

**TARGETING PROTEIN SERINE/THREONINE PHOSPHATASES FOR DRUG
DEVELOPMENT**

Jamie L. McConnell and Brian E. Wadzinski

Department of Pharmacology, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee

Running title: Targeting ser/thr phosphatases for drug development

Address correspondence to: Dr. Brian E. Wadzinski, Department of Pharmacology, 424 RRB, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, 23rd Ave. S. at Pierce Ave., Nashville, TN 37232-6600. E-mail: brian.wadzinski@vanderbilt.edu

Text pages: 30
Words: 8,508
Tables: 1
Figures: 1
References: 149

Abbreviations: PP2C, protein serine/threonine phosphatase 2C; PP1, protein serine/threonine phosphatase 1; PP2A, protein serine/threonine phosphatase 2A; PP2B, protein serine/threonine phosphatase 2B; PP1c, catalytic subunit of PP1; PP2Ac, catalytic subunit of PP2A; CnA, calcineurin A subunit; CnB, calcineurin B subunit; SV40, simian virus 40; NF- κ B, nuclear factor-kappa B; TNF α , tumor necrosis factor-alpha; IL-1, interleukin-1; IL-2, interleukin-2; I κ B, inhibitor of kappa B; IKK, I κ B kinase; FKBP12, FK506 binding protein of 12 kDa; Cyp, cyclophilin; CsA, cyclosporin A; SAR, structure-activity relationship; NFAT, nuclear factor of activated T-cells; INCA, inhibitors of NFAT-calcineurin association; Sal, salubrinal; eIF2 α , elongation initiation factor-2 α ; GADD34, growth arrest and DNA damage gene 34; HSV, herpes simplex virus; HDAC, histone deacetylase; TSA, trichostatin A; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase; MEK, MAPK/ERK kinase.

Abstract

With the recent clinical success of drugs targeting protein kinase activity, drug discovery efforts are focusing on the role of reversible protein phosphorylation in disease states. The activity of protein phosphatases, enzymes that oppose protein kinases, can also be manipulated to alter cellular signaling for therapeutic benefits. In this review, we present protein serine/threonine phosphatases as viable therapeutic targets, discussing past successes, current challenges, and future strategies for manipulating phosphatase activity.

Introduction

Numerous cellular processes, including metabolism, immune response, synaptic plasticity, cell growth and proliferation, and apoptosis, are controlled by intricate signal transduction networks comprised of molecules and macromolecular protein complexes that are responsive to biological or chemical stimuli in the cell's immediate environment. A common mechanism utilized by cells to either propagate or terminate intracellular signal transduction pathways is reversible protein phosphorylation, whereby the addition or removal of a negatively charged phosphate can alter the conformation of a target protein and/or its interactions with other proteins. Ultimately, phosphorylation/dephosphorylation reactions affect the activity, function, half-life, or subcellular localization of the substrate; hence, the underlying molecular mechanisms controlling this reversible post-translational modification are of great physiological importance.

Careful study has been afforded to the structure, function, and regulation of the enzymes that catalyze phosphorylation or dephosphorylation reactions – protein kinases and phosphatases, respectively. It is widely accepted that proper spatial and temporal regulation of both protein kinases and phosphatases is crucial for maintaining the appropriate balance of phosphorylation required for cellular homeostasis (Bauman and Scott, 2002). Since deregulation of these enzymes has been implicated in a variety of diseases (e.g. cancer, diabetes, cardiac hypertrophy, and neurodegeneration), emerging therapeutic strategies have focused on the design of drugs that affect the biological actions of kinases and phosphatases.

Protein kinases have become increasingly popular drug targets, constituting ~30% of several pharmaceutical manufacturers' drug discovery programs (Cohen, 2002a). The approval of rapamycin (Sirolimus®) for immunosuppression, imatinib (Gleevec®) for chronic myelogenous leukemia and gastrointestinal tumors, and gefitinib (Iressa®) for non-small cell lung cancer has paved the way for development of additional kinase-targeted drugs that are currently under evaluation in clinical trials. The tremendous efforts put forth by the

pharmaceutical industry and academic research institutions to modulate kinase activity for the treatment of various disease states have also invigorated discussions regarding phosphatases as plausible drug targets. Several protein tyrosine phosphatases, dual-specificity phosphatases, and lipid phosphatases have recently become attractive candidates for the treatment of a variety of diseases (e.g. diabetes, obesity, and cancer) (Ducruet et al., 2005; Lazar and Saltiel, 2006; Lyon et al., 2002; Tonks, 2006). To date, however, the only FDA-approved drugs targeting a phosphatase are the immunosuppressants cyclosporin A and FK506, which inhibit protein serine/threonine phosphatase 2B (PP2B or calcineurin). Both compounds are naturally occurring products that, like rapamycin, were not specifically designed for their molecular target but approved for clinical use based upon the merit of their immunosuppressive activity. In this review, we examine the case for protein serine/threonine phosphatase-targeted drug design, presenting past successes, current challenges, and future possibilities.

Overview of protein serine/threonine phosphatases

Protein phosphatases are classified according to their substrate specificity and are generally divided into two major categories – protein serine/threonine phosphatases (PSTPs) and protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs), with dual-specificity phosphatases (DSPs) existing as a subclass of the tyrosine phosphatases. PTPs catalyze dephosphorylation reactions on phospho-tyrosine residues, PSTPs on phospho-serine and phospho-threonine residues, and DSPs on phospho-tyrosine, phospho-serine, and phospho-threonine residues. PSTPs and PTPs have distinct structural characteristics, which give rise to very different catalytic and regulatory mechanisms. Although both types of phosphatases perform nucleophilic attacks on the targeted phosphate group, they do so via different nucleophiles. PTPs utilize a catalytic cysteine residue as a nucleophile, whereas the PSTP nucleophilic attack is facilitated via a metal-activated water molecule positioned in the catalytic groove.

Studies of the structure, function, and regulation of PSTP catalytic subunits have imparted a systematic classification of these enzymes that is based upon their biochemical properties and amino acid sequence homology. Three distinct PSTP gene families have been described: PPM, FCP, and PPP. The PPM family is comprised of Mg^{2+} -dependent phosphatases that include PP2C, pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphatase, and PP2C-“like” phosphatases (Barford et al., 1998). The newly emerging FCP family includes FCP1 and SCPs 1-3, which share a common substrate – the C-terminal domain of RNA polymerase II (Gallego and Virshup, 2005; Kamenski et al., 2004; Yeo et al., 2005; Yeo et al., 2003). The PPP family consists of the PP1, PP2A, PP2B, PP5, and PP7 subfamilies, which exhibit high amino acid sequence homology within their catalytic domains and likely share similar catalytic mechanisms (Barford et al., 1998; Honkanen and Golden, 2002; Swingle et al., 2004).

No sequence homology exists between members of the PPP and PPM families; however, comparison of their crystal structures suggests that an analogous mechanism evolved for single-step dephosphorylation reactions (Barford et al., 1998). Perhaps the greatest difference between the PPP and PPM families is that members of the PPM family are generally thought to function as monomers, whereas PPP family members form multimeric holoenzyme complexes with a wide variety of regulatory, scaffolding, targeting, and inhibitory proteins that assign substrate selectivity and direct subcellular localization of the catalytic subunit (Janssens and Goris, 2001; Schweighofer et al., 2004). Thus, the activities of distinct phosphatase holoenzymes, each composed of a catalytic subunit and an associated regulatory subunit(s), are indeed highly regulated and specific. Moreover, various post-translational modifications of phosphatase catalytic and regulatory subunits provide additional layers of regulation for some PPP family members (Bryant et al., 1999; Chen et al., 1992; Trockenbacher et al., 2001). The existence of multiple regulatory subunits that have been described for PPP family members suggest that complexity in dephosphorylation reactions lies well beyond the number of genes encoding phosphatase catalytic subunits.

Aberrant serine/threonine phosphatase activity has been linked with several pathological states including diabetes, cardiovascular disorders, cancer, and Alzheimer's disease (Arroyo and Hahn, 2005; Fiedler and Wollert, 2005; Parameswara et al., 2005; Tian and Wang, 2002). Therefore, the pharmacological manipulation of phosphatase activity is an attractive strategy for the treatment of such conditions. This review examines two different approaches for PSTP drug development: 1) targeting phosphatase catalytic subunits and 2) targeting specific phosphatase complexes (i.e. phosphatase oligomeric holoenzymes and phosphatase•substrate complexes). Both strategies are being actively pursued and have produced multiple compounds that are worthy of clinical testing.

Targeting serine/threonine phosphatase catalytic subunits for drug development

Molecular targeted drug design requires a thorough knowledge of the enzyme's structure and catalytic mechanism. The crystal structures of PPP family members (PP1, PP2B, PP5, and PP2A) are quite similar, and reveal the N- and C-terminal domains of the catalytic subunits as two distinct domains linked together by a central β -sandwich, which comprises the catalytic core. A narrow channel formed by the interface of the β -sheets creates the catalytic cleft that contains two metal ions positioned by invariant amino acid residues within the loops of the β -sheets. Although PPM and PPP family members do not share any obvious sequence homologies, the tertiary structures of phosphatases from both families are remarkably similar. The crystal structure of PP2C α shows a similar catalytic fold as PPP family members – a central β -sandwich flanked by α -helices in the N- and C-terminal domains (Barford et al., 1998). Given the high similarities in tertiary structures, PPP and PPM phosphatases likely share the same proposed catalytic mechanism (Barford et al., 1998).

A common structural region within PPP family members – the β 12- β 13 loop in PP1, PP2A, PP4, PP6, and PP5, and the L7 loop in PP2B – is critical for the binding selectivity of

protein inhibitors and small molecule inhibitors. Deletion of the C-terminus of the PP1 catalytic subunit, which includes the β 12- β 13 loop, abrogates PP1 inhibition by I-1, I-2, NIPP-1, and microcystin (Connor et al., 1999). This loop is adjacent to the catalytic cleft and, when bound to microcystin-LR, obstructs substrate entrance to the catalytic cleft. It is unclear whether protein inhibitors induce a similar conformational change to prevent substrate access, but the general importance of the β 12- β 13 loop for regulating PP1 activity indicates that this region is an attractive target for the design of novel, selective inhibitors for PPP family members.

Can serine/threonine phosphatase catalytic subunits be good drug targets? Indeed, serine/threonine phosphatase catalytic subunits are already established drug targets. Early drug discovery programs, which focused on the ability of natural compounds to induce phenotypic changes in native systems (i.e. Forward Pharmacology), have led to the identification of numerous naturally-occurring compounds that possess immunosuppressive and/or anticancer properties. It was later determined that several of these compounds (e.g. cyclosporin A, FK506, cantharidin, and fostriecin) specifically bind and inhibit serine/threonine phosphatase catalytic subunits (Honkanen, 1993; Li and Casida, 1992; Liu et al., 1991; Walsh et al., 1997). The most successful examples of such compounds are the immunosuppressants cyclosporin A (CsA) and FK506, which potently inhibit PP2B when complexed with their cognate immunophilins (Liu et al., 1991). CsA, a metabolite from the fungus *Tolypocladium inflatum*, was approved for clinical use eight years before its mechanism of action was elucidated (Cohen, 2002a). Another example of a naturally-occurring compound that inhibits serine/threonine phosphatase activity is the blister beetle toxin cantharidin. This compound is the active component of Mylabris (an extract derived from the dried body of the Chinese blister beetle), which has been used in China since ~180 AD (Han Dynasty) for the removal of dead tissue and the treatment of external skin conditions (Wang, 1989); cantharidin has also been used in the U.S. for topical wart treatment prior to 1960 (Sakoff and McCluskey, 2004). However, it wasn't until the 1990's that cantharidin was shown to bind and inhibit both PP1 and PP2A catalytic subunits (Honkanen, 1993; Li and

Casida, 1992). Although some of these compounds (e.g. microcystin-LR, nodularin, calyculin A, and cantharidin) have similar IC_{50} s for both PP1 and PP2A, structure-activity relationship (SAR) studies have facilitated the design and synthesis of inhibitor analogues with preferential selectivity for PP1 or PP2A (Aggen et al., 1999; Sakoff and McCluskey, 2004). SAR studies have also been utilized to create pharmacophores (basic structural features of a molecule that are essential for its biological activity) for the rational design of phosphatase-selective drugs (Sakoff and McCluskey, 2004).

