Preclinical Evaluation of AZ12601011 and AZ12799734, Inhibitors of Transforming Growth Factor β Superfamily Type 1 Receptors
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ABSTRACT

The transforming growth factor β (TGFβ) superfamily includes TGFβ, activins, inhibins, and bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs). These extracellular ligands have essential roles in normal tissue homeostasis by coordinately regulating cell proliferation, differentiation, and migration. Aberrant signaling of superfamily members, however, is associated with fibrosis as well as tumorogenesis, cancer progression, metastasis, and drug-resistance mechanisms in a variety of cancer subtypes. Given their involvement in human disease, the identification of novel selective inhibitors of TGFβ superfamily receptors is an attractive therapeutic approach. Seven mammalian type 1 receptors have been identified that have context-specific roles depending on the ligand and the complex formation with the type 2 receptor. Here, we characterize the biologic effects of two transforming growth factor β receptor 1 (TGFBR1) kinase inhibitors designed to target TGFβ signaling. AZ12601011 [2-(2-pyridinyl)-4-(1H-pyrazolo[3,2-c]pyridin-1-yl)-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[d]pyrimidine; structure previously undisclosed] and AZ12799734 [4-[[4-[2,6-dimethyl-3-pyrindinyl]oxy]-2-pyridinyl]aminol]benzene sulfonamide] (IC50 = 18 and 47 nM, respectively) were more effective inhibitors of TGFβ-induced reporter activity than SB-431542 [4-[4-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-5-(2-pyridinyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl]benzamide] (IC50 = 84 nM) and LY2157299 [4-[2-(6-methylpyridin-2-yl)-5,6-dihydro-4H-pyrazolo[1,2-b]pyrazol-3-yl]quinoline-6-carboxamide monohydrate] (galunisertib) (IC50 = 380 nM). AZ12601011 inhibited phosphorylation of SMAD2 via the type 1 receptors activin A receptor type 1B (ALK4), TGFBR1, and activin A receptor type 1C (ALK7). AZ12799734, however, is a pan TGF/BMP inhibitor, inhibiting receptor-mediated phosphorylation of SMAD1 by activin A receptor type 1L, bone morphogenetic protein receptor type 1A, and bone morphogenetic protein receptor type 1B and phosphorylation of SMAD2 by ALK4, TGFBR1, and ALK7. AZ12601011 was highly effective at inhibiting basal and TGFβ-induced migration of HaCaT keratinocytes and, furthermore, inhibited tumor growth and metastasis to the lungs in a 4T1 syngeneic orthotopic mammary tumor model. These inhibitors provide new reagents for investigating in vitro and in vivo pathogenic processes and the contribution of TGFβ- and BMP-regulated signaling pathways to disease states.

Introduction

The transforming growth factor β (TGFβ) cytokine superfamily, including TGFβ, activin, inhibins, and bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), regulates developmental and cellular biology to control and maintain tissue homeostasis. In different contexts, the interaction of the dimeric ligands with their cognate receptors activates intracellular signaling pathways to control cell death, survival, adhesion, differentiation, movement, and deposition of components of the extracellular matrix (Shi and Massague, 2003). In human disease, the expression of, or cellular responses to, these factors may become deregulated. The resulting aberrant signaling can contribute to many disease pathologies, including cancer, fibrosis, atherosclerosis, and scarring (Blobe et al., 2000). TGFβ, for example, can switch from tumor suppressor to tumor promoter functions depending on epigenetic/genetic changes occurring in the tumor cell (Inman, 2011). Given their extensive role in human disease, the ligands, their receptors, and their downstream effectors are considered attractive therapeutic targets (Akhurst and Hata, 2012).

Cytokine signaling is initiated by the formation of heterotetrameric complexes of six polypeptides comprising dimeric ligands, two constitutively active type 2 receptors, and two type 1 receptors. Upon complex formation, the serine/threonine kinase type 1 receptors are phosphorylated and activated by the type 2 receptors, which initiates a canonical signaling cascade involving the recruitment, phosphorylation,
and activation of receptor-regulated SMADs. The phosphorylated SMADs bind to SMAD4, and the complexes accumulate in the cell nucleus where they both positively and negatively regulate gene expression. In addition, a number of SMAD-independent noncanonical signaling pathways (e.g., mitogen-activated protein kinases, Rho GTPases, and phosphoinositide-3-kinasen) are also activated which impact on gene regulation and cellular responses (Zhang, 2009). Five type 2 and seven type 1 receptors have been identified in mammalian cells. Their specificity for ligand and receptor complex formation determines biologic outcome (Schmierer and Hill, 2007). For instance, TGFβ interacts primarily with transforming growth factor β receptor 2 and the ubiquitous type 1 receptor, transforming growth factor β receptor 1 (TGFBR1), to regulate cytostasis, apoptosis, and epithelial to mesenchymal transition, but both TGFβ and growth differentiation factor 2 (BMP2) may bind to the endothelial cell restricted type 1 receptor ACVRL1 to regulate angiogenesis. In some circumstances, TGFβ may also signal via TGFBR1 and activin A receptor type 1 (ALK2) to induce SMAD1/5 phosphorylation and a subset of TGFβ-inducible genes involved in epithelial to mesenchymal transition (Ramachandran et al., 2018). BMPs, meanwhile, bind selectively to BMPR2 and the type 1 receptors activin A receptor type 1L (ALK1), ALK2, bone morphogenetic protein receptor type 1A (BMPR1A), and bone morphogenetic protein receptor type 1B (BMPR1B) to regulate embryogenesis and bone formation (Wang et al., 2014). TGFβ, activin, and nodal signal via TGFBR1, activin A receptor type 1B (ALK4), and activin A receptor type 1C (ALK7),respectively, predominantly to phosphorylate and activate SMAD2 and SMAD3, whereas BMPs induce phosphorylation of SMAD1, SMAD5, and SMAD8.

