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Abstract

All-trans retinoic acid (atRA) is the active metabolite of vitamin A. atRA is also used as a drug, and synthetic atRA analogues and inhibitors of RA metabolism have been developed. The hepatic clearance of atRA is mediated primarily by CYP26A1, but design of CYP26A1 inhibitors is hindered by lack of information on CYP26A1 structure and structure activity relationships of its ligands. This study was aimed at identifying the primary metabolites of atRA formed by CYP26A1 and at characterizing the ligand selectivity and ligand interactions of CYP26A1. Based on high resolution MS/MS data, the four primary metabolites formed from atRA by CYP26A1 were identified as 4OH-RA, 4oxo-RA, 16OH-RA and 18OH-RA. 9-cisRA and 13-cisRA were also substrates of CYP26A1. Forty-two compounds with diverse structural properties were tested for CYP26A1 inhibition using 9-cisRA as a probe, and IC\textsubscript{50} values for ten inhibitors were determined. The imidazole and triazole containing inhibitors R116010 and R115866 were the most potent inhibitors of CYP26A1 with IC\textsubscript{50} values of 4.3 and 5.1nM, respectively. Liarozole and ketoconazole were significantly less potent with IC\textsubscript{50} values of 2100 and 550nM, respectively. The RAR\textsubscript{γ} agonist CD1530 was as potent inhibitor of CYP26A1 as ketoconazole with an IC\textsubscript{50} of 530nM, whereas the tested RAR\textsubscript{α} and RAR\textsubscript{β} agonists did not significantly inhibit CYP26A1. The pan-RAR agonist TTNPB and the PPAR ligands rosiglitazone and pioglitazone inhibited CYP26A1 with IC\textsubscript{50} values of 3.7, 4.2 and 8.6\mu M respectively. These data demonstrate that CYP26A1 has high ligand selectivity but accepts structurally related nuclear receptor agonists as inhibitors.
Introduction

All-trans retinoic acid (atRA) is the biologically active metabolite of vitamin A (retinol), but three other isomers of retinoic acid (9-cisRA, 13-cisRA, and 9,13-dicisRA) can also be detected in vivo (Kane et al., 2010; Kane et al., 2008). During development atRA regulates cell differentiation (Duester, 2008), and during adult life it is important for maintaining healthy epithelia, immunity, fertility and regulating cell proliferation (Blomhoff and Blomhoff, 2006). Retinoic acid isomers also play a role in adult neurogenesis and cell survival (Jacobs et al., 2006), and they contribute to regulation of glucose homeostasis (Kane et al., 2010). The many biological effects of atRA are believed to result from atRA binding to three nuclear retinoic acid receptors (RARα, RARβ and RARγ), which are activated by RA isomers resulting in induction of gene transcription (Altucci et al., 2007; Petkovich et al., 1987; Tang and Gudas, 2011). atRA, 9-cisRA and 13-cisRA are all used clinically in treatment of various cancers and skin diseases but the clinical use of endogenous retinoids has been limited due to side effects caused by off-target effects and development of resistance (Altucci et al., 2007; Garattini et al., 2007).

Resistance to atRA treatment in cancer therapy is attributed to increased systemic clearance and cellular metabolism of atRA during treatment (Muindi et al., 1992; van der Leede et al., 1997). To improve therapeutic outcomes, synthetic RA analogues, RAR agonists, and RA metabolism blocking agents (RAMBAs) have been developed and tested for treatment of cancers and skin diseases (Altucci et al., 2007; Njar et al., 2006). The first tested inhibitors of atRA metabolism included liarozole (R75251) and ketoconazole that inhibited metabolism of atRA in vivo in rats and in in vitro models (Van Wauwe et al., 1990; Van Wauwe et al., 1988; Wouters et al., 1992). Subsequently, more specific and potent inhibitors of atRA
metabolism synthesized by several groups showed potential for treatment of cancers and skin diseases in both in vitro and in vivo models (Mulvihill et al., 2005; Njar et al., 2006; Patel et al., 2004; Yee et al., 2005).

CYP26A1 appears to be a likely target of development of new inhibitors of atRA metabolism. It is likely that CYP26A1, a CYP identified as a RA hydroxylase that is upregulated by atRA (White et al., 1996), is induced during atRA treatment. atRA has high affinity for and is efficiently metabolized by CYP26A1 (Lutz et al., 2009), and CYP26A1 is the primary enzyme responsible for hepatic clearance of atRA (Thatcher et al., 2010). CYP26A1 is also inducible by atRA in human liver (Tay et al., 2010). However, neither the structure of CYP26A1 nor the structural requirements of potent CYP26A1 binding are well characterized. Three homology models of CYP26A1 have been reported (Gomaa et al., 2006; Karlsson M, 2008; Ren et al., 2008) based on the crystal structures of CYP3A4, CYP2C8, CYP2C9 and CYP51. However, experimental data on ligand overlap, atRA binding orientations and metabolite identification are not available to support the construction of these models, perhaps due to a lack of a system to screen CYP26A1 ligands. Use of atRA as a substrate to screen CYP26A1 inhibitors is not feasible in cell systems and with recombinant CYP26A1, because the primary metabolites are rapidly depleted making measurements of catalytic activity confounding. In addition, atRA binds CYP26A1 with high affinity (K_m=9.3 nM) (Lutz et al., 2009) requiring very sensitive assays to measure product formation if substrate is added at the K_m or lower concentration. Therefore an alternative substrate of CYP26A1 is needed. In this study 9-cisRA was evaluated as an alternative substrate for inhibitor screening, the specific metabolites of atRA and 9-cisRA formed by CYP26A1 were identified, and the overall ligand selectivity of CYP26A1 was established.
The obtained data will be valuable for rationalization of observed *in vivo* interactions between xenobiotics and *at*RA, for design of new CYP26A1 inhibitors and for refining features of existing homology models of CYP26A1.
Materials and methods

