Special Section on New Opportunities in Targeting WNT Signaling – Minireview

Molecular Pharmacology of Class F Receptor Activation

Pawel Koziellewicz, Ainoleena Turku, and Gunnar Schulte

Section of Receptor Biology and Signaling, Department Physiology and Pharmacology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

Received July 29, 2019; accepted September 25, 2019

ABSTRACT

The class Frizzled (FZD) or class F of G protein-coupled receptors consists of 10 FZD paralogues and Smoothed (SMO). FZDs coordinate wingless/Int-1 signaling and SMO mediates Hedgehog signaling. Class F receptor signaling is intrinsically important for embryonic development and its dysregulation leads to diseases, including diverse forms of tumors. With regard to the importance of class F signaling in human disease, these receptors provide an attractive target for therapeutics, exemplified by the use of SMO antagonists for the treatment of basal cell carcinoma. Here, we review recent structural insights in combination with a more detailed functional understanding of class F receptor activation, G protein coupling, conformation-based functional selectivity, and mechanistic details of activating cancer mutations,

which will lay the basis for further development of class F-targeting small molecules for human therapy.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Stimulated by recent insights into the activation mechanisms of class F receptors from structural and functional analysis of Frizzled and Smoothed, we aim to summarize what we know about the molecular details of ligand binding, agonist-driven conformational changes, and class F receptor activation. A better understanding of receptor activation mechanisms will allow us to engage in structure- and mechanism-driven drug discovery with the potential to develop more isoform-selective and potentially pathway-selective drugs for human therapy.

Introduction

Wingless/Int-1 (WNT) and Hedgehog (HH) signaling is essential for proper coordination of embryonal development by regulating cellular fate, orientation, positioning, polarity, proliferation, and differentiation. In addition to their crucial involvement early in life, these pathways maintain importance in the adult in a plethora of processes such as in the maintenance of functional stem cell niches to govern tissue renewal, for example, in epithelial tissues, the hematopoietic system, bone, the nervous system, or liver (Ingham and McMahon, 2001; Chien et al., 2009; Wend et al., 2010; Holland et al., 2013; Ingham, 2018; Steinhart and Angers, 2018). While tightly regulated, WNT signaling and HH signaling are both required during embryogenesis and in the adult, and deregulation of the pathways manifests in devastating diseases including developmental disorders, bone and

cardiovascular diseases, neurologic disorders, fibrosis, and various forms of cancers (Polakis, 2000; Gould and Manji, 2002; Nusse, 2005; Sen, 2005; De Ferrari and Moon, 2006; Chien et al., 2009; Hoepfner et al., 2009; Freese et al., 2010; Königshoff and Eickelberg, 2010; Wend et al., 2010; Clevers and Nusse, 2012; Holland et al., 2013; Foulquier et al., 2018). Thus, targeting these pathways brings along a large—yet mostly untapped—therapeutic potential, which needs to be balanced with an obvious risk for unwanted side effects.

Class F Receptors and Their Ligands

While WNT effects are mediated by 10 mammalian paralogues of Frizzleds (FZDs) 1–10, HH effects are indirectly mediated by Smoothed (SMO), all of which comprise the class F of G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) (Foord et al., 2005; Schulte, 2010). WNT lipoglycoproteins are ligands of several WNT receptors, of which the seven transmembrane (TM)-spanning FZDs are seen as the main component acting in concert with a series of coreceptors such as low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5/6 (LRP5/6), receptor tyrosine kinase-like orphan receptor, receptor tyrosine kinase, reversion-inducing cysteine-rich protein with kazal motifs,

The work in the Schulte Laboratory was financially supported by the Karolinska Institutet, the Swedish Research Council [Grant 2017-04676], Swedish Cancer Society [Grant CAN2017/561], Novo Nordisk Foundation [Grant NNF17OC0026940], Stiftelsen Olle Engkvist Byggmästare [Grant 2016/193], Wenner-Gren Foundations [Grant UPD2018-0064], and Emil and Wera Cornells Stiftelse.
<https://doi.org/10.1124/mol.119.117986>.

ABBREVIATIONS: CRD, cysteine-rich domain; DVL, dishevelled; FZD, Frizzled; GLI, glioma-associated homolog; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; HH, Hedgehog; IL, intracellular loop; LRP5/6, lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5/6; PCP, planar cell polarity; PDB ID, Protein Data Bank identification; SAG, smoothed agonist; SMO, Smoothed; TM, transmembrane; WNT, Wingless/Int-1.

and others (Vanhollebeke et al., 2015; Cho et al., 2017; Driehuis and Clevers, 2017; Vallon et al., 2018). In the case of HH/SMO signaling, the cholesterol transporter Patched binds the HH protein and alters cholesterol levels in the inner leaflet of the cell membrane, and cholesterol/sterol interaction with SMO are thought to regulate SMO activity (Qi et al., 2018; Kong et al., 2019; Kowatsch et al., 2019).

A Short Overview of Molecular Mechanisms of WNT and HH Signaling

The complexity of WNT and HH signaling was recently reviewed elsewhere. Here, we will only present basic concepts of signaling diversity, referring the reader to excellent recent reviews in the field (Nusse and Clevers, 2017; Wu et al., 2017; Grainger and Willert, 2018; Steinhart and Angers, 2018; Kong et al., 2019).

WNT signaling can be divided into dishevelled (DVL)-dependent signaling, including both the β -catenin-dependent WNT/ β -catenin pathway as well as the planar cell polarity (PCP) pathway, and DVL-independent signaling. Even though the underlying mechanisms of pathway selection are not fully understood, it is established that the WNT coreceptors LRP5/6 are essential to drive WNT signals—orchestrated by FZDs—toward the transcriptional regulator β -catenin (MacDonald et al., 2007; Nusse and Clevers, 2017). It should be mentioned that FZDs play an important role in pathway selectivity since some FZDs, such as FZD₃ and FZD₆, most likely do not mediate WNT/ β -catenin signaling (Golan et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2016; Corda and Sala, 2017). Even in the presence of LRP5/6, the WNT/PCP pathway does not involve β -catenin but also involves the phosphoprotein DVL in addition to a multitude of other so-called PCP-core proteins (Humphries and Mlodzik, 2018). While WNT/ β -catenin signaling relays transcriptional and proliferative input, PCP signaling orchestrates cytoskeletal changes translating into cellular asymmetry, migration, tissue elongation, polarity, and tissue structuring information most obvious in two-dimensional, planar epithelial tissues (Semenov et al., 2007; Humphries and Mlodzik, 2018). The pathways that we define here as DVL-independent signaling branches are mediated by heterotrimeric G proteins not excluding pathways that could be independent of both DVL and G proteins. The subdivision of WNT signaling into DVL-dependent and -independent pathways emerges as mechanistically likely, as we will elaborate later on in this review when discussing conformational and functional selection of signaling pathways by different FZD conformations. Albeit the literature contains contradictory data (Liu et al., 2001; Kilander et al., 2014b; Koval et al., 2016), the concept emerges that simultaneous interaction of DVL and heterotrimeric G proteins with a single FZD is mutually exclusive (Kilander et al., 2014b; Gammons et al., 2016; Hot et al., 2017; Strakova et al., 2017; Schulte and Wright, 2018; Bowin et al., 2019; Wright et al., 2019). The network of heterotrimeric G protein signaling downstream from FZDs is not completely mapped, although substantial progress has been made in recent years to define the FZD-G protein coupling selectivity, mechanisms, and the physiologic relevance of FZD-G protein signaling (Katanaev et al., 2005; Katanaev and Buestorf, 2009; Kilander et al., 2011, 2014a,b; Koval and Katanaev, 2011, 2018; von Maltzahn et al., 2011; Halleskog et al., 2012; Halleskog and Schulte, 2013;

Dijksterhuis et al., 2014; Luchtenborg et al., 2014; Park et al., 2015; Arthofer et al., 2016; Ramírez et al., 2016; Weivoda et al., 2016; Hot et al., 2017; Petersen et al., 2017; Strakova et al., 2017; Wright et al., 2018, 2019).

The release of constitutive inhibition of SMO upon HH-binding to Patched results in the translocation of active SMO to the cilia and activation of an oncogene glioma-associated homolog (GLI)-dependent transcriptional program (Wu et al., 2017; Kong et al., 2019). This pathway was—similar to the WNT/ β -catenin pathway—described as being independent of heterotrimeric G proteins. Similar to the discussion of whether FZDs are GPCRs, the question of whether SMO is a GPCR was a matter of intense debate (Ayers and Thérond, 2010; Arensdorf et al., 2016). Interestingly, the overall picture between WNT and HH signaling diverges here substantially since there is now overwhelming evidence that HH/GLI signaling involves direct coupling of SMO to heterotrimeric G proteins (Riobo et al., 2006; Riobo and Manning, 2007; Shen et al., 2013; Manning et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2018; Qi et al., 2019), whereas WNT/ β -catenin signaling most likely does not (Bowin et al., 2019). Furthermore, classic GPCR-regulating entities, such as GPCR kinases and β -arrestins were identified as intrinsic elements of SMO signaling (Chen et al., 2004b; Kovacs et al., 2008).

