Non-cell-autonomous Effects of Autophagy Inhibition in Tumor Cells Promote Growth of Drug-resistant Cells
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ABSTRACT

Autophagy, the mechanism by which cells deliver material to the lysosome, has been associated with resistance to anticancer drugs, leading autophagy inhibition to be widely studied as a potential chemosensitization strategy for cancer cells. This strategy is based on the idea that inhibition of autophagy will increase drug sensitivity and kill more cancer cells. Here we report an unintended negative effect of this strategy. When modeling the effect of drug resistance in a heterogeneous cancer cell population, we found that autophagy inhibition in drug-sensitive tumor cells causes increased growth of drug-resistant cells in the population through a mechanism involving caspase activation and prostaglandin E2 signaling. These results emphasize the importance of understanding how autophagy manipulation in a tumor cell can have both cell-autonomous and nonautonomous effects and suggest that attempts to chemosensitize by inhibiting autophagy could be enhanced by adopting methods aimed at reducing tumor repopulation.

Introduction

Macroautophagy (hereafter referred to as autophagy) is a mechanism whereby cellular material is engulfed in double membrane vesicles that fuse with lysosomes, resulting in the degradation of the engulfed material and recycling of macromolecular precursors. Autophagy has been widely studied in cancer where it is thought to have context-dependent roles that sometimes promote and sometimes suppress cancer (White, 2012; Galluzzi et al., 2015). Autophagy manipulation (induced or inhibited autophagy) is of potential value in many diseases (Rubinstei et al., 2012). However, most current clinical studies that aim to target autophagy are in cancer and focus on autophagy inhibition (Thorburn et al., 2014a; Kroemer, 2015). These studies all use lysosomal inhibitors of autophagy, chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine, in combination with another anticancer drug (Barnard et al., 2014; Mahalingam et al., 2014; Rangwala et al., 2014a,b; Rosenfeld et al., 2014; Vogl et al., 2014). The rationale for this approach is that autophagy inhibition will increase drug sensitivity in the tumor cells. This idea is based on in vitro and preclinical data showing chemosensitization effects by autophagy inhibition for many different classes of cancer drugs (Thorburn et al., 2014a). Caution is warranted with this interpretation when only pharmacological approaches are used to inhibit autophagy, because chloroquine can chemosensitize and have anticancer effects by autophagy-independent mechanisms as well (Maycotte et al., 2012; Eng et al., 2016). Nevertheless, a wealth of evidence using genetic, as well as pharmacological inhibition, of autophagy supports the idea that autophagy inhibition can increase cancer cell sensitivity to toxic insults and specifically anticancer drugs.

Intrinsic or acquired drug resistance is a major problem in cancer therapy (Holohan et al., 2013), but the mechanisms that control growth of drug-resistant tumor cells are poorly understood. It is known that tumor cells that are killed by an anticancer treatment can affect tumor repopulation by drug-resistant cells that were not killed by the treatment. For example, apoptotic cells can promote growth of neighboring cells to promote tissue regeneration (Li et al., 2010). This pathway, which involves caspase-3 activation, leads to increased prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) signaling and can promote tumor repopulation by cancer cells that were not killed by anticancer treatments (Huang et al., 2011). Similarly, secretome-dependent signals from drug-treated tumor cells can promote drug resistance and tumor progression/metastasis (Obenauf et al., 2015) and PGE2-dependent signaling from dying tumor cells can stimulate cancer stem cell-mediated tumor repopulation (Kurtova et al., 2015). Senescence-associated secretion also leads to non-cell-autonomous effects on neighboring cells and is linked with autophagy (Gewirtz, 2014), and recent studies show that non-cell autonomous effects of autophagy in tumor stroma promotes growth of pancreas tumors through autophagic secretion of alanine (Sousa et al., 2016). This raises an important question—does autophagy inhibition that is aimed at increasing sensitivity to anticancer drugs have effects on drug-resistant cells in the population...
through non-cell-autonomous mechanisms? To address this question, we modeled the effects of autophagy inhibition in drug-resistant and drug-sensitive tumor cells and followed the effects on growth of the resistant cells. We found that selective inhibition of autophagy in drug-sensitive cells can increase the growth of drug-resistant cells through caspase and PGE2 signaling.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture and Reagents. Mouse glioblastoma cell line GL261 was obtained from National Cancer Institute (Frederick, MD) and maintained at 37°C under 5% CO2 in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (Corning, Corning, NY) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. Where indicated doxycycline was obtained from Clontech Laboratories (Mountain View, CA; cat. no. 631311) and used at a final concentration of 2 μg/ml and replenished every 24 hours. Human breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 was maintained at 37°C under 5% CO2 in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium:nutrient mixture F-12 (Ham) (Gibco, cat. no.11320082) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. Human BJAB, an EBV-negative B-cell lymphoma line cell, was previously provided by Marcus Peter. The cells were maintained at 37°C under 5% CO2 in RPMI1640 medium (Corning, cat. no. 10-040-CV) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum.

