Pharmacologic Evidence for a Putative Conserved Allosteric Site on Opioid Receptors
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ABSTRACT

Allosteric modulators of G protein–coupled receptors, including opioid receptors, have been proposed as possible therapeutic agents with enhanced selectivity. BMS-986122 is a positive allosteric modulator (PAM) of the μ-opioid receptor (μ-OR). BMS-986187 is a structurally distinct PAM for the δ-opioid receptor (δ-OR) that has been reported to exhibit 100-fold selectivity in promoting δ-OR over μ-OR agonism. We used ligand binding and second-messenger assays to show that BMS-986187 is an effective PAM at the μ-OR and at the κ-opioid receptor (κ-OR), but it is ineffective at the nociceptin receptor. The affinity of BMS-986187 for δ-ORs and κ-ORs is approximately 20- to 30-fold higher than for μ-ORs, determined using an allosteric ternary complex model. Moreover, we provide evidence, using a silent allosteric modulator as an allosteric antagonist, that BMS-986187 and BMS-986122 bind to a similar region on all three traditional opioid receptor types (μ-OR, δ-OR, and κ-OR). In contrast to the dogma surrounding allosteric modulators, the results indicate a possible conserved allosteric binding site across the opioid receptor family that can accommodate structurally diverse molecules. These findings have implications for the development of selective allosteric modulators.

Introduction

Allosteric modulation of G protein–coupled receptors (GPCRs) is a promising new avenue to develop safer drugs. With this aim in mind, we have discovered positive allosteric modulators (PAMs) of both μ-opioid (μ-OR) and δ-opioid (δ-OR) receptors. These allosteric modulators (Burford et al., 2013, 2015; Livingston and Traynor, 2014; Bisignano et al., 2015) bind to a site on the receptor distinct from the orthosteric site for endogenous opioid peptides and traditional opiate analgesics drugs to enhance the affinity and/or efficacy of various orthosteric ligands in an agonist-dependent manner, described as probe dependence. BMS-986122 (Fig. 1) is selective for μ-OR and has no detectable activity at the closely related δ-OR (Burford et al., 2013), whereas the δ-OR PAM, BMS-986187 (Fig. 1), possesses a 100-higher potency at δ-OR over μ-OR (Burford et al., 2015).

The selectivity of the PAMs is not surprising as enhanced selectivity is one of the touted benefits of allosteric modulation of GPCRs over traditional orthosteric activation. Ligand-binding domains were evolved and maintained to bind endogenous ligands, whereas sites for small allosteric ligands face less evolutionary pressure to be conserved. Therefore, even closely related receptors of the same family that have very similar orthosteric binding pockets can have different allosteric binding sites (for review, see Conn et al., 2009). For example, the μ- and δ-ORs share more than 64% sequence identity and are nearly identical in the transmembrane (TM) domains (Stevens, 2009), with highly conserved orthosteric binding pockets as determined from multiple inactive-state crystal structures (Lin et al., 2009; Manglik et al., 2012; Fenali et al., 2014), as well as an active-state structure of μ-OR (Huang et al., 2015). In addition, the opioid peptides Leu- and Met-enkephalin are endogenous ligands for both receptors, and the signaling pathways downstream of the receptors are quite similar (Chen et al., 1993). On the other hand, the allosteric ligands discovered to date for the two receptors are highly structurally distinct (Fig. 1).

In previous work, we have demonstrated that the action of BMS-986122 at μ-OR involves a disruption with the binding of Na+ ions (Livingston and Traynor, 2014) that modulate the activity of many class A GPCRs, including the opioid receptor
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family (for review, see Katritch et al., 2014). Na⁺ binds at a well described site within the 7-TM bundle and contributes to stabilization of the receptor in an inactive state with reduced affinity for agonists (Pert et al., 1973; Pert and Snyder, 1974; Liu et al., 2012). We previously hypothesized (Livingston and Traynor, 2014) that allosteric disruption of Na⁺ binding may be a common mechanism for PAMs of class A GPCRs.

Although BMS-986187 possesses selectivity for δ-over μ-OR when endomorphin 1 is used as the orthosteric ligand (Burford et al., 2015). This and the structural and functional similarities between the μ-OR and δ-OR led us to examine the action of BMS-986187 at μ-OR and to test the hypothesis of a general mechanism of action of allosteric modulation of GPCRs by studying whether the binding of the δ-OR-PAM BMS-986187 and Na⁺ ions are mutually incompatible at both δ-OR and μ-OR. Finally, we asked whether the allosteric sites on the two receptors are similar by using the silent allosteric modulator BMS-986124, which acts as an antagonist at the allosteric site in μ-OR (Burford et al., 2013). We also investigated whether these modulators have activity at the closely related κ-opioid receptor (κ-OR) and nociceptor receptor (NOPR).

