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ABSTRACT

Doxorubicin (DOX) is one of the most effective anticancer drugs to treat various forms of cancers; however, its therapeutic utility is severely limited by its associated cardiotoxicity. Despite the enormous amount of research conducted in this area, the exact molecular mechanisms underlying DOX toxic effects on the heart are still an area that warrants further investigations. In this study, we reviewed literature to gather the best-known molecular pathways related to DOX-induced cardiotoxicity (DIC). They include mechanisms dependent on mitochondrial dysfunction such as DOX influence on the mitochondrial electron transport chain, redox cycling, oxidative stress, calcium dysregulation, and apoptosis pathways. Furthermore, we discuss the existing strategies to prevent and/or alleviate DIC along with various techniques available for therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) in cancer patients treated with DOX. Finally, we propose a stepwise flowchart for TDM of DOX and present our perspective at curtailing this deleterious side effect of DOX.

Introduction

Anthracyclines are widely recognized as a class of effective chemotherapeutic agents to treat different types of cancer since their discovery in the 1960s (Kayser et al., 1999; Matsuoka et al., 2000; Shah, 2009; Octavia et al., 2012; Pendlebury et al., 2017; Qiu et al., 2017; Wei et al., 2017). Doxorubicin (DOX), a product of Streptomyces peucetius var. caesius, is a prototype agent of anthracycline antibiotics (Blum and Carter, 1974). It is proven efficacious against a wide range of human malignant neoplasms, including a variety of solid tumors, breast cancer, Hodgkin’s disease, Kaposi’s sarcoma, acute lymphoblastic leukemia, pediatric leukemia, lung cancer, lymphomas, and several metastatic cancers (Vejpongsa and Yeh, 2014). Despite its widespread use, DOX therapy demonstrated dose-limiting effects owing to its acute and chronic cardiac toxicity (Gao et al., 2016). Based on small retrospective studies in childhood cancer survivors previously treated with anthracyclines, the cardiotoxicity of anthracyclines can be classified in three categories based on the time of onset. First is the acute cardiotoxicity, which occurs after a single course of chemotherapy, and where clinical manifestations appear within 2 weeks from the end of treatment. Second is the early-onset chronic cardiotoxicity, which develops within 1 year post-treatment cessation, and usually manifests itself as a dilated and hypokinetic cardiomyopathy leading to heart failure (HF). Third is the late-onset chronic cardiotoxicity, which develops years or even decades after the end of chemotherapy (Cardinale et al., 2015). However, it is worth mentioning that this classification is inappropriate for adult populations because no prospective study has reported regular monitoring of cardiac function in adult patients for more than 3 years. Alternately, based on the stage of disease progression and the clinical manifestations, HF symptoms that are diagnosed several years after anthracycline therapy can be defined as late. The left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (LVEF) reduction that occurs within months post-treatment.
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with anthracyclines can be defined as early, whereas myocardial damage using a biomarker like troponin can be identified during or soon after therapy, and is termed as acute (Cardinale et al., 2015).

A cumulative treatment dose of >350 mg/m² free DOX shows a dose-dependent decrease in the LVEF, and, at a cumulative dose of 550 mg/m², a sharp increase in the prevalence of HF is reported (O’Brien et al., 2004; Volkova and Russell, 2011). It is reported that the percentage of patients with DOX-related congestive HF (CHF) increases with an increase in the patients’ cumulative dose of DOX therapy. For example, 5% of patients manifest CHF at a cumulative dose of 400 mg/m², which increases to 16% at a dose of 500 mg/m², 26% at a dose of 550 mg/m², and about 48% at doses above 700 mg/m². The toxicity caused by DOX further increases while administered in combination with other targeted agents such as trastuzumab, an anti-epidermal growth factor receptor 2 monoclonal antibody, hence remaining a major contributor to chemotherapy-induced heart diseases (Conte et al., 2001; Rochette et al., 2015; Mitry and Edwards, 2016). Although DOX-induced acute cardiotoxicity emerges during or immediately after treatment and typically involves reversible hypotension, pericarditis and transient electrocardiographic abnormalities such as nonspecific changes in the ST-T waves on an ECG, QT prolongation, and vasodilatation (Licata et al., 2000), its chronic cardiotoxicity develops after completion of cumulative dose regimens and results in irreversible cardiomyopathy, steadily progressing toward CHF (Licata et al., 2000; Takemura and Fujiwara, 2007).

The precise molecular mechanisms by which DOX induces cardiac dysfunction are still not completely elucidated. Due to the existence of various and interconnected intracellular signaling pathways triggered by DOX, in this review, we limit our discussion to highlight the essential molecular mechanisms of DOX-induced cardiotoxicity (DIC) involving potential pharmacological targets for therapeutic interventions. Our review also focuses on presenting various preventive strategies to lower, and ideally, to overcome cardiotoxicity, and narrate the procedure of therapeutic drug monitoring presently followed in clinical settings.

**Molecular Mechanisms of DIC**

DOX acts by multiple mechanisms of action. Currently it is unclear which of these mechanisms are the most responsible for its associated cardiac toxicity. Many articles support the view that the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) upon DOX treatment and its consequential lipid peroxidation, calcium dysregulation, and intervention in energy transfer could cause HF (Tokarska-Schlattner et al., 2006; Takemura and Fujiwara, 2007; Renu et al., 2018). However, the underlying biochemical mechanisms of its toxicity are still not fully elucidated. In the following section, we present the most probable intracellular/signaling mechanisms behind cardiotoxicity, as summarized in Fig. 1.

