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Structural and Preclinical Studies of Computationally-Designed Non-nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors for Treating HIV infection


Department of Pharmacology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, United States (SNK, AHC, KSA); Department of Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, United States (WL, WJ); School of Medicine, Infectious Diseases/Internal Medicine, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut (JB, PK)
Abstract

The clinical benefits of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase (RT) inhibitors (NNRTIs) are hindered by their unsatisfactory pharmacokinetic (PK) properties along with the rapid development of drug-resistant variants. However, the clinical efficacy of these inhibitors can be improved by developing compounds with enhanced pharmacological profiles and heightened antiviral activity. We used computational and structure-guided design to develop two next-generation NNRTI drug candidates, compounds I and II, which are members of a class of catechol diethers. We evaluated the preclinical potential of these compounds in BALB/c mice because of their high solubility (510 µg/mL for compound I and 82.9 µg/mL for compound II), low cytotoxicity and enhanced antiviral activity against wild type (WT) HIV-1 RT and resistant variants. Additionally, crystal structures of compounds I and II with WT RT suggested an optimal binding to the NNRTI binding pocket favoring the high anti-viral potency. A single intraperitoneal dose of compounds I and II exhibited a prolonged serum residence time of 48 h and concentration maximum ($C_{\text{max}}$) of 4000-15 000 fold higher than their therapeutic / effective concentrations. These $C_{\text{max}}$s were 4-15 fold lower than their cytotoxic concentrations observed in MT-2 cells. Compound II showed enhanced AUC$_{(0-\text{last})}$ and decreased plasma clearance over compound I and efavirenz, the standard of care NNRTI. Hence, the overall PK profile of compound II was excellent compared to that of compound I and efavirenz. Furthermore, both compounds were very well tolerated in BALB/c mice without any detectable acute toxicity. Taken together, these data suggest that compounds I and II possess improved anti-HIV-1 potency, remarkable in vivo safety and prolonged in vivo circulation time suggesting strong potential for further development as new NNRTIs for the potential treatment of HIV infection.
Introduction

Globally, it is estimated that 36.7 million people are infected with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV); progression of the infection to acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) causes over 1.1 million complications-related deaths per year. The current standard of care for therapeutically treating HIV infection is a minimum of three different antiretroviral drugs as a part of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART). Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) are major components of HAART. These drugs significantly inhibit the catalytic function of HIV-reverse transcriptase (RT) by binding in an allosteric binding pocket 10-Å away from the RT polymerase active site and affect the chemical step of DNA synthesis (de Bethune, 2010; Fulco and McNicholl, 2009; Spence et al., 1996; Spence et al., 1995). Two of the five FDA-approved NNRTIs, efavirenz and rilpivirine (Figure 1A and B), are key components of the FDA-approved fixed dose therapies Atripla™, Complera™, and Odefsey™(Fellner, 2016; Permpalung et al., 2012).

In addition to the problem of promoting drug-resistance, the clinical benefits of both of these drugs are hindered by their unsatisfactory pharmacokinetic (PK) properties. Their high cytotoxicity and poor aqueous solubility leads to low bioavailability and difficulties in formulation requiring high dosage (Huang et al., 2015). Additionally, rapid blood clearance and insufficient drug concentration in vivo necessitates higher dosing which results in high pill burden, lowered patient compliance and development of cross-resistance between drug classes (Delaugerre et al., 2001). Furthermore, efavirenz’s low genetic barrier to resistance requires high-level adherence to therapy for efficacy (Delaugerre et al., 2001; Riddler et al., 2008). HIV-infected patients receiving efavirenz also suffer from side effects such as depression, insomnia, skin rash, lipodystrophy, and lipoatrophy (de Waal et al., 2013; Riddler et al., 2008). On the other hand, rilpivirine prescription requires a heightened vigilance in clinical practice due to its unfavorable interaction with acid suppressant medication (Janssen et al., 2005; Rathbun and Liedtke, 2011). Furthermore, as a substrate of CYP34A, rilpivirine cannot be co-administered.
with drugs that are CYP3A4 inducers (Janssen et al., 2005; Riddler et al., 2008). Moreover, preclinical studies with rilpivirine (Edurant™) reveal inhibition of hERG ion channel and clinical trials in Canada demonstrating prolongation of QT intervals in patients at higher dosing, prompt concerns of cardiotoxicity (http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/dhp-mps/prodpharma/sbd-smd/drug-med/sbd_smd_2011_edurant_137484-eng.php; Summary Basis of Decision, 137484, Health Canada). Therefore, development of alternative NNRTIs may prove beneficial for HIV-infected individuals, especially those who have experienced virologic failure.

