Remdesivir and EIDD-1931 Interact with Human Equilibrative Nucleoside Transporters 1 and 2: Implications for Reaching SARS-CoV-2 Viral Sanctuary Sites
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ABSTRACT

Equilibrative nucleoside transporters (ENTs) are present at the blood-testis barrier (BTB), where they can facilitate antiviral drug disposition to eliminate a sanctuary site for viruses detectable in semen. The purpose of this study was to investigate ENT-drug interactions with three nucleoside analogs, remdesivir, molnupiravir, and molnupiravir’s active metabolite, \( \beta \)-D-N\(^4\)-hydroxycytidine (EIDD-1931), and four non-nucleoside molecules repurposed as antivirals for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). The study used three-dimensional pharcophores for ENT1 and ENT2 substrates and inhibitors and Bayesian machine learning models to identify potential interactions with these transporters. In vitro transport experiments demonstrated that remdesivir was the most potent inhibitor of ENT-mediated \( ^{3} \text{H} \)uridine uptake (ENT1 IC\(_{50}\): 39 \( \mu \)M; ENT2 IC\(_{50}\): 77 \( \mu \)M), followed by EIDD-1931 (ENT1 IC\(_{50}\): 259 \( \mu \)M; ENT2 IC\(_{50}\): 467 \( \mu \)M), whereas molnupiravir was a modest inhibitor (ENT1 IC\(_{50}\): 701 \( \mu \)M; ENT2 IC\(_{50}\): 851 \( \mu \)M). Other proposed antivirals failed to inhibit ENT-mediated \( ^{3} \text{H} \)uridine uptake below 1 mM. Remdesivir accumulation decreased in the presence of 6-S-\([4\text{-nitrophenyl} \]methyl\)-6-thioinosine (NBMPR) by 30% in ENT1 cells (\( P = 0.0248 \)) and 27% in ENT2 cells (\( P = 0.0054 \)). EIDD-1931 accumulation decreased in the presence of NBMPR by 77% in ENT1 cells (\( P = 0.0463 \)) and by 64% in ENT2 cells (\( P = 0.0132 \)), which supported computational predictions that both are ENT substrates that may be important for efficacy against COVID-19. NBMPR failed to decrease molnupiravir uptake, suggesting that ENT interaction is likely inhibitory. Our combined computational and in vitro data can be used to identify additional ENT-drug interactions to improve our understanding of drugs that can circumvent the BTB.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

This study identified remdesivir and EIDD-1931 as substrates of equilibrative nucleoside transporters 1 and 2. This provides a potential mechanism for uptake of these drugs into cells and may be important for antiviral potential in the testes and other tissues expressing these transporters.

Introduction

The blood-testis barrier (BTB) protects developing germ cells, and some of the key components of this barrier are the tight junctions between the epithelial cells of the testis and efflux transporters present at the basal membrane of Sertoli cells (Mruk et al., 2011; Mruk and Cheng, 2015). The BTB can limit drug disposition and immune cell access to the male genital tract, creating an important sanctuary site where viruses can persist and potentially remain transmissible after drug treatment (Politch et al., 2012; Houzet et al., 2014; Söka et al., 2016; Uyeki et al., 2016; Deen et al., 2017; Robinson et al., 2018). Therapeutics that readily bypass this barrier may be more effective at treating viruses and inform the design and development of new antivirals that are able to reach sanctuary sites, such as the testes.

Equilibrative nucleoside transporter (ENT) 1 and ENT2 are ubiquitously expressed proteins that transport endogenous nucleosides across cell membranes. Due to similarity in chemical structure to endogenous ENT substrates, the ENTs are thought to transport nucleoside/nucleotide analogs. Recent studies have identified additional non-nucleoside analog antivirals, including darunavir and nevirapine, that interact with the ENTs (Miller et al., 2021a,b). Didanosine and ribavirin are two ENT substrates that are detectable in the semen of patients prescribed these drugs (Lowe et al., 2007;...
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Hofer et al., 2010). The transepithelial transport pathway that is created by ENT1 on the basal membrane of Sertoli cells and ENT2 on the apical membrane of Sertoli cells provides a mechanism for antivirals that are substrates of these transporters to cross the BTB (Klein et al., 2013).

