

**TITLE PAGE**

Enzymatic Activity of CaMKII is not Required for its Interaction with the Glutamate Receptor Subunit GluN2B

Kelsey Barcomb, Steven J. Coultrap, and K. Ulrich Bayer

Department of Pharmacology, University of Colorado Denver, Aurora, Colorado 80045

## RUNNING TITLE PAGE

Running Title: Activity Independence of CaMKII/GluN2B Binding

Corresponding Author:

Ulli Bayer

University of Colorado Denver, Department of Pharmacology

Mail Stop 8303

RC1-North

12800 East 19th Ave

Aurora CO 80045

Phone: (303) 724-3610

Fax: (303) 724-3663

[ulli.bayer@ucdenver.edu](mailto:ulli.bayer@ucdenver.edu)

Number of text pages: 30

Number of tables: 0

Number of figures: 6

Number of references: 60

Abstract: 250 words

Introduction: 730 words

Discussion: 1500 words

Abbreviations:

AMPA: AMPA-Type Glutamate Receptor

CaM: Calmodulin

CaMKII: Ca<sup>2+</sup>/CaM-dependent protein kinase II

GFP: Green fluorescent protein

H7: 1-(5-isoquinolinylsulfonyl)-2-methylpiperazine

LTP: Long-term Potentiation

NMDAR: *N*-methyl-D-aspartate Type Glutamate Receptor

PIPES: 1,4-piperazinediethanesulfonic acid

Sta: Staurosporine

## ABSTRACT

Binding of the Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin(CaM)-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII) to the NMDA-type glutamate receptor (NMDAR) subunit GluN2B is an important control mechanism for the regulation of synaptic strength. CaMKII binding to GluN2B and CaMKII translocation to synapses is induced by an initial Ca<sup>2+</sup>/CaM-stimulus, which also activates the kinase. Indeed, several mechanistically different CaMKII inhibitors (tatCN21 and KN93) and inactivating mutations (K42M, A302R, and T305/T306D) impair this interaction, suggesting that it requires CaMKII enzymatic activity. However, this study shows that two general kinase inhibitors H7 and staurosporine (Sta), which inhibit CaMKII activity by yet another mechanism, did not interfere with GluN2B binding *in vitro* or within cells. In contrast to a previous report, we found that Sta, like H7, inhibited CaMKII in an ATP-competitive manner. Nucleotide binding significantly enhances CaMKII/GluN2B binding *in vitro*, but the nucleotide-competition by H7 or Sta did not prevent this effect and instead even mimicked it. H7 (700 μM) and Sta (2 μM) efficiently blocked enzymatic activity of CaMKII both *in vitro* and within cells. However, neither H7 nor Sta prevented Ca<sup>2+</sup>-induced translocation of CaMKII to GluN2B in heterologous cells or to synapses in hippocampal neurons. Thus, activity of CaMKII (or of any other kinase inhibited by H7 or Sta) is not required for stimulation-induced GluN2B-binding and synaptic translocation of CaMKII, despite previous indication to the contrary. This shows that results with inhibitors and inhibiting mutants can be caused by structural effects independent from catalytic activity, and that detailed understanding of the mechanisms is required for their interpretation.

## INTRODUCTION

Ca<sup>2+</sup>/Calmodulin (CaM)-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII) and the NMDA-type glutamate receptor (NMDAR) are important mediators of long-term potentiation (LTP) (for review see: Coultrap and Bayer, 2012a; Sanhueza and Lisman, 2013). During an LTP stimulus, CaMKII becomes activated, resulting in an increase of AMPA-type glutamate receptor (AMPA) conductance (Derkach et al., 1999; Kristensen et al., 2011) and synaptic localization (Hayashi et al., 2000; Opazo et al., 2010). CaMKII has an important binding interaction with the GluN2B subunit of the NMDAR (Gardoni et al., 1998; Strack and Colbran, 1998; Bayer et al., 2001), which is required for normal LTP (Barria and Malinow, 2005; Sanhueza et al., 2011; Halt et al., 2012).

Stimulation of CaMKII by Ca<sup>2+</sup>/CaM induces both kinase activation and GluN2B binding by displacing a regulatory domain, which blocks the substrate-binding “S-site” and the neighboring GluN2B-binding “T-site” in the inactive state (Bayer et al., 2001; Coultrap and Bayer, 2012a). Additionally, Ca<sup>2+</sup>/CaM-binding can induce autophosphorylation at T286, preventing reassociation of the regulatory region even after Ca<sup>2+</sup>/CaM dissociation (Lou et al., 1986; Miller and Kennedy, 1986; Schworer et al., 1988; Thiel et al., 1988). Consequently, either Ca<sup>2+</sup>/CaM-binding or T286 phosphorylation alone are sufficient to induce GluN2B binding (Bayer et al., 2001). Because T286 phosphorylation and GluN2B binding both keep the regulatory domain partially displaced, both enable “autonomous” CaMKII activity that persists after an initial Ca<sup>2+</sup> stimulus has subsided. In contrast to T286 phosphorylation (Giese et al., 1998; Buard et al., 2010), the GluN2B-mediated autonomy is phosphatase resistant and may be important not only for LTP induction (Bayer et al., 2001; Barria and Malinow, 2005; Halt et al., 2012) but also for LTP maintenance (Sanhueza et al., 2011). However, regulation of CaMKII localization may be an even more relevant function of CaMKII/GluN2B binding in LTP (Colbran and Brown, 2004; Merrill et al., 2005; Coultrap and Bayer, 2012a). Upon Ca<sup>2+</sup>/CaM stimulation, CaMKII translocates to the synapse (Shen and Meyer, 1999; Bayer et al., 2001), a process mediated at least in part by direct GluN2B binding (Bayer et al., 2001; Halt et al., 2012; She et al., 2012). Once CaMKII is at the synapse, it is localized in close proximity to important substrates and to its source of stimulation. Indeed, the CaMKII-dependent increase in AMPAR conductance in LTP requires CaMKII/GluN2B binding (Halt et al., 2012). Thus, CaMKII/GluN2B binding induces autonomous kinase activity, maintains the presence of CaMKII at the synapse, and regulates LTP.

While CaMKII/GluN2B binding is widely accepted as the molecular mechanism underlying synaptic CaMKII translocation, the regulation of this process still poses at least one major conundrum: while enzymatic activity of CaMKII negatively regulates binding (by phosphorylating S1303, which lies within the CaMKII binding site on the GluN2B C-tail (Strack et al., 2000)), it also appears to be essential for synaptic translocation, as previously tested manipulations that block CaMKII activity inhibit translocation (detailed below). Due to the inhibitory effect of GluN2B phosphorylation at S1303, CaMKII/GluN2B binding is greater in the presence of ADP relative to ATP *in vitro* (O'Leary et al., 2011). However, even ATP significantly enhances binding compared to conditions without nucleotide, due to a direct positive regulation by nucleotides (O'Leary et al., 2011). Consistent with this nucleotide effect, both CaMKII/GluN2B binding in heterologous cells and CaMKII translocation to synapses in neurons is significantly impaired by the CaMKII K42M mutation, which prevents nucleotide binding (Hanson et al., 1994). However, K42M also blocks enzymatic activity of CaMKII, which may provide an alternate explanation. Indeed, synaptic CaMKII translocation is also impaired by other inactivating mutations, A302R (Shen and Meyer, 1999) or T305/306D (O'Leary et al., 2011), and by the CaMKII inhibitors KN93 (Vest et al., 2010) or tatCN21 (Vest et al., 2007). These effects together provide strong evidence for the requirement of CaMKII activity in its translocation, as the manipulations utilized various inhibitory mechanisms.

To further test the activity dependence of CaMKII/GluN2B binding, we used ATP-competitive inhibitors. Importantly, these inhibitors mimicked the positive regulation by nucleotides on CaMKII/GluN2B binding. While the inhibitors effectively blocked enzymatic activity of CaMKII, they did not block CaMKII translocation within cells. Thus, enzymatic activity of CaMKII (or of other kinases blocked by these inhibitors) is not required for CaMKII translocation, and, as discussed here, the effects of previous CaMKII inhibitors were due to structural effects independent of enzymatic activity. These findings highlight that detailed understanding of inhibitory mechanisms is important in the interpretation of results with both drugs and mutations.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

*Materials*—CaMKII $\alpha$  and CaM were isolated and purified as described previously (Bayer et al., 2001; 2006; O'Leary et al., 2011). Syntide-2 peptide was obtained from Genscript (Piscataway, NJ). Unless otherwise indicated, reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). 1-(5-isoquinolinylsulfonyl)-2-methylpiperazine (H7) and staurosporine (Sta) were from Tocris (Bristol, UK).

