolates for the chemotherapy of tumors. We expand upon the transport activity profile for the earlier series of 6-substituted pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine antifolates (Deng et al., 2008) to include hPCFT, and document potent anti-proliferative activities attributable to nearly complete selectivity for hPCFT over hRFC, resulting in inhibition of GARFTase and de novo purine biosynthesis. Our results establish that hPCFT is an efficient means of delivering cytotoxic antifolate drugs and suggest that hPCFT provides a unique opportunity to selectively target solid tumors with cytotoxic antifolates that are not substrates for the ubiquitously expressed hRFC.
METHODS AND MATERIALS

Materials. [3’,5’,7-3H]Mtx (20 Ci/mmol), [3H]Pmx (3.7 Ci/mmol), and [14C(U)]-glycine (87 mCi/mmol) were purchased from Moravek Biochemicals (Brea, CA). Unlabeled Mtx and leucovorin [(6R,S) 5-formyl tetrahydrofolate] (LCV) were provided by the Drug Development Branch, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD. Both labeled and unlabeled Mtx were purified by HPLC prior to use (Fry et al., 1982). The sources of the antifolate drugs were as follows. Rtx [N-(5-[N-(3,4-dihydro-2-methyl-4-oxyquinazolin-6-ylmethyl)-N-methyl-amino]-2-thenoyl)-L-glutamic acid] was obtained from AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals (Maccesfield, Cheshire, England); Lmx (5,10-dideaza-5,6,7,8-tetrahydrofolate) and Pmx (Alimta) were from Eli Lilly and Co. (Indianapolis, IN); GW1843U89 [(S)-2-(5-(((1,2-dihydro-3-methyl-1-oxo-benzo(F) quinazolin-9-yl) methyl) amino)1-oxo-2-isooindolinyl) glutaric acid] was from the GlaxoWellcome-SmithKline Co. (Research Triangle Park, NC); and N(alpha)-(4-amino-4-deoxypteroyl)-N(delta)-hemiphthaloyl-L-ornithine (PT523) was a gift of Dr. Andre Rosowsky (Boston, MA). Restriction and modifying enzymes were purchased from Promega (Madison, WI). Other chemicals were obtained from commercial sources in the highest available purities. Synthesis and properties of the substituted pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine antifolate compounds 1-5 were previously described (Deng et al., 2008). Preparation of compound 1a was done by an analogous synthesis and is described in detail in the Supplement.

Cell lines. PCFT-, RFC, and FR-null MTXRIIOua(2-4) (R2) Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells (Flintoff and Nagainis, 1983) were a gift from Dr. Wayne Flintoff (University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada) and were cultured in alpha-
minimal essential media (MEM) supplemented with 10% bovine calf serum (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), 100 units/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, and 2 mM L-glutamine at 37°C with 5% CO₂. PC43-10 cells are R2 cells transfected with human RFC (hRFC) (Wong et al., 1995) and were cultured in complete alpha-MEM medium plus 1 mg/ml G418. Using LookOut™, a PCR-based Mycoplasma detection kit from Sigma Chemical Company (St. Louis, MO), cell lines were periodically determined to be free of Mycoplasma. Generation and culture of hPCFT-expressing R2/hPCFT4 and vector control R2/VC cells are described below. HeLa R1-11-RFC6 and R1-11-PCFT4 cells were derived from hRFC- and hPCFT-null R1-11 cells by stable transfection with HA-tagged pZeoSV2(+)RFC and pZeoSV2(+)PCFT constructs, respectively (Zhao et al., 2008), and were gifts of Dr. I. David Goldman (Albert Einstein School of Medicine, Bronx, NY).

Preparation of a Myc-His₆-tagged human PCFT construct and generation of stable transfectants. Total RNA from wild type HeLa cells was reverse transcribed and PCR amplified with EasyA proof-reading polymerase (Agilent Technologies, La Jolla, CA) using the following primers: 5′-AACTC GGA TCC gca cat gga ggg gag cgc gag cc-3′; and 5′–AACTC GGT ACC ggg get ctg ggg aaa ctg ctg gaa ctc ga-3′ (bold capitals designate the BamHI and KpnI restriction sites, respectively). The 1403 bp amplicon was subcloned into pCDNA3.1 (Invitrogen) in-frame with a Myc-His₆ sequence inserted at the C-terminal amino acid 466 (hereafter designated hPCFT<sup>Myc-His<sub>6</sub></sup>/pCDNA3.1). The construct was confirmed by automated DNA sequencing at the Wayne State University Sequencing Core.
R2 cells were transfected with hPCFT\textsuperscript{Myc-His\textsubscript{6}}/pCDNA3.1 by electroporation (200 V, 1000 \(\mu\)F capacitance). After 24 h, the cells were cultured in the presence of G418 (1.5 mg/ml). Stable clones were selected by plating for individual colonies in the presence of 1.5 mg/ml G418. Colonies were isolated, expanded and screened for expression of hPCFT\textsuperscript{Myc-His\textsubscript{6}} protein by Western blotting and transport assays at pH 5.5 (see below). One clone (R2/hPCFT4) was selected for further study. Vector control R2 cells (R2/VC) transfected with empty pCDNA3.1 were also prepared and used as a negative control. R2/hPCFT4 and R2/VC cells were cultured in complete alpha-MEM medium with G418.

**Gel electrophoresis and western blotting.** For characterizing hPCFT protein expression in R2/hPCFT4 cells, crude plasma membranes were prepared by differential centrifugation. Briefly, cells were suspended in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7, containing X1 protease inhibitor cocktail tablets (Roche, Indianapolis, IN), and disrupted with a probe sonicator. The cell homogenate was centrifuged (600 x g, 10 min) to remove cell debris and nuclei; the supernatant was then centrifuged at 200,000 x g (48,000 rpm) in a Beckman TL100 ultracentrifuge for 30 min. The particulate fraction was solubilized in 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7) with 2% SDS in the presence of proteolytic inhibitors and proteins were quantified with Folin-phenol reagent (Lowry et al., 1951). Membrane proteins were electrophoresed on 7.5% polyacrylamide gels in the presence of SDS (Laemmli, 1970) and electroblotted onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Pierce, Rockford, IL) (Matsudaira, 1987). PCFT\textsuperscript{Myc-His\textsubscript{6}} protein was detected with Myc-specific mouse antibody (Covance, Berkeley, CA) and secondary IRDye\textsuperscript{TM} 800-conjugated antibody (Rockland,
Gilbertsville, PA). Detection of immunoreactive proteins used the Odyssey® Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE).

**Transport assays.** CHO (R2, R2/VC, R2/hPCFT4, and PC43-10) sublines were routinely grown as monolayers (see above). Three days before transport experiments, cells were transferred to Cytostir spinners and maintained in suspension at densities of 2-5 x 10^5 cells/mL. Cells were collected by centrifugation, washed with Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS), and the cell pellets (~2 x 10^7 cells) were suspended in transport buffer (2 ml) for cellular uptake assays.

pH-dependent uptake of 0.5 µM [3H]Mtx was assayed in cell suspensions over 2 min at 37°C in HEPES-buffered saline (20 mM HEPES, 140 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, and 5 mM glucose) at pH 6.8 or 7.2, or in 4-morphilinopropane sulfonic (MES)-buffered saline (20 mM MES, 140 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, and 5 mM glucose) at pH 5.5, 6.0, or 6.5 (Zhao et al., 2004a). At the end of the incubations, transport was quenched with ice-cold DPBS, cells were washed 3 times with ice-cold DPBS, and cellular proteins were solubilized with 0.5 N NaOH. Levels of drug uptake were expressed as pmol/mg protein, calculated from direct measurements of radioactivity and protein contents of cell homogenates. Radioactivity was measured with a scintillation counter (Model LS6500; Beckman-Coulter, Fullerton, CA) and proteins were quantified using Folin-phenol reagent (Lowry et al., 1951). To determine [3H]Mtx and [3H]Pmx kinetic constants for hPCFT in R2/hPCFT4 cells (Kᵢ and V_max), transport rates were measured at pH 6.8 and pH 5.5, as described above, using substrate concentrations from...
0.04 to 5.0 μM. $K_t$ and $V_{\text{max}}$ values were determined from Lineweaver-Burke plots. Inhibition of hRFC transport by unlabeled antifolates (reflecting binding to the carrier) was measured in PC43-10 cells over 2 min at 37°C in Hank’s balanced salts solution (pH 7.2) with 0.5 μM [$^3$H]Mtx and 10 μM inhibitor. For hPCFT, inhibition of transport was determined from pH 5.5 to 7.2 in the above MES and HEPES buffers over 2 min at 37°C with 0.5 μM [$^3$H]Mtx and 10 μM inhibitors. $K_i$s for hPCFT were calculated from Dixon analysis, by plotting reciprocal transport velocities measured over a range (1-5 μM) of inhibitor concentrations and 0.5 μM [$^3$H]Mtx at pH 5.5 and pH 6.8. $K_i$ values were calculated from the slopes, $K_t$ and $V_{\text{max}}$ values for Mtx, and the concentration of [$^3$H]Mtx, using equation $K_i=K_t/(V_{\text{max}})(\text{slope})(S)$.