PP2B catalytic subunit as a drug target

PP2B plays a role in diverse biological processes including axon guidance, learning and memory, heart valve morphogenesis, and cardiac function (Rusnak and Mertz, 2000); however, its most storied role is regulation of the transcription factor nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT) in lymphocytes (Macian, 2005). Activation of the T cell receptor causes an increase in intracellular calcium levels, which triggers PP2B dephosphorylation of cytosolic NFAT. Removal of NFAT's phosphate groups exposes two nuclear localization signals and induces translocation of NFAT to the nucleus, where it drives the transcription of target genes that include a variety of cytokines. The immunosuppressants CsA and FK506 prevent T cell activation by binding to cellular immunophilin proteins (cyclophilin and FKBP12, respectively), resulting in a drug-immunophilin complex that associates with and inhibits PP2B activity toward NFAT.

Knowledge of PP2B's structure is crucial to understand the shared mechanism of action of FK506 and CsA. PP2B exists in the cell as an obligate heterodimer, composed of a catalytic subunit (CnA) and a regulatory subunit (CnB). CnA consists of four domains: a catalytic domain, a CnB-binding domain, a calmodulin-binding domain, and an autoinhibitory domain (Rusnak and Mertz, 2000). CnB is a calmodulin-like protein, containing two pairs of calcium-binding EF hand motifs separated by a flexible helix linker (Kissinger et al., 1995). Current thinking holds that calcium/calmodulin activates PP2B upon binding to the calmodulin-binding domain of CnA and

inducing the dissociation of the autoinhibitory domain from the catalytic domain. Neither FKBP12•FK506 nor CyP•CsA bind directly to the phosphatase catalytic site, but both complexes block substrate access in a noncompetitive manner (Huai et al., 2002; Jia, 1997). Although FK506 and CsA are structurally unrelated, the FKBP12•FK506 and CyP•CsA complexes bind PP2B at the same surface – an interface between the CnA (catalytic subunit) and CnB (regulatory) subunits near the active site (Huai et al., 2002; Jia, 1997).

Despite the great success of CsA and FK506 in treatment of acute organ rejection, long-term usage of these drugs can lead to undesirable side effects such as nephrotoxicity and hepatotoxicity (Martinez-Martinez and Redondo, 2004). These side effects are attributed, in part, to the inhibition of immunophilins, which do not bind PP2B in the absence of drugs but perform distinct cellular functions that include the modulation of an intracellular calcium ion channel (Barik, 2006; Chelu et al., 2004). Therefore, efforts to improve on CsA and FK506 as drugs have included the pursuit of direct, selective PP2B inhibitors. One attractive strategy, which may be applicable as a broad approach for designing selective phosphatase inhibitors, integrates available structural data for PP1 and PP2B catalytic subunits and SAR studies of cantharidin analogues for PP1, PP2A, and PP2B (Baba et al., 2003). Analysis of the SARs has led to the identification of a “phosphatase-selective core” molecule that is able to bind the conserved catalytic site. Computational binding models constructed using the “core” molecule and the PP2B catalytic subunit allowed for an accurate prediction of the appropriate chemical substituents that bind to residues unique to PP2B. The resulting cantharidin analogue (1,5-dibenzoyloxymethyl-substituted norcantharidin) is the first selective PP2B inhibitor ($IC_{50} = 7 \mu M$) that directly targets the catalytic site. Interestingly, additional studies with this compound have demonstrated the importance of stereochemistry at the C1 position for PP2B selectivity, reflecting key differences in the shape of the catalytic grooves of PP2B, PP1, and PP2A (Baba et al., 2005). Current efforts are underway to synthesize pure enantiomers of additional phosphatase inhibitors to allow further testing of how compound chirality influences

phosphatase selectivity. While their therapeutic value remains to be determined, it is clear that the re-design of nature's compounds can deliver novel and selective phosphatase inhibitors.

PP2A/PP1 catalytic subunits as drug targets

PP2A and PP1 are involved in diverse cellular processes, including cell growth and proliferation, development, DNA replication and repair, metabolism, neural signaling, and apoptosis. These two phosphatases also account for the majority of serine/threonine phosphatase activity in mammalian cells. Since dysregulation of PP2A and PP1 has been implicated in numerous disease states, they have become attractive pharmacological targets. Because the specific oligomeric composition of the PP2A and PP1 holoenzymes is crucial for the control of phosphatase activity, it is necessary to understand the role of phosphatase regulatory and targeting subunits.

Native PP2A enzymes primarily exist in two forms – a core dimer and a heterotrimeric holoenzyme. The PP2A core dimer (AC), composed of the scaffolding A subunit and the catalytic C subunit, associates with a regulatory B subunit to generate the heterotrimeric holoenzyme (ABC), which is the predominant form of PP2A in the cell (Janssens and Goris, 2001). PP2A regulatory B subunits are categorized into four different families (B or PR55, B' or PR56/61, B'' or PR72/130, and B''' or PR93/110) originating from fourteen distinct genes (Janssens and Goris, 2001). The regulatory B subunit plays a crucial role directing the subcellular localization of PP2A; it can also alter the overall shape of the catalytic subunit as well as enzyme kinetics (Cho and Xu, 2007; Janssens and Goris, 2001; Price and Mumby, 2000; Strack et al., 1998).

The substrate specificity and subcellular targeting of the PP1 catalytic subunit (PP1c) is dictated by its association with more than 50 regulatory proteins (Ceulemans and Bollen, 2004; Cohen, 2002b). These subunits exhibit very little homology to one another, but most possess a common PP1c binding motif, [RK]_x-1[VI](P)[FW] (commonly referred to as an RVxF motif,

where x is any residue and (P) is any residue but proline), that interacts with a hydrophobic pocket away from the catalytic site (Egloff et al., 1997). This initial, common binding event becomes the platform used by many PP1 regulatory subunits to establish secondary, lower affinity interactions that modulate PP1c isoform selectivity. For example, following the binding of PP1c to the RVxF motif of either neurabin and spinophilin (two PP1c regulatory subunits), secondary interactions occur within a region that is C-terminal to the RVxF motif to promote preferential binding of PP1 γ 1 over PP1 β/δ (Carmody et al., 2008; Carmody et al., 2004). The interaction of PP1 catalytic subunit with its regulatory subunit can also influence substrate specificity, as is the case for the myosin phosphatase targeting subunit MYPT1. Recent crystallographic data have revealed that upon binding MYPT1, PP1c's catalytic cleft is adapted to create a more suitable binding pocket for phospho-myosin that is remarkably less suitable for other substrates (Terrak et al., 2004).

Inhibition of phosphatase activity

PP2A's wide range of action is precisely the reason that the catalytic subunit of this enzyme was thought to be an unlikely drug target. Nevertheless, a PP2A-selective inhibitor, fostriecin, entered a phase I clinical study after showing promise as a cancer-killing agent (Lewy et al., 2002). Fostriecin is an antibiotic produced by *Streptomyces pulveraceus* and, like many other natural phosphatase-inhibiting compounds, was discovered based on its antitumor properties without any knowledge of its mechanism of action. Originally, fostriecin's tumor cytotoxic activity was attributed to the inhibition of DNA topoisomerase II, but its effective concentration ($IC_{50} = 40 \mu\text{M}$) and cell cycle effects were subsequently found to be inconsistent with classical topoisomerase II inhibitors (Chen and Beck, 1993; Honkanen and Golden, 2002; Jackson et al., 1985; Lewy et al., 2002). Further studies revealed that fostriecin inhibits both PP2A and PP4 (a PP2A family member), triggering premature entry into mitosis and ultimately resulting in apoptosis (Lewy et al., 2002; Roberge et al., 1994; Walsh et al., 1997). In addition,

SAR studies supported a strong correlation between fostriecin's inhibition of phosphatases and its cytotoxicity to tumor cells in culture (Buck et al., 2003). Both PP2A and PP4 are actively involved in cell cycle regulation (Bennin et al., 2002; Wada et al., 2001). In fact, addition of the PP2A/PP4 inhibitors okadaic acid and calyculin A (at concentrations that also inhibit PP5 and PP6) to cells in culture produces the same result as treatment with fostriecin – premature entry into mitosis and cell death (Lewy et al., 2002). Thus, the revelation of fostriecin's antitumor effects and mechanism of action validates PP2A as a viable drug target.

The properties unique to fostriecin over other PP2A inhibitors (e.g microcystin-LR and calyculin A) that give it a clear advantage for development as an anticancer drug include both low toxicity and high specificity profiles. Fostriecin is 10,000 times more selective for PP2A/PP4 than PP1/PP5 (Buck et al., 2003), and much less toxic to normal cells in comparison with okadaic acid (Walsh et al., 1997). Unfortunately, fostriecin was found to be highly susceptible to oxidation, and the phase I clinical trials were suspended due to drug instability and inadequate purity in the clinical supply of the naturally-produced compound (Lewy et al., 2002). However, investigators have employed various complementary strategies to combat these issues, including the development of several novel syntheses for fostriecin analogs (Lewy et al., 2002), and the manipulation of *Streptomyces* polyketide synthase gene clusters (a process known as combinatorial biosynthesis) to selectively generate single analogs of fostriecin and fostriecin-like molecules. The latter strategy has been utilized to engineer bacterial strains that produce single analogs of phoslactomycin B, a compound structurally related to fostriecin (Palaniappan et al., 2003). Phoslactomycins are potent and selective inhibitors of PP2A, and phoslactomycin A has been shown to inhibit lung metastasis in mice through activation of natural killer cells (Kawada et al., 2003). The ability to manufacture a pure supply of phoslactomycins provides a proof of principle for the production of pure supplies of fostriecin analogs that can be tested for their clinical efficacy.

Another natural product known for its antitumor properties is cantharidin. Beneficial

therapeutic results have driven its use for almost 800 years as a medicinal agent in China for the treatment of skin conditions (see above) as well as for various cancers such as hepatoma and oesophageal carcinomas (Wang, 1989). Cantharidin is an inhibitor of PP1, PP2A, PP4, and PP5 (IC_{50} = 1.1, 0.194, 0.05, and 0.60 μ M, respectively; (Swingle et al., 2007)); PP2B inhibition is only achieved at relatively high concentrations (IC_{50} = >10 μ M). It is currently unknown whether the antitumor effect of this compound is due to the inhibition of one or more phosphatases. Cantharidin possesses several characteristics that make it ideal for development as an anticancer drug. It is a lipophilic compound that can easily traverse biological membranes and, importantly, is not a substrate for the drug transporter P-glycoprotein (Sieder et al., 1999). Furthermore, one report claims that cantharidin does not induce myelosuppression but instead stimulates hematopoiesis in animals and humans (Wang, 1989).

Western medicine has largely ignored the therapeutic potential of cantharidin due to toxicity issues; however, a demethylated cantharidin analog (norcantharidin) has been shown to have significantly less toxic effects while stimulating hematopoiesis and retaining its anticancer activity and potency for PP1 and PP2A inhibition (IC_{50} = 9 μ M and 3 μ M, respectively). Significant effort has been devoted to synthesizing norcantharidin analogues with low toxicity for development as selective phosphatase inhibitor drugs (Hill et al., 2007a; Hill et al., 2008; Hill et al., 2007b; McCluskey et al., 2000). These studies have generated numerous compounds, including the most potent PP2A- and PP1-selective analogues described to date, which have 5- to 15-fold greater potency for growth inhibition (GI_{50} ~9.6 μ M and ~3.3 μ M, respectively) in several human cancer cell lines as compared to norcantharidin (GI_{50} ~45 μ M) (Hill et al., 2007b). While further studies are required to explore the anticancer properties of these compounds *in vivo*, it is clear that the development of analogues more potent than the lead compound (norcantharidin) has been successful.