Overall, our findings confirm that the selective δ-PAM BMS-986187 has PAM activity at μ-OR, and we further show that this compound is also a PAM at κ-OR but not at NOPR. In addition, we have found that the μ-PAM BMS-986122 is a silent allosteric modulator at δ-OR and κ-OR. Together, these data suggest that the allosteric binding sites on μ-OR, δ-OR, and κ-OR may be conserved. The results have ramifications for the identification of the allosteric site(s) and for drug development of allosteric modulators for the opioid receptors.

Materials and Methods

Materials. [³H]-Diprenorphine (DPN) and GTPγS ([guanosine-5’-O(3-thio)triphosphate] were from PerkinElmer Life Sciences (Cambridge, MA). All tissue culture medium, penicillin-streptomycin, genetin (G148), trypsin, and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). DAMGO, naloxone, and morphine sulfate were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). PathHunter detection reagents were from DiscoveRx (Fremont, CA). Lance-Ultra cAMP detection reagents were from PerkinElmer Life Sciences. BMS-986122, BMS-986124, and BMS-986187 (structures in Fig. 1) were synthesized or obtained as previously described (Burford et al., 2013, 2015). Other drugs were from the Opioid Basic Research Center at the University of Michigan. All other chemicals, unless otherwise specified, were purchased from Sigma.

Cell Lines and Membrane Preparation. The generation and maintenance of C6 rat glioma cells stably transfected with rat μ-opioid receptor (μ-OR) or rat δ-opioid receptor (δ-OR) were performed as described (Clark et al., 2008). Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells expressing human κ-OR, human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T cells expressing human ORL1, and Flp-In CHO expressing human δ-OR (CHO-δ) were generated and maintained Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium with 10% FBS in the presence of 0.4 mg/ml of Geneticin and 0.1% penicillin/streptomycin. Cell membranes were prepared for binding assays as described (Livingston and Traynor, 2014). Briefly, cells were grown to confluence and washed twice with 37°C phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4). Cells were detached in harvesting buffer (20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 0.68 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) and pelleted by centrifugation at 200g for 5 minutes at room temperature. The pellet was resuspended in ice-cold 50 mM Tris (pH 7.4) and homogenized using a Tissue Tearor (Dremel, Mount Prospect, IL). This homogenate was centrifuged at 20,000g at 4°C for 20 minutes. The pellet was then resuspended, homogenized, and centrifuged one more. The final pellet was resuspended in 50 mM Tris (pH 7.4) using a glass Dounce homogenizer, and aliquots were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Aliquots were stored at –80°C until use. Protein concentration was determined using the bicinchoninic acid quantification method with bovine serum albumin as the standard.

CHO PathHunter cells expressing enzyme acceptor (EA)-tagged β-arrestin-2 and ProLink (PK)-tagged μ-OR receptor (CHO-μ) were from DiscoveRx. Cells were grown in F-12 media (11765; Invitrogen) containing Hyclone FBS 10%, Hygromycin 300 µg/ml, Geneticin (G418) 800 µg/ml and maintained at 37°C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO2. These cells were used for β-arrestin-2 recruitment assays and inhibition of forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation assays as described below.

Radioligand Binding Assays. Ligand binding assays were performed using the cell membrane homogenates described in the preceding section. Competition binding assays were performed as previously described (Clark et al., 2003). Briefly, [³H]-DPN (0.2–0.3 nM) was incubated in assay buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, 10 µM GTPγS) with 10 µg of membrane protein, orthosteric ligand, and allosteric ligand (or vehicle) to promote a low-affinity state of the receptor. In some experiments, the κ-OR ligand [³H]U69,593 was examined in Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4) only. Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of 10 µM naloxone. Assays were incubated at room temperature for 75–90 minutes to reach equilibrium and then terminated and counted as described (Livingston and Traynor, 2014). Three independent experiments, each done in duplicate, were performed, and the values were pooled to generate the mean curves as displayed in the figures.

