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Supplemental Table 1: Sequences of primers used to construct indicated mutants

| Mutant | Forward primer (5'-3') |
| :--- | :--- |
| D46N | CCTCCCCGCCCTGCCCACAGAATTTCAGCCTGAACTTCGACCG |
| D52N | CTTCAGCCTGAACTTCAACCGGGCCTTCCTGC |
| D89N | GCCCTGAGCAGCACCAACACCTTCCTGCTCC |
| D112N | ACACTGCCGCTCTGGGCAGTGAATGCTGCCGTCCAGTGGGTCTTT |
| D186N | GCTTTTCGCCCTCCCAAACTTCATCTTCCTGTCG |
| D195N | CTGTCGGCCCACCACAACGAGCGCCTCAACG |
| E196N | GGCCCACCACGACAACCGCCTCAACGCCAC |
| D278N | CCTGGTGGTGCTGGTGAACATCCTCATGGACC |
| D282N | GCTGGTGGACATCCTCATGAACCTGGGCGCTTTGGCCCGC |
| E293N | GCAACTGTGGCCGAAACAGCAGGGTAGACGTG |
| D297N | CCGAGAAAGCAGGGTAAACGTGGCCAAGTCGG |
| Y60A | CTTCCTGCCAGCCCTCGCCAGCCTCCTCTTTCTG |
| Y60F | CTTCCTGCCAGCCCTCTTCAGCCTCCTCTTTCTG |
| W109Q | CTGACACTGCCGCTCCAGGCAGTGGACGCTG |
| G128H | CCTCTGCAAAGTGGCACACGCCCTCTTCAACATC |
| F131A | GTGGCAGGTGCCCTCGCCAACATCAACTTCTAC |
| F131H | GTGGCAGGTGCCCTCCACAACATCAACTTCTAC |
| F135A | CTCTTCAACATCAACGCCTACGCAGGAGCCCTC |
| W268Q | GCCTTTGCCCTCTGCCAGACCCCCTATCACCTG |
| Y271A | CTCTGCTGGACCCCCGCCCACCTGGTGGTGCTG |
| K300I | GCAGGGTAGACGTGGCCATCTCGGTCACCTCAGGCCTGG |
| S301A | GTAGACGTGGCCAAGGCCGTCACCTCAGGCCTG |
| S304A | GCCAAGTCGGTCACCGCCGGCCTGGGCTACATG |
| S304E | GCCAAGTCGGTCACCGAGGGCCTGGGCTACATG |
| S304L | CGTGGCCAAGTCGGTCACCCTGGGCCTGGGCTACATGCAC |
| Y308A | CACCTCAGGCCTGGGCGCCATGCACTGCTGCCTC |
| Y308F | CCTCAGGCCTGGGCTTCATGCACTGCTGCCTC |

Note: the reverse primer is the "reverse complemented" version of the primers indicated above.

## Supplemental Methods: Synthesis and chemical analysis of VUF11211

Approach
The synthesis of VUF11211 was carried out according to the general procedures patented by Merck (see patent citation in main text). The scheme below depicts the approach.


Overview of synthetic approach

## General synthetic remarks

THF, toluene and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were distilled freshly from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. All other solvents were used as received. Unless indicated otherwise, all reactions were carried out under an inert nitrogen atmosphere. TLC analyses were performed with Merck F254 Alumina Silica Plates using UV visualization or staining. Microwave reactions were carried out on a Biotage ${ }^{\circledR}$ Initiator. Column purifications were carried out using the Biotage ${ }^{\circledR}$ equipment. All HRMS spectra were recorded on Bruker micrOTOF MS using ESI in positive ion mode. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-,{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ - and 2D-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 250, 400 or 500 MHz spectrometer. Systematic names for molecules were generated using ChemBioDraw Ultra 12. Chemical purities were measured with the aid of analytical LC-MS using a Shimadzu LC-20AD liquid chromatograph pump system with a Shimadzu SPD-M20A diode array detector with the MS detection performed with a Shimadzu LCMS-2010EV mass spectrometer. The column used was an Xbridge (C18) $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column ( $50 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Elution program: solvent B (MeCN/0.1\% formic acid) and solvent A (water/ $0.1 \%$ formic acid), flow rate of $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, start $5 \%$ B, linear gradient to $90 \%$ $B$ in 4.5 min , then 1.5 min at $90 \% \mathrm{~B}$, then linear gradient to $5 \% \mathrm{~B}$ in 0.5 min , then 1.5 min at $5 \% \mathrm{~B}$, total run time of 8 min . Compound purities were calculated as the percentage peak area of the analyzed compound by UV detection at (unless mentioned otherwise) 230 nm . Unless reported otherwise, all compounds have a purity $\geq 95 \%$ as measured by these LCMS analyses. Optical purities were measured with the aid of chiral chromatography. The column used was a Chiralcel OD-H $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$. The eluent used was $n$-hexane $(+0.05 \%$ diethylamine) $/ 2$-propanol $=90 / 10$ with a flow rate of $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The run time was 30 min and UV detection was conducted at 211 nm . Specific optical rotations were measured with a sodium lamp and are reported as follows: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{23}(\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$, solvent). Tert-butyl (S)-2-ethylpiperazine-1-carboxylate (4) was from Porse Fine Chemical (> $98 \%$ e.e). Its optical rotation $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{23}\left(c=4.79 \mathrm{~g} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$, MeOH ) was $+41.7^{\circ}$. Racemic tert-butyl (S)-2-ethylpiperazine-1-carboxylate hydrochloride (rac-4.HCI) was from Acesys Pharmatech. As expected, its optical rotation measured under similiar conditions as with 4 amounted to zero. All other chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich.

