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ABSTRACT

Voltage-gated sodium (Naᵥ) channel inhibitors are used clinically as analgesics and local anesthetics. However, the absence of Naᵥ channel isoform selectivity of current treatment options can result in adverse cardiac and central nervous system side effects, limiting their therapeutic utility. Human hereditary gain- or loss-of-pain disorders have demonstrated an essential role of Naᵥ1,7 sodium channels in the sensation of pain, thus making this channel an attractive target for new pain therapies. We recently identified a novel, state-dependent human Naᵥ1,7 selective inhibitor (PF-05089771, IC₅₀ = 11 nM) that interacts with the voltage-sensor domain (VSD) of domain IV. We further characterized the state-dependent interaction of PF-05089771 by systematically varying the voltage, frequency, and duration of conditioning prepulses to provide access to closed, open, and fast- or slow-inactivated states. The current study demonstrates that PF-05089771 exhibits a slow onset of block that is depolarization and concentration dependent, with a similarly slow recovery from block. Furthermore, the onset of block by PF-05089771 develops with similar rates using protocols that bias channels into predominantly fast- or slow-inactivated states, suggesting that channel inhibition is less dependent on the availability of a particular inactivated state than the relative time that the channel is depolarized. Taken together, the inhibitory profile of PF-05089771 suggests that a conformational change in the domain IV VSD after depolarization is necessary and sufficient to reveal a high-affinity binding site with which PF-05089771 interacts, stabilizing the channel in a nonconducting conformation from which recovery is slow.

Introduction

Voltage-gated sodium (Naᵥ) channels play a key role in regulating action-potential generation and propagation in excitable cells (Hodgkin and Huxley, 1952). The Naᵥ channel is a heteromeric protein complex consisting of a pore-forming α-subunit and auxiliary β-subunits (Catterall, 2000). The α-subunit consists of four homologous domains (DI–DIV), each containing six membrane spanning segments (S1–S6). The S1–S4 segments together comprise the voltage-sensing domain (VSD), in which translocation of the positively charged S4 segment after depolarization plays a critical role in the initiation of voltage-dependent gating (Noda et al., 1984; Stühmer et al., 1989; Catterall, 2010). The S5–S6 segments of each domain converge to form the ion conducting pore module, with the residues along the S6 segment constituting the inner pore (Ragsdale et al., 1994).

Inhibitors selective for peripherally expressed Naᵥ1,7, Naᵥ1,8, and Naᵥ1,9 isoforms have been identified as potential analgesics for the treatment of pain (Dib-Hajj et al., 2009; Theile and Cummins, 2011; Payne et al., 2015), particularly Naᵥ1,7 (Cox et al., 2006; Dib-Hajj et al., 2008; Alexandrou et al., 2016). Current pharmacological treatments for pain that demonstrate activity against Naᵥ channels include local anesthetics, tricyclic antidepressants, and anticonvulsants; however, many of these treatment options do not provide adequate pain relief and exhibit adverse central nervous system and cardiac toxicities, presumably due to a lack of pharmacological selectivity across the Naᵥ family (Cummins and Rush, 2007). These classic Naᵥ channel blockers bind to conserved residues along the pore-forming S6 segment of the Naᵥ channel, resulting in little to no selectivity among Naᵥ channel subtypes (Ragsdale et al., 1994, 1996; Yarov-Yarovoy et al., 2001, 2002). The typically narrow therapeutic index of classic Naᵥ blockers is attributable to a degree of functional selectivity achieved through state- and/or frequency-dependent inhibition (Fozzard et al., 2005). Thus, there exists a need for the development of small molecule inhibitors with novel binding properties and Naᵥ channel subtype selectivity to enhance clinical utility while minimizing undesired side effects. Because gating modifier toxins have been shown to affect normal voltage-dependent gating of Naᵥ channels through an interaction with less conserved extracellular residues of the VSD and the nearby pore module (Catterall et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2011; Xiao et al., 2014), the development of small molecules that alter VSD function may display improved specificity and isoform selectivity over current treatment options.