To address the poor pharmacokinetic properties and issues of toxicity with currently approved NNRTIs, using computational and structure guided design; we successfully developed two next-generation NNRTI drug candidates, referred to as compound I and compound II (Figure 1C and D). These compounds belong to a potent class of inhibitors known as catechol diethers, which possess better aqueous solubility, low cytotoxicity, and increased potency towards wild type (WT) and resistant strains of HIV-1 (Lee et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2013). Compound I demonstrates picomolar activity (310 pM) against WT RT and low nano-molar activity toward clinically challenging variants (Lee et al., 2014), likely resulting from its molecular flexibility at the NNRTI binding pocket (NNBP) (Frey et al., 2015). Compound II possesses low nano-molar potency (1.9 nM) for WT RT and has very low cytotoxicity (>100 µM) (Lee et al., 2014). These encouraging findings prompted us to further investigate compounds I and II as potential anti-HIV drug candidates. We explored the pharmacokinetic profiles and single dose safety and tolerance of both compounds in BALB/c mice. In addition, the compounds were evaluated for potential off-target effects on a panel of 34 molecular targets including ion channel, receptors, and cytochrome P450 enzymes. Our pharmacological goal was to achieve serum concentrations that are expected to provide complete inhibition of RT based on a cell culture model of HIV-inhibition. Indeed, pharmacokinetics analyses showed that the $C_{\text{max}}$ for both compounds in BALB/c mice were several-fold higher than those required for inhibition of WT and resistant strains of HIV virus in cellular assays. Additionally, both compounds
demonstrated longer serum residence times, suggesting that a once daily dosing might be sufficient for achieving the desired therapeutic serum concentration. The pharmacokinetic data were further supported by crystallographic studies with compound II and WT RT. Similar to compound I (Frey et al., 2015), compound II makes extensive contacts with residues within the NNBP, further supporting its high potency, and suggested that compound II could be active against a range of clinically challenging variants. Hence, this study provides strong evidence that compound I and II are promising anti-HIV drug candidates and should be tested further to determine their efficacy in animal models of HIV infection.
Materials and Methods

Synthesis of Compounds I and II

The synthetic procedures for preparation of compound I and compound II have been previously described in detail (Bollini et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2014).

Animals.

Six- to eight-week-old female BALB/c mice from Jackson laboratories were used. All mice were housed in cages containing water, food and bedding. All procedures were approved by Yale University Animal Care and Use Committee.

Inhibition Assay with Pico Green and Solubility Measurements for Compounds I and II.

Inhibition assays were carried out with the PicoGreen Enzcheck Reverse Transcriptase Assay Kit (Life Technologies) as described previously (Gray et al., 2015). The compound solubility was measured using a shake-flask procedure as described previously (Bollini et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2013)

Anti-HIV activity in infected TZM-bl cells

The in vitro anti-HIV-1 activity of compound II was determined using a luciferase reporter gene assay (Montefiori, 2009). Twenty-four hours prior to infection, 20,000 TZM-bl cells were seeded per well in 48-well plate. Two hours before infection, the cells were incubated with medium containing compound II at concentrations ranging from 0.1pM to1µM at 37°C. The cells were infected with HIV-1 JR-CSF (MOI-0.1) 2h later and cultured for 48 hours. Luciferase activity in cell lysates were measured using the luciferase assay kit following manufacturer’s protocol (Promega).

In vitro Pharmacological Profiling

In vitro pharmacological profiling of efavirenz and compounds I and II were carried out by Eurofins Groups (Cerep and Eurofins Panlabs) in a panel of enzyme and radio-ligand assays with 34 targets. The detailed information of the assays can be obtained by clicking on the direct
link provided in the Supplementary Table 1. These links will direct the readers to Eurofins Panlabs webpage where information about each assay has been provided in detail.