**Generation of ROS.** One of the major mechanisms of DIC is strongly linked to mitochondrial dysfunction, leading to an increased generation of intracellular ROS and oxidative stress, which causes protein damage, membrane lipid peroxidation, DNA breakage, and the release of pro-apoptotic factors. DOX induces ROS generation by impairing mitochondrial function, leading to the release of cytochrome C and other pro-apoptotic factors into the cytoplasm. This process results in the activation of the mitochondrial pathway of apoptosis, characterized by the release of pro-apoptotic factors, such as cytochrome C, and the activation of caspases.
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stress. Mitochondria are the most injured intracellular organelles upon cell exposure to DOX. One of the contributing factors for the accumulation of DOX in the inner mitochondrial membrane is its high-affinity binding to cardiolipin (Goormaghtigh et al., 1986, 1990). Cardiolipin is a phospholipid present in the inner leaflet of the mitochondrial membrane and is known for maintaining mitochondrial structure, function, cardiac energy metabolism, and cell survival (Schlame et al., 2000). Mitochondrial toxicity arising from the cardiolipin-bound DOX is majorly mediated through oxidative stress (Minotti et al., 2004). Electrostatic binding between cardiolipin and DOX leads to disruption of the activity of complexes I, III, and IV in the electron transport chain (ETC), all of which are known to require cardiolipin to maintain maximal activity. In addition, it has been shown that complex I can catalyze reduction of DOX to a semiquinone radical species. These species can be reoxidized by transfer of an electron to molecular oxygen (O₂), leading to the formation of superoxide anion. This transfer of electrons through DOX can result in the formation of an even stronger bond with cardiolipin, leading to further disruption of the ETC (Goormaghtigh et al., 1983; Marcillat et al., 1989). Owing to its chemical structure that consists of a tetracyline moiety containing a quinone, DOX can also be easily reduced into semiquinone by endothelial nitric oxide synthase (Delemasure et al., 2006). Different mitochondrial and membrane-bound enzymes can catalyze the conversion of quinone to the semiquinone state, as described earlier. Few flavoprotein oxidoreductases that catalyze the redox quinone cycle of DOX include NADH dehydrogenase (complex I of the ETC), NADPH/acytochrome P450, localized at the endoplasmic reticulum, and xanthine oxidase (Delemasure et al., 2006; Takemura and Fujiwara, 2007). The semiquinone can easily auto-oxidize by transferring an electron to molecular oxygen (O₂), converting back to the parent compound, which is available for a new redox cycle, during which superoxide radicals are produced (Delemasure et al., 2006). Of the superoxide radicals, hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) can be formed by manganese superoxide dismutase, which can be further converted into more reactive hydroxyl radicals in the presence of iron or copper (Delemasure et al., 2006).

DOX accumulation in mitochondria leads to enhanced production of ROS and reactive nitrogen species as well (Weinstein et al., 2000; Priya et al., 2017). These reactive species in turn cause peroxidation of lipids and oxidative damage to DNA and proteins, resulting in mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) damage, loss of ATP levels, peroxidation of cardiolipin, and mitochondrial permeability transition (Mizutani et al., 2005; Tokarska-Schlattner et al., 2006). A close interaction between ROS, mtDNA damage, and the ETC can result in the formation of a vicious loop in two ways: enhanced ROS levels can directly inactive the ETC and result in further ROS formation (de Oliveira and Niederer, 2016). Alternatively, mtDNA damage caused by increased ROS levels can inhibit ETC proteins aggravating mitochondrial dysfunction and ROS formation (de Oliveira and Niederer, 2016). Altogether, this cycle of events results in the release of cytochrome c as well as the release of additional apoptogenic factors from mitochondria, hence initiating the apoptotic pathway. Because mitochondria are abundantly present in the energy-demanding cardiac tissue—20%–40% of its cellular volume—the production of free radicals through oxidative metabolism in cardiomyocytes upon exposure to DOX is likely high, hence making the heart a highly susceptible tissue to DOX-mediated oxidative damage.

### Apoptotic Pathway

DOX activates apoptosis by both intrinsic and extrinsic pathways (Nakamura et al., 2000; Priya et al., 2017; Zhao and Zhang, 2017). Mizutani et al. (2005) showed that human promyelocytic leukemia (HL-60) cells, treated with DOX, exhibited an activation of caspase-3 protein, resulting in cell death. In their report, they identified a H₂O₂-dependent mechanism at mediating apoptosis through poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase, NADPH oxidase activation, and increased mitochondrial membrane permeability. Mitochondrial-dependent intrinsic pathway plays a key role in DIC. This pathway is activated by upregulation of proapoptotic proteins such as Bax, which promotes the release of cytochrome c from mitochondria, leading to activation of caspase-9 that further causes the activation of effector caspase-3 (Liu et al., 2008b). An elegant study performed in rat cardiomyoblasts (H9c2) demonstrated the sequential events of molecular mechanisms, highlighting the importance of NADPH oxidase/ROS-mediated nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB)–signaling cascade at triggering DOX-mediated apoptosis. The extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1 and 2 (ERK1/2) and mitogen-activated kinases are also involved in the above signaling cascade modulated by NF-κB/Ros system, resulting in a NF-κB activation and ultimately cell death. Another finding also reported the involvement of ERK1/2/p35 pathway and the activation of the NF-κB-dependent p53 upregulated modulator of apoptosis in DOX-induced cardiomyocyte apoptosis (Zhang et al., 2016). Similarly, the roles of ERKs and p35 at mediating DOX-induced apoptosis in H9c2 cells and cardiomyocytes are demonstrated in a study by Liu et al. (2008a).

Additionally, DOX is also shown to mediate cardiomyocyte apoptosis through extrinsic pathway mediators such as death receptors (DRs). Zhao and Zhang (2017) demonstrated the upregulation of DRs such as tumor necrosis factor–related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL), Fas, DR4, and DR5 in DOX-treated human-induced pluripotent stem cell–derived cardiomyocytes. These upregulated DRs bind to their cognate ligands and trigger the caspase cascade, ultimately leading to apoptosis. A TRAIL further augmented DIC, suggesting that serum levels of TRAIL could be used as a predictive biomarker to identify populations at higher risk for DIC (Zhao and Zhang, 2017). However, despite the involvement of caspase activation in mediating apoptosis, caspase inhibitors do not completely prevent cell death. This finding led to the identification of a caspase-independent pathway involving the role of mitochondrial apoptosis-inducing factor (AIF) (Moreira et al., 2014). In this finding, DOX-mediated ROS generation increased cathepsin B activity, which mediated AIF release from mitochondria following its interaction with Bax clusters. AIF caused large-scale DNA damage, an enhanced expression of p53, and the activation of poly(ADP-ribosyl) polymerase 1, resulting in caspase-independent apoptosis.

