Human Missense Mutations in Regulator of G Protein Signaling 2 Affect the Protein Function Through Multiple Mechanisms
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ABSTRACT

Regulator of G protein signaling 2 (RGS2) plays a significant role in alleviating vascular contractions and promoting vascular relaxation due to its GTPase accelerating protein activity toward Goq. Mice lacking RGS2 display a hypertensive phenotype, and several RGS2 missense mutations have been found predominantly in hypertensive human subjects. However, the mechanisms whereby these mutations could impact blood pressure is unknown. Here, we selected 16 rare, missense mutations in RGS2 identified in various human exome sequencing projects and evaluated their ability to inhibit intracellular calcium release mediated by angiotensin II receptor type 1 (AT1R). Four of them had reduced function and were further investigated to elucidate underlying mechanisms. Low protein expression, protein mislocalization, and reduced G protein binding were identified as likely mechanisms of the malfunctioning mutants. The Q2L mutant had 50% lower RGS2 than wild-type (WT) protein detected by Western blot. Confocal microscopy demonstrated that R44H and D40Y had impaired plasma membrane targeting; only 46% and 35% of those proteins translocated to the plasma membrane when coexpressed with Goq-Q209L compared with 67% for WT RGS2. The R188H mutant had a significant reduction in Goq binding affinity (10-fold increase in K compared with WT RGS2 in a flow cytometry competition binding assay). This study provides functional data for 16 human RGS2 missense variants on their effects on AT1R-mediated calcium mobilization and provides molecular understanding of those variants with functional loss in vitro. These molecular behaviors can provide insight to inform antihypertensive therapeutics in individuals with variants having reduced function.

Introduction

Heart and cerebrovascular diseases have remained the major causes of death and disability in the United States (Heron, 2016; Mozaffarian et al., 2016) despite relentless efforts in cardiovascular research and drug development. Hypertension is a multifactorial disorder that places individuals at a higher risk for such diseases. Multiple genomewide association studies have discovered polymorphisms in genes and loci that are associated with hypertension (Adeyemo et al., 2009; Levy et al., 2009; Newton-Cheh et al., 2009; Dominiczak and Munroe, 2010; Lind and Chiu, 2013; Franceschini et al., 2014; Huan et al., 2015; Pan et al., 2015). However, current knowledge about hypertension genetics is still far from complete. Common variants identified in genomewide association studies only explain a small fraction of the blood pressure variance landscape (Dominiczak and Munroe, 2010). This limitation highlights the need to study rare variants that may contribute to this complex disorder (Schork et al., 2009; Gibson, 2012).

G protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) play a critical role in vascular tone regulation (Brinks and Eckhart, 2010). Several GPCRs with preferential activation of heterotrimeric G proteins of the Goq family (e.g., angiotensin II, endothelin, and a1 adrenergic receptors) mediate vasoconstrictor responses in blood vessels and many antihypertensive drugs act to counteract their effects. Regulator of G protein signaling (RGS) proteins plays a crucial role in modulating GPCR signaling through its GTPaseactivating protein activity toward Goq subunits. Regulator of G protein signaling 2, in particular, has been strongly implicated in cardiovascular regulation due to its selectivity toward Goq resulting in diminished vasoconstrictor action (Heximer et al., 1997). Homozygous and heterozygous RGS2 knockout mice exhibit a hypertension phenotype attributed to prolonged Goq-mediated vasoconstrictor signaling (Heximer et al., 2003) and a reduced nitric oxide/GMP-mediated vascular relaxation response (Tang et al., 2003; Sun et al., 2005). Mice lacking RGS2 are also prone to cardiac hypertrophy due to elevated Goq signaling (Zhang et al., 2006). Increased sympathetic tone and altered renal mechanisms also contribute substantially to hypertension development in RGS2 knockout mice (Gross et al., 2005; Gurley et al., 2010; Osei-Owusu et al., 2015).

The actual role of RGS2 in human hypertension is not well understood. A number of common variants in the promoter, introns, and noncoding regions of RGS2 gene are associated with hypertension and suboptimal responsiveness to antihypertensive therapies in individuals with variants having reduced function.