We recently reported a class of low nanomolar-potency, state-dependent Naᵥ inhibitors that bind to a novel site on the
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VSD of DIV, conferring a high degree of selectivity among Na\(_v\) isoforms (McCormack et al., 2013). Within this class of aryl sulfonamide small molecules, PF-05089771 is a novel, selective, and potent human Na\(_v\)\(_{1.7}\) inhibitor, exhibiting an IC\(_{50}\) of \(~\sim\)11 nM for inactivated channels (Alexandrou et al., 2016). In addition to the potency and selectivity, PF-05089771 was reported to be distinguished by its strong preference for inactivated channels and the slow rate at which inhibition develops. In that initial report by Alexandrou and colleagues, it was observed that long applications of compound were required to achieve steady-state inhibition of Na\(_v\)\(_{1.7}\) channels at concentrations near the IC\(_{50}\). One possible interpretation of this observation is that PF-05089771 exhibits a preference for a slow-inactivated state of the channel that accumulates with repeated activation. However, the previous series of experiments did not directly address the possibility of slow association with multiple inactivated conformations. In this report, we sought to differentiate between these possibilities by altering the relative availability of the various kinetic states of the channel. We investigated this question using voltage-clamp protocols that biased channels into predominantly fast- or slow-inactivated state populations. We observed that inhibition occurs after depolarization of the channel, with the time course for inhibition largely unaffected by the relative availability of either open or kinetically distinct inactivated states. These results indicate that depolarization of the channel into a nonresting conformation is the primary determinant for PF-05089771-mediated inhibition, and any subsequent conformational changes associated with the progressive development of inactivation did not substantially alter the interaction of PF-05089771 with the channel.

**Materials and Methods**

**Reagents.** PF-05089771 [2,2-diphenyl-N-(4-N-thiazol-2-ylsulfamoyl)-phenylacetamide] was synthesized by the medicinal chemistry group at Neusentis, Durham, NC (Alexandrou et al., 2016).

**Cell Culture.** Human Na\(_v\)\(_{1.7}\) was stably expressed in human embryonic kidney 293 cells. Methods of stable cell line generation were as described in McCormack et al. (2013).

**Electrophysiology.** Coverslips with human embryonic kidney 293 cells expressing human Na\(_v\)\(_{1.7}\) were placed in a recording chamber on the stage of an inverted microscope and perfused with an extracellular solution containing (in mM): 132 NaCl, 1.8 CaCl\(_2\), 5.4 KCl, 0.8 MgCl\(_2\), 5 glucose, and 10 HEPES, pH 7.4, with NaOH. Recording patch pipettes were filled with an intracellular solution containing (in mM): 110 CsF, 35 CsCl, 5 NaCl, 10 EGTA, 10 HEPES, pH 7.3 with CsOH, and had a resistance of 1 to 3 M\(\Omega\). All reagents used for buffers were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). All recordings were made at room temperature (22–24°C) using Axopatch 200B or Multiclamp 700B amplifiers and PCLAMP software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Sodium currents were measured using the whole-cell configuration of the patch-clamp technique. All compounds were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to make 10 mM stock solutions, which were then diluted into extracellular solution to attain the final concentrations desired. The final concentration of DMSO (~0.1%) was found to have no significant effect on sodium currents. Assessment of recovery from inactivation and development of inhibition in the presence of PF-05089771 are described in the text and figure legends.

**Data Analysis.** Data were analyzed using the software programs of Clampfit 10.3 (Molecular Devices) and GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA). Statistical analysis was calculated by Student’s t test or analysis of variance (ANOVA) where mentioned, and P < 0.05 indicates a significant difference. All data are presented as mean ± S.E.M., and error bars in figures represent S.E.M.