In vivo, a study in DOX-treated male Wistar rats indicated that acute DIC involved cardiomyocyte apoptosis as assessed by the terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated digoxigenin–deoxyuridine nick-end labeling (TUNEL) assay in postmortem analyses of rat hearts (Arola et al., 2000). However, cumulative doses of DOX did not lead to an additive effect in the percentage of TUNEL-positive cardiomyocytes. Moreover, the percentage of apoptotic cells gradually declined to baseline levels, after 24–48 hours post-dosing with a single injection and at follow-up after cumulative dosing, suggesting cardiomyocyte apoptosis to be an
acute cardiotoxic effect. In a clinical study, myocardial biopsies of childhood cancer patients demonstrated that DOX treatment may impair myocardial growth, as observed by the disproportionately small increase in left ventricular wall thickness in relation to somatic growth in patients. This was attributed to DOX-mediated loss or damage of a critical number of cardiac myocytes, resulting in numbers that were far lower than those required for the formation of normal adult myocardial mass (Lipschultz et al., 1991). Similar results were also observed in children with DOX-induced CHF (Goorin et al., 1990). In another clinical study, case reports of two adult patients demonstrated a striking decrease in the number of cardiac myocytes and a degeneration of the remaining myocardial cells in postmortem pathoclinical analyses. Both patients had received a cumulative dose of >700 mg/m², which was highly correlated with development of CHF (Lefrak et al., 1973). Taken together, these findings suggest cardiomyocyte apoptosis to be an important mechanism of cardiac toxicity, especially in children, leading to inadequate ventricular mass development and important cardiac complications in later years. In addition, preclinical and clinical evidence are indicative of cardiomyocyte apoptosis as both an acute and chronic side effect of DOX therapy.

**Calcium Dysfunction.** Calcium dysregulation is another well-known and established mechanism contributing to DOX cardiotoxicity (Wallace, 2007). Doxorubicinol, the hydroxyl metabolite of DOX, is known to affect calcium homeostasis by modulation of the sarco/endoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺-ATPase (SERCA) present on sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) and the sodium/potassium exchanger on sarcolemma (Nicolay et al., 1986; Mitry and Edwards, 2016). Decreased gene expression levels of SR proteins responsible for calcium transport are found to be the underlying cause of altered calcium homeostasis, as observed in a rabbit model of cardiomyopathy following treatment with DOX (Arai et al., 1998). In addition, a comprehensive study examining the role of calcium imbalance at inducing apoptosis identified the role of calcineurin, a calcium-dependent phosphatase, at triggering apoptosis mediated through Fas (Kalivendi et al., 2005). The major findings from this study showed that mitochondrial ROS generated from the exposure of rat cardiac cells to DOX led to an increase in cytosolic calcium levels. The latter increase led to a calcineurin-dependent activation of the nuclear factor of activated T-lymphocytes, which further enhanced the Fas-mediated cardiac cell death. Furthermore, experimental examinations of the calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase revealed its role in disturbing calcium balance through promoting SR calcium leakage (Little et al., 2009; Sag et al., 2011). In mice chronically treated with DOX, heart dysfunction occurred at 15 weeks, which may be due to a depressed [Ca²⁺]i transient (Llach et al., 2019). In another study, mechanical unloading helped increase functional sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺-ATPase and improved [Ca²⁺]i handling and contractility in rats with DOX-induced cardiomyopathy (Takaseya et al., 2004). These findings implicate that alteration in Ca²⁺ handling in cardiac myocytes precedes clinical signs of heart dysfunction. A comparative short-term versus long-term exposure to DOX in rats helped delineate the sequence of calcium dysfunction when compared with other mechanisms. Findings from this study concluded that mtDNA depletion and its associated ETC impairment preceded calcium level disruption (Lebrecht et al., 2010). Nevertheless, targeting calcium dysfunction still remains a viable approach to treat DOX-mediated cardiotoxicity (Agustini et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2016).

**Endothelin-I.** Endothelin-1 (ET-1) is a potent vasoconstrictor peptide that stimulates a variety of cell types, including cardiomyocytes. ET-1 biologic effects include vasoconstriction, inflammation, cell division and proliferation, stimulation of free radical formation, and platelet activation (Böhnm and Pernow, 2007). ET-1 has been implicated as an important factor in the development of vascular dysfunction, cardiovascular disease, and DIC, specifically at triggering a LV dysfunction (Bien et al., 2007). Its plasma concentrations increase upon DOX treatment in patients and in animal models of cardiomyopathy in both acute and chronic studies (Picard et al., 1998; Sayed-Ahmed et al., 2001; Suzuki and Miyauchi, 2001). A study by Schwebe et al. (2015) conducted on a murine DOX cardiotoxicity model also showed that the subunits ETₐ and ET₂ of ET-1 receptors equally contribute to DIC and further presented a signal transduction pathways modulated by ET-1 antagonists. However, in primary neonatal rat cardiomyocytes, the ET-1 receptor, specifically through its ETₐ subunit, also showed a cytoprotective effect at rescuing DIC at an early phase through the upregulation of manganese superoxide dismutase (Suzuki and Miyauchi, 2001).

**Topoisomerase-II.** Another cellular target of DOX is topoisomerase-II, through which it induces single- and double-strand breaks in DNA (Tewey et al., 1984). Between the two isoforms of topoisomerases that exist, topoisomerase IIβ is abundantly present in the mitochondria of adult cardiomyocytes. It forms a ternary cleavage complex with DOX and DNA, inducing DNA double-strand breaks and cell death (Capranico et al., 1992; Lyu et al., 2007). Furthermore, topoisomerase IIβ-specific role at mediating DIC has been demonstrated using the cardiomyocyte-specific topoisomerase IIβ knockout mice (Zhang et al., 2012). Topoisomerase IIβ role at mediating DIC is further confirmed by the protective effects of dexrazoxane, the only Food and Drug Administration (FDA)—approved drug to prevent DOX-induced HF (van Dalen et al., 2005; Deng et al., 2014). Findings from Deng et al. (2014) implied that dexrazoxane prevented double-strand breaks via topoisomerase IIβ degradation rather than by iron chelation. This is the first in vivo report that presented transient depletion of topoisomerase IIβ by dexrazoxane, confirming previous in vitro reports (Lyu et al., 2007; Yan et al., 2009).

