Temperature Effects on Kinetics of Kv11.1 Drug Block Have Important Consequences for In Silico Proarrhythmic Risk Prediction
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ABSTRACT

Drug block of voltage-gated potassium channel subtype 11.1 human ether-a-go-go related gene (Kv11.1) (hERG) channels, encoded by the KCNH2 gene, is associated with reduced repolarization of the cardiac action potential and is the predominant cause of acquired long QT syndrome that can lead to fatal cardiac arrhythmias. Current safety guidelines require that potency of Kv11.1 block is assessed in the preclinical phase of drug development. However, not all drugs that block Kv11.1 are proarrhythmic, meaning that screening on the basis of equilibrium measures of block can result in high attrition of potentially low-risk drugs. The basis of the next generation of drug-screening approaches is set to be in silico risk prediction, informed by in vitro mechanistic descriptions of drug binding, including measures of the kinetics of block. A critical issue in this regard is characterizing the temperature dependence of drug binding. Specifically, it is important to address whether kinetics relevant to physiologic temperatures can be inferred or extrapolated from in vitro data gathered at room temperature in high-throughput systems. Here we present the first complete study of the temperature-dependent kinetics of block and unblock of a proarrhythmic drug, cisapride, to Kv11.1. Our data highlight a complexity to binding that manifests at higher temperatures and can be explained by accumulation of an intermediate, non-blocking encounter-complex. These results suggest that for cisapride, physiologically relevant kinetic parameters cannot be simply extrapolated from those measured at lower temperatures; rather, data gathered at physiologic temperatures should be used to constrain in silico models that may be used for proarrhythmic risk prediction.

INTRODUCTION

Voltage-gated potassium channel subtype 11.1 (Kv11.1) channels are the pore-forming subunit that conducts the rapid component of the delayed rectifier current (I_Kr) in the heart, one of the major contributors to cardiac repolarization (Sanguinetti et al., 1995). Kv11.1 is the target for the majority of drugs that cause drug-induced, or acquired long QT syndrome (Roden, 2004), which is characterized by prolonged QT interval on the ECG and an increased risk of the potentially fatal ventricular arrhythmia torsades de pointes. As a result, preclinical safety guidelines stipulate that all drugs must be tested against Kv11.1 to assess proarrhythmic risk [ICH S7B, Food and Drug Administration (2005)]. One method adopted in long QT risk assessment is the use of a provisional safety margin. The safety index value directly compares the electrophysiologically determined IC_{50} of Kv11.1 block to the maximum serum concentration (C_{max}) of the drug, such that the closer the values are the higher the proarrhythmic risk (Redfern et al., 2003). However, whereas these equilibrium measures of Kv11.1 are very sensitive (no new drugs have been withdrawn from the market owing to proarrhythmic activity since the inception of these guidelines), they are not specific. Not all drugs that block Kv11.1 are proarrhythmic, resulting in a high attrition rate of potentially low-risk drugs (Redfern et al., 2003; Yap and Camm, 2003; Sager et al., 2014). Furthermore, factors in addition to Kv11.1 block, such as multichannel pharmacology or augmentation of the persistent sodium current via phosphoinositide 3-kinase (Yang et al., 2014), may also contribute to proarrhythmia. There is widespread concern therefore that the development of potentially useful therapeutics is being prematurely and unnecessarily terminated (Sager et al., 2014; Fermini et al., 2016).

To tackle this issue, the US Food and Drug administration has recently initiated the comprehensive in vitro proarrhythmic assay (CiPA) initiative that is tasked with developing a new approach to assigning risk to drugs in development. It focuses on actual markers of proarrhythmia, rather than indirect measures such as Kv11.1 block, which may be poor surrogates for actual

ABBREVIATIONS: ANOVA, analysis of variance; APD, action potential duration; CiPA, comprehensive in vitro proarrhythmic assay; DC, drug-bound blocked channel; DC*, drug-bound conducting channel; hERG, human ether-a-go-go related gene; I_Kr, rapid component of delayed rectifier current; Kv11.1, voltage-gated potassium channel subtype 11.1; RT, room temperature.
arrhythmic risk (Fermini et al., 2016; Sager et al., 2014). One of the major focuses of this initiative is the detailed in vitro mechanistic characterization of the effect of drugs on Kv11.1, as well as other channels, including NaV1.5, CaV1.2, KChIP2, KCNQ1 with KCNE1 and Kir2.1 (Fermini et al., 2016). This information will be used to constrain in silico models of the action potential that can be used for computational risk prediction on the basis of established proarrhythmic metrics such as early after depolarizations. Central to this mechanistic characterization is a growing appreciation that the kinetics of drug block, rather than just measures of potency, may also be important in determining the amount of action potential duration (APD) prolongation and the degree of risk (Di Veroli et al., 2014; Romero et al., 2014; Fermini et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2016).