GTPγS Assays. GTPγS binding experiments were performed as described (Traynor and Nahorski, 1995) using cell membrane homogenates prepared as described. Briefly, 10-µg aliquots of membrane protein were incubated for 1 hour at 25°C in buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA) with 0.1 nM GTPγS 100 µM GDP, orthosteric ligand, and allosteric ligand (or vehicle). An internal standard at 10 µM (DAMGO [N-α-Ala², N-MePhe⁴, Gly⁵-ol-]) for μ-OR, SNC80 for δ-OR, U69,593 for κ-OR, and nociceptin for NOPR) was used to define maximal activation, water, or vehicle-defined basal binding. The assays were terminated and counted as described (Livingston and Traynor, 2014). Three independent experiments, each done in duplicate, were performed, and the values were pooled to generate mean curves as displayed in the figures.

PathHunter β-Arrestin-2 Assay. Confluent flasks of CHO-μ cells were harvested with TrypLE Express and resuspended in F-12 media supplemented with 10% FBS and 25 mM HEPES at a density of 6.67 × 10⁵ cells/ml and plated (3 µl/well) into white solid TC-treated 1536-well plates (Corning Inc., Corning, NY). Plates were incubated overnight at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ humidified incubator. The next day, increasing concentrations of BMS-986187 (40 nM of 100 × final concentration in assay buffer) were added to separate columns of the
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Results

Activity of the δ-PAM, BMS-986187, at μ-OR. BMS-986187 is reported to have a 100-fold selectivity for δ-OR compared with μ-OR, although initial data on BMS-986187 suggested that the compound is an efficacious yet low, affinity PAM at μ-OR (Burford et al., 2015). To confirm the PAM activity of BMS-986187 at μ-OR, we studied the effects of BMS-986187 using cell-membrane preparations from C6 rat glioma cells stably expressing rat μ-OR (C6μ, Lee et al., 1999). Since we have previously shown that modulators act by allosterically displacing Na⁺ ions from inactive μ-OR, binding was measured by competition assay using the orthosteric antagonist (3H)-diprenorphine (3H-DPN) in the presence of Na⁺ ions to uncouple heterotrimeric G protein and so stabilize inactive (R) conformations of the receptor (Livingston and Traynor, 2014). We verified that BMS-986187 does not compete with 3H-DPN, (Fig. 2A) for the orthosteric site on μ-OR or stimulate GTPγS binding (Fig. 2B). Conversely, BMS-986187 significantly increased the binding affinity (Kᵦ) of agonists for μ-OR, consistent with a positive allosteric ligand. As such, in the presence of 10 μΜ BMS-986187, the affinity of the μ-OR agonist DAMGO was enhanced 11-fold from 724 to 63 nM, whereas the affinity of methadone was increased 24-fold, from 603 to 25 nM. In contrast, the affinity of morphine, a partial agonist, was enhanced by a nonsignificant 3-fold, from 229 to 71 nM (Fig. 2, Table 1).

The allosteric modulatory actions of BMS-986187 at μ-OR were then investigated in three signaling assays. BMS-986187 (1 μΜ) significantly enhanced the potency of DAMGO by 6-fold, from 91 to 16 nM; methadone by 20-fold, from 203 to 10 nM, but it shifted morphine only a nonsignificant 3-fold, from 120 to 38 nM in the GTPγS binding assay in membranes from C6μ cells. There was also a significant increase in the maximal effect of the partial agonist morphine, from 70% to 90% of the DAMGO response (Fig. 2, Table 1). The larger shift seen with methadone allowed us to repeat the assay in the presence of increasing concentrations of BMS-986187 to generate a limited series of concentration-response curves (Fig. 3) that, when analyzed using a derivative of the allosteric ternary complex model (Leach et al., 2010; see Materials and Methods), resulted in a pKB value, representing the affinity of BMS-986187 for the unoccupied μ-OR of 5.23 ± 0.19 and a log αβ value of 1.16 ± 0.13 for the cooperativity between methadone and BMS-986187.