**Electrophysiology experiments.** *Xenopus* oocytes were used to assess currents associated with transport of the antifolate substrates. PCFT cRNA (50 nL of 0.5 μg/μL, i.e., 25 ng) or water (50 nL) was injected into stage V/VI oocytes and electrophysiological measurements were made 3-5 days later (Unal et al., 2009). Oocytes were voltage clamped to -90 mV to maximize folate-induced currents, a technique that was utilized in studies on the divalent metal transporter, DMT1 (Gunshin et al., 1997; Mackenzie et al., 2006) and PCFT (Unal et al., 2009). Oocyte solutions were adjusted to pH 5.5 using MES (pH 5.5). During these experiments, oocytes were continuously superfused with solution (with and without antifolates as indicated) at 5 mL/min.

**Indirect immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy.** For confocal microscopy, R2/hPCFT4 and R2/VC CHO cells were plated in Lab-Tek®II chamber slides™ (Nalge
Nunc International, Naperville, IL). Cells were fixed with 3.3% paraformaldehyde (in DPBS), permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 (in PBS), and stained with mouse anti-c-myc monoclonal antibody (Covance) and Alexa Fluor® 488 donkey anti-mouse IgG (H+L) secondary antibody (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). Slides were visualized with a Zeiss laser scanning microscope 510 with a 63x water immersion lens, using exactly the same parameter setting for all samples. Confocal analysis was performed at the Imaging Core of the Karmanos Cancer Institute.

**Proliferation and colony-forming assays.** For growth inhibition assays, R2/hPCFT4 CHO and R1-11-PCFT4 HeLa cells were plated in 96 well culture dishes (2500 and 5000 cells/well, respectively; total volume of 200 μl medium) with a broad concentration range of drugs. The drugs were dissolved in DMSO such that after dilution the DMSO concentration did not exceed 0.05%. The medium was folate-free RPMI 1640 (pH 7.2) containing 25 nM LCV, supplemented with 10% dialyzed fetal bovine serum (dFBS) (Invitrogen), 2 mM L-glutamine and 100 units/ml penicillin/100 μg/ml streptomycin. Cells were routinely incubated for up to 96 h, and metabolically active cells (a measure of cell viability) were assayed with CellTiter-blue cell viability assay (Promega). Fluorescence was measured (590 nm emission, 560 nm excitation) with a Molecular Devices fluorescence plate reader. Data were exported from Softmax Pro software to an Excel spreadsheet for analysis and determinations of IC₅₀s, corresponding to drug concentrations that result in 50% loss of cell growth. In some experiments, the protective effects of adenosine (60 μM), thymidine (10 μM), and 5-amino-4-imidazolecarboxamide (AICA) (320 μM) were tested to validate the intracellular inhibitory locus for the
cytotoxic antifolates. Growth inhibition assays for the PC43-10 CHO and R1-11-RFC6 HeLa sublines were routinely performed in complete RPMI 1640 with 10% dFBS, although for a few experiments PC43-10 and R1-11-RFC6 cells were cultured exactly as for the R2/hPCFT cells. To follow changes in pH accompanying cell outgrowth, cells were seeded into T75 flasks, using the same media, cell number to volume ratio, and incubation times as for the cytotoxicity assays. Media pH values were measured daily with an Orion 2 Star benchtop pH meter.

For colony-forming assays, R2/hPCFT4 cells (500 cells) were harvested in log-phase and plated into 60 mm dishes in folate-free RPMI 1640 medium, supplemented with 25 nM LCV, 10% dFBS, penicillin-streptomycin, and 2 mM L-glutamine, in the presence of assorted antifolate drugs. The dishes were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 10 days. At the end of the incubations, the dishes were rinsed with DPBS, 5% trichloroacetic acid, and borate buffer (10 mM, pH 8.8), followed by 1% methylene blue (in borate buffer; 30 min). The dishes were again rinsed with borate buffer, and colonies were counted for calculating percent colony formation relative to the DMSO control.

To test the reversibility of drug effects, as reflected in inhibition of colony formation over time, R2/hPCFT4 cells were harvested in log phase and 500 cells were plated, allowed to adhere for 48 h, then cultured in the presence or absence of 1 μM antifolate compounds and thymidine (10 μM) plus adenosine (60 μM) for 2, 4, 8, 24, or 48 h, before rinsing with DPBS and adding medium with or without thymidine (10 μM) plus adenosine (60
μM). The dishes were incubated for 10 days, and colonies were counted, as described above, for calculating percent colony formation compared to control.

**In situ assays for GARFTase.** Incorporation of $[^{14}\text{C}(\text{U})]\text{glycine}$ into $[^{14}\text{C}]\text{formyl \beta-glycinamide ribonucleotide (GAR)}$ as an *in situ* measure of endogenous GARFTase activity in R2/hPCFT4 cells was performed using a modification of published methods (Beardsley et al., 1989; Deng et al., 2008). For these experiments, R2/hPCFT4 cells were seeded in 5 ml of folate-free RPMI 1640 plus 25 nM LCV, 10% dFBS, 2 mM L-glutamine and penicillin-streptomycin in T25 flasks at a density of 2×10⁵ cells per flask. After 48 h, antifolate inhibitor or DMSO (control) was added to the culture medium and the cells were incubated for another 15 h after which the pH of the media was determined. Cells were washed twice with DPBS and resuspended in 5 mL folate-free, L-glutamine-free RPMI 1640 (Sigma) plus penicillin-streptomycin, 10% dFBS, 0.46 g/L NaHCO₃ and 1.21 g/L NaCl medium, with or without 0.5-100 nM antifolate and azaserine (4 μM final concentration), and incubated for 30 min. L-glutamine (2 mM final concentration) and $[^{14}\text{C}]\text{glycine}$ (final specific activity, 0.1 mCi/L) were added, followed by incubation at 37° C for 8 h, after which time cells were trypsinized and washed twice with ice-cold DPBS. Cell pellets were treated with in 2 mL of 5% trichloroacetic acid at 0° C. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation, samples were solubilized in 0.5 N NaOH and assayed for protein contents (Lowry et al., 1951). The supernatants were extracted twice with 2 mL of ice-cold ether to remove the trichloroacetic acid. The aqueous layer was passed through a 1 cm column of AG1x8 (chloride form), 100-200 mesh (BioRad), washed with 10 mL of 0.5 N formic acid, followed by 10 mL of 4 N formic acid, and
eluted with 8 mL of 1 N HCl solution. The eluants were collected as 1 mL fractions and
determined for radioactivity.

**Determination of intracellular ATP/GTP levels.** For analysis of ATP and GTP levels
following antifolate treatments, R2/hPCFT4 cells were seeded in 10 ml of folate-free
RPMI 1640 plus 25 nM LCV, 10% dFBS, 2 mM L-glutamine and penicillin-streptomycin
in T75 flasks at a density of 7 x 10^5 cells per flask. After 48 h, antifolates or DMSO
(control) were added to the culture medium. After another 24 h, the cells were trypsinized
and washed (2x) with ice-cold DPBS, with a final additional wash with ice-cold DPBS
containing 1 mM EDTA. The final cell pellet (2-5 x 10^6 cells) was resuspended in 100 µl
of 155 mM NaCl containing 1 mM EDTA and 100 µl of ice-cold 0.6 M trichloroacetic
acid was added drop-wise while vortexing. Samples were incubated 10 min on ice with
occasional mixing, then centrifuged (14,000 rpm, 5 min). The supernatant was removed,
whereas the protein pellet was solubilized in 0.2 mL of 0.5 N NaOH for protein
determinations. Tri-n-octylamine (0.5 M) in trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon) (1 ml) was
added to the supernatant, and the mixtures vortexed and incubated for 20 min on ice.
Samples were centrifuged and the freon amine (lower) layer was discarded. One ml of
methylene chloride was added to the upper layer, followed by mixing, incubation (ice, 10
min), centrifugation, and removal of the organic (lower) layer. Samples were stored at -
80°C until analysis. Intracellular adenosine and guanosine triphosphates were measured
by a modification of the HPLC method of Huang *et al.* (Huang et al., 2003). The
chromatography system consisted of a Varian 9010 ternary gradient pump, a 9050
variable wavelength detector, and a Varian Star 5.3 data handling system. A 50 µl
injection loop was used. The analytical column was a Waters Symmetry C_{18} (5 \text{ \mu}m, 150 \times 4.6 \text{ mm}) equipped with a Waters Novapak phenyl pre-column (Waters, Milford, MA). The detection wavelength was set at 254 nm. The flow rate was 1 ml/min. The gradient elution was as follows: 0-30 min at 60% A/40% B; 30-50 min linear at 1%/min to 40% A/60% B; and 50-60 min at 40% A/60% B. Buffer A was comprised of 10 mM tetrabutylammonium hydroxide, 10 mM KH_2PO_4 and 0.25% MeOH; the pH was adjusted to 6.9 with 1 N H_3PO_4. Buffer B consisted of 5.6 mM tetrabutylammonium hydroxide, 50 mM KH_2PO_4 and 30% MeOH; the pH was adjusted to 7 with 1 N KOH. Both solutions were freshly prepared before each experiment and degassed. External standards were used for each assay to construct a standard curve from which cellular levels were calculated. Standards ranged from 0-75 \mu M for ATP and 0-30 \mu M for GTP in the initial mobile phase. Variations between standards were 5% or less. Extraction efficiencies were established by adding known amounts of ATP and GTP standards (200 and 50 \mu M, respectively) to a control sample prior to extraction.
RESULTS

Generation of hPCFT stable transfectants in transport-impaired CHO cells.