Given this understanding of the need to measure the kinetics of drug block, an important factor to consider is the temperature at which these data are gathered. Under current regulations, there are no guidelines specifying the temperature at which IC50 measurements are taken; however, it has been established that the values obtained at room- versus- physiologic temperatures can vary significantly for many drugs (Kirsch et al., 2004; Yao et al., 2005). From a practical perspective, many high-throughput patch-clamp platforms, which will be the mainstay of gathering this kinetic data within the pharmaceutical industry, are limited to recording at room temperature (RT) (Fermini et al., 2016). There is considerable interest, therefore, in addressing the question, whether kinetic data gathered at lower temperatures could be easily extrapolated to physiologic temperatures, or whether more detailed characterization must be carried out at physiologic temperatures in vitro.

Here, we present the first full characterization of the temperature dependence of kinetics of block and unblock of a proarrhythmic drug, cisapride, to Kv11.1. Our results show that whereas IC50 was independent of temperature, the kinetics of block and unblock were temperature-dependent. Furthermore, our data reveal a complexity to cisapride block that arises, as we demonstrate using in silico modeling, from accumulation of an intermediate encounter-complex at higher temperatures. These findings suggest that, at least for cisapride, it is not possible to simply extrapolate from room temperature data to derive physiologically relevant block kinetics and that 37°C in vitro data should be obtained to constrain physiologically relevant models for risk prediction.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture

Chinese hamster ovary cells stably expressing Kv11.1 were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC reference PTA-6812). Cells were cultured in Ham’s F12 nutrient mix (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) containing 5% fetal bovine serum (Sigma-Aldrich, Sydney, Australia) and maintained at 37°C with 5% CO2.

Patch-Clamp Electrophysiology

Whole-cell patch-clamp currents were evoked from Chinese hamster ovary cells in the voltage clamp configuration at 22, 27, 32, and 37°C. The current signal was amplified and filtered at 1 kHz with an Axopatch200B (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) and sampled at 4 kHz with a PC interfaced with an analog-to-digital converter (Digidata1440A; Molecular Devices). Leak currents were subtracted manually offline and series resistance compensation was >80%. Data were acquired with pCLAMP 10 acquisition software (Molecular Devices) and analyzed using Clampfit (Molecular Devices) and Prism (v6; GraphPad, San Diego, CA).

Single-use patch pipettes were pulled from borosilicate glass (Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA) with resistances of 2–5 MΩ. Pipettes were filled with internal solution containing (in mM): 130 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1 MgCl2, 1 CaCl2, 12.5 glucose, 10 HEPES, adjusted to pH 7.2 with KOH. The external bath solution contained (in mM): 130 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1 MgCl2, 1 CaCl2, 12.5 glucose, and 10 HEPES, adjusted to pH 7.4 with NaOH. The calculated liquid junction potential of ~15 mV (Barry, 1994) was corrected for by adjusting voltage-pulse protocols prior to stimulation. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise stated.

Cisapride was delivered via a microfluidic device (Dynaflow Resolve; Cellelectronic, Mölndal, Sweden) with solution exchange time

---

Fig. 1. The effect of temperature on the potency of cisapride block of the Kv11.1 channel. (A) Kv11.1 currents were evoked from Chinese hamster ovary cells stably expressing Kv11.1 channels by stepping the membrane potential from a holding potential of ~90 mV to 0 mV. A typical trace representing the I_{Kv11.1} evoked at 0 mV, whereby a 100-second, 600 nM cisapride application results in current block. The maximum current (I_{max}) and maximum drug block (I_{drug}) are indicated by the closed and open circles, respectively. (B) Concentration-dependent block of Kv11.1 by cisapride at temperatures of 22, 27, 32, and 37°C (green, blue, purple, and orange, respectively). Percentage block of Kv11.1 at increasing concentrations of cisapride was calculated as the maximum current blocked following 20- to 100-second application, according to the rate at which steady-state block was achieved. Data were fitted with the Hill equation, giving IC50 values of 23.1 ± 1.1, 17.8 ± 1.2, 13.9 ± 1.2, and 15.4 ± 1.2 nM for 22, 27, 32, and 37°C, respectively. The IC50 values do not vary significantly with temperature (P > 0.05, one-way ANOVA, and Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons). The Hill slope values (n_H) were also not significantly dependent on temperature (P > 0.05, one-way ANOVA, and Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons). Each data point represents the mean ± S.E. of four to eight cells.
of <30 milliseconds (Hill et al., 2014). The reusable Dynaflow system enabled delivery of discrete solutions of various drug concentrations under laminar flow. Temperature control was achieved using the Dynaflow Resolve heat control system, which allows precise control of the solution temperature up to 45°C. Temperature control was also validated by placing a temperature probe in the approximate position of the cell under patch clamp.