Given the role of TGFβ in pathologic states, there have been numerous therapeutic approaches taken to blockade signaling, with some progressing through preclinical evaluation to clinical trials (Lahn et al., 2005; Akhurst and Hata, 2012). Some selective small-molecule inhibitors (SMIs) of the TGFBR1 kinase are well characterized (e.g., SB-431542, 4-[4-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-5-(2-pyrindinyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl]benzamide) (Inman et al., 2002; Vogt et al., 2011) and have been used extensively in preclinical models to interrogate TGFβ-regulated biological pathways. SMIs of TGFBR1 have shown therapeutic promise in models of fibrosis (Gellibert et al., 2006; Park et al., 2015a,b), and two TGFBR1 inhibitors are currently in clinical trials, vactosertib (N-[2-fluorophenyl]-5-(6-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-4-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyridin-6-yl-imidazol-4-yl)quinoxaline; SMI, small-molecule inhibitor; t, triplet; TEW-7197, N-(2-fluorophenyl)-5-(6-methyl-2-pyridinyl)-4-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyridin-6-yl-imidazol-4-yl)quinoxaline-6-carboxamide monohydrate). The results of the first-in-human trials with vactosertib (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02160106) were not yet reported. Galunisertib, however, has progressed despite some initial toxicity concerns and is now considered safe enough when administered with careful dosing schedules (Fujiiwara et al., 2015; Herbertz et al., 2015; Kovač et al., 2015) to allow further clinical development in cancer patients with unmet need. TGFBR1 inhibitors, therefore, remain attractive leads for drug development.

AZ12601011 2-(2-pyridinyl)-4-(1H-pyrrrolo[3,2-c]pyridin-1-y1)-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[d]pyrimidine; structure previously undisclosed) and AZ12799734 [4-[4-(2,6-dimethyl-3-pyrindinyl)oxy]-2-pyridinyl]amino)benzenesulfonamide (Goldberg et al., 2009; Anderton et al., 2011) are two selective TGFBR1 inhibitors. AZ12601011 and AZ12799734 inhibit TGFBR1 kinase activity (competition binding) with Kd values of 2.9 and 740 nM, respectively. Both compounds have some inhibitory activity against the related receptors ALK4 and BMPR1B but are only weakly active against ALK1, ALK2, and BMPRA1 in vitro kinase assays (Anderton et al., 2011). Here, we characterize their specificity and in vitro in vivo biological activity in a variety of cell-based biochemical and functional assays in comparison with other well studied and clinically relevant TGFBR1 SMIs. We show that AZ12601011 is a selective inhibitor of ALK4, ALK7, and TGFBR1. Importantly, AZ12601011 inhibited TGFβ-induced epithelial cell migration at 10-fold lower concentrations than galunisertib and was effective in an in vivo tumor model system. Additionally, we identify AZ12799734 as a pan BMP/TGFβ inhibitor providing a novel spectrum of single-agent inhibitory activity useful for analytical evaluation of TGFβ superfamily-regulated processes.

Materials and Methods

Synthetic procedures for AZ12799734 have been described previously (compounds 19 [Goldberg et al., 2009]).

Synthetic Procedures for AZ12601011

2-Pyridin-2-yl-1,5,6,7-tetrahydrocyclopenta[e]pyrimidin-4-one-2-aminoipyridine hydrochloride (7.88 g, 50 mmol) and K2CO3 (6.91 g, 50 mmol) were added to methyl 2-oxocyclopentanecarboxylate (commercially sourced) (6.21 ml, 50 mmol) in ethanol (100 ml). The mixture was heated to reflux for 5 hours. While the mixture was still hot, it was acidified by the addition of 2 M aqueous HCl (20 ml) and water (30 ml). The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature overnight and was then partially concentrated in vacuo. The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with water and ethyl ether, and dried in vacuo to give the desired product. Additional product was obtained by concentrating the filtrate in vacuo and purifying the resulting residue by recrystallization from ethanol/water. The two batches were combined to afford the title compound (3.63 g, 34%) (1H NMR d6-DMSO): 2.04 (2H, d), 2.71 (2H, t), 2.88 (2H, t), 7.63 (1H, dd), 8.04 (1H, d), 8.73 (1H, d), NH missing; m/z MH+: 214).
4-Chloro-2-pyridin-2-yl-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[d]/pyrimidine phosphor chloride (4.72 mL, 50.7 mmol) was added to 2-pyridin-2-yl, 1,5,6,7-tetrahydro-cyclopenta[e]pyrimidin-4-one (2.7 g, 12.7 mmol). The mixture was heated at reflux for 3 hours. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound (2.60 g, 89%) as a solid. ^1H NMR (DMSO-d$_6$) 2.20 (2H, quintet), 3.06 (2H, t), 3.16 (2H, t), 7.79 (1H, t), 8.27 (1H, dt), 8.53 (1H, d), 8.82 (1H, d); m/z MH+ 232:

Plasmids. Plasmids expressing SMAD1 and SMAD2 and constitutively active ALK1, ALK2, BMPR1A, ALK4, TGFBR1, BMPR1B, and ALK7 used in transient transfections have been described previously (Inman et al., 2002).

Cell Culture. HaCaT, C2C12, T47D, VU-SCC-040, NIH3T3, NIH3T3-CAGA12-luc, C2C12-BRE-luc, and murine 4T1 (4T1-Luc (RRID:CVCL_J239)) cell lines were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and gentamicin. Medium for NIH3T3-CAGA12-luc cell lines was also supplemented with 400 mg/mL G418 ((2R,3R,4R,5R)-2-[(S)-2-[(S)-2-dicyclohexylphosphino]ferrocenyl]ethylidene)tert-butylphosphine (0.644 g, 18.6 mmol), palladium(II) acetate (0.125 g, 0.56 mmol), and (R)-(−)-1-(S)-2-dicyclohexylphosphino)ferroceny]ethylidene)tert-butylphosphine (0.644 g, 1.11 mmol) were added to 4-chloro-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[d]/pyrimidine (2.15 g, 9.28 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (46.4 mL), and the mixture was heated at reflux for 3 hours. The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and then concentrated in vacuo to afford a brown gum which was partitioned between CH$_2$Cl$_2$/CH$_3$OH. The organic layer was washed sequentially with 5% aqueous NaOH and sat. brine, then dried (MgSO$_4$) and concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound (2.60 g, 89%) as a solid. ^1H NMR (DMSO-d$_6$) 2.20 (2H, quintet), 3.06 (2H, t), 3.16 (2H, t), 7.79 (1H, t), 8.27 (1H, dt), 8.53 (1H, d), 8.82 (1H, d); m/z MH+ 232:

AZ12601011, 5-azaindole (1.32 g, 11.1 mmol), Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (6.05 g, 18.6 mmol), palladium(II) acetate (0.125 g, 0.56 mmol), and (R)-(−)-1-(S)-2-dicyclohexylphosphino)ferroceny]ethylidene)tert-butylphosphine (0.644 g, 1.11 mmol) were added to 4-chloro-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[d]/pyrimidine (2.15 g, 9.28 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (46.4 mL), and the mixture was heated at reflux for 3 hours. The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and then concentrated in vacuo to afford a brown gum which was partitioned between CH$_2$Cl$_2$/CH$_3$OH. The organic layer was washed sequentially with 5% aqueous NaOH and sat. brine, then dried (MgSO$_4$) and concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound (2.60 g, 89%) as a solid. ^1H NMR (DMSO-d$_6$) 2.20 (2H, quintet), 3.06 (2H, t), 3.16 (2H, t), 7.79 (1H, t), 8.27 (1H, dt), 8.53 (1H, d), 8.82 (1H, d); m/z MH+ 232:

AZ12601011, 5-azaindole (1.32 g, 11.1 mmol), Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (6.05 g, 18.6 mmol), palladium(II) acetate (0.125 g, 0.56 mmol), and (R)-(−)-1-(S)-2-dicyclohexylphosphino)ferroceny]ethylidene)tert-butylphosphine (0.644 g, 1.11 mmol) were added to 4-chloro-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[d]/pyrimidine (2.15 g, 9.28 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (46.4 mL), and the mixture was heated at reflux for 3 hours. The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and then concentrated in vacuo to afford a brown gum which was partitioned between CH$_2$Cl$_2$/CH$_3$OH. The organic layer was washed sequentially with 5% aqueous NaOH and sat. brine, then dried (MgSO$_4$) and concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound (2.60 g, 89%) as a solid. ^1H NMR (DMSO-d$_6$) 2.20 (2H, quintet), 3.06 (2H, t), 3.16 (2H, t), 7.79 (1H, t), 8.27 (1H, dt), 8.53 (1H, d), 8.82 (1H, d); m/z MH+ 232:

AZ12601011, 5-azaindole (1.32 g, 11.1 mmol), Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (6.05 g, 18.6 mmol), palladium(II) acetate (0.125 g, 0.56 mmol), and (R)-(−)-1-(S)-2-dicyclohexylphosphino)ferroceny]ethylidene)tert-butylphosphine (0.644 g, 1.11 mmol) were added to 4-chloro-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[d]/pyrimidine (2.15 g, 9.28 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (46.4 mL), and the mixture was heated at reflux for 3 hours. The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and then concentrated in vacuo to afford a brown gum which was partitioned between CH$_2$Cl$_2$/CH$_3$OH. The organic layer was washed sequentially with 5% aqueous NaOH and sat. brine, then dried (MgSO$_4$) and concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound (2.60 g, 89%) as a solid. ^1H NMR (DMSO-d$_6$) 2.20 (2H, quintet), 3.06 (2H, t), 3.16 (2H, t), 7.79 (1H, t), 8.27 (1H, dt), 8.53 (1H, d), 8.82 (1H, d); m/z MH+ 232:
western blotting using rabbit monoclonal anti-phospho-SMAD2 (Ser465/467, 138D4, #3103; CST) and mouse monoclonal pan-SMAD2 antibody (L16D3, #3102; CST). Secondary antibodies were IRDye 680RD donkey (polyclonal) anti-rabbit IgG (H+L, #926-6807; LI-COR) and IRDye 800CW goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L, #926-32210; LI-COR).

Analysis of signal intensities was carried out using LI-COR Image Studio version 4.0.21 software. Signal was normalized to pan-SMAD2 western blotting using rabbit monoclonal anti-phospho-SMAD2 (Ser465/467, 138D4, #3103; CST).

### Wound-Healing Assay, IncuCyte Zoom, and Data Analysis.

An IncuCyte Zoom live cell imaging microscope (Essen Bioscience, Welwyn Garden City, UK) with 10× objective and data management software was used to monitor cell migration in wound-healing assays. HaCaT cells were seeded overnight in 100 mL of growth medium at 15,000 cells/well in 96-well ImageLock plates (Essen Bioscience). Cells were washed twice in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and serum starved for 24 hours in DMEM supplemented with 0.2% FBS to minimize proliferation, which would interfere with migration assays. Uniform wounds were then generated using a pipette tip.

**Optimization parameters for mass spectrometry analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Ionization Mode</th>
<th>Polarity</th>
<th>Parent Ion</th>
<th>Daughter Ion</th>
<th>Cone Voltage</th>
<th>Collision Energy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AZ12601011</td>
<td>ESI</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>314.2076</td>
<td>78.0667</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ12799734</td>
<td>ESI</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>371.15</td>
<td>290.21</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ10024306 (ISTD)</td>
<td>ESI</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>408.253</td>
<td>174.189</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AZ10024306, 2-ethyl-4-[(2'-1H-tetrazol-5-yl)-4-biphenylyl]methoxy|quinoline; ESI, Electrospray Ionisation; ISTD, Internal Standard.
**Fig. 1.** Activity of five TGFBR1 inhibitors in TGFβ-induced SMAD3/4-dependent reporter assays. (A) Chemical structures of AZ12601011 (previously undisclosed) and AZ12799734 (Goldberg et al., 2009). (B) TGFβ-inducible SMAD3/4-dependent CAGA12 promoter-luciferase reporter bioassay carried out in the absence (-) and presence (+) of exogenous addition of TGFβ1 (1 ng/mL) for 8 hours. Stably transfected NIH3T3 CAGA12 cells were pretreated with a titration of SB-431542, SB-525334, AZ12601011, AZ12799734, or LY2157299 for 15 minutes prior to induction of luciferase activity by exogenous TGFβ addition. The mean (± S.D.) activity (as a percentage of the control cells + TGFβ) is shown from a representative experiment. (C) Representative dose-response curves of TGFBR1 inhibitors generated from CAGA12 bioassays as shown in (B). Data are presented as a percentage of luciferase activity of untreated control cells + TGFβ (100%). (D) Mean ± S.D. IC50 determined by median effect plots using CalcuSyn curve fit software and IC50 and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) determined by nonlinear regression analysis using GraphPad Prism 7 software are shown. The IC50 values were generated from replicate independent dose-response curves [AZ12601011 (n = 4), AZ12799734 (n = 3), SB-525334 (n = 4), SB-431542 (n = 5), LY2157299 (n = 4)]. RLU, relative luciferase units.
Fig. 2. Inhibition of TGFβ-induced phosphorylation of SMAD2 by AZ12601011. (A) Representative SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis for phosphorylated SMAD2 and total SMAD2 of radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (RIPA) lysates from two independent experiments where cell lines were pretreated with a titration of AZ12601011 for 20 minutes followed by exogenous addition of TGFβ (5 ng/mL) for 1 hour. (B–D) LI-COR Odyssey infrared imaging quantification of phospho-SMAD2 (ser465/467) normalized to pan-SMAD2 levels in HaCaT cells pretreated with a titration of AZ12601011 (range 10–0.01 μM) prior to TGFβ addition for 1 hour (n = 3). Representative scanned blots (B) and combined raw intensity signals from infrared imaging of pan-SMAD2 and phospho-SMAD2 western blots, lane 2 (untreated + TGFβ) (C). (D) Bar chart depicting the mean ± S.E.M. (n = 3) ratio of phospho-SMAD2 normalized signal intensity relative to the untreated control (lane 1) from replicate assays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NIH3T3</th>
<th>HaCaT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C2C12</th>
<th>T47D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AZ12601011 [μM] TGFβ</th>
<th>lane 1</th>
<th>lane 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>untreated + TGFβ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relative normalised signal intensity (phospho-SMAD2)
Results

