Molecular Imaging of GLUT1 and GLUT5 in Breast Cancer: A Multitracer Positron Emission Tomography Imaging Study in Mice
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ABSTRACT

Use of [18F]FDG-positron emission tomography (PET) in clinical breast cancer (BC) imaging is limited mainly by insufficient expression levels of facilitative glucose transporter (GLUT)1 up to 50% of all patients. Fructose-specific facilitative hexose transporter GLUT5 represents an alternative biomarker for PET imaging of hexose metabolism in BC. The goal of the present study was to compare the uptake characteristics of selected hexose-based PET radiotracers in murine BC model EMT6. Uptake of 1-deoxy-1-[18F]fluoro-o-fructose (1-[18F]FDAB), 6-deoxy-6-[18F]fluoro-o-fructose (6-[18F]FDG), 1-deoxy-1-[18F]fluoro-2,5-anhydro-mannitol (1-[18F]FDM), 2-deoxy-2-[18F]fluoro-o-glucose (2-[18F]FDG), and 6-deoxy-6-[18F]fluoro-o-glucose (6-[18F]FDG) was studied in EMT6 cells, tumors, and muscle and correlated to GLUT1 and GLUT5 expression levels. Fructose-derivative 6-[18F]FDG revealed greater tumor uptake than did structural analog 1-[18F]FDAB, whereas 1-[18F]FDM with locked anomeric configuration showed similar low tumor uptake to that of 1-[18F]FDAB. Glucose-derivative 6-[18F]FDG reached maximum tumor uptake at 20 minutes, with no further accumulation over time. Uptake of 2-[18F]FDG was greatest and continuously increasing owing to metabolic trapping through phosphorylation by hexokinase II. In EMT6 tumors, GLUT5 mRNA expression was 20,000-fold lower compared with GLUT1. Whereas the latter was much greater in tumor than in muscle tissue (GLUT1 50:1), the opposite was found for GLUT5 mRNA expression (GLUT5 1:6). GLUT5 protein levels were higher in tumor versus muscle tissue as determined by Western blot and immunohistochemistry. Our data suggest that tumor uptake of fructose metabolism-targeting radiotracers 1-[18F]FDAB, 6-[18F]FDG, 2-[18F]FDG, and 1-[18F]FDM does not correlate with GLUT5 mRNA levels but is linked to GLUT5 protein levels. In conclusion, our results highlight the importance of detailed biochemical studies on GLUT protein expression levels in combination with PET imaging studies for functional characterization of GLUTs in BC.

Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is the most common malignancy in females (Siegel et al., 2017). Specific biomarkers have become

The authors thank Dr. John Wilson, David Clendening, and Blake Lazurko from the Edmonton PET Center for 18F production and excellent technical support; Dan McGinn from the Vivarium of the Cross Cancer Institute for supporting the animal work; Dr. Hans-Soenke Jans from the Division of Medical Physics for technical support of the animal imaging facility (Department of Oncology, University of Alberta) and Cody Bergman for his help with precursor purifications. Ingrid Hamann thanks the Alberta Cancer Foundation (ACF) for a postdoctoral fellowship award. The authors also gratefully acknowledge the Diane and Irving Kipnes Foundation, the Canadian Institute of Health Research (CIHR), the Canadian Breast Cancer Foundation (CBFC), the Collaborative Health Research Program (CHRP), and the National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) for supporting this work.

Among the available PET agents, 2-deoxy-2-[18F]fluoro-o-glucose (2-[18F]FDG) is the only Food and Drug Administration-approved radiotracer for monitoring BC treatment in primary and metastatic disease (Humbert et al., 2015). [18F]FDG-PET has also demonstrated variable sensitivity in BC detection, depending on histologic tumor type. Regulatory bodies and numerous important for patient selection and personalized targeted treatment decisions based on precision medicine concept (Jameson and Longo, 2015). In addition to tissue sampling-based biomarker assays, functional molecular imaging with positron emission tomography (PET) can also serve as a biomarker for disease diagnosis and prognosis and for guiding treatment decisions. The important role of PET imaging for BC management has been summarized in recent reviews (Oude-Munnink et al., 2009; Lebron et al., 2015; Kurihara et al., 2016; Ulaner et al., 2016; Chudgar and Mankoff, 2017). Among the available PET agents, 2-deoxy-2-[18F]fluoro-o-glucose (2-[18F]FDG) is the only Food and Drug Administration-approved radiotracer for monitoring BC treatment in primary and metastatic disease (Humbert et al., 2015). [18F]FDG-PET has also demonstrated variable sensitivity in BC detection, depending on histologic tumor type. Regulatory bodies and numerous
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studies do not recommend $^{18}$F-FDG-PET as a standard procedure for BC diagnosis and disease staging in primary invasive BC (Avril et al., 2001; Oude-Munnink et al., 2009; Lebron et al., 2015).

Increased uptake of 2-$^{18}$F-FDG is based mainly on over-expression of facilitative hexose transporter GLUT1 in cancer cells (Yamamoto et al., 1990; Brown et al., 1996; Avril et al., 2001; Jadvar et al., 2009); however, variable sensitivity and specificity of $^{18}$F-FDG-PET in certain cancers, including BC, have limited its usefulness in both primary and metastatic disease (Gallamini et al., 2014; Kitajima and Miyoshi, 2016). About 28%–47% of BC patients are GLUT1-negative or do not show elevated GLUT1 expression sufficient for 2-$^{18}$F-FDG uptake (primary lesions with ≥50% metastatic disease, Kuo et al., 2006; primary lesions, Lauanda et al., 2004; primary lesions with negative lymph nodes, Ravazoula et al., 2003; primary lesions with higher grade, Younes et al., 1995).

Functional changes in 2-$^{18}$F-FDG uptake do not correlate with changes in GLUT1 expression in primary BC (Avril et al., 2001). They rather occur as the result of a complex interaction between cellular energy demand and tumor microenvironment. Therefore, alternative biomarkers of tumor metabolism are of interest for BC. The class II hexose transporter GLUT5, responsible for transport of fructose across cell membranes, represents such an alternative biomarker.