**Crystallization, Data collection, Structure Determination and Refinement**

Recombinant RT52A enzyme was expressed and purified to homogeneity using methods described previously (Das et al., 2008; Frey et al., 2012). Crystals of RT52A in complex with compound II were prepared using similar methods as the catechol diether complexes (Frey et al., 2012). The final optimized condition for crystal growth consisted of 18% (w/v) PEG 8,000, 100 mM ammonium sulfate, 15 mM magnesium sulfate, 5 mM spermine, and 50 mM MES pH 6.0. Crystals were transferred to a cryo-solution containing 27% (v/v) ethylene glycol and flash cooled with liquid nitrogen.

Diffraction data for the best crystals were collected at APS on beam line 24-ID-E through NE-CAT. High-resolution data sets for the best diffracting crystals were processed with HKL2000 (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997). In order to obtain phases, molecular replacement was performed with Phaser (McCoy et al., 2007) using a previously determined RT:NNRTI complex (PDB code: 4WE1) as the search model (Lee et al., 2014). The program Coot (Emsley et al., 2010) was used for model building into the electron density. Structure was refined using Phenix (Adams et al., 2010) until acceptable R-factors, geometry statistics (ideal RMSD for bonds and angles), and Ramachandran statistics were achieved. Iterative build, composite omit electron density maps were generated using Phenix Autobuild (Terwilliger et al., 2008). PyMOL molecular viewer (DeLano, 2009) was used to visualize, analyze the structure, and generate figures. Crystallography programs were compiled by SBGrid (Morin et al., 2013)The atomic coordinates and structure factors are deposited in the PDB with codes 5TW3

**Pharmacokinetic Studies of Compound I and II in BALB/c Mice**

Stock solutions of compound I and compound II were diluted in PBS containing 10% Tween 80 and administered intraperitoneally (i.p.) at a dose of 20 mg/kg and 100 mg/kg. A cohort of three
mice per group was used for pharmacokinetic measurements. A sample size of 3 animals was used based on previously published pharmacokinetic studies with NNRTIs. Additionally, lack of mortality or morbidity in our pilot experiments conducted with different doses (5 mg/kg, 10mg/kg, 20 mg/kg and 100 mg/kg) of compounds I and II suggested that n=3 would be sufficient to get statistical significant data without using higher number of animals.

Serum samples were obtained from blood collected from the ocular venous plexus by retro-orbital venipuncture at various time points after i.p. injection and used for analysis as detailed below.

**Toxicity Studies of Compound II in BALB/c Mice**

In acute toxicity studies, three mice were treated with single i.p bolus injection of vehicle (DMSO) or compound II at 100 mg/kg. Mice were allowed free access to food and water throughout the experiments and were monitored daily for 3 days for morbidity and mortality.

**Determination of Serum Compound I and Compound II Concentrations by Quantitative HPLC**

Efavirenz (5µg/mL) and nevirapine (1µg/mL) were used as internal standards for the analysis of compound I and compound II, respectively. The compounds were extracted following previously published extraction protocols with modifications (Weller et al., 2007). 200 µL of 0.01M sodium hydroxide was added to all samples and vortexed briefly followed by the addition of 1 ml of methyl-tert-butyl-ether (MTBE). The samples were then vortexed briefly and were placed on ice for 5 minutes to separate the MTBE layer. The MTBE layer was collected in a glass tube and dried under nitrogen at room temperature. The residue was reconstituted in 50 µL of acetonitrile and 25 µL of the reconstituted sample was subjected to analytical HPLC.

The serum levels of compound I and compound II were determined using 210 Varian Prostar HPLC system (Agilent Technologies) equipped with a 218/SD-1 pumps, a PS 325 UV-Vis detector, a fraction collector (440 LC) and a computer with Open Lab CDS (Chemstation edition) software for data analysis. The C-18 analytical column (Varian Polaris 5, 150 x 4.6 mm)
was equilibrated prior to data collection with 1% A and 99% B (where A is HPLC grade water and B is HPLC grade acetonitrile). The employed linear gradient mobile phase (flow rate= 1.0 ml/min) was as follows: 95% A - 5% B at 0 min, 1% A - 99% B at 30 min, and 95% A - 5% B at 35 min. The detection wavelengths were 280 nm and 272 nm for compound I and compound II, respectively, and the response time was set at 2.0 sec. The lowest limit of detection was 25 ng/mL for compound I and 50 ng/mL for compound II. Good linearity was observed between concentrations ranging from 50 ng/mL-100 µg/mL for compound I and 25 ng/mL-100 µg/mL for compound II in serum. The calculated extraction efficiency was 65-70% for both the compounds.