Chemicals: atRA, 9-cisRA, acitretin, GW 9662, L-165,041, AM 580, ketoconazole, itraconazole, fluconazole, quinapril, propranolol, methoxypsoralen, quinidine, omeprazole, diltiazem, erythromycin, paclitaxel, pimozide, ranolazine, terfenadine, verapamil, simvastatin, danazol and NADPH were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Cyclosporine and tamoxifen were purchased from Enzo Life Sciences International, Inc. (formerly BIOMOL International, L.P.) (Plymouth Meeting, PA). Voriconazole was a gift from Dr. Kenneth E. Thummel, University of Washington. CS5 and CS6 were gifts from Dr. Claire Simons (Medicinal Chemistry, Welsh School of Pharmacy, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK). R115866 and R116010 were gifts from Johnson and Johnson, Beerse, Belgium. Liarozole, TTNPB, AC55649, and CD1530 were purchased from Tocris Biosciences (Ellisville, MO). atRA-d5 was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc (Santa Cruz, CA). Rosiglitazone was purchased from Cayman Chemical Company (Ann Arbor, Michigan) and pioglitazone was purchased from Altan Biochemicals (Orange, CT). 3OH-retinal and 4OH-9cisRA was purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals (Toronto, ON). The protease inhibitors used in this study were obtained from the NIH AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program (Germantown, MD). CYP26A1 was expressed in Sf9 cells as previously described and utilized as microsomal fractions supplemented with rat P450 reductase expressed in E. coli (Lutz et al., 2009). All solvents used were HPLC grade or higher and purchased from EMD Chemicals (Gibbstown, NJ), JT Baker (Phillipsburg, NJ), or Fisher Scientific (Pittsburg, PA.)

Synthesis of 3OH-atRA: 3OH-atRA was synthesized by oxidation of 3OH-retinal with Tollens’ reagent (Barua and Barua, 1964). The Tollens’ reagent was freshly prepared prior to use by
mixing equal volumes of 10% w/w AgNO₃ and 10% w/w NaOH. Ammonium hydroxide solution (Sigma-Aldrich) was added dropwise to dissolve the precipitated Ag₂O. Tollens’ reagent (1 mL) was added slowly to 3 mg of 3OH-Retinal dissolved in 8 mL of methanol and stirred for 6 hours at 37 °C. The reaction was quenched by acidification with aqueous 0.5 N HCl. The product was extracted with dichloromethane, dried over Na₂SO₄ and evaporated to dryness. The identity of the product was verified by NMR analysis. ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.97 (s, 3H, 16-CH₃), 0.98 (s, 3H, 17-CH₃), 1.76 (s, 3H, 18-CH₃), 2.04 (s, 3H, 19-CH₃), 2.38 (s, 3H, 20-CH₃), 4.03 (t, 1H, 3-H), 5.83 (s, 1H, 14-H), 6.09 (d, 1H, 8-H), 6.19 (d, 1H, 10-H), 6.27 (d, 1H, 12-H), 6.35 (d, 1H, 7-H), 7.05 (dd, 1H, 11-H). The product was also analyzed by HPLC-UV as described below for hydroxylated metabolites and had a retention time of 14.9 min, 0.8 min before the 4OH-RA standard that eluted at 15.7 min.

**Incubation conditions and HPLC analysis for RA isomers:** Unless otherwise described, incubations were performed with 5 pmol of CYP26A1 and 10 pmol of P450 reductase. The purified rat reductase was added to CYP26A1 microsomes and the reductase was allowed to incorporate into the membrane for 10 minutes at room temperature. The final volume of each incubation sample was then brought to 1 mL by adding 100 mM KPi buffer (pH 7.4), 9-cisRA and where appropriate, inhibitor or solvent. Compounds were dissolved in methanol or DMSO and final solvent amounts in the incubations were kept at 1%. The samples were preincubated for 5 minutes at 37 °C before the reaction was initiated with 1 mM NADPH. Unless otherwise noted, incubation times were 1 minute. Extraction of RA and its metabolites, followed by HPLC analysis was performed as previously published (Thatcher et al., 2010). In brief, incubations were terminated with 5 mL of ethyl acetate and acitretin was added as an internal standard. The ethyl acetate layer was evaporated and reconstituted in
methanol. Analytes were separated by HPLC and detected with a multiple wavelength detector, monitoring at 360 nm. Data analysis was performed using HP Chemstation software.