As part of our larger drug discovery endeavor to establish pharmacophores for all the major folate transporters (Deng et al., 2008; Deng et al., 2009) and to develop transporter-specific drugs, we previously generated novel sublines derived from the RFC-, FR-, and PCFT-null MtxRIIOuaR2-4 CHO cells (hereafter, simply R2) that ectopically express hRFC (designated PC43-10) (Wong et al., 1995) and human FRs (Deng et al., 2008).

More recently, we described another R2 subline (R2/hPCFT4) that expressed hPCFT, although few details were provided (Deng et al., 2009). R2/hPCFT4 cells were generated by electroporating R2 CHO cells with a Myc-His6-tagged hPCFT (hPCFT\textsuperscript{Myc-His6}) cDNA construct. Stable transfectants were selected with G418. Clones were isolated, expanded, and screened by Western blotting. The clonal R2/hPCFT4 subline was established that expressed a high level of hPCFT\textsuperscript{Myc-His6} protein (Figure 2A). By indirect immunofluorescence staining with Myc-specific antibody and Alexa Fluor488-tagged secondary antibody, hPCFT\textsuperscript{Myc-His6} protein was targeted to the cell surface of R2/hPCFT4 cells (Figure 2B). Expression of hPCFT\textsuperscript{Myc-His6} protein was accompanied by substantial $[^3\text{H}]$Mtx transport at pH 5.5 during 2 min over the low level measured in vector control R2/VC cells (Figure 2C). Transport at pH 7.2 was $\sim$14% of that at pH 5.5 and at pH 6.8 transport increased to $\sim$35% of that at pH 5.5. For hRFC-expressing PC43-10 cells, $[^3\text{H}]$MTX transport was active at pH 7.2 as reported (Wong et al., 1995), then fell with
decreasing pH and was essentially indistinguishable from the residual low level in R2 cells at pH 5.5 (Figure 2D).

We measured the kinetics for $[^3\text{H}]\text{Mtx}$ and $[^3\text{H}]\text{Pmx}$ transport in R2/hPCFT4 cells over a range of concentrations at pH 5.5 and pH 6.8. Data were analyzed by Lineweaver-Burke plots and are summarized in Table 1. Results for Mtx showed a 16-fold decrease in $K_t$ and a 2.3-fold increase in $V_{\text{max}}$ when the pH was decreased from 6.8 to 5.5, whereas for Pmx, only the $K_t$ was changed (10.7-fold) with pH. $V_{\text{max}}/K_t$ values, a reflection of overall transport efficiency, were calculated for both $[^3\text{H}]\text{Mtx}$ and $[^3\text{H}]\text{Pmx}$ and were 37- and 11-fold higher, respectively, at pH 5.5 than at pH 6.8.

Thus, as previously reported (Qiu et al., 2006; Zhao and Goldman, 2007), transport by hPCFT shows an extraordinary pH dependence with the greatest activity at acidic pH. Further, the impact of pH on kinetic parameters for hPCFT membrane transport varies with different transport substrates (Zhao et al., 2009).

Chemosensitivities to classical antifolate inhibitors and identification of a 6-pyrrolo[2,3-$d$]pyrimidine antifolate with hPCFT selectivity over hRFC. We previously screened R2/hPCFT4 and PC43-10 cells for growth inhibition in the continuous presence of established antifolates including Mtx, GW1843U89, Lmx, Pmx, PT523, and Rtx (Deng et al., 2009) (Table 2). Assays were performed at pH 7.2 in standard RPMI 1640/10% dFBS (for hRFC-expressing PC43-10, R2 and R1-11-RFC6), or in folate-free RPMI 1640/10% dFBS supplemented with 25 nM LCV (for R2/hPCFT4,
R2/VC and R1-11-PCFT4 cells). For most of the antifolates, drug sensitivities, as reflected in decreased IC₅₀s for inhibition of growth over 96 h, were increased in both R2/hPCFT4 and PC43-10 cells over respective controls (Table 2). Neither GW1843U89 nor PT523 showed any activity toward hPCFT-expressing R2/hPCFT4 cells. Since hPCFT is optimally active at acidic pHs (Figure 2C), we measured the changes in media pH during the interval of drug exposure. Over 96 h, the pH of the media decreased linearly and reached pH 6.7 to 6.9 by day 4 (not shown).

Thus, at extracellular pHs approximating those associated with solid tumor microenvironments (Helmlinger et al., 1997; Raghunand et al., 1999), several of these classical agents appeared to be substrates for hPCFT in R2/hPCFT4 cells, as reflected in patterns of growth inhibition. PT523 and GW1843U89 were completely selective toward hRFC over hPCFT. Only Pmx showed any indication of selective activity toward hPCFT over hRFC, (i.e., 74-fold increased activity for R2/hPCFT4 cells versus 6.5-fold for the PC43-10 cells, compared to respective controls). However, this was incomplete; i.e., Pmx was appreciably active toward both hPCFT- and hRFC-expressing cells.

We previously tested a series of 6-substituted pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine antifolates with increasing numbers (1, and 3 to 6) of methylene groups in the bridge region connecting the pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine moiety to p-aminobenzoate (compounds 1-5, respectively; Figure 1) as growth inhibitors of FR-expressing CHO and human tumor cells (Deng et al., 2008). Compounds 2 and 3 were the most potent of this series toward FR-expressing CHO and KB cells and inhibited de novo purine nucleotide biosynthesis at the level of
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GARFTase. For the present study, we synthesized the additional pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine analog with a 2-carbon bridge (compound 1a in Figure 1) to complete the series.

Compounds 1-5 and 1a were all effectively inert as inhibitors of cell growth for RFC-expressing PC43-10 cells, either in standard RPMI 1640 (Table 2) or folate-free RPMI supplemented with 25 nM LCV (not shown). While compounds 1, 1a, 4, and 5 were likewise inactive toward hPCFT-expressing R2/hPCFT4 cells, compounds 2 and 3 were both active with IC_{50}s of ~23 and ~213 nM, respectively. With human HeLa R1-11 cells transfected with hRFC (R1-11-RFC6) and hPCFT (R1-11-PCFT4) and treated with assorted antifolates (Mtx, Pmx, Lmx, 2), only compound 2 was selective for hPCFT (Table 2). The decreased (~7-fold) sensitivity in the R1-11-PCFT4 cells versus R2/hPCFT4 CHO cells likely reflects differences (~10-fold) in hPCFT transport activity at pH 5.5 between these engineered sublines (Figure 1S, supplement).

As in our previous FR-targeting studies with compounds 2 and 3 (Deng et al., 2008), both adenosine (60 μM) and AICA (320 μM) were completely protective toward R2/hPCFT4 cells with the most potent agent of the series (compound 2), further establishing GARFTase as the likely cytotoxic target (Figure 2S, supplement).

Cytotoxicity assays were extended to include colony-forming assays. Colony-forming assays were performed in which R2/hPCFT4 cells were continuously exposed to a range of concentrations of compound 2, Pmx, or Lmx for 10 days. As an inhibitor of colony-
formation, compound 2 gave an IC$_{50}$ of 17.14 ± 0.74 nM (SEM), whereas IC$_{50}$s for Pmx and Lmx were 4.94 ± 0.48 nM and 29.70 ± 0.59 nM, respectively (Figure 3 and Figure 3S, Supplement).

To establish the time-dependent requirements for loss of clonogenicity upon exposure to compound 2, Lmx, or Pmx, R2/hPCFT4 cells were exposed to the drugs (each at 1 µM) for different times (2, 4, 8, 24, 48, and 72 h), after which drug was removed and cells were incubated in the presence or absence of adenosine (60 µM) and thymidine (10 µM). A parallel incubation was performed in which cells were treated with drugs and nucleosides, after which drugs were washed out and cells incubated in the presence of adenosine and thymidine. Colonies were counted after 10 days, with results compared to those for the untreated vehicle (DMSO) control. Nucleoside protection, both during and after drugs were washed out, completely protected R2/hPCFT4 cells from loss of colony formation. When cells were treated during the initial incubation with antifolates without nucleoside protection, colony formation was significantly inhibited whether or not nucleosides were included after the drug was removed (Figure 4 and Figure 4S, Supplement). The difference between these two conditions established a time-requirement for irreversible drug effects resulting in loss of clonogenicity and ranged from greater than 4 h for Pmx (panel A) and compound 2 (panel B), to greater than 8 h for Lmx (panel C). For all drugs thereafter, there was a progressive diminution of the protective effects such that by 48-72 h, loss of colony formation was essentially complete.
Transport characteristics for 6-substituted pyrrolo[2,3-\textit{d}]pyrimidine antifolate 2.