GLUT2 and GLUT5 are primarily responsible for fructose transport across cell membranes (Macheda et al., 2005; Manolescu et al., 2007). They significantly differ in their affinity for fructose (GLUT5 (6 mmol/liter) >> GLUT2 (76 mmol/liter) (Barron et al., 2016). In 1996, GLUT5 was first proposed as a novel target for diagnosis and treatment of BC (Zamora-León et al., 1990), followed by confirmation of elevated GLUT5 protein expression in BC (Godo et al., 2006), which has prompted development of various fluorescence-labeled and radiolabeled fructose derivatives targeting GLUT5 (Haradahira et al., 1995; Levi et al., 2007; Trayner et al., 2009; Wuest et al., 2011; Tanasova et al., 2013). Fructose derivative 1-deoxy-1-$^{18}$F-fluoro-D-fructose (1-$^{18}$F-PFD) was the first PET radiotracer studied in a fibrosarcoma tumor model (Haradahira et al., 1995), but no GLUT5 levels were analyzed. Two fluorescent fructose derivatives (1-NBDF and 1-Cy5.5-DF) showed good uptake in various BC cells and tumors (Wuest et al., 2006), which has prompted development of various 18F-labeled fructose, 2,5-anhydro-D-mannitol, and glucose derivatives (1-$^{18}$F-FDF, 6-$^{18}$F-FDF, 1-$^{18}$F-FDAC, 2-$^{18}$F-FDG, and 6-$^{18}$F-FDG) in well characterized mammary tumor model EMT6 in vitro and in vivo and 2) correlated uptake of radiotracers with GLUT5 mRNA and protein expression levels in EMT6 cells, tumor and muscle tissue.

### Materials and Methods

#### Chemical and Radiochemical Syntheses.

Nonradioactive compounds for cellular inhibition experiments were prepared according to literature [1-FDF: Haradahira et al., 1995; 3-FDF, 6-FDF, and 1-FDAC: Wuest et al., 2011; Soueidan et al., 2015; 6-FDG: Neal et al., 2005]. Radiotracer 2-$^{18}$F-FDG was prepared at the Edmonton PET Center of the Cross Cancer Institute using a GE TracerLab MX automated synthesis unit (GE Healthcare Canada Inc., Mississauga, Ontario, Canada). Synthesis of radiotracers 1-$^{18}$F-FDAC, 1-$^{18}$F-FDF, 6-$^{18}$F-FDF, and 6-$^{18}$F-FDG was carried out based on procedures reported in the literature (Haradahira et al., 1995; Neal et al., 2005; Niu et al., 2013; Bouvet et al., 2014).

GLUT5 inhibitor MSNBA (N-[4-methanesulfonyl-2-nitrophenyl]-2H-1,3-benzodioxol-5-amine) was synthesized as follows: 4-methylene-dioxo/aniline (1.37 g, 10 mmol) and 1-fluoro-4-methanesulfonyl-2-nitrobenzene (2.19 g, 10 mmol) were heated to reflux in water (50 ml). After 40 minutes, Na$_2$CO$_3$ (1.8 g) was carefully added, and the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. The crude product was filtered off, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (EtOAc). The combined organic extracts including the crude product was dried in vacuo.

**Cell Culture.** Murine mammary gland tumor cells EMT6 (ATCC CRL-2755) and human BC cells MDA-MB231 (ATCC HTB-26) were grown in a CO$_2$ incubator at 37°C, in Gibeo DMEM/F-12 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (GIBCO 12483; Gibco, Gaithersburg, MD) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin and split every 2 to 3 days.

**mRNA Expression of GLUT1 and GLUT5.** Total RNA was isolated from EMT6 cells, EMT6 tumor tissue, and mouse muscle tissue by using the TRIzol Plus RNA Purification Kit (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies, Burlington, ON, Canada). EMT6 cells were harvested using TRIzol reagent; tissues were excised from euthanized mice and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples were stored at −80°C.
Later, tissues were pulverized using a mortar and pestle containing liquid nitrogen and resuspended in the provided lysis buffer of the purification kit. RNA from cells and tissues was purified according to the manufacturer’s instructions and stored at −80°C. RNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using the high-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitation of GLUT1, GLUT2, GLUT5, and 18s second RNA mRNA levels were performed by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using specific TaqMan gene expression assays (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies) using probes 5‘-labeled with 6-FAM and 3′ with MGBNFQ (Minor groove binder/non-fluorescent quencher). The following TaqMan gene expression assays were used: GLUT1 (Mm00441480_m1), GLUT2 (Mm00446229_m1), GLUT5 (Mm00600311_m1), and, as an internal control, 18s-second RNA (Mm03928990_g1).

Cycling conditions were the manufacturer’s recommended default values (one cycle of 2 minutes at 50°C and 10 minutes at 95°C, then 40 cycles of 15 seconds at 95°C and 1 minute at 60°C and performed on a CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (BioRad, Mississauga, ON, Canada).

Real-time PCR data were analyzed using relative gene expression as described already (Livak and Schmittgen 2001; Pfaffl 2001). For each sample, a threshold cycle (Ct) was calculated based on the time (measured by the number of PCR cycles) at which the reporter fluorescence emission increased beyond a threshold level based on the background fluorescence of the system. Triplicate measurements were done for each sample in three independent experiments. Results were expressed using the comparative Ct method with 18s RNA as the housekeeping gene, which was investigated with the genes of interest to rule out variations that resulted from pipetting, cDNA synthesis, PCR reaction, and so forth; Ct values for the genes of interest were normalized to the Ct values of 18s RNA. Relative differences in the expression level of the two genes of interest were calculated in the following manner:

$$ R = \frac{(2^{\Delta Ct}\text{GLUT1} - \Delta Ct\text{GLUT5})}{(2^{\Delta Ct}\text{18s RNA for GLUT1} - \Delta Ct\text{18s RNA for GLUT5})} \quad (1) $$

or

$$ R = \frac{(2^{\Delta Ct}\text{GLUT tumor} - \Delta Ct\text{GLUT muscle})}{(2^{\Delta Ct}\text{18s RNA for GLUT tumor} - \Delta Ct\text{18s RNA for GLUT muscle})} \quad (2) $$