We then used high throughpupt methods to generate a more comprehensive series of concentration-effect curves to study the action of the modulator on adenylate cyclase (AC) inhibition and β-arrestin-2 recruitment, which enabled us to determine binding affinity and allosteric cooperativity using the full operational model of allostery (Leach et al., 2007; see Materials and Methods). Using the inhibition of AC in CHO-μ cells as a downstream measure of Gi/o signaling, the BMS-986187 concentration dependently enhanced the potency of DAMGO by 10-fold (EC50 from 66 to 7 pM) (Fig. 4A). These data afforded a pKB value for BMS-986187 of 4.78 ± 0.15 and a log αβ value for cooperativity of 1.57 ± 0.17. Analysis using the simplified operational model (Leach et al., 2010) gave the same result (pKB = 4.83 ± 0.15; log αβ = 1.52 ± 0.17). When recruitment of β-arrestin-2 was measured as a signaling output in the same cells, BMS-986187 was also observed to concentration dependently enhance the potency of DAMGO (EC50 from 170 to 3 nM), representing a 58-fold shift in DAMGO potency (Fig. 4B). Analyses of these data afforded a pKB value for BMS-986187 of 4.61 ± 0.06 (Fig. 4) and a log αβ value of cooperativity of 2.1 ± 0.05.

The coupling efficiency of the AC assay is much higher than in the β-arrestin-2 or GTPγS assays as evidenced by the 2500-fold higher potency of DAMGO in the former assay. Because of this high coupling efficiency, BMS-986187 alone inhibited adenylate cyclase (Fig. 4C). In comparison, no agonist activity was observed in the GTPγS assay (Fig. 2B) or the β-arrestin-2 assay (Fig. 4D). This direct agonist activity in the AC assay at μ-OR is not due to an action of BMS-986187 at the orthosteric site on μ-OR, as shown by the 3H-DPN displacement assays (Fig. 2A), and so the compound should be designated an “ago-PAM” (Christopoulos et al., 2014), reminiscent of its activity at the δ-OR, where it is capable of G-protein activation, AC inhibition, and mitogen-activated protein kinase activation in the absence of orthosteric agonist, in addition to allosterically enhancing orthosteric agonist affinity and activity (Burford et al., 2015). The ago-PAM efficacy of BMS-986187 at μ-OR is low, such that this can only be observed when measured using the more amplified AC signaling output in cells overexpressing μ-OR receptors.

BMS-986187 Acts to Disrupt Na⁺ Binding at Both μ-OR and δ-OR. Na⁺ ion binding is highly coordinated and contributes to the stabilization of inactive receptor (R; Fenalti
Fig. 2. BMS-986187 enhances the affinity, potency, and/or maximal stimulation of several opioid ligands at μ-OR expressed in C6 cell membranes. (A) BMS-986187 alone did not displace 3H-DPN from the orthosteric site or (B) stimulate GTPγ35S binding. The presence of 10 μM BMS-986187 (filled symbols) increased the binding affinity and potency or maximal effect to stimulate GTPγ35S for DAMGO (C and D), methadone (E and F), and morphine (G and H) compared with control conditions (open symbols). Data are presented as percent 3H-DPN bound for the binding data or percentage of stimulation of a maximal concentration (10 μM) of the full-agonist DAMGO for the GTPγ35S data. Nonlinear regression analysis using GraphPad Prism 6.01 fit all curves to one site. Data shown are means ± S.E.M. of three (3H-DPN) or four (GTPγ35S) independent experiments each performed in duplicate.


**Evidence for a Conserved Opioid Receptor Allosteric Site**

Disruption of Na\(^{+}\) coordination leads to an increased level of active-state \(\mu\)-OR \(R^*\) and therefore an increase in the binding affinity of orthosteric agonists (Pert et al., 1973; Pert and Snyder, 1974). We have previously demonstrated that the binding of the \(\mu\)-PAM, BMS-986122, and Na\(^{+}\) ions are incompatible leading BMS-986122 to promote \(R^*\) (Livingston and Traynor, 2014). Here we show that the potency (EC\(_{50}\)) of Na\(^{+}\) ions to inhibit the binding of the \(\mu\)-OR agonist DAMGO to membranes from C6\(_{12}\) cells is reduced by 5-fold in the presence of BMS-986187 [from 3.8 (0.6–20) to 20.2 (12–34) mM] (Fig. 5A), although because of a small window in the control group, the effect did not reach significance. Likewise, at the \(\delta\)-OR expressed in CHO cells, there was a negative relationship between Na\(^{+}\) ions and BMS-986187 such that BMS-986187 caused a concentration-dependent 4-fold rightward shift in the NaCl concentration-response curve [from 45 (35–59) to 172 (100–300) mM] to inhibit the constitutive receptor-mediated GTP\(^{35}\)S binding that occurs in Na\(^{+}\)-free buffer (Szekeres and Traynor, 1997) (Fig. 5B).