**Results**

PF-05089771 Displays State-Dependent Inhibition of hNa\(_v\)\(_{1.7}\) Currents. PF-05089771 was previously shown to produce potent and selective inhibition of human Na\(_v\)\(_{1.7}\) (IC\(_{50}\) 11 nM) using a voltage protocol with a conditioning prepulse that evenly distributes the channel population across resting closed and inactivated states (Alexandrou et al., 2016). In the current study we examined in more detail the kinetics and state dependence of this interaction using the same protocol, which consists of an 8-second conditioning voltage step to an empirically determined membrane potential that results in 50% inactivation for each cell followed by 2-ms hyperpolarizing pulse to −120 mV to partially relieve inactivation and then a 20-ms test pulse to 0 mV (Fig. 1A, right inset). This voltage protocol results in channels in both the fast and slow inactivated states that reach equilibrium during the progression of the pulse train (swEEP interval of 15 seconds). At 100 nM PF-05089771, a concentration approximately ninefold higher than the previously reported IC\(_{50}\), hNa\(_v\)\(_{1.7}\) currents are fully inhibited with a time constant of 212 ± 17 seconds (n = 3, Figs. 1A and B). The rate of inhibition at 1 \(\mu\)M develops more rapidly, with a time constant of 33 ± 1 seconds (n = 9). Figures 1A and B, also show that in the absence of an 8-second conditioning voltage step to promote inactivation, little or no inhibition during a 17-minute exposure to 100 nM PF-05089771 was observed, which is consistent with previously reported a lack of interaction with resting closed channels (Alexandrou et al., 2016).

To investigate the time course of PF-05089771 unblock that occurs when channels are returned to the resting closed state (i.e., at −120 mV), we applied the half-inactivation protocol described above until maximal inhibition was established and then switched to a holding potential of −120 mV, applying a
20-ms test pulse every 10 seconds to assess magnitude of current recovery during washout of the compound. Recovery of current was slow (τ = 526 ± 36 seconds, n = 5) and incomplete, with current amplitude recovering slightly less than 60% of the predrug levels after 15 minutes (Fig. 1C). Failure to recover 100% of the current even after nearly 20 minutes may be partially due to rundown of the current over time.

Using Brief Depolarizations, PF-05089771 Exhibits Very Slow Use-Dependent Block of hNav1.7 Except at Very High Concentrations. As the presence or absence of use-dependent block can provide a measure for the relative affinity for the open/fast-inactivated state, we assessed use-dependent inhibition by PF-05089771 using a 10-second high-frequency pulse train consisting of 100 steps (20 ms) to 0 mV at a rate of 10 Hz. As seen in Fig. 2A, we observed no difference between the DMSO control and 100 nM of PF-05089771, a concentration approximately ninefold higher than the published IC50 for partially inactivated channels (Alexandrou et al., 2016). Only at 10 μM (~900-fold over IC50) do we observe complete use-dependent block with a single 10 Hz train for 10 seconds. At concentrations less than 10 μM, in excess of 100 pulses at 10 Hz was needed to achieve steady-state inhibition (data not shown). After complete block with 10 μM PF-05089771, the currents were then allowed to recover in the absence of compound using the resting channel protocol (Fig. 2B). Currents recovered to at a similar rate (633 ± 57 seconds) but to a greater extent (about 75% of the predrug amplitude) within the same time frame as shown in Fig. 1C. The greater extent of current recovery may be partially explained by the ~6-minute shorter overall recording time (block and recovery) used for the experiment in Fig. 2, thus minimizing the contribution of current rundown.

The requirement of such high concentrations to observe use-dependent block could be attributable to a low affinity of PF-05089771 for the open/fast-inactivated state and/or the need to overcome the very slow apparent on-rate of the compound. In the following sections, we explored this question by testing the relative affinity for the fast- versus slow-inactivated states using voltage-clamp protocols that also account for the slow recovery from block of the compound.

