Identification of AICP as a GluN2C-selective NMDA receptor superagonist at the GluN1 glycine site
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Abstract

NMDA-type ionotropic glutamate receptors mediate excitatory neurotransmission in the central nervous system and are critically involved in brain function. NMDA receptors are also implicated in psychiatric and neurological disorders and have received considerable attention as therapeutic targets. In this regard, administration of D-cycloserine (DCS), which is a glycine site NMDA receptor agonist, can enhance extinction of conditioned fear responses. The intriguing behavioral effects of DCS have been linked to its unique pharmacological profile among NMDA receptor subtypes (GluN1/2A-D), in which DCS is a superagonist at GluN2C-containing receptors compared to glycine and a partial agonist at GluN2B-containing receptors. Here, we identify AICP as a glycine site agonist with unique GluN2-dependent differences in agonist efficacy at recombinant NMDA receptor subtypes. AICP is a full agonist at GluN1/2A (100% response compared to glycine), a partial agonist at GluN1/2B and GluN1/2D (10% and 27%, respectively), and a highly efficacious superagonist at GluN1/2C receptors (353%). Furthermore, AICP potencies are enhanced compared to DCS with EC50 values in the low nanomolar range (1.7 nM at GluN1/2C). We show that GluN1/2C superagonism of AICP and DCS is mediated by overlapping, but distinct mechanisms, and that AICP selectively enhances responses from recombinant GluN1/2C receptors in the presence of physiological glycine concentrations. This functional selectivity of AICP for GluN2C-containing NMDA receptors is more pronounced compared to DCS, suggesting that AICP can be a useful tool compound for uncovering the roles of GluN2C subunits in neuronal circuit function and in the development of new therapeutic strategies.
Introduction

NMDA receptors are ligand-gated ion channels that are involved in essential physiological processes in the central nervous system (CNS), such as neuronal development and synaptic plasticity (Traynelis et al., 2010), but are also implicated in neuropsychiatric diseases, including schizophrenia and depression (Skolnick et al., 2009; Menniti et al., 2013; Zarate et al., 2013; Dutta et al., 2015; Goff, 2015). Furthermore, NMDA receptors play important roles in neuronal pathways that are involved in acquisition and extinction of conditioned fear, suggesting that NMDA receptors could be targets for therapeutic intervention in fear disorders (Davis et al., 2006; Ogden et al., 2014; Hofmann et al., 2015; Otto et al., 2016).