While growth inhibition results (Table 2) strongly suggested selective membrane transport by hPCFT and not hRFC for the 6-substituted pyrrolo[2,3-\textit{d}]pyrimidine 2, for further confirmation we tested compound 2 (10 \textmu M) as a direct competitor for inhibition of hPCFT-mediated uptake of [\textsuperscript{3}H]Mtx (0.5 \textmu M) in R2/hPCFT4 cells from pH 5.5 to 7.2, and compared the results to those for Pmx and PT523. A parallel experiment was performed with PC43-10 cells to assess the inhibitory effects of compound 2 (10 \textmu M) on hRFC-mediated [\textsuperscript{3}H]Mtx uptake (at pH 7.2), compared to other established hRFC transport substrates. As shown in Figure 5A, with R2/hPCFT4 cells, compound 2 was a potent inhibitor of hPCFT transport, only slightly less so than Pmx and with substantially increased potency at pH values less than 7.2. As expected (Zhao and Goldman, 2007), PT523 did not inhibit [\textsuperscript{3}H]Mtx uptake at any pH for R2/hPCFT4 cells. For hRFC-expressing PC43-10 cells at pH 7.2, PT523, Pmx, Rtx, Lmx, and LCV all potently inhibited [\textsuperscript{3}H]Mtx transport (Figure 5B). However, compound 2 was completely inert as an inhibitor of hRFC.

We used Dixon analysis at pH 5.5 and pH 6.8 with R2/hPCFT4 cells and [\textsuperscript{3}H]Mtx to calculate \textit{K}_i\textsubscript{s} for hPCFT competitors including compound 2 and Pmx (Table 1). We also determined the \textit{K}_i\textsubscript{s} for Lmx. Transport of 0.5 \textmu M [\textsuperscript{3}H]Mtx was measured over a range of inhibitor concentrations. Compound 2 showed an 18-fold lower \textit{K}_i at pH 5.5 than at pH 6.8, approximating the 16-fold difference in \textit{K}_i\textsubscript{s} for Pmx. Lmx was potently inhibitory at pH 5.5; however, transport inhibition by Lmx was substantially reduced at pH 6.8. For Pmx, the \textit{K}_i\textsubscript{s} closely approximated the \textit{K}_i\textsubscript{s} recorded with [\textsuperscript{3}H]Pmx.
To confirm that compound 2 is transported by hPCFT, electrophysiological studies were performed in *Xenopus* oocytes injected with hPCFT cRNA. Uptake was assessed in oocytes clamped to -90 mV at a bath pH of 5.5. A substrate concentration of 5 μM was used, which is saturating for LVC and Pmx. These experiments show that the current induced by compound 2 was comparable to that produced by LCV (Figure 6A) or Pmx (Figure 6B).

**Identification of de novo purine nucleotide biosynthesis and GARFTase as primary cellular targets for compound 2.** Our protection studies further identified *de novo* purine nucleotide biosynthesis as the primary targeted pathway following hPCFT transport of the 6-substituted pyrrolo-[2,3-d]pyrimidine antifolate 2. By HPLC analysis, absolute levels of ATP and GTP in untreated R2/hPCFT4 cells were 43.85 μmol/mg and 9.43 μmol/mg, respectively. GTP and ATP pools were severely depleted (approximately 50% and 75%, respectively) during a 24 h exposure of R2/hPCFT4 cells to either compound 2 or Lmx. For ATP pools, IC$_{50}$s of 58 nM and 166 nM were measured for compound 2 and Lmx, respectively (Figure 7). For GTP pools, IC$_{50}$ values were 441 nM and 579 nM, respectively.

To confirm GARFTase inhibition and to provide a metabolic “read-out” for hPCFT transport of compound 2 in R2/hPCFT4 cells, we used an *in situ* assay for GARFTase. GARFTase catalyzes formylation of the glycine-derived nitrogen of GAR, producing formyl GAR with 10-formyl tetrahydrofolate as the one-carbon donor. The *in situ*
GARFTase assay measures incorporation of $[^{14}\text{C}]$glycine into $[^{14}\text{C}]$formyl GAR in the presence of azaserine (4 μM) (Beardsley et al., 1989; Deng et al., 2008). R2/hPCFT4 cells were cultured for 48 h in complete folate-free media supplemented with 25 nM LCV. The 48 h incubation allowed the cells to adhere and the pH of the culture media to decrease to ~6.9 accompanying cell growth. Cells were then treated for 15 h with or without a range of concentrations of compound 2, or with Pmx or Lmx, after which cells were washed, resuspended in L-glutamine- and folate-free medium, then treated with azaserine, L-glutamine and $[^{14}\text{C}]$glycine. After an additional 8 h, cells were washed, proteins were precipitated with trichloroacetic acid, and the supernatants were ether-extracted and fractionated on anion exchange columns so that $[^{14}\text{C}]$formyl GAR could be measured and IC$_{50}$s calculated.

Our results demonstrate that Pmx, Lmx, and compound 2 all inhibited $[^{14}\text{C}]$formyl GAR accumulation in R2/hPCFT4 cells at ~pH 6.9 when hPCFT is the sole mode of antifolate drug delivery (Figure 8). Compound 2 was by far the most potent of these drugs with an impressive IC$_{50}$ for GARFTase inhibition of 0.97 nM, compared to IC$_{50}$s of 7.3 nM for Pmx and 31.5 nM for Lmx. For Lmx which inhibits GARFTase as its primary intracellular target, the IC$_{50}$ for in situ inhibition was similar to that recorded for loss of clonogenicity (Figure 3). However, for compound 2, there was a 17.5-fold differential.
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DISCUSSION

Antifolates continue to comprise an important component of the chemotherapy arsenal for cancer (Chattopadhyay et al., 2007; Hughes et al., 1999; Monahan and Allegra, 2006; Zain and Marchi, 2010) more than 60 years since the original report that aminopterin could induce remissions in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia (Farber and Diamond, 1948). Chemotherapy activity of classical antifolates has traditionally been interpreted in terms of their active membrane transport into tumors by RFC (Matherly et al., 2007). Further, impaired membrane transport due to loss or mutations of RFC was reported to result in antifolate resistance (Assaraf, 2007; Matherly et al., 2007; Zhao and Goldman, 2003). However, following reports of a novel low-pH transporter termed PCFT (Nakai et al., 2007; Qiu et al., 2006; Zhao and Goldman, 2007) and the recognition that cells can efficiently transport antifolates at pHs approximating those of solid tumors (Zhao et al., 2004a), it now becomes necessary to examine the possibility that PCFT could represent an important mode of chemotherapy drug transport.

Since most cultured cells endogenously express more than one folate transport system (Kugel Desmoulin et al., 2010), so as to explore the role of hPCFT in delivery of cytotoxic antifolates, we engineered the R2/hPCFT4 CHO subline from PCFT-, RFC-, and FR-null R2 cells to express hPCFT without either RFC or FRs (Deng et al., 2009). R2/hPCFT4 cells exhibited optimal transport activity at acidic over neutral pHs, reflecting high affinity transport of substrates with decreasing pH. The impact of pH on transport by PCFT was substantially different between (anti)folate substrates. By growth inhibition assays, R2/hPCFT4 cells were sensitive to classical antifolates including Mtx,
Rtx, Pmx, and Lmx, suggesting their membrane transport by hPCFT, though Pmx was most active and neither GW1843U89 nor PT523 were growth inhibitory. While hPCFT exhibits limited transport at neutral pH typical of culture media, transport of these cytotoxic antifolates by hPCFT was enhanced by the progressively decreasing pH that accompanies cell outgrowth.

Pmx is a 5-substituted pyrrolo[2,3-\d]pyrimidine analog and is the best substrate yet described for PCFT (Zhao and Goldman, 2007). As Pmx was a potent inhibitor of R2/hPCFT4 cell growth, we compared the growth inhibitory effects of a number of structurally-related 6-substituted pyrrolo[2,3-\d]pyrimidine antifolates with bridge lengths from 1-6 methylenes as inhibitors of R2/hPCFT4 cell proliferation. The analogs with 3 and 4 methylenes (2 and 3, respectively) were potent inhibitors of R2/hPCFT4 cell growth or clonogenicity, with compound 2 showing an IC50 \leq 2-fold higher than that for Pmx. However, compound 2 was essentially inert toward hRFC-expressing PC43-10 cells. These findings were extended to hRFC- and hPCFT-expressing HeLa cell line models. Notably, 2 selectively inhibited transport of [\textsuperscript{3}H]Mtx by hPCFT with a potency only slightly less than that for Pmx, as reflected in K_i values, and with a nearly identical pH dependence. After microinjection of hPCFT cRNA into *Xenopus* oocytes, perfusion with a saturating concentration of 2 elicited a current, confirming that compound 2 is electrogenically transported by hPCFT. Collectively, these results establish that the cytotoxic 6-substituted pyrrolo[2,3-\d]pyrimidine compound 2 is a *bona fide* transport substrate for hPCFT, essentially on par with Pmx. However, unlike Pmx, compound 2 has nominal transport activity with hRFC.
Compound 2 was previously reported to be cytotoxic toward cells that express high levels of FRα, reflecting inhibition of GARFTase, the trifunctional enzyme that catalyzes the second, third, and fifth reactions of de novo purine nucleotide biosynthesis, including the first folate-dependent step (Deng et al., 2008). Consistent with primary inhibition of GARFTase following transport by hPCFT, both adenosine and AICA protected R2/hPCFT4 cells from growth inhibition by compound 2. By an in situ GARFTase assay which measures [14C]glycine incorporation into formyl GAR, compound 2 was disproportionately inhibitory with an IC50 less than 1 nM, far lower than the IC50 for Lmx. Although Pmx is primarily an inhibitor of thymidylate synthase and was recently reported to inhibit AICARFTase in CCRF-CEM cells (Racanelli et al., 2009), in R2/hPCFT4 CHO cells, appreciable GARFTase inhibition was detected, albeit less than for compound 2.