**Characterization of atRA and 9-cisRA metabolism by CYP26A1:** To determine whether other RA isomers (9-cisRA and 13-cisRA) are substrates of CYP26A1, and to identify the metabolites formed from atRA and 9-cisRA by CYP26A1, 9-cisRA, atRA-d5 and atRA were incubated at 100 µM concentration in the presence of 100 pmol CYP26A1 and 200 pmol reductase for 1 hour. The structures of these compounds are shown in Fig 1. Additionally, 9-cisRA, 13-cisRA and atRA were incubated at 500 nM concentration in the presence of 5 pmol CYP26A1 and 10 pmol of P450 reductase for 2 minutes. Samples were analyzed by HPLC as described above. Fractions from the incubations performed with 100 µM substrate were collected for mass spectrometric analysis. Full scan and tandem mass spectra were acquired on an LTQ-Orbitrap (Thermo Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) hybrid mass spectrometer operated in the negative ion electrospray mode. Fractions were introduced by infusion using a NanoAcquity UPLC (Waters, Milford, MA) interfaced to a CaptiveSpray (Michrom Bioresources, Auburn, CA) ion source. Samples were injected in 10 µL and infused in 1:1 mixture of water/acetonitrile containing 0.1% formic acid (v/v) flowing at 5 µL/minute. Full scan mass spectra were acquired in the Orbitrap at 60,000 resolution. Collision induced dissociation occurred in the LTQ using a normalized collision energy of 35%, an isolation width of 4 Da, but with the resulting tandem mass spectra acquired in the Orbitrap at 15,000 resolution. Data-dependent parameters were programmed to allow a cycle of one mass spectrum followed by tandem mass spectra of the three most abundant ions. All other data-dependent parameters were minimized to allow for maximum data-redundancy (i.e. scan
averaging). Data analysis of MS/MS fragments was performed with Thermo Xcalibur software.

The metabolites of 9-cisRA were further characterized using an AB Sciex API5500 Q/LIT mass spectrometer equipped with an Agilent 1290 Infinity UPLC and Agilent Zorbax C18 column (3.5 mm, 2.1 x 100 mm). The metabolites were separated using gradient elution from 90% acetonitrile and aqueous 10% ammonium acetate (50 mM) to 90% acetonitrile over 15 minutes. The column was maintained at 25 °C and the injection volume was 10 μL. Negative ion electrospray was used and the ion source voltage (IS) and source temperature (TEM) were set at -4500 V and 400 °C, respectively. The MRM transitions of m/z = 315 > 253 Da and m/z = 315 > 241 Da were monitored. For both transitions, the declustering potential (DP), collision energy (CE) and collision exit potential (CXP) were set to -90 V, -25 V, and -10 V, respectively. In parallel, daughter ion scans of m/z = 315 were collected from 100 to 350 m/z. The detection settings for the scan parameters were identical to those for MRM runs.

**Determination of kinetic constants of 9-cisRA metabolism by CYP26A1:** The affinity of 9-cisRA to CYP26A1 was determined by conducting a Michaelis-Menten kinetic analysis of formation of the primary metabolites. After establishing that product formation was linear with time and protein concentration used, incubations were performed with 11 different concentrations of 9-cisRA, between 25 nM and 1 μM. Peak area ratios (primary metabolites quantified as a single peak to internal standard) were plotted against the initial substrate concentrations and the Michaelis-Menten equation was fit to the data using GraphPad Prism (La Jolla, CA). The K_m value was obtained from the fit but the V_max was not determined.
because the amount of products formed could not be quantified in the absence of reference materials.

**Identification of CYP26A1 ligands and calculation of IC\textsubscript{50} values:** Forty-two compounds, as listed in Table 1, were tested as potential inhibitors of CYP26A1. Compounds selected included PPAR and RAR agonists, published RAMBAs, and known P450 inhibitors and probes with wide structural variability, including azole antifungals. Compounds were first tested for inhibition of CYP26A1 mediated 9-\textit{cis}RA hydroxylation at 10 \( \mu \text{M} \) concentration. Due to poor ligand solubility, the protease inhibitors were tested at 5 \( \mu \text{M} \). The formation of the primary hydroxylated 9-\textit{cis}RA metabolite eluting at retention time 16.4 min was monitored as a measure of CYP26A1 activity. The percent remaining activity for each inhibitor was calculated by comparing the observed hydroxylation in the test incubations to that measured in controls containing an equal volume of the same solvent as the one in which the inhibitor was dissolved. All incubations were performed in triplicate. To confirm a lack of interference from either the inhibitor or potential metabolites of the inhibitor in the HPLC analysis, the inhibitors were incubated with 5 pmol CYP26A1, 10 pmol reductase, and 1 \( \mu \text{M} \) of each ligand for 1 minute in the presence and absence of NADPH and analyzed by HPLC, monitoring wavelengths 360, 280 and 250 nm.