Seven different NMDA receptor subunits have been identified, namely GluN1, GluN2A-D, and GluN3A-B, and the majority of NMDA receptors in the CNS are heterotetramers comprising two GluN1 and two GluN2 subunits (Traynelis et al., 2010). GluN3 subunits can also assemble with GluN1/GluN2 subunits, but the structure and function of these GluN3-containing receptors is largely unresolved (Perez-Otano et al., 2016). Activation of NMDA receptors composed of GluN1 and GluN2 subunits requires simultaneous agonist binding to all four subunits with glutamate binding to GluN2 and glycine (or D-serine) binding to GluN1 (Benveniste and Mayer, 1991; Clements and Westbrook, 1994; Traynelis et al., 2010). However, NMDA receptors mainly rely on synaptic release of glutamate for activation, since extracellular glycine (or D-serine) is present at saturating or sub-saturating concentrations (Bergeron et al., 1998; Billups and Attwell, 2003). Thus, the strength of phasic NMDA receptor activation by glutamate can be modulated by the tonic presence of agonists that bind the GluN1 glycine binding sites (Yang and Svensson, 2008; Lench et al., 2015). This idea has garnered considerable attention in the development of new therapeutic strategies and has led to numerous clinical trials with various NMDA receptor ligands that bind the GluN1 glycine binding site (Szakacs et al., 2012; Balu and Coyle, 2015; Goff, 2015; Schade and Paulus, 2016).
D-Cycloserine (DCS), a GluN1 glycine site agonist (McBain et al., 1989; Henderson et al., 1990; Watson et al., 1990; Priestley and Kemp, 1994), has been intensively studied due to its intriguing neuroactive properties (Monahan et al., 1989; Flood et al., 1992; Schuster and Schmidt, 1992; Walker et al., 2002). Administration of DCS can enhance extinction of fear in both rodents and humans, and this effect might be relevant in the treatment of some psychiatric disorders (Walker et al., 2002; Ressler et al., 2004; Davis et al., 2006; Gupta et al., 2013). The effects of DCS has been suggested to result from its unique in vitro pharmacological profile among recombinant NMDA receptor subtypes (GluN1/2A-D), in which the agonist efficacy of DCS is dependent on the glutamate-binding GluN2 subunits (Sheinin et al., 2001; Dravid et al., 2010). DCS is a partial agonist at GluN1/2A, GluN1/2B, and GluN1/2D receptors with lower maximal response compared to glycine and D-serine, whereas DCS has a higher maximal response at GluN1/2C receptors (Sheinin et al., 2001; Dravid et al., 2010). Thus, DCS is a GluN2C-selective NMDA receptor superagonist compared to the endogenous agonists glycine and D-serine. The structural mechanism that mediates the GluN2 subtype-dependent agonist efficacy of DCS is unclear (Banke and Traynelis, 2003; Chen et al., 2008; Kussius and Popescu, 2009; Dravid et al., 2010). However, studies suggest that enhancement of both acquisition and extinction of conditioned fear responses by DCS administration is mediated by its actions as a GluN2C-selective superagonist and resulting potentiation of GluN2C-containing NMDA receptors in the amygdala (Hillman et al., 2011; Ogden et al., 2014).

Here, we describe the pharmacological profile of (R)-2-amino-3-(4-(2-ethylphenyl)-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)propanoic acid (AICP), which has been suggested to be a partial agonist at the NMDA receptor glycine site on the basis of a functional MK-801 binding assay in rat brain tissue (compound R-26 in (Urwyler et al., 2009). The agonist activity of AICP is intriguing, since the size of the chemical structure of AICP is dramatically increased compared to previously characterized GluN1 binding site agonists (glycine, DCS, D-serine, ACPC, and ACBC) (Chen et al., 2008) (Fig. 1A). We demonstrate that AICP is a highly potent and efficacious superagonist at GluN1/2C receptors with a more pronounced functional selectivity for GluN2C-containing NMDA receptors compared to DCS.
Materials and Methods

DNA constructs and ligands

Wild type cDNA for human GluN1-1a (encoding GenBank: NP_015566.1; hereafter GluN1) and human GluN2A, GluN2B, GluN2C, and GluN2D (encoding GenBank: NP_000824.1, NP_000825.2, NP_000826.2, and NP_000827.2, respectively) were synthesized in vitro (GenScript, Piscataway, NJ). Wild type cDNAs for rat GluN1-1a (GenBank: U08261; hereafter GluN1), GluN2A (D13211), GluN2B (U11419), GluN2C (M91563), and GluN2D (L31611) were provided by S. Heinemann (Salk Institute), S. Nakanishi (Osaka Bioscience Institute), and P. Seeburg (University of Heidelberg). Mutant rat GluN1 and GluN2 constructs were generated using QuikChange protocols (Kvist et al., 2013). Amino acid composition for GluN2A-(2C ATD) is 405-1464 from GluN2A and 1-414 from GluN2C, and amino acid composition for GluN2C-(2A ATD) is 1-402 from GluN2A and 413-1250 from GluN2C. For expression in Xenopus oocytes, DNA constructs were linearized by restriction enzymes and used as templates for in vitro cRNA transcription. Amino acid residues are numbered based on the full-length polypeptide sequence, including the signal peptide (initiating methionine is 1). Glycine, DCS, and L-glutamate (hereafter glutamate), were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). (R)-2-Amino-3-(4-(2-ethylphenyl)-1H-indole-2-carboxamido)propanoic acid (AICP) that was synthesized as previously described (Urwyler et al., 2009) at H. Lundbeck A/S (Valby, Denmark).