Activation of phosphatase activity

Since PP2A/PP1 activity governs several pathways important for cell growth and proliferation, it is difficult to decipher the exact substrate(s) whose abnormal phospho-state (as a result of dysfunctional PP2A/PP1 activity) leads to tumor progression. Perhaps the deregulation of different phosphatase holoenzymes may impact more than one transduction pathway that is required for cell transformation. This could explain why some cancers respond to small molecule phosphatase inhibitors, while others respond to small molecule phosphatase activators. Pre-clinical and clinical research will be critical to distinguish the appropriate treatment for specific types of cancer characterized by abnormal protein phosphorylation. For example, cancers that are slowed by kinase inhibitors may also be responsive to treatment with certain phosphatase activators.

PP2A activation. PP2A is a known tumor suppressor – a role first assigned when PP2A's catalytic activity was shown to be inhibited by the tumor-promoting shellfish toxin okadaic acid (Bialojan and Takai, 1988). Disruption of PP2A activity by a variety of other mechanisms also results in cell transformation. Spontaneous mutations in both α and β isoforms of the A subunit occur at low frequency in several human cancers (e.g. cervical, lung, breast, and colon) (Arroyo and Hahn, 2005; Cho and Xu, 2007; Ruediger et al., 2001a; Westermarck and Hahn, 2008). These mutations, many of which interrupt specific structural contacts between A and C subunits, prevent the formation of the PP2A heterotrimeric holoenzyme and result in decreased phosphatase activity (Ruediger et al., 2001a; Ruediger et al., 2001b; Westermarck and Hahn, 2008). Interestingly, cellular transformation via the SV40 small t antigen is brought about by a similar mechanism – disruption of the PP2A heterotrimeric holoenzyme. Small t antigen disrupts ABC and AB'C holoenzymes by directly binding to the A subunit, thereby competitively displacing the B and B' subunits (Arroyo and Hahn, 2005; Chen et al., 2004). Targeted disruption of the AB' α C PP2A heterotrimer by SV40 small t promotes increased phosphorylation

and activation of the oncogenic protein kinase Akt (Yuan et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2003). Sustained activation of Akt, and its downstream effectors, by small t is sufficient to transform human mammary epithelial cells (Zhao et al., 2003).

Pharmacological manipulation of PP2A's tumor suppressor activity has long been a topic of discussion in the field, and the phosphatase-activating effects of the sphingolipid metabolite ceramide on both PP2A and PP1 have been studied extensively in pursuit of an antiproliferative agent (Chalfant et al., 1999; Chalfant et al., 2004; Dobrowsky et al., 1993; Law and Rossie, 1995). Yet, only recently has there been any substantial clinical advancement of this concept. In another example of forward pharmacology, activation of PP2A activity was discovered as the underlying mechanism by which the small molecule immunosuppressant FTY720 induces apoptosis (Matsuoka et al., 2003). FTY720 is currently in phase III clinical trials for organ transplantation and multiple sclerosis (Neviani et al., 2007). This synthetic analog of the natural compound myriocin decreases peripheral circulation of T-cell lymphocytes by preventing egress from secondary lymphoid tissues, thereby decreasing T-cell movement into transplanted organs. These physiological effects have been attributed to FTY720's agonism of lymphocyte sphingosine receptors, which ultimately leads to receptor downregulation and altered lymphocyte trafficking (Brinkmann et al., 2002; Chiba, 2005; Mandala et al., 2002). In addition to its actions at the sphingosine receptor, FTY720 also triggers apoptosis by altering PP2A activity in certain human B- and T-cell leukemia cell lines, including BCR/ABL-transformed myeloid and lymphoid cells and CML-BC (blast crisis chronic myelogenous leukemia) and Ph1-ALL (Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphocytic leukemia) progenitor cells, but does not induce apoptosis in nontransformed cells and normal bone marrow cells (Neviani et al., 2007). FTY720-treated leukemia cells exhibit increased PP2A activity, leading to the dephosphorylation of BCR/ABL, Akt, and ERK1/2, ultimately resulting in cell death (Liu et al., 2008; Neviani et al., 2007). Although the precise mechanism underlying FTY720's induction of PP2A activation is unclear, evidence suggests that FTY720 has a direct effect on the PP2A heterotrimer

(Matsuoka et al., 2003). Ceramide has also been reported to directly activate the PP2A heterotrimer (Chalfant et al., 2004; Dobrowsky et al., 1993); however, a recent study suggests that ceramide directly interacts with the PP2A inhibitor 2 protein (I2PP2A or SET), resulting in decreased PP2A-SET interactions and increased PP2A activity (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2009). Interestingly, increased SET levels have been reported in BCR/ABL-transformed cells and CML-BC progenitors that undergo FTY720-induced apoptosis (Neviani et al., 2005). Perhaps FTY720's effects are also a result of restraining SET's inhibitory function.

Of note, FTY720 acts on two of the same signaling pathways (Akt and ERK1/2) as small t to manipulate cell growth. Inhibition of PP2A activity via treatment with okadaic acid or small t reverses the apoptotic effects of FTY720 by preventing Akt and ERK1/2 dephosphorylation (Liu et al., 2008; Matsuoka et al., 2003; Neviani et al., 2007). Perhaps the best indicator that FTY720 will be an effective clinical weapon against certain leukemias is its ability to restrict leukemogenesis in mouse models of B-cell lymphoma, CML-BC, and Ph1 ALL (Liu et al., 2008; Neviani et al., 2007). In this regard, FTY720 may be a novel therapy for patients with leukemias resistant to imatinib and other kinase inhibitors.

PP1 activation. A recent study has demonstrated close ties between PP1 and Plk1 (polo-like kinase 1), a key kinase regulator of mitotic progression (Yamashiro et al., 2008). Because Plk1 is overexpressed in numerous human tumors (Strebhardt and Ullrich, 2006), it has become a target for small molecule kinase inhibitors. In fact, one Plk1-selective ATP-competitor, BI 2536, is currently in phase I clinical trials. The loss of Plk1 activity in cells leads to metaphase arrest, but does not induce arrest in G2 phase (Strebhardt and Ullrich, 2006). However, overexpression of Plk1 leads to transformation of NIH 3T3 fibroblasts, and overactive Plk1 can override the DNA damage-induced G2 checkpoint. Yamashiro and colleagues reported that Plk1 activity is antagonized by the MYPT1•PP1c complex (myosin phosphatase targeting subunit•PP1 catalytic subunit) (Yamashiro et al., 2008). Thus, it is possible that activation of a

phosphatase, specifically MYPT1-associated PP1c, provides another strategy for combating dysfunctional Plk1.

The interaction of PP1c's regulatory domain (i.e. the region of PP1c that binds RVxF-containing proteins) with proteins/peptides can lead to allosteric changes that modify enzyme activity as well as substrate selectivity. Synthetic RVxF-containing peptides of various lengths (4-13mers) have been shown to activate PP1 in either the absence or presence of the PP1 inhibitor, DARPP32, thus indicating that the binding of these peptides to PP1c's regulatory domain can directly influence phosphatase activity as well as its interaction with PP1c-binding proteins (Tappan and Chamberlin, 2008). A search for small molecules that could mimic the activating effect of these RVxF-containing peptides was recently undertaken; this screen was based on molecular models of the Gm peptide/PP1c crystal and analogs of the "Adda" side chain of microcystins, which are weak activators of PP1 activity (Tappan and Chamberlin, 2008). The resulting "RVXF mimic" compound activated PP1 by two-fold in *in vitro* assays ($EC_{50} = 2 \mu\text{M}$). While the therapeutic potential of such compounds has not yet been examined, the ability to selectively activate PP1c through an allosteric, regulatory domain represents an attractive complimentary approach to drugs designed to inhibit kinase activities.

PP5 catalytic subunit as a drug target

Comparison of the three existing crystal structures of the PP5 catalytic subunit with crystal structures of other PPP family members has revealed both similar and unique properties of PP5. The N-terminal TPR domains and a short C-terminal alpha-helical subdomain are two features that distinguish PP5 from the other PPP family members. These two regions, acting in concert, provide an important autoregulatory mechanism for PP5 activity. Hydrogen bonds stabilize interactions between the catalytic domain and both the TPR domain and C-terminal subdomain, thereby physically blocking substrate access to the catalytic fold (Yang et al., 2005). The control of PP5 activity is mediated through its TPR interactions with various proteins and

lipids (Chen et al., 1994; Ramsey and Chinkers, 2002; Skinner et al., 1997). Direct binding of Hsp90 or $G\alpha_{12}/G\alpha_{13}$ activates PP5, presumably by disrupting the autoinhibitory interaction between the TPR domain and the catalytic site (Chen et al., 1996; Yamaguchi et al., 2002). In addition, lipid molecules, such as arachidonic acid and unsaturated and saturated fatty acyl coenzyme A esters, directly bind the TPR domain and stimulate PP5 by promoting a structural change within the TPR helices to relieve autoinhibition (Skinner et al., 1997; Yang et al., 2005).

There are no known specific small molecule inhibitors of the PP5 catalytic subunit, but recent studies have given researchers a preview of the potential clinical benefit of decreasing cellular PP5 expression and/or activity. The PP5 promoter contains an estrogen response element, and estradiol has been reported to increase PP5 expression by ~50% in estrogen-dependent breast carcinoma cells (MCF-7) (Urban et al., 2003). Moreover, overexpression of PP5c in MCF-7 cells promotes cell proliferation in the absence of estrogen, whereas cellular depletion of PP5 (through transfection of antisense oligonucleotides) attenuates estrogen-dependent cell growth (Honkanen and Golden, 2002). Thus, altered PP5 expression directly affects estrogen-dependent cell growth, and increased levels of this phosphatase may lead to metastases. Since decreased PP5 activity restricts estrogen-dependent cell growth, small molecule inhibitors of PP5 could be useful for treating breast cancers resistant to selective estrogen receptor modulators. The PP5 catalytic subunit also appears to be a desirable target for antitumor therapy since depletion of PP5 has been shown to induce G1 arrest and interfere with the ATR-mediated DNA damage checkpoint signaling pathway in A549 lung carcinoma cells (Zhang et al., 2005). Furthermore, hypoxia-induced elevation of cellular PP5 may aid tumor growth by negatively regulating an ASK1/MKK4/JNK pathway that facilitates an apoptotic response in cells experiencing low-oxygen environments (Zhou et al., 2004). The extant literature on PP5 suggests that a strategy similar to the one utilized for designing a PP2B selective inhibitor from a phosphatase selective core molecule (see above) may also allow the rational design of a drug that selectively targets PP5's catalytic site. Given that PP5, like PP2B,

has restricted substrate selectivity in the cell, the inhibition of its activity may allow specific manipulation of cell cycle progression without perturbation of other cellular processes.

PPM catalytic subunits as drug targets

PP2C and PP2C-like phosphatases largely function as negative regulators of stress-activated pathways (Tamura et al., 2006). The expression of one PP2C isoform, PPM1D or Wip1, is induced by p53 as part of a negative feedback loop (Fiscella et al., 1997). Wip1 dephosphorylates and inactivates p38 MAPK, resulting in the abrogation of p53 function following cellular stress (Bulavin et al., 2004). As p38 MAPK functions to activate cell cycle checkpoints through phosphorylation of various targets (p53, cdc25A, cdc25B), a Wip1-mediated decrease in p38 activity promotes cell cycle progression (Yoda et al., 2006). Aberrant Wip1 activity has been implicated in tumorigenesis, and increased levels of Wip1 have been reported in several types of cancers including neuroblastoma, medulloblastoma, primary breast cancer, and ovarian clear cell adenocarcinoma (Bulavin et al., 2004; Castellino et al., 2008). Conversely, mice lacking the *wip1* gene display increased apoptosis in breast tumors and reduced tumorigenesis (Bulavin et al., 2004). Together, these results indicate that Wip1 is an attractive target for development of small molecule inhibitors to treat certain cancers.