*Data Analysis and Statistics* – All statistical tests and inhibitory mechanism calculations were performed using GraphPad Prism software. Unless otherwise indicated, methods of post-hoc analysis were Tukey's test for One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Bonferroni's test for Two-Way ANOVA/RMANOVA (Repeated Measures ANOVA). Error bars indicate mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM).

*CaMKII In Vitro Activity Assay* – Kinase activity was tested as previously described (Coultrap and Bayer, 2011). Standard reactions (1 min at 30°C) were started by adding CaMKII $\alpha$  (2.5 nM subunits) to a mix of 50 mM PIPES pH 7.2, 0.1% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA), 1  $\mu$ M CaM, 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 100  $\mu$ M [ $\gamma$ -<sup>32</sup>P]ATP (~1 Ci/mmol), and 75  $\mu$ M syntide-2 substrate peptide. Kinase inhibitors H7 or Sta were added at concentrations indicated. Reactions were stopped by spotting on P81 (Whatman) paper and phosphorylation of the substrate peptide was measured in a scintillation counter by the Cherenkov method (Coultrap and Bayer, 2012b). To determine the Sta inhibitory mechanism of CaMKII, concentrations of ATP were varied (4 – 256  $\mu$ M) in the absence and presence of four Sta concentrations. Data were fit by nonlinear regression to models for either competitive-, noncompetitive-, mixed model-, or uncompetitive-inhibition; the best fit model was determined by an extra sum-of-squares F-test. The data were also analyzed using the Morrison K<sub>i</sub> model for tight-binding inhibitors (Morrison, 1969). For this analysis the initial reaction velocity was plotted as a function of inhibitor (Sta) concentration for the different ATP concentrations. In GraphPad Prism, the Morrison K<sub>i</sub> model is designed specifically for competitive inhibitors, therefore a modified version of the Morrison K<sub>i</sub> was created in the program to model a tight binding mixed noncompetitive inhibitor (equations shown below). The mixed noncompetitive model incorporates both the competitive (where  $\alpha = \infty$ ) and noncompetitive (where  $\alpha = 1$ ) models. From this equation, the mode of inhibition was determined as was the K<sub>i</sub> and the  $\alpha$  value (ratio of K<sub>i</sub> for enzyme-substrate complex to the K<sub>i</sub> for enzyme alone). As

with the previous analysis, the two models were compared by an extra sum-of-squares F-test to determine the better fit.

Inhibitory properties were determined with the below equations ( $v_i$  = reaction velocity with inhibitor,  $v_o$  = reaction velocity without inhibitor,  $[E]$  = enzyme concentration,  $[I]$  = inhibitor concentration,  $[S]$  = substrate concentration,  $K_m$  = Michaelis-Menten constant,  $K_i$  = inhibitory constant,  $K_i^{app}$  = apparent inhibitory constant,  $\alpha$  = cooperativity parameter). The cooperativity parameter,  $\alpha$ , represents the degree to which the binding of inhibitor changes the affinity of the enzyme for substrate. If  $\alpha = 1$  then binding does not change the affinity, therefore the mechanism is noncompetitive; if  $\alpha \gg 1$  then binding of inhibitor prevents substrate binding, therefore binding is competitive; if  $0 < \alpha < 1$  then binding of inhibitor enhances substrate binding, therefore binding is uncompetitive.

Morrison equation (Morrison, 1969):

$$v_i = v_o * \left( 1 - \frac{([E] + [I] + K_i^{app}) - \sqrt{([E] + [I] + K_i^{app})^2 - 4[E][I]}}{2[E]} \right)$$

For competitive inhibitors:

$$K_i^{app} = K_i \left( 1 + \frac{[S]}{K_m} \right)$$

For noncompetitive (and mixed) inhibitors:

$$K_i^{app} = \frac{[S] + K_m}{\frac{K_m}{K_i} + \frac{[S]}{\alpha K_i}}$$

*CaMKII Activity Within Cells* – HEK293 cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) supplemented with Fetal Bovine Serum (Invitrogen) and penicillin/streptomycin. Cells were transfected with green fluorescent protein (GFP)-CaMKII $\alpha$  and HA-GluA1 vectors at 1:10 by the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-phosphate method. 24-48 hours after transfection, medium was replaced with imaging solution (0.87X Hank's Buffered Saline Solution (Invitrogen); 25 mM HEPES (Invitrogen) pH 7.4; 2 mM glucose; 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>; and 1mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>) containing H7 (700  $\mu$ M), Sta (2  $\mu$ M), or control, and incubated for 5 min at 37°C. Following this treatment,



the cells were either stimulated with 10  $\mu$ M ionomycin (Tocris) or mock and then incubated at room temperature for 5 min. Proteins were extracted in a homogenization buffer (50 mM PIPES pH 7.2; 1 mM EGTA; 150 mM NaCl; 1% Triton X-100; protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Indianapolis, IN); 1  $\mu$ M microcystin-LR (CalBiochem, San Diego, CA); 10 mM sodium fluoride; 50 mM  $\beta$ -glycerophosphate; 0.1% DTT). Protein levels were assessed with Western blot analysis as described (Vest et al., 2007) using antibodies against GluA1 (1:2000; Millipore, Billerica, MA) and phospho-S831 GluA1 (1:1000; PhosphoSolutions, Aurora, CO). Using SuperSignal West Femto Substrate (Pierce, Rockford, IL), chemiluminescence was detected using a ChemiImager system (Alpha Innotech). Immuno detection values (IDV) were measured with AlphaEase ChemiImager software.

*In Vitro Binding Assay* – Binding was assessed as previously described (Bayer et al., 2001; O'Leary et al., 2011; Coultrap and Bayer, 2012b). The cytoplasmic C-tail of GluN2B (amino acids 1120-1482) was expressed in bacteria as a GST-fusion protein and then purified with glutathione-sepharose, eluted with 100 mM reduced glutathione in 200 mM Tris pH 9, and dialyzed twice into an excess volume of 50 mM Tris pH 7.6 with 300 mM NaCl. GST-GluN2B (300 nM) was bound to anti-GST coated plates (Pierce) for 1 hour. This concentration of GST-GluN2B represents an excess for the immobilization reaction in order to saturate the binding sites and ensure equal loading of GST-GluN2B. After blocking with 5% BSA, purified CaMKII $\alpha$  (40 nM in 1X PIPES-buffered saline + 0.05% Tween (PST), 0.1% BSA, 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1  $\mu$ M CaM, and 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>) was added to the wells and incubated for 15 minutes. The kinase solution contained various conditions of ATP or ADP (100  $\mu$ M), H7 (700  $\mu$ M), and Sta (2  $\mu$ M), as indicated. After incubation, wells were washed with 1 mM EGTA in 1X PST and bound kinase was eluted at 95-100°C in SDS loading buffer. Bound protein levels were assessed with Western blot analysis using a CaMKII $\alpha$  selective antibody (1:4000; made in house).

*HEK293 Cell Imaging* – Cells were transfected by the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-phosphate method with GFP-CaMKII $\alpha$  and GluN2B (WT or S1303A) vectors at 1:10 (O'Leary et al., 2011). For live imaging, ionomycin-induced translocation of CaMKII was monitored in imaging solution (0.87X Hank's Buffered Saline Solution; 25 mM HEPES pH 7.4; 2 mM glucose; 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>; and 1mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>) containing H7 (700  $\mu$ M), Sta (2  $\mu$ M), or control at 32°C, collecting images over 12 minutes (10  $\mu$ M ionomycin stimulation at 2 minutes into recording). Cells in the images were blindly

scored as “translocated” or “not translocated” at each minute of the capture, resulting in a “Percent Translocation” score at each minute. For fixed imaging, cells were incubated in imaging solution for 5 minutes at 37°C and then received stimulation (10  $\mu$ M ionomycin or mock) for 5 minutes at room temperature. After this stimulation the cells were immediately fixed and immunostained for GluN2B (anti-GluN2B 1:200, NeuroMab, Davis, CA; TexasRed anti-rabbit secondary antibody 1:500, Invitrogen). Coverslips were mounted with ProLong Gold (Invitrogen) containing DAPI stain. The resulting images were used to determine the Pearson correlation of GFP-CaMKII $\alpha$  expression and GluN2B staining. All images were collected on a Zeiss Axiovert 200M with climate control chamber (Vest et al., 2007) using z-stacks with a step size of 0.2  $\mu$ m over 2.4  $\mu$ m and were analyzed using SlideBook5 software.

