An Unexpected Role of Cholesterol Sulfotransferase and its Regulation in Sensitizing Mice to Acetaminophen-Induced Liver Injury
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ABSTRACT
Overdose of acetaminophen (APAP) is the leading cause of acute liver failure (ALF) in the United States. The sulfotransferase-mediated sulfation of APAP is widely believed to be a protective mechanism to attenuate the hepatotoxicity of APAP. The cholesterol sulfotransferase SULT2B1b is best known for its activity in catalyzing the sulfoconjugation of cholesterol to synthesize cholesterol sulfate. SULT2B1b can be transcriptionally and positively regulated by the hepatic nuclear factor 4α (HNF4α). In this study, we uncovered an unexpected role for SULT2B1b in APAP toxicity. Hepatic overexpression of SULT2B1b sensitized mice to APAP-induced liver injury, whereas ablation of the Sult2B1b gene in mice conferred resistance to the APAP hepatotoxicity. Consistent with the notion that Sult2B1b is a transcriptional target of HNF4α, overexpression of HNF4α sensitized mice or primary hepatocytes to APAP-induced hepatotoxicity in a Sult2B1b-dependent manner. We conclude that the HNF4α–SULT2B1b axis has a unique role in APAP-induced acute liver injury, and SULT2B1b induction might be a risk factor for APAP hepatotoxicity.

ABBRVIATIONS: ALF, acute liver failure; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; APAP, acetaminophen; APAP-CYS, APAP-cysteine; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CAR, constitutive androstane receptor; GSH, glutathione; HNF4α, hepatic nuclear factor 4α; IL, interleukin; KO, knockout; LXR, liver X receptor; NAC, N-acetylcysteine; NAPQI, N-acetyl-p-benzoquinone-imine; P450, cytochrome P450; pcna, proliferating cell nuclear antigen; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PXR, pregnane X receptor; SULT, sulfotransferase; SULT2B1b, cholesterol sulfotransferase; TG, transgenic; TUNEL, in situ DNA nick end labeling; UGT, UDP-glucuronosyltransferase.
(Falany et al., 2006). SULT2B1b appears to be the major functional SULT2B1 isoform. SULT2B1b is specific for the sulfation of 3-hydroxysteroids (Falany and Rohn-Glowacki, 2013). Subsequent studies have reported crucial roles of SULT2B1b in regulating liver functions and impacting the pathogenesis of diseases. SULT2B1b is induced by liver regeneration in a mouse model of partial hepatectomy (Lo Sasso et al., 2010). SULT2B1b increases the proliferation of liver cancer cells, which may have contributed to the progression of hepatocellular carcinoma (Yang et al., 2013). Oxysterols, the endogenous ligands for the liver X receptor (LXR), are substrates of SULT2B1b (Bensinger et al., 2008; Bai et al., 2011). Upregulation of SULT2B1b inhibited lipogenesis by sultoninating and deactivating the LXR-activating oxysterols (Bai et al., 2011) and aggravated 3,5-diethoxy carbonyl-1,4-dihydrocollidine–induced liver damage by suppressing oxysterol-induced LXR activation (Wang et al., 2017). SULT2B1b has been reported to be regulated by several nuclear receptors, such as chimeric antigen receptor (Dong et al., 2009), vitamin D receptor (Seo et al., 2013), and peroxisome proliferator activated receptors (Jiang et al., 2005).

We recently reported that SULT2B1b can inhibit hepatic gluconeogenesis by suppressing the gluconeogenic activity of hepatocyte nuclear factor 4α (HNF4α) (Shi et al., 2014). HNF4α promotes gluconeogenesis by upregulating PEPCK and G6Pase gene expression (Rhee et al., 2003). Mechanistically, SULT2B1b and its enzymatic product cholesterol sulfate suppress gluconeogenesis by inhibiting acetyl-CoA synthetase gene expression, leading to reduced acetylation and nuclear exclusion of HNF4α (Shi et al., 2014). More recently, we reported that the SULT2B1b itself is a transcriptional target gene of HNF4α (Bi et al., 2018). The establishment of SULT2B1b as a HNF4α target gene called up our hypothesis that the induction of SULT2B1b by HNF4α involves in a negative feedback to inhibit the gluconeogenic activity of HNF4α (Bi et al., 2018). Although several SULT isoforms and their regulations have been implicated in APAP toxicity (Saini et al., 2011), it is unknown whether SULT2B1b plays a role in APAP hepatotoxicity and, if so, whether HNF4α can also impact the hepatotoxicity of APAP by its positive regulation of SULT2B1b.