ABBREVIATIONS: AT1R, angiotensin II type 1 receptor; CHO, Chinese hamster ovary cells; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; HEK-293, human embryonic kidney 293 cells; MBP, maltose binding protein; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PM, plasma membrane; RGS, regulator of G protein signaling; UTR, untranslated region; WT, wild type.
treatment in different ethnic groups (Yang et al., 2005; Riddle et al., 2006; Semplicini et al., 2006; Freson et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2013). Several reported missense mutations in RGS2 were found predominantly in hypertensive subjects but with very low allele frequencies (Yang et al., 2005). Among those, the Q2L mutant allele was shown to have low protein expression due to rapid proteasomal degradation (Bodenstein et al., 2007; Park et al., 2015). The mutant, on the other hand, showed less efficient plasma membrane targeting (Gu et al., 2008). Because these missense mutations of RGS2 had such low allele frequencies (<1%), it has been difficult to determine the functional significance of these mutations using epidemiologic or informatics approaches.

The revolution in next-generation sequencing has revealed genetic information useful for prevention and clinical management (Rabbani et al., 2014). More commonly, healthcare professionals are presented with variants of uncertain significance, which complicates the interpretation of genetic data (Ackerman, 2015; Gómez et al., 2016). Computational tools have been developed to predict variant effects with limited success (Schulz et al., 2015). Definitive characterization of variants of uncertain significance requires family segregation or functional studies. In alignment with this common theme, many missense mutations of RGS2 have been released to public databases such as NHLBI GO Exome Sequencing project (http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/) and Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC at http://exac.broadinstitute.org), but the functional consequences and human phenotypes of these variants is largely unknown.

We hypothesized that changes in the coding sequence of RGS2 may result in protein products that have altered function. In this study, we examined 16 mutations (Table 1) found in a Japanese population (Yang et al., 2005), the NHLBI exome sequencing project (Fu et al., 2013), and the ExAC database (Lek et al., 2016) to determine whether they differ from wild-type (WT) RGS2 in their ability to regulate GPCR function. In this study, we examined 16 mutations (Table 1) from wild-type (WT) RGS2 in their ability to regulate GPCR function.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation ID</th>
<th>Missense Variant</th>
<th>Allele Count (ExAC)</th>
<th>Codon Change</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Q2L</td>
<td>2/121304</td>
<td>CAA &gt; CTA</td>
<td>Yang et al. (2005); Lek et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs141030117</td>
<td>Q2R</td>
<td>65/121312</td>
<td>CAA &gt; CGA</td>
<td>Yang et al. (2005); Lek et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs145125159</td>
<td>S3G</td>
<td>32/121316</td>
<td>AGT &gt; AGC</td>
<td>Yang et al. (2005); Lek et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs142499684</td>
<td>A4V</td>
<td>4/121338</td>
<td>GCT &gt; GTC</td>
<td>Lek et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs193051407</td>
<td>M5V</td>
<td>82/121346</td>
<td>ATG &gt; GTG</td>
<td>Yang et al. (2005); Lek et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs74466425</td>
<td>K18N</td>
<td>34/121370</td>
<td>AAG &gt; AAC</td>
<td>Lek et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs14849044</td>
<td>G23D</td>
<td>66/121358</td>
<td>GGC &gt; GAC</td>
<td>Lek et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs201233692</td>
<td>D4OY</td>
<td>62/118360</td>
<td>GAT &gt; TAT</td>
<td>Lek et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs200329834</td>
<td>R44H</td>
<td>12/119308</td>
<td>CGT &gt; CAT</td>
<td>Yang et al. (2005); Lek et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs80221024</td>
<td>Q60K</td>
<td>103/119910</td>
<td>CAA &gt; AAA</td>
<td>Lek et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs140811638</td>
<td>P55L</td>
<td>6/120456</td>
<td>CCT &gt; CTT</td>
<td>Lek et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Q78H</td>
<td>3/2055</td>
<td>CAG &gt; CAC</td>
<td>Yang et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs139237239</td>
<td>A99G</td>
<td>2/121332</td>
<td>GCT &gt; GTC</td>
<td>Lek et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs148662218</td>
<td>I110V</td>
<td>53/121334</td>
<td>ATT &gt; GTT</td>
<td>Lek et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs369752935</td>
<td>R188H</td>
<td>4/121388</td>
<td>CGT &gt; CAT</td>
<td>Lek et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs112707798</td>
<td>Q196R</td>
<td>18/121352</td>
<td>CAG &gt; CGG</td>
<td>Lek et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA, not applicable.