*Neuron Imaging* – Primary dissociated neuronal cultures were isolated from mixed gender neonatal Sprague-Dawley rats at medium density on poly-D-lysine (0.1 mg/ml) and laminin (0.01 mg/ml; Invitrogen) coated coverslips (Hudmon et al., 2005; O'Leary et al., 2011). Pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats were obtained from Charles River Labs for preparation of primary neuron cultures; all animal treatments and housing were in accordance with the University of Colorado Denver Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Neurons were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in Neurobasal A media with B27 supplements (both Invitrogen) and glutamine. At 4-5 days in vitro (DIV) glial growth was inhibited with FDU (70  $\mu$ M 5-Fluoro-2'-deoxyuridine, 140  $\mu$ M uridine). For live imaging, neurons were transfected with GFP-CaMKII $\alpha$  vector at 12 DIV using lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) (Vest et al., 2010). Transfected neurons in imaging solution (see above) containing 700  $\mu$ M H7, 2  $\mu$ M Sta, or control were observed at 32°C over 5 minutes with glutamate/glycine (100  $\mu$ M/10  $\mu$ M) stimulation at minute 1. Images were analyzed blindly by measuring the spine to shaft ratio (mean intensity at 10 synaptic sites divided by mean intensity at 10 respective shafts). For each neuron the spine to shaft ratio was normalized to the ratio at “-1 minutes” where “0 minutes” represents the time of stimulation. For fixed imaging, neurons at 12 DIV were treated with either H7 (700  $\mu$ M), Sta (0.02, 0.2, or 2  $\mu$ M), or control (5 min, 37°C) and then stimulated with glutamate/glycine at room temperature for “0” (mock), 30, 60, or 120 seconds. Neurons were immediately fixed and immunostained for CaMKII $\alpha$  (1:5000; 1:500 Alexa488 anti-mouse secondary antibody, Invitrogen) and the synaptic marker Shank (1:500, Invitrogen; 1:500

TexasRed anti-rabbit secondary antibody). Coverslips were mounted with ProLong Gold. Images were analyzed by comparing between inhibitor conditions, the percent of CaMKII puncta sum intensity that was synaptically localized relative to the sum Shank intensity at those synapses.

## RESULTS

*Sta Inhibits CaMKII by an ATP-Competitive Mechanism* – In order to study the dependence of the CaMKII/GluN2B interaction on kinase activity, the nucleotide competitive kinase inhibitors 1-(5-isoquinolinylnsulfonyl)-2-methylpiperazine (H7) (Hidaka et al., 1984) and staurosporine (Sta) (Tamaoki et al., 1986) were utilized. Both H7 and Sta have been previously described to inhibit CaMKII activity, with  $K_i$  of 7  $\mu\text{M}$  and IC50 of 20 nM respectively (Malinow et al., 1989; Yanagihara et al., 1991). Indeed, as expected, complete inhibition of CaMKII activity was seen here in *in vitro* phosphorylation assays with purified protein, using the inhibitors at 100-fold their respective  $K_i$ /IC50 values (Fig. 1).

Notably, while Sta is shown to be ATP-competitive for most kinases (Omura et al., 1995; Prade et al., 1997), it is described as noncompetitive with ATP for CaMKII (Yanagihara et al., 1991). However, this determination was based on experiments likely using tight binding conditions. Tight binding conditions occur when the concentration of enzyme is close to the IC50 of a potent inhibitor. Under such conditions, the formation of enzyme substrate complex significantly alters the amount of free inhibitor. The Michaelis-Menten model of enzyme kinetics is based on the assumption that the free inhibitor concentration is well represented by the total concentration of inhibitor added, i.e. binding of inhibitor to enzyme has a negligible effect on the concentration of free inhibitor (Copeland, 2000). Tight binding conditions thus render Michaelis-Menten kinetics invalid and results from such experiments will appear to be noncompetitive on a double-reciprocal plot regardless of the actual underlying mechanism (Morrison, 1969; Copeland, 2000). To determine if Sta indeed inhibits CaMKII by competing with ATP, kinase activity was measured using varying concentrations of ATP in the absence and presence of multiple concentrations of Sta. The results were analyzed by nonlinear regression fitting to both traditional- and tight binding-models of inhibition. This analysis found that the increasing concentrations of Sta caused an increase in the apparent  $K_m$  for ATP without effect on the  $V_{\text{max}}$  (Fig. 2A). A double-reciprocal plot of these data displayed relationships of substrate concentration to reaction rate that had the same Y-axis ( $1/V$ ) intercept and varying X-axis ( $1/[\text{ATP}]$ ) intercepts, consistent with a competitive interaction. However, this determination of a competitive mechanism was not apparent in the graphical representation of the data, due to the high affinity of Sta for CaMKII (Fig. 2B). Nonlinear regression analysis found that the curves fit best to a mixed model inhibition, with a much higher affinity of Sta for CaMKII not bound to ATP

than bound,  $K_i = 8.8$  nM and  $\alpha = 15.4$  (i.e.  $K_i$  for CaMKII-ATP complex is 15.4-fold higher;  $F(1,145) = 5.36$ ,  $p = 0.022$ ). As the  $K_i$  for Sta was on the same order as the enzyme concentration in the assay (2.5 nM), the tight binding inhibitor model may be more appropriate for analysis of the data. Thus, we also fit the data to the Morrison equation for tight binding inhibitors (Morrison, 1969; Copeland, 2000) (Fig. 2C). Again the data fit best to a mixed model inhibition with  $K_i = 8.6$  nM and  $\alpha = 13.9$  ( $F(1,140) = 4.2$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). Therefore, Sta inhibits CaMKII activity primarily by an ATP-competitive mechanism.

*H7 and Sta Mimic the Positive Regulation of CaMKII/GluN2B Binding by Nucleotides In vitro* – Maximal CaMKII/GluN2B binding requires the presence of a nucleotide in the ATP-binding pocket of CaMKII (O'Leary et al., 2011). Thus, we decided to test the effect of the ATP-competitive inhibitors H7 and Sta on CaMKII/GluN2B binding *in vitro*, using purified protein. GST-tagged GluN2B cytoplasmic C-terminus was immobilized to anti-GST coated plates and CaMKII was bound in the presence of  $Ca^{2+}/CaM$ ; the amount of bound CaMKII was then measured by Western blot analysis. Because H7 and Sta are competitive with nucleotides, we determined their effect on CaMKII/GluN2B binding both in the presence and the absence of two different nucleotides, ADP or ATP (Fig. 3). A one-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of inhibitor/nucleotide condition on CaMKII/GluN2B binding ( $F(8,63) = 10.59$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Post-hoc Newman-Keul's analysis showed that all inhibitors, nucleotides, and their combinations significantly enhanced binding above the control condition (Fig. 3;  $p < 0.01$  for all conditions). CaMKII/GluN2B binding was stronger in the presence of ADP compared to ATP, as described previously (O'Leary et al., 2011), but only in the absence of the inhibitors. This is consistent with prevention of the negative-regulatory phosphorylation of GluN2B at S1303 by CaMKII in the presence of the inhibitors. Most importantly, the inhibitors directly enhance CaMKII/GluN2B binding to the same extent as nucleotides, and addition of nucleotide had no further effects. These results demonstrate that H7 and Sta do not inhibit but rather mimic the nucleotide-enhancement of CaMKII/GluN2B binding. Thus, while maximal CaMKII/GluN2B binding requires occupation of the ATP binding pocket, this effect can be elicited by either nucleotide or by competitive inhibitors that bind to the same site. Notably, the demonstration that ATP-competitive inhibitors do not directly interfere with CaMKII/GluN2B binding *in vitro* makes them useful tools to assess dependence of the CaMKII/GluN2B interaction on enzymatic kinase activity within cells.