The identification of the plant alkaloid cyclopamine as a SMO antagonist laid the foundation for a rather rich pharmacological toolbox for SMO including a wide range of small molecule ligands with varying efficacies, with important clinical applications for the treatment of basal cell carcinoma and acute myeloid leukemia (Chen, 2016; Hoy, 2019). In contrast, hardly any small molecules that target FZDs have been identified and thoroughly validated regarding their mode of action (Generoso et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017a; Riccio et al., 2018) and information from the recent crystal structure of a ligand-free FZD₄ suggests that targeting FZDs with small molecules could be challenging (Yang et al., 2018).

Frizzleds: Ligand-Receptor Interaction

WNT proteins (19 members of the family in humans) interact with the cysteine-rich domain (CRD) of FZDs, but not SMO, involving their lipid modification and a common fold including an index finger and a thumb (Janda et al., 2012; Willert and Nusse, 2012; Hirai et al., 2019). In the published crystal structures, the lipidated thumb domain of WNT proteins binds a lipophilic groove on the CRD and an elongated index finger recognizes the CRD close to its C terminus (Janda et al., 2012; Hirai et al., 2019). This interaction, which shows affinities in the lower nanomolar range explains—at least in part—WNT-FZD selectivity (Dijksterhuis et al., 2015). However, how WNT-CRD interaction physically translates into structural rearrangements in the seven TM cores of FZDs to establish and stabilize an active receptor conformation remains a mystery. Coreceptors of different kinds are implicated to mediate WNT-FZD and pathway selectivity (Hendrickx and Leyns, 2008; Dijksterhuis et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016; Eubelen et al., 2018). In addition to WNTs, Norrin presents a soluble FZD₄-selective ligand, which also interacts with the FZD-CRD forming a complex with LRP5/6 to feed into the WNT/ β -catenin pathway (Xu et al., 2004; Ke et al., 2013; Chang et al., 2015).

All WNT proteins, except for *Drosophila melanogaster* WNTD are lipidated at a conserved serine residue rendering them highly lipophilic (Willert et al., 2003; Willert and Nusse, 2012). Substantial evidence exists that the lipidation of WNTs is essential for their agonist activity; furthermore, the crystal structures of WNTs and FZD-CRDs suggest that the lipid moiety interacts with a conserved lipophilic groove on the CRD. This concept poses an interesting thermodynamic resolution conundrum (Willert et al., 2003; Schulte et al., 2005; Janda et al., 2012; Willert and Nusse, 2012; Deshpande et al., 2019). WNT transport from the trans-Golgi network depends on the seven TM-spanning protein Wntless (or Evenness Interrupted) (Bänziger et al., 2006; Bartscherer et al., 2006) and it remains thus far unclear how mature WNT is either embedded with the lipid moiety in the plasma membrane or packaged into lipoprotein particles, carrier proteins, or exosomes (Ching and Nusse, 2006; Herr and Basler, 2012). Irrespective of the packaging state of the WNT protein, the lipid moiety is shielded from the aqueous surrounding and lipid exposure to water is energetically unfavorable. Purified WNTs are solubilized with detergents such as 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl) dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonic acid and stabilized by bovine serum albumin, indicating that the acyl group is bound to a carrier protein (Willert, 2008; Mihara et al., 2016). The thermodynamic problem arises when the shielded fatty acid group needs to leave the lipophilic surrounding to be transported through an aqueous surrounding in order to engage with the lipophilic groove on the CRD of the receptor. This process is intrinsically unfavorable, and would therefore require energy, catalysis, or as yet unidentified mechanisms to be accomplished. Thus, it remains obscure how cells handle the lipid modification of WNTs during release from the cell, extracellular transport, and recognition by the receptors through CRD binding in agreement with the published crystal structures (Janda et al., 2012; Hirai et al., 2019). This aspect becomes particularly interesting in the context of the ability of FZDs to mediate CRD-independent WNT effects and the ability of nonlipidated WNTs to induce signaling (Chen et al., 2004a; Speer et al., 2019). In conclusion, CRD interactions with WNTs are essential, providing affinity and proximity; however, other mapping additional interactions with the receptor could be important to fully understand the mode of action of WNTs as FZD agonists.

SMO: Ligand-Receptor Interaction—a Mystery

While WNTs directly interact with FZDs to induce WNT signaling, HH proteins (desert HH, Indian HH, and sonic HH) interact with a 12 TM-spanning protein called Patched (Alcedo et al., 1996; Stone et al., 1996). Patched acts as a cholesterol transporter and negative regulator of SMO activity by constitutively reducing cholesterol levels in the inner leaflet of the membrane (Zhang et al., 2018; Kong et al., 2019; Kowatsch et al., 2019). There is recent evidence that cholesterol and oxysterols could act as primary endogenous ligands at SMO through interaction with the SMO-CRD or the TM-spanning core (Nachtergaele et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2016, 2018; Luchetti et al., 2016; Raleigh et al., 2018; Deshpande et al., 2019; Hedger et al., 2019). However, similar to the lack of knowledge about how lipidated WNTs reach the CRD of FZDs, it remains unclear how cholesterol reaches the extracellular CRD binding pocket on SMO in living cells.

In this regard, the role of a postulated intramolecular tunnel in SMO for cholesterol trafficking has neither been functionally nor experimentally confirmed thus far (Huang et al., 2018; Deshpande et al., 2019; Hedger et al., 2019; Qi et al., 2019).

Similarly to the WNT/ β -catenin pathway, it remains a matter of debate under which circumstances the SMO/GLI pathway is mediated through heterotrimeric G proteins. The most recent data strongly support the concept that SMO acts as a $G_{i/o}$ and $G_{12/13}$ -coupling GPCR feeding into the SMO/GLI pathway (Riobo et al., 2006; Ogden et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2013; Manning et al., 2015; Qi et al., 2019; Wright et al., 2019). However, the role of $G_{12/13}$ proteins downstream from SMO remains less well defined (Guo et al., 2018; Wright et al., 2019).

Signal Initiation: Different Concepts

Frizzleds. Currently, two mechanisms emerge that explain WNT/FZD-dependent signal initiation. In the WNT/ β -catenin pathway, WNT binding to the CRD of FZD results in signalosome formation (Gammons et al., 2016; Bilic et al., 2017; DeBruine et al., 2017a). The WNT/FZD complex appears to serve as a platform—in cooperation with the coreceptors LRP5/6—for the orchestration of dynamic DVL recruitment, which results in the inhibition of the β -catenin destruction complex and transcriptional regulation through T-cell/lymphoid enhancer-binding transcription factors (Nusse and Clevers, 2017; Gammons and Bienz, 2018; Steinhardt and Angers, 2018). Interestingly, in this model it remains completely unclear what protein dynamic changes are evoked by ligand binding in FZDs to promote and regulate productive FZD-DVL interaction and signaling. While some data point to a constitutive, ligand-independent interaction between FZD and DVL, particularly in overexpressing cell systems (Valnohova et al., 2018; Wright et al., 2019), other studies argue for a WNT-induced dissociation of a preformed FZD-DVL complex (Gammons et al., 2016) or enhanced recruitment of DVL to FZD in response to agonist (the FZD₄-selective Norrin) (Bang et al., 2018). These discrepancies clearly underline that the dynamics in the FZD-DVL interaction are not sufficiently understood. The modus of interaction between FZD and DVL proteins is complex and the functional role of FZD-DVL to mediate signaling is rather unclear and is best described as a scaffolding function orchestrating interaction of signaling components, such as axin, casein kinase 1, β -arrestin, adapter protein 2, and others (Bryja et al., 2007; Schwarz-Romond et al., 2007; Yu et al., 2007; Strakova et al., 2018). Initially, a highly conserved, unconventional, internal PDZ ligand domain in the C terminus of FZDs, a KTxxxW sequence, was identified to mediate FZD-DVL contact to the PDZ domain of DVL (Umbhauer et al., 2000). Furthermore, other regions on the cytoplasmic parts of FZDs were pointed out to be important for a FZD-DVL interface. The flanking regions of intracellular loop (IL) 3 of FZDs provided a discontinuous docking site for DVL (Tauriello et al., 2012; Wright et al., 2018). Importantly, the DEP domain of DVL appears to have a key role for FZD interaction as well as for membrane recruitment involving electrostatic interaction between positive charges on the DEP domain and the negative head groups of the phospholipids (Simons et al., 2009; Gammons et al., 2016). Additional regions in FZDs, such as IL1, IL2, and C terminal residues distal of the KTxxxW sequence on the

helix 8 of the receptor were identified by mutagenesis to be involved in FZD-DVL interaction (Pau et al., 2015; Bertalovitz et al., 2016; Strakova et al., 2017). However, it remains unclear if IL1 or IL2 are, in fact, direct interaction sites or if mutation of those residues affect the overall conformation of the receptor reducing its ability to recruit DVL. Particularly in the case of the conserved FZD₄ Y250^{2,39} (superscript numbers refer to Ballesteros-Weinstein nomenclature for GPCRs) (Ballesteros and Weinstein, 1995) in the C terminal end of IL1, we could show that an overall structural change of mutagenesis rather than direct interaction of the amino acid with DVL was the reason for reduced FZD-mediated DVL recruitment (Strakova et al., 2017).