**TABLE 1**

Effects of BMS-986187 on ligand affinity and [\(^{35}\)S]GTP\(^{35}\)S binding at \(\mu\), \(\delta\), \(\kappa\), and NOP receptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\mu)-OR</th>
<th>Ki (nM)</th>
<th>Potency (GTP(^{35})S) (nM)</th>
<th>Emax (GTP(^{35})S) (% Standard)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control</strong></td>
<td>BMS-986187</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>BMS-986187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DAMGO</strong></td>
<td>724 (562–912)</td>
<td>63 (13–316) /</td>
<td>91 (55–154)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Methadone</strong></td>
<td>603 (288–1259)</td>
<td>25 (19–32) /</td>
<td>203 (121–284)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Morphine</strong></td>
<td>229 (178–302)</td>
<td>71 (43–120) /</td>
<td>120 (85–166)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(\delta)-OR</strong> (\delta)-<strong>OR</strong>&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>221 (119–324)</td>
<td>7 (3–12) /</td>
<td>28 (18–42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leu-enkephalin</strong></td>
<td>71 (20–122)</td>
<td>5 (3–7) /</td>
<td>NT (NT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SNC80</strong></td>
<td>30 (7–14)</td>
<td>3 (0.2–5.8) /</td>
<td>NT (NT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TAN67</strong></td>
<td>981 (480–1601)</td>
<td>64 (35–121) /</td>
<td>105 (82–128)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(\kappa)-OR</strong> (\kappa)-<strong>OR</strong>&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.9 (0.3–1.6)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.2–0.5) /</td>
<td>881 (480–1601)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dynorphin A</strong></td>
<td>NT (NT)</td>
<td>NT (NT)</td>
<td>15 (11–19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salvinorin A</strong></td>
<td>NT (NT)</td>
<td>NT (NT)</td>
<td>322 (221–471)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diprenorphine</strong></td>
<td>NT (NT)</td>
<td>NT (NT)</td>
<td>46 (25–37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NOPPR</strong>&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NT (NT)</td>
<td>NT (NT)</td>
<td>2.6 (2.0–4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nociceptin</strong></td>
<td>NT (NT)</td>
<td>NT (NT)</td>
<td>13 (8.0–19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ro-64-6198</strong></td>
<td>NT (NT)</td>
<td>NT (NT)</td>
<td>13 (8.0–19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NT, not tested. Values in parentheses represent 95% confidence intervals.

*In C6 rat glioma cells expressing rat \(\mu\)-OR.

*In CHO cells expressing human \(\kappa\)-OR.

*Ki values determined by saturation binding in Tris-buffer.

*HEK293 cells expressing human NOPR.

*Significantly different from control conditions (nonoverlapping confidence intervals).

*\(P = 0.03\) compared with control (paired \(t\) test).

BMS-986122 and BMS-986187 are Competitive at \(\mu\)-OR and \(\delta\)-OR. Although our initial hypothesis predicted that BMS-986122 and BMS-986187 have distinct binding sites on \(\mu\)-OR based on their diverse structural features (Fig. 1), the fact that they show a similar pattern of probe dependence in their interaction with full versus partial agonists versus antagonists and a similar interaction with Na\(^{+}\) ions could indicate that they engage \(\mu\)OR at the same allosteric site(s). To
evaluate this possibility, we used the µ-silent allosteric modulator (µ-SAM) BMS-986124, a close analog of BMS-986122 (Fig. 1), which has been previously reported to block BMS-986122 action at µ-OR but having no effects alone (Burford et al., 2013). We asked whether BMS-986124 could inhibit the action of BMS-986187 at µ-OR and even at δ-OR.