Matched Drug-sensitive and -resistant Cell Lines. Construction of the GL261-inducible shATG12 cell line was previously described (Macintosh et al., 2012). GL261 cells do not express the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and are therefore insensitive to diphertheria toxin-EGF (DT-EGF)-induced cell killing (Liu et al., 2003; Thorburn et al., 2009). To create DT-EGF-sensitive GL261 cells, pBabe-puro human EGFR was constructed using SuI and SnaBI double digestion. Retrovirus particles were produced by cotransfecting GP2-293 cells (Clontech Laboratories) pBabe-puro human EGFR and vesicular stomatitis G protein using TransIT-LT1 (Mirus Bio LLC, Madison, WI) as previously described (Thorburn et al., 2014b). The GL261 inducible shATG12 cells were transduced with retrovirus using 8 μg/ml polybrene and maintained with 0.5 μg/ml puromycin. To label DT-EGF-resistant cells, the parental GL261 cell line (DT-EGF resistant) was transduced with pLJM1-GFP-3xNLS-lentiviruses using Tmix containing viral particles were collected at 48 and 72 hours posttransfection, pooled, and spun down, and supernatant was stored at –80°C. One milliliter of lentivirus targeting ATG5 or ATG7 (plus puromycin at a final concentration of 8 μg/ml) was added drop-wise to the appropriate dish and gently mixed and cells incubated overnight. After removing the virus-containing medium, the cells were rinsed with 1× PBS, and fresh medium added with puromycin at a concentration of 1 μg/ml for selection. Forty-eight hours posttransduction, the cells were replated in 96-well plates (Corning, cat. no. 3585) at 4000 cells per well either alone or together with 100 GFP-labeled, MDA-MB-231-TRAIL-R cells in 100 μl of medium containing puromycin at a final concentration of 0.5 μg/ml. Eighteen hours later, the medium was changed for fresh medium without puromycin (90 μl). hTRAIL at a final concentration of 150 ng/ml was delivered in 10 μl of medium to the appropriate wells. Alternatively, 10 μl of medium was added to the appropriate wells without hTRAIL. Immediately after treatment, the GFP-expressing resistant cells were imaged in an IncuCyte Zoom at time 0 and then every 12 hours using a 4× objective. Imaging continued for up to 192 hours. Each experiment shown was performed with six technical replicates and repeated at least three times (biologic replicates) with similar results.