Our results establish that hPCFT is a surprisingly efficient means of cytotoxic drug delivery. The much higher concentrations of compound 2 needed to inhibit colony formation/cell proliferation or to significantly suppress ATP/GTP pools, versus those required to inhibit GARFTase in cells must reflect the nature of the enzyme target and requirement for sustained inhibition of GARFTase and de novo purine biosynthesis for cell killing. Indeed, sustained exposures to GARFTase inhibitors were required to irreversibly inhibit colony formation of R2/hPCFT4 cells, although an analogous time-dependence was obtained with Pmx. Similar results were previously reported when
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comparing effects on clonogenicity of GARFTase inhibition by Lmx versus TS inhibition by Rtx in WiDr colonic carcinoma cells (Smith et al., 1993).

The higher concentrations of Lmx over 2 needed to inhibit GARFTase in cells relative to those required to manifest cytotoxicity provide further evidence that GARFTase inhibition is not limiting to cell killing. The decreased GARFTase inhibition for Lmx in R2/hPCFT4 cells likely reflects its reduced transport by hPCFT compared to 2, although factors such as differences in extent of polyglutamate synthesis may also contribute. We previously reported high level substrate activity for compound 2 for human folylpolyglutamate synthetase (Gangjee et al., 2004).

The delay in irreversible drug effects upon inhibition of GARFTase may reflect salvage of purines generated from breakdown of nucleic acids (Bronder and Moran, 2002; Smith et al., 1993). Accordingly, the delay for irreversible cell death by GARFTase inhibitors may be substantially shortened in cells that have defects in purine salvage, increasing dependence on de novo purine synthesis. For instance, deletions of S-methyl-5’-thioadenosine phosphorylase (MTAP) have been described in human malignancies including ~70% of pleural mesotheliomas (Illei et al., 2003) and 38% of non–small cell lung cancers (Schmid et al., 1998). On this basis, GARFTase inhibitors such as 2 may show far greater potency in MTAP-deficient tumors, especially if there are high levels of hPCFT.
Finally, the present results, combined with earlier studies of 6-substituted pyrrolo- and thieno[2,3-d]pyrimidine antifolates (Deng et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2010), shed light on the impact of both aromatic ring systems and the length of the bridge domain on transport by PCFT versus other folate transporters. Thus, antifolates with thieno[2,3-d]pyrimidine and benzoyl rings (designated A and B rings, respectively) (labeled “I” in Figure 9) are effective transport substrates for FRs but not for RFC or PCFT, with optimal activity for the 3- and 4-carbon bridge analogs (Deng et al., 2009). Replacement of the thieno[2,3-d]pyrimidine A ring with a pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine system favors binding and transport by both PCFT and FRs regardless of whether the B ring is a benzoyl (e.g., compound 2) or thiophene (“II” in Figure 9). For the pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine benzoyl series (Figure 1), the 3-carbon bridge analog (compound 2) was the most potent toward cells expressing PCFT. For other analogs differing in lengths of the carbon bridge, PCFT activity was reduced (compound 3) or abolished (1, 1a, and 4-6). Notably, the bridge length requirement for FR uptake for the pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine benzoyl series was less restrictive (Deng et al., 2008).

In conclusion, we document hPCFT-selective transport over hRFC for the potent 6-substituted pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine antifolate 2. Our results strongly suggest the therapeutic potential of hPCFT for targeting drugs to tumors. The notion of hPCFT drug targeting is appealing given the acidic pH optimum for this system and the low pH microenvironment of many solid tumors (Helmlinger et al., 1997; Raghunand et al., 1999). In tumors that express both hRFC and hPCFT, loss of one or the other transporter should not impact net sensitivity to antifolates such as Pmx that are substrates for both.
systems (Zhao et al., 2008). Although loss of RFC activity results in high levels of resistance to Mtx (Assaraf, 2007; Zhao and Goldman, 2003), with Pmx and other PCFT drug substrates, cytotoxicity may actually be increased (reflecting contraction of intracellular folates normally transported by hRFC that compete for polyglutamylation and binding to enzyme targets), as long as hPCFT is present and the pH of the tumor microenvironment is amenable to hPCFT transport. Of course, for agents that are transported by both RFC and PCFT, there could be a loss of tumor selectivity due to RFC transport into normal tissues, resulting in toxicity. For drugs such as compound 2 which exhibit hPCFT selectivity over hRFC, tumor selectivity would be preserved since transport by hPCFT would be extensive under the low pH conditions in solid tumors yet should be limited at neutral pH typical of most normal tissues. This would result in significantly lower toxicity. Although compound 2 is also cytotoxic toward FR-expressing cells (Deng et al., 2008), given the frequent association of FRs with malignant cells (Elnakat and Ratnam, 2004), this may serve to broaden potential therapeutic applications of this drug platform. Our drug discovery efforts are currently focused toward identifying hPCFT-specific agents without transport by FR or hRFC to test this. Undoubtedly validation of these concepts will depend on better understanding of the actual amounts of the major (anti)folate transporters in tumors vis à vis normal tissues, and their transport activities at the levels and under pH conditions approximating those in vivo.
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Figure 1. Structures of previously reported 6-substituted pyrrolo[2,3-\textit{d}]pyrimidines 1-5 (Deng et al., 2008) and newly synthesized 2 methylene bridge pyrrolo[2,3-\textit{d}]pyrimidine analog 1a.

Figure 2. Characterization of hPCFT protein expression, membrane localization and pH-dependent transport in R2/hPCFT4 and R2/VC cells. A, Western blot analysis of hPCFT\textsuperscript{Myc-His\textsubscript{6}} in R2/hPCFT4 and R2/VC cells. Membrane fractions (10 µg) were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting with myc monoclonal antibody. B, Immunofluorescence of R2/hPCFT4 and R2/VC cells. Cells were fixed with paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with Triton X-100, stained with anti-myc antibody and visualized using confocal microscopy. C, hPCFT transport activity in R2/hPCFT4 and R2/VC cells was assessed by measuring uptake of 0.5 µM [\textsuperscript{3}H]Mtx at 37°C for 2 min at pH 5.5 or 6.0 in MES-buffered saline, and at 6.5, 6.8, or 7.2 in HEPES-buffered saline. Internalized [\textsuperscript{3}H]Mtx was normalized to total protein and expressed as a percent of the transport activity at pH 5.5. D, hRFC transport activity in PC43-10 and R2 cells was determined by measuring uptake of 0.5 µM [\textsuperscript{3}H]Mtx at 37°C for 2 min at pH 5.5 or 6.0 in MES-buffered saline, and at 6.5, 6.8, or 7.2 in HEPES-buffered saline. As above, internalized [\textsuperscript{3}H]Mtx was normalized to total cellular protein and expressed as percent transport at pH 7.2. Transport results are presented as mean values ± standard errors from 6 experiments.
Figure 3. Inhibition of colony formation by compound 2. R2/hPCFT4 cells were plated into 60 mm dishes at a density of 500 cells per dish in the presence or absence of different concentrations of compound 2, Pmx and Lmx from 1 to 100 nM. Colonies were scored by counting visible colonies after 10 days and are presented as a percent of the vehicle control. Results are presented as mean values ± standard errors from 3 experiments.

Figure 4. Time dependence for loss of clonogenicity in R2/hPCFT4 cells treated with compound 2, Pmx and Lmx. R2/hPCFT4 cells were plated into 60 mm dishes at 500 cells per dish and allowed to adhere for 48 h, after which cells were treated with or without 1 µM drug in the presence or absence of adenosine (60 µM) and thymidine (10 µM) for 2, 4, 8, 24 and 48 h. Following drug treatment, cells were washed with DPBS and resuspended with drug-free media with or without adenosine (60 µM) and thymidine (10 µM) protection. Colonies were enumerated after 10 days and results are presented as a percent of vehicle control. A, Pemetrexed. B, Compound 2. C, Lometrexol. Results are presented as mean values ± standard errors from 3 experiments. Abbreviations: Ade, adenosine; Thd, thymidine.

Figure 5. Competitive inhibition of hPCFT and hRFC transport of [3H]Mtx by compound 2, classical antifolates and folates. A, R2/hPCFT4 cells ectopically expressing hPCFT but no FR or RFC were assayed for [3H]Mtx transport in the presence of 10 µM compound 2, Pmx and PT523 at pH 5.5-7.2. B, PC43-10 cells expressing hRFC but no PCFT or FR were assayed for [3H]Mtx transport in the presence of 10 µM
compound 2, PT523, Pmx, Rtx, Lmx, or LCV at pH 7.2. Results are presented as mean values ± standard errors from >3 experiments. Abbreviations include: Pmx, pemetrexed; Rtx, Raltitrexed; Mtx, methotrexate; Lmx, lometrexol; LCV, leucovorin.