* RGS2 mutations were found in disease cohort (Yang et al., 2005).
were performed under antibiotic-free conditions. Experiments were run 24 to 48 hours after transfection.

**SDS-PAGE and Western Blot Analysis.** Twenty-four hours after transfection, the cells were treated as indicated in the figure legend and harvested using modified RIPA lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris HCl pH 7.4, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, supplemented with complete protease inhibitor (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). Protein concentration in the cell lysates was determined using the BCA assay (Pierce, Rockford, IL) and adjusted with an appropriate volume of Laemmli buffer (BioRad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Equal amounts of protein in each lane were resolved on a 12% SDS-PAGE gel for 1 hour at 180 V. Samples were transferred to an Immobilon-FL membrane (EMD Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) for 1 hour at 100 V, 400 mA on ice and subjected to Western immunoblot analysis. The membrane was blocked with Odyssey blocking buffer (phosphate-buffered saline [PBS] (Li-Cor Biosciences, Lincoln, NE) for 30 minutes at room temperature on an orbital shaker. The membrane was probed overnight at 4°C with primary antibody diluted in blocking buffer. Mouse anti-V5 antibody (cat. no. 46-0705, lot no. 1620500; Invitrogen), rabbit anti-HA (cat. no. sc-805, lot no. D0413; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX) and rabbit anti-actin (cat. no. sc-1615-R, lot no. C2609; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were used at a dilution of 1:5000, 1:1000 and 1:100, respectively. The membrane was washed with PBS buffer supplemented with 0.1% Tween 20 (PBS-T) 4 times and probed for 1 hour at room temperature with IRDye–conjugated secondary antibody diluted in blocking buffer. IRDye 680RD donkey anti-mouse (cat. no. 926-68072, lot no. C31216-02) and IRDye 800CW donkey anti-rabbit antibody (cat. no. 926-32213, lot no. C40130-03) (1: 10,000) were from Li-Cor Biosciences. After four washes in blocking buffer, the protein bands were visualized using Li-Cor Odyssey FC scanner and images were scanned and analyzed using the Image Studio Lite (Li-Cor Biosciences).

**Confocal Microscopy.** HEK293 cells were seeded into collagen-coated 35 mm glass-bottom Petri dishes (MatTek Corporation, Ashland, MA) and transfected with 0.5 μg of plasmid DNA encoding RGS2-GFP with or without 0.5 μg of plasmid encoding Goq (Shankaranarayanan et al., 2008). All proteins had similar loading experiment. Densitometric quantitation of protein expression was performed as described (Nance et al., 2013) in a full skirt 96-well plate (BioExpress, Kaysville, UT). Samples were analyzed on a Luminex 200 flow cytometer, collecting at least 100 events per well. Data from at least four independent experiments of duplicate samples were fit by nonlinear regression using GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software, LaJolla, CA). K values were calculated using the Cheng-Prusoff equation.

**Differential Scanning Fluorometry Analysis.** MBP-RGS2 proteins were cleaved using TEV protease (Promega, Madison, WI) and the RGS2 WT and mutant RGS domains were purified using Ni resin (Supplemental Fig. 1). Differential scanning fluorometry analysis was performed in triplicate in 96-well plates in final volume of 20 μl/well. Protein (7 μM final) was prepared in 20 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 2 mM dithiothreitol, and 1 μM protein thermoshift dye (cat. no. 4461146; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The samples were heated from 25 to 99°C with a ramp rate of 1°C in continuous mode in an ABI 7500 Fast Real time PCR (Applied Biosystems). Data for the melting curves were analyzed in GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software) using the Boltzmann sigmoidal equation to obtain the melting temperature.