GLUT5 Protein Expression. Western blots for detection of GLUT5 protein levels were carried out with tumor and muscle tissues of EMT6 tumor-bearing BALB/c mice. Tissues were excised from euthanized mice and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples were stored at −80°C. Later, tissues were pulverized using a mortar and pestle, containing liquid nitrogen and re-suspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.5% Triton X). After cell lysis on ice for 30 minutes, extracts were sonicated (10% amplitude, 10 seconds) on ice and centrifuged at 13,500 g for 10 minutes at 4°C to remove debris. Protein determination in supernatants was conducted using a bichinchoninic acid-based protein assay (Pierce/Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). Aliquots of the supernatants were mixed with 1/4 volume of 4 × Laemmli buffer (250 mM Tris/ HCl, 8% (v/v) SDS, 10% glycerol, 200 mM diethiothreitol, and 0.04% (v/v) bromophenol blue, pH 6.8) and heated for 5 minutes at 95°C. The protein extracts were applied to SDS-PAGE gels and separated by electrophoresis. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes by electroblotting and blocked for 1 hour at room temperature in 5% (w/v) nonfat dry milk in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.05% (v/v) of Tween-20 (TBST). Membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with the following primary antibodies: mouse monoclonal anti-GLUT5 IgG1 (clone E2, sc-271055, 1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX) and mouse anti-a-tubulin (12G10, 1:50,000, Developmental Studies Hybriodoma Bank), followed by incubation for 1 hour at room temperature with a peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG1 secondary antibody (sc-2060; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) in 1:5000 dilution and 100,000 dilution, respectively. After incubation with secondary antibodies, membranes were washed in TBST and, depending on protein levels, incubated with Thermo Scientific SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific) or Clarity ECL Western blotting substrate (BioRad). Luminescence signals were captured, using Fuji medical X-ray films (Fujiﬁlm Canada, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada). Films were scanned, and analysis was done using the ImageJ program. Density of each band was determined, and individual lane backgrounds were subtracted. Values for GLUT5 protein were divided by values for the housekeeping protein a-tubulin. Received values for each individual muscle were set at 100% and compared with the individual value of the respective tumor tissue (band density Glut5, density lane background)/(band density a-tubulin, density lane background), respectively.

In Vitro Inhibition of 6-[18F]FDF and 2-[18F]FDG Cell Uptake. To estimate the affinity of nonradiolabeled fructose derivatives (1-, 3-, and 6-FDF), as well as the 2,5-anhydro-d-mannitol 1-FDAM for fructose-mediated transport in comparison with that of d-fructose itself, ranges for half-maximum inhibition coefficient (IC50) values of both compounds were estimated.

EMT6 cells were incubated with glucose-free Krebs-Ringer buffer containing 6-[18F]FDF and different concentrations of either 1-FDF, 6-FDF, 3-FDF, 1-FDAM, 1-FDGM (10−3 to 10−5 M) or fructose (10−3 to 10−1 M) and no compound at all for comparison (100% uptake). After 60 minutes, cells were rinsed with ice-cold Krebs-Ringer solution, lysed, and counted in a γ-counter as described as follows. Increasing concentrations of glucose were analyzed on 6-[18F]FDF uptake (60 minutes’ incubation) in MDA-MB 231 cells, increasing the concentrations of fructose as well as cytochalasin B (10−7 to 10−5 M) against 2-[18F]FDG uptake. Novel selective GLUT5 inhibitor MSNBA (100–300 μM in Krebs buffer 1% DMSO) was analyzed on 6-[18F]FDF and 2-[18F]FDG uptake into murine EMT6 cells.

In Vitro Cell Uptake of 18F-Labeled Radiotracers. For radio-tracer uptake studies, cells were grown to confluence in 12-well plates using in Gibco DMEM/F-12 medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum ( GibCO, 12483) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. One hour before the experiment, the media were removed, and the cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline solution (PBS). Next, glucose-free Krebs-Ringer solution (120 mM NaCl, 4 mM KCl, 1.2 mM KH2PO4, 2.5 mM MgSO4, 25 mM NaHCO3, 70 μM CaCl2, pH 7.4) was added to the cells. Three hundred microliters of Krebs-Ringer (with or without 5 mM glucose or 30 mM fructose) solution with 0.5–1.0 MBq 18F-labeled radiotracer was added to each well, and the plates were incubated at 37°C for specific periods (5, 10, 15, 30, 45, and 60 minutes). Radiotracer uptake was stopped with 1 ml of ice-cold PBS, and the cells were washed twice with PBS and lysed in 0.4 ml radiinmunoprecipitation assay buffer (RIPA buffer). Radioactivity in the cell lysates was determined as counts per minute (CPM) using a WIZARD2 automatic γ-counter (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA) and converted to the radioactivity dose SI unit Bequerel [Bq]. Total protein concentration in the samples was determined by the bicinchoninic acid method (BCA 23227; Pierce, Thermo Scientific) using bovine serum albumin as protein standard. Data were calculated as percent of measured radioactivity per milligram of protein (%radioactivity/mg of protein). Cell uptake of 6-[18F]FDFD and 2-[18F]FDG after 60 minutes of incubation time was compared in murine EMT6 versus human MDA-MB231 BC cells. Graphs were constructed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Statistical differences were tested by unpaired Student’s t-test and were considered significant for P < 0.05. Data were statistically analyzed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) plus Bonferroni post-test and considered significant for P < 0.05.

Animal Model. All animal experiments were carried out in accordance with guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care and approved by the local animal care committee of the Cross Cancer Institute.
Murine EMT6 cells (1 × 10^6 cells in 100 µl of PBS) were injected into the upper left shoulder of 4- to 6-month-old female BALB/c mice (20–24 g; Charles River, Saint-Constant, QC, Canada). The EMT6 tumor-bearing mice were imaged after allowing 7–11 days of tumor growth, reaching sizes of ∼300–400 mm^3. The mice were not fasted before imaging experiments.