In addition to the formation of a heterogeneous signaling platform consisting of FZDs, LRP5/6, additional coreceptors, and DVL, recent reports point at important dynamic changes in FZD-FZD interactions (DeBruine et al., 2017b). It has been known for some time that FZDs can form dimers or oligomers, even though their function and relevance for signal initiation were more unclear (Kaykas et al., 2004). The FZD₄-selective agonist Norrin acts as a homodimeric peptide and is capable of binding two CRDs of FZD₄ (Ke et al., 2013). Furthermore, it was shown that unsaturated fatty acids can dimerize CRDs of FZDs, implicating that the lipid modification of WNTs could bridge two CRDs resulting in ligand-induced receptor dimerization or oligomerization (DeBruine et al., 2017a; Nile et al., 2017). In contrast to the model that ligand binding brings receptors together, we reported that FZD₆ dimers dissociate upon WNT-5A stimulation to promote signaling toward extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1/2 (Petersen et al., 2017). Thus, the dimeric form of FZD₆ constitutes the ligand-interpreting receptor species, whereas the monomeric form presents the active species initiating signaling. Reassociation of FZD₆ dimers in the range of minutes after WNT addition correlated with signal termination, further supporting the concept of dynamic dimerization. The argument that a monomeric GPCR presents the minimal signaling unit is established for many receptors that show ligand-dependent G protein coupling when solubilized in detergent micelles at monodispersion or embedded in high-density lipoprotein particles or nanodiscs (Whorton et al., 2007; Liang et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017c; Draper-Joyce et al., 2018; García-Nafria et al., 2018a,b; Krishna Kumar et al., 2019). Furthermore, inactive GPCRs frequently crystallize as dimers (see also SMO) (Wang et al., 2013), whereas not a single active G protein-bound GPCR structure was reported as a dimer.

The idea of dimer dissociation upon receptor activation also merges well with the second concept of class F receptor activation, which is reminiscent of what is known for the activation of class A and B GPCRs: the agonist, the receptor, and the heterotrimeric G proteins act in a ternary complex to induce GDP release and subsequent GTP binding of the G α subunit as the primary output of the ligand-receptor interaction (De Lean et al., 1980; Schulte and Wright, 2018). Decades of structural analysis have identified a common theme in GPCR activation generally involving a swing out of helix 6 of the receptor firmly accommodating the G protein in the active state of the receptor (Fig. 1) (Gether and Kobilka, 1998; Latorraca et al., 2017; Weis and Kobilka, 2018). FZD Förster resonance energy transfer probes designed to monitor agonist-induced dynamics, especially in TM6 of the receptor relative to the C terminus, support the idea that agonist

stimulation of FZDs induces an active state similar to those observed in class A and B receptors (Schulte and Wright, 2018; Wright et al., 2018). In fact, the recently identified molecular switch between the lower ends of TM6 and TM7, which is highly conserved among class F receptors, is very similar to a polar network essential for class B receptor activation and G protein coupling (Liang et al., 2018b). Its functional relevance is further underlined by the oncogenic potential of mutations in the residues participating in the molecular switch, such as the cancer-associated SMOM2 mutant in W^{7.55} (Wright et al., 2019).

Smoothened. Similar to FZDs, different conceptual perceptions of receptor activation mechanisms have emerged. On one hand, SMO translocation to the cilium has served as a measure of receptor and pathway activation (Milenkovic et al., 2009). While this physical relocation of SMO coincides with pathway activation, it could be misleading regarding the biophysical and pharmacological understanding of receptor activation manifesting as a conformational change in the receptor molecule. As an example, the plant alkaloid cyclopamine, which binds SMO without (antagonist) or with negative (inverse agonist) efficacy, affects ciliary translocation of SMO, without promoting conformational changes resulting in receptor activation (Incardona et al., 1998; Chen et al., 2002a; Wang et al., 2009; Weierstall et al., 2014; Schulte and Koziellewicz, 2019; Wright et al., 2019). The availability of diverse SMO ligands ranging from the natural compound cyclopamine, smoothened agonist [(SAG) series of compounds], smoothened antagonists (series of compounds), and diverse sterols have been thus far helpful to define small molecule-driven SMO activation and signal initiation (Chen et al., 2002b; Frank-Kamenetsky et al., 2002; Chen, 2016; Koziellewicz et al., 2019).

SMO in Ternary Complex with Heterotrimeric G_i or Nanobody NbSmo8

Over the years, detailed structural and mechanistic insight into the activation of class F receptors was lacking, and the concept of class F receptors coupling to heterotrimeric G proteins was—despite substantial experimental evidence—met with skepticism (Schulte and Bryja, 2007; Schulte, 2010; Malbon, 2011; Schulte and Koziellewicz, 2019). The large amount of SMO structures in inactive states as well as the first crystal structure of a FZD in the apo (ligand-free) state were recently complemented with two mammalian SMO structures in the active state. Despite the different experimental approaches used to resolve SMO activation by: 1) cryo-electron microscopy imaging of a sterol ligand-bound human SMO in complex with heterotrimeric G_i [Protein Data Bank identification (PDB ID): 6OT0] (Qi et al., 2019) and 2) X-ray crystallography of an agonist (SAG21k)-bound human SMO in complex with a stabilizing nanobody (PDB ID: 6O3C) (Deshpande et al., 2019), both structures support a similar concept of receptor activation, the involvement of a common molecular switch mechanism, and overall similar structural rearrangements. In comparison with active, G protein-bound class A and B receptors, the active SMO structures also present an outward movement of TM6 (Fig. 1) (Wacker et al., 2010; Rasmussen et al., 2011; Hua et al., 2016; Song et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017c; Liang et al., 2018a,b; Yang et al., 2018; Deshpande et al., 2019;

Fig. 1. Comparison of the intracellular rearrangements between the active and inactive states of class A, B, and F GPCRs. In class A, interactions between TM3 and TM6 stabilize the inactive receptor conformations, whereas in classes B and F similar interactions are observed between TM6 and TM7/8 and to a lesser extent in TM2. In each of these receptor classes, outward movement of TM6, manifesting the receptor activation, breaks the observed interaction pattern. Currently, active structures of class C GPCRs remain unsolved, and thus class C is excluded from the comparison. Receptors are shown as a range of gray and green cartoons (inactive and active structures, respectively) and the key residues as corresponding sticks. Black dashes indicate possible hydrogen bonds. View is from the intracellular side of the receptors. PyMOL (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, version 2.0; Schrödinger, LLC) was used for visualization.

Krishna Kumar et al., 2019; Qi et al., 2019). This outward movement in the active state is a consequence of ligand-induced and G protein-stabilized structural rearrangements breaking interactions in conserved motifs, such as the D(E)RY motif in class A and a polar network in class B receptors. However, the mode of conformational change observed in active SMO is slightly different compared with class A/B receptors. In particular, there is a notable 7 to 8 Å outward movement of TM6 and a 4 to 5 Å inward movement of TM5. The larger changes on the intracellular facing part of the receptor are mirrored by minor rearrangements in the extracellular part. The active, NbSmo8-bound SMO presents with upward displacement of TM6 and extracellular loop 3 and a minor tilt in the CRD compared with inactive SMO (Deshpande et al., 2019). Similarly, the G_i -bound SMO presents with a TM6 rearrangement manifesting in outward movement and an upward shift of TM6 (Qi et al., 2019). Interestingly, TM6 appears to link CRD and the intracellular regions that undergo larger conformational changes physically, thereby potentially explaining complex allosteric modulation of receptor activity by the CRD itself and molecules interacting with the CRD and lower binding pockets. As mentioned previously, the structures of the active state of SMO confirm the concept of a common molecular switch in class F receptors, which we identified recently (Wright et al., 2019). The polar interactions between the conserved basic residue R/K^{6.32} and the backbone of TM7 that are common in inactive class F receptor structures are broken in the active

SMO structures as a result of the outward movement of TM6 (Deshpande et al., 2019; Qi et al., 2019; Wright et al., 2019). Furthermore, the distance between R/K^{6.32} and the aromatic electron system of W^{7.55} is increased, indicating also that the π -cation interactions as part of the molecular switch are weakened (Deshpande et al., 2019; Qi et al., 2019).