*H7 and Sta Efficiently Inhibit CaMKII Activity within Cells* – After showing efficient inhibition of CaMKII activity by H7 and Sta *in vitro* (Fig. 1, 2), their effect on CaMKII activity was also assessed within cells. These experiments were performed in HEK293 cells transfected with both CaMKII and its substrate protein GluA1. Cells were incubated with inhibitor and then stimulated with the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-ionophore ionomycin. To assess inhibition of CaMKII, the cells were harvested in the presence of phosphatase inhibitors and the extracts were analyzed by Western blot using an antibody against the CaMKII phosphorylation site on GluA1, S831 (Fig. 4). A one-way ANOVA determined a significant concentration dependent reduction of S831 phosphorylation by both H7 (Fig. 4A,B;  $F(4,15) = 4.79, p < 0.02$ ) and Sta (Fig. 4C,D;  $F(5,11) = 8.08, p < 0.003$ ). Post-hoc analysis showed that phosphorylation was significantly increased by ionomycin stimulation ( $p < 0.05$ ) and that the stimulation-induced increase was significantly attenuated by 700  $\mu\text{M}$  H7 ( $p < 0.05$ ) or 200 nM Sta ( $p < 0.05$ ). Importantly, at these concentrations, S831 phosphorylation was not different from the non-stimulated control, indicating that both H7 and Sta completely blocked CaMKII activity when administered at 100-fold IC<sub>50</sub>. Thus, H7 and Sta effectively inhibit CaMKII activity, both *in vitro* and within cells.

*CaMKII Binding to GluN2B within Cells is not Inhibited by H7 or Sta* – Stimulation-induced CaMKII/GluN2B binding within cells can be assessed by ionomycin-stimulated CaMKII translocation in heterologous cells transfected to co-express CaMKII and GluN2B (Strack et al., 2000; O'Leary et al., 2011). This process can be visually observed in real time by using fluorescently-tagged CaMKII (Bayer et al., 2001). In order to test the effect of H7 and Sta on translocation, HEK293 cells were cotransfected with GFP-CaMKII and GluN2B and translocation was induced by ionomycin stimulation (Fig. 5). The time courses in Figure 5A-B show that GFP-CaMKII successfully translocated in the presence of either 700  $\mu\text{M}$  H7 or 2  $\mu\text{M}$  Sta. Translocation was quantified by determining the percent of cells that displayed translocation at each time point. The data were analyzed with a two-way Repeated Measures ANOVA, which indicated that the degree of translocation depended both on the duration of stimulation ( $F(10,280) = 124.60, p < 0.001$ ) and inhibitor type ( $F(2,280) = 4.13, p < 0.05$ ), in addition the interaction between those two factors ( $F(20,280) = 1.69, p < 0.05$ ). Specifically, the percent of cells showing translocation of CaMKII was greater under Sta treatment than under control conditions at both 1 and 2 minutes into the stimulation ( $p < 0.01$ ). There was no reduction by H7 or Sta compared to control at any time points. Thus, H7 and Sta do not

inhibit translocation of CaMKII to GluN2B in the heterologous expression system used here, despite blocking the enzymatic activity of CaMKII.

Next CaMKII translocation was assessed in cells by immunostaining after fixation. While this technique does not afford the temporal resolution of live imaging, it allows for a more direct colocalization analysis of CaMKII and GluN2B after immunostaining. Transfected cells were treated with inhibitor and then stimulated with ionomycin, followed by fixation and immunostaining of GluN2B (Fig. 5C). Colocalization of GFP-CaMKII and GluN2B from the resulting images was determined (Fig. 5D), and data were analyzed by a one-way ANOVA comparing across treatments; a significant effect of treatment was found ( $F(5, 351) = 77.79, p < 0.0001$ ). As expected, a post-hoc analysis found that ionomycin stimulation significantly enhanced colocalization of CaMKII and GluN2B as compared to the non-stimulated control ( $p < 0.05$ ). The stimulation also enhanced colocalization in cells pretreated with H7 ( $p < 0.001$ ) or Sta ( $p < 0.001$ ) as compared to their respective non-stimulated controls, indicating translocation under all pretreatment conditions (Fig. 5D). Interestingly, stimulation-induced colocalization was even greater in cells pretreated with either H7 ( $p < 0.001$ ) or Sta ( $p < 0.001$ ) compared to the stimulated control. Therefore, these inhibitors not only allow translocation but also appear to enhance it. This enhancing effect is likely due to a decrease in GluN2B phosphorylation by CaMKII, as described in the next section.

*Inhibition of GluN2B S1303 Phosphorylation Does Not Mask Any Positive-Regulatory Effects of CaMKII Activity on GluN2B Binding* - In addition to having a binding interaction with GluN2B, CaMKII also has an enzymatic interaction with it. Specifically, CaMKII phosphorylates GluN2B S1303, which significantly reduces the binding interaction (Strack et al., 2000; O'Leary et al., 2011). Thus, the increase in stimulation-induced CaMKII/GluN2B colocalization by H7 and Sta (see Fig. 5D) may be explained by a decrease in this negative-regulatory phosphorylation. However, inhibition of this negative-regulatory effect could also mask another, positive-regulatory effect of enzymatic activity. In order to eliminate potentially confounding effects of the S1303 phosphorylation state on other effects of CaMKII activity on translocation, colocalization of CaMKII with GluN2B was additionally tested for a non-phosphorylatable GluN2B S1303A mutant (Fig. 5E). A two-way ANOVA found a significant interaction between GluN2B mutation status and treatment ( $F(2, 157) = 4.09, p < 0.001$ ). CaMKII/GluN2B colocalization after stimulation was greater for the S1303A mutant compared to wild type ( $p <$

0.01), but in contrast to the findings with GluN2B wild type, H7 or Sta pretreatment did not further increase this colocalization with the S1303A mutant. Taken together, these results show that inhibiting activity does not prevent the binding of CaMKII to GluN2B within cells. If any, the inhibitors may even enhance the interaction by mimicking the nucleotide effect on binding while also preventing the negative-regulatory S1303 phosphorylation.

*H7 and Sta Do Not Prevent Glutamate-Induced CaMKII Translocation to Synaptic Sites in Neurons –*

Translocation of CaMKII to synaptic sites within neurons is triggered by the excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate and is thought to be mediated through the stimulation-induced CaMKII interaction with GluN2B (Strack and Colbran, 1998; Bayer et al., 2001; She et al., 2012). Thus, the enzymatic activity dependence of translocation was also tested in neurons, the endogenous environment of the proteins. Primary hippocampal cultures were transfected with GFP-CaMKII at 12 DIV and, after 24 hours of expression, live images were captured during induction of a  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -stimulus with glutamate/glycine (100  $\mu\text{M}$ /10  $\mu\text{M}$ , in the presence of 1 mM  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ). Under these conditions, co-stimulation of AMPARs helps to alleviate the  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  block of NMDARs in order to trigger a sufficiently strong NMDAR mediated  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -stimulus (Bayer et al., 2006; Marsden et al., 2010). CaMKII is known to translocate to synaptic sites under this stimulation protocol (Shen and Meyer, 1999), a process that is dependent on GluN2B (She et al., 2012). The movement of CaMKII to dendritic spines (Fig. 6A) can be quantified by an increase in the spine-to-shaft ratio of GFP-CaMKII signal intensity (Fig. 6B). A two way ANOVA comparing the spine-to-shaft ratio between two time points (before and after stimulation) and between treatment groups found an effect of time ( $F(1,58) = 41.81, p < 0.001$ ) but no significant interactions, indicating that translocation was induced by stimulation irrespective of inhibitor treatment. Post hoc analysis further confirmed that the spine-to-shaft ratio increased equally after stimulation both under control conditions ( $p < 0.001$ ) and in the presence of H7 ( $p < 0.05$ ), or Sta ( $p < 0.01$ ). Thus in neurons, as in heterologous expression systems, H7 and Sta do not prevent CaMKII translocation despite inhibiting enzymatic activity.