GL261ind shATG12-hEGFR cells (drug sensitive) were plated at ~300,000 cells in 10-cm dishes in medium supplemented with 10% Tet System Approved FBS obtained from Clontech (cat. no. 631106) and 2 μg/ml doxycycline. Doxycycline was refreshed every 24 hours. Sixty to seventy-two hours postplating, the cells were replated into 96-well plates (Corning, cat. no. 3585) at 4000 cells per well either alone or together with 100 GL261-GFP cells (drug resistant) in 100 μl of conditioned medium. Eighteen to twenty-four hours later, the medium was changed for fresh conditioned medium (90 μl). Doses of DT-EGF were delivered in 10 μl of conditioned medium. Alternatively, where appropriate, DMSo, QVD-OPh, and anti-PGE2 antibody were delivered in 5 μl of conditioned medium and incubated for ~0.5 hours before the addition of doses of DT-EGF also in 5 μl of conditioned medium. Caspase 3/7 activity assays using CellEvent Caspase 3/7 were performed following the manufacturer’s instructions. Immediately after treatment, the cells were imaged in an Incucyte Zoom (Essen Bioscience, Ann Arbor, MI) to monitor growth rate of drug-resistant cells at time 0 and then every 12 hours using a 4× objective.
After 24 hours of imaging doxycycline and where appropriate, DMSO, caspase inhibitor, QVD-OPh, and anti-PGE2 antibody were refreshed. Imaging continued for up to 192 hours. Live cell content was determined by using the custom parameters for measuring fluorescence. Each IncuCyte experiment shown was performed with six technical replicates (data points shown are mean ± S.D.) and repeated at least three times (biologic replicates) that gave similar results.

BJAB wt cells (TRAIL sensitive) were plated at ~50,000 cells per 6 cm dish in 1.5 ml of medium containing polybrene at a final concentration of 8 μg/ml. The cells were incubated for 1–2 hours. One milliliter of lentivirus plus polybrene (at a final concentration of 8 μg/ml) was added drop-wise to the appropriate dish and gently mixed. The cells were incubated overnight. Early in the morning, the cells were transferred to 1–3 10-cm dishes containing fresh medium with puromycin at a concentration of 0.5 μg/ml for selection. The cells were selected for a minimum of 7 days. The cells were plated in 12-well plates (Corning, cat. no. 3512) at 40,000 cells per well (98.75%) either alone or together with 500 BJABLexR-luc cells (TRAIL-resistant cells) (1.25%) in 800 μl of medium without puromycin. hTRAIL at a final concentration of 150 ng/ml was delivered in 200 μl of conditioned medium. The cells were allowed to grow between 10 and 12 days, and a luciferase assay was performed. Each experiment shown was performed with three technical replicates and repeated at least three times (biologic replicates) with similar results.

Clonogenic Growth Assays. After doxycycline-induced shATG12 knockdown, described above, the GL261-inducible shATG12-hEGFR cells (drug-sensitive cells) were also replated into 12-well plates (Corning cat. no. 3512) at 20,000 cells per well either alone or together with 500 GL261-GFP cells (drug-resistant cells) in 1 ml of conditioned medium. Eighteen to twenty-four hours later the medium was changed for fresh conditioned medium (1 ml). Doses of DT-EGF were delivered in 1 μl of conditioned medium. Doxycycline was refreshed after 24 hours post-drug treatment. Seven days posttreatment, the cells were fixed with 10% MeOH, 10% glacial acetic acid in dH2O, and stained with crystal violet. The crystal violet was resolubilized in 30% glacial acetic acid and analyzed at 540 nM using a Benchmark Plus microplate reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA). Experiments were performed in triplicate and repeated at least three times.

Autophagic Flux Assays. The GL261ind shATG12-hEGFR cells and the MDA-MB-231-wt cells were plated into 6-well plates (Corning, cat. no. 3506; and Greiner Bio-one, cat. no. 657160) at 120,000 cells per well in 2 ml of conditioned medium. Eighteen to twenty-four hours later, the medium was changed for fresh conditioned medium. The cells were treated ½ chloroquine as indicated at a final concentration of 40 μM for 2.5 hours to block autophagic flux and then harvested for Western blot analysis to confirm ATG gene knockdown and a reduction of LC3 II processing. Total cell lysates were prepared in stringent RIPA buffer plus Roche Protease Inhibitor Cocktail purchased through Sigma Aldrich. Proteins were separated on 10% and 60% Thorburn et al.
12% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred onto PVDF membranes. The membranes were probed with antibodies that recognize ATG5, ATG7, LC3, and β-actin. Proteins were visualized using EMD Millipore Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP Substrate (ECL) purchased through Fisher Scientific, Denver, CO (cat. no. WBKLS0500) and exposed to X-ray film or analyzed on an Odyssey FC imaging system (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE).