Furthermore, the SMO- G_i structure revealed a different arrangement of the $\alpha 5$ helix of the G_i protein that leads to a less-pronounced TM6 outswing compared with the G_i -coupled class A receptor (e.g., cannabinoid 1 receptor; PDB ID: 6N4B) (Krishna Kumar et al., 2019). Interestingly, the SAG21k- and cholesterol-bound SMO (PDB ID: 6O3C) (Deshpande et al., 2019) represents a unique ligand-bound receptor structure in which three ligands are bound simultaneously to a single receptor. The SAG21k occupies the upper ligand binding site in the 7TM core just on top of a cholesterol bound to the lower binding site in the 7TM core of SMO. Furthermore, a second cholesterol occupies the lipophilic binding groove in the CRD (Fig. 2). Thus far, the majority of the cycloamine- or sterol-bound SMO structures solved (Wang et al., 2013, 2014; Weierstall et al., 2014; Byrne et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017b; Huang et al., 2018) differ substantially with regard to ligand binding poses since these small molecules have been reported to bind the CRD only, the CRD and the upper 7TM core site, the CRD and the lower 7TM core site, or only the upper 7TM core site (Fig. 2). It cannot be ruled out that these differences reflect different purification and reconstitution approaches used in the various studies, but it also underlines the high complexity of SMO receptor activation mechanisms (Luchetti et al., 2016; Myers et al., 2017; Hedger et al., 2019). As opposed to other GPCRs, it still remains obscure which binding sites on SMO present orthosteric or allosteric sites, and what the functional role of the CRD could be as an allosteric modulator (Byrne et al., 2016; Kozielwicz et al., 2019). Interestingly, additional sites have been implicated, such as a cytoplasmic binding pocket comprised of cytoplasmic facing portions of TM1, TM3, TM6, and TM7, which was identified by docking of oxysterols to human SMO (Raleigh et al., 2018). Along these lines, the nature of endogenous SMO ligands, cholesterol, and naturally occurring oxysterols is also not fully understood since these molecules may serve as orthosteric agonists or allosteric modulators. Interestingly, there is a possibility that under certain cellular conditions with sufficiently high cholesterol levels, SMO signaling may occur, in fact, in the absence HH stimulation.

The CRD appeared flexible in the SMO- G_i complex, resulting in poor resolution by cryo-electron microscopy not allowing secure conclusions regarding its position in the ternary complex with heterotrimeric G protein (Qi et al., 2019). On the other hand, the CRD of human SMO is well resolved in the crystal structure of the SMO-NbSmo8 complex, indicating that the previously reported reorientation of the CRD in *Xenopus leavis* SMO does not accompany receptor activation (Huang et al., 2018; Deshpande et al., 2019; Schulte and Kozielwicz, 2019).

Pathway-Selective Conformations

Identification of a conserved molecular switch in class F receptors provided a molecular mechanism for constitutive and ligand-induced activation of class F receptors in agreement with what is known from other GPCRs

Fig. 2. SMO contains (at least) three small molecule binding pockets. The pharmacology of SMO is complex. The receptor model was derived from the active, NbSmo8-bound crystal structure of human SMO (PDB ID: 6O3C) bound to two cholesterol molecules and a small molecule agonist called SAG21k (Deshpande et al., 2019). The full-length receptor is shown as a cartoon with round helices and an opaque surface. The N-terminal CRD is shown in red. The structured linker domain is shown in green. Ligands are shown as spheres in yellow and the three main ligand-binding sites are encircled in bright yellow. The gray part of the receptor presents the transmembrane domain. A clear distinction of orthosteric and allosteric sites is thus far not possible because the endogenous ligand of SMO and its primary binding site are not identified. In addition, cooperativity between binding sites remains obscure. PyMOL (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, version 2.0; Schrödinger, LLC) was used for visualization.

Fig. 3. The concept of conformational selection and functional selectivity. In accordance with what is known for class A and B receptors (Latorraca et al., 2017; Kenakin, 2019), we present here how conformational selection either driven by endogenous ligands of the receptors, selective class F receptor-targeting drugs, intrinsic constitutive activity, or intracellular binding partners can contribute to pathway-selective signal initiation. Colors highlight receptor conformations that are stabilized by interaction, for example, with heterotrimeric G proteins, DVL, or arrestins (Schulte and Wright, 2018). Other, yet unidentified binding partners could stabilize additional active states of class F receptors. The theoretical number of active conformations is unlimited. FZD₆ models (Wright et al., 2019) were modified using PyMOL (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, version 2.0; Schrödinger, LLC). The CRD is not shown.

(Trzaskowski et al., 2012; Wright et al., 2019). It is known that WNTs can induce WNT/ β -catenin in parallel to β -catenin-independent signaling, for example, via WNT/extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1/2 signaling in mouse, primary microglia cells (Halleskog and Schulte, 2013), and other biologic systems (Schlange et al., 2007; Samarzija et al., 2009). Employing genome editing to create a cell system deficient in signaling through heterotrimeric G proteins, we have recently shown that WNT-3A maintains its ability to induce WNT/ β -catenin signaling efficiently in the complete absence of heterotrimeric G protein signaling (Bowin et al., 2019). While these findings strongly argue against involvement of heterotrimeric G proteins in the WNT-induced WNT/ β -catenin signaling, they further support the concept of conformation-driven, functional selectivity (Fig. 3). It is impossible for FZDs that carry a mutation in the conserved R/K^{6.32} molecular switch, which presents with enhanced potency toward G protein coupling, to interact with DVL and mediate DVL-dependent signaling pathways such as the WNT/ β -catenin branch (Wright et al., 2019). Along the same line, we have previously described FZD mutations that exhibit selective DVL over G protein preference or vice versa (Kilander et al., 2014b; Strakova et al., 2017), underlining that pathway selection between G protein-dependent signaling and DVL-dependent pathways originates in a conformational selection in agreement with the recently developed concept of GPCR bias or functional selectivity (Kenakin, 2019). Especially, the Y250F^{2.39} mutation in FZD₄, which presents with impaired ability to recruit DVL, is conceptually of interest. This residue is engaged in a polar network with H348^{4.46} and W352^{4.50} stabilizing an overall receptor conformation, which is essential for effective FZD-DVL interaction (Strakova et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2018). While mutation of this residue interferes with FZD-DVL interaction, FZD₄ Y250F^{2.39} maintains

its ability to interact with heterotrimeric G proteins, underlining that distinct conformations are required for complex formation with either DVL or heterotrimeric G protein (Arthofer et al., 2016; Strakova et al., 2017). This argumentation and the similarity between the SMO-NbSmo8 and SMO-G_i complex structures also further support the implication by Deshpande et al. (2019) that NbSmo8 indeed stabilizes the active, G protein-bound SMO. Looking forward, it will now be interesting to explore if there are alternative active conformations for SMO, for example, in complex with β -arrestin or GPCR kinases (Chen et al., 2004b; Zhao et al., 2016; Schulte and Wright, 2018). Excitingly, the concept of conformational selection comes with opportunities for biased ligands to target class F receptors in a pathway-selective manner similar to what has been successful in the case of class A GPCRs (Kenakin, 2019).

Conclusions and Future Perspectives

This review aims to summarize the state of the art of our understanding of class F receptor activation fueled by the recent structural and functional dissection of active SMO in a ternary complex (Deshpande et al., 2019; Qi et al., 2019; Wright et al., 2019). The implications of this more detailed insight into SMO and FZD activation for understanding class F receptor biology, disease-associated mutations in class F receptors, and future efforts to develop more selective, potentially pathway-biased class F receptor-targeting drugs are exciting and promising. While some general concepts and molecular mechanisms of receptor activation emerge, several resulting key questions remain. How is it possible to assess the contribution of the different small molecule ligand binding pockets on SMO (Fig. 2) for receptor activation and how can that knowledge be exploited to target SMO therapeutically, potentially avoiding resistance-prone mutations? How are the

different sterol-targeted binding sites driving receptor activation in vivo and how does that knowledge translate to FZDs regarding the localization and function of the lipid modification of WNTs for ligand binding and receptor activation? How does WNT binding to the CRD drive receptor activation? How does the CRD contribute to agonist-induced and constitutive receptor activation? How does the accessibility and local concentration of intracellular binding partners contribute to ligand-induced pathway selection? With the advances of structural analysis of TM receptor complexes using, for example, cryo-electron microscopy, gene editing technology, and the optimization of sensitive resonance energy transfer assays to assay protein-protein interactions and receptor dynamics in living cells, future work will shed even more light on the activation mechanisms defining this enigmatic receptor family. The hope is that the new knowledge will not only result in better understanding of the biology of class F receptors but also in assay development for innovative drug screening technologies, efficient drug discovery of class F-targeting, and potentially pathway-biased compounds.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing–National Supercomputer Centre in Linköping, Sweden, and High Performance Computing Centre North in Umeå, Sweden, for providing the computational resources for the work in the Schulte Laboratory.

Authorship Contributions

Participated in research design: Kozelewicz, Turku, Schulte.

Wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript: Kozelewicz, Turku, Schulte.