Compounds that showed >10% inhibition of CYP26A1 mediated 9-\textit{cis}RA hydroxylation at 10 \( \mu \text{M} \) concentration were also tested at 1 \( \mu \text{M} \) to rank the inhibitory effects of the tested ligands and to estimate initial inhibitory potency. IC\textsubscript{50} values were determined for those compounds that inhibited CYP26A1 activity by more than 50% at 10 \( \mu \text{M} \) concentration and showed greater than 10% inhibition at 1 \( \mu \text{M} \) concentration. For IC\textsubscript{50} determination, at least 5 concentrations spanning above and below the predicted IC\textsubscript{50} were tested and each
concentration was analyzed in duplicate. The IC\textsubscript{50} values were determined by non-linear regression using GraphPad Prism, according to equation 1:

\[
100\% \cdot \frac{v_i}{v} = \left(\frac{v_i}{v}\right)_{\text{min}} \cdot 100\% + \frac{\left(\frac{v_i}{v}\right)_{\text{max}} - \left(\frac{v_i}{v}\right)_{\text{min}} \cdot 100\%}{(1+10^{(I-I_{50})})}
\]

in which \( 100\% \cdot \frac{v_i}{v} \) is the % activity remaining, \( \left(\frac{v_i}{v}\right)_{\text{max}} \cdot 100\% \) is the fitted % maximum activity remaining and \( \left(\frac{v_i}{v}\right)_{\text{min}} \cdot 100\% \) is the % minimum activity remaining. For compounds with IC\textsubscript{50} values below 100 nM, all fits were corrected for inhibitor depletion and the K\textsubscript{d} was determined using the Morrison equation as described in equation 2:

\[
[EI] = \frac{[E] + [I] + K_d - \sqrt{([E] + [I] + K_d)^2 - 4[E][I]}}{2}
\]

in which K\textsubscript{d} is the affinity constant of the inhibitor, [I] is the concentration of inhibitor, [E] is the concentration of enzyme, and [EI] is the concentration of the enzyme-inhibitor complex.

**Determination of ligand-induced binding spectra and spectral binding constants:** To determine whether the identified inhibitors bind within the active site of CYP26A1, ligand induced binding spectra were measured with R115866, R116010 and ketoconazole. For these studies, a 1 mL sample of 0.2 µM CYP26A1 microsomes in 100 mM KPi buffer was split between the sample and reference cuvettes and the binding spectra were measured using an Aminco DW2 Dual Beam spectrophotometer (Olis Instruments, Bogart, GA), scanning from 500 to 375 nm. The inhibitor of interest was added to the sample cuvette until a difference spectrum was observed. An equal volume of solvent was added to the control cuvette. R116010 was tested at 4, 8, 12, 16 and 20 µM and R115866 was tested at 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 1, 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 3.6 and 5.2 µM. Ketoconazole was tested at 20,
40, 60, 80, 100 and 120 µM. The absorbance spectra were normalized to 420 or 490 nm for comparison of difference spectra obtained.
Results

**Characterization of atRA and 9-cisRA metabolism by CYP26A1:** To identify the primary metabolites formed by CYP26A1, atRA, atRA-d$_5$, and 9-cisRA (Fig 1) were incubated with CYP26A1. Metabolite formation was analyzed by HPLC-UV and detected individual metabolite peaks were collected as HPLC fractions. Molecular ion and MS/MS fragmentation data were collected for each fraction at high mass accuracy (0.3–4 ppm accuracy). Four primary metabolites (peaks 1-4) were formed from atRA by CYP26A1 (Fig 2). Three of the atRA metabolites (peaks 1, 3 and 4) had an [M-H] ion of 315.196 m/z suggesting that these were hydroxylated metabolites. Two of these metabolites (peaks 1 and 4) lost a deuterium as a result of hydroxylation of atRA-d$_5$ giving a [M-H] ion of 319.221 m/z. This suggests that peaks 1 and 4 correspond to hydroxylations at the deuterated carbons, C-4 or C-18. Since the retention time of peak 1 was identical to that of the synthetic 4OH-atRA, it was identified as 4OH-atRA and peak 4 as 18OH-atRA. The third hydroxylation product (peak 3) resulted from a hydroxylation in non-deuterated carbon since in the incubation of atRA-d$_5$ the [M-H] ion was 320.227 m/z. The hydroxylation is likely on the β-ionone ring at C-3 or C-16. The synthetic reference material of 3OH-atRA eluted at 14.9 minutes (before 4OH-atRA at 15.8 min, data not shown), and hence peak 3 could not be 3OH-atRA. The dominant MS/MS fragment from peak 3 in atRA incubations ([M-H] 315.196 m/z) was 241.196 m/z in addition to the characteristic loss of CO$_2$ (loss of 43.989) and H$_2$O (loss of 18.010) (Fig 2). The 241.196 m/z fragment was attributed to the loss of formaldehyde (loss of 30.010) from the 271.206 m/z ion instead of ethane which would be a loss of 30.046. The 241.196 m/z ion is absent from the 4OH-atRA MS/MS spectrum, which is dominated by a loss of CO$_2$ (loss of 43.989) and loss of H$_2$O (loss of 18.010) resulting in
fragments at 253.196 m/z, 271.206 m/z and 297.186 m/z (Fig 2). The 241.196 m/z fragment is, however, a minor fragment in the MS/MS spectrum of 18OH-atRA. In the MS/MS spectrum of peak 3 from atRA-d₅ incubation the corresponding fragment is 246.227 retaining all five deuteriums, suggesting a loss of formaldehyde from an undeuterated carbon. The loss of formaldehyde is most likely favored for hydroxylations of a methyl group (C-16 or C-18) in contrast to hydroxylation of the carbons in the β-ionone ring. Based on this data, peak 3 was identified as the 16OH-atRA. The fourth metabolite, peak 2 had an [M-H] of 313.180 m/z suggesting it is a ketone or an aldehyde. The corresponding metabolite from atRA-d₅ had an [M-H] 316.20 m/z suggesting the ketone or aldehyde was at a deuterated position, C-4 or C-18. This metabolite has a similar retention time to synthetic 4oxo-RA, and no loss of formaldehyde was detectable in the MS/MS fragmentation. Loss of formaldehyde would be expected for the 18oxo-RA. Instead, a loss of a CH₃ is detected in the metabolite formed from atRA (loss of 15.02 from 269.191 m/z) and a loss of CD₃ in the metabolite formed in the incubation with atRA-d₅ (loss of 18.042 from 272.209 m/z) resulting in the common MS/MS fragment of 254.167 m/z. This data suggests that peak 2 is the 4oxo-atRA.