**Pharmacokinetic Analyses**

The data were plotted as a concentration-time curve using PRISM 7.0. The predicted area under the concentration-time curve (AUC\textsubscript{predicted}) was calculated based on the linear trapezoid method (Bourget and Delouis, 1993). The maximum concentration (C\textsubscript{max}) and maximum time at which C\textsubscript{max} was observed (T\textsubscript{max}) were also calculated from the concentration-time curve. The total body clearance value (CL) was obtained by the following equation (Ratain and Plunkett, 2003):

\[
\text{Clearance value (CL)} = \frac{\text{Dose}}{\text{AUC}_0\text{-last}} \tag{equation 1}
\]
Results

HIV-1 Inhibitory Activity and Solubility Measurements for Compounds I and II

Intrinsic enzymatic inhibitory activities of compounds I and II against WT RT are compared to rilpivirine and efavirenz in Table 1. Consistent with their high potency observed in the cell-based assays (Lee et al., 2014), both compounds I and II showed single digit nanomolar IC\textsubscript{50} values against WT RT. Table 1 also compares the solubility values of compounds I and II with that of rilpivirine and efavirenz. The solubility of rilpivirine was below 1 µg/mL, which is well outside the normal range of 4-4,000 µg/mL (Bollini et al., 2013; Jorgensen and Duffy, 2002). Compound I had a much higher solubility of 510 µg/mL, whereas the solubility values for compound II was slightly better than efavirenz, 82.9 µg/mL versus 68 µg/mL. The cLogP values for compound I and II were below 4 and in the normal range of 0-5 for oral drugs (Jorgensen, 2009) while efavirenz was 4.6 and rilpivirine was above 5 (Lee et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2013).

Binding Mode of Compound II in WT (RT)

To elucidate the specific interactions between compound II and residues in the non-nucleoside binding pocket (NNBP), the crystal structure of the RT: compound II complex was determined. The best crystal diffracted to amplitudes extending to a resolution of 2.85 Å, and phases were obtained via molecular replacement using the structure of RT in complex with the non-halogenated version of compound II (PDB code: 4WE1) as a search model (Lee et al., 2014). Data collection and refinement statistics are listed in Table 2. The electron density of compound II can be clearly seen in the NNBP (Figure 2). The overall conformation of compound II and the binding pocket is very similar to what we have observed previously with other RT: catechol diether complexes (Frey et al., 2012; Frey et al., 2014; Frey et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2013) (Figure 3). The NNBP adopts an open-cleft conformation and features three channels described as entrance, groove, and tunnel (Ekkati et al., 2012). The naphthyl moiety of compound II forms extensive van der Waals interactions with P95, L100, V108, Y188,
W229 and L234. In particular, the naphthyl ring forms an offset face-to-face π-π interaction with Y188, and a face-to-edge π-π interaction with W229. The cyano group attached to the naphthyl resides in the tunnel region protruding to the polymerase active site. The central catechol ring forms van der Waals interactions with K103 and Y181, and the backbone of K101, Y188 and G190. The ring forms an offset face-to-face π-π interaction with Y181. The F on the catechol ring protrudes into the entrance site and contacts K103 as well as V179. The uracil moiety resides in the groove region and contacts K102, K103, F227, L234, H235, P236 and Y318. The C2 carbonyl forms weak hydrogen bonds with the side chain amino group of K102 (3.5 Å N-O distance) and backbone amide of K103 (3.3 Å N-O distance).

In vitro Pharmacological Profiling

In vitro pharmacological profiling was carried out to identify off-target effects responsible for high attrition rate in drug-discovery and development process. Our compounds along with efavirenz were subjected to a panel of 34 targets, which included various receptors, ion channels, enzymes and hormones. A complete list of the targets evaluated for potential off-target effects is shown in Supplemental Table 1.

A heat map was generated based on the significant response obtained from these assays (Figure 4). The green squares represent less than 50% binding or inhibition and the red squares represent more than 50% inhibition. In case of efavirenz, significant response of more than 50% was noted for calcium channel L-Type, dihydropyridine (DHP channel), serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine)(5HT2B) and sodium channel, site 2 (Na channel) assays whereas compound I showed no adverse response to all the targets tested. Similar to compound I, compound II also had no adverse response to any of the targets tested except for cytochrome P450 2C19(CYP2C19) where a little over 50% response was seen.