Cisapride was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide with maximum final concentration of 0.0012% (v/v) in recording solution. The final concentration of dimethylsulfoxide was well below the 0.1% (v/v) shown to have no effect on Kv11.1 channel activity (Walker et al., 1999).

Data Analysis. For analysis of drug-block kinetics, exponential fits of current traces were performed using Clampfit. Time constants of cisapride block and unblock were derived by fitting standard exponential equations to the raw current traces such that:

\[ f(t)_{\text{on}} = (I_m - I_d) \times \left( e^{-t/\tau_{\text{on}}} \right) + I_d \]  
\[ f(t)_{\text{off}} = (I_m - I_d) \times \left( 1 - e^{-t/\tau_{\text{off}}} \right) + I_d \]  

where \( I_m \) is the maximum current amplitude, \( I_d \) is the current plateau amplitude in the presence of drug, \( t \) is time, \( \tau \) is the time constant.

For analysis of steady-state dose response, data were fit by the Hill equation:

\[ y = \frac{1}{1 + [(x)/IC_{50}]^{n_H}} \]  

where \( x \) is cisapride concentration, \( n_H \) is the Hill coefficient (slope parameter), and IC50 is the concentration at which 50% block of channel current is evident.

Statistical analyses were performed in Prism. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for statistical analysis between normally distributed data multiple groups of data with Tukey’s post-hoc analysis of means for multiple comparisons, and the Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post-hoc analysis for multiple comparisons was used for non-normally distributed data. The Mann-Whitney test was used for statistical analysis between two non-normally distributed data sets. \( P \) values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Modeling

Models of drug-block kinetics were implemented as systems of coupled ordinary differential equations in Matlab 2015b, using the Symbolic Math Toolbox. Sum-of-squares errors were calculated to evaluate the goodness of fit to the experimental data traces, and minimized using the fmincon solver that ships with Matlab (MathWorks, Natick, MA).

Scheme 1. Two schemes were considered to describe drug block. First, a simple bimolecular interaction:

\[ D + C \xrightarrow{k_{on}} DC \]  

where \( D \) is the drug, \( C \) is the channel, and \( DC \) is the drug-bound, blocked channel. The rates of drug association (forward rate, \( k_{on} \)) and dissociation (reverse rate, \( k_{off} \)) were calculated directly from the measured \( \tau \) values as follows:

\[ k_{off} = 1/\tau_{\text{off}} \]  
\[ k_{on} = \frac{1}{(\tau_{\text{on}} - k_{off})} \]  

Scheme 2. The second scheme that was used to describe the data incorporated an intermediate encounter-complex:

Fig. 2. The kinetics of cisapride block and unblock of Kv11.1 were fitted by single exponentials at temperatures from 22 to 37°C. (A) A typical trace representing cisapride block and unblock overlayed with a single exponential fit to the rate of \( I_{Kv11.1} \) block in the presence of cisapride (red broken line, \( \tau_{\text{on}} \)) and unblock (blue broken line, \( \tau_{\text{off}} \)). Single time-constant (\( \tau \)) values were calculated from these fits. (B) Superimposed traces representing the response of \( I_{Kv11.1} \) to 50-second applications of 20 nM cisapride at 22 (green), 27 (blue), 32 (purple), 37°C (orange). Traces were normalized to maximum current block to illustrate the changes in the rate of cisapride block and unblock of \( I_{Kv11.1} \). (C) Comparison of \( \tau \) values given by single-exponential fits to rate of \( I_{Kv11.1} \) block (red) and unblock (blue) in the presence of 20 nM cisapride at 22 to 37°C. Each data point represents the mean ± S.E. from four to seven cells.
where $DC^*$ is a drug-bound conducting channel represented by the encounter-complex. Scheme 2 (Eq. 7) was fit to idealized traces representing the time course of block and unblock of cisapride (calculated from the averaged $t_{on}$, $t_{off}$ values and percentage block under each dose and temperature condition) to yield values for the forward rates of transition between the states $k_{-1}$ and $k_{+1}$ and reverse rates, $k_{-1}$ and $k_{+2}$. Equilibrium constants for individual transitions of Scheme 2 (Eq. 7) were calculated as:

$$K_1 = \frac{k_{+1}}{k_{-1}}$$

(8)

and

$$K_2 = \frac{k_{+2}}{k_{-2}}$$

(9)