References

- Alcedo J, Ayzenzon M, Von Ohlen T, Noll M, and Hooper JE (1996) The *Drosophila* *smoothed* gene encodes a seven-pass membrane protein, a putative receptor for the hedgehog signal. *Cell* **86**:221–232.
- Arensford AM, Marada S, and Ogden SK (2016) Smoothed regulation: a tale of two signals. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **37**:62–72.
- Arthofer E, Hot B, Petersen J, Strakova K, Jäger S, Grundmann M, Kostenis E, Gutkind JS, and Schulte G (2016) WNT stimulation dissociates a frizzled 4 inactive-state complex with $G_{\alpha 12/13}$. *Mol Pharmacol* **90**:447–459.
- Ayers KL and Théron PP (2010) Evaluating smoothed as a G-protein-coupled receptor for hedgehog signalling. *Trends Cell Biol* **20**:287–298.
- Ballesteros JA and Weinstein H (1995) Integrated methods for the construction of three-dimensional models and computational probing of structure-function relations in G protein-coupled receptors. *Methods Neurosci* **25**:366–428.
- Bang I, Kim HR, Beaven AH, Kim J, Ko SB, Lee GR, Kan W, Lee H, Im W, Seok C, et al. (2018) Biophysical and functional characterization of Norrin signaling through Frizzled4. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **115**:8787–8792.
- Bänziger C, Soldini D, Schütt C, Zipperlen P, Hausmann G, and Basler K (2006) Wntless, a conserved membrane protein dedicated to the secretion of Wnt proteins from signaling cells. *Cell* **125**:509–522.
- Bartscherer K, Pelte N, Ingelfinger D, and Boutros M (2006) Secretion of Wnt ligands requires Evi, a conserved transmembrane protein. *Cell* **125**:523–533.
- Bertalovitz AC, Pau MS, Gao S, Malbon CC, and Wang HY (2016) Frizzled-4 C-terminus distal to KTXXXW motif is essential for normal dishevelled recruitment and norrin-stimulated activation of Lef/Tcf-dependent transcriptional activation. *J Mol Signal* **11**:1.
- Bilic J, Huang YL, Davidson G, Zimmermann T, Cruciat CM, Bienz M, and Niehrs C (2017) Wnt induces LRP6 signalosomes and promotes dishevelled-dependent LRP6 phosphorylation. *Science* **316**:1619–1622.
- Bowin CF, Inoue A, and Schulte G (2019) WNT-3A-induced β -catenin signaling does not require signaling through heterotrimeric G proteins. *J Biol Chem* **294**:11677–11684.
- Bryja V, Gradl D, Schambony A, Arenas E, and Schulte G (2007) β -Arrestin is a necessary component of Wnt/ β -catenin signaling in vitro and in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **104**:6690–6695.
- Byrne EFX, Sircar R, Miller PS, Hedger G, Luchetti G, Nachtergaele S, Tully MD, Mydock-McGrane L, Covey DF, Rambo RP, et al. (2016) Structural basis of Smoothed regulation by its extracellular domains. *Nature* **535**:517–522.
- Chang TH, Hsieh FL, Zebisch M, Harlos K, Elegheert J, and Jones EY (2015) Structure and functional properties of Norrin mimic Wnt for signalling with Frizzled4, Lrp5/6, and proteoglycan. *eLife* **4**:e06554.
- Chen CM, Strapps W, Tomlinson A, and Struhl G (2004a) Evidence that the cysteine-rich domain of *Drosophila* Frizzled family receptors is dispensable for transducing Wingless. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **101**:15961–15966.
- Chen JK (2016) I only have eye for ewe: the discovery of cyclopamine and development of Hedgehog pathway-targeting drugs. *Nat Prod Rep* **33**:595–601.
- Chen JK, Taipale J, Cooper MK, and Beachy PA (2002a) Inhibition of Hedgehog signaling by direct binding of cyclopamine to Smoothened. *Genes Dev* **16**:2743–2748.
- Chen JK, Taipale J, Young KE, Maiti T, and Beachy PA (2002b) Small molecule modulation of Smoothened activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **99**:14071–14076.
- Chen W, Ren XR, Nelson CD, Barak LS, Chen JK, Beachy PA, de Sauvage F, and Lefkowitz RJ (2004b) Activity-dependent internalization of smoothened mediated by β -arrestin 2 and GRK2. *Science* **306**:2257–2260.
- Chien AJ, Conrad WH, and Moon RT (2009) A Wnt survival guide: from flies to human disease. *J Invest Dermatol* **129**:1614–1627.
- Ching W and Nusse R (2006) A dedicated Wnt secretion factor. *Cell* **125**:432–433.
- Cho C, Smallwood PM, and Nathans J (2017) Reck and Gpr124 are essential receptor cofactors for Wnt7a/Wnt7b-specific signaling in mammalian CNS angiogenesis and blood-brain barrier regulation [published correction appears in *Neuron* (2017) **95**:1221–1225]. *Neuron* **95** (5):1056–1073.e5.
- Clevers H and Nusse R (2012) Wnt/ β -catenin signaling and disease. *Cell* **149**:1192–1205.
- Corda G and Sala A (2017) Non-canonical WNT/PCP signalling in cancer: Fzd6 takes centre stage. *Oncogenesis* **6**:e364.
- DeBruine ZJ, Ke J, Harikumar KG, Gu X, Borowsky P, Williams BO, Xu W, Miller LJ, Xu HE, and Melcher K (2017a) Wnt5a promotes Frizzled-4 signalosome assembly by stabilizing cysteine-rich domain dimerization. *Genes Dev* **31**:916–926.
- DeBruine ZJ, Xu HE, and Melcher K (2017b) Assembly and architecture of the Wnt/ β -catenin signalosome at the membrane. *Br J Pharmacol* **174**:4564–4574.
- De Ferrari GV and Moon RT (2006) The ups and downs of Wnt signaling in prevalent neurological disorders. *Oncogene* **25**:7545–7553.
- De Lean A, Stadel JM, and Lefkowitz RJ (1980) A ternary complex model explains the agonist-specific binding properties of the adenylate cyclase-coupled β -adrenergic receptor. *J Biol Chem* **255**:7108–7117.
- Deshpande I, Liang J, Hedeon D, Roberts KJ, Zhang Y, Ha B, Latorraca NR, Faust B, Dror RO, Beachy PA, et al. (2019) Smoothed stimulation by membrane sterols drives Hedgehog pathway activity. *Nature* **571**:284–288.
- Dijksterhuis JP, Baljinnyam B, Stanger K, Sercan HO, Ji Y, Andres O, Rubin JS, Hannoush RN, and Schulte G (2015) Systematic mapping of WNT-FZD protein interactions reveals functional selectivity by distinct WNT-FZD pairs. *J Biol Chem* **290**:6789–6798.
- Dijksterhuis JP, Petersen J, and Schulte G (2014) WNT/Frizzled signalling: receptor–ligand selectivity with focus on FZD-G protein signalling and its physiological relevance: IUPHAR Review 3. *Br J Pharmacol* **171**:1195–1209.
- Draper-Joyce CJ, Khoshouei M, Thal DM, Liang YL, Nguyen ATN, Furness SGB, Venugopal H, Baltos JA, Plitzko JM, Danev R, et al. (2018) Structure of the adenosine-bound human adenosine A_{2A} receptor-G_i complex. *Nature* **558**:559–563.
- Driehuis E and Clevers H (2017) WNT signalling events near the cell membrane and their pharmacological targeting for the treatment of cancer. *Br J Pharmacol* **174**:4547–4563.
- Eubelen M, Bostaille N, Cabochette P, Gauquier A, Tebabi P, Dumitru AC, Koehler M, Gut P, Alsteens D, Stainier DYC, et al. (2018) A molecular mechanism for Wnt ligand-specific signaling. *Science* **361**:eaat1178.
- Foord SM, Bonner TI, Neubig RR, Rosser EM, Pin JP, Davenport AP, Spedding M, and Harmar AJ (2005) International Union of Pharmacology. XLVI. G protein-coupled receptor list. *Pharmacol Rev* **57**:279–288.
- Foulquier S, Daskalopoulos EP, Lluri G, Hermans KCM, Deb A, and Blankesteijn WM (2018) WNT signaling in cardiac and vascular disease. *Pharmacol Rev* **70**:68–141.
- Frank-Kamenetsky M, Zhang XM, Bottaga S, Guicherit O, Wichterle H, Dudek H, Bumcrot D, Wang FY, Jones S, Shulok J, et al. (2002) Small-molecule modulators of Hedgehog signaling: identification and characterization of Smoothed agonists and antagonists. *J Biol* **1**:10.
- Freese JL, Pino D, and Pleasure SJ (2010) Wnt signaling in development and disease. *Neurobiol Dis* **38**:148–153.
- Gammans M and Bienz M (2018) Multiprotein complexes governing Wnt signal transduction. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **51**:42–49.
- Gammans MV, Renko M, Johnson CM, Rutherford TJ, and Bienz M (2016) Wnt signalosome assembly by DEP domain swapping of dishevelled. *Mol Cell* **64**:92–104.
- García-Nafria J, Lee Y, Bai X, Carpenter B, and Tate CG (2018a) Cryo-EM structure of the adenosine A_{2A} receptor coupled to an engineered heterotrimeric G protein. *eLife* **7**:e35946.
- García-Nafria J, Nehmé R, Edwards PC, and Tate CG (2018b) Cryo-EM structure of the serotonin 5-HT_{1B} receptor coupled to heterotrimeric G_o. *Nature* **558**:620–623.
- Generoso SF, Giustiniano M, La Regina G, Bottone S, Passacantilli S, Di Maro S, Cassese H, Bruno A, Mallardo M, Dentice M, et al. (2015) Pharmacological folding chaperones act as allosteric ligands of Frizzled4. *Nat Chem Biol* **11**:280–286.
- Gether U and Kobilka BK (1998) G protein-coupled receptors. II. Mechanism of agonist activation. *J Biol Chem* **273**:17979–17982.
- Golan T, Yaniv A, Bafico A, Liu G, and Gazit A (2004) The human Frizzled 6 (HFZ6) acts as a negative regulator of the canonical Wnt/ β -catenin signaling cascade. *J Biol Chem* **279**:14879–14888.
- Gould TD and Manji HK (2002) The Wnt signaling pathway in bipolar disorder. *Neuroscientist* **8**:497–511.
- Grainger S and Willert K (2018) Mechanisms of Wnt signaling and control. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Syst Biol Med* **10**:e1422.
- Guo X, Riobo-Del Galdo NA, Kim EJ, Grant GR, and Manning DR (2018) Overlap in signaling between Smoothed and the α subunit of the heterotrimeric G protein G₁₃. *PLoS One* **13**:e0197442.
- Halleskog C, Dijksterhuis JP, Kilander MB, Becerril-Ortega J, Villaescusa JC, Lindgren E, Arenas E, and Schulte G (2012) Heterotrimeric G protein-dependent WNT-5A signaling to ERK1/2 mediates distinct aspects of microglia proinflammatory transformation. *J Neuroinflammation* **9**:111.