In this study, we demonstrated that overexpression of hepatic SULT2B1b sensitized mice to APAP-induced liver damage, whereas ablation of SULT2B1b attenuated mice from APAP toxicity. A forced expression of Hnf4α aggravates APAP hepatotoxicity in a Sult2B1b-dependent manner. Our results pointed to SULT2B1b induction as a potential risk factor for APAP-induced acute liver damage.

### Materials and Methods

#### Animals

The Sult2B1b knockout mice (strain no. 018773) in C57BL/6J-129/SvJ mixed background were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME), and wild-type (WT) mice of the same mixed genetic background were used as controls. The liver-specific fatty acid binding protein-SULT2B1b transgenic mice in the C57BL/6J background were created and characterized as previously described (Shi et al., 2014), and WT C57BL/6J mice were used as controls. All mice used in this study were 6- to 8-week-old female mice. The use of animals in this study complied with all relevant federal guidelines and institutional policies.

#### Induction of APAP-Induced Liver Injury

APAP was dissolved in 0.5% methyl cellulose solution. Before receiving a single dose of 200 mg/kg APAP by gavage, all the mice were fasted for 16 hours. Food was given back to mice 3 hours after the APAP treatment. The mice were sacrificed 24 hours after APAP treatment (Saini et al., 2011). Liver tissues and serum samples were collected for biochemical analysis and histology. All chemicals mentioned in this study were bought from Sigma (St. Louis, MO).

#### Histology

For H&E staining, liver samples were fixed in 10% paraformaldehyde, embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 4 μm, and stained. For immunohistochemistry analysis, standard immunohistochemical procedures were performed using a Ki67 antibody purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA). APO-BRDU (in situ DNA nick end labeling, TUNEL) apoptosis kit from Novus (Littleton, CO) was used to examine dying cells with exposed or fragmented DNA ends.

#### Serum and Liver Tissue Chemistry

ALT and AST analysis in the serum (Lu et al., 2015) and primary hepatocytes (Miyakawa et al., 2015) was performed using commercial assay kits from Stanbio Laboratory (Boerne, TX). The ratio of ALT in the medium of primary hepatocytes to ALT in the lysates of primary hepatocytes was calculated as the percentage of ALT release. The concentrations of GSH and GSSG in the liver tissue samples were measured by Assay Kit from BioAssay Systems (Hayward, CA).

#### Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction

Total RNA in the liver was isolated by the TRizol reagent from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). Reverse transcription was conducted with random hexamer primers and Superscript RT III enzyme from Invitrogen. The ABI 7300 Real-Time PCR system was used to perform SYBR Green–based real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The quantity of mRNA measured was normalized to the cyclophilin gene expression.

#### Western Blot Analysis

Western blotting was conducted as described previously (Shi et al., 2014). The primary antibodies for Hnf4α (catalog no. MA1-199) and Sult2B1b (sc-67103) were ordered from Thermo Fisher Scientific and Santa Cruz (Santa Cruz, CA), respectively.

#### Isolation, Culture, and Adenoviral Infection of Primary Hepatocytes from Mice

As described previously (Jiang et al., 2014), primary hepatocytes were extracted from 8- to 10-week-old mice. Briefly, the mice liver was first perfused with Hanks’ buffered salt solution containing 0.1 M HEPES and 0.5 mM EGTA at the speed of 5 ml/min for 5–10 minutes and then perfused with L-15 medium containing 20 mg/ml liberase, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, and 0.1 M HEPES from Roche (Indianapolis, IN). When the perfusion was completed, the dissociated hepatocytes were filtered through 50-mm tissue cell strainer and centrifuged at 500 rpm for 3 minutes at 4°C to collect. Hepatocytes were seeded onto six-well plates coated with type I collagen in William E medium containing 5% fetal bovine serum. After 2 hours, the medium was replaced by HepatoZYME-SFM medium. Twenty microliters of the serum samples was added by 80 μl of each sample was homogenized in 500 μl of 0.5% methyl cellulose solution. Before receiving a single dose of 200 mg/kg APAP by gavage, all the mice were fasted for 16 hours. Food was given back to mice 3 hours after the APAP treatment. The mice were sacrificed 24 hours after APAP treatment (Saini et al., 2011). Liver tissues and serum samples were collected for biochemical analysis and histology. All chemicals mentioned in this study were bought from Sigma (St. Louis, MO).