### Action Potential Simulations

The O’Hara-Rudy 2011 model was used to simulate the action potential of a single endocardial ventricular myocyte at 37°C (O’Hara et al., 2011). The description of $I_{Kr}$ in the O’Hara-Rudy model was replaced with the Markov state model from Lu et al. (2001), with voltage-dependent gating transitions of the form $k_f = a_0 \exp\left[\frac{z a V_m}{R T F}\right]$ for forward transitions and $k_b = b_0 \exp\left[\frac{z b V_m}{R T F}\right]$ for backward transitions, where $V_m$ is the membrane voltage, $R$ is the gas constant, $T$ is temperature, and $F$ is Faraday’s constant. Peak $I_{Kr}$, simulated using this model was scaled to give the same peak $I_{Kr}$ value as the original O’Hara model at 1 Hz. To examine the effect of drug binding in the context of the cardiac action potential, this Markov model was extended to include either a simple single-step drug-binding model, or an encounter-complex drug-binding model. All simulations and analyses was carried out using MATLAB software (MathWorks). Simulations were equilibrated for 500 beats with a cycle length of 1000 milliseconds.

### Results

#### Temperature Dependence of Steady-State Block of $K_{V11.1}$ by Cisapride

To assess the dose-response relationship for cisapride block of $K_{V11.1}$, we measured the degree of steady-state block observed at a constant holding potential of 0 mV, as previously described (Hill et al., 2014). After membrane depolarization, currents were allowed to reach a stable plateau before cisapride application at concentrations between 2 and 600 nM (Fig. 1). For each individual dose, cisapride was applied until steady-state level of block could be measured. For example, at 22°C the degree of block was calculated from the amplitude at the end of 100-second application for 2 nM, 10 nM, 20 nM, and 60 nM and a 20-second application for 200 and 600 nM, reflecting the shorter time required to reach steady state at higher concentrations. An example trace showing the onset of block by 60 nM cisapride during a 100 second application is shown in Fig. 1A. Dose-response curves were obtained in this manner for 22, 27, 32, and 37°C (Fig. 1B) and fitted with the Hill equation (eq. 3). IC$_{50}$ values were 23.1 ± 1.1, 17.8 ± 1.2, 13.9 ± 1.2, and 15.4 ± 1.2 nM at 22, 27, 32, and 37°C, respectively.

Each data point represents the mean ± SE from 4-7 cells.
(n = 4–7). No significant difference in IC50 was found between the temperatures tested (one-way ANOVA, P < 0.05).

**Temperature Dependence of Kinetics of Cisapride Block of Kv11.1.** A major advantage of using a high-speed microfluidic exchange system to apply and washoff drug at a single holding potential is that the time course of block and unblock can be directly measured. To achieve this, the current decay and recovery associated with washon and washoff of cisapride were fitted with a single exponential function (Fig. 2A). Typical normalized current records representing the time course of block and unblock of 20 nM cisapride (∼IC50 dose) at temperatures between 22°C and 37°C are shown in Fig. 2B, illustrating a clear acceleration of the kinetics of both phases with increasing temperature. The measured τ values from the exponential fits indicate that the time course of both block and unblock (Fig. 2C) differ significantly at higher temperatures from those measured at 22°C (n = 4–7, Kruskal-Wallis test, Dunn’s multiple comparisons). To eliminate any protocol-specific contribution to the measured time course, we compared data collected using this fast perfusion approach with that obtained using a preincubation protocol (see Milnes et al., 2010). Time constants for the onset of block measured by the

**Fig. 4.** Comparison of the encounter-complex model to the experimental data. (A–D) Fits of the encounter-complex model to the averaged experimental data. Traces have been overlayed representing mean of 4–7 experiments (solid line) ± SE (broken line) at 10 (green), 20 (blue), 60 (purple), 200 (orange), and 600 nM (red). Fit of Eq. 7 to the experimental data are shown as a black line overlaying the colored experimental data. Data are shown at 22 (A), 27 (B), 32 (C) and 37°C (D). (E–F) Comparison of the measured τon (E) and τoff (F) values from the experimental (circles) and model (line) data. (G) Summary of apparent concentration dependency of the calculated on rate (k(on) × [cisapride]) of cisapride from the modeled fit, data for 22, 27, 32, and 37°C are represented in green, blue, purple, and orange, respectively. The line represents a straight line of best fit through the data points at lower concentrations of cisapride.
two methods were not significantly different (Mann Whitney test, \( P > 0.05 \), Supplemental Fig. 1).