Translocation of endogenous CaMKII was also assessed by fixing and immunostaining neurons at different time points after glutamate/glycine stimulation. The neurons were pretreated with control, H7, or Sta. Surprisingly, Sta pretreatment interfered with the fixation and/or immunostaining process. Cells fixed after Sta pretreatment stained significantly dimmer than cells that had been treated with H7 or control (Supplemental Figure 1). This



phenomenon held true for both stimulated and non-stimulated cells, and over a range of Sta concentrations (0.02 to 2.0  $\mu$ M). To determine if this reduction in CaMKII signal intensity was due to a Sta-induced degradation of CaMKII, GFP-CaMKII transfected neurons were imaged live over 10 minutes in the presence of control, H7, or Sta. Neurons in all conditions had only a small reduction in signal intensity over 10 minutes ( $15 \pm 3\%$ ) and no differences existed between the groups (Supplemental Figure 1). Because the Sta effect on fixation did not appear to occur in HEK293 cells, it seems that it uniquely interferes with the fixation/immunostaining process in neurons. However, neurons were successfully fixed and immunostained after control or H7 pretreatment, as shown in Figure 6C. A two-way ANOVA comparing synaptic CaMKII enrichment over time between those two treatment groups found a main effect of time ( $F(3,285) = 8.11, p < 0.001$ ) and a significant interaction between time and treatment ( $F(3,285) = 3.52, p < 0.05$ ). Post hoc analysis found that H7 treatment caused significantly greater synaptic enrichment than control after 2 minutes of stimulation ( $p < 0.01$ ), but no other differences existed between the two groups (Fig. 6D). Taken together, our data demonstrate that enzymatic activity of CaMKII is not required for its interaction with GluN2B or for its translocation to synapses.

## DISCUSSION

Binding of CaMKII to GluN2B is essential for normal LTP induction (Barria and Malinow, 2005; Halt et al., 2012) and may also mediate LTP maintenance (Sanhueza et al., 2011). Previously, a requirement for CaMKII activity in CaMKII/GluN2B binding and in CaMKII synaptic translocation was indicated by various pharmacological or genetic manipulations that inhibit CaMKII (Shen and Meyer, 1999; Vest et al., 2007; O'Leary et al., 2011). However, the current study demonstrates that kinase activity is not required for CaMKII/GluN2B binding: the ATP-competitive inhibitors H7 and Sta efficiently inhibited CaMKII, but permitted both CaMKII/GluN2B binding *in vitro* and stimulation-induced CaMKII translocation in heterologous cells and neurons. As discussed below, the previous manipulations inhibited CaMKII activity by several mechanisms, but in all cases exerted their inhibition of CaMKII/GluN2B binding by structural effects that are independent of catalytic activity. Thus, apparently obvious conclusions from results with enzyme inhibition can be misleading, and structural effects of the underlying inhibitory mechanisms need to be taken into account.

While H7 and Sta compete with nucleotides, they were found to mimic, rather than inhibit, the nucleotide mediated enhancement of CaMKII/GluN2B binding. This effect was not entirely surprising as crystal structures of H7 and Sta bound to PKA demonstrate that they induce similar conformational changes in PKA as nucleotides do (Engh et al., 1996; Prade et al., 1997). Importantly, this effect allows H7 and Sta to inhibit activity without interfering with known mechanisms regulating CaMKII/GluN2B binding. By contrast, previous manipulations used to inhibit CaMKII activity disrupted CaMKII/GluN2B binding by interfering with one of three distinct regulatory mechanisms. The K42M mutant inhibits CaMKII by preventing nucleotide binding, but, unlike H7 and Sta, it prevents rather than mimics the positive-regulatory nucleotide effect (O'Leary et al., 2011). The A302R and T305/306D mutants (Rich and Schulman, 1998; Shen and Meyer, 1999), as well as the inhibitor KN93 (Sumi et al., 1991) block CaMKII stimulation by preventing  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ /CaM binding, which is required not only to induce catalytic activity, but also to induce binding to GluN2B (Bayer et al., 2001). Finally, the inhibitor tatCN21 directly binds to the CaMKII T-site (Vest et al., 2007), which is also the GluN2B binding site (Bayer et al., 2001). Thus, the structural interaction between CaMKII and GluN2B requires coenzyme-induced conformational changes in the ATP-binding pocket, dissociation of the CaMKII regulatory domain, and access to the T-site, all of which were maintained by H7

and Sta but not other inhibitory manipulations. For assessing activity-dependence of other protein-protein interactions of CaMKII (or other enzymes), similar structural considerations should be taken into account. Thus, it is essential to analyze the biochemical effects of inhibitors and mutations when using them to assess the function of enzymatic activity.

Careful examination of inhibitory mechanisms is especially vital in the study of CaMKII. Because of the regulatory conditions affecting the three activity states of CaMKII – inactive, stimulated, and autonomous – determining an appropriate inhibitor/mutation is not trivial. For example, a structural role of CaMKII/GluN2B has been suggested in LTP maintenance (Sanhueza et al., 2011; Gouet et al., 2012). All CaMKII inhibitors block LTP induction, but most do not alter maintenance (Malinow et al., 1989; Otmakhov et al., 1997; Chen et al., 2001; Buard et al., 2010). However, most CaMKII inhibitors interfere with the formation of CaMKII/GluN2B complexes but do not disrupt these complexes after they form. An exception is tatCN21; at high concentrations, it disrupts both CaMKII/NMDAR-complexes and LTP maintenance (Sanhueza et al., 2011), however lower concentrations that are sufficient to inhibit CaMKII but not disrupt CaMKII/NMDAR complexes block only LTP induction (Buard et al., 2010). This appears to indicate that LTP maintenance requires only the structural aspect of CaMKII/GluN2B binding, and not enzymatic activity. However, as tatCN21 is competitive with GluN2B binding, tatCN21 should not inhibit GluN2B-bound CaMKII unless it also disrupts the interaction. Thus, it is unclear if LTP maintenance requires enzymatic activity of GluN2B-bound CaMKII. Both H7 and Sta should inhibit activity of GluN2B-bound CaMKII without interfering with the binding, and both are described to inhibit LTP induction and maintenance (Malinow et al., 1989; Colley et al., 1990; Matthies et al., 1991; Hanse and Gustafsson, 1994), although results on the Sta effect on maintenance have been conflicting (Denny et al., 1990; Ling et al., 2002; Pastalkova et al., 2006). However, as H7 and Sta inhibit multiple kinases involved in LTP, these findings do not provide evidence for a role of the activity of GluN2B-bound CaMKII in LTP maintenance.

While H7 and Sta are not selective for CaMKII, the results of our experiments allow a clear and specific interpretation: CaMKII binding to GluN2B or translocation to synapses does not require catalytic activity of CaMKII or any other kinase inhibited by H7 or Sta. Some additional kinases inhibited by both H7 and Sta that are important in LTP include PKA and PKC (Soderling and Derkach, 2000). H7 has similar affinity for CaMKII ( $K_i = 7 \mu\text{M}$

(Malinow et al., 1989)), PKA ( $K_i = 3 \mu\text{M}$  (Hidaka et al., 1984)), and PKC ( $K_i = 6 \mu\text{M}$  (Hidaka et al., 1984)). Sta has slightly higher potency for PKC ( $\text{IC}_{50} = 5 \text{ nM}$  (Meggio et al., 1995)) than PKA ( $\text{IC}_{50} = 15 \text{ nM}$  (Meggio et al., 1995)) and CaMKII ( $\text{IC}_{50} = 20 \text{ nM}$  (Yanagihara et al., 1991)). In addition to serine/threonine kinases, Sta inhibits tyrosine kinases including Src-family (Meggio et al., 1995), which are implicated in LTP (Soderling and Derkach, 2000). The potency of Sta for these kinases is similar to the others ( $v\text{-Src IC}_{50} = 6 \text{ nM}$  (Nakano et al., 1987), Lyn  $\text{IC}_{50} = 20 \text{ nM}$  (Meggio et al., 1995)). Thus, the activities of these kinases – CaMKII, PKA, PKC, and Src-family – are not required for the CaMKII/GluN2B interaction or activity-dependent CaMKII synaptic translocation.