- Halleskog C and Schulte G (2013) Pertussis toxin-sensitive heterotrimeric $G_{\alpha_{i0}}$ proteins mediate WNT/ β -catenin and WNT/ERK1/2 signaling in mouse primary microglia stimulated with purified WNT-3A. *Cell Signal* **25**:822–828.
- Hedger G, Koldso H, Chavent M, Siebold C, Rohatgi R, and Sansom MSP (2019) Cholesterol interaction sites on the transmembrane domain of the hedgehog signal transducer and class F G protein-coupled receptor smoothened. *Structure* **27**:549–559.e2.
- Hendrickx M and Leyns L (2008) Non-conventional Frizzled ligands and Wnt receptors. *Dev Growth Differ* **50**:229–243.
- Herr P and Basler K (2012) Porcupine-mediated lipidation is required for Wnt recognition by Wls. *Dev Biol* **361**:392–402.
- Hirai H, Matoba K, Mihara E, Arimori T, and Takagi J (2019) Crystal structure of a mammalian Wnt-frizzled complex. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **26**:372–379.
- Hoepfner LH, Secreto FJ, and Westendorf JJ (2009) Wnt signaling as a therapeutic target for bone diseases. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* **13**:485–496.
- Holland JD, Klaus A, Garratt AN, and Birchmeier W (2013) Wnt signaling in stem and cancer stem cells. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **25**:254–264.
- Hot B, Valnohova J, Arthofer E, Simon K, Shin J, Uhlén M, Kostenis E, Mulder J, and Schulte G (2017) FZD₁₀- $G_{\alpha_{13}}$ signalling axis points to a role of FZD₁₀ in CNS angiogenesis. *Cell Signal* **32**:93–103.
- Hoy SM (2019) Glasdegib: first global approval. *Drugs* **79**:207–213.
- Hua T, Vemuri K, Pu M, Qu L, Han GW, Wu Y, Zhao S, Shui W, Li S, Korde A, et al. (2016) Crystal structure of the human cannabinoid receptor CB₁. *Cell* **167** (3): 750–762.e14.
- Huang P, Nedelcu D, Watanabe M, Jao C, Kim Y, Liu J, and Salic A (2016) Cellular cholesterol directly activates smoothened in hedgehog signaling. *Cell* **166** (5): 1176–1187.e14.
- Huang P, Zheng S, Wierowski BM, Kim Y, Nedelcu D, Aravena L, Liu J, Kruse AC, and Salic A (2018) Structural basis of smoothened activation in Hedgehog signaling [published correction appears in *Cell* (2018) **175**:295–297]. *Cell* **174** (2): 312–324.e16.
- Humphries AC and Mlodzik M (2018) From instruction to output: Wnt/PCP signaling in development and cancer. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **51**:110–116.
- Incardona JP, Gaffield W, Kapur RP, and Roelink H (1998) The teratogenic Veratrum alkaloid cyclopamine inhibits sonic hedgehog signal transduction. *Development* **125**:3553–3562.
- Ingham PW (2018) From *Drosophila* segmentation to human cancer therapy. *Development* **145**:dev168898.
- Ingham PW and McMahon AP (2001) Hedgehog signaling in animal development: paradigms and principles. *Genes Dev* **15**:3059–3087.
- Janda CY, Waghray D, Levin AM, Thomas C, and Garcia KC (2012) Structural basis of Wnt recognition by Frizzled. *Science* **337**:59–64.
- Katanaev VL and Buestorf S (2009) Frizzled proteins are bona fide G protein-coupled receptors. *Nature Precedings* 1–19.
- Katanaev VL, Ponzielli R, Sémériva M, and Tomlinson A (2005) Trimeric G protein-dependent frizzled signaling in *Drosophila*. *Cell* **120**:111–122.
- Kaykas A, Yang-Snyder J, Héroux M, Shah KV, Bouvier M, and Moon RT (2004) Mutant Frizzled 4 associated with vitreoretinopathy traps wild-type Frizzled in the endoplasmic reticulum by oligomerization. *Nat Cell Biol* **6**: 52–58.
- Ke J, Harikumar KG, Erice C, Chen C, Gu X, Wang L, Parker N, Cheng Z, Xu W, Williams BO, et al. (2013) Structure and function of Norrin in assembly and activation of a Frizzled 4–Lrp5/6 complex. *Genes Dev* **27**:2305–2319.
- Kenakin T (2019) Biased receptor signaling in drug discovery. *Pharmacol Rev* **71**: 267–315.
- Kilander MB, Dahlström J, and Schulte G (2014a) Assessment of Frizzled 6 membrane mobility by FRAP supports G protein coupling and reveals WNT-Frizzled selectivity. *Cell Signal* **26**:1943–1949.
- Kilander MB, Petersen J, Andresen KW, Ganji RS, Levy FO, Schuster J, Dahl N, Bryja V, and Schulte G (2014b) Dishevelled regulates precoupling of heterotrimeric G proteins to Frizzled 6. *FASEB J* **28**:2293–2305.
- Kilander MB, Dijksterhuis JP, Ganji RS, Bryja V, and Schulte G (2011) WNT-5A stimulates the GDP/GTP exchange at pertussis toxin-sensitive heterotrimeric G proteins. *Cell Signal* **23**:550–554.
- Kong JH, Siebold C, and Rohatgi R (2019) Biochemical mechanisms of vertebrate hedgehog signaling. *Development* **146**:dev166892.
- Königshoff M and Eickelberg O (2010) WNT signaling in lung disease: a failure or a regeneration signal? *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* **42**:21–31.
- Kovacs JJ, Whalen EJ, Liu R, Xiao K, Kim J, Chen M, Wang J, Chen W, and Lefkowitz RJ (2008) β -Arrestin mediated localization of Smoothened to the primary cilium. *Science* **320**:1777–1781.
- Koval A, Ahmed K, and Katanaev VL (2016) Inhibition of Wnt signalling and breast tumour growth by the multi-purpose drug suramin through suppression of heterotrimeric G proteins and Wnt endocytosis. *Biochem J* **473**:371–381.
- Koval A and Katanaev VL (2011) Wnt3a stimulation elicits G-protein-coupled receptor properties of mammalian Frizzled proteins. *Biochem J* **433**:435–440.
- Koval A and Katanaev VL (2018) Dramatic dysbalancing of the Wnt pathway in breast cancers. *Sci Rep* **8**:7329.
- Kowatsch C, Woolley RE, Kinnebrew M, Rohatgi R, and Siebold C (2019) Structures of vertebrate Patched and Smoothened reveal intimate links between cholesterol and Hedgehog signalling. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* **57**:204–214.
- Kozielwicz P, Bowin C-F, and Schulte G (2019) A NanoBRET-based binding assay for Smoothened allows real time analysis of small-molecule ligand binding and distinction of two separate ligand binding sites for BODIPY-cyclopamine. *bioRxiv* Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1101/706028>.
- Krishna Kumar K, Shalev-Benami M, Robertson MJ, Hu H, Banister SD, Hollingsworth SA, Latorraca NR, Kato HE, Hilger D, Maeda S, et al. (2019) Structure of a signaling cannabinoid receptor 1-G protein complex. *Cell* **176**: 448–458.e12.
- Latorraca NR, Venkatakrishnan AJ, and Dror RO (2017) GPCR dynamics: structures in motion. *Chem Rev* **117**:139–155.
- Liang YL, Khoshouei M, Deganutti G, Glukhova A, Koole C, Peat TS, Radjainia M, Plitzko JM, Baumeister W, Miller LJ, et al. (2018a) Cryo-EM structure of the active, G_s -protein complexed, human CGRP receptor. *Nature* **561**:492–497.