**Structure Modeling.** The structure of human RGS2 in complex with murine Goq, R183C (Protein Data Bank, 4EKD) was modified to mimic the R188H mutant using the Pymol mutagenesis tool. The rotamer of the modified amino acid with least steric interference was chosen on the basis of least overlap of van der Waals radii.

**Ca2+ Mobilization Assays.** Twenty-four hours after transfection, CHO cells were split into black, flat, clear bottom 384-well plates (Greiner Bio-one, Kremsmünster, Austria) and allowed to attach overnight. The medium was aspirated and cells loaded with 1X fluo-4/AM (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) in a loading buffer consisting of Hank’s basal saline solution supplemented with 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4 and 2.5 μM probenecid following manufacturer’s protocol. The cells were incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C, then 30 minutes at room temperature. Dye mix was removed and loading buffer was added to the cell plate. A concentration gradient of angiotensin II (cat. no. A9525; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at 2 times the final concentration was freshly prepared in loading buffer supplemented with 0.1% bovine serum albumin for automated injection into the wells by a FDS9-H/Cell kinetic fluorescence plate reader (Hamamatsu Photonics KK, Hamamatsu City, Japan). Assay plates were then placed onto the plate reader for measuring the changes of intracellular free calcium in response to the receptor activation.

**Protein Expression and Purification.** The RGS domain of WT and mutant RGS2 was expressed as a C-terminal fusion on bacterial maltose binding protein (MBP). Protein was purified as described previously (Shankaranarayanan et al., 2008). All proteins had similar purity and concentration (Supplemental Fig. 1). Biotinylated AN-Goq encoding residues 35–359 of murine Goq was a gift from Dr. John J. Tesmer (University of Michigan).

**Flow Cytometry Protein-Interaction Assays.** The binding between Goq with MBP-RGS2 and its point mutants were determined by a flow cytometry based assay (Roman et al., 2007; Nance et al., 2013). MBP-RGS2 was fluorescently labeled with amine reactive Alexa Fluor 532 NHS ester (Molecular Probes). Biotinylated murine Goq was attached to xMAP LumAvidin beads (LumineX, Austin, TX). The indicated concentration of unlabeled MBP-RGS2 WT and mutants were used to compete with 80 nM of the Alexa Fluor 532 labeled WT MBP-RGS2. Binding was performed as described (Nance et al., 2013) in a full skirt 96-well plate (BioExpress, Kaysville, UT). Samples were analyzed on a Luminex 200 flow cytometer, collecting at least 100 events per well. Data from at least four independent experiments of duplicate samples were fit by nonlinear regression using GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software, LaJolla, CA). K values were calculated using the Cheng-Prusoff equation.

**Results**

**Differential Effects of Human RGS2 Mutants to Inhibit AT1R-Mediated Intracellular Calcium Release.** In the current study, we investigated the biochemical properties of 16 single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the coding region of RGS2 previously identified in humans (Fig. 1A). As an initial screen to determine the function of RGS2 mutants, CHO cells were cotransfected with cDNAs encoding AT1R (1 μg) and WT or mutant RGS2 (0.75 μg). At this ratio, the suppression of AT1R-stimulated Ca2+ release by RGS2 was submaximal, enabling the identification of both gain- and loss-of-function mutations (Supplemental Fig. 2). In the absence of RGS2, AT1R stimulation by angiotensin II caused a rapid and transient peak of intracellular calcium [Ca2+]i (Fig. 1, B and C). Maximal [Ca2+]i, obtained from the dose–response curves in cells expressing receptor without RGS2 (Fig. 1, D and E) was set as 100%. WT RGS2 protein coexpression inhibits peak [Ca2+]i by 48% ± 2% (Fig. 1, B, C, and F) and increases the EC50 from 4.2 ± 0.3 mM to 7.9 ± 0.7 mM (Supplemental Table 1) without changing the receptor protein level (Supplemental Fig. 3). Four mutants,
including the Q2L, R188H, R44H, and D40Y, showed reduced function (Fig. 1, C, E, and F). The 12 remaining mutants had comparable inhibitory effects to RGS2 WT. Therefore, they are considered to have normal function in this screen (Fig. 1, B, D, and F). There was no significant change in EC50 mediated by RGS2 mutants compared with RGS2 WT (Supplemental Table 1).