**Immunohistochemistry GLUT5.** Excised tissues from euthanized mice were fixed in neutral-buffered 10% formalin overnight and embedded in paraffin. Sections of 4-µm thickness were immersed in an oven at 60°C for 1 hour. Sections were rehydrated by placing the slides in three changes of xylene for 10 minutes each and then in graded ethanol from 100% to 50%, followed by water and TBS. Slides were microwaved in a pressure cooker for 6 minutes in citraconic anhydride (0.05% in water, pH 7.4) for antigen retrieval. Slides were blocked with 0.5% fish gelatin in TBS with 0.05% TBST for 30 minutes and incubated with mouse monoclonal anti-GLUT5 antibody (clone E2, sc-271055, 1:50; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) in a humidity chamber overnight at 4°C. After incubation in 3% H_2O_2 in water for 15 minutes, slides were incubated with DakoCytomation Envision+ anti-mouse labeled polymer HRP (DakoCytomation, Glostrup, Denmark) for 1 hour, developed using Dako Liquid DAB+ substrate chromagen system and 1% copper sulfate and counterstained with hematoxylin.

Slides were dehydrated by reversing rehydration procedure and coverslipped. Negative control was provided by omitting the GLUT5 primary antibody, whereas positive control was provided by examining sections of murine small intestine, a tissue known to express GLUT5.

**In Vivo PET Experiments.** EMT6 tumor-bearing BALB/c mice were anesthetized with isoflurane in 40% oxygen/60% nitrogen (gas flow, 1 l/min), and body temperature was kept constant at 37°C for the entire experiment. Mice were positioned and immobilized in the prone position into the center of the field of view of an INVEON PET scanner (Siemens Preclinical Solutions, Knoxville, TN). A transmission scan for attenuation correction was not acquired. The amount of radioactivity [Bq] present in the injection solution in a 0.5-ml syringe was determined with a dose calibrator (Atomlab 300; Biodex Medical Systems, Suffork, NY). After emission scan was started, radioactive injection was injected with a delay of approximately 15 seconds. Data acquisition continued for 120 minutes in 3D list mode. Four to eight Mega Bq of 18F-labeled radiotracer in 100–150 µl of saline was injected through a tail-vein catheter. The list mode data were sorted into sinograms. Positron emission tomography (PET) data were reconstructed using the subsets Expectation Maximization (OSEM) or maximum a posteriori (Markov Chain-Monte Carlo) algorithms (StatPlus software; ABX GmbH, Radeberg, Germany).

Masks defining 3D regions of interest (ROI) were set, and the ROIs were defined by thresholding. ROIs covered all visible tumor mass of the subcutaneous tumors, and the thresholds were defined by 50% of the maximum radioactivity uptake level for each EMT6 tumor in each animal. Mean standardized uptake values ([SUV]_mean = (activity [Bq]/ml tissue)/injected activity [Bq]/body weight, ml/kg) were calculated for each ROI. Time-activity curves (TAC) were generated from the dynamic scans. All semiquantitative PET data are presented as means ± S.E.M. Statistical differences were tested by paired (comparing tumor and muscle uptake in the same mice), and unpaired Student’s t test and were considered significant for P < 0.05. Tumor-to-muscle ratios were statistically analyzed with one-way ANOVA plus Bonferroni post-test and considered significant for P < 0.05.

**Results**

Figure 1 displays the structures (18F-labeled glucose, fructose, and 2,5-anhydro-D-mannitol derivatives) used for the present study. All radiotracers were obtained at high radiochemical purity (>95%) and high-volume activities suitable for all subsequent in vitro and in vivo experiments.

**Cellular Uptake Studies.** Two different cellular uptake studies were carried out using murine mammary gland tumor cell line EMT6. The first set of experiments involved inhibition studies of radiotracer 6-[18F]FDF in the presence of D-fructose; fructose derivatives 1-FDF, 3-FDF, and 6-FDF; and 2,5-anhydro-D-mannitol derivative 1-FDAM according to a procedure developed by our research group (Wuest et al., 2011). Uptake of radiotracer 6-[18F]FDF into EMT6 cells was competitively inhibited using increasing concentrations of nonradiolabeled compounds. Based on the inherent limitations of the assay regarding to the high concentrations of nonradiolabeled compounds (>100 mM), it was possible to estimate only the IC50 values (Fig. 2A).

As measured, the estimated IC50 values for 1-FDF, 3-FDF, and 1-FDAM should be in a range similar to that for 6-FDF. Therefore, all analyzed fructose derivatives may more potent than fructose itself; however, this was measured competing against 6-[18F]FDF and not radiolabeled fructose. IC50 values for the inhibition of 6-[18F]FDF uptake in EMT6 cells have been previously reported for 6-FDF (19 mM) and D-fructose (322 mM) (Wuest et al., 2011). The results were reproduced in the present study.

A second experimental setup analyzed the direct uptake pattern of 18F-labeled fructose and 2,5-andrydromannitol derivatives 1-[18F]FDF, 6-[18F]FDF, and 1-[18F]FDF into EMT6 cells in comparison with glucose derivatives 2-[18F]FDG and 6-[18F]FDG (Fig. 2B). The 6-[18F]FDF reached a cellular uptake level of 26% ± 3% radioactivity/mg of protein (n = 9) after 60 minutes, whereas uptake values for 1-[18F]FDF and 1-[18F]FDF were significantly lower (5.5 ± 0.5 (n = 9); P < 0.001) and 3% ± 0.1% radioactivity/mg of protein (n = 3; P < 0.001). Glucose derivatives 2-[18F]FDG displayed high uptake levels of 622% ± 25% radioactivity/mg protein (n = 3), whereas 6-[18F]FDG showed only very low cellular uptake of 2.2% ± 0.3% radioactivity/mg protein (n = 3).