Repurposing molecules as broad-spectrum antivirals has the potential to bypass drug discovery and development, enabling these compounds to reach patients quicker. Computational and in vitro approaches implemented early in the research and development process can also reduce the likelihood of undesirable off-target effects later in the process (Kola and Landis, 2004; Bowes et al., 2012). Remdesivir is a nucleoside analog that was initially developed to treat hepatitis C virus. It was subsequently repurposed to treat Ebola virus and has since demonstrated activity against other RNA viruses, including severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (Siegel et al., 2017; Mulangu et al., 2019; Eastman et al., 2020), for which it is US Food and Drug Administration–approved. Molnupiravir is currently being evaluated for the treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) (Cox et al., 2021; National Clinical Trial 04405739). Additionally, there is continued interest in exploring other known inhibitors of the Ebola virus, including tilorone, pyronaridine, quinacrine, and, controversially, hydroxychloroquine (Sagara et al., 2018; Ekins et al., 2018, 2019, 2020; Lane et al., 2019; Baker et al., 2020; Ekins and Madrid, 2020; Lane et al., 2020a,b; Lane and Ekins, 2020; Naghipour et al., 2020; Bailly, 2021; Puhl et al., 2021), as potential treatments for COVID-19. SARS-CoV-2 is detectable in the semen of patients (Li et al., 2020). Ebola virus is also sexually transmitted (Malvy et al., 2019); therefore, it is essential that treatments for this virus and other sexually transmitted viruses (e.g., human immunodeficiency virus) are able to reach the site of transmission. There are monoclonal antibody treatments for Ebola virus, but none are small-molecule treatments approved to date (Kaplon and Reichert, 2021; Markham, 2021) or specifically focused on reaching the virus sanctuary sites.

The purpose of this study was to investigate interactions of the antiviral drugs remdesivir, tilorone, pyronaridine, quinacrine, hydroxychloroquine, molnupiravir, and molnupiravir’s active metabolite, β-β-N'-Hydroxyxycytidine (EIDD-1931), with ENT1 and ENT2. Interactions were investigated using computational approaches and results were validated using previously established in vitro methods to identify substrates and inhibitors of the ENTs (Miller et al., 2021a,b). The computational methods and transport experiments were completed in an exploratory manner. Identifying drugs that are both effective in treating sexually transmitted viruses and are substrates of the ENTs could be useful to identify drugs to prevent further sexual transmission, prevent viral relapse after treatment, and elucidate the broader roles of these transporters in drug disposition.

Materials and Methods

Reagents. [3H]Uridine (specific activity 35.8 Ci/mmol) and Micro-Scint-20 scintillation cocktail were purchased from PerkinElmer (Waltham, MA). Remdesivir, molnupiravir, EIDD-1931, cladribine, tilorone, and quinacrine were purchased from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI). Pyronaridine tetraphosphate [4-[(7-chloro-2-methoxybenzo[b][1,5]naphthyridin-10-yl)aminol]-2,6-bis(1-pyrrolidinylmethyl)phenol phosphate (1:4)] was purchased from BOC Sciences (Shirley, NY). The purity of these compounds is greater than 95%. Hydroxychloroquine was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). 6-[(4-Nitrophenyl)ethyl]-6-thioinosine (NBMPR) was purchased from Tocris Bioscience (Bristol, UK). Poly(L-lysine) (10 mg/ml) was purchased from ScienCell (Carlsbad, CA). Additional reagents were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA).