BMS-986187, at 10 μM, produced a 6-fold increase in the potency of DAMGO to stimulate GDPγS binding in C6α cell membranes (from 91 to 16 nM) (Table 1). The addition of the µ-SAM increased the EC50 of DAMGO [to 59.4 (47.5–74.2) nM] such that the PAM effects of BMS-986187 were no longer significant (Fig. 6A). Using the same assay in membranes from C6α cells BMS-986187 (300 nM) displayed no agonism alone, but it enhanced the potency of the orthosteric agonist leu-enkephalin to stimulate GDPγS by 6-fold [from 378 (287–498) to 65.4 (44.9–95.4) nM]. This effect was reversed in the presence of BMS-986124 [217 (154–295) nM] (Fig. 6B). Furthermore, since BMS-986124 blocks the action of BMS-986122 at µ-OR and BMS-986187 at δ-OR, we predicted BMS-986122, because of its structural similarity to BMS-986124 (Fig. 1), might bind to δ-OR but lacks allosteric efficacy. In a separate set of experiments in C6α cell membranes, the presence of BMS-986187 (300 nM) increased the potency of Leu-enkephalin [from 176 (136–207) to 67.9 (42.1–79.0) nM], but this increase was decreased by the addition of 30 μM BMS-986122 [to 161 (136–228) nM] (Fig. 6C). We concluded that we observed only partial reversal may be explained by the finding that BMS-986122 might not be entirely silent at δ-OR. For example, in a separate experiment, the compound slightly enhanced the potency of Leu-enkephalin [from 667 (525–848) to 293 (234–374) nM] (Fig. 6D). BMS-986187 is an ago-PAM at δ-OR and alone will stimulate GDPγS binding via the allosteric site. Both the µ-SAM (BMS-986124) and the µ-PAM (BMS986122) were able to inhibit the ago-PAM activity of BMS-986187 (Fig. 6E).

**BMS-986187 Is a Positive Allosteric Modulator of κ-OR.** Since BMS-986187 has activity at both µ-OR and δ-OR, we sought to determine whether it also has activity at the κ-opioid receptor (κ-OR) since these three receptors share a high degree of homology as well as endogenous ligands. In CHO cells expressing the human κ-OR, GDPγS binding stimulated by the κ-OR-prefering peptide dynorphin A(1–17) was enhanced 15-fold (from 15 to 1.0 nM) in the presence of 10 μM BMS-986187 with no change in the maximal response (Fig. 7; Table 1). Similarly, the activities of the κ-OR agonist U69,593, the nonbasic nitrogen-containing agonist salvinorin A, and the partial agonist DPN (Traynor et al., 1987) were enhanced 15-fold (from 15 to 1.0 nM) in the presence of 10 μM BMS-986187 (Fig. 7; Table 1). Analysis of the concentration-response curves for the stimulation of GDPγS binding by U69,593, in the presence of BMS-986187 (Fig. 7B) using the simplified operational model (Leach et al., 2010), afforded a pKb value for the modulator of 6.22 ± 0.19 and a log αβ value of 1.11 ± 0.13.

We could not investigate the effect of BMS-986187 using binding assays with 3H-DPN as the tracer ligand at κ-OR...
because DPN has agonist activity at this receptor and this action is sensitive to BMS-986187 (Fig. 7D). Consequently, we studied the effects of the modulators on the binding of \(^{3}H\)-U69,593. This was examined in Tris-buffers in the absence of added Na\(^+\) ions and GTP. Even so, BMS-986187 enhanced the affinity of \(^{3}H\)-U69,593 to \(\kappa\)-OR from \(K_D = 0.9 \pm 0.2\) to \(0.3 \pm 0.1\) nM \((P = 0.03; \text{Fig. 7E; Table 1})\) without a change in the \(B_{\text{max}}\). The effect is small because receptors will exist mostly in the \(R^*\) state under these conditions. Neither BMS-986122 nor BMS-986187 at \(10 \mu M\) enhanced the binding of DAMGO and reduces the potency of Na\(^+\) ions to inhibit DAMGO binding (closed circles).

(B) The ability of increasing concentrations of NaCl to decrease constitutive \(\delta\)-OR-mediated GTP\(^{35}\)S binding in the absence (open circles) or presence of increasing concentrations of BMS-986187 was measured in membranes from CHO-\(\kappa\)-cells. Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 6.01, and points shown are means \(\pm\) S.E.M. of three independent experiments, each in duplicate.