## Synthetic procedures

## 5,6-Dichloro-N-ethylnicotinamide (3).



Acid $2(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 52.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{EtNH}_{2} . \mathrm{HCl}(8.5 \mathrm{~g}, 104 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in DMF ( 200 mL , dried over molsieves). EDCI ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 52.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(15.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 108 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. DMF was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in DCM ( 200 mL ) and washed with 1.0 M aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 200 mL ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under vacuum. Column chromatography was performed (eluent: EtOAc/heptane $=1 / 1$ ) to give the product as a white solid ( $5.35 \mathrm{gr}, 47 \%$ ).
LC-MS purity: 98+ \%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.61(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.23-6.12(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51\left(\mathrm{dq}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{1}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
(S)-5-Chloro-N-ethyl-6-(3-ethylpiperazin-1-yl)nicotinamide (5).
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Chloride 3 ( $5.35 \mathrm{~g}, 24.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DMF ( 50 mL , dried over molsieves). Piperazine $4(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 23.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, followed by the addition of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(8.0 \mathrm{gr}, 58$ mmol ). The mixture was stirred overnight at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The DMF was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in DCM $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water ( 50 mL ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under vacuum to give crude Boc-protected product. This was dissolved in DCM $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 1 hour at room temperature and subsequently concentrated under vacuum. The residue was dissolved in DCM ( 25 mL ) and washed with 1.0 M aq. $\mathrm{NaOH}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 25 mL ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under vacuum. Column chromatography (gradient: EtOAc/Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N} 99 / 1$ to $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} 80 / 20 / 1$ ) afforded the product as a white solid ( $3.35 \mathrm{~g}, 46 \%$ ).
LC-MS purity: 98+ \%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.09(\mathrm{brt}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48\left(\mathrm{dq}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{1}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.11-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.72$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{1}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{2}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.52-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 0.97 (t, 3H, J=7.5 Hz).

Tert-butyl
(S)-4-(4-(3-chloro-5-(ethylcarbamoyl)pyridin-2-yl)-2-ethylpiperazin-1-yl)piperidine-1-carboxylate (7).


$\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$


Amine 5 ( $3.30 \mathrm{~g}, 11.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry DCM ( 75 mL ). Piperidone $6(4.4 \mathrm{~g}, 22.2$ mmol ) was added stepwise, followed by the addition of $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(4.7 \mathrm{~g}, 22.2 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred for 16 hours at room temperature. LC-MS inspection of an aliquot revealed incomplete conversion. Therefore, more piperidone 6 ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and
$\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 9.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. Stirring was continued for another 24 hours at room temperature. Aq. $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to quench the reaction. The organic layer was collected and the water layer was extracted once with DCM ( 75 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under vacuum. Column chromatography (eluent: EtOAc) afforded the product as a white solid ( $4.35 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ ).
LC-MS purity: 98+ \%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.47(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz})$, $5.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.08(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.22(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.33$ (m, 1H), $1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

## (S)-5-Chloro-N-ethyl-6-(3-ethyl-4-(piperidin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)nicotinamide (8).




Boc-protected amine 7 ( $4.35 \mathrm{~g}, 9.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM ( 50 mL ). $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added drop wise. The mixture was stirred for 1 hour at room temperature and subsequently concentrated under vacuum. The residue was dissolved in DCM ( 25 mL ) and washed with 1.0 M aq. $\mathrm{NaOH}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under vacuum. Column chromatography (gradient: $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} 99 / 1$ to $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} 80 / 20 / 1$ ) gave the product as a yellow foam ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 67$ \%).
LC-MS purity: 98+ \%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.47(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.1$ Hz ), $5.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.77-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48\left(\mathrm{dq}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{1}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.29-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.22-3.11 (m, 3H), 2.91-2.80 (m, 2H), 2.78-2.71 (m, 1H), 2.71-2.55 (m, 3H), 1.79-1.70 (m, $2 H), 1.68-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
(S)-5-Chloro-6-(4-(1-(4-chlorobenzyl)piperidin-4-yl)-3-ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-NethyInicotinamide (1, VUF11211).