With all of the above molecular mechanisms leading to DIC, its clinical use is limited. Although several mechanisms of DIC were identified, the relative contribution of each of those mechanisms is not yet completely understood. In an attempt to identify the pathways causing acute and chronic DIC, a systems pharmacological approach was used by de Oliveira and Niederer (2016). According to the simulations conducted using their systems-based model, ETC inhibition is considered to play a key role at mediating acute cardiotoxicity. This interpretation from a mathematical modeling perspective can also be corroborated by an earlier independent research finding from DOX-treated mice through pathway and biochemical analyses (Pointon et al., 2010), whereas direct mtDNA damage triggered by DOX is responsible for chronic cardiotoxicity at therapeutic doses, leading to irreversible mitochondrial dysfunction.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Mechanism of Action</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Altering the dosing regimen of DOX</strong></td>
<td>Lower peak plasma concentrations and hence lower concentrations in cardiac tissue</td>
<td>Easiest way to intervene</td>
<td>Patient compliance may be an issue with slow continuous infusion regimens and/or increased dosing frequency</td>
<td>Mouse (Pacciarini et al., 1978), rat (Yeung et al., 2002; Kamendi et al., 2015), human (Weiss and Manthel, 1977; Chlebowski et al., 1980; Legha et al., 1982a; Torti et al., 1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liposomal formulations of DOX</strong></td>
<td>Reduced circulating concentrations of free DOX in the bloodstream due to liposomal encapsulation</td>
<td>Lower concentrations of free DOX in cardiac tissue, resulting in reduced intensity of cardiac events as compared with conventional DOX treatment</td>
<td>Skin-related toxicities are commonly observed, such as hand–foot syndrome (palmar–plantar erythrodysesthesia), rashes, melanotic macules, etc., due to the unique pharmacokinetics and tissue distribution properties of PEGylated liposomal DOX</td>
<td>Mouse (Forssen and Tökès, 1981; Kanter et al., 1993b), beagle dog (Herman et al., 1983; Kanter et al., 1985a; Working et al., 1999), rabbit (Working et al., 1999), human (Berry et al., 1998; Lotem et al., 2000; Lyass et al., 2000; Safra et al., 2000; O’Brien et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Use of Cardio-Protective Agents**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Mechanism of Action</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dexrazoxane</td>
<td>Iron chelator that prevents ROS generation</td>
<td>Has demonstrated long-term cardioprotective effects</td>
<td>Severe myelosuppression due to additive effects with chemotherapeutics</td>
<td>H9c2 rat cardiomyocytes (Lyu et al., 2007; Deng et al., 2014), mouse (Deng et al., 2014), rat (Herman et al., 2000; Lebrecht et al., 2007), rabbit (Popelová et al., 2009), human (BuLock et al., 1993; Wexler et al., 1996; Lipshultz et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythropoietin</td>
<td>Anti-atrophic effect on cardiac myocytes and an antifibrotic effect on the myocardium</td>
<td>Attenuates LV dysfunction and enhances LV contractility and cardiac recovery</td>
<td>Lack of evidence of long-term cardioprotective effects in humans</td>
<td>Perfused isolated rat heart (Ammar et al., 2011), rat (Ammar et al., 2011), neonatal mouse ventricular cardiomyocytes (Kim et al., 2008), mouse (Li et al., 2006b; Kim et al., 2008), AC16 human cardiomyocyte cell line (Cui et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombopoietin</td>
<td>Inhibitory effects on apoptosis of cardiomyocytes Promotion of cardiomyocyte survival via Akt and ERK pathway activation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H9c2 rat cardiomyocytes (Li et al., 2006a), primary neonatal rat cardiomyocytes (Li et al., 2006a), mouse (Li et al., 2006a), rat (Chan et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamins A, C, and E</td>
<td>Scavengers of free radicals/ROS and reactive nitrogen species due to antioxidant properties</td>
<td>Reduces short-term cardiotoxicity</td>
<td>Long-term cardiotoxicity may not be alleviated due to persistence of mechanisms other than free radical generation in cardiac tissue</td>
<td>Rat (Tesoriere et al., 1994; Puri et al., 2005; Viswanatha Swamy et al., 2011; Akolkar et al., 2017), rabbit (Milei et al., 1986), isolated rat cardiomyocytes (Ludke et al., 2012; Ludke et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melatonin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mouse (Wahab et al., 2000; Liu et al., 2002), rat (Dziegiel et al., 2002; Oz et al., 2006; Othman et al., 2008), human (Lissoni et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-acetylcysteine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mouse (Doroshow et al., 1981), rat (Arica et al., 2013; Farshid et al., 2014), (continued)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy</td>
<td>Mechanism of Action</td>
<td>Benefits</td>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
<td>Model*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amifostine</td>
<td>Anticancer efficacy may be compromised due to antagonistic effects with DOX</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perfusion isolated rat heart (Nazeeyrolas et al., 1999), infant rat (Jahnukainen et al., 2001), rat (Herman et al., 2000; Dragojevic-Simic et al., 2004), rabbit (Potemski et al., 2006), human (Gallegos-Castorena et al., 2007)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangiferin</td>
<td>Prevention of OH· radical formation and lipid peroxidation by iron chelation</td>
<td>Regulates intracellular calcium homeostasis</td>
<td>Lack of reports on the effect on anticancer efficacy, especially in human studies</td>
<td>Rat (Arzal et al., 2015; Agustini et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probucol</td>
<td>Enhancement of endogenous antioxidants such as glutathione peroxidase and superoxide dismutase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvedilol</td>
<td>Inhibition of free radical generation due to antioxidant properties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rat (Siveski-Iliaskov et al., 1994, 1995; Kumar et al., 2001), mouse (Walker et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Refers to experimental models that were used to demonstrate benefits or disadvantages of the respective strategies. The list of references in parentheses is not exhaustive, but representative of the studies conducted.

The list of cardio-protective agents is not exhaustive. Some commonly studied/reported agents are listed in this table.