AZ12601011 and AZ12799734 Inhibit Ligand-Activated SMAD3/4 Transcription. NIH3T3 cells stably expressing a TGFβ-inducible CAGA12-luciferase reporter construct were used to determine the effect of AZ12601011 and AZ12799734 (compound structures are shown in Fig. 1A) on TGFβ-induced transcription in comparison with the previously characterized TGFBR1 inhibitors SB-431542, SB-525334, and LY2157299 (galunisertib). The 12 tandem copies of the SMAD binding element CAGA (Dennler et al., 1998) regulate luciferase reporter gene activity in response to TGFβ receptor-regulated SMAD3 and SMAD4 binding. Luciferase activity in cell lysates increased in response to TGFβ1 addition and was inhibited by all compounds tested (Fig. 1B). The IC50 values, determined by curve fitting from dose-response curves (Fig. 1C) of AZ12601011 (18 ± 6 nM) and AZ12799734 (47 ± 7 nM), were lower than those of the other inhibitors and were approximately 10-fold lower than the IC50 of galunisertib (384 ± 170 nM), approved for use in clinical trials (Fig. 1, C and D). Phosphorylation of SMAD2 induced by exogenous TGFβ addition, detected using a polyclonal rabbit antiphosphorylated SMAD2 (ser465/467) antibody, was completely inhibited by the most active inhibitor, AZ12601011, in four cell lines at concentrations between 300 nM and 1 μM (Fig. 2A). The inhibition of SMAD2 phosphorylation in TGFβ-treated HaCaT relative to pan-SMAD2 levels was quantified by LI-COR infrared imaging using a second monoclonal rabbit antiphosphorylated SMAD2 (ser465/467) (138D4) antibody (Fig. 2, B–D). Representative blots are shown in Fig. 2B, and combined raw intensity traces from channel 700 and 800 scans of lane 2 (control + TGFβ) measuring phospho-SMAD2 and pan-SMAD2, respectively, are shown in Fig. 2C. Normalized phospho-SMAD2 levels relative to uninduced levels (lane 1) are shown in Fig. 2D; these returned to baseline in the presence of 0.3 μM AZ12601011 and indicate levels of inhibition similar to those seen in Fig. 2A.

Fig. 3. Assessment of TGFBR1 inhibitors in in vitro culture systems. (A) NIH3T3 CAGA12-luciferase cells were left untreated or pretreated for 15 minutes with 20 nM AZ12601011 and 60 nM AZ12799734 that had undergone the indicated number of freeze-thaw cycles. Following pretreatment, the reporter cells were stimulated with 1 ng/mL TGFβ for 8 hours and lysed, and the relative luciferase units (RLU) were measured. Results are presented as the mean RLU (± S.D.) normalized to protein content of the lysate of three replicate wells. (B) Cell culture medium (DMEM + 10% FBS) was spiked with 10 μM inhibitors and incubated at 37°C for the times indicated. On day 9, HaCaT cells were seeded overnight at 5 × 10^4 in 24-well plates. Medium was removed from the wells and replaced with inhibitor-spiked medium for 15 minutes pretreatment prior to addition of TGFβ at 5 nM/mL for 2 hours. Cell lysates were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blot for phosphorylated SMAD2 and β-actin as a loading control (n = 1). (C) 1 × 10^6 VU-SCC-040 cells were seeded overnight into 10-cm dishes and treated with solvent control (DMSO), SB-431542, galunisertib, AZ12601011, or AZ12799734 at 10 μM final concentration. Treated cells were incubated for the times shown and then challenged with exogenous TGFβ1 (5 ng/mL) for 2 hours. Cell lysates were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blot for phosphorylated SMAD2 and β-actin as a loading control. Representative western blots from replicate biologic experiments (n = 2) showing similar results are shown.
Stability and Specificity of AZ12601011 and AZ12799734. We next tested the suitability, stability, and specificity of AZ12601011 and AZ12799734 as reagents for studying TGFβ family signaling in cell-based assays. Neither AZ12601011 nor AZ12799734 showed any reduction in their ability to block CAGA12-luciferase reporter activity following up to six rounds of freeze-thawing when used at concentrations selected to inhibit CAGA12 activity by approximately 50% (20 and 60 nM, respectively) (Fig. 3A). All four inhibitors tested maintained activity when incubated in tissue culture medium for up to 10 days (Fig. 3B) and effectively maintained efficacy in in vitro cell culture assays over this time period as assessed by the ability to block TGFβ-mediated induction of SMAD2 phosphorylation (Fig. 3C). AZ12601011 and AZ12799734 can therefore be used to effectively block TGFβ signaling in phenotypic assays over a 10-day time course.