Interestingly, non-phosphate inhibitors of any PPM family member did not exist until recent investigations identified several compounds from the National Cancer Institute (NCI) Diversity Set library that selectively inhibit Wip1 and PP2C α (Belova et al., 2005; Rogers et al., 2006). Recent work has also produced substrate-based phosphopeptide inhibitors of Wip1 (Yamaguchi et al., 2006). Although the cellular effects of the PP2C α inhibitors remain to be tested, the Wip1 small molecule inhibitors slowed growth of breast cancer cells and reduced xenograph tumor development in mice (Belova et al., 2005). Therefore, these inhibitors can be used as lead compounds for the design of additional cell-permeable inhibitors that are specific for PPM family members, which may ultimately prove to exhibit therapeutic value.

Regulatory mechanisms for PP2C remain largely unknown; however, a recent report indicated that PP2C α is subject to allosteric activation via binding of an insulin mediator mimetic, d-chiro-inositol-galactosamine (INS2) (Brautigan et al., 2005). Treatment of severely diabetic rats with INS2 enhanced insulin signaling and decreased blood sugar levels, presumably as a result of increased PP2C α dephosphorylation and activation of glycogen synthase and/or pyruvate dehydrogenase. Since allosteric activation of PP2C α correlates with increased sensitivity to insulin, PP2C α may also prove to be a desirable target for the design of new diabetes drugs.

Summary of future prospects of targeting phosphatase catalytic subunits for drug development

Research efforts to design small molecule PSTP inhibitors/activators that target the catalytic site will continue to utilize available structural information from phosphatase catalytic subunit-inhibitor crystals. Like the norcantharidin studies discussed earlier, compounds binding the catalytic site will likely be used to construct pharmacophores upon which SAR studies can be used to improve phosphatase selectivity/specificity. Selectivity may also be improved by targeting additional sites that are less conserved and adjacent to the active site, like the RVxF-binding site of PP1c (see above). In this respect, phosphatase catalytic subunit drug design will likely follow in the footsteps of kinase inhibitors that have been designed to contact regions other than the well-conserved ATP-binding pocket.

Targeting serine/threonine phosphatase complexes for drug development

Since serine/threonine phosphatases are involved in numerous cellular processes, inhibiting or activating all forms of a select phosphatase subtype using a catalytic subunit inhibitor may not only be unnecessary for achieving the desired therapeutic effect, but may also produce unwanted toxic side effects. Thus, the ability to directly target a specific phosphatase

holoenzyme [i.e. catalytic subunit plus associated regulatory subunit(s) plus] to modulate the phospho-state and function of a specific substrate may be the Holy Grail in the field of phosphatase drug discovery. Two obvious approaches to accomplish this objective are: 1) disruption of the interaction between the phosphatase (catalytic subunit or regulatory subunit) and its substrate and 2) disruption of the interaction between the catalytic subunit and regulatory subunit.

Disrupting phosphatase complexes: is it possible?

Before discussing whether or not it is plausible to disrupt specific macromolecular phosphatase complexes, one must first consider whether small molecules are capable of disrupting protein-protein interactions. Indeed, recent studies have revealed several examples of molecules that inhibit multi-protein complexes. The nutlin compounds, which mimic p53's chemical structure, bind MDM2 at the same site as p53 and prevent the interaction of p53 with MDM2. Impaired formation of the p53•MDM2 complex promotes stability of the p53 tumor suppressor, and ultimately, cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (Vassilev et al., 2004). Importantly, this anti-tumor response, prompted by nutlins, occurs in mouse models, indicating that the specific disruption of an enzyme-substrate interaction can be of therapeutic value (Vassilev et al., 2004).

The disruption of specific phosphatase complexes to achieve therapeutic benefits is an idea that is gaining ground in the biomedical community, and small molecule or peptide antagonists have been identified for several phosphatase complexes (Table I and Figure 1A-C). A recent study exploited cell-permeable peptides that mimic the structure of "phosphatase interacting motifs" in specific subcellular targets of PP1 and PP2A; these peptides bind the phosphatase in the same manner as the substrate (Guergnon et al., 2006). The determination of phosphatase binding sites within a PP1 interacting protein (Bad) and a PP2A interacting protein (CD28) allowed the construction of short corresponding peptides fused with a basic

stretch of amino acids to confer cell permeability. The introduction of either one of these peptides into cells in culture induced cell death. Although these peptides interact with their targeted phosphatase subunit (PP1c and PP2A_{B55}, respectively), it remains to be determined whether an actual decrease or disruption of these complexes occurred in the affected cells. Nevertheless, the manipulation of phosphatase-substrate interactions not only appears to be feasible but may also be of therapeutic value (see below).

Impairing the formation of phosphatase•substrate complexes may be one way of achieving a therapeutic benefit; however, another viable strategy is the targeted disruption of individual phosphatase holoenzymes [i.e. catalytic subunit and associated regulatory subunit]. Various regulatory subunits serve to target both PP1 and PP2A holoenzymes to a specific substrate (Janssens and Goris, 2001). Separating the regulatory subunit from the holoenzyme can prevent the association of the catalytic subunit with its substrate and/or result in dissociation of the catalytic subunit from its substrate. For example, synthetic peptides containing the RVxF (PP1c-binding) motif have been used to disrupt PP1c complexes, and the introduction of these peptides into neuronal cells has been shown to influence synaptic transmission (Morishita et al., 2001; Yan et al., 1999).

Interestingly, several viruses induce cell transformation through the disruption of phosphatase holoenzymes. Both SV40 and polyoma small tumor antigens (e.g. small t) interact with the PP2A AC dimer and displace the B/PR55 and B'/PR56 subunits from the PP2A heterotrimer (ABC), resulting in inhibition of PP2A activity (Pallas et al., 1990; Ruediger et al., 1999; Yang et al., 1991). The introduction of small t into cultured cells causes a decrease in AB_{PR55}C and AB_{PR56}C holoenzymes and an increase in AC•small t complexes (Chen et al., 2004; Sontag et al., 1993). However, the disruption of AB_{PR56}C holoenzymes, and not AB_{PR55}C holoenzymes, most likely accounts for the small t-mediated induction of cell proliferation (Chen et al., 2004). Ultimately, fluctuations in the cellular levels of AB_{PR56}C holoenzymes could affect PP2A's regulation of the c-myc transcription factor – a well-known oncogene.

Dephosphorylation of c-myc by AB_{PR56}C leads to its ubiquitination and subsequent degradation; a mutant form of c-myc that is resistant to PP2A activity transforms cells in a similar manner to small t transformation (Arnold and Sears, 2006). Altogether, these studies illustrate how altering cellular levels of specific forms of phosphatase holoenzymes can profoundly affect major cellular processes.

Disrupting the PP2B•NFAT complex

With the great success of the immunosuppressive drugs CsA and FK506 (Figure 1B), one might question why the PP2B•NFAT complex should be considered for selective disruption by small molecule inhibitors. As discussed in an earlier section (*PP2B catalytic subunit as a drug target*), certain toxic side effects of CsA and FK506 have directed research toward the development of compounds that specifically inhibit PP2B activity without affecting the functions of the CsA and FK506-binding partners, cyclophilin and FKBP12, respectively. A possible way to achieve specific inhibition of PP2B activity toward NFAT is to block their association, thereby preventing NFAT's activation of cytokine gene expression.

One such study uncovered several small molecule antagonists of the PP2B-NFAT interaction, termed INCAs (Inhibitors of NFAT-Calcineurin Association), from a library of over 16,000 small organic compounds (Roehrl et al., 2004). The INCA compounds bind directly to the NFAT binding site of PP2B and inhibit NFAT activation and subsequent cytokine gene expression in T cells. Although no direct evidence was presented to show a decrease in PP2B•NFAT complexes within the INCA-treated T cells, the desired effect of blocking T cell activation was accomplished. Thus, the selective targeting and interference of a defined protein-protein interaction, such as PP2B-NFAT, may represent a significant improvement in the design of novel immunosuppressive drugs.

Disrupting the PP1•GADD34 complex

Apoptosis can be triggered by various signaling events, but perhaps one of the least characterized apoptosis-activating pathways is the unfolded protein response (UPR). The UPR is induced by extreme ER stress and is critical for the maintenance of cell homeostasis; aberrant regulation of this pathway is linked to several diseases including Alzheimer's and diabetes (Marciniak and Ron, 2006). A recent screen for chemical compounds that protect the cell from ER stress-induced apoptosis yielded a novel small molecule termed salubrinal (sal) (Boyce et al., 2005). Because sal was shown to promote sustained eIF2 α phosphorylation without activating any of four eIF2 α kinases, its effect on eIF2 α dephosphorylation was scrutinized. Further studies revealed that sal blocks eIF2 α dephosphorylation by the PP1•GADD34 complex, which is activated during ER stress (Boyce et al., 2005). A decrease in PP1•GADD34 complexes was also observed in sal-treated cells as compared to control cells. Current studies are focused on testing whether this change in the composition of PP1•GADD34 complexes is a result of the direct binding of sal to PP1 or GADD34. Regardless of the outcome, it is clear that small-molecule disruption of a specific phosphatase complex can override a programmed cell response.

Interestingly, the clinical implications from these findings include a potential treatment for the herpes simplex virus (HSV). Boyce and colleagues reported that the sustained dephosphorylation of eIF2 α caused by HSV infection can be blocked following sal treatment of HSV-infected cells; the sal-induced increase in eIF2 α phosphorylation appears to be due to an inhibition of GADD34/PP1-mediated dephosphorylation of eIF2 α (Boyce et al., 2005). Because sal inhibits HSV replication in both cultured cells and a mouse cornea infection model (Bryant et al., 2008), interference of the PP1•GADD34 complex could become a goal in the design of future anti-HSV drugs.

Disrupting the PP1•HDAC complex

Histone deacetylases (HDACs) have become a popular target for antitumor drugs, and several HDAC inhibitors are currently in clinical trials (Lin et al., 2006). Although the molecular mechanism(s) underlying the antitumor properties of HDAC inhibitors has not yet been fully elucidated, recent studies indicate that some HDAC inhibitors disrupt PP1•HDAC complexes, resulting in increased dephosphorylation or acetylation of specific substrates (e.g. Akt and tubulin) (Brush et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2005). Treatment of glioblastoma and prostate cancer cells with the HDAC inhibitor trichostatin A (TSA) disrupts the PP1-HDAC6 interaction and promotes increased PP1 association with Akt (Chen et al., 2005). Consistent with this result is the observation that TSA treatment decreased levels of phospho-Akt in target cells – an effect that can be reversed with PP1, but not PP2A, inhibitors (Chen et al., 2005). The dephosphorylation/inactivation of Akt leads to a decrease in cell proliferation, which may explain the therapeutic benefit of HDAC inhibitors (Figure 1A). Disruption of PP1•HDAC complexes by TSA and other HDAC inhibitors has also been linked to the potentiation of CREB-mediated gene transcription (Canettieri et al., 2003; Michael et al., 2000); however, it remains to be determined whether these changes in gene transcription influence cell growth and proliferation. Furthermore, the tumor suppressor Rb protein has been shown to associate with PP1•HDAC complexes (Guo et al., 2007), but the sensitivity of this complex to TSA or other HDAC inhibitors has not yet been explored. Thus, it appears that the antitumor effects of HDAC inhibitors could, in part, be due to the inhibition of one protein-protein interaction (PP1-HDAC6), which facilitates another protein-protein interaction (PP1-Akt).