Many ATP-competitive inhibitors, like H7 and Sta, are not kinase selective, owing to well-conserved ATP-binding pockets (Hanks et al., 1988; Omura et al., 1995). Because of this homology, it may be expected that their mechanism of inhibition for kinases would be consistent. However, Sta was described as non-competitive with ATP for CaMKII (Yanagihara et al., 1991; Meggio et al., 1995; Omura et al., 1995), even though it is ATP-competitive for other kinases (Meggio et al., 1995; Omura et al., 1995; Prade et al., 1997). This classification was reexamined here after finding that tight-binding conditions – CaMKII concentration approximated the Sta  $\text{IC}_{50}$  – may have been used to determine the mechanism. Under these conditions, the Michaelis-Menten equation no longer holds true and regardless of the mechanism it will appear noncompetitive (Morrison, 1969; Copeland, 2000). In our experiments the concentration of CaMKII (2.5 nM) was much lower than that used previously (50 nM (Yanagihara et al., 1991)), but was still only ~10-fold lower than the Sta  $\text{IC}_{50}$ , conditions that may require analysis by a tight-binding inhibitor model (Morrison, 1969). Nevertheless, both the traditional nonlinear regression model and the Morrison tight binding inhibitor model showed that Sta inhibits CaMKII through a mixed mode of inhibition. In both models, the affinity of Sta for CaMKII was approximately 15-fold greater without ATP bound than with. Thus, inhibition of CaMKII by Sta is primarily ATP-competitive. Interestingly, PKC inhibition by Sta was also described as non-competitive with ATP (Tamaoki et al., 1986; Nakano et al., 1987), though it is now accepted as ATP-competitive (Meggio et al., 1995; Omura et al., 1995).

Multiple studies have suggested that CaMKII translocation to the synapse is at least in part mediated by binding to GluN2B (Colbran and Brown, 2004; Merrill et al., 2005; Coultrap and Bayer, 2012a). Direct evidence was provided by a mouse mutant with CaMKII binding-incompetent GluN2B (Halt et al., 2012). Additionally, neurons

cultured from GluN2B  $-/-$  mice are deficient for synaptic translocation induced by chemical-LTP, which can be rescued by re-expression of WT but not CaMKII-binding incompetent GluN2B (She et al., 2012). Furthermore, CaMKII translocation can be reconstituted in heterologous cells by co-expressing GluN2B (Bayer et al., 2001). Here, we show that neither synaptic translocation nor CaMKII/GluN2B binding require kinase activity. These shared characteristics strengthen the idea that GluN2B is both necessary and sufficient for CaMKII synaptic translocation, though additional mediating factors may contribute (Colbran and Brown, 2004; Jalan-Sakrikar et al., 2012).

In conclusion, the CaMKII/GluN2B interaction within cells is independent of enzymatic activity, though maximal binding requires occupation of the ATP-binding pocket. This finding highlights the importance of understanding all biochemical effects of inhibitors and mutations, as five different approaches to testing CaMKII/GluN2B binding using inactive mutants or inhibitors provided misleading results. Using detailed understanding of CaMKII activity and interactions along with careful selection of inhibitory mechanisms may lead to the development of better and more specific drugs targeting neuronal plasticity. For example, having the ability to reverse the LTP maintenance phase, without secondary effects on other functions of CaMKII activity, may be therapeutic in disorders of maladaptive plasticity, such as addiction (Hyman et al., 2006) or posttraumatic stress disorder (Nathan et al., 2011). Indeed, persistent disruption of CaMKII/GluN2B complexes by a single transient treatment (Sanhueza et al., 2011) appears to have the potential to persistently reverse addiction behavior (Loweth et al., 2013).

## **AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTIONS**

Participated in research design: Barcomb, Coultrap, Bayer

Conducted experiments: Barcomb, Coultrap

Contributed new reagents or analytic tools:

Performed data analysis: Barcomb, Coultrap, Bayer

Wrote or contributed to writing of the manuscript: Barcomb, Coultrap, Bayer

## REFERENCES

- Barria A, Malinow R (2005) NMDA Receptor Subunit Composition Controls Synaptic Plasticity by Regulating Binding to CaMKII. *Neuron* 48:289–301.
- Bayer KU, De Koninck P, Leonard AS, Hell JW, Schulman H (2001) Interaction with the NMDA receptor locks CaMKII in an active conformation. *Nature* 411:801–805.
- Bayer KU, LeBel E, McDonald GL, O'Leary H, Schulman H, De Koninck P (2006) Transition from reversible to persistent binding of CaMKII to postsynaptic sites and NR2B. *Journal of Neuroscience* 26:1164–1174.
- Buard I, Coultrap SJ, Freund RK, Lee Y-S, Dell'Acqua ML, Silva AJ, Bayer KU (2010) CaMKII “autonomy” is required for initiating but not for maintaining neuronal long-term information storage. *Journal of Neuroscience* 30:8214–8220.
- Chen HX, Otmakhov N, Strack S, Colbran RJ, Lisman JE (2001) Is persistent activity of calcium/calmodulin-dependent kinase required for the maintenance of LTP? *J Neurophysiol* 85:1368–1376.
- Colbran RJ, Brown AM (2004) Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II and synaptic plasticity. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 14:318–327.
- Colley PA, Sheu FS, Routtenberg A (1990) Inhibition of protein kinase C blocks two components of LTP persistence, leaving initial potentiation intact. *J Neurosci* 10:3353–3360.
- Copeland RA (2000) Tight Binding Inhibitors. In: *Enzymes: A Practical Introduction to Structure, Mechanism, and Data Analysis*, 2nd ed. pp 305–317. New York: Wiley-Interscience.
- Coultrap SJ, Bayer KU (2011) Improving a natural CaMKII inhibitor by random and rational design. *PLoS ONE* 6:e25245.
- Coultrap SJ, Bayer KU (2012a) CaMKII regulation in information processing and storage. *Trends in Neurosciences* 35:607–618.
- Coultrap SJ, Bayer KU (2012b) Ca<sup>2+</sup>/Calmodulin-Dependent Protein Kinase II (CaMKII). In: *Protein Kinase Technologies*, pp 49–72 *Neuromethods*. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press.
- Denny JB, Polan-Curtain J, Rodriguez S, Wayner MJ, Armstrong DL (1990) Evidence that protein kinase M does not maintain long-term potentiation. *Brain Res* 534:201–208.
- Derkach V, Barria A, Soderling TR (1999) Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin-kinase II enhances channel conductance of alpha-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionate type glutamate receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 96:3269–3274.
- Engh RA, Girod A, Kinzel V, Huber R, Bossemeyer D (1996) Crystal structures of catalytic subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase in complex with isoquinolinesulfonyl protein kinase inhibitors H7, H8, and H89. Structural implications for selectivity. *J Biol Chem* 271:26157–26164.
- Gardoni F, Caputi A, Cimino M, Pastorino L, Cattabeni F, Di Luca M (1998) Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II is associated with NR2A/B subunits of NMDA receptor in postsynaptic densities. *Journal of Neurochemistry* 71:1733–1741.