Previous drugs designed to target the TGFβ type 1 receptor, such as SB-431542, effectively inhibit the activity of activin and nodal receptors ALK4 and ALK7 in addition to TGFBR1. Therefore, using transient transfection assays, we also assessed the effect of AZ12601011 and AZ12799734 on constitutively active ALK4, ALK7, and TGFBR1 receptors expressed in NIH3T3 CAGA12 reporter cells. Induction of CAGA12-luciferase reporter activity induced by transient transfection of the constitutively active (*) receptors is shown in Fig. 4A. Following transient transfection, cells were treated with a titration of AZ12601011 or AZ12799734 for 24 hours prior to lysis and analysis of lysates by SDS-PAGE and western blotting for the proteins indicated. Black vertical lines indicate separate gels that were run and blotted concurrently.
between 0.01 and 10 μM. AZ12799734 also equally inhibited the three constitutively active receptors at concentrations ranging from 1 to 10 μM. We next tested the effect of AZ12601011 and AZ12799734 on receptor-regulated SMAD phosphorylation by transient transfection of constitutively active receptors into NIH3T3 cells (Fig. 4C). Phosphorylation of cotransfected SMAD1 and SMAD2 by the active receptors was monitored by western blot. All seven receptors induced phosphorylation of either SMAD1 or SMAD2; as expected, phosphorylation of SMAD1 occurred following transfection of ALK1, BMPR1A, and BMPR1B, and phosphorylation of SMAD2 occurred following transfection of ALK4, TGFBR1, and ALK7 (Fig. 4C). AZ12601011 inhibited phosphorylation of SMAD2 but not SMAD1, suggesting that (similar to SB-431542) AZ12601011 is a selective inhibitor of ALK4, TGFBR1, and ALK7.

AZ12799734, however, inhibited phosphorylation of both SMAD1 and SMAD2 and is thus likely to be a pan BMP/TGF receptor inhibitor. The effect of AZ12799734 on BMP signaling was confirmed using a bioassay measuring the transcriptional activation of a BMP responsive element luciferase reporter construct (C2C12-BRE-LUC) (Herrera and Inman, 2009) after exogenous addition of BMP4, BMP6, or growth differentiation factor 2 (BMP9). As expected, given that there was no effect of AZ12601011 on SMAD1 phosphorylation, we saw no inhibition of BMP-induced activity of C2C12-BRE-LUC in AZ12601011-treated cells (Fig. 5A). Following treatment by AZ12601011, we observed no inhibition of extracellular signals regulating phosphorylation of ERK (induced by EGF) (Fig. 5B) or p38 MAPK family members (induced by osmotic shock) (Fig. 5C). Therefore, AZ12601011 had no inhibitory off-target effect on the EGF-activated epidermal growth factor

**Fig. 5.** Selectivity of AZ12601011 and AZ12799734 in cell-based assays. (A) C2C12-BRE-LUC cells were pretreated with a titration of AZ12601011 and AZ12799734 for 20 minutes prior to addition of 5 ng/mL recombinant BMP ligand. Luciferase activity was measured using the Luciferase Assay system (Promega). Results presented are the mean fold activation of the luciferase reporter in response to ligand relative to activity in untreated cells. (B and C) Serum-starved (0.1% FBS) NIH3T3 cells were pretreated in singlicate with a titration of AZ12601011 (as indicated) for 20 minutes prior to stimulation for 5 minutes with EGF (30 ng/mL) (B) or osmotic shock treatment for 20 minutes with NaCl (0.7 M) (C). (B) U0126 treatment at 25 μM was included as a positive control for inhibition of EGF signaling via the MAPK pathway. Cells were then washed in ice-cold PBS and lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (RIPA). Protein lysates were quantified, and equal amounts of protein were analyzed by SDS-PAGE; western blots for the proteins indicated are shown. GDF2, growth differentiation factor 2.
receptor/MEK/ERK signaling cascade (Bogdan and Klämbt, 2001), which was efficiently blocked by the MEK1/2 inhibitor U0126, which was used as a positive control for MEK1/2 inhibition (Fig. 5B).

AZ12601011 and AZ12799734 Inhibit TGFβ-Induced Migration. AZ12601011 and AZ12799734 were assessed in functional wound-healing assays using HaCaT epithelial cells that measure TGFβ-induced SMAD4-dependent migration responses (Levy and Hill, 2005). Confluent monolayers of serum-starved (0.2% FBS) HaCaT cells were uniformly scratched using a WoundMaker (Essen Biosciences), and the resulting wound closure was analyzed by real-time imaging (Fig. 6). Serum-starved DMSO control-treated cells migrated to close the wound by just over 80% after 80 hours of incubation. Following treatment with TGFβ, however, HaCaT cells migrated faster to close the wound entirely within 36 hours. When cells were pretreated with different concentrations of SB-431542, galunisertib, AZ12601011, or AZ12799734 prior to TGFβ addition, we observed dose-dependent decreases in TGFβ-induced migration. SB-431542, galunisertib, AZ12601011, and AZ12799734 blocked the increase in migration at concentrations of 500, 5000, 100, and 500 nM, respectively. At 500 nM, AZ12601011 reduced cell migration below baseline, which was only achieved at doses of ≥5 μM SB-431542 and AZ12799734 (data not shown). AZ12601011, therefore, was the most effective inhibitor in the wound-healing assay, inhibiting TGFβ-induced migration at concentrations at least 10-fold lower than galunisertib.