Pharmacokinetics of Compound I in BALB/c mice

The serum concentration-time profile showed that the maximum concentration of compound I in BALB/c mice administered a single dose of 20 mg/kg by the i.p. route resulted in
a $C_{\text{max}}$ of 0.54 ± 0.02 µg/mL at 4 h that persisted for up to 24 h and slowly waned in the next 24 h (Figure 5 and Table 3). An AUC$_{0-\text{last}}$ value of 13.1 ± 0.4 µg.h/mL was obtained for compound I by linear trapezoid method. The clearance level (CL) calculated using equation 1 was 25.4 min/mL/kg. Thus, a 4,000-fold higher serum concentration of compound I compared to its EC$_{50}$ in MTT cells was rapidly achieved and maintained for more than 24 h after i.p. administration of the 20 mg/kg dose of compound I.

**Pharmacokinetics and Toxicity of Compound II in BALB/c Mice**

The serum concentration-time curve for compound II determined at 20 mg/kg dose showed a $C_{\text{max}}$ of 11.8 ± 0.7 µg/mL at 4 h (Figure 6 and Table 3). An AUC$_{0-\text{last}}$ value of 201.7 ± 23.4 µg.h/mL and CL of 1.6 min/mL/kg were calculated similarly. Thus, higher levels of compound II in serum were rapidly achieved and maintained for almost 48 h after i.p. administration of the 20 mg/kg dose of compound II. Additionally, we also looked at the pharmacokinetics of a single high dose of 100 mg/kg of compound II in BALB/c mice (Figure 7). A $C_{\text{max}}$ of 52.3 ± 5.3 µg/mL at 4 h and a AUC$_{0-\text{last}}$ value of 896.4 ± 50.71 µg.h/mL were obtained. The CL of 1.8 min/mL/kg was observed which was similar to one seen with 20 mg/kg dose. These mice were also observed for 96 h for any sign of toxicity in terms of morbidity or mortality. All the treated mice remained healthy throughout the 96 h observation period, with no evidence of morbidity or clinical distress, suggesting that the single-bolus dose of compound II was nontoxic to BALB/c mice at dose levels of 100 mg/kg.

**Anti-HIV activity of compound II in infected TZM-bl cells**

Our previous assay for HIV infection was based on cell death induced by HIV replication in MT2 cells and cell viability measured in MTT assays as readout for antiviral potency (Lee et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2013). We also performed antiviral assays in a TZM-bl indicator cell line that expresses a luciferase reporter driven by the HIV LTR and thus a linear measure for HIV infection. TZM-bl cells were preincubated with compound II for 2 hours followed by infection with HIV-1 JR-CSF strain at an MOI of 0.1 to assess the antiviral potency of compound II. Figure 8
depicts concentration-dependent inhibition of HIV-1 infection and EC$_{50}$ of 3.5 nM for compound II, comparable to low EC$_{50}$ observed in the MTT assays (Lee et al., 2013). Effective inhibition of HIV JR-CSF infected cells reinforced the fact that compound II has potent inhibitory activity against HIV-1. These results encourage animal infection experiments given the favorable toxicity profile and pharmacokinetics of compound II.
**Discussion**

Compounds I and II were derived from a low-micromolar hit in a virtual screen by docking (Bollini et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2014). The hit, which contained a diphenylmethane substructure, was subjected to extensive analyses using free-energy perturbation (FEP) calculations for model complexes of analogs of the hit with HIV-RT. The results led us to prepare substituted catechol diethers with a cyanovinyl group as in compound I, which yielded EC\textsubscript{50}s as low as 55 pM in the infected T-cell assays. Subsequently, crystal structures were obtained that confirmed the correctness of the modeled complexes. Additional FEP-guided efforts then addressed possible replacements of the cyanovinylphenyl substructure, which led to discovery of additional novel compounds including compound II (Bollini et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2014).