The primary strategies to prevent DIC are as follows: 1) altering anthracycline dosing regimen, 2) liposomal formulation of DOX, 3) altering EPO dosing regimen, 4) maintaining safety by encapsulation of free DOX, 5) dosing regimen, 6) encapsulation and 2) simultaneous use of a cardio-protective agent, such as dexrazoxane along with DOX treatment. Other cardio-protective agents such as carboxy-derivatized antioxidants, polymeric methods, and glucuronic acid conjugates are being explored as alternatives.
potential to substitute liposomal DOX in place of conventional DOX as a safer option to treat cancers (Franco et al., 2018).

**Antioxidants and Iron Chelators.** Because enhanced oxidative stress is one of the major mechanisms of DIC, concomitant use of antioxidants can help in combating oxidative stress and its associated toxicity. Vitamin C was shown to be one such effective antioxidant in mitigating DOX-induced oxidative/nitrosative stress and apoptosis in cardiomyocytes and in rats (Akolkar et al., 2017). Resveratrol, a polyphenolic compound, was also found to have both prophylactic and therapeutic benefits in mitigating DOX-induced apoptosis and fibrosis in myocardium in DOX-treated rats (Shoukry et al., 2017). Bicafarin, a bioflavonoid treatment, alleviated DIC by inhibition of myocardial oxidative stress and apoptosis in mice (Sahu et al., 2016). Mangiferin, a naturally occurring C-glucosylxanthone, is also found to exhibit protective effects in circumventing DIC in rats through its antioxidant capacity (Arozal et al., 2015). It exhibited greater cardio-protection compared with the common antioxidants such as vitamin E and silymarin, which can be attributed to its effect on other mechanisms like calcium regulation (Arozal et al., 2015; Agustini et al., 2016). Amifostine is another cardio-protective agent that is shown to alleviate DIC in perfused isolated rat hearts and in several preclinical animal models (Nazeyrollas et al., 1999; Dragojevic-Simic et al., 2004; Potemski et al., 2006). In vivo, amifostine gets dephosphorylated into WR-1065, an aminothiol, due to alkaline phosphatases present in the cellular membrane of small vessels. This aminothiol moiety is then thought to play an antioxidant role in normal tissue, rendering amifostine as a cytoprotectant (Smoluk et al., 1988). Despite its cardio-protective role demonstrated in preclinical studies, no conclusive results have been reported with studies in humans (Gallegos-Castroena et al., 2007). Other agents that have shown cardio-protection in response to DOX treatment include α-linolenic acid, melatonin, N-acetylcysteine, and sesame oil majorly through the activation of antioxidant pathways (Arica et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2013; Govender et al., 2014; Sales et al., 2014).

In mice studies, probucol, an antihyperlipidemic drug, prevented DOX- and trastuzumab-mediated cardiotoxicity through its antioxidant effects (Walker et al., 2011). Carvedilol, a β-blocker, was shown to prevent DIC when tested in two independent randomized clinical trials conducted in female patients diagnosed with breast cancer, suggesting further investigation for validation of this agent as a suitable prophylactic agent (Tashakori Beheshti et al., 2016; Nabati et al., 2017). However, the exact mechanism of carvedilol cardioprotective effects is not fully known, where its potent antioxidant activity might be the major attribute. Other possible mechanisms include the restoration of SERCA2 promoter activity in myocytes and the blockade of downregulation of SERCA2 gene expression independent of its β-blocking activity. In addition, carvedilol antiapoptotic activity could be another contributing factor to its protection from DIC. Previous studies have shown the positive impact of carvedilol on cardiac mitochondria in vitro, ex vivo, and in vivo models. Particularly, carvedilol is suggested to act as an inhibitor of mitochondrial complex-I, which is known as a cause of DIC (Oliveira et al., 2005), and was demonstrated to be superior than propranolol against DIC, metoprolol for preventing from hydroxyl radical-induced cardiac contractility, and atenolol for preventing from DOX-induced cardiomyocyte apoptosis (Kalay et al., 2006). Considering the above findings, the cardio-protective effects of carvedilol appear to be related to its antioxidant and antiapoptotic properties rather than its β-blocking activity.

As discussed earlier, dexrazoxane is the only FDA-approved cardio-protective agent for prevent DIC. Although it was initially thought to act through chelating to intracellular iron, later it was discovered to exhibit its cardio-protective effect via its interaction with the topoisomerase II enzyme, thus preventing its binding to DOX (Lyu et al., 2007). A clinical trial conducted in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia revealed the potential use of dexrazoxane at reducing the cardiac injury caused by DOX, as evident from its role on reducing the serum concentrations of troponin T (Lipshultz et al., 2004). Despite its proven efficacy in several clinical trials, the American Society of Clinical Oncology still recommends its use to only metastatic breast cancer patients receiving already a cumulative dose of DOX of at least 300 mg/m² and who still need further treatment with DOX (Wexler et al., 1996; Swain et al., 1997; Lopez et al., 1998; Hensley et al., 2009; Lipshultz et al., 2010). It is also noteworthy to mention that for any other protective agent such as carvedilol to be approved by the FDA for the indication of cardio-protection from DIC, these agents should exhibit an equal or greater potency than dexrazoxane in a comparative clinical trial (Steiner and Hellmann, 2013).

**Hematopoietic Cytokines.** EPO plays a key role in the hematopoiesis and is commonly used for treating anemia (Perreault and Venters, 2018). EPO receptors are found to be expressed in several tissues, including the heart, brain, and skeletal muscle (Li et al., 2006b). EPO is proven efficacious in vitro as well as in vivo in mice by inhibiting apoptosis of cardiomyocytes and heart atrophy along with attenuation of left ventricular dysfunction in mice (Li et al., 2006b). This cardio-protective effect of EPO was investigated in male Wistar rats and was shown to be associated with a decreased oxidative stress and apoptosis of cardiomyocytes (Ammar et al., 2011). A recent communication by Cui et al. (2017) linked the silent mating type information regulation 2 homolog 1 to EPO-mediated cardio-protection against DIC-mediated through mitochondria dysfunction and toxicity. Similarly, TPO showed cardio-protective effects while examined on H9c2 cells, neonatal rat primary myocytes, and mouse models (Li et al., 2006a). Further investigations in rat models of acute and chronic DOX treatment demonstrated that TPO rescued heart function in both models, suggesting its effects to be mediated through modulating protein kinase B (AKT8 virus oncogene cellular homolog) and ERK pathways (Chan et al., 2011).