- Liang YL, Khoshouei M, Glukhova A, Furness SGB, Zhao P, Clydesdale L, Koole C, Truong TT, Thal DM, Lei S, et al. (2018b) Phase-plate cryo-EM structure of a biased agonist-bound human GLP-1 receptor-Gs complex. *Nature* **555**:121–125.
- Liang YL, Khoshouei M, Radjainia M, Zhang Y, Glukhova A, Tarrasch J, Thal DM, Furness SGB, Christopoulos G, Coudrat T, et al. (2017) Phase-plate cryo-EM structure of a class B GPCR-G-protein complex. *Nature* **546**:118–123.
- Liu T, DeCostanzo AJ, Liu X, Wang Hy, Hallagan S, Moon RT, and Malbon CC (2001) G protein signaling from activated rat Frizzled-1 to the β -catenin-Lef-Tcf pathway. *Science* **292**:1718–1722.
- Luchetti G, Sircar R, Kong JH, Nachtergaele S, Sagner A, Byrne EF, Covey DF, Siebold C, and Rohatgi R (2016) Cholesterol activates the G-protein coupled receptor Smoothened to promote Hedgehog signaling. *eLife* **5**:e20304.
- Lüchtenborg AM, Solis GP, Egger-Adam D, Koval A, Lin C, Blanchard MG, Kellenberger S, and Katanaev VL (2014) Heterotrimeric Go protein links Wnt-Frizzled signaling with ankyrins to regulate the neuronal microtubule cytoskeleton. *Development* **141**:3399–3409.
- MacDonald BT, Semenov MV, and He X (2007) SnapShot: Wnt/ β -catenin signaling. *Cell* **131**:1204.
- Malbon CC (2011) Wnt signalling: the case of the ‘missing’ G-protein (vol 433, pg e3, 2011). *Biochem J* **434**:575.
- Manning DR, Shen F, and Riobo NA (2015) Evaluating the activity of smoothened toward G proteins using [³⁵S]guanosine 5'-[3-O-thio]triphosphate ([³⁵S]GTP γ S). *Methods Mol Biol* **1322**:35–44.
- Mihara E, Hirai H, Yamamoto H, Tamura-Kawakami K, Matano M, Kikuchi A, Sato T, and Takagi J (2016) Active and water-soluble form of lipidated Wnt protein is maintained by a serum glycoprotein afamin/ α -albumin. *eLife* **5**:e11621.
- Milenkovic L, Scott MP, and Rohatgi R (2009) Lateral transport of Smoothened from the plasma membrane to the membrane of the cilium. *J Cell Biol* **187**:365–374.
- Myers BR, Neahring L, Zhang Y, Roberts KJ, and Beachy PA (2017) Rapid, direct activity assays for Smoothened reveal Hedgehog pathway regulation by membrane cholesterol and extracellular sodium. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **114**:E11141–E11150.
- Nachtergaele S, Mydock LK, Krishnan K, Rammohan J, Schlesinger PH, Covey DF, and Rohatgi R (2012) Oxysterols are allosteric activators of the oncoprotein Smoothened. *Nat Chem Biol* **8**:211–220.
- Nile AH, Mukund S, Stanger K, Wang W, and Hannoush RN (2017) Unsaturated fatty acyl recognition by Frizzled receptors mediates dimerization upon Wnt ligand binding. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **114**:4147–4152.
- Nusse R (2005) Wnt signaling in disease and in development. *Cell Res* **15**:28–32.
- Nusse R and Clevers H (2017) Wnt/ β -catenin signaling, disease, and emerging therapeutic modalities. *Cell* **169**:985–999.
- Ogden SK, Fei DL, Schilling NS, Ahmed YF, Hwa J, and Robbins DJ (2008) G protein G_{α_i} functions immediately downstream of Smoothened in Hedgehog signalling. *Nature* **456**:967–970.
- Park HW, Kim YC, Yu B, Moroishi T, Mo JS, Plouffe SW, Meng Z, Lin KC, Yu FX, Alexander CM, et al. (2015) Alternative Wnt signaling activates YAP/TAZ. *Cell* **162**:780–794.
- Pau MS, Gao S, Malbon CC, Wang HY, and Bertalovitz AC (2015) The intracellular loop 2 F328S frizzled-4 mutation implicated in familial exudative vitreoretinopathy impairs dishevelled recruitment. *J Mol Signal* **10**:5.
- Petersen J, Wright SC, Rodríguez D, Matricón P, Lahav N, Vromen A, Friedler A, Strömqvist J, Wennmalm S, Carlsson J, et al. (2017) Agonist-induced dimer dissociation as a macromolecular step in G protein-coupled receptor signaling. *Nat Commun* **8**:226.
- Polakis P (2000) Wnt signaling and cancer. *Genes Dev* **14**:1837–1851.
- Qi X, Liu H, Thompson B, McDonald J, Zhang C, and Li X (2019) Cryo-EM structure of oxysterol-bound human Smoothened coupled to a heterotrimeric G_i . *Nature* **571**: 279–283.
- Qi X, Schmiede P, Coutavas E, Wang J, and Li X (2018) Structures of human Patched and its complex with native palmitoylated sonic Hedgehog. *Nature* **560**:128–132.
- Raleigh DR, Sever N, Choksi PK, Sigg MA, Hines KM, Thompson BM, Elnatan D, Jaishankar P, Bisignano P, Garcia-Gonzalo FR, et al. (2018) Cilia-associated oxysterols activate Smoothened. *Mol Cell* **72** (2):316–327.e5.
- Ramírez VT, Ramos-Fernández E, Henríquez JP, Lorenzo A, and Inestrosa NC (2016) Wnt-5a/Frizzled9 receptor signaling through the G_{α_s} - $G\beta\gamma$ complex regulates dendritic spine formation. *J Biol Chem* **291**:19092–19107.
- Rasmussen SG, DeVree BT, Zou Y, Kruse AC, Chung KY, Kobilka TS, Thian FS, Chae PS, Pardon E, Calinski D, et al. (2011) Crystal structure of the β_2 adrenergic receptor-Gs protein complex. *Nature* **477**:549–555.
- Riccio G, Bottone S, La Regina G, Badolati N, Passacantilli S, Rossi GB, Accardo A, Dentice M, Silvestri R, Novellino E, et al. (2018) A negative allosteric modulator of Wnt receptor frizzled 4 switches into an allosteric agonist. *Biochemistry* **57**:839–851.
- Riobo NA and Manning DR (2007) Pathways of signal transduction employed by vertebrate Hedgehogs. *Biochem J* **403**:369–379.
- Riobo NA, Saucy B, Dilizio C, and Manning DR (2006) Activation of heterotrimeric G proteins by Smoothened. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **103**:12607–12612.
- Samarzija I, Sini P, Schlange T, MacDonald G, and Hynes NE (2009) Wnt3a regulates proliferation and migration of HUVEC via canonical and non-canonical Wnt signaling pathways. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **386**:449–454.
- Schlange T, Matsuda Y, Lienhard S, Huber A, and Hynes NE (2007) Autocrine WNT signaling contributes to breast cancer cell proliferation via the canonical WNT pathway and EGFR transactivation. *Breast Cancer Res* **9**:R63.
- Schulte G (2010) International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. LXXX. The class Frizzled receptors. *Pharmacol Rev* **62**:632–667.
- Schulte G and Bryja V (2007) The Frizzled family of unconventional G-protein-coupled receptors. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **28**:518–525.
- Schulte G, Bryja V, Rawal N, Castelo-Branco G, Sousa KM, and Arenas E (2005) Purified Wnt-5a increases differentiation of midbrain dopaminergic cells and dishevelled phosphorylation. *J Neurochem* **92**:1550–1553.