#### APAP Metabolic Analysis

APAP metabolic analysis was performed as described (Cheng et al., 2009). In brief, 100 mg of liver tissue samples was homogenized in 500 μl of water. Two hundred microliters of acetonitrile/methanol (1:1, v/v) was added to 100 μl of each homogenate. The mixture was vortexed and centrifuged (15,000g for 10 minutes), and the supernatant was transferred to a new 1.5-ml Eppendorf vial for a second centrifugation (15,000g for 10 minutes). Twenty microliters of the serum samples was added by 80 μl of methanol. The prepared mixture was vortexed and centrifuged at 15,000g for 10 minutes. Each supernatant was transferred to an autosampler vial and analyzed by ultraperformance liquid chromatography coupled with time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MS) from Waters Corporation (Milford, MA). Metabolites were separated by an Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (2.1 × 50 mm, 1.7 μm; Waters) using a gradient ranging from 5% to 95% aqueous acetonitrile containing 0.1% formic acid over a 6-minute run. Time-of-flight MS was operated in positive mode with electrospray ionization, and the MS data were
acquired in centroid format (50–1000 Da). The capillary and cone voltages were set as 0.8 kV and 40 V, respectively. The source temperature was set at 150°C. The desolvation gas (800 liters/h) was set at 500°C. APAP and its major metabolites (APAP-sulfate, APAP-glucuronide, APAP-GSH, and APAP-Cys) were identified by high-resolution accurate mass and tandem MS fragmental analysis. The peak areas were quantified to represent the signal intensities. All data were acquired using Masslynx V4.1 software and quantified using Quanlynx V4.1 (Waters Corp.).

Statistical Analysis. All the data are expressed as means ± S.E.M. Comparisons of two groups were evaluated by the unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test, and comparisons of three or more groups were performed by one-way multivariate analysis of variance, which was carried out by SPSS version 24.0. to understand whether there were differences between the genotype on the two dependent variables, AST and ALT. The criterion for statistical significance was a P value of less than 0.05 unless otherwise indicated. Some results had Bonferroni correction to control the type 1 errors and accepted a P value of less than 0.005 (for Figs. 1 and 2, in which 10 comparisons were tested in the same samples), 0.017 (for Fig. 3, in which three comparisons were tested in the same samples; not significant in Fig. 4), 0.003 (for Fig. 5, A–C, in which 15 comparisons were tested in the same samples), 0.025 (for Fig. 5E, in which two comparisons were tested in the same samples), or 0.01 (for Fig. 5F, in which five comparisons were tested in the same samples).