Two-electrode voltage-clamp recordings

Xenopus oocytes were purchased from Robert Weymouth (Xenopus 1, Dexter, MI) or Ecocyte Bioscience (Austin, TX or Castrop-Rauxel, Germany). Oocytes were co-injected with cRNAs encoding GluN1 and one of the four different GluN2 subunits, and maintained at 18 °C in modified Barth’s solution (MBS) containing (in mM): 88 NaCl, 1.0 KCl, 15 HEPES, 2.4 NaHCO₃, 0.41 CaCl₂, 0.82 MgSO₄, and 0.3 Ca(NO₃)₂ (pH 7.5, osmolarity = 0.22 Osm/kg) supplemented with 0.1 U/L Penicillin and 0.1 µg/L streptomycin. Two-electrode voltage-clamp recordings were performed using Oocyte Clamp OC-725C.
amplifiers (Warner Instruments, Hamden, CT) and digitized by a Digidata 1320A 16-bit data acquisition system (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Oocytes were bathed with a flow rate of 5 ml/min using a gravity-driven perfusion system with extracellular recording solution containing (in mM): 90 NaCl, 1 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.5 BaCl₂, and 0.01 EDTA (pH 7.4, osmolarity = 0.20 Osm/kg). The voltage and current electrodes were made using a micropipette puller (Narishige, Amityville, NY), and were filled with 3 M KCl prior to use. In some cases, the micropipettes were also plugged with 1% w/v agar in 3 M KCl (type IX: Ultra-low Gelling Temperature, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Cells were clamped at a holding potential of -40 mV or -60 mV, and recordings were performed 1-7 days post-injection at room temperature (20-25 °C). AICP was dissolved at 10 mM or 100 mM in DMSO and the concentration of DMSO was kept ≤ 1% in all experiments.

**Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings**

HEK-293 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium with GlutaMax-I (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% dialyzed fetal bovine serum, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 10 U/ml penicillin, and 10 µg/ml streptomycin, and plated on glass coverslips coated with 0.1 mg/ml poly-D-lysine in 24-well plates approximately 48 hours prior to the experiments. Cells were transfected with plasmid cDNAs encoding GluN1 and GluN2 subunits at a ratio of 1:2 using the calcium phosphate precipitation method as previously described (Hansen et al., 2013). The culture medium was replaced 4-5 hours after transfection with medium containing NMDA receptor antagonists D,L-AP5 and 7-CKA (200 µM each) to minimize cytotoxicity, and experiments were performed approximately 24 hours following transfection. Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings were performed using an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Molecular Devices) at room temperature (23 °C) with the holding potential at -60 mV. Electrodes were filled with internal solution containing (in mM) 110 D-gluconate, 110 CsOH, 30 CsCl, 5 HEPES, 4 NaCl, 0.5 CaCl₂, 2 MgCl₂, 5 BAPTA, 2 NaATP, and 0.3 NaGTP (pH 7.35 with CsOH), and the extracellular recording solution was composed of (in mM) 150 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 3 KCl, 0.5 CaCl₂, 0.01 EDTA, 20 mM D-mannitol (pH 7.4 with NaOH). Solution exchange was achieved using a two-barrel theta-glass pipette...
controlled by a piezoelectric translator and the speed was measured to be < 1 ms (10–90% rise times) from the open tip junctional potential.

**Data analysis**

Data were analyzed using SigmaPlot 11.0 (Systat Software, San Jose, CA) or GraphPad Prism 6.05 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). Steady-state concentration-response curves were fitted to the Hill equation using variable slope, \( I = \frac{I_{\text{max}}}{1 + 10^{(\log EC_{50} - \log[A]) \times n_I}} \), where \( I_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum current in response to the agonist, \( n_I \) is the Hill slope, \( [A] \) is the agonist concentration, and \( EC_{50} \) is the agonist concentration which elicits 50% of the maximum response. Raw data recordings were electronically filtered at 20 Hz (8-pole Bessel) and adjusted for baseline drift assuming a constant linear change throughout the recording. Current responses were measured as steady-state amplitudes. For graphical presentation, data points from each individual oocyte were normalized to the maximum current response to glutamate and glycine (saturating concentrations) in the same recording. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were analyzed using AxoGraph (www.axograph.com).
Results