Ligand-Based Substrate and Inhibitor Pharmacophores. Three-dimensional quantitative structure activity relationship pharmacophores previously generated for ENT1 and ENT2 using Discovery Studio (Biovia; San Diego, CA) were used to score transporter interactions with the antivirals in this study (Miller et al., 2021a). For pharmacophores, known substrates with reported Kt (concentration to reach half-maximal rate of transport) values were used to measure biologic activity, and IC50 values were used as a measure of biologic activity for inhibitor pharmacophores. Hydrogen bond acceptor, hydrogen bond donor, and hydrophobic positive and negative ionizable features were selected for pharmacophore generation. A more detailed description on ENT ligand–based pharmacophore generation has been previously provided (Miller et al., 2021a). Mapping of compounds to ligand-based pharmacophores was completed in an exploratory manner.

Assay Central Bayesian Models. Assay Central Bayesian models were generated using a ChEMBL training set containing ENT1 IC50 values that was previously described and data curated from the literature (Miller et al., 2021a,b). These models were generated to predict ENT1 activity from chemical structures. The ChEMBL training set used was Target ID 1997 (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/chembl/target_report_card/CHEMBL1997). Active compounds are predicted to interact with the ENTs, whereas inactive compounds are predicted to not interact with the ENTs. Thresholds for actives/inactives were 2 μM for the ChEMBL model, 316 mM for the model generated using previously published data by our group, 0.66 μM for the ENT1 model using literature data, and 13 μM for the ENT2 model using literature data (Miller et al., 2021a,b). These Bayesian models were used to generate prediction and applicability scores that predict the activity of remdesivir, tilorone, pyronaridine, quinacrine, hydroxychloroquine, and molnupiravir. Further details on the ENT Assay Central Bayesian models can be found in earlier publications (Sandoval et al., 2018; Miller et al., 2021a,b). Prediction and applicability scores for each compound were completed in an exploratory manner.

Cell Culture. HeLa ENT cells that functionally express either ENT1 or ENT2 were generated from wild-type HeLa S3 CCL-2.2 cells using CRISPR/Cas9 and were maintained according to the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) (Miller et al., 2021a,b). ENT cells were grown in Ham’s F12K medium containing 1.5 g/l sodium bicarbonate, 1% v/v penicillin/streptomycin, and 10% v/v fetal bovine serum. Cells were kept at 37 °C in a humidified 5% CO2 incubator. Cells were routinely tested for potential mycoplasma contamination. ENT1 and ENT2 cells were characterized in a previous publication (Miller et al., 2021b). In ENT1 cells, functional loss of ENT2 is a result of a deletion in exon 1. In ENT2 cells, functional loss of ENT1 is a result of a deletion in exon 5 (Miller et al., 2021b). One hundred nanomolar NBMPR eliminates [3H]uridine uptake in ENT1 cells, and 100 μM NBMPR eliminates [3H]uridine in ENT2 cells (Miller et al., 2021b).

Transport Experiments. Experiments were performed as described previously (Miller et al., 2021a,b) except HeLa ENT cells were seeded 1 day prior to experiments in poly(L-lysine)–coated 96-well plates at 35,000 cells/well. All experiments were conducted with confluent cell monolayers at room temperature (n = 3). Transport buffers were made in Waymouth’s Buffer (WB): 2.5 mM CaCl2•2H2O, 28 mM d-glucose, 13 mM HEPES, 1.2 mM NaCl, 0.8 mM MgSO4•7H2O, pH 7.4). Fresh transport buffer solutions were prepared for each experiment. All transport experiments were completed in an exploratory manner. Remdesivir stocks were prepared
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in 100% DMSO and carefully diluted into transport buffer with a final concentration of 2% v/v DMSO. Preliminary studies established that 2% v/v DMSO in transport buffer does not interfere with transport experiments in these cells. Cells were washed twice with WB, and then 50 μl of transport buffer containing 1 μCi/ml (~30 nM) [3H]uridine and increasing concentrations of antiviral drug was added to cells. Transport was terminated after 5 minutes by rinsing cells three times with WB. Two hundred microliters of liquid scintillation cocktail was added to cells before determining the total accumulated radioactivity using a liquid scintillation counter. Transport experiments were completed in an exploratory manner. For transport experiments with liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), no [3H]uridine was included in the transport buffer. Based on the calculated IC50 values for remdesivir, molnupiravir, and EIDD-1931, 50 μM remdesivir was used for remdesivir accumulation experiments, 500 μM molnupiravir was used for molnupiravir accumulation experiments, and 250 μM EIDD-1931 was used for EIDD-1931 accumulation experiments. After terminating transport, samples were prepared for LC-MS/MS by adding 50 μl of 1:1 methanol:acetonitrile to cells containing 100 ng/ml of internal standard (cladribine) and incubated overnight at 4°C (Miller et al., 2021a,b). Calibration curves were prepared identically to samples. Remdesivir and molnupiravir samples were dried and resuspended in 50 μl of 9:1:10 H2O:acetonitrile + 0.1% formic acid.