**Figure 6. Electrophysiology studies of antifolate transport by hPCFT in Xenopus oocytes.** Substrate-induced currents (nA) were recorded in individual oocytes injected with wild type hPCFT and voltage clamped to a holding potential (Vh) of -90 mV. Oocytes were perfused with ND90 solution at pH 5.5 with LCV followed by compound 2 (panel A) and with Pmx followed by compound 2 (panel B). For all substrates, concentrations were maintained at a level of 5 μM.

**Figure 7. Analysis of ATP and GTP pools following treatment of R2/hPCFT4 cells with compound 2 and lometrexol.** For analysis of ATP and GTP levels, cells were treated with various concentrations of compound 2 or Lmx for 24 h. Nucleotides were extracted and ATP/GTP pools were determined by a modification of the HPLC method of Huang et al. (Huang et al., 2003). Details are provided in the Materials and Methods. Abbreviation: Lmx, lometrexol.

**Figure 8. In situ GARFTase inhibition by compound 2, Lmx and Pmx.** GARFTase activity and inhibition were evaluated in situ with R2/hPCFT4 cells. R2/hPCFT4 cells were treated with drug for 15 h before incubating in presence of 4 μM azaserine for 30 min, followed by [14C]glycine and L-glutamine treatments, as described in Materials and Methods. After 8 h, radioactive metabolites were extracted and fractionated on 1 cm
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columns of AG1x8(Cl) and the fractions were collected and determined for radioactivity. Accumulation of \(^{14}\text{C}\)formyl GAR was calculated as a percent of vehicle control over a range of antifolate concentrations. Results are presented as mean values ± standard errors from 3 experiments.

Figure 9. Structures of 6-substituted thieno- and pyrrolo[2,3-\text{d}]pyrimidine antifolates. The novel antifolate analogs include those described by Deng \textit{et al.} (Deng \textit{et al.}, 2009) and Wang \textit{et al.} (Wang \textit{et al.}, 2010).
Table 1. Kinetic constants for hPCFT. Kinetic constants for Mtx ($K_t$ and $V_{max}$) and Pmx ($K_t$ and $V_{max}$) were determined with [3H]Mtx and [3H]Pmx, respectively, and calculated from Lineweaver Burke plots with R2/hPCFT4 cells. $K_i$ values were determined by Dixon plots with [3H]Mtx as substrate and a range of inhibitor concentrations in R2/hPCFT4 cells. Results are presented as mean values ± standard errors from 3 experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>pH 5.5</th>
<th>pH 6.8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mtx</td>
<td>$K_t$ (µM)</td>
<td>0.280 ± 0.022</td>
<td>4.52 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$V_{max}$ (pmol/mg/min)</td>
<td>31.23 ± 4.31</td>
<td>13.72 ± 2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$V_{max}/K_t$</td>
<td>111.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pmx</td>
<td>$K_t$ (µM)</td>
<td>0.124 ± 0.014</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$V_{max}$ (pmol/mg/min)</td>
<td>27.11 ± 4.27</td>
<td>27.59 ± 6.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$V_{max}/K_t$</td>
<td>218.6</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound 2</td>
<td>$K_t$ (µM)</td>
<td>0.223 ± 0.017</td>
<td>4.07 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pmx</td>
<td>$K_t$ (µM)</td>
<td>0.0960 ± 0.012</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lmx</td>
<td>$K_t$ (µM)</td>
<td>0.249 ± 0.013</td>
<td>12.30 ± 0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Growth inhibition by antifolate drugs toward hPCFT- and hRFC stable R2 CHO transfectants. Growth inhibition was measured by a fluorescence (Cell Titer Blue)-based assay after 96 h of exposure to a range of inhibitor concentrations. Results are presented as 50% inhibitory concentrations (IC\textsubscript{50}s) (in nMolar) ± standard errors from >3 experiments. ND, not determined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antifolate</th>
<th>R2/hPCFT4</th>
<th>R2/VC</th>
<th>PC43-10</th>
<th>R2</th>
<th>R1-11-RFC6</th>
<th>R1-11-PCFT4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mtx</td>
<td>120.5 ± 16.8</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>12 ± 1.1</td>
<td>216 ± 9</td>
<td>35.7 ± 7.1</td>
<td>218.2 (15.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW1843U89</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>11 ± 3.3</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lometrexol</td>
<td>38.6 ± 5.0</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>12 ± 2.3</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>36.1 ± 9.9</td>
<td>278.3 ± 26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pmx</td>
<td>13.2 ± 2.4</td>
<td>974.0 ± 18.1</td>
<td>138 ± 13</td>
<td>894 ± 93</td>
<td>81.7 ± 5.5</td>
<td>59.3 ± 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT523</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.16</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>3.19 ± 0.4</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raltitrexed</td>
<td>99.5 ± 11.4</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>6.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23.0 ± 3.3</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>648.6 ± 38.1</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>155.0 ± 12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>212.9 ± 28.0</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Targeting the proton-coupled folate transporter for selective delivery of 6-substituted pyrrolo[2,3-\textit{d}]pyrimidine antifolate inhibitors of \textit{de novo} purine biosynthesis in the chemotherapy of solid tumors
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Scheme 1S. Synthesis of two carbon chain classical 6-substituted pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine 1a

Compounds 1 (N-{4-[1-(2-amino-4-oxo-4,7-dihydro-3H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]-pyrimidin-6-yl)methyl]benzoyl}-L-glutamic acid), 2 (N-{4-[3-(2-amino-4-oxo-4,7-dihydro-3H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]-pyrimidin-6-yl)propyl]benzoyl}-L-glutamic acid), 3 (N-{4-[4-(2-amino-4-oxo-4,7-dihydro-3H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]-pyrimidin-6-yl)butyl]benzoyl}-L-glutamic acid), 4 (N-{4-[5-(2-amino-4-oxo-4,7-dihydro-3H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]-pyrimidin-6-yl)pentyl]benzoyl}-L-glutamic acid), and 5 (N-{4-[6-(2-amino-4-oxo-4,7-dihydro-3H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]-pyrimidin-6-yl)hexyl]benzoyl}-L-glutamic acid) were made as we reported before (Deng et al., 2008). Compound 1a was obtained via a Sonogashira coupling reaction between the intermediates 10 and 15 as the key step as described by Taylor et al. (Taylor et al., 1993). The synthesis of intermediate 10 began with the coupling of commercially available 4-iodobenzoic acid 6 and diethyl-L-glutamate hydrochloride 7 to afford diethyl 4-iodobenzoyl-L-glutamate 8. The yield of 8 was 95%, which was better than that reported by Taylor et al. (75%) (Taylor et al., 1993). Palladium-catalyzed coupling of 8 with trimethylsilyl acetylene, in the presence of tetrakis-(triphenylphosphine) palladium (0) (Pd(PPh₃)₄), copper(I) iodide (CuI) and triethylamine, gave 9 as a reddish oil, which was immediately desilylated using n-Bu₄NF to afford the acetylene 10 (74% over two steps). The other intermediate 15 was obtained by a four-step synthesis from the commercially available 2,4-diamino-6-hydroxy primidine 11. Compound 11 was condensed with α-chloro acetaldehyde 12 to afford the pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine 13 (Secrist et al., 1978). Protection of 13 with a pivaloy group provided compound 14,
which was converted to the 6-substituted mercury salt and immediately reacted with iodine to give the desired 6-iodo pyrrolo[2,3-]pyrimidine 15. With the 6-iodo pyrrolo[2,3-]pyrimidine 15 in hand, a palladium catalyzed carbon-carbon coupling reaction with the aryl iodide 15 and the acetylene 10 led to the 6-substituted compound 16. Instead of using the reaction condition of 5% Pd/C, 48 hours described by Taylor et al (Taylor et al., 1993) to get 72% yield of the hydrogenation of 16, a 10% Pd/C, 5 hour-condition was employed to get complete transformation (100% yield of 17) without any partial reduction. Compound 17 was then converted to the target compound 1a by a convenient deprotection with 1N sodium hydroxide solution.

**COMPOUND 1a EXPERIMENTAL SECTION.**

All evaporations were carried out *in vacuo* with a rotary evaporator. Analytical samples were dried *in vacuo* (0.2 mmHg) in a CHEM-DRY drying apparatus over P₂O₅ at 80°C. Melting points were determined on a MEL-TEMP II melting point apparatus with FLUKE 51 K/J electronic thermometer and are uncorrected. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra for proton (¹H NMR) were recorded on a Bruker 400MHz/52 MM (400 MHz) spectrometer. The chemical shift values are expressed in ppm (parts per million) relative to tetramethylsilane as internal standard: s ) singlet, d ) doublet, t ) triplet, q ) quartet, m ) multiplet, br ) broad singlet. The relative integrals of peak areas agreed with those expected for the assigned structures. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Whatman Sil G/UV254 silica gel plates with fluorescent indicator, and the spots were visualized under 254 and 366 nm illumination. Proportions of solvents used for TLC are by volume. Column chromatography was performed on 230-400 mesh silica gel purchased from Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI). Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab, Inc. (Norcross, GA). Element compositions are within ±0.4% of the calculated values. Fractional moles of water or organic solvents frequently found in some analytical samples of antifolates could not be prevented despite 24-48 h of drying *in vacuo* and were confirmed where possible by their presence in the ¹H NMR spectra. All solvents and chemicals were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. or from Fisher Scientific and were used as received.