The observed differences in cellular uptake levels demonstrate the importance of stereochemistry and substitution
Fig. 2. (A) Inhibition of 6-[18F]FDF uptake into EMT6 cells using nonradiolabeled fructose and 2,5-anhydro-D-mannitol derivatives 1-FDF, 3-FDF, 6-FDF, and 1-FDAM in comparison with fructose. (B) Cellular uptake of radiolabeled glucose, fructose and 2,5-anhydro-D-mannitol derivatives 2-[18F]FDG, 6-[18F]FDG 1-[18F]FDF, 6-[18F]FDF, and 1-[18F]FDAM into EMT6 cells over 60 minutes. (C) Inhibitory effect of fructose on 2-[18F]FDG uptake in EMT6 and MDA-MB231 cells, as well as concentration-dependent inhibition with cytochalasin B (GLUT1, GLUT2, and GLUT4 inhibitor) in MDA-MB231 cells in comparison with the effect of glucose on 2-[18F]FDG in EMT6 cells (*data from Wuest et al., 2012). (D) Inhibitory effect of glucose on 6-[18F]
pattern for recognition and transport of radiotracers 1-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG, 1-[\(^{18}\)F]FDAM, 1-[\(^{18}\)F]FDF, 6-[\(^{18}\)F]FDF, 2-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG, and 6-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG by hexose transporters GLUT1 and GLUT5. This finding is consistent with recent findings by our group using fluorescent hexose derivatives (Soueidan et al., 2017).

In addition, the cellular uptake of glucose derivative 2-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG was analyzed in the presence of glucose, fructose, and cytochalasin B (Fig. 2C), as well as the effect of glucose on 6-[\(^{18}\)F]FDF uptake (Fig. 2D). The IC\(_{50}\) values for fructose against 2-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG uptake revealed 80 mM in EMT6 cells and 210 mM in MDA-MB231 cells, which represents a similar order of magnitude compared with inhibition of 6-[\(^{18}\)F]FDF (320 mM, see preceding). Glucose had a three orders of magnitude lower IC\(_{50}\) against 6-[\(^{18}\)F]FDF: 117 mM (as determined in human MDA-MB231 cells) versus 0.22 mM (measured in murine EMT6 cells) against 2-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG uptake. Cellular uptake of both the glucose derivative 2-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG and the fructose derivative 6-[\(^{18}\)F]FDF was slightly higher in murine EMT6 versus human MDA-MB231 BC cells (Fig. 2E) but showed a similar ratio between glucose- and fructose-mediated uptake levels. Cytochalasin B (30 \(\mu\)M) inhibited 100% 2-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG uptake but also ~50% of 6-[\(^{18}\)F]FDF uptake, indicative for a potential functional involvement of GLUT2 and/or GLUT4 (Fig. 2F). Effects of novel selective GLUT5 inhibitor MSNBA were analyzed against 6-[\(^{18}\)F]FDF (Fig. 2H) and 2-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG (Fig. 2G) uptake and compared with the effects of glucose and fructose. MSNBA had only minor inhibition effects using up to 300 \(\mu\)M concentration, which was limited by further solubility and DMSO concentration for the cell assay.

Expression Levels of GLUT1 and GLUT5 mRNA in EMT6 Cells, EMT6 Tumors, and Mouse Muscle Tissue. Expression of GLUT1 and GLUT5 mRNA was determined in EMT6 cells, EMT6 tumors, and muscle tissue in addition. GLUT1 and GLUT5 mRNA levels were compared in tumor and muscle tissue (Fig. 3).

In comparison with GLUT5, mRNA levels of GLUT1 were about 76,000 times higher in EMT6 cells, about 20,000 times higher in EMT6 tumors, and about 45 times higher in muscle tissue. This result indicated that GLUT5 mRNA levels were always significantly lower compared with GLUT1 mRNA levels. In tumor tissue, GLUT1 mRNA levels were about 1.7 times and GLUT5 mRNA levels about 2.4 times higher compared with EMT6 cells. Direct comparison of GLUT1 mRNA levels in tumor and muscle tissue revealed a 60 times higher expression of GLUT1 mRNA in tumor tissue. Unlike to GLUT1 mRNA levels, tumor tissue expressed about six times less GLUT5 mRNA than did muscle tissue.

Protein Expression of GLUT5 in EMT6 Tumors and Muscle Tissue. Western blot analyses of GLUT5 expression in tumor and muscle tissue were performed to correlate determined GLUT5 mRNA levels with GLUT5 protein levels (Fig. 4). Surprisingly and in contrast to the PCR analyses, GLUT5 protein levels were highest in tumor tissue and about 30% lower in muscle tissues. This finding directs us toward important and significant differences in GLUT5 mRNA and protein levels in tumor and muscle tissue.

**Immunohistochemical Determination of GLUT5 in EMT6 Tumors and Muscle Tissue.** In addition to Western blot experiments, GLUT5 protein expression levels in tumor and muscle tissue were further analyzed by immunohistochemical staining. Controls slides, prepared without anti-GLUT5 antibody, revealed no background immunoperoxidase staining and could therefore be used as GLUT5 negative controls. Positive controls were obtained using mouse intestine samples (Fig. 5). Murine duodenum tissue is well known to express high levels of GLUT5 (Fatima et al., 2009).

No staining of GLUT5 protein was detected in the muscle tissue of two individual mice (Fig. 5). Examination of tumor tissue from two mice showed most of the tumor area to be negative for GLUT5 staining, whereas a small region in the center of both tumors showed a high level of GLUT5 staining. This transition from negative to positive staining seemed quite abrupt, and staining frequency increased in tumor regions, where cells appeared to undergo pyknosis. In summary, immunohistochemistry analyses clearly showed GLUT5 protein expression in tumor tissue, whereas muscle tissue was GLUT5-negative.

**PET Imaging in EMT6 Tumor-Bearing Mice.** PET imaging with \(^{18}\)F-labeled radiotracers 1-[\(^{18}\)F]FDAM, 1-[\(^{18}\)F]FDF, 6-[\(^{18}\)F]FDF, 2-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG, and 6-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG in EMT6 tumor-bearing mice revealed important differences in tumor and muscle uptake, as well as in clearance parameters (blood, liver, kidneys, clearance from nontarget tissue muscle).