Results

Transgenic Overexpression of SULT2B1b in the Liver Aggravates APAP-Induced Liver Injury. We previously reported the creation and characterization of transgenic (TG) mice that overexpress the cholesterol sulfotransferase (SULT2B1b) in the liver under the rat fatty acid binding protein gene promoter (Shi et al., 2014). To investigate whether SULT2B1b plays a role in APAP hepatotoxicity, we treated the TG mice with a single dose of APAP (200 mg/kg by gavage) after a 16-hour fasting (Saini et al., 2011). Twenty-four hours after treatment, liver tissue and serum samples were harvested for analysis. H&E staining revealed that the livers of the TG mice displayed more severe damage compared with the WT mice.
The liver histology of the vehicle-treated TG mice appeared normal. Upon the APAP treatment, the TG mice showed quantifiably more extensive necrotic liver damage than their WT counterparts (Fig. 1A). In addition, APAP-treated TG mice had elevated serum levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (Fig. 1B) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (Fig. 1C) activity compared with APAP-treated WT mice. In APAP-induced liver injury, it is believed that overdose of APAP is metabolized by P450s to reactive intermediate NAPQI, which depletes GSH, binds to cellular proteins, and induces nuclear
DNA fragmentation, leading to necrotic hepatocyte death (Hinson et al., 2010). So, we assessed nuclear DNA fragmentation by TUNEL staining. The TUNEL staining in APAP-treated TG mice was increased (Fig. 1D), consistent with the increased liver damage in this genotype. It is known that liver regeneration is important for survival after APAP overdose (Bhushan et al., 2014) because hepatic cell necrosis eventually induces liver regeneration (Guiciardi et al., 2013). Usually, more necrosis is followed by more cell proliferation. Therefore, we evaluated the expression of cell proliferation and cell-cycle-related genes in the liver by real-time PCR. The expression of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (Pcna) of TG mice was significantly higher than the WT mice (Fig. 1E), consistent with the notion that TG mice had more liver damage than WT mice. Furthermore, the hepatic expression of inflammatory cytokine genes interleukin-6 (Il-6) and interleukin-1β (Il-1β) in TG mice was significantly higher than that in WT mice after the APAP treatment (Fig. 1F).

Ablation of Sult2B1b Protects Mice from APAP-Induced Liver Damage. To assess whether Sult2B1b ablation affects APAP-induced liver injury, we treated Sult2B1b knockout (KO) mice with a single dose of 200 mg/kg APAP. The KO mice showed less liver damage compared with the WT mice. The WT mice showed remarkable necrotic liver damage at 24 hours post-APAP treatment, as expected. In contrast, the KO mice showed less liver damage as evidence by smaller necrotic area (Fig. 2A), decreased serum levels of ALT (Fig. 2B) and AST (Fig. 2C), and decreased TUNEL staining (Fig. 2D). The mRNA expression of Ppca and Ccnd 1 was significantly decreased in APAP-treated KO mice (Fig. 2E), consistent with an attenuated liver injury in this genotype. The decreased hepatocyte proliferation in APAP-treated KO mice was also supported by a decreased immunostaining of the hepatocyte proliferation marker Ki67 (Fig. 2F). Consistently and compared with the WT mice, mRNA expression of Il-1β was decreased in APAP-treated KO mice (Fig. 2G).

Overexpression of Hnf4α Induces Sult2B1b and Sensitizes Mice or Primary Hepatocytes to APAP-Induced Injury. We recently reported that Sult2B1b is a transcriptional target of Hnf4α (Bi et al., 2018). Having shown that overexpression of SULT2B1b sufficed to sensitize mice to APAP-induced liver injury, we wanted to determine whether upregulation of Sult2B1b by HNF4α in the mouse liver will have a similar sensitizing effect on APAP-induced liver injury. In this experiment, WT mice were infected with adenovirus expressing Hnf4α (Ad-Hnf4α) or the control virus (Ad-Ctrl) for 1 week before being treated with APAP. The overexpression Hnf4α and expected induction of Sult2B1b were confirmed by Western blotting (Fig. 3A). Compared with Ad-Ctrl infected mice, the Ad-Hnf4α infected mice displayed more severe liver injury as evidenced by the gross appearance and increased necrotic area (Fig. 3B) and increased serum levels of ALT and AST (Fig. 3C). The in vivo results were further supported by in vitro results using primary hepatocytes isolated from WT mice. In this experiment, primary hepatocytes infected with Ad-Ctrl or Ad-Hnf4α were treated with 5 mM APAP for 24 hours. Overexpression of Hnf4α and induction of Sult2B1b were proved by real-time PCR (Fig. 3D). Compared with
Ad-Ctrl–infected hepatocytes, Ad-Hnf4α infected hepatocytes showed an increased APAP-responsive release of ALT (Fig. 3E) and a decreased cell viability as shown by the MTT assay (Fig. 3F).