Fig. 1. Impact of RGS2 mutations on AT1R-mediated intracellular calcium release. (A) RGS2 mutation map. Needle plot showing the two-dimensional positions of 16 missense mutations on the human RGS2 protein. The plot was visualized by inputting amino acid changes of RGS2 protein to MutationMapper tool (cBioPortal). CHO cells transiently expressing AT1R receptor with or without RGS2 WT or RGS2 mutants were used for measurement of intracellular Ca2+. (B and C) Representative fluorescence traces (angiotensin II, 1 μM) from cells expressing AT1R alone (black), cells coexpressing AT1R and RGS2 WT, K18N (normal function), or D40Y (decreased function). (D and E) Concentration–response curves. K18N inhibits AT1R-mediated Ca2+ as equally as RGS2 WT while D40Y showed reduced inhibition as compared with WT. (F) Bar graph of maximal percentage inhibition mediated by RGS2 WT or mutants. Reduced function mutants are shown in dark gray (Q2L, R188H, R44H, D40Y) and mutants that did not show a significant difference from WT (uncorrected \(P < 0.05\)) are shown in light gray. ****\(P < 0.0001\) compared with AT1R + control, *\(P < 0.05\) compared with AT1R + RGS2 WT (\(n = 6–8\), Student t test).

Transient Protein Expression Levels of C-Terminal V5 Tagged RGS2. Differential protein expression could explain the different effects of these mutations. Specifically, the Q2L mutant has been shown to have low protein levels in HEK-293T (Bodenstein et al., 2007) and HeLa cells (Park et al., 2015) due to enhanced proteasomal degradation. In this study, we expressed C-terminal V5 tagged RGS2 WT and mutant constructs in CHO cells, and the total cell lysates were probed with V5 tag antibody using Western blot analysis. Consistent with previous work, the Q2L protein levels were also low in CHO cells (60% reduction, \(P < 0.05\); Fig. 2) due to
rapid protein turnover ($t_{1/2}$ Q2L: 6 ± 1 minute versus RGS2 WT: 17 ± 4 minutes) (Supplemental Fig. 4). None of the other 15 mutants showed low protein expression as compared with RGS2 WT (Fig. 2).

**D40Y and R44H Mutants are Mislabeled.** Two of the mutants with reduced function, D40Y and R44H, had disrupted plasma membrane (PM) localization (Fig. 3). This had previously been demonstrated for the R44H mutant (Gu et al., 2008). In HEK-293 cells transfected with C-terminal GFP-tagged RGS2, nearly 100% localized in the nucleus when it was expressed alone (Fig. 3, A–C and I). Cotransfection with $G_{o_q}$ Q209L induced 67% of WT RGS2 to translocate to the PM (Fig. 3, E, J, and K). The Q2L mutant had a similar translocation level compared with RGS2 WT (Fig. 3K). The R44H mutant, as expected, had less protein localizing to the PM (21% ± 2% less than WT RGS2, $P < 0.0001$; Fig. 3, G, J, and K). The D40Y mutant was also less efficiently translocated with only 35% protein in the PM (32% ± 2% less than RGS2 WT, $P < 0.0001$; Fig. 3, F, J, and K). A fraction of total R188H-GFP protein formed multiple aggregates throughout the cytoplasm either with or without $G_{o_q}$ Q209L coexpression (white arrow, Fig. 3, D and H).