Figure 6 summarizes all PET images at 15 and 60 minutes postinjection as collected over 2 hours from dynamic PET scans. Tumors were clearly visible after injection of glucose derivative 2-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG, whereas the other glucose derivative 6-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG resulted in much lower tumor uptake levels. Fructose derivative 6-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG showed high initial tumor uptake at 15 minutes p.i., followed by a washout of radioactivity from tumor tissue over time; however, the total tumor uptake after 60 minutes of 6-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG was markedly lower compared with glucose derivatives 2-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG and 6-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG as seen from the SUV\(_{60\text{ min}}\) values (Fig. 6) but higher than 1-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG and 1-[\(^{18}\)F]FDAM. 2,5-Anhydro-D-mannitol derivative 1-[\(^{18}\)F]FDAM displayed only low tumor uptake levels with only very little remaining contrast after 90 minutes p.i.. Fructose derivative 1-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG showed lowest tumor uptake and image contrast compared with all other tested 18F-labeled radiotracers.

Figure 7 depicts generated TACs for each radiotracer in tumor and muscle tissue over a 2-hour time frame, as well as the corresponding tumor-to-muscle ratios (Fig. 7, bottom right). Radiotracers 2-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG, 6-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG and 6-[\(^{18}\)F]FDF showed initial high tumor uptake after 15 minutes p.i. (SUVs 1.01 ± 0.23 \((n = 4)\), 1.97 ± 0.16, both \(n = 3\)). As expected, tumor uptake of 2-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG continued to increase over time, reaching an SUV\(_{120\text{ min}}\) 1.97 ± 0.23 \((n = 4)\), whereas tumor uptake of 6-[\(^{18}\)F]FDG decreased constantly to a
FDAM showed only moderate tumor uptake (SUV 0.59 ± 0.06 (n = 4). Tumor uptake of glucose derivative 6-[18F]FDG was lower, peaking at 35 minutes p.i. (SUV 1.28 ± 0.05) and was slightly reduced over time (SUV 0.31 ± 0.06; n = 3; P < 0.05) and 1-[18F]FDAM (SUV 0.28 ± 0.01; n = 3; P < 0.01), respectively. Also, uptake of glucose derivative 2-[18F]FDG (SUV 1.97 ± 0.23; n = 4) was significantly greater than 6-[18F]FDG (SUV 1.14 ± 0.05; n = 3; P < 0.05). A rather unexpected observation was the increasing muscle uptake of 6-[18F]FDG over time since this hexose derivative should not be recognized by hexokinase II and, based on that, would not undergo any intracellular trapping mechanism as shown for the tumor uptake curve. All other radiotracers (1-[18F]FDF, 1-[18F]FDAM, 1-[18F]FDF, 6-[18F]FDF, and 2-[18F]FDG) showed washout from tumor tissue over time. The increasing muscle uptake of 6-[18F]FDG over time resulted in a decreasing tumor-to-muscle ratio, whereas tumor-to-muscle ratios of other radiotracers increased over time (Fig. 7, bottom right). One-way ANOVA with additional Bonferroni post-test did not reveal any differences in tumor-to-muscle ratios between 1-[18F]FDAM, 1-[18F]FDF, 6-[18F]FDF, and 2-[18F]FDG; only 2-[18F]FDG had a significantly higher value (P < 0.01) after 2 hour p.i.

In contrast to fructose derivative 6-[18F]FDG, fructose and 2,5-anhydro-D-mannitol analogs 1-[18F]FDF and 1-[18F]FDAM showed only moderate tumor uptake (SUV 0.47 ± 0.08; 1-[18F]FDAM 0.58 ± 0.10; both n = 3), which decreased over time, reaching SUV 0.31 ± 0.06 and 0.28 ± 0.01, respectively.

In summary, except for GLUT1 radiotracer 2-[18F]FDG, the greatest tumor uptake was observed with fructose analog 6-[18F]FDF, whereas other potential GLUT5 radiotracers 1-[18F]FDF and 1-[18F]FDAM showed much lower tumor uptake levels and only minor differences between specific (tumor) and nonspecific (muscle) uptake.

**Discussion**

Glucose analog 2-[18F]FDG is the most versatile and widely used radiotracer for PET imaging of cancer in the clinic; however, application of [18F]FDG-PET in BC patients has resulted in high nonresponder rates and false-positive responses, which limit the specificity of [18F]FDG-PET for BC imaging (Adejolu et al., 2012). Therefore, alternative biomarkers such as estrogen receptors and HER2 have been introduced for more specific targeted molecular imaging of BC (Kenny, 2016; Dalm et al., 2017) being only available at selected and specialized centers and not used routinely.

Shifting from glucose-based to a solely fructose-mediated transport in BC appeared to be an alternative approach to address specificity concerns of the otherwise [18F]FDG-PET dominated clinical practice of BC imaging. Molecular imaging of fructose transport was first proposed in a study by Zamora-León who analyzed fructose transporter GLUT5 expression in BC (Zamora-León et al., 1996). Several subsequent studies described specific targeting of GLUT5 in mammary and human xenograft BC with various fluorescent or radiolabeled fructose and 2,5-anhydro-D-mannitol derivatives as molecular probes (Levi et al., 2007; Trayner et al., 2009; Wuest et al., 2011; Tanasova et al., 2013; Soueidan et al., 2015, 2017). To date, no systematic and comparative study has been reported on testing various [18F]-labeled hexoses and 2,5-anhydro-D-mannitols with respect to their GLUT1- and GLUT5-mediated uptake in target (breast tumor) and reference (muscle) tissue. For this purpose, we have selected well-characterized murine mammary gland tumor cell line EMT6 as a suitable preclinical model for this study (Bouvet et al., 2011; Wuest et al., 2011, 2015; Knight et al., 2013; Way et al., 2014).