Investigating the Development of Inhibition for Fast- and Slow-Inactivated Channels. Thus far the data presented in Figs. 1 and 2 show that the kinetics of and recovery from inhibition of hNav1.7 by PF-05089771 are slow, with brief depolarizations (Fig. 2) resulting in no appreciable inhibition, even at a concentration ninefold higher than the IC50 for inactivated channels. However, these observations do not rule out the possibility of slow binding to fast-inactivated channels. In an effort to understand the mechanism of inhibition, we employed several voltage-clamp protocols that biased channels into predominantly fast- or slow-inactivated populations and subsequently measured the onset of inhibition by 100 nM PF-05089771.

Fig. 1. Inhibition of human Na₃.₇ by PF-05089771 develops and recovers slowly. (A) Representative traces showing the time course of inhibition under the three different recording conditions. Inhibition by PF-05089771 was assayed against resting channels (steady holding at −120 mV) and a protocol in which channels were half-inactivated during 8-second prepulses delivered every 15 seconds from a steady holding voltage of −120 mV (V0.5 of inactivation potential was determined empirically for each cell). The sweep interval for both protocols is 15 seconds. (B) Time course of inhibition for half-inactivated channels was dose dependent, reaching nearly complete block at both 100 nM (n = 3) and 1 μM (n = 9); however, block was minimal for resting channels (n = 4). (C) Recovery from block after washout of 1 μM PF-05089771 using the tonic protocol (inset) is slow and incomplete (n = 5).
3-second recovery intervals were still sufficiently brief to not allow any appreciable recovery from PF-05089771-mediated inhibition. The compound was perfused until inhibition stabilized. As shown in Fig. 3C, plotting the fraction of available current over total time (time at 0 mV and −120 mV), the block develops 4.5-fold faster for the 8-second pulse to 0 mV ($\tau = 65 \pm 5$ seconds, $n = 7$), compared with the 1-second pulse to 0 mV ($\tau = 304 \pm 34$ seconds, $n = 5$). If PF-05089771 interacts preferentially with the slow-inactivated state, this result could be explained by the higher fraction of channels in the slow-inactivated state (88%) after the 8-second step to 0 mV compared with the 1-second step (30%). However, this initial assessment does not take into account the fraction of the total time spent at rest when little or no block is expected to occur. Therefore, the data were reanalyzed as the fraction of available current as a function of the total time spent at 0 mV (Fig. 3E). When reanalyzed in this manner the rate of block between both protocols was found to be indistinguishable ($\tau = 31 \pm 1$ versus $32 \pm 4$ seconds for the 8- and 1-second conditioning steps, respectively; $P > 0.05$ by unpaired $t$ test). Thus the apparent slower rate of block seen with the shorter conditioning pulse in Fig. 3C can be explained by the briefer availability (1 versus 8 seconds) of the depolarized state with which PF-05089771 can interact. These results suggest that the relative availability of slow versus fast-inactivated channels has less of an impact on the development of inhibition than the total time spent in the depolarized state.