Disrupting the PP2A•Raf1 complex

Raf1 (a.k.a. c-Raf) is an important MAP kinase kinase kinase (MAP3K) that functions in the Ras-Raf1-MEK-ERK pathway to transmit mitogenic, differentiative, and oncogenic signals to the downstream kinases MEK (a MAP2K) and ERK (a MAPK). Raf1 is subject to complex

regulatory steps that include inter- and intra-molecular protein interactions as well as direct phosphorylations (Chong et al., 2003). A key regulator of Raf1 is the Ras, which, in its active form, recruits Raf1 to the plasma membrane. Ras binding promotes conformational changes in Raf1 that relieve autoinhibition and facilitate phosphorylation of activating sites within the kinase catalytic domain. Although the inactivation mechanism of Raf1 is not fully understood, it is thought to involve phosphorylation of inhibitory residues and dephosphorylation of activating residues. Recent studies have revealed that two members of the PPP family of phosphatases, PP2A and PP5, associate with Raf1 and function as positive (PP2A) and negative (PP5) modulators of Raf1 activation by dephosphorylating the inhibitory and activating phospho-sites, respectively (Abraham et al., 2000; Adams et al., 2005; Ory et al., 2003; von Kriegsheim et al., 2006).

Early investigations demonstrated that the PP2A catalytic subunit (PP2Ac) associates with several MAPK family members including Raf1 (Abraham et al., 2000), MEK1 (Liu and Hofmann, 2004), ERK (Liu and Hofmann, 2004), and KSR (Ory et al., 2003). Surprisingly, PP2A appears to function as both a positive and negative regulator of the mitogen-activated Ras-Raf1-MEK-ERK signal transduction pathway. Furthermore, recent studies have demonstrated that distinct PP2A holoenzymes differentially modulate multiple steps in this signal transduction pathway (Adams et al., 2005; Letourneux et al., 2006; Silverstein et al., 2002; Ugi et al., 2002). B α - and B δ -containing holoenzymes function as positive regulators of Raf1-MEK-ERK signaling by associating with Raf1 and catalyzing the dephosphorylation of an inhibitory site in this kinase (p-S259), resulting in Raf1 activation and consequential phosphorylation/activation of MEK and ERK (Adams et al., 2005). Another recent study has uncovered a negative role for B'-containing PP2A holoenzymes in the activation of ERK – PP2A holoenzymes containing a B' (a.k.a. B56) family member associate with ERK and trigger the dephosphorylation/inactivation of this kinase (Letourneux et al., 2006).

Because Raf1 is centrally involved in Ras signaling, it can also contribute to oncogenic processes. In fact, deregulated or constitutively active Raf1 proteins can themselves cause cell transformation (Heidecker et al., 1990); Raf1 mutations have also been identified in breast, thyroid, and lung carcinomas, and bone sarcomas (Beeram et al., 2005). Since the Raf1-associated PP2A functions as a positive modulator of Raf1 activation, it is tempting to speculate that the pharmacological manipulation of the Raf1-PP2A interaction could have therapeutic value. For example, disruption of PP2A•Raf1 complexes could be therapeutic for pathological conditions characterized by abnormally high Raf1 activity. Specifically, targeting the B α /B δ -binding site on Raf1 or the Raf1-binding site on PP2A with a small molecule antagonist could serve to downregulate levels of phospho-MEK and phospho-ERK, ultimately suppressing cell growth and proliferation.

Disrupting the PP2A•IKK complex

The I κ B kinase (IKK) complex plays a central role in the activation of NF- κ B, a family of transcription factors that regulate the expression of numerous genes involved in the control of cell growth and survival (Hayden and Ghosh, 2008). A variety of stimuli can activate the IKK/NF- κ B signal transduction cascade, including tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF), interleukin-1, T and B cell mitogens, bacterial products, viral proteins, as well as physical and chemical stress. Activation of the prototypic IKK complex, which is comprised of two highly homologous kinases (IKK α and IKK β) and a regulatory subunit (IKK γ), occurs following signal-induced phosphorylation of T loop serines within IKK α and IKK β (Delhase et al., 1999). The principal substrate of IKK is I κ B, an inhibitory subunit of NF- κ B. When phosphorylated by IKK, I κ B is targeted for degradation via the 26S proteasome and NF- κ B is free to translocate to the nuclear compartment where it activates transcription units containing the κ B-binding site. The post-inductive repression of IKK activity is thought to involve inhibitory phosphorylations of IKK

subunits and PP2C- or PP1-mediated dephosphorylation of the T loop serines (Delhase et al., 1999; Li et al., 2008; Prajapati et al., 2004).

Another important player in the IKK activation process is PP2A. Like the Ras-Raf1-MEK-ERK pathway, PP2A has been shown to play a crucial regulatory role at many steps in the IKK-NF- κ B signal transduction pathway (DiDonato et al., 1997; Fu et al., 2003; Li et al., 2006; Sun et al., 1995; Yang et al., 2001). Although *in vitro* studies have revealed that PP2A dephosphorylates and inactivates IKK (DiDonato et al., 1997; Li et al., 2006), Kray and colleagues reported that PP2A functions as a positive modulator of cellular IKK activity via its association with the regulatory IKK γ subunit of the IKK complex (Kray et al., 2005). Removal of the PP2A-binding site in IKK γ impairs TNF-induced activation of IKK, indicating that proper induction of I κ B kinase activity is contingent upon formation of IKK•PP2A complexes. Although the precise mechanism of PP2A action on IKK remains unclear, the IKK-associated PP2A may induce the activation of IKK by dephosphorylating inhibitory phospho-sites within IKK β and IKK γ subunits that include multiple serine residues positioned in the C-terminal region of IKK β and Ser-68 in IKK γ (Delhase et al., 1999; Palkowitsch et al., 2008).

Since dysregulation of IKK activity has been implicated in several human pathologies, including immune and inflammatory diseases (Courtois and Gilmore, 2006; Karin, 2006), it is not surprising that the IKK complex has become an increasingly popular drug target. Moreover, recent studies linking chronic inflammation and persistent activation of the NF- κ B pathway to tumorigenesis have underscored the importance of targeting molecular components of this pathway for the treatment of various cancers (Greten et al., 2004; Pikarsky et al., 2004). Given that the IKK-associated PP2A functions as positive modulator of IKK activity (Kray et al., 2005), one would predict that the specific disruption of this kinase-phosphatase interaction should attenuate IKK-dependent NF- κ B activation (Figure 1D). Identification of the precise protein-protein interaction domains within IKK and PP2A should facilitate further studies aimed at the

development of small molecule antagonists of this interaction, which may prove to be of therapeutic value for pathological conditions characterized by persistent IKK/NF- κ B activation.

Summary

The use of natural compounds indicates that the manipulation of ser/thr phosphatase activity has a broad range of potential therapeutic uses – from treating cancer to promoting a desired immunosuppressive effect. The emerging wealth of structural data for phosphatase holoenzymes will allow for an improved design on natural compounds to obtain more specific catalytic subunit inhibitors. Furthermore, emerging discoveries of small molecule antagonists for macromolecular complexes open up new possibilities for the selective targeting and disruption of specific phosphatase complexes to achieve therapeutic benefits. The list of phosphatase complexes that could potentially be targeted for drug development is continuously growing (Table 1). Further studies of the regulation and function of phosphatase macromolecular complexes will lay the foundation for future studies aimed at developing specific antagonists for these complexes, which may ultimately prove to be valuable therapeutic agents for a number of human diseases.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Drs. Roger Colbran and Richard Honkanen for critical evaluation of this manuscript, and members of the Wadzinski laboratory for helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- Abraham, D., K. Podar, M. Pacher, M. Kubicek, N. Welzel, B.A. Hemmings, S.M. Dilworth, H. Mischak, W. Kolch, and M. Baccarini. 2000. Raf-1-associated protein phosphatase 2A as a positive regulator of kinase activation. *J Biol Chem.* 275:22300-22304.
- Adams, D.G., R.L. Coffee, Jr., H. Zhang, S. Pelech, S. Strack, and B.E. Wadzinski. 2005. Positive regulation of Raf1-MEK1/2-ERK1/2 signaling by protein serine/threonine phosphatase 2A holoenzymes. *J Biol Chem.* 280:42644-42654.
- Aggen, J.B., J.M. Humphrey, C.M. Gauss, H.B. Huang, A.C. Nairn, and A.R. Chamberlin. 1999. The design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of analogues of the serine-threonine protein phosphatase 1 and 2A selective inhibitor microcystin LA: rational modifications imparting PP1 selectivity. *Bioorg Med Chem.* 7:543-564.
- Arnold, H.K., and R.C. Sears. 2006. Protein phosphatase 2A regulatory subunit B56alpha associates with c-myc and negatively regulates c-myc accumulation. *Mol Cell Biol.* 26:2832-2844.
- Arroyo, J.D., and W.C. Hahn. 2005. Involvement of PP2A in viral and cellular transformation. *Oncogene.* 24:7746-7755.
- Baba, Y., N. Hirukawa, and M. Sodeoka. 2005. Optically active cantharidin analogues possessing selective inhibitory activity on Ser/Thr protein phosphatase 2B (calcineurin): implications for the binding mode. *Bioorg Med Chem.* 13:5164-5170.
- Baba, Y., N. Hirukawa, N. Tanohira, and M. Sodeoka. 2003. Structure-based design of a highly selective catalytic site-directed inhibitor of Ser/Thr protein phosphatase 2B (calcineurin). *J Am Chem Soc.* 125:9740-9749.
- Barford, D., A.K. Das, and M.P. Egloff. 1998. The structure and mechanism of protein phosphatases: insights into catalysis and regulation. *Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct.* 27:133-164.
- Barik, S. 2006. Immunophilins: for the love of proteins. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 63:2889-2900.
- Bauman, A.L., and J.D. Scott. 2002. Kinase- and phosphatase-anchoring proteins: harnessing the dynamic duo. *Nat Cell Biol.* 4:E203-206.
- Beeram, M., A. Patnaik, and E.K. Rowinsky. 2005. Raf: a strategic target for therapeutic development against cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 23:6771-6790.

- Belova, G.I., O.N. Demidov, A.J. Fornace, Jr., and D.V. Bulavin. 2005. Chemical inhibition of Wip1 phosphatase contributes to suppression of tumorigenesis. *Cancer Biol Ther.* 4:1154-1158.
- Bennin, D.A., A.S. Don, T. Brake, J.L. McKenzie, H. Rosenbaum, L. Ortiz, A.A. DePaoli-Roach, and M.C. Horne. 2002. Cyclin G2 associates with protein phosphatase 2A catalytic and regulatory B' subunits in active complexes and induces nuclear aberrations and a G1/S phase cell cycle arrest. *J Biol Chem.* 277:27449-27467.
- Bialojan, C., and A. Takai. 1988. Inhibitory effect of a marine-sponge toxin, okadaic acid, on protein phosphatases. Specificity and kinetics. *Biochem J.* 256:283-290.
- Boyce, M., K.F. Bryant, C. Jousse, K. Long, H.P. Harding, D. Scheuner, R.J. Kaufman, D. Ma, D.M. Coen, D. Ron, and J. Yuan. 2005. A selective inhibitor of eIF2alpha dephosphorylation protects cells from ER stress. *Science.* 307:935-939.
- Brautigan, D.L., M. Brown, S. Grindrod, G. Chinigo, A. Kruszewski, S.M. Lukasik, J.H. Bushweller, M. Horal, S. Keller, S. Tamura, D.B. Heimark, J. Price, A.N. Larner, and J. Larner. 2005. Allosteric activation of protein phosphatase 2C by D-chiro-inositol-galactosamine, a putative mediator mimetic of insulin action. *Biochemistry.* 44:11067-11073.
- Brinkmann, V., M.D. Davis, C.E. Heise, R. Albert, S. Cottens, R. Hof, C. Bruns, E. Prieschl, T. Baumruker, P. Hiestand, C.A. Foster, M. Zollinger, and K.R. Lynch. 2002. The immune modulator FTY720 targets sphingosine 1-phosphate receptors. *J Biol Chem.* 277:21453-21457.
- Brush, M.H., A. Guardiola, J.H. Connor, T.P. Yao, and S. Shenolikar. 2004. Deacetylase inhibitors disrupt cellular complexes containing protein phosphatases and deacetylases. *J Biol Chem.* 279:7685-7691.
- Bryant, J.C., R.S. Westphal, and B.E. Wadzinski. 1999. Methylated C-terminal leucine residue of PP2A catalytic subunit is important for binding of regulatory Balpha subunit. *Biochem J.* 339 (Pt 2):241-246.
- Bryant, K.F., E.R. Macari, N. Malik, M. Boyce, J. Yuan, and D.M. Coen. 2008. ICP34.5-dependent and -independent activities of salubrinal in herpes simplex virus-1 infected cells. *Virology.* 379:197-204.
- Buck, S.B., C. Hardouin, S. Ichikawa, D.R. Soenen, C.M. Gauss, I. Hwang, M.R. Swingle, K.M. Bonness, R.E. Honkanen, and D.L. Boger. 2003. Fundamental role of the fostriecin unsaturated lactone and implications for selective protein phosphatase inhibition. *J Am Chem Soc.* 125:15694-15695.