GLUT1 expression in EMT6 cells has been confirmed by several 2-[18F]FDG-PET imaging and cell studies (Rowland...
et al., 2005; Wuest et al., 2011; Kelly et al., 2012). All studies reported high uptake and retention of 2-[18F]FDG in EMT6 cells and tumors consistent with GLUT1-mediated radiotracer uptake and metabolic trapping through phosphorylation by hexokinase II (Wuest et al., 2011). Expression of GLUT5 in BC tissue was reported differently in the literature. Our present results show only low expression of GLUT5 mRNA in EMT6 cells and tumor tissue compared with GLUT1 mRNA levels. GLUT5 mRNA expression was about 6 times greater in muscle versus tumor tissue. This result is contrary to GLUT1 mRNA levels. Subsequent analysis with Western blot revealed elevated GLUT5 protein levels in tumor versus muscle tissue. Immunohistochemistry detected GLUT5 protein in tumor tissue only, probably owing to the limit of detection of this method, using only a thin slice of the tumor tissue compared with Western blot analysis, where much larger parts of the tumor and muscle tissue were analyzed.

Discrepancy between GLUT5 protein and mRNA expression levels most likely results from complex gene expression biology, which suggests various levels of regulation during protein synthesis (e.g., posttranscriptional, translational, or posttranslational regulation) (Tian et al., 2004). Differential mRNA expression can capture at most 40% of protein expression variation. Thus, integrated analysis of both mRNA and protein levels is crucial in complex biologic systems like cancer cells. In addition, immunohistochemistry may capture solely skeletal muscle tissue GLUT5 expression at a low level, whereas Western blot analysis using tissue homogenates include a mixture of cells, not just skeletal muscle cells; however, GLUT5 was detected on mRNA as well as protein level concluding for some GLUT5 expression in muscle tissue.

EMT6 cell uptake experiments with all used radiotracers revealed significant uptake of only glucose derivative 2-[18F]FDG and fructose analog 6-[18F]FDF. Both also showed sufficient uptake in human triple-negative BC cancer.

GLUT5 protein data provided the basis for the multitracer PET imaging study.

Gowrishankar and coworkers (2011) detected higher GLUT5 mRNA levels in “control” mammary cells MCF10A compared with MCF-7 and MDA-MB 468 cells’ however, MCF10A is a nontumorigenic epithelial cell line that has undergone pathophysiological changes, including inflammation (Soule et al., 1990), making this cell line not ideal as a nontumor “control” for BC. Immunohistochemistry from the same study detected no elevated GLUT5 protein in BC versus adjacent normal breast tissue. From 40 BC patients, only 35% (14 samples) showed staining of ≥2 for GLUT5 protein on a scale from 0 to 4. Most showed only weak (24 samples) or no (four samples) GLUT5 staining (Gowrishankar et al., 2011), is in contrast to earlier studies demonstrating elevated GLUT5 protein expression levels in BC versus normal breast tissue (Zamora-León et al., 1996; Godoy et al., 2006). Our data on GLUT5 protein expression in murine tumor and muscle tissue supports earlier reports describing elevated GLUT5 protein levels in BC tissue (Zamora-León et al., 1996; Godoy et al., 2006); however, protein levels of GLUT5 in BC tissues remain unclear, and additional studies in different BC patient groups are needed for comprehensive conclusions.

Discrepancy between GLUT5 protein and mRNA expression levels most likely results from complex gene expression biology, which suggests various levels of regulation during protein synthesis (e.g., posttranscriptional, translational, or posttranslational regulation) (Tian et al., 2004). Differential mRNA expression can capture at most 40% of protein expression variation. Thus, integrated analysis of both mRNA and protein levels is crucial in complex biologic systems like cancer cells. In addition, immunohistochemistry may capture solely skeletal muscle tissue GLUT5 expression at a low level, whereas Western blot analysis using tissue homogenates include a mixture of cells, not just skeletal muscle cells; however, GLUT5 was detected on mRNA as well as protein level concluding for some GLUT5 expression in muscle tissue.

EMT6 cell uptake experiments with all used radiotracers revealed significant uptake of only glucose derivative 2-[18F]FDG and fructose analog 6-[18F]FDF. Both also showed sufficient uptake in human triple-negative BC cancer.

Fig. 5. Immunohistochemical staining of GLUT 5 in mouse intestine (positive control), mouse muscle, and EMT6 tumor tissue. Photos were taken using a 20× objective.

Fig. 6. PET images of 1-[18F]FDG, 6-[18F]FDF, 1-[18F]FDAM, 2-[18F]FDG, and 6-[18F]FDF after 15 minutes (top) and 60 minutes (bottom) p.i. of 5–7 MBq of the radiotracer into EMT6 tumor-bearing mice. Images are shown as maximum intensity projections (MAP). SUV values at 15 and 60 minutes p.i. are included as mean ± S.E.M. from n experiments. Tumors are delineated in the images.
MDA-MB231 cells, indicating that BC cells with elevated glucose metabolism also have a certain level of elevated fructose consumption. This points toward a similar regulation mechanism of glucose and fructose uptake in both murine and human cells, which would be relevant for the BC patient situation. Uptake of 6-[18F]FDF was not affected in the presence of 5 mM glucose, a concentration, which strongly inhibits glucose transport through GLUT1 (Wuest et al., 2011). At glucose concentrations >10 mM, effects are detected on 6-[18F]FDF, which is indicative for involvement of a glucose-transporting GLUT. Incorporation of fluorine in the 6-position also prevented phosphorylation by hexokinase II as demonstrated by cellular efflux owing to a lack of metabolic trapping; however, 6-[18F]FDF is a substrate of ketohexokinase (Wuest et al., 2011). Evidence has shown that ketohexokinase cannot be detected in numerous cancer cells (Hwa et al., 2006; Trayner et al., 2009; Wuest et al., 2011; Soueidan et al., 2015). Interestingly, fructose derivative 1-[18F]FDF, which was reported as a GLUT5 substrate (Haradahira et al., 1995), showed 5× lower uptake into EMT6 cells compared with structurally related 6-[18F]FDF. The presence of the 6-OH group qualifies 1-[18F]FDF as a potential substrate for hexokinase II. Low cell uptake of 1-[18F]FDF versus 6-[18F]FDF is somewhat surprising since both molecules are structural analogs. The only major alteration is the different fluorine-labeling position. As for all hexoses, fructose derivatives 1-[18F]FDF and 6-[18F]FDF can