**Treatment of CHF.** CHF is often irreversible, but also treatable. This can be done in two ways: 1) relieve pressure of the heart, and 2) minimize causing factors of CHF in general. Usually, high blood pressure is reduced by using adrenocortical extract (ACE) inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor antagonists. β-Blockers can also protect the heart from negative effects of the body’s own stress hormones. Hence, the heart beats steadier and needs less oxygen. Another option for the treatment of CHF would be using an antimineralocorticoid like spironolactone, which reduces fluid retention, and thereby the high blood pressure, thus relieving the heart.
Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of DIC

A potential strategy that can be used for early detection and mitigation of DIC involves the therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) of patients receiving DOX. Although traditionally TDM focused on measuring the drug concentrations in plasma to assess the pharmacokinetics of the drug for designing optimal dosing regimens, in later years it helped to determine the incidence of toxicity and adverse drug reactions (Kang and Lee, 2009). TDM often demands the utility of a combined strategy integrating pharmaceutical, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamic analyses. Pharmacodynamic measures such as identifying cardiac biomarkers can aid at monitoring DIC (Pongprot et al., 2012). Table 2 gives an overview of different techniques that have been studied for the last 20 years and are being used presently. The aim of this review section is to take a closer look at these techniques to summarize the examined methods that are suitable for TDM of DOX with DIC as a dose-limiting toxicity.

As summarized in Table 2, various analytical techniques are available for TDM during DOX therapy, with varying degrees of sensitivity. Techniques such as measurements of DOX and doxorubicinol (DOXL) blood concentrations for TDM are lacking (Arnold et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2008c; Ibsen et al., 2013; Sottani et al., 2013). One of the primary needs for such type of methods is to capture the bio-distribution profile of nano-formulated DOX (e.g., liposomal DOX). DOX delivery via nano-formulations is one of the preventative techniques to successfully reduce this metabolism-dependent cardiotoxicity. Besides diminishing the cardiovascular side effect of DOX through the slow release of free drug from the nanocarrier, nano-formulations are meant to improve free drug availability, delivery, and accumulation at the tumor site (Mazzucchelli et al., 2017). Other metabolites of DOX such as DOX deoxy-aglycone, DOX hydroxyaglycone, and doxorubicinol hydroxyaglycone are also suspected of increasing cardiac dysfunction by concentrations of both analytes in mouse tissues are lacking (Arnold et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2008c; Ibsen et al., 2013; Sottani et al., 2013). One of the primary needs for such type of methods is to capture the bio-distribution profile of nano-formulated DOX (e.g., liposomal DOX). DOX delivery via nano-formulations is one of the preventative techniques to successfully reduce this metabolism-dependent cardiotoxicity. Besides diminishing the cardiovascular side effect of DOX through the slow release of free drug from the nanocarrier, nano-formulations are meant to improve free drug availability, delivery, and accumulation at the tumor site (Mazzucchelli et al., 2017). Other metabolites of DOX such as DOX deoxy-aglycone, DOX hydroxyaglycone, and doxorubicinol hydroxyaglycone are also suspected of increasing cardiac dysfunction by

Measurement of DOX and DOXL Blood Concentrations

Although DOX is proven cardiotoxic by itself, this serious side effect is amplified by its active metabolite, doxorubicinol (Olson et al., 1988). Despite several analytical high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) methods that are presently available for the quantification of both DOX and doxorubicinol in various biologic matrices such as human and rat plasma as well as mice tumors, methods to quantify the
inducing stress or perturbing iron homeostasis (Licata et al., 2000).

HPLC is one example for an established method to analyze the various metabolites mentioned above. Using HPLC, it is possible to tailor plasma concentrations of DOX and its various metabolites in patients undergoing DOX treatment. Furthermore, metabolites of different anthracyclines (daunorubicin, idarubicin, DOX, epirubicin) have been measured by HPLC coupled with fluorescence detection at 233, 254, and 480 nm. This technique with a lower limit of quantification at 0.4 ng/ml and a signal-to-noise ratio of 3 is suitable for monitoring chemotherapeutic regimens of cancer patients (Fogli et al., 1999). A far easier, faster, and more cost-effective analytical technique is liquid chromatography mass spectrometry–coupled mass spectroscopy (LC-MS/MS), which allows quantification of DOX and doxorubicinol in different mice bio-matrices such as plasma, liver, kidney, or urine with a minimum quantity of samples. The different lower limit of quantification of tested matrices via LC-MS/MS for DOX is summarized in Table 2. In addition to HPLC and LC-MS/MS, ultra-HPLC fluorescence appears to be another simple, fast, and cost-effective technique to simultaneously measure large number of samples (about 15 samples/h) in broad concentration ranges for DOX as well as doxorubicinol. In comparison, LC-MS/MS detection is expensive and requires a long run time (Pérez-Blanco et al., 2014). However, a caveat to this technique is that it only enables quantification of the concentrations of DOX and its metabolites and does not quantify any specific early cardiac markers of DOX-induced heart injury.

**Measurement of DIC Biomarkers**

**LVEF.** Ejection fraction is the percent measurement of blood volume that the LV pumps during each contraction. In normal conditions, the LV ejects between 50% and 70% of its total blood capacity. A decrease in the LVEF of the heart is an early sign of cardiac dysfunction. It is presumed to be reversible; however, during anthracycline therapy it may progressively evolve into irreversible cardiomyopathy with LVEF <40%. Thus, LVEF is an important determinant for TDM of DOX-mediated cardiotoxicity (Jain, 2000). It can be measured by various techniques such as radionuclide angiocardiography, echocardiography, and Doppler echocardiography. LVEF analysis is easy to perform and provides reliable and reproducible measures of the LVEF. The latter has been used to detect and diagnose early cardiac alterations due to a variety of chemotherapeutics, including DOX (Lu, 2005; Panjrath and Jain, 2006). However, changes in LVEF during DOX therapy may not entirely be due to DOX, but to the presence of other confounding noncardiac conditions (Jain, 2000). Therefore, measurement of LVEF should not be used as the only method to monitor cardiac function of patients undergoing DOX treatment, but rather it should be combined with other techniques such as monitoring of plasma concentrations of DOX and its metabolites or measurement of the patient’s angiocardiography at rest (Fig. 1).