**LC-MS/MS Detection and Quantification.** A Shimadzu Prominence HPLC system (Kyoto, Japan) coupled to a SCIEX QTRAP 4500 mass spectrometer (Framingham, MA) was used. Twelve microliters of sample was injected onto an Agilent Poroshell 120 C18 column (Santa Clara, CA). Supplemental Table 1 contains multiple reaction monitoring transitions and instrumental parameters. Separate methods were developed and used for the detection of remdesivir and molnupiravir. Remdesivir and molnupiravir were detected in positive ion mode and separated over a binary gradient of water with 0.1% formic acid (A) and acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid (B) at a flow rate of 0.3 ml/min. For remdesivir, it proceeded as 10% B (0–1 minutes), 10% to 90% B (1–3 minutes), 90% B (3–4 minutes), 90% to 10% B (4–4.5 minutes), and 10% B (4.5–6 minutes). For molnupiravir, it proceeded as 10% B (0–1 minutes), 10% to 90% B (1–3 minutes), 90% B (3–4 minutes), 90% to 10% B (4–4.5 minutes), and 10% B (4.5–6 minutes). The column was equilibrated with 10% B for 0.5 minutes between remdesivir and molnupiravir samples. EIDD-1931 was detected in positive ion mode and separated over a binary gradient of water with 0.1% formic acid (A) and acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid (B) at a flow rate of 0.4 ml/min. For EIDD-1931, it proceeded as 25% B (0–1 minutes), 2.5% to 90% B (1–4 minutes), 90% B (4–4.5 minutes), and 90% to 10% B (4.5–5 minutes). The column was equilibrated with 25% B for 2 minutes between EIDD-1931 samples. Data were analyzed using MultiQuant MD 3.0.2 (SCIEX; Framingham, MA) before statistical analysis was completed using GraphPad Prism version 9.0 (San Diego, CA).

**Data Analysis.** All transport experiments were done in duplicate using three separate cell passages (n = 3). Data from LC-MS/MS transport experiments were converted from nanograms per milliliter to picomole per negative square centimeters (nominal cell surface area). Data are reported as mean and standard deviation. The IC50 value of remdesivir, tilsorone, pyronaridine, quinacrine, and hydroxychloroquine on ENT1- and ENT2-mediated [3H]uridine uptake was calculated using eq. 1 for each individual experiment (Miller et al., 2021a,b).

\[
J = \left[ \frac{J_{\text{app-max}} \times T}{IC_{50} + [S]} \right] + (K_d \times T) \quad \text{(Eq. 1)}
\]

In eq. 1, J is total uridine transport, J_{\text{app-max}} is a constant (J_{\text{max}} times the ratio of the IC50 for the antiviral and the Kt for uridine), T is [3H]uridine concentration, and S is antiviral concentration. To compare ENT1 and ENT2 IC50 values for each experiment, an unpaired t test (P < 0.05) was used. Antiviral uptake studies in the presence and absence of NBMPR were compared using an unpaired, two-tailed t test (P ≤ 0.05). Because of the exploratory nature of experiments, the outcomes of all statistical tests are descriptive. Means and statistical tests were based on technical replicates.