- Bulavin, D.V., C. Phillips, B. Nannenga, O. Timofeev, L.A. Donehower, C.W. Anderson, E. Appella, and A.J. Fornace, Jr. 2004. Inactivation of the Wip1 phosphatase inhibits mammary tumorigenesis through p38 MAPK-mediated activation of the p16(Ink4a)-p19(Arf) pathway. *Nat Genet.* 36:343-350.
- Canettieri, G., I. Morantte, E. Guzman, H. Asahara, S. Herzig, S.D. Anderson, J.R. Yates, 3rd, and M. Montminy. 2003. Attenuation of a phosphorylation-dependent activator by an HDAC-PP1 complex. *Nat Struct Biol.* 10:175-181.
- Carmody, L.C., A.J. Baucum, 2nd, M.A. Bass, and R.J. Colbran. 2008. Selective targeting of the gamma1 isoform of protein phosphatase 1 to F-actin in intact cells requires multiple domains in spinophilin and neurabin. *Faseb J.* 22:1660-1671.
- Carmody, L.C., P.A. Bauman, M.A. Bass, N. Mavila, A.A. DePaoli-Roach, and R.J. Colbran. 2004. A protein phosphatase-1gamma1 isoform selectivity determinant in dendritic spine-associated neurabin. *J Biol Chem.* 279:21714-21723.
- Castellino, R.C., M. De Bortoli, X. Lu, S.H. Moon, T.A. Nguyen, M.A. Shepard, P.H. Rao, L.A. Donehower, and J.Y. Kim. 2008. Medulloblastomas overexpress the p53-inactivating oncogene WIP1/PPM1D. *J Neurooncol.* 86:245-256.
- Ceulemans, H., and M. Bollen. 2004. Functional diversity of protein phosphatase-1, a cellular economizer and reset button. *Physiol Rev.* 84:1-39.
- Chalfant, C.E., K. Kishikawa, M.C. Mumby, C. Kamibayashi, A. Bielawska, and Y.A. Hannun. 1999. Long chain ceramides activate protein phosphatase-1 and protein phosphatase-2A. Activation is stereospecific and regulated by phosphatidic acid. *J Biol Chem.* 274:20313-20317.
- Chalfant, C.E., Z. Szulc, P. Roddy, A. Bielawska, and Y.A. Hannun. 2004. The structural requirements for ceramide activation of serine-threonine protein phosphatases. *J Lipid Res.* 45:496-506.
- Chelu, M.G., C.I. Danila, C.P. Gilman, and S.L. Hamilton. 2004. Regulation of ryanodine receptors by FK506 binding proteins. *Trends Cardiovasc Med.* 14:227-234.
- Chen, C.S., S.C. Weng, P.H. Tseng, and H.P. Lin. 2005. Histone acetylation-independent effect of histone deacetylase inhibitors on Akt through the reshuffling of protein phosphatase 1 complexes. *J Biol Chem.* 280:38879-38887.
- Chen, J., B.L. Martin, and D.L. Brautigan. 1992. Regulation of protein serine-threonine phosphatase type-2A by tyrosine phosphorylation. *Science.* 257:1261-1264.

- Chen, M., and W.T. Beck. 1993. Teniposide-resistant CEM cells, which express mutant DNA topoisomerase II alpha, when treated with non-complex-stabilizing inhibitors of the enzyme, display no cross-resistance and reveal aberrant functions of the mutant enzyme. *Cancer Res.* 53:5946-5953.
- Chen, M.S., A.M. Silverstein, W.B. Pratt, and M. Chinkers. 1996. The tetratricopeptide repeat domain of protein phosphatase 5 mediates binding to glucocorticoid receptor heterocomplexes and acts as a dominant negative mutant. *J Biol Chem.* 271:32315-32320.
- Chen, M.X., A.E. McPartlin, L. Brown, Y.H. Chen, H.M. Barker, and P.T. Cohen. 1994. A novel human protein serine/threonine phosphatase, which possesses four tetratricopeptide repeat motifs and localizes to the nucleus. *Embo J.* 13:4278-4290.
- Chen, W., R. Possemato, K.T. Campbell, C.A. Plattner, D.C. Pallas, and W.C. Hahn. 2004. Identification of specific PP2A complexes involved in human cell transformation. *Cancer Cell.* 5:127-136.
- Chiba, K. 2005. FTY720, a new class of immunomodulator, inhibits lymphocyte egress from secondary lymphoid tissues and thymus by agonistic activity at sphingosine 1-phosphate receptors. *Pharmacol Ther.* 108:308-319.
- Cho, U.S., and W. Xu. 2007. Crystal structure of a protein phosphatase 2A heterotrimeric holoenzyme. *Nature.* 445:53-57.
- Chong, H., H.G. Vikis, and K.L. Guan. 2003. Mechanisms of regulating the Raf kinase family. *Cell Signal.* 15:463-469.
- Cohen, P. 2002a. Protein kinases--the major drug targets of the twenty-first century? *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 1:309-315.
- Cohen, P.T. 2002b. Protein phosphatase 1--targeted in many directions. *J Cell Sci.* 115:241-256.
- Connor, J.H., T. Kleeman, S. Barik, R.E. Honkanen, and S. Shenolikar. 1999. Importance of the beta12-beta13 loop in protein phosphatase-1 catalytic subunit for inhibition by toxins and mammalian protein inhibitors. *J Biol Chem.* 274:22366-22372.
- Courtois, G., and T.D. Gilmore. 2006. Mutations in the NF-kappaB signaling pathway: implications for human disease. *Oncogene.* 25:6831-6843.
- Delhase, M., M. Hayakawa, Y. Chen, and M. Karin. 1999. Positive and negative regulation of IkappaB kinase activity through IKKbeta subunit phosphorylation. *Science.* 284:309-313.

- DiDonato, J.A., M. Hayakawa, D.M. Rothwarf, E. Zandi, and M. Karin. 1997. A cytokine-responsive I κ B kinase that activates the transcription factor NF- κ B. *Nature*. 388:548-554.
- Dobrowsky, R.T., C. Kamibayashi, M.C. Mumby, and Y.A. Hannun. 1993. Ceramide activates heterotrimeric protein phosphatase 2A. *J Biol Chem*. 268:15523-15530.
- Ducruet, A.P., A. Vogt, P. Wipf, and J.S. Lazo. 2005. Dual specificity protein phosphatases: therapeutic targets for cancer and Alzheimer's disease. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol*. 45:725-750.
- Egloff, M.P., D.F. Johnson, G. Moorhead, P.T. Cohen, P. Cohen, and D. Barford. 1997. Structural basis for the recognition of regulatory subunits by the catalytic subunit of protein phosphatase 1. *Embo J*. 16:1876-1887.
- Fiedler, B., and K.C. Wollert. 2005. Targeting calcineurin and associated pathways in cardiac hypertrophy and failure. *Expert Opin Ther Targets*. 9:963-973.
- Fiscella, M., H. Zhang, S. Fan, K. Sakaguchi, S. Shen, W.E. Mercer, G.F. Vande Woude, P.M. O'Connor, and E. Appella. 1997. Wip1, a novel human protein phosphatase that is induced in response to ionizing radiation in a p53-dependent manner. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 94:6048-6053.
- Fu, D.X., Y.L. Kuo, B.Y. Liu, K.T. Jeang, and C.Z. Giam. 2003. Human T-lymphotropic virus type I tax activates I- κ B kinase by inhibiting I- κ B kinase-associated serine/threonine protein phosphatase 2A. *J Biol Chem*. 278:1487-1493.
- Gallego, M., and D.M. Virshup. 2005. Protein serine/threonine phosphatases: life, death, and sleeping. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*. 17:197-202.
- Greten, F.R., L. Eckmann, T.F. Greten, J.M. Park, Z.W. Li, L.J. Egan, M.F. Kagnoff, and M. Karin. 2004. IKK β links inflammation and tumorigenesis in a mouse model of colitis-associated cancer. *Cell*. 118:285-296.
- Guergnon, J., F. Dessauge, V. Dominguez, J. Viallet, S. Bonnefoy, V.J. Yuste, O. Mercereau-Puijalon, X. Cayla, A. Rebollo, S.A. Susin, P.E. Bost, and A. Garcia. 2006. Use of penetrating peptides interacting with PP1/PP2A proteins as a general approach for a drug phosphatase technology. *Mol Pharmacol*. 69:1115-1124.
- Guo, C., J. Mi, D.L. Brautigam, and J.M. Larner. 2007. ATM regulates ionizing radiation-induced disruption of HDAC1:PP1:Rb complexes. *Cell Signal*. 19:504-510.
- Hayden, M.S., and S. Ghosh. 2008. Shared principles in NF- κ B signaling. *Cell*. 132:344-362.

- Heidecker, G., M. Huleihel, J.L. Cleveland, W. Kolch, T.W. Beck, P. Lloyd, T. Pawson, and U.R. Rapp. 1990. Mutational activation of c-raf-1 and definition of the minimal transforming sequence. *Mol Cell Biol.* 10:2503-2512.
- Hill, T.A., S.G. Stewart, S.P. Ackland, J. Gilbert, B. Sauer, J.A. Sakoff, and A. McCluskey. 2007a. Norcantharimides, synthesis and anticancer activity: Synthesis of new norcantharidin analogues and their anticancer evaluation. *Bioorg Med Chem.* 15:6126-6134.
- Hill, T.A., S.G. Stewart, C.P. Gordon, S.P. Ackland, J. Gilbert, B. Sauer, J.A. Sakoff, and A. McCluskey. 2008. Norcantharidin analogues: synthesis, anticancer activity and protein phosphatase 1 and 2A inhibition. *ChemMedChem.* 3:1878-1892.
- Hill, T.A., S.G. Stewart, B. Sauer, J. Gilbert, S.P. Ackland, J.A. Sakoff, and A. McCluskey. 2007b. Heterocyclic substituted cantharidin and norcantharidin analogues--synthesis, protein phosphatase (1 and 2A) inhibition, and anti-cancer activity. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 17:3392-3397.
- Honkanen, R.E. 1993. Cantharidin, another natural toxin that inhibits the activity of serine/threonine protein phosphatases types 1 and 2A. *FEBS Lett.* 330:283-286.
- Honkanen, R.E., and T. Golden. 2002. Regulators of serine/threonine protein phosphatases at the dawn of a clinical era? *Curr Med Chem.* 9:2055-2075.
- Huai, Q., H.Y. Kim, Y. Liu, Y. Zhao, A. Mondragon, J.O. Liu, and H. Ke. 2002. Crystal structure of calcineurin-cyclophilin-cyclosporin shows common but distinct recognition of immunophilin-drug complexes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 99:12037-12042.
- Jackson, R.C., D.W. Fry, T.J. Boritzki, B.J. Roberts, K.E. Hook, and W.R. Leopold. 1985. The biochemical pharmacology of CI-920, a structurally novel antibiotic with antileukemic activity. *Adv Enzyme Regul.* 23:193-215.
- Janssens, V., and J. Goris. 2001. Protein phosphatase 2A: a highly regulated family of serine/threonine phosphatases implicated in cell growth and signalling. *Biochem J.* 353:417-439.
- Jia, Z. 1997. Protein phosphatases: structures and implications. *Biochem Cell Biol.* 75:17-26.
- Kamenski, T., S. Heilmeyer, A. Meinhart, and P. Cramer. 2004. Structure and mechanism of RNA polymerase II CTD phosphatases. *Mol Cell.* 15:399-407.
- Karin, M. 2006. Nuclear factor-kappaB in cancer development and progression. *Nature.* 441:431-436.