Fig. 7. TACs for EMT6 tumor and muscle tissue uptake profile for 1-[18F]FDF, 6-[18F]FDF, 1-[18F]FDAM, 2-[18F]FDG, and 6-[18F]FDG over 2 hours p.i. bottom right: TACs for the subsequent calculated tumor-to-muscle ratios for each radiotracer investigated. TACs are presented as semiquantitative SUV and mean ± S.E.M. from n experiments. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01.
form different structural furanose isomers based on the individual substitution pattern of the hexose molecule. Different isomers may exhibit different affinities to GLUT5 and therefore transport properties. On the other hand, cellular uptake of 1-[18F]FDF was similar to 2,5-anhydro-D-mannitol derivative 1-[18F]FDAM, a compound with locked furanose-like anomeric conformation. 1-FDAM was developed as an alternative GLUT5 substrate with the potential of intracellular trapping capacity through phosphorylation by hexokinase II (Soueidan et al., 2015). GLUT5-mediated transport of 1-[18F]FDAM was confirmed through inhibition experiments of the radiotracer in the presence of 100 mM D-fructose (Supplemental Fig. 1). Surprisingly both 1-[18F]FDAM and 1-[18F]FDF were not substrates of hexokinase II as demonstrated by negative phosphorylation experiments using 2-[18F]FDG as positive control (Supplemental Fig. 1).

Analysis of different transport inhibition effects revealed that fructose itself blocks glucose uptake into murine and human mammary tumor cells, pointing toward functional involvement of other GLUTs beside GLUT5 such as GLUT2. The IC₅₀ for fructose was in a similar concentration range against 6-[18F]FDF uptake (∼320 mM) (Wuest et al., 2011) and glucose uptake (∼80 mM in EMT6 and ∼220 mM in MDA-MB231 cells). Glucose was also able to interfere with 6-[18F]FDF transport but with three orders of magnitude higher IC₅₀ (∼120 mM against 6-[18F]FDF vs. ∼0.22 mM against glucose). Pointing toward GLUT2 fits well with the reported affinity (Kᵣ) of glucose (∼17 mM) and 2-FDG (7 mM) for GLUT2 (Sala-Rabanal et al., 2016). Uptake of 2-FDG and 6-[18F]FDF into murine and human mammary tumor cells was also blocked, pointing toward an additional involvement of another GLUT transporter beside GLUT5. Cytochalasin B is known to inhibit GLUT1-4 but is ineffective to fructose transport through GLUT5 (Chan et al., 2004). Similar observations were reported by Gowrishankar et al. (2011) in human BC MDA-MB468 cells. In addition, we analyzed the effects of a recently described GLUT5 inhibitor MSNBA (George Thompson et al., 2016). Limited by its solubility and the DMSO concentration in the cell assay, 300 μM MSNBA only slightly inhibit 6-[18F]FDF uptake into murine BC cells (∼25%) and also influenced 2-[18F]FDG uptake by 15%. According to George Thompson et al. (2016), 60 μM MSNBA should lead to a 50% inhibition of fructose uptake into human BC MCF7 cells which we did not observe against 6-[18F]FDF/FDG in EMT6 cells, indicating that the portion of GLUT5 involvement is quite small. Considering that fact, fructose derivative 1-[18F]FDF, as well as anhydro-mannitol 1-[18F]FDAM, shows little EMT6 cell uptake, which corresponds well to preferential GLUT5 transport only.

PET imaging studies of radiotracers 2-[18F]FDG, 6-[18F]FDG, 1-[18F]FDG/FDF, 6-[18F]FDG, and 1-[18F]FDAM in EMT6 tumor-bearing mice revealed different uptake patterns in vivo. Glucose derivative 2-[18F]FDG showed highest tumor uptake, including metabolic trapping as typical for 2-[18F]FDG as a substrate for GLUT1 and hexokinase II. Lower tumor uptake and no metabolic trapping was observed for 6-[18F]FDG as potential radiotracer for glucose-transport only. Among all radiotracers tested, 1-[18F]FDG and 1-[18F]FDAM showed the lowest tumor uptake, resulting in overall poor image contrast. Fructose derivative 6-[18F]FDG displayed 2× higher tumor versus muscle uptake and clearance, leading to better image contrast; however, 1-[18F]FDG, 6-[18F]FDG, and 1-[18F]FDAM also showed washout from tumor tissue, confirming no intracellular trapping through phosphorylation with hexokinase II. This is consistent with observed cellular uptake of all three radiotracers into EMT6 cells and negative phosphorylation experiments with hexokinase II (Wuest et al., 2011; Supplemental Fig 2).

The present data confirm 6-[18F]FDF as a suitable radiotracer for molecular imaging of fructose-mediated metabolism in BC; however, high uptake of 6-[18F]FDF into EMT6 tumors despite rather low expression levels of GLUT5 suggests involvement of additional hexose transporters. Inhibition of 2-[18F]FDG and 6-[18F]FDF uptake into EMT6 cells in the presence of D-fructose suggests functional involvement of GLUT2. GLUT2 as a complementary hexose transporter to GLUT1 (glucose transport only) and GLUT5 (fructose transport only) is involved in both glucose and fructose metabolism. Evidence was reported on a GLUT4 involvement in glucose-mediated transport of 2-[18F]FDG into mouse mammary gland cells 5505 while excluding GLUT2 in that specific model (Moadel et al., 2005). Our current results using EMT6 as well as human MDA-MB231 cells point toward GLUT2 considering fructose-mediated transport. Current findings, along with data in the literature on substantial challenge to develop GLUT5-selective molecular probes (Tanasova et al., 2013) and insufficient expression of GLUT5 in human BC tissue (Gowrishankar et al., 2011) point to involvement of additional biomarkers like GLUT2 for targeting fructose-metabolism in BC and other malignancies.

In conclusion, our results highlight the importance of detailed biochemical studies on GLUT expression levels in combination with PET imaging studies for functional characterization of GLUTs as biomarkers in BC as, for example, involvement of GLUT5 in fructose-mediated transport does not seem to represent the entire picture. Future studies should include experiments to elucidate the detailed functional role of GLUT2 as an additional biomarker for fructose metabolism in BC.
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