**Luciferase Assays.** Cell suspensions were collected in Eppendorf tubes, spun down for 5 minutes at 1500 rpm, and the cell pellet resuspended in 500 μl of medium. The luciferase assay was performed as per the manufacturer's instructions using a Turner Biosystems Microplate Reader.

**Results**

Growth of drug-resistance subsets of tumor cells after killing of drug-sensitive tumor cells can explain how tumors become resistant to treatment. We were interested to test if autophagy inhibition, which is being tested in the clinic as a chemosensitization strategy as a means to improve cancer therapy, could affect the behavior of drug-resistant cells. Because cancer cells can show both growth inhibitory and stimulatory effects to autophagy inhibition (Guo et al., 2011; Maycotte et al., 2014), treatment with pharmacological autophagy inhibitors that would affect both drug sensitive and resistant cells equally is unable to test rigorously for non-cell-autonomous effects of autophagy in a heterogeneous tumor cell population. We previously reported that autophagy inhibition sensitizes cancer cells to TRAIL-induced apoptosis by making it easier for the cancer cells to activate caspases after mitochondrial membrane disruption (Thorburn et al., 2014b). Therefore, to model non-cell-autonomous effects of autophagy inhibition intended to chemosensitize tumor cells, we mixed isogenic tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL)-sensitive and TRAIL-resistant MDA-MB-231 breast tumor cells (Fig. 1) so that the great majority (97.5%) of cells were sensitive to TRAIL-induced apoptosis with only a small minority of cells that were previously derived to be resistant to the drug and that were also stably expressing nuclear GFP. We blocked autophagy in the TRAIL-sensitive cells (but not the resistant cells) using shRNAs targeted to two essential autophagy regulators (ATG5 and ATG7), treated with doses of TRAIL sufficient to kill all the sensitive cells (Fig. 1A), and monitored growth of the GFP-labeled resistant cells over several days (Fig. 1B). This strategy allows detection of non-cell-autonomous effects that specifically affect the resistant cells that were due to autophagy inhibition in the drug-sensitive cells. Figure 2, A–C shows that knockdown of ATG5 or ATG7 in the TRAIL-sensitive cells inhibited autophagic flux and caused an increase in the growth rate of the resistant cells. Experiments using a different isogenic TRAIL-sensitive and TRAIL-resistant BJAB cell line pair (Menke et al., 2011) showed a similar growth promoting effect in resistant cells when autophagy was inhibited by ATG knockdown in sensitive cells (Fig. 2D).

Caspase-mediated signaling through PGE₂ can promote tumor repopulation after radiotherapy or chemotherapy (Huang et al., 2011). Because this mechanism is due to caspase activation and we previously found that autophagy inhibition can increase caspase activation in response to apoptotic stimuli like TRAIL (Thorburn et al., 2014b), we hypothesized that this mechanism is induced when autophagy is inhibited in drug-sensitive tumor cells. A test of this hypothesis requires that caspases be inhibited and effects on the growth of drug-resistant cells then determined. This cannot be done using...
TRAIL as an antitumor agent because the drug-sensitive cells would not die with caspase inhibition, and thus the experiment would be unable to discriminate between an effect that was caused by caspase signaling itself or by the death of the cell. Therefore, to test if caspase activity is responsible for the autophagy inhibition-mediated stimulation of drug-resistant cell growth, we need to kill drug-sensitive tumor cells using an agent that can activate caspase-dependent apoptosis that is stimulated by autophagy inhibition but will still result in drug-induced tumor cell death even if caspases are blocked. To do this, we used a diphtheria toxin-EGF fusion protein (DT-EGF) that kills epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-expressing cells (Liu et al., 2003). We previously found that DT-EGF can kill glioma cells in both a caspase-dependent and caspase-independent manner. When glioma cells are treated with DT-EGF, they die primarily by a caspase-independent mechanism. However, upon autophagy inhibition, caspase activation is increased and the preferred mode of death is by caspase-dependent apoptosis (Thorburn et al., 2009).