AZ12601011 Inhibits Tumor Growth and Metastasis In Vivo. The selectivity profile of AZ12601011 for ALK4, TGFBR1, and ALK7 (similar in scope to galunisertib in clinical trials) made it a good representative probe molecule to understand the profile of a TGFBR1 inhibitor in vivo. We tested the pharmacokinetics of both drugs (total and free) in BALB/c mice following administration of a 50-mg/kg dose (Fig. 7A) and tested the effect of AZ12601011 on 4T1 cell proliferation in vitro prior to its use in an in vivo assay of preventative treatment of 4T1 syngeneic orthotopic tumor growth. AZ12601011 inhibited 4T1 proliferation with an IC50 of 400 nM (Fig. 7B). In the orthotopic in vivo assay, AZ12601011 inhibited signaling measured by a reduction in phosphorylated SMAD2 detected in tumor cell lysates 1 hour after administration (50 mg/kg) (Fig. 7C). AZ12601011 inhibited 4T1 cell growth in vitro (IC50 = 0.4 μM) (Fig. 7C). To assess efficacy in vivo, mice were treated twice daily with vehicle or AZ12601011 (50 mg/kg) starting the day after implantation of 4T1 cells into mammary fat pads. Tumor growth was monitored over 25 days (Fig. 7D). The average tumor size in the treated group (n = 10) up to day 18 (when 13/13 mice in the control group remained in the study) was significantly inhibited by AZ12601011 (P = 0.0001) compared with controls (n = 13) (Fig. 7E). Two of 13 mice in the control

---

**Fig. 6.** Blockade of TGFβ-induced migration. HaCaT cells were seeded at 15,000 cells/well in ImageLock plates (Essen Biosciences) and incubated overnight. Cells were washed twice in PBS and serum starved for 24 hours in DMEM supplemented with 0.2% FBS. Uniform wounds were generated using a WoundMaker, and the cells were washed twice in PBS and then pretreated with titrations of SB-431542, AZ12601011, or AZ12799734 in DMEM/0.2% FBS followed by BSA/HCl carrier control or TGFβ (5 ng/mL). Closure of the wound was monitored by IncuCyte Zoom imaging over 4 days. Data presented are the mean ± S.E.M. of a minimum of three replicate wells taken from a representative experiment.
Fig. 7. In vivo profile of TGFBR1 inhibitors. (A) Total and free pharmacokinetic (PK) levels of AZ12601011 and AZ12799734 in the nude mouse following 50 mg/kg per oral dose, with time over in vitro IC50 (0.01582 and 0.01885 μM, respectively). (B) Growth of 4T1 cells (shown as a percentage of vehicle-treated control cells) treated with AZ12601011. Growth was determined after 4 days of treatment using the IncucyteZoom real-time imaging system. (C) Pharmacodynamic modulation of phosphorylated SMAD2 (pSMAD2) levels following treatment of with AZ12601011 [50 mg/kg twice daily (BID)] in the mouse 4T1 syngeneic cell line grown via mammary orthotopic implant. pSMAD2 levels were measured in tumor cell lysates by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay 1 hour after drug administration. (D) Mouse 4T1 syngeneic orthotopic tumor growth over 25 days in vehicle control (n = 13) and AZ12601011-treated (50 mg/kg BID; n = 10) mice. Mice were treated by oral gavage twice daily from day 1 following implant. Tumor volumes in individual mice are shown. (E) Mean ± S.E.M. tumor volumes of all mice in the vehicle control and AZ12601011-treated groups until day of first sacrifice (day 18). Statistical analysis was carried out by pairwise comparison using the compareGrowthCurves function in statmod (R Project). The adjusted P value is shown. (F) Kaplan-Meier plots showing statistically significant differences in event-free (left) [log rank (Mantel-Cox) \( x^2(1) = 9.191, P < 0.002 \)] and tumor <0.5 cm3 (right) [log rank (Mantel-Cox) \( \chi^2(1) = 17.448, P = 0.00003 \)] survival (control group, n = 13; treated group, n = 10). (G) Scatterplot showing relative lung metastasis scores in the 4T1 syngeneic orthotopic tumor mice [described in (D)–(F)] following vehicle control (n = 12) or AZ12601011 treatment (n = 10). The scatterplot points represent individual mice, and bars represent the median and interquartile ranges. Analysis was carried out using Mann-Whitney U test. AZ12601011-treated group: median = 1; vehicle control group: median = 4, U = 26, z = -2.267, P = 0.025, using an exact sampling distribution for U.
group had to be sacrificed before the end of the study at days 18 and 23 due to large tumor sizes. No tumors in the treated group exceeded the stipulated size limit, and one of 10 mice did not develop a measurable tumor. We noted a significant increase in event-free survival ($P = 0.002$) and in the time taken to develop tumors exceeding $0.5 \text{ cm}^3$ ($P < 0.005$) in the treated mice (Fig. 7F). Importantly, we also found a statistically significant reduction ($P = 0.025$) in the median lung metastasis score in mice treated with AZ12601011 (median = 1) compared with the control group (median = 4; U = 26, $z = -2.267$, using an exact sampling distribution for U).