All three RA isomers tested, atRA, 9-cisRA and 13-cisRA, were found to be substrates of CYP26A1. No metabolites were observed in the absence of NADPH, absence of protein or absence of substrate. In incubations with 13-cisRA, substantial isomerization was observed, preventing characterization of the hydroxylation kinetics of 13-cisRA (data not shown). In contrast, 9-cisRA underwent minimal isomerization during incubations. A metabolite at the same retention time as 4OH-9cisRA reference material was detected (Fig 3A) and minimal secondary metabolism from 9-cisRA was observed. Mass spectrometric analysis of the LC fraction of peak at rt 16.5 min showed an [M-H] molecular ion at 315.196 m/z, confirming
that this peak is from a hydroxylation product. However, the MS/MS fragmentation data of the metabolite (Fig 3B) showed a significant fragment at 241.196 m/z, a fragment that is absent from synthetic 4OH-9cisRA (data not shown), but the main fragment in the proposed 16OH-altRA. To further characterize this metabolite, LC-MS/MS analysis was employed monitoring two MRM transitions 315>253 m/z and 315>241 m/z (Fig 3C). This analysis allowed separation of two main metabolites from 9-cisRA. The first one was mainly detected at the 315>253 channel and had an identical MS/MS fragmentation pattern of the 315 m/z as synthetic 4OH-9cisRA (Fig3C). The second metabolite was detected mainly on the 315>241 channel and had a fragmentation pattern similar to the tentatively identified 16OH-altRA (Fig 3C). Based on this data it was concluded that at least two hydroxylation products are formed from 9-cisRA by CYP26A1 and the high resolution MS/MS spectrum is a combination of two coeluting metabolites. The overall fragmentation pattern for the hydroxylated metabolites is shown in Fig 3D.

**Identification of CYP26A1 inhibitors and determination of IC$_{50}$ values:** The apparent K$_m$ for 9-cisRA metabolism by CYP26A1 was determined to establish appropriate substrate concentration for the inhibition assay (Fig 3E). The K$_m$ for the formation of the hydroxylated metabolites (quantified by UV as a single peak) of 9-cisRA was 134 ± 30 nM. Based on this experimentally determined K$_m$, further incubations to identify and characterize potential inhibitors were performed at 100 nM 9-cisRA.

Forty-two compounds were screened for CYP26A1 inhibition (Table 1). Twenty-one of these compounds resulted in >10% inhibition of 9-cisRA hydroxylation at 10 µM concentration (Fig 4A and B). Eleven of these 21 compounds also inhibited CYP26A1 by
>10% at 1 μM (Fig 4C and D). IC₅₀ values (Table 2) were determined for all compounds that had >20% inhibition at 1 μM and for CS5, pioglitazone and rosiglitazone.

The two compounds that were designed as inhibitors of αRA metabolism, R116010 and R115866 (Fig 5) were the most potent inhibitors of CYP26A1 with low nanomolar Kᵩ values (Table 2 and Fig 5). The IC₅₀ value of ketoconazole (IC₅₀ = 0.5 μM) was about 100-fold higher, and the IC₅₀ of liarozole (IC₅₀ = 2.1 μM) about 500-fold higher than those of R115866 and R116010 (Table 2 and Fig 5). The IC₅₀ values of other azoles (fluconazole, itraconazole and voriconazole) appeared to be >10 μM, based on the <50% inhibition at 10 μM concentration. The two inhibitors of RA metabolism lacking triazole or imidazole groups, CS5 and CS6 (Fig 6), were less potent inhibitors of CYP26A1 than R115866, R116010 and ketoconazole but CS5 had a similar IC₅₀ as liarozole.

Some of the tested RAR agonists also bound to CYP26A1 and inhibited the hydroxylation of 9-cisRA, but large differences in the binding affinity of the RAR agonists were observed. Of the RAR agonists tested, the most potent inhibitor was CD1530 (IC₅₀ = 0.5 μM), an RARγ selective agonist (Table 2 and Fig 6), which was equipotent with ketoconazole. TTNPB, a pan-RAR agonist, had a 10-fold higher IC₅₀ (3.7 μM) as a CYP26A1 inhibitor than CD1530, and the RARβ selective agonist AC55649 did not inhibit CYP26A1 even at 10 μM concentration. AM580, an RARα specific agonist, inhibited CYP26A1 by 58% at 10 μM concentration but not at all at 1 μM. The two PPARγ agonists rosiglitazone and pioglitazone and the PPARβ/δ selective agonist L-165,041 inhibited CYP26A1 with approximately equal potency, with IC₅₀ values between 1.7 and 8.6 μM (Fig 6). However, the PPARγ selective irreversible antagonist GW9662 did not have appreciable effects on CYP26A1 activity at 10 μM, despite the shared PPAR binding affinity.
**Determination of ligand-induced binding spectra:** To determine if the identified azole inhibitors bind within the CYP26A1 active site and whether a triazole or imidazole nitrogen coordinates to the heme iron, ligand-induced binding spectra were obtained using CYP26A1 insect cell microsomes (Fig 7). R115866, R116010, and ketoconazole binding resulted in a type II binding spectra characterized by a high to low spin shift of the heme iron. Interestingly, the minima and maxima of these spectra were shifted towards longer wavelengths when compared to classic type II spectra. The observed minima were between 400 and 415 nm, and the maxima were between 425 and 440 nm, and they increased with increasing concentrations.
Discussion