DT-EGF-sensitive, EGFR-expressing GL261 cells were killed irrespective of whether autophagy was inhibited by inducible knockdown of ATG12 and in the presence or absence of caspase inhibitor (Fig. 3A). As expected based on previous studies (Thorburn et al., 2009), autophagy inhibition caused an increase in caspase activity in response to DT-EGF (Fig. 3B). If a tumor repopulation mechanism like that previously described (Huang et al., 2011) is responsible for growth stimulation of resistant cells, caspase activity associated with autophagy inhibition in the DT-EGF-sensitive cells should promote growth of the DT-EGF-resistant cells; but because cell death occurs even if caspases are blocked (Fig. 3A), the caspase dependency of the growth stimulation as opposed to a growth effect caused by cell death itself can be determined. We therefore designed a similar mixing experiment with DT-EGF-sensitive cells where autophagy was inhibited and determined effects of autophagy inhibition on the growth of a small proportion of GFP-tagged DT-EGF-resistant GL261 cells. Figure 4 shows that as with the TRAIL-treated cells,
autophagy inhibition in DT-EGF-sensitive cells, which causes increased caspase activity (Fig. 3B), also caused increased growth of the subpopulation of DT-EGF-resistant cells. Figures 5 and 6 show that both caspase inhibition and an anti-PGE2 blocking antibody that was previously shown to ablate PGE2-mediated cancer stem cell-mediated tumor growth (Kurtova et al., 2015) reduced the stimulation of drug-resistant cell growth caused by autophagy inhibition in drug-sensitive cells. In each case, Western blotting analysis of LC3-II levels from a sample of the same cells used for the growth assay showed that the ATG knockdown inhibited autophagic flux as measured by reduced accumulation of LC3-II in the presence of the lysosomal inhibitor chloroquine. These data indicate that it is not cell death itself that is responsible for the growth stimulation of the tumor cells that were not killed by the drug but rather the caspase activation and subsequent PGE2 signaling. Thus, although autophagy inhibition can enhance the apoptosis response to an anticancer agent, this can lead to increased growth of any drug-resistant cancer cells in the population through a caspase-dependent and PGE2-dependent mechanism similar to that previously identified (Huang et al., 2011).

**Discussion**

A large number of studies have demonstrated that inhibition of autophagy can sensitize tumor cells to anticancer drugs (Levy and Thorburn, 2011; Thorburn et al., 2014a; Rebecca and Amaravadi, 2016). This has led to dozens of clinical trials where autophagy inhibitors (so far, invariably chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine) are combined with other agents. An underlying rationale for these trials is that autophagy protects against tumor cell apoptosis. For example, autophagy inhibition causes an increase in the proapoptotic BH3 protein PUMA, which sensitizes tumor cells to TRAIL-induced apoptosis (Thorburn et al., 2014b). Our studies identify an unexpected negative effect of this approach because autophagy inhibition in drug-sensitive cells also causes more rapid growth of neighboring drug-resistant cells. This occurs through a mechanism of tumor repopulation, which is mediated by caspase activation and PGE2 signaling from the dying apoptotic cells. Thus, although autophagy inhibition may cause more effective tumor cell apoptosis, this might not always be a good thing in the long run if the end result is more rapid tumor repopulation from drug-resistant cells that were unable to be killed. Our results indicate that these effects are due to caspase activation [and PGE2 signaling, which can be stimulated by caspases (Li et al., 2010)] rather than cell death itself. Therefore, if one kills tumor cells by a mechanism other than caspase-dependent apoptosis, it may be feasible to circumvent this growth stimulatory response.