**{(S)}-diethyl 2-(4-iodobenzamido)pentanedioate (8).**

To a solution of 4-iodobenzoic acid 6 (1.24 g, 5 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (40 mL) was added 6-chloro-2,4-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazine (1.05 g, 6 mmol) and *N*-methylmorpholine (0.65 mL, 6 mmol). After the mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h, *N*-methylmorpholine (0.65 mL, 6 mmol) and dimethyl *L*-glutamate hydrochloride 7 (1.44 g, 6 mmol) were added all at once. The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 4 h. TLC showed the formation of one major spot at *R*₇ = 0.42 (Hexane/EtOAc, 2:1 ). The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column (2 cm x 15 cm) with Hexane/EtOAc, 3:1 as the eluent. Fractions were pooled and evaporated to dryness to afford 8 2.05g, yield 95% as white crystals, mp 105-106 °C (lit.² mp 105-106 °C), *R*₇ = 0.42 (Hexane/EtOAc, 2:1 ). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.18-1.26 (t, 3 H, *J* = 7.2 Hz, γ-COOCH₂CH₃), 1.27-1.33 (t, 3 H, *J* = 7.2 Hz, α-COOCH₂CH₃), 2.10-2.35 (m, 2 H, β-CH₂), 2.38-2.56 (m, 2 H, γ-CH₂), 4.06-4.16 (m, 2 H, γ-COOCH₂CH₃), 4.20-4.28 (m, 2 H, α-COOCH₂CH₃), 4.72-4.77 (m, 1 H, α-CH), 7.10 (d, 1 H, *J* = 6.7 Hz, CONH, exch), 7.55 (d, 2 H, *J* = 8.6 Hz, C₆H₄), 7.80 (d, 2 H, *J* = 8.6 Hz, C₆H₄).
(S)-diethyl 2-(4-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)benzamido)pentanedioate (9).
A mixture of 8 (1.30 g, 3 mmol), trimethylsilyl acetylene (0.87 g, 9 mmol), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium (0.35 g, 0.3 mmol), copper iodide (0.114 g, 0.6 mmol), and triethylamine (0.6 mL) in 1,2-dichloroethane (15 mL) was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen in the dark overnight. Methylene chloride (20 mL) was added to the reaction mixture, and the mixture was washed with brine (20 mL x 2). The organic layer was separated and the solvent evaporated. The residue obtained was loaded onto a silica gel column and eluted with 4:1 hexanes/ethyl acetate. Fractions containing the product (TLC, \(R_f = 0.44\), Hexane/EtOAc, 2:1) were pooled and the solvent evaporated to afford 1.22 g (100%) of 9 as a red oil. \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta 0.26\) (s, 9H, -Si(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 1.20-1.24 (t, 3 H, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, \(\gamma\)-COOCH\(_2\)C\(_6\)H\(_3\)), 1.28-1.32 (t, 3 H, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, \(\alpha\)-COOCH\(_2\)C\(_6\)H\(_3\)), 2.10-2.36 (m, 2 H, \(\beta\)-C\(_2\)H\(_4\)), 2.38-2.56 (m, 2 H, \(\gamma\)-C\(_2\)H\(_4\)), 4.06-4.15 (m, 2 H, \(\gamma\)-COOC\(_6\)H\(_4\)CH\(_3\)), 4.20-4.28 (m, 2 H, \(\alpha\)-COOC\(_6\)H\(_4\)CH\(_3\)), 4.74-4.81 (m, 1 H, \(\alpha\)-CH), 7.06 (d, 1 H, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, CONH, exch), 7.52 (d, 2 H, \(J = 8.5\) Hz, C\(_6\)H\(_4\)), 7.76 (d, 2 H, \(J = 8.5\) Hz, C\(_6\)H\(_4\)).

(S)-diethyl 2-(4-ethynylbenzamido)pentanedioate (10).
Compound 9 (1.21 g, 3 mmol) was dissolved in THF (15 mL), to which tetrabutylammonium fluoride (3 mL of a 1 M solution in THF) was added, and the solution stirred at room temperature for 2 h. Methylene chloride (20 mL) was added to the reaction mixture and washed with brine (20 mL x 2), then the organic layer was separated and dried over Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and the solvent was evaporated. The crude residue was flash chromatographed on silica gel and eluted with 2:1 hexanes/ethyl acetate. Fractions containing the desired product (TLC) were pooled and evaporated to afford 0.72 g (72%) of 10 as a red oil: TLC \(R_f = 0.25\) (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 2:1); \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta 1.20-1.24\) (t, 3 H, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, \(\gamma\)-COOCH\(_2\)C\(_6\)H\(_3\)), 1.28-1.32 (t, 3 H, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, \(\alpha\)-COOCH\(_2\)C\(_6\)H\(_3\)), 2.09-2.37 (m, 2 H, \(\beta\)-C\(_2\)H\(_4\)), 2.38-2.56 (m, 2 H, \(\gamma\)-C\(_2\)H\(_4\)), 3.20 (s, 1H, -CH), 4.06-4.15 (m, 2 H, \(\gamma\)-COOC\(_6\)H\(_4\)CH\(_3\)), 4.20-4.28 (m, 2 H, \(\alpha\)-COOC\(_6\)H\(_4\)CH\(_3\)), 4.74-4.81 (m, 1 H, \(\alpha\)-CH), 7.13 (d, 1 H, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, CONH, exch), 7.55 (d, 2 H, \(J = 8.5\) Hz, C\(_6\)H\(_4\)), 7.78 (d, 2 H, \(J = 8.5\) Hz, C\(_6\)H\(_4\)).

2-amino-3\(H\)-pyrrolo[2,3-\(d\)]pyrimidin-4(7\(H\))-one (13).
To a solution of 2,4-diamino-6-hydroxypyrimidine 11 (5.0 g, 40 mmol) and sodium acetate (4.88 g, 60 mmol) in water (200 mL) at 100 °C was added a 50% solution of chloroacetaldehyde in water (5.0 mL, 40 mmol), dropwise, over a period of 15 min. The reaction mixture was refrigerated overnight, and the precipitate obtained was filtered, washed with cold water (25 mL x 2), then with cold acetone (20 mL x 2), and dried to afford 4.15 g (69%) of 13 as a grey solid: TLC \(R_f = 0.45\) (CHCl\(_3\)/MeOH, 3:1); mp 322 °C (lit\(^*\) mp 323-324 °C); \(^1\)H NMR (DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta 6.04\) (bs, 2 H, 2-NH\(_2\), exch), 6.18 (q, 1 H, 5-H), 6.60 (q, 1 H, 6-H), 10.22 (bs, 1 H, 3-NH, exch), 10.96 (bs, 1 H, 7-NH, exch).

\(N\)-(4-oxo-4,7-dihydro-3\(H\)-pyrrolo[2,3-\(d\)]pyrimidin-2-yl)pivalamide (14).
A mixture of 13 (3.67 g, 24.5 mmol), pyridine (40 mL) and pivaloyl chloride (10.5 mL) was heated at 80-90 °C for 2 h. Volatiles were removed under vacuum, and the residue
was dissolved in methanol (20 mL), silica gel (5 g) was added, and the solvent was evaporated to form a plug which was dried, loaded on top of a silica gel column and eluted with 2:1 ethyl acetate/hexanes. Fractions containing the product (TLC) were pooled and the solvent evaporated to afford 2.07 g (36%) of 14 as an yellow solid: TLC \textit{Rf} 0.50 (acetate/hexanes, 5:1); mp 293 °C (lit. 2 295 °C); \textit{1}H NMR (DMSO-\textit{d6}) \textit{δ} 1.24 (s, 9 H, \textit{C(CH3)}3), 6.40 (q, 1 H, 5-H), 6.95 (q, 1 H, 6-H), 10.80 (bs, 1 H, 2-NH\textit{Piv} or 3-NH, exch), 11.58 (bs, 1 H, 2-NH\textit{Piv} or 3-NH, exch), 11.84 (bs, 1 H, 7-NH, exch).

\textit{N}-(6-iodo-4-oxo-4,7-dihydro-3\textit{H}-pyrrolo[2,3-\textit{d}]pyrimidin-2-yl)pivalamide (15).