CYP26A1 is one of the main enzymes responsible for allRA hydroxylation but the metabolites generated from allRA by CYP26A1 have been only partially identified (Chithalen et al., 2002; Lutz et al., 2009; White et al., 1997). This study showed that 4OH-allRA and 18OH-allRA as well as 4oxo-allRA are formed by CYP26A1. This is in agreement with previous data obtained from cell culture systems (Chithalen et al., 2002). However, in contrast to previously proposed formation of 3OH-allRA, our data show that 3OH-allRA is not a metabolite formed by CYP26A1, but instead that 16OH-allRA is the most likely third hydroxylation product. 16OH-allRA has been reported as the main allRA hydroxylation product formed by CYP120A1, the cyanobacterial allRA hydroxylase homologous to CYP26A1 (Alder et al., 2009). 16OH-allRA has also shown as a metabolite of allRA in allRA induced T47D cells and its formation was inhibited by R116010 (van Heusden et al., 2002). The oxidation of C16 likely results from rotation of the bond connecting the β-ionone ring to the linear isoprenoid side chain placing the C16 near the heme similar to C4. This orientation is shown in the crystal structure of CYP120A1 in which C16 is at 4.4Å from the heme (Kühnel et al., 2008). In a previous study, 4oxo-allRA was not detected as a metabolite in incubations of 4OH-allRA and CYP26A1 (Lutz et al., 2009). Hence it is surprising that 4oxo-allRA was detected in the current study. Further studies of the sequential dissociative and nondissociative metabolism of allRA by CYP26A1 are needed to explain this discrepancy.

In addition to allRA, 9-cisRA was a substrate of CYP26A1, which is in contrast to the lack of hydroxylation of 9-cisRA in transfected HCT 116-hCYP26 cells (Sonneveld et al., 1998). 9-cisRA is a substrate of CYP26C1 (Taimi et al., 2004) with the 4OH-9cisRA identified as
the main metabolite. The metabolism of 9-cisRA by CYP26A1 also resulted in formation of 4OH-9cisRA, but the high-resolution MS/MS data as well as the LC-MS/MS data show that a second hydroxylation product, most likely 16OH-9cisRA, is formed. Interestingly, despite the high affinity of 9-cisRA and atRA to CYP26A1 and the ligand selectivity of CYP26A1, multiple hydroxylation products are observed from both 9-cisRA and atRA suggesting that both substrates have multiple binding orientations in the CYP26A1 active site. Despite the formation of two metabolites from 9-cisRA, 9-cisRA hydroxylation is a better probe for testing CYP26A1 inhibition than atRA metabolism. The key advantages of using 9-cisRA instead of atRA for measuring inhibition of CYP26A1 are the lower binding affinity of 9-cisRA to CYP26A1, and lack of substantial sequential metabolism and product depletion.

Using 9-cisRA hydroxylation as a probe, twenty-one potential inhibitors of CYP26A1 were identified from a wide variety of compounds. With the exception of ketoconazole, the compounds selected from classic P450 substrates and inhibitors either did not inhibit CYP26A1 or inhibited it weakly, demonstrating that CYP26A1 has high ligand selectivity. Also with the exception of ketoconazole, the compounds with high affinity (<1 μM IC₅₀) for CYP26A1 were designed either as inhibitors of RA metabolism or as RAR agonists using atRA as the lead structure.

Overall, the RAR agonists had lower affinity for CYP26A1 than for RAR isoforms. An exception was CD1530, which had a similar binding affinity towards CYP26A1 as reported for RARγ (Thacher et al., 2000), and higher affinity for CYP26A1 than for RARα and RARβ isoforms. In cell systems, CD1530 is expected to inhibit CYP26A1 at similar concentrations as those required for RARγ activation. TTNPB and AM580 had lower binding affinities toward CYP26A1 than reported for RAR isoforms (Thacher et al., 2000), but it is possible
that when used in cell systems these compounds also inhibit CYP26A1. This is an important finding as CYP26A1 inhibition leading to increased concentrations of atRA in cells simultaneously with RAR activation, could confound results in RAR activation assays and mask the mechanism of cellular effects.