Fig. 5. Antagonism between Na\(^+\) ions and BMS-986187. (A) The displacement by DAMGO of \(^{3}H\)-DPN binding to \(\mu\)-OR is inhibited by Na\(^+\) ions (open circles). BMS-986187 (10 \(\mu M\)) enhances the binding of DAMGO and reduces the potency of Na\(^+\) ions to inhibit DAMGO binding (closed circles). (B) The ability of increasing concentrations of NaCl to decrease constitutive \(\delta\)-OR-mediated GTP\(^{35}\)S binding to the inactive \(R^*\) state under these conditions. Neither BMS-986122 nor BMS-986187 prevented the BMS-986187-inhibited binding of DAMGO and adenylyl cyclase assays is 1.57, whereas there is a greater cooperativity in the \(\beta\)-arrestin-2 assay (log\(\alpha\beta = 2.1\)). The cooperativity factor (log\(\alpha\beta\)) between DAMGO and BMS-986187 at \(\mu\)-OR for the GTP\(^{35}\)S and adenylyl cyclase assays is 1.57, whereas there is a greater cooperativity in the \(\beta\)-arrestin-2 assay (log\(\alpha\beta = 2.1\)). The cooperativity factor (log\(\alpha\beta\)) between U69,593 and BMS-986187 at \(\kappa\)-OR derived from GTP\(^{35}\)S assay data is 1.1. This compares with full agonists (Leu-enkephalin and SNC80, respectively) at the \(\delta\)-OR that afforded log\(\alpha\beta\) values of 1.18 and 1.33 in the \(\beta\)-arrestin-2 assay, 1.67 and 1.0 in the \([\text{\(^{35}\)S}]\) GTP\(^{35}\)S assay, and 2.8 and 2.1 in the AC assay (Burford et al., 2015). Although it is not possible to compare these values directly because of the many endogenous ligands used, the similarity between log\(\alpha\beta\) values at \(\mu\)-OR, \(\delta\)-OR and \(\kappa\)-OR suggests that the preference of BMS-986187 for the \(\delta\)-OR and \(\kappa\)-OR is mainly due to its higher binding affinity. It is worthy of note that the cooperativity values at \(\mu\)-OR are greater for \(\beta\)-arrestin-2 than AC, whereas the opposite is true at the \(\delta\)-OR. Previously, a 100-fold preference was reported for the ability of BMS-986187 to act as a PAM at the \(\delta\)-OR compared with the \(\mu\)-OR. This selectivity was determined by comparing the potency ratios for the compounds as PAMs at the \(\mu\)-OR using endomorphin-1 and the \(\delta\)-OR using Leu-enkephalin (Burford et al., 2015), and this could vary depending on the probes used, a facet that is complicated by the many endogenous ligands for the opioid receptor family. On the other
hand, the affinity values reported here are for the agonist unoccupied receptor and do not depend on the orthosteric ligand used; thus, the discrimination obtained is the actual selectivity for BMS-986187 between the $\mu$-OR and the $\kappa$-OR or $\delta$-OR.

The apparent lack of selectivity of the allosteric modulator between $\kappa$- and $\delta$-OR and the low level of selectivity for these receptors over $\mu$-OR is counter to the many observations across other GPCRs that allosteric modulators can selectively target closely related receptors that traditional orthosteric ligands have been unable to achieve (for review, see May et al., 2007; Keov et al., 2011). The idea of nonselectivity at allosteric sites has been previously seen with the muscarinic acetylcholine receptor (mAChR) family of five receptors that, like the opioid receptors, share a very high level of homology. The allosteric modulator C7/3-phth acts at all subtypes of mAChRs, although it has the highest affinity for the M$_3$R mAChR (Christopoulos et al., 1999) and LY2033298 is a PAM at both M$_2$R and M$_4$R mAChRs (Chan et al., 2008; Valant et al., 2012). Indeed, recent crystallographic studies with mAChRs have found that the allosteric sites of these family members are quite homologous (Thal et al., 2016), giving a rational explanation for the difficulty in developing selective allosteric ligands for these receptors as well as orthosteric ligands; however, selectivity may arise from the cooperativity of allosteric ligands with orthosteric sites and their ligands. For example, an allosteric ligand may bind different receptors but show cooperativity with only the orthosteric site of one receptor, and this may, or may not, be dependent on the orthosteric ligand.