**Sensitizing Effect of HNF4α on APAP-Induced Injury Is Sult2B1b-Dependent.** To determine whether the sensitizing effect of HNF4α on APAP-induced liver injury in vivo is Sult2B1b-dependent, we infected Sult2B1b KO mice with Ad-Ctrl or Ad-Hnf4α before challenging them with APAP. The overexpression of Hnf4α was verified by Western blotting (Fig. 4A). Upon APAP treatment, the injury between Ad-Ctrl–infected and Ad-Hnf4α–infected KO mice was not different because neither the gross appearance and necrotic area (Fig. 4B) nor the serum levels of ALT and AST (Fig. 4C) differed in these two groups of KO mice. The dependence of Sult2B1b in the sensitizing effect of Hnf4α was also confirmed in primary hepatocytes isolated from the KO mice. The adenoviral overexpression of Hnf4α in KO primary hepatocytes was confirmed by real-time PCR (Fig. 4D). The KO primary hepatocytes infected with Ad-Ctrl or Ad-Hnf4α showed comparable release of ALT (Fig. 4E) and cell viability as shown by the MTT assay (Fig. 4F). These results suggested that the sensitizing effect of HNF4α on APAP-induced injury is Sult2B1b-dependent both in vivo and in vitro.

**Mechanism by which SULT2B1b Sensitizes Mice to APAP-Induced Liver Injury.** To understand the mechanism by which SULT2B1b sensitizes mice to APAP-induced liver injury, we profiled the expression of genes known to play a role in APAP hepatotoxicity. Among the phase 1 and phase 2 enzymes, only the expression of Ugt1 was dramatically induced in APAP-treated TG mice, whereas the expressions of other APAP metabolizing enzymes showed no significant difference in either the TG or KO mice (Fig. 5A). The expression of a panel of nuclear receptors that are known to play a role in APAP hepatotoxicity, including constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) (Zhang et al., 2002), farnesoid X receptor (Lee et al., 2010), LXRα (Saini et al., 2011), pregnane X receptor (PXR) (Guo et al., 2004), and retinoid X receptor (Dai et al., 2005), was not affected by the SULT2B1b TG or KO either (Fig. 5B). We have previously reported that activation of LXRα attenuated APAP-induced liver injury (Saini et al., 2011). We found the expressions of LXRα, as well as its target genes, were not affected in APAP-treated TG or KO mice (Fig. 5C). The total hepatic GSH content in APAP-treated TG mice was remarkably decreased, whereas the ratio of GSSG/GSH was significantly increased in TG mice (Fig. 5D, top), consistent with the increased sensitivity to APAP-induced liver injury in this genotype; however, the total GSH level and the GSSG/GSH ratio between WT and KO mice were not different (Fig. 5D, bottom). Analysis of the APAP metabolites showed that the serum concentrations of APAP-sulfate and APAP-glucuronide were increased in TG mice 24 hours after the APAP treatment (Fig. 5E), consistent with the expression of the SULT transgene and induction of Ugt1 in this genotype. Interestingly, at 1 hour after APAP treatment, the transgene had little effect on the hepatic concentrations of APAP-sulfate and APAP-glucuronide.
of parent APAP, APAP-sulfate, APAP-glucuronide, APAP-GSH, or APAP-Cys (Fig. 5F).

Discussion

Overdose of APAP could eventually lead to ALF. Although the mechanisms of APAP-induced liver injury have been extensively studied, factors that can affect the progression of APAP-induced liver disease or improve the liver recovery are less investigated. Identification of the related pathogenic factors will provide novel therapeutic approaches for the treatment of APAP overdose.

SULT2B1b is a hydroxysteroid sulfotransferase that plays important roles in diverse cell types and tissues, such as suppressing lipogenesis (Ren and Ning, 2014) and gluconeogenesis (Shi et al., 2014; Bi et al., 2018) and promoting hepatocyte proliferation (Yang et al., 2013), affecting prostate and colorectal cancer cells (Vickman et al., 2016) and suppressing T-cell receptor signaling (Wang et al., 2016). In this study, we found a novel function of SULT2B1b in APAP-induced liver toxicity. Specifically, hepatic transgenic overexpression of SULT2B1b exacerbated APAP-induced acute liver injury, whereas ablation of the Sult2B1b gene in mice conferred protection to APAP hepatotoxicity. The sensitizing effect of SULT2B1b on APAP-induced liver injury is a surprise considering that sulfation of APAP has been established as an important mechanism for the detoxification of APAP. For example, we have previously reported