- Giese KP, Fedorov NB, Filipkowski RK, Silva AJ (1998) Autophosphorylation at Thr286 of the alpha calcium-calmodulin kinase II in LTP and learning. *Science* 279:870–873.
- Gouet C, Aburto B, Vergara C, Sanhueza M (2012) On the Mechanism of Synaptic Depression Induced by CaMKIIN, an Endogenous Inhibitor of CaMKII. *PLoS ONE* 7:e49293.
- Halt AR, Dallapiazza RF, Zhou Y, Stein IS, Qian H, Juntti S, Wojcik S, Brose N, Silva AJ, Hell JW (2012) CaMKII binding to GluN2B is critical during memory consolidation. *EMBO J* 31:1203–1216.
- Hanks SK, Quinn AM, Hunter T (1988) The protein kinase family: conserved features and deduced phylogeny of the catalytic domains. *Science* 241:42–52.
- Hanse E, Gustafsson B (1994) Staurosporine impairs both short-term and long-term potentiation in the dentate gyrus in vitro. *Neuroscience* 58:263–274.
- Hanson PI, Meyer T, Stryer L, Schulman H (1994) Dual role of calmodulin in autophosphorylation of multifunctional CaM kinase may underlie decoding of calcium signals. *Neuron* 12:943–956.
- Hayashi Y, Shi SH, Esteban JA, Piccini A, Poncer JC, Malinow R (2000) Driving AMPA receptors into synapses by LTP and CaMKII: requirement for GluR1 and PDZ domain interaction. *Science* 287:2262–2267.
- Hidaka H, Inagaki M, Kawamoto S, Sasaki Y (1984) Isoquinolinesulfonamides, novel and potent inhibitors of cyclic nucleotide dependent protein kinase and protein kinase C. *Biochemistry* 23:5036–5041.
- Hudmon A, LeBel E, Roy H, Sik A, Schulman H, Waxham MN, De Koninck P (2005) A mechanism for Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II clustering at synaptic and nonsynaptic sites based on self-association. *Journal of Neuroscience* 25:6971–6983.
- Hyman SE, Malenka RC, Nestler EJ (2006) Neural mechanisms of addiction: the role of reward-related learning and memory. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 29:565–598.
- Jalan-Sakrikar N, Bartlett RK, Baucum AJ, Colbran RJ (2012) Substrate-selective and calcium-independent activation of CaMKII by  $\alpha$ -actinin. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 287:15275–15283.
- Kristensen AS, Jenkins MA, Banke TG, Schousboe A, Makino Y, Johnson RC, Haganir R, Traynelis SF (2011) Mechanism of Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin-dependent kinase II regulation of AMPA receptor gating. *Nat Neurosci* 14:727–735.
- Ling DSF, Benardo LS, Serrano PA, Blace N, Kelly MT, Crary JF, Sacktor TC (2002) Protein kinase M $\zeta$  is necessary and sufficient for LTP maintenance. *Nat Neurosci* 5:295–296.
- Lou LL, Lloyd SJ, Schulman H (1986) Activation of the multifunctional Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase by autophosphorylation: ATP modulates production of an autonomous enzyme. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 83:9497–9501.
- Loweth JA, Li D, Cortright JJ, Wilke G, Jeyifous O, Neve RL, Bayer KU, Vezina P (2013) Persistent reversal of enhanced amphetamine intake by transient CaMKII inhibition. *Journal of Neuroscience* 33:1411–1416.
- Malinow R, Schulman H, Tsien RW (1989) Inhibition of postsynaptic PKC or CaMKII blocks induction but not expression of LTP. *Science* 245:862–866.
- Marsden KC, Shemesh A, Bayer KU, Carroll RC (2010) Selective translocation of Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin protein kinase



IIalpha (CaMKIIalpha) to inhibitory synapses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107:20559–20564.

Matthies H, Behnisch T, Kase H, Matthies H, Reymann KG (1991) Differential effects of protein kinase inhibitors on pre-established long-term potentiation in rat hippocampal neurons in vitro. *Neurosci Lett* 121:259–262.

Meggio F, Donella Deana A, Ruzzene M, Brunati AM, Cesaro L, Guerra B, Meyer T, Mett H, Fabbro D, Furet P (1995) Different susceptibility of protein kinases to staurosporine inhibition. Kinetic studies and molecular bases for the resistance of protein kinase CK2. *Eur J Biochem* 234:317–322.

Merrill MA, Chen Y, Strack S, Hell JW (2005) Activity-driven postsynaptic translocation of CaMKII. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 26:645–653.

Miller SG, Kennedy MB (1986) Regulation of brain type II Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase by autophosphorylation: a Ca<sup>2+</sup>-triggered molecular switch. *Cell* 44:861–870.

Morrison JF (1969) Kinetics of the reversible inhibition of enzyme-catalysed reactions by tight-binding inhibitors. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 185:269–286.

Nakano H, Kobayashi E, Takahashi I, Tamaoki T, Kuzuu Y, Iba H (1987) Staurosporine inhibits tyrosine-specific protein kinase activity of Rous sarcoma virus transforming protein p60. *J Antibiot* 40:706–708.

Nathan PJ, Cobb SR, Lu B, Bullmore ET, Davies CH (2011) Studying synaptic plasticity in the human brain and opportunities for drug discovery. *Current Opinion in Pharmacology* 11:540–548.

O'Leary H, Liu WH, Rorabaugh JM, Coultrap SJ, Bayer KU (2011) Nucleotides and phosphorylation bi-directionally modulate Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII) binding to the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor subunit GluN2B. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 286:31272–31281.

Omura S, Sasaki Y, Iwai Y, Takeshima H (1995) Staurosporine, a potentially important gift from a microorganism. *J Antibiot* 48:535–548.

Opazo P, Labrecque S, Tigaret CM, Frouin A, Wiseman PW, De Koninck P, Choquet D (2010) CaMKII Triggers the Diffusional Trapping of Surface AMPARs through Phosphorylation of Stargazin. *Neuron* 67:239–252.

Otmakhov N, Griffith LC, Lisman JE (1997) Postsynaptic inhibitors of calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase type II block induction but not maintenance of pairing-induced long-term potentiation. *J Neurosci* 17:5357–5365.

Pastalkova E, Serrano P, Pinkhasova D, Wallace E, Fenton AA, Sacktor TC (2006) Storage of spatial information by the maintenance mechanism of LTP. *Science* 313:1141–1144.

Prade L, Engh RA, Girod A, Kinzel V, Huber R, Bossemeyer D (1997) Staurosporine-induced conformational changes of cAMP-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit explain inhibitory potential. *Structure* 5:1627–1637.

Rich RC, Schulman H (1998) Substrate-directed function of calmodulin in autophosphorylation of Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II. *J Biol Chem* 273:28424–28429.

Sanhueza M, Fernandez-Villalobos G, Stein IS, Kasumova G, Zhang P, Bayer KU, Otmakhov N, Hell JW, Lisman J (2011) Role of the CaMKII/NMDA receptor complex in the maintenance of synaptic strength. *Journal of Neuroscience* 31:9170–9178.

- Sanhueza M, Lisman J (2013) The CaMKII/NMDAR complex as a molecular memory. *Mol Brain* 6:1–8.
- Schworer CM, Colbran RJ, Keefe JR, Soderling TR (1988) Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II. Identification of a regulatory autophosphorylation site adjacent to the inhibitory and calmodulin-binding domains. *J Biol Chem* 263:13486–13489.
- She K, Rose JK, Craig AM (2012) Differential stimulus-dependent synaptic recruitment of CaMKII $\alpha$  by intracellular determinants of GluN2B. *Mol Cell Neurosci*.
- Shen K, Meyer T (1999) Dynamic control of CaMKII translocation and localization in hippocampal neurons by NMDA receptor stimulation. *Science* 284:162–166.
- Soderling TR, Derkach VA (2000) Postsynaptic protein phosphorylation and LTP. *Trends in Neurosciences* 23:75–80.
- Strack S, Colbran RJ (1998) Autophosphorylation-dependent targeting of calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II by the NR2B subunit of the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor. *J Biol Chem* 273:20689–20692.
- Strack S, McNeill RB, Colbran RJ (2000) Mechanism and regulation of calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II targeting to the NR2B subunit of the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor. *J Biol Chem* 275:23798–23806.
- Sumi M, Kiuchi K, Ishikawa T, Ishii A, Hagiwara M, Nagatsu T, Hidaka H (1991) The newly synthesized selective Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin dependent protein kinase II inhibitor KN-93 reduces dopamine contents in PC12h cells. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 181:968–975.
- Tamaoki T, Nomoto H, Takahashi I, Kato Y, Morimoto M, Tomita F (1986) Staurosporine, a potent inhibitor of phospholipid/Ca<sup>++</sup>dependent protein kinase. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 135:397–402.
- Thiel G, Czernik AJ, Gorelick F, Nairn AC, Greengard P (1988) Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II: identification of threonine-286 as the autophosphorylation site in the alpha subunit associated with the generation of Ca<sup>2+</sup>-independent activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 85:6337–6341.
- Vest RS, Davies KD, O'Leary H, Port JD, Bayer KU (2007) Dual mechanism of a natural CaMKII inhibitor. *Mol Biol Cell* 18:5024–5033.
- Vest RS, O'Leary H, Coultrap SJ, Kindy MS, Bayer KU (2010) Effective post-insult neuroprotection by a novel Ca(2+)/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII) inhibitor. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 285:20675–20682.
- Yanagihara N, Tachikawa E, Izumi F, Yasugawa S, Yamamoto H, Miyamoto E (1991) Staurosporine: an effective inhibitor for Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II. *Journal of Neurochemistry* 56:294–298.