**Biochemical Characteristics of RGS2 Mutants: RGS2-$G_{o_q}$ Binding and Thermal Stability.** Among the 16 RGS2 mutants investigated, there are four mutations located in the RGS domain of RGS2: A99G, I110V, R188H, and Q196R. Only the R188H mutant was identified as having reduced function. We hypothesized that this mutation could interfere with the binding of RGS2 and $G_{o_q}$, thereby reducing the GTPase accelerating protein activity of RGS2 toward $G_{o_q}$. The binding of fluorescently labeled MBP-RGS2 WT to biotinylated $G_{o_q}$ was measured by flow cytometry protein-interaction assay. The $K_d$ in saturation binding studies was 83 ± 5 nM. We performed competition binding measurements in which various concentrations of unlabeled MBP-RGS2 WT or mutants were mixed with 80 nM fluorescently labeled MBP-RGS2 WT then incubated with AlF$_3$ activated $G_{o_q}$ bound to microspheres. Bound, labeled RGS2 was analyzed by flow cytometry. All MBP-RGS2 variants were further purified by gel filtration to ensure similar purity and then concentrated to approximately 90 μM stock. The $K_i$ values of each mutant were calculated from $IC_{50}$ values derived from the curves, using the Cheng-Prussoff equation in GraphPad Prism. Most RGS2 proteins—WT, Q196R, Q78H, and A99G—had similar $K_i$ values: 19, 20, 18, and 15 nM, respectively. The R188H mutant, on the other hand, had a much lower affinity ($K_i 235$ nM; Fig. 4A).

We also measured the thermal stability of the R188H and Q196R mutants using differential scanning fluorometry. The melting temperatures of WT RGS2 and Q196R were similar: 46.8° and 49°C, respectively. R188H had a significantly lower melting temperature at 40°C, which reflects markedly lower protein stability ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 4B). R188H also had significantly higher basal fluorescence, indicating a less stable protein (Supplemental Fig. 5). This measure of protein stability, however, did not correlate with protein stability in cells. The proteasome inhibitor MG-132 increased protein levels of R188H with a similar magnitude as that of RGS2 WT (Supplemental Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

Over 70 rare nonsynonymous RGS2 mutations have been identified in humans through multiple exome sequencing projects, and these may contribute to a propensity for hypertension. Of these, 32 mutations have been reported in at least two individuals, with the Q50K mutant having the highest allele frequency of 0.08% of overall population. Data are publicly available through the Exome Aggregation Consortium. In this study, we focused on 16 mutations (nonsynonymous polymorphisms) that were found in at least two individuals in both the ExAC and the NHLBI GO Exome Sequencing project databases. We identified four mutations that result in RGS2 proteins that display a functional deficit in inhibiting AT1R-mediated increases in intracellular calcium in CHO cells. Of these four, the results with the D40Y and R188H mutants are novel, and the Q2L and R44H mutants have been investigated in the past. Lower basal protein expression, impaired plasma membrane targeting, and $G$ protein binding deficiency were identified as the mechanisms most likely responsible for the reduced function of these mutants.

Multiple $G_{o_q}$ coupled receptors have been used to probe RGS2 function in vitro such as M1 or M3 muscarinic receptors (Bodenstein et al., 2007; Gu et al., 2007, 2008) or the vasopressin receptor (Osei-Owusu et al., 2007). In this study, we chose the angiotensin II receptor because of its relevance in systemic regulation of vascular function and blood pressure (de Kloet et al., 2015; Cameron et al., 2016; Li and Zhuo, 2016). Moreover, RGS2 has been proposed to serve as a selective and potent
regulator of AT1R due to interaction through its amino terminal domains (Heximer et al., 2003; Hercule et al., 2007; Matsuzaki et al., 2011). As 12 of the RGS2 mutations included in the study are located in the RGS2 amino terminal region (Fig. 1A), a functional screen against AT1R could reveal mutations that selectively disrupt RGS2 protein activity by blocking RGS2-receptor coupling. However, we did not find such mutants in our screen (Fig. 1F). We also did not identify any gain-of-function mutations, despite using conditions that would enable such identification (Supplemental Fig. 2).

Low expression of RGS2 protein resulting in prolonged GPCR signaling has been proposed for hypertension in the case of the rare Q2L missense mutation and the common C1114G polymorphism in the 3'-untranslated region (UTR) of the RGS2 gene (Semplicini et al., 2006; Park et al., 2015). We further confirmed that the Q2L mutant RGS2 has low protein expression (Fig. 2) due to a rapid turnover rate (Supplemental Fig. 4, A and C). No other RGS2 mutants that we investigated had low cellular protein levels in our system (Fig. 2).