**Results**

**Ligand-Based Substrate and Inhibitor Pharmacophores.** Table 1 reports fit values and estimated Kt and IC50 values for antivirals mapped to the ENT substrate and inhibitor pharmacophores. Remdesivir had the highest fit value to the ENT1 and ENT2 substrate pharmacophores (Fig. 1, A and D). Additionally, remdesivir had the highest fit value for both ENT1 and ENT2 inhibitor pharmacophores (Fig. 2, A and D). Molnupiravir also had high fit values to the ENT substrate and inhibitor pharmacophores (Figs. 1, B and E, and 2, B and E). Remdesivir had the lowest estimated Kt values for ENT1 and ENT2 (Table 1).

**Assay Central Bayesian Models.** Bayesian models were generated using the ENT1 inhibitor dataset from ChEMBL, previously published data from our laboratory, and data curated from literature searches on ENT1 and ENT2 interactions (Miller et al., 2021a,b). Each model had different automatically calculated thresholds to consider a compound as active or inactive. Although these vary, the receiver operating characteristic values are very good (i.e., >0.8), whereas the two binary dataset receiver operating characteristic values (Fig. 3, B and C) are acceptable (approximately 0.71), likely a product of their smaller size in comparison with the

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENT1 Substrate</th>
<th>Estimate Kt (μM)</th>
<th>Fit Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remdesivir</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molnupiravir</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIDD-1931</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxychloroquine</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>4.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENT2 Substrate</td>
<td>Estimate Kt (μM)</td>
<td>Fit Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remdesivir</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>6.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molnupiravir</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>5.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIDD-1931</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>5.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxychloroquine</td>
<td>4,424</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyronaridine</td>
<td>13,624</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinacrine</td>
<td>19,091</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilorone</td>
<td>550,687</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENT1 Inhibitor</td>
<td>Estimate IC50 (μM)</td>
<td>Fit Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remdesivir</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>8.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molnupiravir</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIDD-1931</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxychloroquine</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyronaridine</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>4.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinacrine</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>4.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilorone</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENT2 Inhibitor</td>
<td>Estimate IC50 (μM)</td>
<td>Fit Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remdesivir</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molnupiravir</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIDD-1931</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>4.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxychloroquine</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyronaridine</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinacrine</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilorone</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tilorone, quinacrine, and pyronaridine were not predicted to map to the ENT substrate pharmacophore.
others. The calculated thresholds, however, produce a ratio of actives to inactives that is encouraging, especially as Bayesian algorithms have been shown to be well suited to handle unbalanced datasets in our various earlier studies (Clark et al., 2015; Clark and Ekins, 2015). Prediction scores ≥0.5 designated a compound as active. Prediction and applicability scores for remdesivir, molnupiravir, tilorone, pyronaridine, quinacrine, and hydroxychloroquine are included in Supplemental Table 1.

Inhibitory Interactions with ENT1 and ENT2. The IC₅₀ values of remdesivir, molnupiravir, EIDD-1931, tilorone, pyronaridine, quinacrine, and hydroxychloroquine on ENT1- and ENT2-mediated [³H]uridine uptake were calculated using eq. 1 and reported in Table 2 and plotted in Fig. 4. Remdesivir was the most potent inhibitor of both ENT1 (IC₅₀: 38 ± 2 μM) and ENT2 (IC₅₀: 73 ± 14 μM), and calculated IC₅₀ values were different for ENT1 and ENT2 (P = 0.0106). EIDD-1931 was the second-most potent inhibitor of both transporters (ENT1 IC₅₀: 259 ± 118 μM; ENT2 IC₅₀: 467 ± 101 μM), and calculated IC₅₀ values were similar (P = 0.0806). Molnupiravir was the third-most potent inhibitor of both transporters (ENT1 IC₅₀: 701 ± 294 μM; ENT2 IC₅₀: 851 ± 152 μM), and calculated IC₅₀ values were similar for ENT1 and ENT2 (P = 0.4749). Tilorone, pyronaridine, quinacrine, and hydroxychloroquine did not inhibit ENT1- or ENT2-mediated [³H]uridine uptake well; calculated IC₅₀ values were greater than 900 μM, with most being greater than 2 mM. There was no difference in calculated IC₅₀ values for tilorone (P = 0.1063), quinacrine (P = 0.1377), or hydroxychloroquine (P = 0.3847);