We next measured the temperature dependence of the kinetics of KV11.1 block with multiple concentrations of cisapride. The observed time course of block was found to be dependent on [cisapride] at all temperatures (Fig 3A), whereas the time course of washoff was independent of [cisapride] (Fig. 3B) (one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s multiple comparison), consistent with a simple bimolecular interaction [Scheme 1 (Eq. 4)]. To further interrogate the nature of the drug-channel interaction, we examined the dose dependence of the first-order association rate constant (\( k_{on}\) [drug], Scheme 1) at multiple temperatures (Fig. 3C). For simple diffusion-limited bimolecular interactions, this relationship is linear (Fersht, 1999). Although the data can be described by a straight line at 22°C, with increasing temperature, the relationship deviates further from linear, such that higher doses blocked the channel more slowly than would be predicted by a linear fit to low-dose data (Fig. 3C). This suggests that block of KV11.1 by cisapride is not diffusion-limited at higher temperatures and should be described by a more complex interaction scheme.

**Modeling the Temperature-Dependent Kinetics of Cisapride Block.** Since the data in Fig. 3C suggested that a simple bimolecular scheme (such as Eq. 4 Materials and Methods) is not sufficient to describe the molecular interaction between cisapride and KV11.1, we introduced an intermediate encounter-complex to the model (Eq. 7 Materials and Methods). This intermediate state might be interpreted as one in which the drug interacts with the channel but is yet not positioned correctly to cause conductance block. Scheme 2 (Eq. 7) was fitted to idealized traces representing the time course of block and unblock of cisapride at multiple temperatures (Fig. 4, A–D). Time constants of block and unblock measured from the simulated data are shown in comparison with experimental data in Fig. 4, E–F, and Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Critically, the nonlinear relationship between \( k_{on}\) [cisapride] and [cisapride], calculated from the experimental data at higher temperatures, was reproduced in the values calculated from the simulated data (Fig. 4G and Table 3).

To further probe the mechanism of this effect, we examined the temperature dependence of the individual rate constants, \( k_{+1}, k_{-1}, k_{+2} \) and \( k_{-2} \) from Scheme 2 (Eq. 7). This analysis showed that \( k_{+1} \) and \( k_{-2} \) were significantly temperature-dependent, increasing by 9.8-fold and 4.3-fold between 22 to 37°C (Fig. 5, A and D respectively). Conversely, both \( k_{-1} \) and \( k_{+2} \) only increased by ∼1.9- and ∼1.6-fold over the same temperature range (Fig. 5, B and C, respectively).

As a result of these rate changes, the equilibrium constants for the individual transitions in Scheme 2 (Materials and Methods, eqs. 8 and 9) had opposite temperature dependencies (Fig. 5, E–F). In both cases a shift in the equilibrium was observed toward the encounter-complex (DC*) with increasing temperature. Specifically, \( K_b \) shifted in the forward direction with increasing temperature [i.e., away from drug unbound channel (D + C) to DC*], whereas \( K_d \) shifted in the reverse direction with increasing temperature [i.e., away from DC to DC*].

This observation therefore suggests that there is a shift in the overall equilibrium toward the encounter-complex with increasing temperature. We therefore examined whether altered-state occupancy of the encounter-complex might contribute to the nonlinear dose dependency of \( k_{on}\) [drug] observed in Fig. 3C at higher temperatures. The temperature dependences of DC* occupancy for low-dose (20 nM) and high-dose (600 nM) cisapride are shown in Fig. 6, A and B, respectively. At low doses, DC* occupancy was never greater than 10% regardless of temperature (between 2.6% and 9.1% from 22 to 37°C, Fig. 6A). On the other hand, at higher concentrations of cisapride, the profile was quite different. At 600 nM cisapride there was significant transient accumulation of DC* between 20% and 53% at 22 and 37°C, respectively, that acts as a limiting step in the observed rate of block (Fig. 6, B and C). Furthermore, the maintained state occupancy of DC* explains the incomplete block of the channel observed, even at 600 nM cisapride (approximately 30-fold higher than

**TABLE 1**
Comparison of experimental and model derived time course of cisapride block of KV11.1 at 22–37°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cisapride ( \tau_m (s^{-1}) )</th>
<th>10 nM</th>
<th>20 nM</th>
<th>60 nM</th>
<th>200 nM</th>
<th>600 nM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22°C</td>
<td>27.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>20.4 ± 1.7</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>8.6 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27°C</td>
<td>14.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32°C</td>
<td>8.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37°C</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2**
Comparison of experimentally and model-derived time course of recovery from cisapride block of KV11.1 at 22–37°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cisapride ( \tau_{off} (s^{-1}) )</th>
<th>10 nM</th>
<th>20 nM</th>
<th>60 nM</th>
<th>200 nM</th>
<th>600 nM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22°C</td>
<td>38.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>42.0 ± 5.5</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>38.1 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27°C</td>
<td>26.1 ± 2.5</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>25.2 ± 2.3</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>26.2 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32°C</td>
<td>14.5 ± 2.0</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>14.1 ± 2.1</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>14.1 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37°C</td>
<td>9.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>10.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the IC50 dose). A full analysis of the temperature dependency of all state occupancies is presented in Supplemental Fig. 2.