- Kawada, M., M. Kawatsu, T. Masuda, S. Ohba, M. Amemiya, T. Kohama, M. Ishizuka, and T. Takeuchi. 2003. Specific inhibitors of protein phosphatase 2A inhibit tumor metastasis through augmentation of natural killer cells. *Int Immunopharmacol.* 3:179-188.
- Kissinger, C.R., H.E. Parge, D.R. Knighton, C.T. Lewis, L.A. Pelletier, A. Tempczyk, V.J. Kalish, K.D. Tucker, R.E. Showalter, E.W. Moomaw, and et al. 1995. Crystal structures of human calcineurin and the human FKBP12-FK506-calcineurin complex. *Nature.* 378:641-644.
- Kray, A.E., R.S. Carter, K.N. Pennington, R.J. Gomez, L.E. Sanders, J.M. Llanes, W.N. Khan, D.W. Ballard, and B.E. Wadzinski. 2005. Positive regulation of I κ B kinase signaling by protein serine/threonine phosphatase 2A. *J Biol Chem.* 280:35974-35982.
- Law, B., and S. Rossie. 1995. The dimeric and catalytic subunit forms of protein phosphatase 2A from rat brain are stimulated by C2-ceramide. *J Biol Chem.* 270:12808-12813.
- Lazar, D.F., and A.R. Saltiel. 2006. Lipid phosphatases as drug discovery targets for type 2 diabetes. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 5:333-342.
- Letourneux, C., G. Rocher, and F. Porteu. 2006. B56-containing PP2A dephosphorylate ERK and their activity is controlled by the early gene IEX-1 and ERK. *Embo J.* 25:727-738.
- Lewy, D.S., C.M. Gauss, D.R. Soenen, and D.L. Boger. 2002. Fostriecin: chemistry and biology. *Curr Med Chem.* 9:2005-2032.
- Li, H.Y., H. Liu, C.H. Wang, J.Y. Zhang, J.H. Man, Y.F. Gao, P.J. Zhang, W.H. Li, J. Zhao, X. Pan, T. Zhou, W.L. Gong, A.L. Li, and X.M. Zhang. 2008. Deactivation of the kinase IKK by CUEDC2 through recruitment of the phosphatase PP1. *Nat Immunol.* 9:533-541.
- Li, S., L. Wang, M.A. Berman, Y. Zhang, and M.E. Dorf. 2006. RNAi screen in mouse astrocytes identifies phosphatases that regulate NF- κ B signaling. *Mol Cell.* 24:497-509.
- Li, Y.M., and J.E. Casida. 1992. Cantharidin-binding protein: identification as protein phosphatase 2A. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 89:11867-11870.
- Lin, H.Y., C.S. Chen, S.P. Lin, and J.R. Weng. 2006. Targeting histone deacetylase in cancer therapy. *Med Res Rev.* 26:397-413.
- Liu, J., J.D. Farmer, Jr., W.S. Lane, J. Friedman, I. Weissman, and S.L. Schreiber. 1991. Calcineurin is a common target of cyclophilin-cyclosporin A and FKBP-FK506 complexes. *Cell.* 66:807-815.

- Liu, Q., and P.A. Hofmann. 2004. Protein phosphatase 2A-mediated cross-talk between p38 MAPK and ERK in apoptosis of cardiac myocytes. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 286:H2204-2212.
- Liu, Q., X. Zhao, F. Frissora, Y. Ma, R. Santhanam, D. Jarjoura, A. Lehman, D. Perrotti, C.S. Chen, J.T. Dalton, N. Muthusamy, and J.C. Byrd. 2008. FTY720 demonstrates promising preclinical activity for chronic lymphocytic leukemia and lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma. *Blood.* 111:275-284.
- Lyon, M.A., A.P. Ducruet, P. Wipf, and J.S. Lazo. 2002. Dual-specificity phosphatases as targets for antineoplastic agents. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 1:961-976.
- Macian, F. 2005. NFAT proteins: key regulators of T-cell development and function. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 5:472-484.
- Mandala, S., R. Hajdu, J. Bergstrom, E. Quackenbush, J. Xie, J. Milligan, R. Thornton, G.J. Shei, D. Card, C. Keohane, M. Rosenbach, J. Hale, C.L. Lynch, K. Rupprecht, W. Parsons, and H. Rosen. 2002. Alteration of lymphocyte trafficking by sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor agonists. *Science.* 296:346-349.
- Marciniak, S.J., and D. Ron. 2006. Endoplasmic reticulum stress signaling in disease. *Physiol Rev.* 86:1133-1149.
- Martinez-Martinez, S., and J.M. Redondo. 2004. Inhibitors of the calcineurin/NFAT pathway. *Curr Med Chem.* 11:997-1007.
- Matsuoka, Y., Y. Nagahara, M. Ikekita, and T. Shinomiya. 2003. A novel immunosuppressive agent FTY720 induced Akt dephosphorylation in leukemia cells. *Br J Pharmacol.* 138:1303-1312.
- McCluskey, A., M.C. Bowyer, E. Collins, A.T.R. Sim, J.A. Sakoff, and M.L. Baldwin. 2000. Anhydride modified cantharidin analogues: synthesis, inhibition of protein phosphatases 1 and 2A and anticancer activity. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 10:1687-1690.
- Michael, L.F., H. Asahara, A.I. Shulman, W.L. Kraus, and M. Montminy. 2000. The phosphorylation status of a cyclic AMP-responsive activator is modulated via a chromatin-dependent mechanism. *Mol Cell Biol.* 20:1596-1603.
- Morishita, W., J.H. Connor, H. Xia, E.M. Quinlan, S. Shenolikar, and R.C. Malenka. 2001. Regulation of synaptic strength by protein phosphatase 1. *Neuron.* 32:1133-1148.
- Mukhopadhyay, A., S.A. Saddoughi, P. Song, I. Sultan, S. Ponnusamy, C.E. Senkal, C.F. Snook, H.K. Arnold, R.C. Sears, Y.A. Hannun, and B. Ogretmen. 2009. Direct interaction between the

inhibitor 2 and ceramide via sphingolipid-protein binding is involved in the regulation of protein phosphatase 2A activity and signaling. *Faseb J.* 23:751-763.

- Neviani, P., R. Santhanam, J.J. Oaks, A.M. Eiring, M. Notari, B.W. Blaser, S. Liu, R. Trotta, N. Muthusamy, C. Gambacorti-Passerini, B.J. Druker, J. Cortes, G. Marcucci, C.S. Chen, N.M. Verrills, D.C. Roy, M.A. Caligiuri, C.D. Bloomfield, J.C. Byrd, and D. Perrotti. 2007. FTY720, a new alternative for treating blast crisis chronic myelogenous leukemia and Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphocytic leukemia. *J Clin Invest.* 117:2408-2421.
- Neviani, P., R. Santhanam, R. Trotta, M. Notari, B.W. Blaser, S. Liu, H. Mao, J.S. Chang, A. Galietta, A. Uttam, D.C. Roy, M. Valtieri, R. Bruner-Klisovic, M.A. Caligiuri, C.D. Bloomfield, G. Marcucci, and D. Perrotti. 2005. The tumor suppressor PP2A is functionally inactivated in blast crisis CML through the inhibitory activity of the BCR/ABL-regulated SET protein. *Cancer Cell.* 8:355-368.
- Ory, S., M. Zhou, T.P. Conrads, T.D. Veenstra, and D.K. Morrison. 2003. Protein phosphatase 2A positively regulates Ras signaling by dephosphorylating KSR1 and Raf-1 on critical 14-3-3 binding sites. *Curr Biol.* 13:1356-1364.
- Palaniappan, N., B.S. Kim, Y. Sekiyama, H. Osada, and K.A. Reynolds. 2003. Enhancement and selective production of phoslactomycin B, a protein phosphatase IIa inhibitor, through identification and engineering of the corresponding biosynthetic gene cluster. *J Biol Chem.* 278:35552-35557.
- Palkowitsch, L., J. Leidner, S. Ghosh, and R.B. Marienfeld. 2008. Phosphorylation of serine 68 in the IkappaB kinase (IKK)-binding domain of NEMO interferes with the structure of the IKK complex and tumor necrosis factor-alpha-induced NF-kappaB activity. *J Biol Chem.* 283:76-86.
- Pallas, D.C., L.K. Shahrik, B.L. Martin, S. Jaspers, T.B. Miller, D.L. Brautigan, and T.M. Roberts. 1990. Polyoma small and middle T antigens and SV40 small t antigen form stable complexes with protein phosphatase 2A. *Cell.* 60:167-176.
- Parameswara, V.K., A.J. Sule, and V. Esser. 2005. Have we overlooked the importance of serine/threonine protein phosphatases in pancreatic beta-cells? Role played by protein phosphatase 2A in insulin secretion. *Jop.* 6:303-315.
- Pikarsky, E., R.M. Porat, I. Stein, R. Abramovitch, S. Amit, S. Kasem, E. Gutkovich-Pyest, S. Urieli-Shoval, E. Galun, and Y. Ben-Neriah. 2004. NF-kappaB functions as a tumour promoter in inflammation-associated cancer. *Nature.* 431:461-466.
- Prajapati, S., U. Verma, Y. Yamamoto, Y.T. Kwak, and R.B. Gaynor. 2004. Protein phosphatase 2Cbeta association with the IkappaB kinase complex is involved in regulating NF-kappaB activity. *J Biol Chem.* 279:1739-1746.

- Price, N.E., and M.C. Mumby. 2000. Effects of regulatory subunits on the kinetics of protein phosphatase 2A. *Biochemistry*. 39:11312-11318.
- Ramsey, A.J., and M. Chinkers. 2002. Identification of potential physiological activators of protein phosphatase 5. *Biochemistry*. 41:5625-5632.
- Roberge, M., C. Tudan, S.M. Hung, K.W. Harder, F.R. Jirik, and H. Anderson. 1994. Antitumor drug fostriecin inhibits the mitotic entry checkpoint and protein phosphatases 1 and 2A. *Cancer Res*. 54:6115-6121.
- Roehrl, M.H., S. Kang, J. Aramburu, G. Wagner, A. Rao, and P.G. Hogan. 2004. Selective inhibition of calcineurin-NFAT signaling by blocking protein-protein interaction with small organic molecules. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 101:7554-7559.
- Rogers, J.P., A.E.t. Beuscher, M. Flajolet, T. McAvoy, A.C. Nairn, A.J. Olson, and P. Greengard. 2006. Discovery of protein phosphatase 2C inhibitors by virtual screening. *J Med Chem*. 49:1658-1667.
- Ruediger, R., K. Fields, and G. Walter. 1999. Binding specificity of protein phosphatase 2A core enzyme for regulatory B subunits and T antigens. *J Virol*. 73:839-842.
- Ruediger, R., H.T. Pham, and G. Walter. 2001a. Alterations in protein phosphatase 2A subunit interaction in human carcinomas of the lung and colon with mutations in the A beta subunit gene. *Oncogene*. 20:1892-1899.
- Ruediger, R., H.T. Pham, and G. Walter. 2001b. Disruption of protein phosphatase 2A subunit interaction in human cancers with mutations in the A alpha subunit gene. *Oncogene*. 20:10-15.
- Rusnak, F., and P. Mertz. 2000. Calcineurin: form and function. *Physiol Rev*. 80:1483-1521.
- Sakoff, J.A., and A. McCluskey. 2004. Protein phosphatase inhibition: structure based design. Towards new therapeutic agents. *Curr Pharm Des*. 10:1139-1159.
- Schweighofer, A., H. Hirt, and I. Meskiene. 2004. Plant PP2C phosphatases: emerging functions in stress signaling. *Trends Plant Sci*. 9:236-243.
- Sieder, S., E. Richter, K. Becker, R. Heins, and H.J. Steinfeld. 1999. Doxorubicin-resistant LoVo adenocarcinoma cells display resistance to apoptosis induction by some but not all inhibitors of ser/thr phosphatases 1 and 2A. *Toxicology*. 134:109-115.