These compounds are highly effective NNRTIs that have strong potential for development as new anti-HIV-1 drugs with improved antiviral efficacy and drug resistance profiles (Frey et al., 2014; Frey et al., 2015; Gray et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2013). As noted in Table 1, these compounds are suitable drug candidates for further pre-clinical studies due to their low effective intrinsic inhibitory activities at low nanomolar concentrations, better solubility profiles and low cLogP values compared to rilpivirine (Janssen et al., 2005; Sun et al., 2012) and efavirenz (Frey et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2013). Our *in vitro* pharmacological profiling of these compounds against a broad range of targets, which are associated with off-target effects in humans, has shown that these compounds exhibit little to no adverse effects on these targets (Figure 4). Importantly, compounds I and II exhibited no adverse reaction to major targets like the hERG channel, blockade of which is responsible for major cardiac arrhythmias (Bowes et al., 2012). Furthermore, these compounds show no inhibition of CYP3A4, which is the major cytochrome P450 enzyme responsible for the metabolism of the majority of currently approved drugs. Even though compound II showed inhibition of CYP2C19, it was at a high concentration of 10 \( \mu \)M and CYP2C19 metabolizes only...
10-15% of the marketed drug in contrast to CYP3A4 (Nazir et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016). In comparison, efavirenz in our panel showed inhibition of binding of ligands to DHP and Na channels and 5HT2B receptors, explaining some of the side effects seen with efavirenz treatment. The excellent in vitro pharmacological qualities of these compounds led us to evaluate the safety and toxicity profile, and pharmacokinetic profiles of these compounds in a detailed in vivo pharmacokinetic analysis in BALB/c mice.

The serum concentration-time profiles after intraperitoneal administration of compounds I and II showed that both compounds were rapidly absorbed achieving maximum concentrations which were 4000- and 15,000-fold higher, respectively, than their therapeutic / effective concentrations (EC$_{50}$) and 17- and 5-fold lower, respectively, than the cytotoxic concentration (CC$_{50}$) (Lee et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2013). Interestingly, the serum concentration-time profiles of compound I and II were notable in that concentrations of 2000-4000-fold above the required therapeutic range were achieved in serum as early as 0.5 h post-injection and were maintained for more than 24 h. The observed C$_{\text{max}}$ of compound I was comparable to C$_{\text{max}}$ of efavirenz observed in a similar pharmacokinetic study in BALB/c conducted by Destache et al. (Destache et al., 2010). Unlike compound I, the C$_{\text{max}}$ of compound II was 10-fold higher compared to that of efavirenz (Destache et al., 2010) (Table 3). A plateau in serum concentration was observed for both compounds after 6 h post-injection, which was sustained for a period of 24 h (Figure 5 and 6). The plateau was followed by a rapid decline to non-detectable levels by 48 h for compound I. Interestingly, a concentration of 2.9 µg/mL was detected for compound II at 48 h, which was ~3 fold higher than efavirenz level (Destache et al., 2010). Hence, the pharmacokinetic data suggest that the longer serum residence time of these compounds makes them amenable to a daily dose regimen, as plasma concentrations of the drug would be maintained in the therapeutic range under these conditions. Additionally, no morbidities and mortalities were observed in the compound-treated mice, suggesting that the C$_{\text{max}}$ achieved were non-toxic.
Further analyses of the pharmacokinetic data showed that the area under curve (AUC$_{0\text{-}last}$) and total body clearance (CL) values for compound I were similar to those of efavirenz (Table 3). However, for compound II a much higher AUC$_{0\text{-}last}$ value of 201.7 µg.h/mL and a much lower clearance level of 1.09 mL/min/kg compared to the clearance levels of compound I (25.4 mL/min/kg) and efavirenz (16.3 mL/min/kg) were noted in our pharmacokinetic analysis. The larger AUC$_{0\text{-}last}$ values and the lower CL value could suggest that a higher volume of distribution can be achieved by compound II and also that compound II is subjected to a slower metabolism, thereby achieving sustained levels for maintaining good virus control.