Our experiments were done with mixed populations of isogenic tumor cells where only the resistant cells were marked with a fluorescent protein, allowing them to be followed specifically. This allowed a rigorous assessment of non-cell-autonomous effects of autophagy inhibition in the dying cells at drug doses that killed all the drug-sensitive cells.
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**Fig. 5.** Increased growth of GL261-GFP cells (DT-EGF resistant) induced by shATG12 knockdown in the GL261 inducible shATG12-hEGFR cells (DT-EGF sensitive) is caspase-dependent. The pan-caspase inhibitor QVD-OPh inhibits the increased growth of DT-EGF-resistant cells induced by ATG12 knockdown. Error bars represent S.D. Lower panel shows Western blot analysis of ATG gene knockdown and LC3 II processing, demonstrating doxycycline-induced knockdown of ATG12 leading to reduced levels of ATG5-ATG12 conjugate and inhibition of autophagic flux.
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**Fig. 6.** Increased growth of GL261-GFP cells (DT-EGF resistant) induced by shATG12 knockdown in the GL261 inducible shATG12-hEGFR cells (DT-EGF sensitive) is PGE2 dependent. Anti-PGE2 blocking antibody inhibits the increased growth. Error bars represent S.D. Lower panel shows Western blot analysis of ATG gene knockdown and LC3 II processing, demonstrating doxycycline-induced knockdown of ATG12 leading to reduced levels of ATG5-ATG12 conjugate and inhibition of autophagic flux.
but also identifies a potential problem in studies intended to test if autophagy alters cancer cell chemosensitivity. Such studies usually involve inhibition of autophagy in a homogeneous population of drug-sensitive tumor cells followed by analysis of effects on tumor cell survival and growth. Because it is impossible to detect a sensitization or resistance effect of autophagy manipulation using drug doses that kill all the target cells, these experiments must be done at doses that are only partially effective. However, the mechanism identified here may lead to increased growth of cells that were not killed, resulting in autophagy inhibition-mediated sensitization to the drug being understated or, especially with fast growing cells, even an apparent increase in overall number of drug-treated cells when autophagy is blocked. Such an effect might cause an investigator to underestimate the chemosensitization effects of autophagy inhibition or mistakenly conclude that autophagy was necessary for tumor cell killing. This may explain some cases in the literature where autophagic cell death has been proposed to mediate chemotherapy effects (Thorburn et al., 2014a).

In a summary, the work described here identifies a potential problem with autophagy inhibition strategies designed to promote chemosensitization; improved drug-induced tumor cell apoptosis achieved by autophagy inhibition may inadvertently also increase growth of drug-resistant tumor cells. This emphasizes the fact that autophagy can have non-cell-autonomous effects that affect tumor cell behavior and suggest that efforts to minimize tumor repopulation from drug-resistant cells could further enhance the efficacy of autophagy inhibition. For some anticancer agents (e.g., the BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib), autophagy inhibitors cannot only synergize with the drug but can also overcome resistance to that drug (Ma et al., 2014), and there are indications of clinical benefit in overcoming acquired resistance to vemurafenib when it is combined with chloroquine (Levy et al., 2014). The work described here suggests that autophagy inhibition in combination with another agent may be most effective in this type of situation where overcoming resistance, as well as achieving synergy in sensitive cells, is feasible because autophagy inhibition would enhance killing of the drug-sensitive tumor cells while simultaneously targeting drug-resistance as well. Additionally finding ways to kill cancer cells by methods other than induction of apoptosis could lead to more effective long-term treatment by avoiding this mechanism.
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