## FOOTNOTES

- a) This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [Grants T32GM007635, R01NS081248, R21DA36300, P30NS048154].
- b) This work is part of the PhD thesis for K.B. and was presented at meetings as follows:
- Barcomb, K., Buard, I., Coultrap, S.J., & Bayer, K.U. CaMKII activity and GluN2B binding in regulation of synaptic strength. Poster at Gordon Conference on Excitatory Synapses & Brain Function, June 2013.
- Barcomb, K., Buard, I., Coultrap, S.J., & Bayer, K.U. CaMKII activity and GluN2B binding in regulation of synaptic strength. Talk at Gordon Seminar on Excitatory Synapses & Brain Function, June 2013.
- Barcomb, K., Coultrap, S., & Bayer, K.U. (2012 & 2013) CaMKII Activity Is Not Required For GluN2B Binding. Poster at RMRNG Annual Meeting.
- c) Reprint Requests to:
- Ulli Bayer
- University of Colorado Denver, Department of Pharmacology
- Mail Stop 8303
- RC1-North
- 12800 East 19th Ave
- Aurora CO 80045
- [ulli.bayer@ucdenver.edu](mailto:ulli.bayer@ucdenver.edu)

## FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1. H7 and Sta inhibit CaMKII enzymatic activity *in vitro*.** In order to test kinase activity, recombinant, purified CaMKII $\alpha$  (2.5 nM) was used to phosphorylate syntide-2 peptide (75  $\mu$ M) in the presence of control (Ctl), 700  $\mu$ M H7, or 2  $\mu$ M Sta. Results were measured in phosphorylation reactions per minute. Both H7 and ST significantly reduced the activity from control. One-Way ANOVA, \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$

**Figure 2. Sta is competitive with ATP.** To determine the inhibitory mechanism of Sta, concentration-response curves of kinase activity were measured over a range of ATP concentrations. (A) Increasing concentrations of Sta increase the apparent  $K_m$  for ATP without effect on  $V_{max}$ , suggesting a competitive model by Michaelis Menten kinetics. (B) Using the Lineweaver-Burk model, the lines all intercept at the same Y-intercept with varying X-intercepts, mathematically suggesting a competitive model. (C) Testing the data under tight-binding equations shows a mixed model of inhibition that is predominantly competitive with ATP.

**Figure 3. H7 and Sta mimic the nucleotide enhancement of CaMKII/GluN2B binding *in vitro*.** CaMKII binding to GluN2B was assessed by immobilizing GST-tagged GluN2B C-tail to anti-GST coated plates and adding CaMKII in the presence of  $Ca^{2+}$  and calmodulin. Bound protein was measured by Western blot. (A) Representative Western blot of bound fractions from an *in vitro* binding assay run in biological replicates. The membranes were probed for CaMKII (above) and GST-GluN2B (below). Binding reactions contained varied combinations of inhibitors (Inh; 700  $\mu$ M H7 or 2  $\mu$ M Sta) and nucleotide (Nuc; 100  $\mu$ M ADP or ATP). These conditions are indicated above the lanes. (B) Quantification of the Western blot results normalized to no nucleotide control showing enhancement of binding under all conditions relative to no nucleotide control (One Way ANOVA, \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ ). No differences existed within H7 or Sta treatments, though binding in the presence of ADP was greater than ATP (One Way ANOVA, ‡  $p < 0.05$ ). (n = 6-17 per group)

**Figure 4. H7 and Sta inhibit CaMKII enzymatic activity within cells.** To assess inhibition of CaMKII enzymatic activity within cells, HEK293 cells were co-transfected with CaMKII and GluA1. These cells were incubated with inhibitor and then stimulation with ionomycin (Iono). After these treatments, the cells were harvested with phosphatase inhibitors and the resultant extracts were analyzed by Western blotting. (A) H7 reduced S831 phosphorylation in a dose dependent manner, seen comparing stimulated cells in the absence of inhibitor to stimulated cells incubated with 700  $\mu$ M H7 (One Way ANOVA, \*  $p < 0.05$ ) in the graph of Western blot quantification. Below the graph is a representative Western blot of phospho-S831 GluA1 (top) and total GluA1 (bottom). H7 treatment was from 7  $\mu$ M to 700  $\mu$ M; H7 IC<sub>50</sub> = 7  $\mu$ M. (n = 4 per group) (B) Sta also reduced S831 phosphorylation in a dose dependent manner, seen comparing stimulated cells in the absence of inhibitor to stimulated cells incubated with 200 nM H7 (One Way ANOVA, \*  $p < 0.05$ ) in the graph of Western blot quantification. Below the graph is a Western blot of phospho-S831 GluA1 (top) and total GluA1 (bottom), run in biological replicates. Sta treatment was from 2 nM to 200 nM; Sta IC<sub>50</sub> = 20 nM. (n = 3 per group)

**Figure 5. H7 and Sta permit translocation of GFP-CaMKII in heterologous expression systems.**

(A) Representative time course of stimulation-induced GFP-CaMKII translocation to GluN2B in HEK293 cells. Ionomycin-stimulation induces distinctive puncta and increased signal intensity in the perinuclear region. (B) Quantitation of the translocation data shows an increase in ionomycin-induced translocation of CaMKII. There were no differences in time courses between control (Ctl) and H7; Sta induced greater translocation at minutes 1 and 2 (Two Way RMANOVA, \*\*  $p < 0.01$ ). (n = 7-14 per group) (C) Representative images of HEK293 cells fixed without (top) and with (below) ionomycin stimulation. The latter condition displays increased colocalization of GFP-CaMKII (left) and immunostained GluN2B (center), as seen in the merged image (right; GFP-CaMKII – green, GluN2B – red). (D) Quantitation of colocalization between GFP-CaMKII signal and GluN2B staining shows that stimulation increased colocalization in the control condition (indicating translocation), which was further increased when cells were pretreated with 700  $\mu$ M H7 or 2  $\mu$ M Sta (One Way ANOVA, \*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ ). (n = 12-100 per group; note that ionomycin-stimulation decreased cellular adherence, decreasing cells able to be visualized) (E) Comparison of colocalization after stimulation of CaMKII with either WT or S1303A mutant GluN2B. S1303A

displayed increased colocalization with CaMKII relative to WT in the control condition but not after pretreatment with H7 or Sta, which likely prevent S1303 phosphorylation (One Way ANOVA, \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ ). (n = 12-47 per group)

**Figure 6. H7 and Sta permit synaptic translocation of CaMKII in primary hippocampal neurons.**

(A) Representative time course of stimulation-induced synaptic translocation of GFP-CaMKII. GFP-CaMKII signal moves from a diffuse pattern throughout the dendrite to concentrated puncta at dendritic spines. (B) The spine to shaft ratio of GFP-CaMKII signal at 0 and 240 seconds after glut/gly stimulation shows an increase in signal from 0 to 240 seconds in all conditions and no differences between control (Ctl), H7 and Sta. (n = 10-11) Statistics relative to non-stimulated condition within each treatment. (Two Way ANOVA, \*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ ) (C) Representative images of neurons fixed with (top) or without (bottom) glut/gly stimulation and stained for CaMKII (left) and the synaptic marker Shank (center); merge (right) shows overlay of CaMKII (green) and Shank (red). (D) Synaptic enrichment of CaMKII increases after stimulation of increasing duration in both control and H7 pretreated neurons. Synaptic CaMKII was greater for H7 than control at 120 sec; no other differences existed between treatments (One Way ANOVA \*  $p < 0.05$ ). (n = 23-62 per condition)

Figure 1






Figure 2

A



B



C







Figure 3

A



B





Figure 4

A



B




Figure 5










Figure 6


A



B



C



D