In the second set of experiments to investigate whether PF-05089771 interacts more favorably with slow- or fast-inactivated channels, we employed two protocols in which the total protocol length and time spent at 0 mV before the test pulse are identical, but the fraction of channels populating the slow versus fast-inactivated states is different. This was achieved by applying a single conditioning voltage step to 0 mV for 4 seconds at a frequency of 0.1 Hz or a conditioning train of ten 400-ms steps to 0 mV at a frequency of 1 Hz. At both 0.1 and 1 Hz stimulation frequencies, the cell is depolarized to 0 mV for a total of 4 seconds before the test pulse (Fig. 4A, right). As shown in Fig. 4A, left, the recovery from inactivation was well described by a sum of two exponential components with the 0.1 Hz prepulse ($\tau_{\text{fast}} = 9$ ms, $A_{\text{fast}} = 28\%$; $\tau_{\text{slow}} = 340$ ms, $A_{\text{slow}} = 72\%$) providing greater availability of slow inactivated channels as compared with the 1-Hz prepulse ($\tau_{\text{fast}} = 4$ ms, $A_{\text{fast}} = 79\%$; $\tau_{\text{slow}} = 162$ ms, $A_{\text{slow}} = 21\%$). To assess block of the channel after the 0.1- or 1-Hz prepulse trains, a fixed recovery interval of 3 seconds at −120 mV (Fig. 4B, right) was used for both conditioning protocols as this interval allowed for more than 90% of the current to be recovered in both protocols under control conditions. Again, because of the very slow rate of recovery from inhibition by PF-05089771, the 3-second recovery step between the conditioning pulse(s) and the test pulse is not sufficiently long to allow appreciable recovery from inhibition. As shown in Fig. 4B, left, the onset of inhibition is indistinguishable using the 1- or 0.1-Hz prepulse ($\tau = 141 \pm 23$ and 178 ± 16 second, respectively, $n = 3$ each; $P > 0.05$ by unpaired $t$ test) despite the more than threefold difference in availability of slow inactivated channels. These results provide additional evidence that the relative availability of particular inactivated state conformations has little to no influence on the development of PF-05089771-mediated inhibition.

**Fig. 2.** By using brief depolarizations, PF-05089771 exhibits use dependence only at very high concentrations. (A) Use-dependent inhibition was examined by employing a standard high-frequency pulse train consisting of 100 steps (20 ms) to 0 mV at a rate of 10 Hz. The 10-Hz train was tested against the vehicle control (0.1% DMSO, $n = 24$) and PF-05089771 at 100 nM ($n = 5$), 1 μM ($n = 5$), 3 μM ($n = 5$), and 10 μM ($n = 9$). (B) After complete block with the 10-Hz train in the presence of 10 μM PF-05089771, recovery was assessed using a tonic protocol (inset) while washing out the compound ($n = 8$). The gray line is the curve fit from Fig. 1C, showcasing the similar rate but different extent of recovery from block.

To assess channel inhibition after either a short (1 second) or long step (8 seconds) to 0 mV, we used a fixed recovery interval of 1 and 3 seconds at −120 mV, respectively. These recovery intervals allowed for a similar amount of current to be recovered (~93% available) in the absence of any compound ensuring that the current amplitude remained stable under control conditions for both protocols. Importantly, the 1- and
In the final experiment to investigate whether PF-05089771 displays a preference for a particular inactivated state, we systematically varied the inactivating voltage while fixing the duration of the conditioning prepulse to 8 seconds. We set the conditioning voltage to potentials in which the channels are fully inactivated (0 and $-260$ mV) or $\sim 50\%$ inactivated ($V_{0.5}$ of inactivation potential). The $V_{0.5}$ of inactivation potential was determined empirically for each cell immediately before testing by running a steady-state inactivation protocol (Fig. 5Ai). Across all cells tested, the average $V_{0.5}$ of inactivation was $-284 \pm 6$ mV, with $99\%$ of the current inactivated at $-260$ mV ($n = 9$, Fig. 5A). We first assessed the availability of fast- versus slow-inactivated states in the absence of PF-05089771 using an inactivating step depolarization to 0 mV, $-260$ mV or the $V_{0.5}$ potential for 8 seconds. As shown in Fig. 5B, left, the recovery from inactivation was well