The amphipathic α helix at the amino terminus of RGS2 promotes plasma membrane association and affects protein function (Gu et al., 2007). The R44H mutation has been shown to impair RGS2 membrane targeting by interference with lipid bilayer association (Gu et al., 2008). In this study, we confirmed that effect of the R44H mutation (Fig. 3, C, G, J, and K). We also identified another mutation in this α helix, D40Y, that exhibited reduced plasma membrane localization (Fig. 3, B, F, J, and K) and function (Fig. 1, C, E, and F). Unlike the R44H mutant in which both residues have a positive charge, the amino acid substitution in D40Y changes from the negatively charged aspartic acid to the hydrophobic tyrosine residue. This more dramatic alteration could explain the somewhat larger effect on Gaq-dependent membrane localization (R44H: 46% of control versus D40Y: 35%; Fig. 3K).

Although protein expression levels and membrane localization indirectly affect the negative regulatory effects of RGS2 on Gαq-coupled receptor signaling, the interaction between RGS2 and Gαq protein is absolutely critical for RGS2 function.
may be perturbed in other ways. The canonical RGS2 effect to reduce G protein levels can result in a decrease in cAMP production in cell-based assays (Salim et al., 2009; Chidiac et al., 2014). RGS2 may also interact with the eukaryotic initiation factor eIF2B, which plays a role in protein synthesis and signaling. RGS2 can also control protein synthesis through its interaction with the GTPase-activating protein (GAP) activity of Gαq, which regulates the activity of this G protein.

The published crystal structure of the RGS2 domain/Gαq protein complex shows that the arginine residue at position 188 is close to the binding interface between two proteins (Nance et al., 2013). It may also form networked salt bridges (Donald et al., 2011) with the glutamate residue at position 104 to stabilize protein tertiary structure (Fig. 4C). When this arginine is mutated to a histidine residue, the histidine rotamer with the least steric hindrance may not be sufficiently close to E104 to form a salt bridge, potentially rendering RGS2 more flexible and less structurally stable (Fig. 4D).

Besides the lower melting temperature (Fig. 4B), the initial fluorescence of the R188H mutant with the thermobead dye was noticeably higher than that of the WT and Q196R proteins (Supplemental Fig. 5). This suggests a disruption of basal protein folding. This misfolding could also explain the aggregation of the R188H-GFP in cells in the localization study. Disruption of the binding interface and structural instability properties of the R188H may explain the reduced binding affinity to Gαq. Further investigation demonstrated that this mutant has a slightly shorter half-life than WT RGS2 (16 ± 1.5 minutes versus 22 ± 1.3 minutes, not statistically significant; Supplemental Fig. 3, B and D) and is also subjected to proteasomal degradation (Supplemental Fig. 6).

The regulatory function of RGS2 in cardiovascular homeostasis is not limited to its inhibitory effect toward Gαq signaling. RGS2 can also control protein synthesis through interaction with the eukaryotic initiation factor eIF2B, which has been implicated in protection against cardiac hypertrophy (Nguyen et al., 2009; Chidiac et al., 2014). RGS2 may also interact with Gαs and several adenylate cyclase subtypes to decrease cAMP production in cell-based assays (Salim et al., 2003; Roy et al., 2006). Overall, our study mainly focused on the canonical RGS2 effect to reduce Gαq signaling. How RGS2 mutants function with respect to these other mechanisms has not been tested, so the 12 mutants with “normal” function here may be perturbed in other ways.

Some common polymorphisms in regulatory regions of RGS2 gene such as in-del mutations in the 3’-UTR have been shown to contribute to cardiovascular diseases such as hypertension and responsiveness to antihypertensive treatment (Riddle et al., 2006; Sempli et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2013; Kvehaugen et al., 2014; He et al., 2015). In this study, we demonstrate that rare mutations in the protein coding region of RGS2 can affect protein function at least in three different ways (protein stability, localization, and G protein binding) and result in signaling deregulation. How the function of RGS2 mutants in vitro correlates with their activities in vascular tissue or in human physiology will need to be determined. The results from this study could guide the development RGS2 transgenic animal models to derive further knowledge about the activity of rare RGS2 mutations in vivo. It will also provide a strategy to selectively target these mechanisms with directed repurposed or novel cardiovascular therapeutics.
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