The pharmacokinetic data were further supported by crystallographic studies with RT:compound II where, compound II made extensive contacts with residues within the NNBP, further explaining its high potency, and suggesting that compound II could be active against a range of NNBP mutants. Notably, the naphthyl group of compound II interacts with both P95 and W229, located at the p66/p51 dimerization interface and primer grip, respectively. Mutations with these two residues might destabilize the RT dimer or interfere with the correct placement of the primer in the active site, leading to a significant decrease in reverse transcriptase activity and therefore viral fitness. Indeed, clinical studies and in vitro mutational analysis have shown that both residues are immutable (Auwerx et al., 2005; Ceccherini-Silberstein et al., 2005; Pelemans et al., 2000), suggesting that compound II’s interactions with these two residues will be able to compensate for potential lost interactions due to other NNBP mutations. Moreover, although compound II forms a hydrogen bond with the frequently mutated K103, the hydrogen bond donor is the backbone amide of K103, suggesting that the interaction is likely maintained in a number of K103 mutations. The preliminary crystal structures of compound II in complex with Y181C mutant and K103N/Y181C double mutant show that compound II binds to these two mutants in an almost identical conformation as in WT RT (compound II and NNBP residues for WT and mutants align to RMSD < 0.4). In particular, the π-π interaction between the naphthyl group and W229, van der Waals interaction with P95, and hydrogen bond between the uracil
group and K103 or N103 are maintained in the mutant structures (unpublished data). The previous report that compound II can inhibit common NNRTI-resistant mutants with a single mutation (Y181C) or double mutations (K103N and Y181C) at low nanomolar concentrations (Lee et al., 2014) supports the present hypothesis that compound II may be effective against a number of HIV strains harboring different NNBP mutations in RT.

The good pharmacological profile of compound II compared to compound I and efavirenz makes it a great candidate for efficacy trials in animal models of HIV infection. Previously, it has been established that efficacy studies of various NNRTIs at 100 mg/kg produce more than 90% inhibition of the viral capsid core p24 protein (p24 antigen) production and higher AUC_{0-last} values (Rabin et al., 1996; Stoddart et al., 2007). As such, we carried out a pharmacokinetic study for compound II at 100 mg/kg in BALB/c mice (Figure 7). A 5-fold increase in the dose caused a C_{max} increase of 4.4 fold and an AUC_{0-last} increase of 4.4 fold (Table 3). These features coupled with constant plasma clearance (1.8 mL/min/kg) after high i.p. dose suggests that compound II follows linear pharmacokinetic. Since compound II was well tolerated by BALB/c mice over a period of 96 h at this high concentration, the future efficacy studies could be carried out at 100 mg/kg dose without any concern for toxicity. Additionally, compound II showed low nanomolar potency against JR-CSF strains of HIV-1 virus in the single-infectivity assay.

In summary, these findings suggest that non-toxic and therapeutic concentrations of compounds I and II can rapidly be achieved and maintained for prolonged periods in the serum of BALB/c mice. Additionally, these results strongly encourage further preclinical development of these potent catechol diether compounds and encourage studies of antiviral potency in animal models for HIV infection.
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Legends for Figures

Figure 1: Chemical structures of (A) efavirenz, (B) rilpivirine, (C) compound I and (D) compound II.

Figure 2: Omit, σA-weighted 2mFo-Fc electron density contoured to 1.0 σ for RT:compound II. Compound II was omitted from the model to generate iterative-build omit map using the original structure factors.

Figure 3: Stereo view of the crystal structure for compound II complexed with HIV-RT. Residues that interact with the inhibitor are shown as green sticks. Compound II is represented by yellow sticks. Black dotted lines indicate hydrogen bonds.

Figure 4: In-vitro pharmacological profiling of efavirenz, compound I and compound II against targets for adverse drug reactions (ADRs) as described in materials and methods. Rows represent compounds tested and columns represent targets. Percentage inhibition at 10 µM concentration of the compounds is color-coded: <50 % inhibition of target is labeled in green and >50 % inhibition is labeled in red. Abbreviations: CYP, cytochrome P450, ADOR, Adenosine receptor, ADR, adrenergic receptor, DHP, dihydropyridine or calcium channel L-type, CNR1, cannabinoid receptor, DRD, Dopamine receptor, NMDAR, Glutamate receptor, HRH1, Histamine receptor, Imidazole I2, Imidazole I2 receptor, CHRM, Muscarinic, nACHR, nicotinic acetylcholine, OPRM, opiate µ, Phorbol ester receptor, KATP, potassium channel, hERG, the human Ether-à-go-go-Related Gene, , EP4, prostanoid receptor, Rolipram, phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitor, 5HT2B, serotonin receptor 2B, Sigma σ1 receptor, Na channel, sodium channel, NET, norepinephrine transporter.