To evaluate the implications of the encounter-complex model of cisapride binding to Kv11.1 in the context of the cardiac action potential, we carried out simulations using the O’Hara model of the ventricular action potential (O’Hara et al., 2011) (Fig. 7). Within this framework we used three models to describe drug binding. First, a single-step–binding model with no encounter-complex, in which association of the drug with the channel immediately transitions to a blocked state (Fig. 7Ai). In this case forward and reverse rate constants for drug binding were calculated from the time courses of block and unblock measured for 10 nM cisapride at 37°C from Fig. 3 (using eqs. 5 and 6, Materials and Methods). This model assumes that the first-order rate constant scales linearly with [drug] in a diffusion-limited manner, corresponding to the orange solid line in Fig. 3C. Second, we implemented an encounter-complex model (Fig. 7Aii). In this case, forward and reverse rate constants related to drug binding were those derived from the fit of Scheme 2 (Eq. 7) to our experimental data at 37°C (Fig. 5, A–D). In both cases binding to the open (O) and inactive states (I) were equivalent. Finally, we implemented a conduction block model, in which Ik,F (the current passed through Kv11.1) was simply scaled according to the IC50 curve measured at 37°C (Fig. 1B). The degree of prolongation of APD90 seen in response to 20 nM cisapride was less for the encounter-complex model compared with the single-step model or the conduction-block model (62 milliseconds, 76 milliseconds, and 93 milliseconds, respectively (Fig. 7B)). Examination of the Ik,F current shows that the observed difference between the encounter-complex model and single-step model arise from subtle difference in timing, with Ik,F rising slightly earlier in the former case, resulting in less prolongation (Fig. 7C). Furthermore, this trend of less prolongation observed with the encounter-complex model was observed over the range of concentrations tested (Fig. 7D), with the difference between the two kinetic models growing at higher temperatures.

**Discussion**

In this study we present the first comprehensive description of the temperature dependence of the kinetics of Kv11.1 drug block. By using a microfluidic ultrafast solution-exchange system, we have been able to directly measure the time course of block and unblock of Kv11.1 at temperatures between 22°C and 37°C. Our data show that the kinetics of block are significantly temperature-dependent and, furthermore, show complex characteristics at higher temperatures that can be explained by accumulation of drug in an intermediate encounter-complex. These findings have implications for development of new preclinical screening approaches for cardio-toxic drugs and suggest that in vitro kinetic data needed to constrain silico models for risk prediction may have to be acquired at physiologic temperatures.

**Complexity of Block at Higher Temperatures.** For all temperatures and concentrations of cisapride, the time courses of both block and unblock were best described with a single exponential, consistent with a simple bimolecular interaction such as Scheme 1 (Hille, 1991). Under such a scheme, the first-order association rate (koff[cisapride]) should increase linearly with [drug]. At 22°C this holds true, consistent with a diffusion-limited process (Holler et al., 1969; Fersht, 1999). However, at higher temperatures koff[cisapride] is slower than would be predicted by Scheme 1 (Fig. 3C), suggesting a more complex mechanism.

To account for this, we considered a three-state encounter-complex model (Scheme 2, Eq. 7) that has previously been used to describe many molecular interactions (Fersht, 1999; Miller, 1990), including the block of ion channels by toxins (Escober et al., 1993, Hill et al., 2007). The encounter-complex may represent any number of nonproductive drug-channel interactions that occur prior to forming the specific interactions required for block (Escober et al., 1993). At higher temperatures more disordered movement of the drug could potentially result in a greater number or prolonged duration of these unproductive interactions, resulting in increased occupancy of the encounter-complex at higher temperatures.