One of the most pressing questions to understand more completely the mechanism of the allosteric modulators and
the rational design of modulators is identification of their binding site(s) on the opioid receptors. Comparisons of two recent and independent molecular dynamics simulations suggest that the binding of BMS-986122 at \( \mu \)-OR (Bartuzi et al., 2016) and BMS-986187 at \( \delta \)-OR (Shang et al., 2016) rely on the same residues at the top of TM domains 2 and 7 (Livingston and Traynor, 2017). Indeed, the striking similarity in theoretical binding pockets for these ligands at two different opioid receptors supports our pharmacologic evidence of a conserved site. In the proposed region, Tyr 2.64, His 7.36, and Ile 7.39 (numbers refer to Ballesteros-Weinstein generic numbering scheme) (Ballesteros and Weinstein, 1995) are conserved across \( \mu \)-OR, \( \delta \)-OR, and \( \kappa \)-OR. In particular, Tyr 2.64 may have an important role and is seen to undergo large conformational changes upon \( \mu \)-OR receptor activation when comparing the inactive and active crystal structures of this receptor (Manglik et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2015). It is also worth noting that Tyr 7.35 in \( \kappa \)-OR, an amino acid implicated in the putative allosteric sites on \( \delta \)-OR and \( \mu \)-OR (Bartuzi et al., 2016; Shang et al., 2016), is involved in hydrogen bond formation with the orthosteric antagonist JD-Tic (Wu et al., 2012), which could indicate that JD-Tic is bitopic and reaches into the allosteric site of \( \kappa \)-OR. This residue 7.35, as a Trp or Tyr, is crucial for the binding and function of allosteric modulators and agonist-mediated conformational changes at a number of GPCRs (reviewed in Livingston and Traynor, 2017), including M1 (Abdul-Ridha et al., 2014), M2 (Jäger et al., 2007; Haga et al., 2012; Dror et al., 2013), M4 receptors (Thal et al., 2016), and \( \beta 2 \)AR and M2R (DeVree et al., 2016).

The allosteric site for BMS-986122 and BMS-986187 does not appear to be conserved on NOPR as we failed to see allosteric activity of the ligands. Differences in amino-acid composition are found when comparing the reported putative allosteric sites in \( \mu \)-OR and \( \delta \)-OR with the same region of the NOPR. This finding is not surprising as NOPR shares the least amount of homology with the other opioid receptors, and

Fig. 7. BMS-986187 is a PAM at \( \kappa \)-OR. (A) Using CHO cells expressing \( \kappa \)-OR, the ability of dynorphin A (1–17) to activate G protein as measured by the GTP\( \gamma \)S binding assay was determined in the absence or presence of 10 \( \mu \)M BMS-986187. Using the same cell membranes, concentration-response curves were generated for (B) U69,593, (C) salvinorin A, and (D) DPN in the presence of vehicle or 10 \( \mu \)M BMS-986187. (E) Representative experiments (of four each in duplicate) showing the affinity but not maximal binding of \(^3\)H-U69,593 were enhanced in the presence of BMS-986187. (F) BMS-986122 or BMS-986124 did not alter the binding of \(^3\)H-U69,593 but blocked the PAM effect of BMS-986187. *Analysis by ANOVA followed by Tukey post hoc showed significant differences between BMS-986187 alone and all other conditions.
classically it has not been characterized as an opioid receptor owing to its inability to bind the antagonist naloxone (Cox et al., 2015). The orthosteric binding sites of the four opioid receptors are generally conserved but with one striking difference (reviewed in Cox, 2013). In μ-OR, δ-OR, and κ-OR, a His in TM6 is joined by a two-water-molecule bridge to the phenolic hydroxyl on Tyr1 of the opioid peptides or on the aromatic ring of small-molecule opioids, including naloxone. In NOPR, this His is replaced by Gln and so does not form the water bridge linking to the phenolic hydroxyl. Instead, NOPR ligands have a Phe in position 1 that is suggested to interact with a Tyr in TM3 via π-stacking (Thompson et al., 2012). This difference could account for the lack of cooperativity of NOPR orthosteric agonists with BMS-986122 and BMS-986187. Nevertheless, it cannot be ruled out that these allosteric ligands might be modulators of NOPR but have much lower affinity so that higher concentrations are required or, owing to probe dependence, may exhibit cooperativity with ligands other than Ro64-6198 and the endogenous ligand nociceptin that we examined. For example, the CCR3 receptor negative allosteric modulator aplatirivroc fully prevents binding of the chemokine CCR3 but has almost no effect on CCL5 binding (Watson et al., 2005). In addition, BMS-986187 and BMS-986122 might be SAMs at NOPR, but without a known PAM for NOPR, testing this is not currently possible.

Few examples of endogenous allosteric modulators for GPCRs have been reported (for review, see van der Westhuizen et al., 2015) including dynorphin A, a putative allosteric modulator of the M2 mAChR (Hu and El-Fakahany, 1993); glutathione, an allosteric ligand for the calcium-sensing receptor (Wang et al., 2006; Broadhead et al., 2011); and lipoxin A4, which has been proposed as an endogenous cannabinoid receptor modulator (Pampolona et al., 2012). Since the evidence presented suggests that the three classic members of the opioid family of receptors share a similar allosteric binding site, it is tempting to speculate that this may be caused by evolutionary pressure from an endogenous allosteric modulator that binds the opioid receptors, although this may be vestigial.
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