**In Vivo Imaging and Scintigraphic Techniques.** Several in vivo imaging and scintigraphic techniques have been reported in the literature for the TDM of chemotherapy-induced HF (de Geus-Oei et al., 2011). These include the following: 1) In-111-antimyosin imaging, 2) 123I-MIBG scintigraphy, and 3) scintigraphic imaging using Tc-99 annexin (Hiroe et al., 1992; Lekakis et al., 1996; Bennink et al., 2004). All three techniques use radioactive-labeled substances to identify different cardiac targets. In-111-antimyosin is a radioactive monoclonal antibody targeting intracellular myosin in the cardiac muscle. It was used as an immunoscintigraphic agent for the imaging of myocardial necrosis, hence, evaluating heart conditions related to diffuse myocardial cell injury (Hiroe et al., 1992). Uptake of In-111-antimyosin by the myocardium occurs only when the integrity of the sarcolemma is lost as a result of irreversible cardiac tissue damage (Hiroe et al., 1992). Although this immunoscintigraphic technique has provided insights into the molecular mechanisms of chemotheraphy-induced cardiotoxicity, presently, it is no longer commercially available.

**125I-MIBG scintigraphy** is reported to be reproducible and sensitive and is able to detect abnormalities of myocardial adrenergic innervation prior to LVEF decreases. A few minutes after intravenous injection of 125I-MIBG, the LV myocardium can be visualized. Its initial cardiac concentration (15 minutes after intravenous injection) depends on the cardiac blood flow and reflects both the extra- and intravesicular accumulation of 125I-MIBG in cardiac neurons. Generally, 4 hours after intravenous injection, this concentration reaches a constant value equivalent to the adrenergic neuron terminal concentration, used to examine specific cardiac neuron injury and loss of norepinephrine uptake function (Lekakis et al., 1996). In a study conducted on patients with various neoplasms and receiving DOX therapy, 125I-MIBG cardiac uptake was decreased in a DOX dose-dependent manner, which demonstrates the cardiac adrenergic neurotoxic effect of DOX (Ono and Takahashi, 1994). Because the latter occurs much sooner than the decline of the ejection fraction, 125I-MIBG scintigraphy remains an attractive option for early detection of drug-related HF.

**99mTc-annexin scintigraphy** has long been used to image apoptotic cardiomyocytes. Although, currently, this technique is no longer used as a diagnostic method for chemotherapy-induced cardiac impairment, its application has contributed to a better understanding of myocardial injury at the molecular and cellular levels. Apoptotic cardiomyocytes have been identified in cancer patients during anthracycline therapy (Lefrak et al., 1973; Unverferth et al., 1983; Goorin et al., 1990; Lipshultz et al., 1991). When cardiomyocytes start undergoing apoptosis, proteases and sphingomyelinases are activated. This leads to the phosphatidylserine molecules flipping to the extracellular layer of the cardiomyocyte membrane. At this stage, imaging of apoptotic cardiomyocytes is feasible using 99mTc-annexin V, which has a high affinity for the exposed phosphatidylserine molecules. These early phases of apoptosis precede the morphologic changes of cardiomyocytes’ outer membrane layer by forming blebs and vesicles. Additionally, intracellular alterations also occur, such as breakdown of the cytoskeleton, cytoskeletal, reduction in the cytoplasm volume, condensation of the nuclear chromatin, and fragmentation of the DNA (Bennink et al., 2004). Several animal models of acute and chronic DOX-induced heart toxicity have been used and showed an elevated uptake by the myocardium of 99mTc-annexin V. Animals with longer exposure to DOX showed higher DOX uptake. These results agreed well with findings on DOX cardiotoxicity examined using histopathology and immunohistochemistry techniques (Panjrath and Jain, 2006; Panjrath et al., 2007) and with other toxicity indices (Bennink...
et al., 2004). An alternative technique is the TUNEL assay, which allows the characterization of late-stage apoptosis by detecting DNA damage (Darzynkiewicz et al., 2008). This technique alone may not be entirely suitable for early TDM of DOX-induced cardiotoxicity given that only late apoptotic cells can be measured. Therefore, a combination of scintigraphic imaging using ⁹⁹ᵐ⁹¹ Te-annexin V and TUNEL assay to detect both early and late apoptotic cells may be a useful option for TDM.

**Specific Clinical Soluble Cardiac Biomarkers.** Measurement of serum cardiac biomarkers such as cardiac troponins T and I, atrial natriuretic peptide, and brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) should be considered for TDM of DOX treatment (Atas et al., 2015). Unlike scintigraphic and imaging techniques that have limited value in early detection of cardiotoxicity driven by chemotherapy, serum concentrations of these cardio-specific proteins released from damaged cardiomyocytes indicate early stages of myocardial injury. Initially, cardiac troponin T (cTnT) was evaluated as a biomarker of DIC in a spontaneously hypertensive rat model (Herman et al., 1998). Results of this study demonstrated the potential for this early cardiac biomarker as a noninvasive evaluation method for clinical use in DOX therapy. Although cTnT was established as a suitable marker in several in vivo models, its application in children receiving DOX therapy is limited due to the lack of acute elevation of cTnT following DOX therapy (Kismet et al., 2004; Koh et al., 2004; Clark et al., 2007).

DOX treatment also results in increase in the BNP levels along with cTnT, as demonstrated in a study in breast cancer patients (Advani et al., 2017). Recent evidence has established the superiority of two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography over conventional electrocardiography, coupled with cTnT levels, effectively leading to early detection of cardiotoxicity, thus improving TDM of DOX therapy (Wang et al., 2017). Secretion of natriuretic peptides such as BNP was associated with impaired LV diastolic function during DOX therapy in adult patients with non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (Nousiainen et al., 2002). Natriuretic peptide concentrations were also significantly elevated in the plasma of pediatric cancer patients with LVEF dysfunction; however, they are best correlated with systolic and not diastolic function in contrast to adult patients (Hayakawa et al., 2001). Despite the correlation between natriuretic peptide concentrations and reduced LVEF, monitoring LVEF remains a diagnostic and prognostic gold standard in DOX-induced HF (Daugaard et al., 2005).