**In Silico Insights into Temperature-Dependent Block.** An in silico model using Scheme 2 (Eq. 7) reproduced the experimental time courses of block and unblock (Fig. 4, E and F, Tables 1 and 2) as well as the nonlinear concentration dependence of the first-order rate constant (Fig. 4G and Table 3). It should be noted that whereas the overall trend of t off for 600 nM cisapride is slightly slower than the experimental observed time constant required for block (Escobar et al., 1993). At higher temperatures more disordered movement of the drug could potentially result in a greater number or prolonged duration of these unproductive interactions, resulting in increased occupancy of the encounter-complex at higher temperatures.
Overall, however, there was excellent correlation between the model and the experimental data. The fitted model, therefore, allowed us to interrogate the individual forward and reverse rates of both the diffusion step and binding steps to yield key insights into the mechanism of block. First, in all cases, \( k_{12} \) was not significantly faster than \( k_{-1} \) [the case at the diffusion-controlled limit under this scheme (Escobar et al., 1993)], explaining the nonlinearity of the first-order rate constant, \( k_1 \), in Fig. 3C. Second, in relation to the temperature-dependent observation of this effect, the rate of diffusion to the channel (\( k_{11} \) in Eq. 7) and dissociation of the drug from the channel (\( k_{2} \) in Eq. 7) were both highly temperature-dependent, whereas the rates of drug binding (\( k_{12} \), Eq. 7) and diffusion away from the channel (\( k_{-1} \), Eq. 7) were less affected. As a result, the equilibrium constant for the diffusion step (\( K_1 \)) was increased with temperature and the equilibrium constant for the binding step (\( K_2 \)) was reduced. The net effect of these changes is a transient accumulation of the encounter-complex that acts as a

Fig. 5. Temperature dependency of cisapride diffusion and binding rates to Kv11.1, according to Eq. 7. (A–B) Rates of transition between the drug unbound channel (D + C) and the DC* state, where \( k_{-1} \) (A) is the forward rate and \( k_1 \) (B) the reverse rate. (C–D) Rates of transition between the DC* and the DC state, where \( k_{3} \) (C) is the forward rate and \( k_{-2} \) (D) the reverse rate. All rates were calculated from the encounter-complex modeling (Eq. 7) fits and plotted at each temperature tested. (E–F) Calculated equilibrium constants for the transition from D + C to DC* (E, eq. 8) and DC* to DC (F, eq. 9).

Fig. 6. Predictions of DC* and DC occupancy calculated from Eq. 7 during cisapride block to illustrate the accumulation of drug in DC* and the subsequent impact on DC occupancy at high concentrations. (A–B) Percentage occupancy of DC* in the first 5 seconds of block in response to 20 nM (A) and 600 nM (B) cisapride at 22 (green), 27 (blue), 32 (purple), and 37°C (orange). (C) Summary of DC occupancy in the first 5 seconds of cisapride block in response to 20 nM (broken lines) and 600 nM cisapride (solid lines) in response to 22, 27, 32, and 37°C (green, blue, purple, and orange, respectively).
bottleneck to the observed rate of block at higher temperatures and higher [drug].

A significant point to note is that forward rate of the “diffusion step” of Eq. 7 \((k_{f1})\) does not purely represent diffusion of the drug through aqueous solution to the receptor site. Several observations support this. First, the model-predicted rate (Fig. 5A) is significantly below the supposed diffusion-controlled ligand-receptor encounter frequency of \(~10^8 \text{s}^{-1} \text{M}^{-1}\) (Fersht, 1999). Second, this step is significantly temperature-dependent (Q_{10} of ~5), whereas the typical Q_{10} for diffusion is ~1.4 (Hille, 1991; Milburn et al., 1995; Gaede and Gawrisch, 2003). One possible explanation is that the pathway to the receptor site is constrained as a result of reduced access to the channel pore, for example, by the helices that form the cytoplasmic gate. This access may be made less tortuous as a result of increased thermal motion as temperature is increased, thus accelerating the rate of the diffusion step. Alternately, as the drug is thought to enter the channel via the cytoplasmic end of the channel pore (Kamiya et al., 2008), it must diffuse through the lipid membrane after application to the extracellular solution. Since the lipid membrane has been shown to become more fluidic with increasing temperatures (Carratu et al., 1996; Vigh et al., 1998), this potentially allows the drug to diffuse more easily at higher temperatures (Gaede and Gawrisch, 2003).

**Implications for Drug Screening.** CiPA is set to change the preclinical regulatory guidelines for assigning proarrhythmic risk to drugs (Sager et al., 2014; Fermini et al., 2016). Rather than using equilibrium measures of potency, which largely form the basis of existing guidelines (Food and Drug Administration, 2005), CiPA will use detailed in vitro assays to describe the mechanistic basis of drug binding of Kv11.1 and other channels, including the kinetics of drug block (Sager et al., 2014; Fermini et al., 2016). These data will then be used to constrain in silico models that will be used to predict proarrhythmic potential of preclinical compounds. The importance of considering the kinetics of drug/channel interaction rather than just measures of potency has been reported previously (Di Veroli et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2016) and is again highlighted by our action potential simulations (Fig. 7). Both the simple single-step kinetic model and the conductance block model (on the basis of IC_{50} measures of potency) overestimated the degree of prolongation relative to an encounter-complex model. This is potentially an important difference that could result in false positive hits for repolarization prolongation in the context of in silico drug-screening methods if accurate kinetic models are not used.