Fig. 1. ENT1 and ENT2 substrate pharmacophores. ENT1 substrate pharmacophore with (A) remdesivir, (B) molnupiravir, (C) EIDD-1931, and (D) hydroxychloroquine mapped and ENT2 substrate pharmacophore with (E) remdesivir, (F) molnupiravir, (G) EIDD-1931, and (H) hydroxychloroquine mapped. Gray represents excluded volumes, and purple represents hydrogen bond donors.

Fig. 2. ENT1 and ENT2 inhibitor pharmacophores. ENT1 inhibitor pharmacophore with (A) remdesivir, (B) molnupiravir, (C) EIDD-1931, and (D) hydroxychloroquine mapped and ENT2 inhibitor pharmacophore with (E) remdesivir, (F) molnupiravir, and (G) EIDD-1931 mapped. Gray represents excluded volumes, cyan represents hydrophobic groups, and green represents hydrogen bond acceptors.
however, there was a difference in calculated IC₅₀ values for pyronaridine ($P = 0.0052$).

Remdesivir, Molnupiravir, and EIDD-1931 Transport. The accumulation of remdesivir and molnupiravir in ENT1 and ENT2 cells in the presence and absence of 100 μM NBMPR was determined. Remdesivir accumulation decreased in the presence of NBMPR by 30% in ENT1 cells (90.4 ± 6.42 vs. 63.9 ± 11.4 pmol cm⁻² remdesivir; $P = 0.0248$) and by 27% in ENT2 cells (103 ± 8.25 vs. 75.9 ± 2.80 pmol cm⁻² remdesivir; $P = 0.0054$). Molnupiravir accumulation did not decrease in the presence of NBMPR in ENT1 cells (30.4 ± 2.10 vs. 31.7 ± 5.77 pmol cm⁻² molnupiravir; $P = 0.7248$) or ENT2

Fig. 3. Updated Bayesian models integrating established models for ENTs with compounds used in this study. (A) ENT1-ChEMBL model (Miller et al., 2021b), (B) ENT1 model using data from our laboratory (Miller et al., 2021b), (C) ENT2 model using data from our laboratory (Miller et al., 2021b), (D) ENT1 model using literature data (Miller et al., 2021a), and (E) ENT2 model using literature data (Miller et al., 2021a). MCC, Matthews correlation coefficient; ROC, receiver operating characteristic.
cells (32.1 ± 3.90 vs. 31.8 ± 5.90 pmol cm⁻² molnupiravir; \(P = 0.9431\)). EIDD-1931 accumulation decreased in the presence of NBMPR by 77% in ENT1 cells (90.6 ± 41.8 vs. 20.9 ± 6.70 pmol cm⁻² EIDD-1931; \(P = 0.0463\)) and by 64% in ENT2 cells (74.1 ± 13.4 vs. 26.8 ± 13.8 pmol cm⁻² EIDD-1931; \(P = 0.0132\)).

**Discussion**

We have shown for the first time that remdesivir and EIDD-1931 are substrates of ENT1 and ENT2, which have implications for reaching SARS-CoV-2 viral sanctuary sites. The transepithelial transport pathway created by ENT1 and ENT2 in Sertoli cells provides a potential entry mechanism for antivirals to cross the BTB, potentially eliminating this viral sanctuary site (Klein et al., 2013; Miller and Cherrington, 2018). Antivirals that are transported by the ENTs not only have the ability to cross the BTB but also penetrate other tissues since these transporters are widely expressed in the human body (Pennycooke et al., 2001; Molina-Arcas et al., 2009). This study therefore used a combination of computational (pharmacophores and Bayesian models) and in vitro approaches to determine whether seven antivirals with activity against SARS-CoV-2 (and other viruses) interacted with ENT1 and ENT2. The value of computational approaches to identify drug-transporter interactions was demonstrated through earlier studies (Miller et al., 2021a,b) and here with remdesivir and molnupiravir.