In summary, several techniques have been introduced for TDM of patients treated with DOX and who are at risk of developing DIC. The use of only one of these techniques is not sufficient to reliably detect and/or confirm heart injury as a consequence of DOX therapy. Hence, a combination of these strategies in a step-wise manner as proposed in our flowchart (Fig. 2) is recommended. This approach will aid in the early diagnosis of cardiotoxicity caused by anthracycline-based therapy and will lead to faster clinical intervention and efficient management of this life-threatening adverse event. Finally, pilot studies in long-term survivors of childhood cancer suggest that comprehensive physical activity interventions may also be beneficial in avoiding delayed cardiotoxicity (Scully and Lipshultz, 2007). Furthermore, following these survivors for long-term in a comprehensive clinical program for identifying the late effects has also been demonstrated to be effective (Scully and Lipshultz, 2007).

**Discussion and Conclusions**

For most cancers, treatment with DOX remains an effective therapeutic option. However, the optimal use of DOX is restricted by its undesired cardiotoxicity and the limited possibilities for its prevention. As DOX is known to cause life-threatening cardiotoxicity in patients receiving cumulative doses of approximately 450–500 mg/m², altering the dosing regimens of DOX is perhaps one of the most feasible strategies that can be implemented clinically to lessen or even overcome DIC. Some preclinical and clinical studies have demonstrated that modification of the dosing schedule of DOX by switching from bolus dosing or short-term infusion to continuous prolonged infusion, or by dose fractionation, leads to reduction in cardiotoxicity (Pacciarini et al., 1978; Legha et al., 1982b; Greene et al., 1983; Yeung et al., 2002). At present, there are no specific clinical practice guidelines for the management of DIC. Overall, available cardio-protective measures include a combination of natural antioxidants, β-blockers, ACE inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, diuretics, nitrates, and hydralazine (Gianni et al., 2008), and heart transplantation for end-stage HF. Among those, ACE inhibitors and β-blockers showed best cardio-protective results in patients under DOX treatment (Kalay et al., 2006; Kaya et al., 2013; Cardinale et al., 2015). However, additional clinical studies are necessary to develop alternative pharmacological approaches and clinical practices for the diversified patient population treated with anthracycline-based chemotherapy.

Other cardio-protective measures aim at interfering with molecular and cellular mechanisms altered by DOX, such as the use of dexrazoxane, an iron-chelating agent. Not only is dexrazoxane the only drug with proven cardio-protective effects in cancer patients receiving anthracycline chemotherapy, but it is also the only cardio-protective drug approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in this population (Cvetkovic and Scott, 2005; Jones, 2008). Although dexrazoxane is a valuable option for prevention of DIC, a higher incidence of severe leukopenia (78% vs. 68%; P < 0.01) is observed in patients receiving this drug. Hence, further investigations are required to identify agents that can mitigate the debilitating action of DOX on cardiomyocytes, along with an overall improved safety profile.

One of the key challenges that needs to be considered while selecting a cardio-protective agent for overcoming DIC is the parallel evaluation of the anticancer activity of that agent, such that oncological efficacy is not compromised. The key mechanisms of anticancer efficacy of DOX are as follows: 1) DNA damage caused by intercalation into DNA and disruption of topoisomerase II–mediated DNA repair, and 2) generation of ROS that causes damage to the cell membrane, proteins, and DNA, resulting ultimately in apoptosis (Thorn et al., 2011). Because these mechanisms largely overlap with those of DIC, there is a risk of loss of oncological efficacy, especially when cardio-protective agents acting through these mechanisms are used. For example, in the case of dexrazoxane, a retrospective analysis demonstrated that dosing with dexrazoxane after a cumulative dose of >300 mg/m² of DOX in cancer patients was not only cardio-protective, but also
allowed retention of anticancer efficacy. This was in contrast to the treatment group that received dexrazoxane at the initiation of chemotherapy, wherein significant loss of anticancer efficacy was observed as compared with the group that received chemotherapy alone (Swain et al., 1997). Therefore, a potential strategy could include adjusting the timing of administration of cardioprotective agents such that oncological efficacy loss is minimized, while cardiac-protective function is retained. Additionally, targeting pathways that are predominant in specific to DIC, rather than DOX anticancer efficacy, could be another useful strategy to maintain the safety--efficacy balance with DOX-based therapy. For example, DOX is converted to its primary alcohol metabolite, doxorubicinol, via enzymatic conversion by aldo-keto reductase and carboxyl reductases 1 and 3 (Thornton et al., 2011). This metabolite plays a large role in causing cardiotoxicity via interference with iron and calcium homeostasis in cardiac tissue, and was demonstrated to be more potent than DOX itself (Oliner et al., 1988). In contrast, in the same study, DOX retained superior potency over doxorubicinol in pancreatic adenocarcinoma cell lines in vitro, thus allowing dissociation of the anticancer effects from cardiotoxic effects of DOX. Therefore, inhibition of these metabolizing enzymes may possibly be a useful strategy to provide protection from doxorubicinol-mediated cardiotoxicity (Schapp et al., 2015). However, further in vivo studies and detailed analyses are warranted to apply the above discussed strategies.

To date, TDM of DIC is a feasible option to detect the early signs of HF in patients treated with DOX, thereby preventing severe cardiac adverse events resulting from continued exposure to DOX. The identification and validation of the earliest detectable, sensitive, and reliable biomarkers for DIC is one of the most active fields of research in translational cancer research and cardiovascular medicine (Jones et al., 2011). With the advent of highly sensitive and automated analytical techniques for the measurement of drug and metabolite concentrations in blood, as well as the quantification of serum biomarkers discussed in this review, the combination of TDM along with early clinical symptoms of HF may be effectively and routinely implemented in the clinic to make DOX a safer chemotherapy option.
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