Fig. 5. Mechanism by which SULT2B1b sensitizes mice to APAP-induced liver injury. (A and B) Relative mRNA expression of a panel of phase 1 and phase 2 enzymes (A) and a panel of nuclear receptors (B) in the livers of WT, SULT2B1b TG and KO mice treated with APAP. n = 3 per group. (C) Relative mRNA expression of LXRα and its target genes Fas, Sod1, and Strebp-1e in livers from WT, TG, and KO mice treated with APAP. n = 3 per group. (D) Total liver GSH content and GSSG/GSH ratio in WT, TG, and KO mice treated with APAP. n = 3 per group. (E) The contents of APAP-sulfate and APAP-glucuronide in the serum from WT and TG mice 24 hours after APAP treatment. n = 3 per group. (F) The contents of parent APAP, APAP-sulfate, APAP-glucuronide, and APAP-Cys in the liver from WT and TG mice 1 hour after APAP treatment. n = 3 per group. Data are expressed as mean ± S.E.M. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.003 (considered statistically significant) upon Bonferroni correction for (A–C); *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 (considered statistically significant) for (D); *P < 0.05; **P < 0.025 (considered statistically significant) upon Bonferroni correction for (E); and *P < 0.01 (considered statistically significant) upon Bonferroni correction for (F); n.s., statistically not significant, all compared with WT-APAP within the same gene (A–C) or the same parameter (D–F) as labeled.
the attenuation of APAP toxicity by the activation LXRα (Saini et al., 2011), which was associated with the induction of Sult2a1.

The mechanism by which SULT2B1b sensitizes mice to APAP toxicity remains to be clearly defined. APAP may not be a substrate of SULT2B1b in vitro, according to a published report (Yamamoto et al., 2015); however, we cannot exclude the possibility that APAP at the pharmacologic concentrations we used is a substrate of SULT2B1b in vivo, a notion supported by the increased serum concentration of APAP-sulfate in TG mice 24 hours after the APAP treatment (Fig. 5E). Knowing that SULT2B1b suppresses LXR activity by sulfonating and deactivating the endogenous LXR agonists (Bensinger et al., 2008; Villallanca et al., 2010), and activation of LXR accelerates APAP clearance and attenuates APAP toxicity (Saini et al., 2011), we initially hypothesized that the sensitizing effect of SULT2B1b might be due to the inhibition of LXR; however, we found that the expression of LXRα and its primary target genes was not affected by the transgene (Fig. 5C). SULT2B1b transgene or ablation also had little effect on the expression of a panel of nuclear receptors and P450 enzymes that are known to impact APAP metabolism and toxicity, except that Ugt1 was upregulated in APAP-treated TG mice for a yet-to-be-defined reason (Fig. 5). The decreased GSH level in APAP-treated TG mice (Fig. 5D) suggested that overexpression of SULT2B1b may have compromised the replenishment of GSH via a yet-to-be-characterized mechanism. The hepatic concentrations of parent APAP, APAP-sulfate, APAP-glucuronide, and APAP-Cys 1 hour after APAP treatment showed no difference between the WT and TG mice. The serum concentrations of two nontoxic metabolites (APAP-sulfate and APAP-glucuronide) were elevated 24 hours after APAP in the TG mice, but they were not affected in the KO mice. These results indicate that the effect of the SULT2B1b transgene on APAP metabolism might be time-dependent. A future detailed pharmacokinetic analysis is necessary to conclude the effect of SULT2B1b on APAP metabolism.

The sensitizing effect of HNF4α is also intriguing. On one hand, the sensitization was predictable based on the positive regulation of Sult2B1b by HNF4α (Bi et al., 2018), and the sensitizing effect of HNF4α is reportedly critically in-
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In summary, we have uncovered a novel function of SULT2B1b and its regulation by HNF4α in APAP-induced acute liver injury. Our results suggest that SULT2B1b induction might be a risk factor for APAP hepatotoxicity. This notion is consistent with the report that nonalcoholic fatty liver disease sensitized rodents to APAP-induced liver injury (Michaut et al., 2014) because nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and its associated metabolic liver disease induce the hepatic expression of SULT2B1b (Shi et al., 2014).