Remdesivir was computationally predicted to be the most potent inhibitor of ENT1 and ENT2 and a substrate of both transporters. Metabolites of remdesivir were not investigated because remdesivir needs to enter cells before intracellular conversion occurs, and the purpose of our study was to explore the roles of the ENTs in remdesivir uptake. We determined the IC₅₀ values for seven antivirals on ENT1- and ENT2-mediated [³H]uridine uptake and measured remdesivir uptake in the presence of the ENT-specific inhibitor, NBMPR. Remdesivir was estimated to inhibit ENT1 in the low-nanomolar range and ENT2 in the low-micromolar range. Our studies determined that remdesivir inhibited ENT1- and ENT2-mediated [³H]uridine uptake in the low-micromolar range. EIDD-1931 was estimated to inhibit ENT1 and ENT2 in the low-micromolar range, and our studies determined that EIDD-1931 inhibited ENT1 and ENT2-mediated [³H]uridine uptake in the mid-micromolar range. Additional experiments showed that remdesivir and EIDD-1931 are substrates of ENT1 and ENT2 (Fig. 5, A, B, E, and F).

The experimentally determined IC₅₀ values for remdesivir were slightly higher than the estimated \(K_i\) values (estimated \(K_i\) ENT1: 2 μM and ENT2: 41 μM). The inhibition profile of remdesivir and EIDD-1931 on ENT-mediated [³H]uridine uptake aligns with IC₅₀ values for other known substrates, including endogenous nucleosides and nucleoside analog drugs (Miller et al., 2021b). These data align with Bayesian model predictions at higher thresholds. The experimentally determined IC₅₀ values of molnupiravir were also higher than estimated IC₅₀ values, and subsequent data suggested that molnupiravir’s interaction with the ENTs is limited to inhibition (Fig. 5, C and D). The experimentally determined IC₅₀ values of EIDD-1931 were higher than estimated \(K_i\) values (estimated \(K_i\) ENT1: 1.5 μM and ENT2: 76 μM). Tilorone, pyeronaridine, quinacrine, and hydroxychloroquine did not interact effectively with either of the ENTs, as predicted with pharmacophores (although not by Bayesian models). Overall, our computational predictions of ENT-drug interactions generally aligned with our in vitro data.

Remdesivir is currently used for the treatment of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 (Eastman et al., 2020; Gilead Sciences, 2020; Jorgensen et al., 2020). Once remdesivir enters cells, it is converted to its active metabolite by kinases (Gilead Sciences, 2020). It is a known substrate of organic anion–transporting polypeptide (OATP) 1B1 and P-glycoprotein and also interacts with OATP1B3 and OATP2B1 (Gilead Sciences, 2020; Nies et al., 2021; Telbisz et al., 2021). Remdesivir inhibited OATP1A2 and OATP2B1 in the low-micromolar range (~4 μM) (Telbisz et al., 2021). Nies et al. (2021) concluded that although remdesivir is a substrate of OATP1B1, low uptake rates suggest that OATP1B1 is not important for uptake into hepatocytes. The identification of remdesivir as a substrate of ENT1 and ENT2 provides a potentially viable mechanism for remdesivir uptake into cells. The list of transporters recommended for the investigation of potential unwanted drug-drug interactions (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2020) does not currently include either ENT1 or ENT2. The reported maximum plasma concentrations of remdesivir is ~7.5 μM after a single dose, and ~3.7 μM after multiple doses (Gilead Sciences, 2020; Humeniuk et al., 2021). High plasma protein binding indicate there is a low potential for remdesivir to interact with these transporters in vivo due to lower unbound drug concentrations. However, the presence of a carrier-mediated pathway does provide a mechanism for remdesivir to cross the plasma membrane. Molnupiravir is also currently in clinical trials for the treatment of COVID-19 (Cox et al., 2021; Wahl et al., 2021; National Library of Medicine National Clinical Trial, 2020), and to date, there is no published information on molnupiravir-transporter interactions. Molnupiravir is hydrolyzed to its active metabolite, EIDD-1931, which