- Silverstein, A.M., C.A. Barrow, A.J. Davis, and M.C. Mumby. 2002. Actions of PP2A on the MAP kinase pathway and apoptosis are mediated by distinct regulatory subunits. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 99:4221-4226.
- Skinner, J., C. Sinclair, C. Romeo, D. Armstrong, H. Charbonneau, and S. Rossie. 1997. Purification of a fatty acid-stimulated protein-serine/threonine phosphatase from bovine brain and its identification as a homolog of protein phosphatase 5. *J Biol Chem*. 272:22464-22471.
- Sontag, E., S. Fedorov, C. Kamibayashi, D. Robbins, M. Cobb, and M. Mumby. 1993. The interaction of SV40 small tumor antigen with protein phosphatase 2A stimulates the map kinase pathway and induces cell proliferation. *Cell*. 75:887-897.
- Strack, S., J.A. Zaucha, F.F. Ebner, R.J. Colbran, and B.E. Wadzinski. 1998. Brain protein phosphatase 2A: developmental regulation and distinct cellular and subcellular localization by B subunits. *J Comp Neurol*. 392:515-527.
- Strebhardt, K., and A. Ullrich. 2006. Targeting polo-like kinase 1 for cancer therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 6:321-330.
- Sun, S.C., S.B. Maggirwar, and E. Harhaj. 1995. Activation of NF-kappa B by phosphatase inhibitors involves the phosphorylation of I kappa B alpha at phosphatase 2A-sensitive sites. *J Biol Chem*. 270:18347-18351.
- Swingle, M., L. Ni, and R.E. Honkanen. 2007. Small-molecule inhibitors of ser/thr protein phosphatases: specificity, use and common forms of abuse. *Methods Mol Biol*. 365:23-38.
- Swingle, M.R., R.E. Honkanen, and E.M. Ciszak. 2004. Structural basis for the catalytic activity of human serine/threonine protein phosphatase-5. *J Biol Chem*. 279:33992-33999.
- Tamura, S., S. Toriumi, J. Saito, K. Awano, T.A. Kudo, and T. Kobayashi. 2006. PP2C family members play key roles in regulation of cell survival and apoptosis. *Cancer Sci*. 97:563-567.
- Tappan, E., and A.R. Chamberlin. 2008. Activation of protein phosphatase 1 by a small molecule designed to bind to the enzyme's regulatory site. *Chem Biol*. 15:167-174.
- Terrak, M., F. Kerff, K. Langsetmo, T. Tao, and R. Dominguez. 2004. Structural basis of protein phosphatase 1 regulation. *Nature*. 429:780-784.
- Tian, Q., and J. Wang. 2002. Role of serine/threonine protein phosphatase in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurosignals*. 11:262-269.

- Tonks, N.K. 2006. Protein tyrosine phosphatases: from genes, to function, to disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 7:833-846.
- Trockenbacher, A., V. Suckow, J. Foerster, J. Winter, S. Krauss, H.H. Ropers, R. Schneider, and S. Schweiger. 2001. MID1, mutated in Opitz syndrome, encodes an ubiquitin ligase that targets phosphatase 2A for degradation. *Nat Genet.* 29:287-294.
- Ugi, S., T. Imamura, W. Ricketts, and J.M. Olefsky. 2002. Protein phosphatase 2A forms a molecular complex with Shc and regulates Shc tyrosine phosphorylation and downstream mitogenic signaling. *Mol Cell Biol.* 22:2375-2387.
- Urban, G., T. Golden, I.V. Aragon, L. Cowser, S.R. Cooper, N.M. Dean, and R.E. Honkanen. 2003. Identification of a functional link for the p53 tumor suppressor protein in dexamethasone-induced growth suppression. *J Biol Chem.* 278:9747-9753.
- Vassilev, L.T., B.T. Vu, B. Graves, D. Carvajal, F. Podlaski, Z. Filipovic, N. Kong, U. Kammlott, C. Lukacs, C. Klein, N. Fotouhi, and E.A. Liu. 2004. In vivo activation of the p53 pathway by small-molecule antagonists of MDM2. *Science.* 303:844-848.
- von Kriegsheim, A., A. Pitt, G.J. Grindlay, W. Kolch, and A.S. Dhillon. 2006. Regulation of the Raf-MEK-ERK pathway by protein phosphatase 5. *Nat Cell Biol.* 8:1011-1016.
- Wada, T., T. Miyata, R. Inagi, M. Nangaku, M. Wagatsuma, D. Suzuki, B.E. Wadzinski, K. Okubo, and K. Kurokawa. 2001. Cloning and characterization of a novel subunit of protein serine/threonine phosphatase 4 from mesangial cells. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 12:2601-2608.
- Walsh, A.H., A. Cheng, and R.E. Honkanen. 1997. Fostriecin, an antitumor antibiotic with inhibitory activity against serine/threonine protein phosphatases types 1 (PP1) and 2A (PP2A), is highly selective for PP2A. *FEBS Lett.* 416:230-234.
- Wang, G.S. 1989. Medical uses of mylabris in ancient China and recent studies. *J Ethnopharmacol.* 26:147-162.
- Westermarck, J., and W.C. Hahn. 2008. Multiple pathways regulated by the tumor suppressor PP2A in transformation. *Trends Mol Med.* 14:152-160.
- Yamaguchi, H., S.R. Durell, H. Feng, Y. Bai, C.W. Anderson, and E. Appella. 2006. Development of a substrate-based cyclic phosphopeptide inhibitor of protein phosphatase 2Cdelta, Wip1. *Biochemistry.* 45:13193-13202.

- Yamaguchi, Y., H. Katoh, K. Mori, and M. Negishi. 2002. Galpha(12) and Galpha(13) interact with Ser/Thr protein phosphatase type 5 and stimulate its phosphatase activity. *Curr Biol.* 12:1353-1358.
- Yamashiro, S., Y. Yamakita, G. Totsukawa, H. Goto, K. Kaibuchi, M. Ito, D.J. Hartshorne, and F. Matsumura. 2008. Myosin phosphatase-targeting subunit 1 regulates mitosis by antagonizing polo-like kinase 1. *Dev Cell.* 14:787-797.
- Yan, Z., L. Hsieh-Wilson, J. Feng, K. Tomizawa, P.B. Allen, A.A. Fienberg, A.C. Nairn, and P. Greengard. 1999. Protein phosphatase 1 modulation of neostriatal AMPA channels: regulation by DARPP-32 and spinophilin. *Nat Neurosci.* 2:13-17.
- Yang, J., G.H. Fan, B.E. Wadzinski, H. Sakurai, and A. Richmond. 2001. Protein phosphatase 2A interacts with and directly dephosphorylates RelA. *J Biol Chem.* 276:47828-47833.
- Yang, J., S.M. Roe, M.J. Cliff, M.A. Williams, J.E. Ladbury, P.T. Cohen, and D. Barford. 2005. Molecular basis for TPR domain-mediated regulation of protein phosphatase 5. *Embo J.* 24:1-10.
- Yang, S.I., R.L. Lickteig, R. Estes, K. Rundell, G. Walter, and M.C. Mumby. 1991. Control of protein phosphatase 2A by simian virus 40 small-t antigen. *Mol Cell Biol.* 11:1988-1995.
- Yeo, M., S.K. Lee, B. Lee, E.C. Ruiz, S.L. Pfaff, and G.N. Gill. 2005. Small CTD phosphatases function in silencing neuronal gene expression. *Science.* 307:596-600.
- Yeo, M., P.S. Lin, M.E. Dahmus, and G.N. Gill. 2003. A novel RNA polymerase II C-terminal domain phosphatase that preferentially dephosphorylates serine 5. *J Biol Chem.* 278:26078-26085.
- Yoda, A., X.Z. Xu, N. Onishi, K. Toyoshima, H. Fujimoto, N. Kato, I. Oishi, T. Kondo, and Y. Minami. 2006. Intrinsic kinase activity and SQ/TQ domain of Chk2 kinase as well as N-terminal domain of Wip1 phosphatase are required for regulation of Chk2 by Wip1. *J Biol Chem.* 281:24847-24862.
- Yuan, H., T. Veldman, K. Rundell, and R. Schlegel. 2002. Simian virus 40 small tumor antigen activates AKT and telomerase and induces anchorage-independent growth of human epithelial cells. *J Virol.* 76:10685-10691.
- Zhang, J., S. Bao, R. Furumai, K.S. Kucera, A. Ali, N.M. Dean, and X.F. Wang. 2005. Protein phosphatase 5 is required for ATR-mediated checkpoint activation. *Mol Cell Biol.* 25:9910-9919.

- Zhao, J.J., O.V. Gjoerup, R.R. Subramanian, Y. Cheng, W. Chen, T.M. Roberts, and W.C. Hahn. 2003. Human mammary epithelial cell transformation through the activation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase. *Cancer Cell*. 3:483-495.
- Zhou, G., T. Golden, I.V. Aragon, and R.E. Honkanen. 2004. Ser/Thr protein phosphatase 5 inactivates hypoxia-induced activation of an apoptosis signal-regulating kinase 1/MKK-4/JNK signaling cascade. *J Biol Chem*. 279:46595-46605.

Footnotes

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health research grants [DK070787 and GM051366], the Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center [CA68485], the Center for Molecular Neuroscience [MH19732], and the Vanderbilt Diabetes Research & Training Center [DK20593].

Figure Legend

Figure 1. Consequences of the targeted disruption of select phosphatase complexes. *A-C* represent phosphatase complexes that can be disrupted by small molecules. The PP2A• β Arr2•Akt complex (*C*), which is essential for dopaminergic signaling, can be disrupted by lithium salts (adapted from Beaulieu et al., 2008). *D* illustrates a phosphatase complex that could potentially be targeted for therapeutic disruption. See text for further discussion of *A*, *B*, and *D*.

Table I. Therapeutic benefits of disrupting select phosphatase complexes

APPARENT THERAPEUTIC BENEFITS OF SMALL MOLECULE ANTAGONISTS OF PHOSPHATASE COMPLEXES			
Protein complex	Protein complex antagonists	Consequence of disrupted complex	Apparent therapeutic value
PP1•GADD34 (Boyce et al., 2005)	Salubrinal	↑ eIF2 α phosphorylation	↓ HSV replication
PP1•HDAC 1/6 (Chen et al., 2005)	Trichostatin A	↓ Akt activity	↓ Cell growth and transformation
PP2B•NFAT (Clipstone & Crabtree, 1992)	FK506, Cyclosporin A	↓ PP2B activity	Immunosuppression
PP2A•Akt• β Arr2 (Beaulieu et al., 2008)	Lithium	↑ Akt activity	Mood stabilizer
PHOSPHATASE COMPLEXES THAT COULD BE TARGETED FOR THERAPEUTIC BENEFITS			
Protein complex	Potential consequence of disrupted complex		Potential therapeutic value
PP1•GL (Cohen, 2002)	↑ Glycogen synthase		↓ Blood glucose levels
PP5•DNA-PKc (Wechsler et al., 2004)	↑ DNA-PKc phosphorylation		↑ Radiation sensitivity
PP2A•SET (Neviani, P et al., 2005)	↓ BCR/Abl activity		↓ Leukemogenesis
PP2A•Raf (Adams et al., 2005)	↓ MAPK activity		↓ Cell proliferation
PP2A•IKK (Kray et al., 2005)	↓ IKK activity		↓ Inflammation
PP2A•SERT (Bauman et al., 2000)	↑ [5-HT] in synapses		Mood stabilizer