Amine $8(800 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in DCM ( 20 mL ). Aldehyde $9(300 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, followed by the addition of $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The mixture was quenched with $1.0 \mathrm{Maq} . \mathrm{NaOH}$ $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was collected, washed with water ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under vacuum. Column chromatography (eluent: EtOAc / MeOH / TEA $=100 / 0 / 1$ to 80/20/1) afforded an oil. The obtained oil was crystallized from hot EtOAc to give the product as a white solid ( $550 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ). The compound tends to retain traces of EtOAc (1-5 mole \%) even after extensive drying.
LC-MS purity: 99+ \%. Chiral LC purity: one peak at 15.22 min (co-injection with rac-1 confirms identity of peak). HR-MS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}^{+}$calc: 504.2291, found: 504.2269. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.47(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.56-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
3.24 (dq, 2H, J1=7.1 Hz), 3.20-3.13 (m, 1H), 3.13-3.06 (m, 1H), 2.85-2.77 (m, 3H), 2.70-2.64 (m, 1H), 2.64-2.60 (m, 1H), 2.60-2.53 (m, 1H), 2.01-1.88 (m, 2H), 1.66-1.55 (m, 3H), 1.55$1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{dq}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J} 1=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.10(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{13}$ C-APT-NMR (DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}(\uparrow), 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 163.6(\uparrow), 159.0(\uparrow), 145.8(\downarrow), 138.2(\uparrow), 138.2(\downarrow)$, $131.8(\uparrow), 130.9(\downarrow), 128.6(\downarrow), 124.2(\uparrow), 119.7(\uparrow), 61.6(\uparrow), 56.7(\downarrow), 55.5(\downarrow), 53.4(\uparrow), 52.9$ $(\uparrow), 52.1(\uparrow), 49.2(\uparrow), 44.2(\uparrow), 34.5(\uparrow), 30.7(\uparrow), 25.4(\uparrow), 20.4(\uparrow), 15.2(\downarrow), 10.4(\downarrow)$. Hardcopies of selected spectra are available at the end of this Supporting Information.

2D-NMR spectroscopy: From the APT spectrum it became clear that the piperidine $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups in compound 1 are non-equivalent (making the total amount of expected aliphatic carbons to be 14), perhaps due to severely restricted rotation around $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}$ bond (low level force field calculations using MOE software and MMF94x protocol suggest a C-N rotation barrier of about $16 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mole}$ ). Heating the NMR sample to 373 K had little effect. Nonequivalency of the piperidine $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups was proven by 2D NMR spectroscopy. Key evidence involves [1] two HMBC couplings of equal intensity between the benzyl $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ protons and two different aliphatic $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons (colored green and red) [2] HSQC coupling of these two $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ aliphatics carbons with four different protons (termed $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ ).


Key HMBC couplings


Key HSQC couplings
rac-5-Chloro-6-(4-(1-(4-chlorobenzyl)piperidin-4-yl)-3-ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-Nethylnicotinamide (rac-1, rac-VUF11211).

rac-VUF11211 (rac-1)
This compound was prepared using the same route as described for VUF11211 (1), but using rac-4. HCl instead of enantiopure 4 . As expected, features of rac-1 from NMR and achiral LCMS analyses are identical to those of enantiopure 1.
LC-MS purity: 98+ \%. LR-MS: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}^{+}$calc: 504.2, found: 504.1. Chiral LC purity: two peaks of equal area at 13.84 min and 15.32 min .

## Exemplary spectra and chromatograms


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of 1 in DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$. A trace of EtOAc ( 2.5 mole \%) is visible.




LC-MS chromatogram of 1.


1 PDA Multi $1 / 230 \mathrm{~nm} 4 \mathrm{~nm}$



LC-MS chromatogram of co-injected equimolar amounts of enantiopure 1 and rac-1.


Chiral LC chromatogram of enantiopure 1.


Chiral LC chromatogram of rac-1.


Chiral LC chromatogram of co-injected equimolar amounts of enantiopure 1 and rac-1.