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antiviral</th>
<th>ENT1 IC₅₀ μM ± S.D.</th>
<th>ENT1 –Log (IC₅₀) ± –Log (S.D.)</th>
<th>ENT2 IC₅₀ μM ± S.D.</th>
<th>ENT2 –Log (IC₅₀) ± –Log (S.D.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remdesivir</td>
<td>39 ± 2</td>
<td>–1.6 ± –0.3</td>
<td>77 ± 14</td>
<td>–1.9 ± –1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molnupiravir</td>
<td>701 ± 294</td>
<td>–2.8 ± –2.5</td>
<td>851 ± 152</td>
<td>–2.9 ± –2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIDD-1931</td>
<td>259 ± 118</td>
<td>–2.4 ± –2.1</td>
<td>467 ± 101</td>
<td>–2.7 ± –2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinacrine</td>
<td>8494 ± 7022</td>
<td>–3.9 ± –3.8</td>
<td>950 ± 695</td>
<td>–3.0 ± –2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilorone</td>
<td>6256 ± 2173</td>
<td>–3.8 ± –3.3</td>
<td>2943 ± 1704</td>
<td>–3.5 ± –3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxychloroquine</td>
<td>9186 ± 6347</td>
<td>–4.0 ± –3.8</td>
<td>269,961 ± 463,122</td>
<td>–5.4 ± –5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyronaridine</td>
<td>13678 ± 3612</td>
<td>–4.1 ± –3.6</td>
<td>1548 ± 1185</td>
<td>–3.2 ± –3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean and S.D. and –Log mean and S.D.
Fig. 4. Antiviral Inhibition of ENTs. Inhibition of ENT1- and ENT2-mediated [3H]uridine uptake by (A) remdesivir, (B) molnupiravir, (C) EIDD-1931, (D) tilorone, (E) pyronaridine, (F) quinacrine, and (G) hydroxychloroquine. Data are presented as mean ± S.D., n = 3. Calculated IC_{50} values are reported in Table 2.
Fig. 5. Antiviral uptake in ENT1 and ENT2 cell lines. Remdesivir (50 μM) uptake in ENT1 (A) and ENT2 (B) cell lines. Molnupiravir (500 μM) uptake in ENT1 (C) and ENT2 (D) cell lines. EIDD-1931 (250 μM) uptake in ENT1 (E) and ENT2 (F) cell lines. All experiments were terminated after 5 minutes. Data are presented as mean ± S.D., n = 3. A two-tailed, unpaired t test was used to determine the difference between groups with *P < 0.05.
currently has no known documented transporter interactions. The reported maximum plasma concentration of EIDD-1931 after a single-dose study of molnupiravir was ~24.5 μM and was achieved with a 1600-mg dose molnupiravir (Painter et al., 2021). Drug potency for ENTs cannot be solely interpreted based on determined in vitro IC₅₀ values and should be seen relative to expected exposure levels. In this study, we determined that EIDD-1931 but not molnupiravir is a substrate of ENT1 and ENT2. The identification of EIDD-1931 as a substrate provides a potential mechanism for EIDD-1931 uptake into cells, may be important for antiviral potential in the testes, and may potentially reduce sexual transmission of viruses.

This study is the first to demonstrate that ENT1 and ENT2 contribute to the cellular uptake of remdesivir and EIDD-1931 in vitro and may also define a key mechanistic difference in the ability of these specific therapeutics to directly reach viral sanctuary sites. The ENT transporters may similarly play a role in cellular remdesivir uptake in humans. Generation of additional data like this study will allow us to improve and update the computational models used in this study to identify drug interactions with the ENTs. Information from these models can also inform and facilitate the development of additional broad-spectrum antivirals that may be useful for other viruses like human immunodeficiency virus, Zika, and Ebola to address potential viral sanctuary sites.
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