One practical hurdle that will need to be addressed is the fact that most high-throughput patch-clamp platforms, which will form the mainstay of the equipment for acquiring this data, operate at room temperature (Fermini et al., 2016). A key question is, can this room temperature data be extrapolated to 37°C to constrain physiologically relevant in silico models for risk prediction? In an ideal case, a Q_{10} value might be assigned to scale binding and dissociation rates. Our data show that, at least for cisapride, this is not the case since the dose-response relationship for the time course of block is different at different temperatures (see Fig. 3C)—meaning extrapolation may be difficult and certainly not possible using a linear scaling factor. In terms of assessing whether a set of more complex rules may exist that allow extrapolation between temperatures for a range of drugs, more studies such as

---

**Fig. 7.** Simulations of action potential prolongation by cisapride block of Kv11.1. (A) Markov-state models used to describe block of Kv11.1 by cisapride. Parameters related to state transitions are summarized in Table 4. (B) Simulation of action potential prolongation in response to 20 nM cisapride. APD_{90} were 330 milliseconds, 392 milliseconds, 406 milliseconds, and 423 milliseconds for control, encounter-complex model, single-step-binding model, and conductance-block model, respectively. (C) \(I_K\) currents corresponding to the action potentials shown in (B). (D) APD_{90} prolongation.
this that fully characterize temperature-dependent kinetics of known blockers of Kv11.1 will be needed. This will allow us to assess whether there is commonality in the temperature-dependent binding characteristics between drugs, or whether data for individual compounds in development will simply be gathered at 37°C to constrain physiologically relevant in silico models.

Limitations. In this study we considered only a single drug-binding site and did not include state-dependent binding, i.e., specific kinetics of drug interaction with open and inactive states of Kv11.1 (Stork et al., 2007, Perrin et al., Hill et al., 2014). In previous studies of the antipsychotic clozapine, we measured fast and slow components to both block and unblock that corresponded to binding to the open and inactivated states, respectively (Hill et al., 2014). For the present study, to focus on defining the mechanistic basis of temperature dependence of block, we chose a drug with simpler kinetics of interaction. For cisapride, we only ever observed a single component to block and unblock, meaning we could limit consideration to a single receptor site for our analysis of temperature dependence. Reproduction of experimental time constants by the model (Fig. 4) suggest this is a reasonable compromise. Future studies, involving more drugs with more complex state-dependent interactions, will no doubt further the understanding of how temperature affects drug block of Kv11.1.

Conclusions

In summary, this study shows that the kinetics of cisapride block are temperature-dependent and show complex characteristics at higher temperatures which can be explained by accumulation of drug in an intermediate encounter-complex. These findings highlight the complex relationship between drug block and temperature and have significant implications for the development of new in silico driven approaches for assigning risk to potentially cardiotoxic drugs.
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**Figure 1**

Comparison of pre-incubation and fast exchange application of cisapride. (A) Typical traces recorded in response to fast exchange (left) or pre-incubation (right) application of 20 (top) and 200 nM (bottom) cisapride. Fast exchange responses were recorded from cisapride applications delivered following
channel activation evoked by a voltage step to 0 mV (left inset). Pre-incubation responses were also recorded at 0 mV from a holding potential of -90 mV (right inset), initially in the absence of cisapride (dark grey) and following a 10s pre-incubation with cisapride (light grey) while channels are held closed at a membrane potential of -90 mV followed by channel activation at 0 mV and continued application of cisapride. The red trace represents the offline subtraction of the current in response to cisapride from the response in the absence of drug. (B) Comparison of exponential fits directly to fast exchange responses and to the subtracted response for pre-incubated responses. No significant difference was found between the time constant values from pre-incubation and fast exchange application for 20 and 200 nM cisapride applications (n = 4-5, p > 0.05, Mann Whitney test).
Summary of state occupancy calculated from the modeled data. The percentage values indicate the portion of channels occupying a given state at a period of time following the initiation of cisapride application (A-C) or cisapride washout (D-F). The top (A, D), middle (B, E) and bottom (C, F) panels represent the drug-free channel (D +C), the encounter complex (DC*) and the drug-blocked channel (DC), respectively, as described by scheme 2. Each of the state
occupancies are depicted in response to 20 nM (broken lines) or 600 nM cisapride (solid lines) at 22, 27, 32 and 37 °C (green, blue, purple and orange, respectively).