To a solution of 14 (150 mg, 0.64 mmol) in glacial acetic acid (5 mL) was added mercuric acetate (239 mg, 0.75 mmol) that was completely dissolved in glacial acetic acid (15 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min, poured into saturated NaCl (10 mL), and stirred for 30 min. The precipitate was filtered, washed with water (3 mL), followed by MeOH (3 mL), and dried. The precipitate was combined with MeOH (5 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 1 h to remove starting material and filtrated to afford the product. A mixture of this product, iodine (0.19 g, 0.75 mmol) and CH2Cl2 (5 mL) was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was washed with 3 M Na2S2O3 (5 mL x 2), followed by water (5 mL x 2), and dried \textit{in vacuo}. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel and eluted with 1:1 ethyl acetate/hexanes. The fractions containing the desired product (TLC) were pooled and evaporated to afford 175 mg (78%) of 15 as a white solid: TLC \textit{Rf} 0.60 (ethyl acetate/hexanes, 2:1); mp 210 °C dec (lit. 2 mp 211 °C dec); \textit{1}H NMR (DMSO-\textit{d6}) \textit{δ} 1.23 (s, 9 H, \textit{C(CH3)}3), 6.61 (s, 1 H, 5-H), 10.88 (s, 1 H, 2-NH\textit{Piv} or 3-NH, exch), 11.88 (s, 1 H, 2-NH\textit{Piv} or 3-NH, exch), 12.10 (s, 1 H, 7-NH, exch).

\textit{(S)-diethyl 2-[(4-oxo-2-pivalamido-4,7-dihydro-3\textit{H}-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-6-yl)ethynyl]benzamido}pentanedioate (16).

To a 50-mL round-bottom flask covered with aluminum foil were added 15 (180 mg, 0.5 mmol) and acetylene 10 (248 mg, 0.75 mmol), copper(I) iodide (25 mg, 0.1 mmol) and tetrakis(triphenyl phosphine)palladium (0) (30 mg, 0.025 mmol)dissolved in anhydrous DMF (10 mL), followed by the addition of triethylamine (0.25 mL). The dark brown solution was stirred at 60 °C under nitrogen for 4h. The volatiles were removed \textit{in vacuo} and the crude residue was flash chromatographed on silica gel and eluted with 3% MeOH in CH2Cl2 to afford the compound 16 (135 mg) (48%) as a yellow solid: TLC \textit{Rf} 0.65 (MeOH/CH2Cl2, 1:9); mp 260 °C dec; \textit{1}H NMR (DMSO-\textit{d6}) \textit{δ} 1.15-1.22 (m, 6H, -2CH3), 1.25 (s, 9 H, -C(CH3)3), 1.99-2.15 (m, 2 H, \textit{β-CH2}), 2.43-2.45 (m, 2 H, \textit{γ-CH2}), 4.02-4.15 (m, 4 H, \textit{α, γ-COOC\textit{H}2\textit{CH3}}), 4.42-4.48 (m, 1 H, \textit{α-CH}), 6.85 (s, 1 H, 5-H), 7.65 (d, 2 H, \textit{C6H4}, \textit{J} = 8.5 Hz), 7.94 (d, 2 H, \textit{C6H4}, \textit{J} = 8.5 Hz), 8.85 (d, 1 H, CONH, , \textit{J} = 7.5 Hz), 10.98 (s, 1 H, 2-NH\textit{Piv} or 3-NH, exch), 11.97 (s, 1 H, 2-NH\textit{Piv} or 3-NH, exch), 12.27 (s, 1 H, 7-NH, exch).

\textit{(S)-diethyl 2-[(2-(4-oxo-2-pivalamido-4,7-dihydro-3\textit{H}-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-6-yl)ethyl]benzamido}pentanedioate (17).

To a solution of 16 (50 mg) in MeOH/CH2Cl2 (1:1, 30 mL) was added 10% Pd/C (50 mg). The resulting suspension was hydrogenated in a Parr apparatus for 5h at 50 psi
hydrogen pressure. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and washed with methanol (30 mL). After evaporation of the solvent, 50 mg (100%) of 17 was obtained as a grey solid: mp 142 °C, \( R_f \) 0.65 (MeOH/CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), 1:9). \(^1\)H NMR (DMSO-\(d_6\)) \( \delta \) 1.13-1.21 (m, 6H, -2CH\(_3\)), 1.24 (s, 9 H, -C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 1.96-2.13 (m, 2 H, \( \beta\)-CH\(_2\)), 2.41-2.45 (t, 2 H, \( \gamma\)-CH\(_2\), \( J = 7.6 \) Hz), 2.91-3.04 (m, 4 H, -CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)-), 4.00-4.14 (m, 4 H, \( \alpha\), \( \gamma\)-COOCH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 4.38-4.45 (m, 1 H, \( \alpha\)-CH), 6.10 (s, 1 H, 5-H), 7.33 (d, 2 H, C\(_6\)H\(_4\), \( J = 8.2 \) Hz), 7.79 (d, 2 H, C\(_6\)H\(_4\), \( J = 8.2 \) Hz), 8.65 (d, 1 H, CONH, , \( J = 7.5 \) Hz), 10.76 (s, 1 H, 2-NHPiv or 3-NH, exch), 11.40 (s, 1 H, 2-NHPiv or 3-NH, exch), 11.82 (s, 1 H, 7-NH, exch).

\((S)\)-2-(4-(2-(2-amino-4-oxo-4,7-dihydro-3H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-6-yl)ethyl)benzamido)pentanedioic acid (1a).

To a solution of the diester (17) (50 mg) was added 1 N NaOH (4 mL), and the mixture was stirred under N\(_2\) at room temperature for 3 days. TLC (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)/MeOH, 9:1) showed the disappearance of the starting material (\( R_f \) = 0.65) and formation of one major spot at the origin. The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in water (3 mL), the resulting solution was cooled in an ice bath, and the pH was adjusted to 3-4 with dropwise addition of 1 N HCl. The resulting suspension was frozen in a dry ice/acetone bath, thawed in a refrigerator to 4-5 °C, and filtered. The residue was washed with a small amount of cold water and ethyl acetate and dried in vacuo using P\(_2\)O\(_5\) to afford 30 mg (80%) of 1a as a pale white powder: mp 209 °C (lit.\(^2\) mp 210-213 °C), \( R_f \) = 0.05 (CHCl\(_3\)/MeOH, 5:1). \(^1\)H NMR (DMSO-\(d_6\)) \( \delta \) 1.90-2.11 (m, 2 H, CH\(_2\)), 2.31-2.37 (t, 2 H, CH\(_2\)), 2.77-2.84 (t, 2 H, CH\(_2\)), 2.92-2.98 (t, 2 H, CH\(_2\)), 4.34-4.41 (m, 1 H, CH), 5.86 (s, 1 H, CH), 5.97 (s, 2 H, 2-NH\(_2\)), 7.31 (d, 2 H, C\(_6\)H\(_4\), \( J = 8.0 \) Hz), 7.79 (d, 2 H, C\(_6\)H\(_4\), \( J = 8.0 \) Hz), 8.51 (d, 1 H, CONH, , \( J = 8.0 \) Hz), 10.12 (s, 1 H, 3-NH), 10.89 (s, 1H, 7-NH), 12.60 (br, 2 H, 2 COOH). Anal. (C\(_{20}\)H\(_{21}\)N\(_5\)O\(_6\)·0.75 H\(_2\)O) Cal. C: 54.48, H: 5.14, N: 15.88. Found C: 54.49, H: 5.04, N: 15.53.
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**Figure 1S. Comparison of PCFT transport activity in R2/hPCFT4 and R1-11-PCFT4 cells.** hPCFT transport activity in R2/VC, R2/hPCFT4, R1-11-mock and R1-11-PCFT4 cells was assessed by measuring uptake of 0.5 μM [3H]Mtx at 37°C for 5 min at pH 5.5 or 7.2 in MES-buffered saline, and at 7.2 in HEPES-buffered saline. Internalized [3H]Mtx was normalized to total protein.

**Figure 2S. Inhibition of cell growth and protection by nucleosides.** Cell proliferation inhibition was measured on 96-well plates with 2500 R2/hPCFT4 cells per well and a range of antifolate concentrations (1-1000 nM) in the presence or absence of adenosine (60 μM), thymidine (10 μM) or 5-amino-4-imidazole carboxamide (AICA) (320 μM). Cell densities were measured with CellTiter Blue fluorescence dye (Promega) and a fluorescence plate reader. Results were normalized to cell density in the absence of drug. Results shown are representative data of experiments performed in triplicate.
Figure 3S. Inhibition of colony formation by compound 2 and Pmx. R2/hPCFT4 cells were plated into 60 mm dishes at a density of 500 cells per dish in the presence or absence of different concentrations of Compound 2 and Pmx from 1 to 100 nM. Colonies were stained with 1% methylene blue in 10 mM borate buffer pH 8.8 for counting. Three separate experiments were performed and representative images are displayed.
Figure 4S. Time-dependent loss of clonogenicity by compound 2, Pmx and Lmx. R2/hPCFT4 CHO cells were plated into 60 mm dishes at 500 cells per dish and allowed to adhere for 48 h, after which cells were treated with or without 1 μM drug in the presence or absence of adenosine (60μM; “A”) and thymidine (10μM; “T”) protection for 2, 4, 8, 24 and 48 h. Following drug treatment, cells were washed with PBS and resuspended with drug free media with or without adenosine (60μM) and thymidine (10μM) protection. A parallel control treatment was included in which adenosine and thymidine were present during the period of drug exposure and cells were subsequently plated in the absence of drug. Colonies were stained with 1% methylene blue in 10 mM borate buffer pH 8.8 and counted. Three separate experiments were performed and representative pictures are presented.