Our findings confirm previous studies showing that R115866 and R116010 inhibit atRA metabolism with high affinity. R115866 had an IC$_{50}$ of 4nM and 5nM when tested in yeast and MCF-7 cells expressing CYP26A1, respectively (Stoppie et al., 2000), and R116010 had an IC$_{50}$ of 8.7nM in human T47D breast cancer cells induced with atRA (Van Heusden et al., 2002). The inhibitory potency of ketoconazole towards CYP26A1 in this study was much higher (IC$_{50}$ = 0.5µM) than previously observed (IC$_{50}$-values 18 and 12µM in rat liver microsomes and MCF-7 cells, respectively). The inhibitory potency of CS5 was in good agreement with previous studies. The IC$_{50}$-value for CS5 was >20µM when tested in rat liver microsomes and 7µM when tested in atRA induced MCF-7 cells (Yee et al., 2005). In MCF-7 cells and rat liver microsomes, CS6 was a more potent inhibitor than CS5 (IC$_{50}$ 0.4 and 5µM in rat liver microsomes and MCF-7 cells, respectively) and was suggested to have more broad inhibition potential than CS5 (Yee et al., 2005). In our study, CS6 inhibited CYP26A1 activity <20% when tested at 10µM in comparison to 50% inhibition by CS5. A possible explanation for these discrepancies is the contribution of other enzymes to atRA metabolism in rat liver microsomes and/or MCF-7 cells or differences in nonspecific binding of the inhibitors in different systems. The differences in inhibitory potencies between the systems highlight the need of determining initial inhibition constants using a single enzyme system. This is especially important when reliable selective probe substrates are not available for the specific CYP isoform. Because atRA is metabolized by multiple CYP
enzymes (Thatcher et al., 2010), the contribution of each isoform is expected to vary between different tissues and cell types. At present, the predominant CYP26 isoform expressed in MCF-7 cells and the selectivity of the inhibitors for different CYP26 isoforms are unknown.

The result that ketoconazole is 4-fold more potent CYP26A1 inhibitor than liarozole is in contrast with what was expected from in vivo findings (Van Wauwe et al., 1990). In rats, when administered at similar doses, liarozole caused an approximately 3-fold increase in atRA AUC whereas ketoconazole only increased atRA concentrations 1.5-fold (Van Wauwe et al., 1990). This discrepancy is most likely due to different circulating free concentrations of ketoconazole and liarozole resulting from different plasma protein binding, clearance and volume of distribution. In agreement with our data, both liarozole and ketoconazole have been shown to inhibit atRA metabolism in vitro in hamster or rat liver microsomes (Van Wauwe et al., 1992; Van Wauwe et al., 1988). Also, no potent CYP26A1 inhibition was observed by itraconazole in this study, similar to the data that itraconazole did not inhibit atRA metabolism in vivo in rats (Van Wauwe et al., 1990).

The finding that ketoconazole is a potent inhibitor of CYP26A1 is consistent with clinical findings that atRA dose needs to be decreased when atRA is co-administered with ketoconazole or fluconazole. When ketoconazole was administered on day 28 of atRA therapy, a 72% increase in plasma AUC of atRA was observed (Rigas et al., 1993), suggesting a <50% decrease in the clearance of atRA. The finding that fluconazole ($C_{max}$ 43.6μM (Debruyne and Ryckelynck, 1993)) is a weak CYP26A1 inhibitor ($IC_{50}$>10μM) does not explain the 2–4 fold increase in atRA AUC (Schwartz et al., 1995) or a need to decrease atRA dose by 70% (Vanier et al., 2003) after fluconazole administration. This suggests that
CYP26A1 is not the only enzyme clearing atRA in humans. It is possible that fluconazole causes the interaction by inhibiting other CYP26 isoforms or CYP2C and CYP3A enzymes.

It is surprising that the PPARγ and PPARβ/δ agonists pioglitazone, rosiglitazone and L165,041 inhibited CYP26A1 with similar potency as liarozole. In previous studies pioglitazone and rosiglitazone were found to induce CYP26B1 mRNA in HepG2 cells (Tay et al., 2010) but they have not previously been shown to affect atRA metabolism. Since pioglitazone and rosiglitazone plasma concentrations reach low μM (C\text{max}) values after clinical administration, it is possible that these drugs cause weak-to-moderate inhibition of atRA metabolism \textit{in vivo}. This is of interest since pioglitazone and rosiglitazone are used for treatment of diabetes and 9-cisRA was recently shown to play a role in regulation of blood glucose (Kane et al., 2010). It is possible that inhibition of 9-cisRA metabolism in the pancreas also plays a role in pioglitazone’s and rosiglitazone’s activity.

In conclusion, this study shows that CYP26A1 has high ligand selectivity, but can be inhibited by retinoids and other structurally related drugs. This study also shows that 9-cisRA and 13-cisRA are substrates for CYP26A1 suggesting that CYP26A1 has a role in inactivating bioactive retinoids. This finding may be important in further understanding of retinoid biochemistry. Future studies screening a larger panel of retinoids and RAR agonists are needed to determine the extent of ligand overlap between RAR isoforms and CYP26 enzymes. Based on the presented data, RAR, PPAR and CYP26A1 have considerable ligand overlap, suggesting that inhibition of CYP26A1, leading to increased atRA concentrations, could contribute to the biological activity of RAR and PPAR agonists.
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Legends for Figures:

Fig 1. Chemical structures of RA isomers, atRA-d₅, and detected or proposed atRA metabolites.