- Schulte G and Koziellewicz P (2019) Structural insight into Class F receptors—what have we learnt regarding agonist-induced activation? *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol* DOI: 10.1111/bcpt.13235 [published ahead of print].
- Schulte G and Wright SC (2018) Frizzleds as GPCRs—more conventional than we thought!. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **39**:828–842.
- Schwarz-Romond T, Metcalfe C, and Bienz M (2007) Dynamic recruitment of axin by Dishevelled protein assemblies. *J Cell Sci* **120**:2402–2412.
- Semenov MV, Habas R, MacDonald BT, and He X (2007) SnapShot: noncanonical Wnt signaling pathways. *Cell* **131**:1378.
- Sen M (2005) Wnt signalling in rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* **44**:708–713.
- Shen F, Cheng L, Douglas AE, Riobo NA, and Manning DR (2013) Smoothed is a fully competent activator of the heterotrimeric G protein G_i. *Mol Pharmacol* **83**:691–697.
- Simons M, Gault WJ, Gotthardt D, Rohatgi R, Klein TJ, Shao Y, Lee HJ, Wu AL, Fang Y, Satlin LM, et al. (2009) Electrochemical cues regulate assembly of the Frizzled/Dishevelled complex at the plasma membrane during planar epithelial polarization. *Nat Cell Biol* **11**:286–294.
- Song G, Yang D, Wang Y, de Graaf C, Zhou Q, Jiang S, Liu K, Cai X, Dai A, Lin G, et al. (2017) Human GLP-1 receptor transmembrane domain structure in complex with allosteric modulators. *Nature* **546**:312–315.
- Speer KF, Sommer A, Tajer B, Mullins MC, Klein PS, and Lemmon MA (2019) Non-acylated Wnts can promote signaling. *Cell Rep* **26** (4):875–883.e5.
- Steinhart Z and Angers S (2018) Wnt signaling in development and tissue homeostasis. *Development* **145**:dev146589.
- Stone DM, Hynes M, Armanini M, Swanson TA, Gu Q, Johnson RL, Scott MP, Pennica D, Goddard A, Phillips H, et al. (1996) The tumour-suppressor gene patched encodes a candidate receptor for Sonic hedgehog. *Nature* **384**:129–134.
- Strakova K, Kowalski-Jahn M, Gybel T, Valnohova J, Dhople VM, Harnos J, Bernatik O, Ganji RS, Zdrahal Z, Mulder J, et al. (2018) Dishevelled enables casein kinase 1-mediated phosphorylation of Frizzled 6 required for cell membrane localization. *J Biol Chem* **293**:18477–18493.
- Strakova K, Matricon P, Yokota C, Arthofer E, Bernatik O, Rodriguez D, Arenas E, Carlsson J, Bryja V, and Schulte G (2017) The tyrosine Y250^{2,39} in Frizzled 4 defines a conserved motif important for structural integrity of the receptor and recruitment of Dishevelled. *Cell Signal* **38**:85–96.
- Tauriello DV, Jordens I, Kirchner K, Sliotstra JW, Kruitwagen T, Bouwman BA, Noutsou M, Rüdiger SG, Schwamborn K, Schambony A, et al. (2012) Wnt/ β -catenin signaling requires interaction of the Dishevelled DEP domain and C terminus with a discontinuous motif in Frizzled. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **109**:E812–E820.
- Trzaskowski B, Latek D, Yuan S, Ghoshdastider U, Debinski A, and Filipek S (2012) Action of molecular switches in GPCRs—theoretical and experimental studies. *Curr Med Chem* **19**:1090–1109.
- Umbhauer M, Djiane A, Goisset C, Penzo-Méndez A, Riou JF, Boucaut JC, and Shi DL (2000) The C-terminal cytoplasmic Lys-thr-X-X-X-Trp motif in frizzled receptors mediates Wnt/ β -catenin signalling. *EMBO J* **19**:4944–4954.
- Vallon M, Yuki K, Nguyen TD, Chang J, Yuan J, Siepe D, Miao Y, Essler M, Noda M, Garcia KC, et al. (2018) A RECK-WNT7 receptor-ligand interaction enables isoform-specific regulation of Wnt bioavailability. *Cell Rep* **25** (2):339–349.e9.
- Valnohova J, Kowalski-Jahn M, Sunahara RK, and Schulte G (2018) Functional dissection of the N-terminal extracellular domains of Frizzled 6 reveals their roles for receptor localization and Dishevelled recruitment. *J Biol Chem* **293**:17875–17887.
- Vanhollenbeke B, Stone OA, Bostaille N, Cho C, Zhou Y, Maquet E, Gauquier A, Cabochette P, Fukuhara S, Mochizuki N, et al. (2015) Tip cell-specific requirement for an atypical Gpr124- and Reck-dependent Wnt/ β -catenin pathway during brain angiogenesis. *eLife* **4**:e06489.
- von Maltzahn J, Bentzinger CF, and Rudnicki MA (2011) Wnt7a-Fzd7 signalling directly activates the Akt/mTOR anabolic growth pathway in skeletal muscle. *Nat Cell Biol* **14**:186–191.
- Wacker D, Penalti G, Brown MA, Katritch V, Abagyan R, Cherezov V, and Stevens RC (2010) Conserved binding mode of human β_2 adrenergic receptor inverse agonists and antagonist revealed by X-ray crystallography. *J Am Chem Soc* **132**:11443–11445.
- Wang C, Wu H, Evron T, Vardy E, Han GW, Huang XP, Hufeisen SJ, Mangano TJ, Urban DJ, Katritch V, et al. (2014) Structural basis for Smoothed receptor modulation and chemoresistance to anticancer drugs. *Nat Commun* **5**:4355.
- Wang C, Wu H, Katritch V, Han GW, Huang XP, Liu W, Siu FY, Roth BL, Cherezov V, and Stevens RC (2013) Structure of the human smoothed receptor bound to an antitumour agent. *Nature* **497**:338–343.
- Wang Y, Chang H, Rattner A, and Nathans J (2016) Frizzled receptors in development and disease. *Curr Top Dev Biol* **117**:113–139.
- Wang Y, Zhou Z, Walsh CT, and McMahon AP (2009) Selective translocation of intracellular Smoothed to the primary cilium in response to Hedgehog pathway modulation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **106**:2623–2628.
- Weierstall U, James D, Wang C, White TA, Wang D, Liu W, Spence JC, Bruce Doak R, Nelson G, Fromme P, et al. (2014) Lipidic cubic phase injector facilitates membrane protein serial femtosecond crystallography. *Nat Commun* **5**:3309.
- Weis WI and Kobilka BK (2018) The molecular basis of G protein-coupled receptor activation. *Annu Rev Biochem* **87**:897–919.
- Weivoda MM, Ruan M, Hachfeld CM, Pederson L, Howe A, Davey RA, Zajac JD, Kobayashi Y, Williams BO, Westendorf JJ, et al. (2016) Wnt signaling inhibits osteoclast differentiation by activating canonical and noncanonical cAMP/PKA pathways [published correction appears in *J Bone Miner Res* (2019) **34**:1546–1548]. *J Bone Miner Res* **31** (1):65–75.
- Wend P, Holland JD, Ziebold U, and Birchmeier W (2010) Wnt signaling in stem and cancer stem cells. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* **21**:855–863.
- Whorton MR, Bokoch MP, Rasmussen SG, Huang B, Zare RN, Kobilka B, and Sunahara RK (2007) A monomeric G protein-coupled receptor isolated in a high-density lipoprotein particle efficiently activates its G protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **104**:7682–7687.
- Willert K, Brown JD, Danenberg E, Duncan AW, Weissman IL, Reya T, Yates JR III, and Nusse R (2003) Wnt proteins are lipid-modified and can act as stem cell growth factors. *Nature* **423**:448–452.
- Willert K and Nusse R (2012) Wnt proteins. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **4**:a007864.
- Willert KH (2008) Isolation and application of bioactive Wnt proteins. *Methods Mol Biol* **468**:17–29.
- Wright SC, Cañizal MCA, Benkel T, Simon K, Le Guillot C, Matricon P, Namkung Y, Lukasheva V, König GM, Laporte SA, et al. (2018) FZD₅ is a G α_q -coupled receptor that exhibits the functional hallmarks of prototypical GPCRs. *Sci Signal* **11**:eaar5536.
- Wright SC, Koziellewicz P, Kowalski-Jahn M, Petersen J, Bowin CF, Slodkiewicz G, Marti-Solano M, Rodríguez D, Hot B, Okashah N, et al. (2019) A conserved molecular switch in class F receptors regulates receptor activation and pathway selection. *Nat Commun* **10**:667.
- Wu F, Zhang Y, Sun B, McMahon AP, and Wang Y (2017) Hedgehog signaling: from basic biology to cancer therapy. *Cell Chem Biol* **24**:252–280.
- Xu Q, Wang Y, Dabdoub A, Smallwood PM, Williams J, Woods C, Kelley MW, Jiang L, Tasman W, Zhang K, et al. (2004) Vascular development in the retina and inner ear: control by Norrin and Frizzled-4, a high-affinity ligand-receptor pair. *Cell* **116**:883–895.
- Yang S, Wu Y, Xu TH, de Waal PW, He Y, Pu M, Chen Y, DeBruine ZJ, Zhang B, Zaidi SA, et al. (2018) Crystal structure of the Frizzled 4 receptor in a ligand-free state. *Nature* **560**:666–670.
- Yu A, Rual JF, Tamai K, Harada Y, Vidal M, He X, and Kirchhausen T (2007) Association of Dishevelled with the clathrin AP-2 adaptor is required for Frizzled endocytosis and planar cell polarity signaling. *Dev Cell* **12**:129–141.
- Zhang W, Lu W, Ananthan S, Suto MJ, and Li Y (2017a) Discovery of novel frizzled-7 inhibitors by targeting the receptor's transmembrane domain. *Oncotarget* **8**:91459–91470.
- Zhang X, Zhao F, Wu Y, Yang J, Han GW, Zhao S, Ishchenko A, Ye L, Lin X, Ding K, et al. (2017b) Crystal structure of a multi-domain human smoothed receptor in complex with a super stabilizing ligand. *Nat Commun* **8**:15383.
- Zhang Y, Bulkley DP, Xin Y, Roberts KJ, Asarnow DE, Sharma A, Myers BR, Cho W, Cheng Y, and Beachy PA (2018) Structural basis for cholesterol transport-like activity of the hedgehog receptor patched. *Cell* **175** (5):1352–1364.e14.
- Zhang Y, Sun B, Feng D, Hu H, Chu M, Qu Q, Tarrasch JT, Li S, Sun Kobilka T, Kobilka BK, et al. (2017c) Cryo-EM structure of the activated GLP-1 receptor in complex with a G protein. *Nature* **546**:248–253.
- Zhao Z, Lee RT, Pusapati GV, Iyu A, Rohatgi R, and Ingham PW (2016) An essential role for Grk2 in Hedgehog signalling downstream of Smoothed. *EMBO Rep* **17**:739–752.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Gunnar Schulte, Karolinska Institutet, Department Physiology and Pharmacology, Sec. Receptor Biology and Signaling, Biomedicum 6D, Solnavägen 9, S-171 65 Stockholm. E-mail: gunnar.schulte@ki.se