Therefore, AZ12601011 shows efficacy as a single-agent therapy in tumor model systems providing a TGFBR1 inhibitor lead compound for future development.

**Discussion**

Our data describe the specificity and efficacy of two compounds designed to inhibit TGFBR1 kinase activity (AZ12601011 and AZ12799734) in a number of cell-based assays. We provide evidence that AZ12601011 targets ALK4 and ALK7 as well as TGFBR1, whereas AZ12799734 is a pan inhibitor of ALK1, ALK2, BMPRIA1, ALK4, TGFBR1, BMP1B, and ALK7. Thus, although not directly tested here, we predict that similar to SB-431542, AZ12601011 will also inhibit activin and nodal signaling through ALK4 and ALK7. AZ12799734, we anticipate, may be useful as a single-agent combined inhibitor of TGFβ and BMP activity.

The efficacy of AZ12601011 and AZ12799734 was also assessed in biological assays of TGFβ-induced transcription and migration. TGFβ-induced migration of HaCaT epithelial cells is, in part, reported to involve TGFβ-mediated activation of a genetic program regulated by the ERK signaling pathway demonstrated by the observation that the MEK/ERK inhibitor U0126 can inhibit this response (Zavadil et al., 2001). Since 100 nM concentrations of AZ12601011 inhibited migration but had no effect on EGF-induced phosphorylation of ERK or p38 phosphorylation up to concentrations of 10 μM, we conclude that the effects of the inhibitors on migration are not a result of off-target inhibition of MEK/ERK but are likely a result of on-target TGFBR1 inhibition. In these assays, AZ12601011 and AZ12799734 were at least 10-fold more effective in blocking TGFβ-induced transcription and migration than galunisertib, currently in clinical trials. Importantly, AZ12601011 reduced signaling via SMAD2 in vivo and inhibited tumor growth and metastasis in an orthotopic murine model of breast cancer, suggesting therapeutic potential.

The development of novel inhibitors of TGFBR1 could provide new therapeutic options in TGFβ-associated pathologies, such as cancer and fibrosis. The clinical use of TGFBR1 inhibitors, however, is not without concerns over patient safety. TGFβ signaling via TGFBR1 has a role in cardiac development (Sridurongrit et al., 2008), and several heart defects are evident in TGFβ2-null mice. Because increased TGFβ expression is involved in cardiac remodeling in response to numerous stresses (Lim and Zhu, 2006), TGFBR1 inhibitors are predicted to be of benefit in combating the effects of cardiac remodeling in heart failure. However, administration of AZ12601011 to disease-free wild-type mice is associated with cardiac toxicity involving the development of aortic lesions (Anderton et al., 2011). Questions about these homeostatic functions of TGFβ have thus delayed development of lead compounds, such as LY2157299 (galunisertib). Similar effects of galunisertib on small mammals were noted during detailed preclinical toxicity testing but were ameliorated by lower doses and careful dosing schedules (Herbertz et al., 2015). These concerns therefore appear to be largely overcome, and several cancer-related trials of galunisertib as a monotherapy, or in combination with other chemotherapeutics, are ongoing. The efficacy of galunisertib in models of cancer, however, appears limited by tumor environmental factors, and antitumor growth inhibitory effects are lacking in some xenograft models (Herbertz et al., 2015; Maier et al., 2015). In hematopoietic cancer cells, galunisertib had minimal effects on proliferation but inhibited invasion (Serova et al., 2015). Most of the studies to date have focused on antiproliferative and tumor-killing potential in standard assays, and there is growing evidence that these inhibitors may be more effective in cancer models measuring metastasis, immune function, and cancer stem cell–like properties (Peñuelas et al., 2009; Anido et al., 2010; Maurantionio et al., 2011; Spender et al., 2016). For example, galunisertib has been shown to inhibit TGFβ-induced migration and immune suppression in vitro (Yingling et al., 2017). In addition, SB-431542 inhibits mutant BRAF melanoma cell migration and stem cell–like properties of anchorage-independent growth and clonogenicity at low-cell density. These effects are overcome by increasing cell density, leading to the conclusion that the dependence of tumor cells on TGFβ/TGFB1 signaling is revealed under conditions of cellular stress. Therefore, TGFBR1 inhibitors may be most effective in inhibiting micrometastasis seeding or outgrowth, following removal of any clinically apparent tumor mass (Spender et al., 2016). This conclusion is supported by the inhibitory effect of AZ12601011 on HaCaT cell migration and the formation of 4T1 lung metastasis in our experimental cell-based assays. Hence, TGFβ receptor inhibitors remain candidate therapeutic agents with therapeutic potential, despite the lack of significant tumor killing by galunisertib. Additionally, galunisertib has shown promise in ex vivo models of fibrotic disease (Luangmonkong et al., 2017). Therefore, AZ12601011 and AZ12799734 provide new tools for studying TGFβ- and BMP-regulated biologic processes and lead compounds for clinical development.
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