Fig 2. Characterization of metabolites of atRA formed by CYP26A1. HPLC-UV chromatograms of the metabolites formed after incubating atRA (top panel) or atRA-d₅ (bottom panel) at 100 μM concentration with 100 pmol CYP26A1 for 1 hour. The peaks labeled as 1, 2, 3 and 4 were collected as HPLC fractions and analyzed by high-resolution mass spectrometry as described in materials and methods. The MS/MS spectra of the four fractions are shown with the [M-H] ion m/z listed as an inset to the spectrum. The four metabolites were identified as peak 1, 4OH-atRA; peak 2 4oxo-atRA; peak 3 16OH-atRA and peak 4, 18OH-atRA.

Fig 3. Characterization of 9-cisRA as a substrate of CYP26A1. An HPLC chromatogram of the metabolites formed from 9-cisRA (100 μM) with 100 pmol CYP26A1 (A). The peak at 16.5 min depicted in panel A was collected for MS/MS analysis. Panel B shows the high resolution MS/MS spectrum of [M-H] 315 m/z of this peak. Panel C shows a further characterization of the 9-cisRA metabolites detected by LC-MS/MS as described in materials and methods. The black trace shows the MRM chromatogram of m/z transition 315>253 and the red trace shows the m/z transition 315>241. Retention times are not comparable between panels A and C due to different HPLC separation condition used. The insets show the MS/MS spectra acquired from m/z 315 for the two overlapping peaks demonstrating the presence of two different metabolites. The proposed fragmentation pathway of the hydroxylated 9-cisRA metabolites is shown in panel D. Panel E shows the determination of the Michaelis-Menten constant (Kₘ) for 9-cisRA hydroxylation by CYP26A1 using 5 pmol CYP26A1, 10 pmol reductase, and 9-cisRA concentrations 25 nM – 1 μM. Incubation times were 1 minute. The Kₘ was determined to be 134 nM.

Fig 4. Percent inhibition of CYP26A1 mediated 9-cisRA hydroxylation by identified ligands. The inhibition of CYP26A1 by a diverse selection of compounds (A and C) and select retinoids, RAMBAs and RAR agonists (B and D). The top panels (A and B) show the inhibition at 10 μM inhibitor concentration and the bottom panels show inhibition at 1 μM inhibitor concentration (C and D) with 9-cisRA (100 nM) as substrate.

Fig 5. Determination of IC₅₀ values for azole inhibitors of CYP26A1, and the relevant structures. IC₅₀ values were calculated by incubating 5 pmol CYP26A1, 10 pmol reductase, 100 nM 9-cisRA and at least 5 concentrations of inhibitor. The % activity remaining or concentration of the enzyme inhibitor complex was calculated and plotted against inhibitor concentration. The Morrison equation (Equation 2) was fit to the R115866 and R116010 data. Equation 1 was fitted to the liarozole and ketoconazole data.

Fig 6. Determination of IC₅₀ values for PPAR and RAR ligands and CS5 towards CYP26A1 mediated 9-cisRA oxidation. The structures of the inhibitors are shown as inserts. IC₅₀ values were calculated by incubating 5 pmol CYP26A1, 10 pmol reductase, 100 nM 9-cisRA and at least 5 concentrations of inhibitor. The % activity remaining was calculated and plotted against inhibitor concentration and Equation 1 was fitted to the data.
Fig 7. Ligand induced difference spectra for ketoconazole, R116010, and R115866 binding to CYP26A1. All spectra were recorded as described in Materials and Methods.
Tables:

**Table 1. Summary of compounds screened as potential inhibitors of CYP26A1.** Compounds were assigned as “positive” if they inhibited CYP26A1 more than 10% when tested at 10 µM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screen positive</th>
<th>Screen negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R115866</td>
<td>AC 55649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R116010</td>
<td>nelfinavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liarozole</td>
<td>quinidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ketoconazole</td>
<td>lopinavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fluconazole</td>
<td>simvastatin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>itraconazole</td>
<td>diltiazem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>voriconazole</td>
<td>methoxypsoralen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>terfenadine</td>
<td>darunavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>danazol</td>
<td>erythromycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tamoxifen</td>
<td>verapamil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pimozide</td>
<td>propranolol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tipranavir</td>
<td>atazanavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS6</td>
<td>omeprazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS5</td>
<td>indinavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 1530</td>
<td>saquinavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTNPB</td>
<td>ranolazine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-165,041</td>
<td>paclitaxel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rosiglitazone</td>
<td>cyclosporine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pioglitazone</td>
<td>quinapril</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM 580</td>
<td>amprenavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW 9662</td>
<td>ritonavir</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. IC$_{50}$ values for inhibitors of CYP26A1. IC$_{50}$ values were obtained from the fits of the data shown in Figures 5 and 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ or K$_d$, in µM (SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R116010</td>
<td>0.0043 (0.0007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R115866</td>
<td>0.0051 (0.0008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD 1530</td>
<td>0.53 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ketoconazole</td>
<td>0.55 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-165,041</td>
<td>1.7 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liarozole</td>
<td>2.1 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS5</td>
<td>2.6 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTNPB</td>
<td>3.7 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rosiglitazone</td>
<td>4.2 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pioglitazone</td>
<td>8.6 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The IC$_{50}$ value is determined as 50% inhibition of the maximum 71% inhibition observed.
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