---

**Fig. 3.** Time course of block by PF-05089771 is independent of the availability of kinetically defined inactivated states. (A) Recovery from inactivation was assessed after a conditioning depolarizing pulse to 0 mV for 0.1, 1, 4, and 8 seconds in the absence of PF-05089771 ($n = 4, 4, 4, 5$, respectively). A variable recovery interval at $-120$ mV followed each step to 0 mV. After the recovery interval, a 10-ms test pulse at 0 mV assessed the fraction of available current. As the conditioning pulse is increased from 0.1 to 8 seconds, a larger fraction of channels enter a slowly recovering state. (B) Individual traces from a single representative cell showing recovery from inactivation after either a 1-second (top traces) or an 8-second (bottom traces) pulse to 0 mV. The pulses represent the recovery intervals from 1 ms to 10 seconds in half-log intervals. (C) Onset of block by 100 nM of PF-05089771 was measured using a short (1 second, $n = 5$) or long (8 seconds, $n = 7$) inactivating conditioning pulse to 0 mV using the protocols shown in (D). The black dots overlaying the red data points represent the time-matched equivalent at 0 mV compared with blue data points (i.e., every 8 seconds). (D) A fixed recovery interval of 1 and 3 seconds at $-120$ mV (which allows for $>90\%$ of the current to recover) was used for the 1- and 8-second conditioning pulse, respectively. Sweep intervals of 10 and 20 seconds were used with the 1- and 8-second conditioning pulses, respectively. (E) Replotting as the amount of block as a function of the total time spent at 0 mV uncovers a similar time course of inhibition between the two protocols ($\tau = 31 \pm 1$ versus $32 \pm 4$ seconds for the 8- and 1-second conditioning steps, respectively; $P > 0.05$ by unpaired t test).
described by a sum of two exponential components with the 8-second prepulse to 0 mV (from Table 1), providing greater availability of slow inactivated channels compared with the prepulse to $-60 \text{ mV}$ ($\tau_{\text{fast}} = 11 \text{ ms}, A_{\text{fast}} = 83\%; \tau_{\text{slow}} = 515 \text{ ms}, A_{\text{slow}} = 17\%)$ or the V0.5 of inactivation ($\tau_{\text{fast}} = 14 \text{ ms}, A_{\text{fast}} = 89\%; \tau_{\text{slow}} = 308 \text{ ms}, A_{\text{slow}} = 11\%)$. These results demonstrate that substantially less slow-inactivation is generated with the steps to $-60 \text{ mV}$ or the V0.5 potential consistent with previous observations for Nav1.3 (Jo and Bean, 2011).

To assess the rate of block by PF-05089771, a fixed recovery interval of 3 seconds at $-120 \text{ mV}$ followed the conditioning pulse and the total sweep duration (20 seconds) was equal for all three protocols. As shown in Fig. 5C, the time constant for the onset of block is similar between the conditioning pulse to 0 mV ($\tau = 67 \pm 4 \text{ seconds}, n = 7$) and $-60 \text{ mV}$ ($\tau = 90 \pm 7 \text{ seconds}, n = 5; P > 0.05 \text{ by ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparison test}$), despite the strikingly different recovery profiles seen in Fig. 5B. The rate of block was significantly slower using the conditioning pulse to the V0.5 of inactivation ($\tau = 331 \pm 56 \text{ seconds}, n = 6$) than that at either 0 or $-60 \text{ mV}$ ($P < 0.01 \text{ by ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparison test}$). These results provide further evidence that PF-05089771 interacts rather indiscriminately with fast- or slow-inactivated channels and gives further indication that there is little or no interaction with resting channels. Additionally, inactivation at 0 mV proceeds primarily through the open state, whereas inactivation at $-60 \text{ mV}$ proceeds primarily through the closed state, as demonstrated by a lack of inward current generated with a step depolarization to $-60 \text{ mV}$ (Fig. 5A).

Therefore, the results in Fig. 5C suggest that the rate and magnitude of inhibition is largely independent of the route of entry into the inactivated state, as well as the kinetically defined inactivated state in which the channel resides. The single commonality between the various protocols to observe channel inhibition is the requirement for a sufficiently strong depolarization to move the channels out of the resting conformation.

### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Best-fit values (for data shown in Fig. 3A) using a nonlinear regression with a sum of two exponentials</th>
<th>0 mV for 0.1 s</th>
<th>0 mV for 1 s</th>
<th>0 mV for 4 s</th>
<th>0 mV for 8 s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_{\text{fast}}$ (%)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{\text{fast}}$ (ms)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{\text{slow}}$ (%)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{\text{slow}}$ (ms)</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>580</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Discussion

We previously reported two human Na$_{\text{v}}$1.7 selective aryl sulfonamide small molecule inhibitors, PF-04856264 (McCormack et al., 2013) and PF-05089771 (Alexandrou et al., 2016) which exhibit strong state dependence, preferentially inhibiting inactivated channels with ≥1000-fold higher
IC$_{50}$ compared with resting channels. In addition to the pronounced state dependence and Na$_v$ selectivity an unexplored attribute of these molecules is the apparent slow association and disassociation with Nav1.7. In the present study, we sought to further investigate whether the pronounced degree of state-dependent inhibition by PF-05089771 could be attributed to a preference for a particular inactivated state of the channel.

Commonly used two-pulse protocols that examine the voltage or time dependence of fast- versus slow-inactivation cannot reliably differentiate compounds that bind preferentially to slow-inactivated state(s) versus those that associate slowly to inactivated channels, particularly if a compound is present while the channels transition through multiple inactivated states as during a long depolarizing pulse (Lenkey et al., 2006; Karoly et al., 2010). Typically these protocols measure the slow time course of unblock of PF-05089771 minimized recovery from inhibition between successive sweeps and provided long temporal access (within Fig. 5. Onset of inhibition develops over similar time course from closed- and open-state inactivation. (A) Activation and steady-state inactivation curve show minimal overlap. The voltage dependence of steady-state inactivation (circles) was determined using the protocol shown in (i) with a V0.5 of $-83.5 \pm 0.5$ mV ($n = 9$). The voltage-dependence of activation (squares) was determined using the protocol shown in (ii) with a V0.5 of $-29.3 \pm 0.3$ mV ($n = 11$). (B) Recovery from inactivation was assessed after a conditioning pulse at 0 mV (channels inactivated through open state, $n = 5$), $-60$ mV (channels predominantly inactivated through closed state, $n = 3$) or the V0.5 of inactivation (as determined empirically for each cell, $n = 3$). For the conditioning pulses to $-60$ and 0 mV, 100% of the channels are inactivated. The rate of recovery for the $-60$ mV and V0.5 conditioning steps have a considerably larger fast component compared with the conditioning step to 0 mV. Likewise, the recovery from the V0.5 conditioning pulse is predominantly fast. (C) Onset of block by 100 nM of PF-05089771 was measured using each protocol with a fixed 3-second recovery interval at $-120$ mV and a 20-second sweep interval. The rate of block is similar for the 0 mV ($n = 7$) and $-60$ mV ($n = 5$) protocols ($P > 0.05$ by ANOVA), despite having dramatically different recovery profiles; however, the onset of block is dramatically slower using the V0.5 potential ($P < 0.01$ by ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple comparison test, $n = 6$).
each sweep) to primarily fast-inactivated channels, thereby allowing us to discern slow binding to a population of channels that are predominantly fast inactivated.