Figure 5: Pharmacokinetics of compound I in BALB/c mice. Serum levels of compound I were monitored after an i.p. administration of 20 mg/kg compound I in three BALB/c mice. Blood samples were collected at 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 12, 24 and 48h and analyzed as described in the Methods section. Data points represent mean ± SD.
Figure 6: Pharmacokinetics of compound II in BALB/c mice. Serum level of compound II was monitored after an i.p. administration of 20 mg/kg compound I in three BALB/c mice. The blood samples were collected at 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 12, 24 and 48h and analyzed as described in the Methods section. Data points represent mean ± SD.

Figure 7: Pharmacokinetics of compound II in BALB/c mice administered at 100 mg/kg dose. Serum level of compound I was monitored after an i.p. administration of 100 mg/kg compound I in three BALB/c mice. The blood samples were collected at 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 12, 24 and 48h and analyzed as described in the Methods section. Data points represent mean ± SD.

Figure 8: HIV-1 inhibition by compound II in TZM-bl cells. TZM-bl cells presented with compound II at varying concentrations were infected with HIV-1 (JRCSF strain). Virus infection was measured 2 days later by measuring luciferase activity in the cells. The percentage of the luciferase activity was normalized relative to the HIV-1 infected control TZM-bl cells that were not exposed to compound II. The values are mean ± SD from three different experiments involving triplicate measurements.
Tables

Table 1. HIV-RT inhibitory activity (IC₅₀ in nM), experimental aqueous solubility at pH 6.5 (S in µg/mL) and computed cLogP. Data are the mean ± S.D. from three different experiments involving triplicate measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>WT (nM)</th>
<th>cLogP</th>
<th>S (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>510ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>82.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rilpivirine</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>0.02ᵇ, 0.24ᶜ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efavirenz</td>
<td>41 ± 2ᶜ</td>
<td>4.67ᵃ</td>
<td>68ᵃ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃ(Lee et al., 2014),ᵇ(Janssen et al., 2005) pH 7,ᶜ(Sun et al., 2012), pH 7.4,ᶜ(King et al., 2002)
Table 2. Data Collection and Refinement Statistics for RT (WT) in Complex with Compound II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RT: compound II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDB Code</td>
<td>5TW3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution Limit (Å)</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-Ray Source</td>
<td>APS 24ID-E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength, Å</td>
<td>0.97915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. molecules in asymmetric unit</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell, a,b,c in Å</td>
<td>a=224.4, b=69.5, c=104.5,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(α,β,γ, in °)</td>
<td>α=90, β=106.0, γ=90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution range, Å</td>
<td>50.0-2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Shell, Å</td>
<td>2.90-2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-sym (last shell)</td>
<td>0.069 (0.510)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness, % (last shell, %)</td>
<td>99.4 (99.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Reflections (Unique Reflections)</td>
<td>137490 (36173)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy (last shell)</td>
<td>3.8 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. I/σ (last shell)</td>
<td>24.2 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Atoms</td>
<td>7757, 32, 17, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Protein/Inhibitor/Solvent/Ions)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-free, R-factor</td>
<td>0.2725, 0.2270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS deviation bond lengths (Å), angles (°)</td>
<td>0.003, 0.631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. B-factor: Protein/Inhibitor/Solvent, Ions</td>
<td>69.4, 53.9, 54.1, 87.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran Favored, Allowed, Outliers (%) (MolProbity)</td>
<td>96.62, 3.38, 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Pharmacokinetic parameters of compound I and compound II in comparison to efavirenz in BALB/c mice. Data are the mean ± SD of triplicate; n, number of mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacokinetic Parameters</th>
<th>Compound I (n=3)</th>
<th>Compound II (n=3)</th>
<th>Compound II (n=3)</th>
<th>Efavirenz* (n=3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dose (mg/kg)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C\text{\textsubscript{max}} (µg/mL)</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.02</td>
<td>11.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>52.3 ± 5.7</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T\text{\textsubscript{max}} (h)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC\text{\textsubscript{0-last}} (µg h / mL)</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>201.7 ± 23.4</td>
<td>896.4 ± 50.71</td>
<td>20.4 ± 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL (mL/min/kg)</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) (Destache et al., 2010)
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