The results presented here suggest that for the development of PF-05089771-mediated inhibition, the preference for a particular inactivated state (fast and/or slow) appears less critical than the relative time that the channel is depolarized. When accounting for the time that the channel is depolarized, the rate of inhibition by PF-05089771 occurs with a similar time course for channels that reside in either predominantly fast- or slow-inactivated states. Thus the presentation of a particular inactivated state does not appear to be a pre-requisite for association of PF-05089771 with the channel. Likewise, these observations do not posit that PF-05089771 interacts with only fast-inactivated channels, as gating changes that occur with long depolarizations or at more depolarized potentials (both of which are associated with the development of slow-inactivation) do not impede inhibition. As such, we propose that the only requirement for PF-05089771-mediated inhibition is a sufficiently strong depolarization to move the channel out of the resting/closed configuration (for which there is very low affinity). Any subsequent conformational changes associated with fast- or slow-inactivation appear to have little observable effect on the interaction of PF-05089771 with the channel. A caveat to this interpretation is that we are unable to employ a protocol that allows a particular inactivated state to be assessed in isolation, because the assumption that channels populate a single fast- or single slow-inactivated state is an oversimplification, and it is likely that the channel moves through a series of intermediate conformational changes during the gating process. Furthermore, it has been proposed that that fast- and slow-inactivation are not mutually exclusive, representing structurally independent, nonsequential processes with both states potentially existing in the same channel simultaneously (Bezanilla et al., 1982; Vedantham and Cannon, 1998).

Utilizing mutagenesis studies, we previously demonstrated that Na1.7-selective aryl sulfonamide inhibitors interact with the extracellular surface of the VSD region of DIV (McCormack et al., 2013; Alexandrou et al., 2016), although the precise mechanism for inhibition was not fully understood. Over the years, the use of polypeptide toxins has increased our understanding of the functional significance of the VSD of DIV in channel fast-inactivation (Catterall et al., 2007; Hanek and Sheets, 2007; Groome, 2014). Site-3 toxins, which include α-scorpion toxins and sea anemone toxins, bind to overlapping extracellular residues on the DIV S3–S4 loop, binding with highest affinity at negative membrane potentials where the channel is closed (Catterall et al., 2007). These site-3 toxins impede fast inactivation by trapping the DIV S4 segment and preventing outward translocation upon depolarization (Rogers et al., 1996; Xiao et al., 2014). At least one of the residues (D1586) of the proposed PF-05089771 binding site, located near the extracellular end of the S3 segment, overlaps with the binding site for site-3 toxins. Thus it remains a possibility that PF-05089771 employs a similar voltage-sensor trapping mechanism to stabilize a nonconducting conformation of the channel. As it has been suggested, that movement of the DIV voltage-sensor after depolarization is necessary and sufficient for inactivation via the open (i.e., strong depolarization to 0 mV) or closed states (i.e., mild depolarization to –60 mV) (Capes et al., 2013), we hypothesize that translocation of the DIV voltage-sensor upon depolarization exposes the high-affinity small molecule binding site and binding of PF-05089771 stabilizes the channel into a nonconducting conformation from which recovery to a resting conformation is slow. A recent study by Ahuja et al. (2015) provides strong support for this hypothesis. Using a chimeric construct of human Na1.7 DIV VSD and the bacterial Na,Ab, they were able to demonstrate through both electrophysiological and crystallographic methods that Na1.7 aryl sulfonamide inhibitors employ a voltage-sensor trapping mechanism to lock the activated DIV voltage-sensor into the activated conformation and thereby stabilizing a nonconductive conformation of the channel.

Antiepileptics and anticonvulsants provide therapeutic utility via use-dependent inhibition of fast firing neurons typically associated with epileptic seizures and pain (Cummins and Rush, 2007). Alternatively, as sodium channels undergo slow inactivation after sustained depolarizations, neurons that are abnormally depolarized for long periods of time (after injury or insult) may be susceptible to enhanced block via slow-inactivation preferring drugs (Errington et al., 2008; Hildebrand et al., 2011; Jo and Bean, 2011). As PF-05089771 and its congeners interact with the VSD to inhibit depolarized human Na1.7 channels, irrespective of the channel state, this mechanism of action may provide a therapeutic benefit across multiple types of pain modalities characterized by any type of Na1.7 channel activity. We believe that the development of small molecules that act as Na1.7 channel gating modifiers via an interaction with the VSD, as opposed to more classic nonselective inhibitors that bind inside the channel pore, represents an avenue worthy of further exploration in an effort to obtain improved efficacy and isoform selectivity.
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