Agonist efficacy of AICP is highly NMDA receptor subtype-dependent

We evaluated the activity of AICP using two-electrode voltage-clamp electrophysiology for recombinant NMDA receptor subtypes expressed in Xenopus oocytes (human GluN1/2A, GluN1/2B, GluN1/2C, and GluN1/2D receptors). Since this ligand was previously described as a GluN1 glycine site agonist (Urwyler et al., 2009), we determined the AICP concentration-response relationship in the continuous presence of 100 µM glutamate (i.e. saturating concentration of glutamate). AICP was a highly potent agonist with nanomolar EC50 values at all four human NMDA receptor subtypes, but displayed a remarkable variation in agonist efficacy among the subtypes (Fig. 1 and Table 1). At human GluN1/2A receptors, AICP showed characteristics as a full agonist with a maximal response at 100% compared to glycine (i.e. relative agonist efficacy), whereas AICP was a partial agonist with relative agonist efficacies of 10% and 27% at human GluN1/2B and GluN1/2D receptors, respectively (Fig. 1C and Table 1). Surprisingly, AICP displayed a high relative agonist efficacy of 353% at human GluN1/2C receptors (Fig. 1C and Table 1). Similar results were observed for activation of rat NMDA receptor subtypes by AICP (Table 1).

To directly compare the agonist activity of AICP with those of the endogenous agonists glycine and d-serine as well as the exogenous agonist DCS, we evaluated these other glycine site agonists at human NMDA receptor subtypes using the same experimental conditions (Table 1). DCS was a partial agonist at human GluN1/2A, GluN1/2B, and GluN1/2D receptors with relative agonist efficacies of 90%, 63%, and 76%, respectively, but displayed increased relative agonist efficacy of 151% at human GluN1/2C receptors (Fig. 1D and Table 1). This pharmacological profile of DCS at human NMDA receptor subtypes is similar to the previously reported profiles at rat NMDA receptor subtypes (Sheinin et al., 2001; Dravid et al., 2010) (Table 1). The potency of AICP was remarkably high with EC50 values of 1.7 nM to 66 nM at human GluN1/2A-D receptors (Table 1). For comparison, the EC50 values of DCS was
3.1 µM to 22 µM at human GluN1/2A-D receptors, respectively, which are 110-570-fold higher (i.e. lower potencies) than the corresponding EC$_{50}$ values of AICP (Table 1). Thus, AICP displays a preference for GluN1/2C receptors with more than an order of magnitude higher potency compared to the other NMDA receptor subtypes and is thereby more selective than DCS. In summary, we identify AICP as a highly potent and efficacious superagonist at GluN1/2C receptors. The high potency and GluN2 subunit-dependency of AICP is unprecedented among NMDA receptor glycine site agonists described to date (Chen et al., 2008).

**AICP selectively binds the GluN1 glycine site, but not the GluN2 glutamate site**

In order to discern if some activity of AICP is mediated by binding to the glutamate binding site, we evaluated whether AICP can replace glutamate as GluN2 agonist. Applications of glycine alone or AICP alone were unable to produce current responses in *Xenopus* oocytes expressing GluN1/2A and GluN1/2C receptors (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, co-application of AICP plus glycine did not produce observable current responses compared to activation by glutamate plus glycine (Fig. 2A). Thus, AICP does not have any agonist activity at the glutamate site of these NMDA receptor subtypes. To evaluate whether AICP could act as competitive antagonist at the glutamate site, full concentration-response curves were generated in the presence of increasing concentrations of glutamate at GluN1/2A-D receptors (Fig. 2B and Supplemental Table 1). Changing the glutamate concentration up to 10-fold from 30 µM to 300 µM did not result in consistent significant effects on the potency or relative agonist efficacy of AICP, demonstrating that partial agonism of AICP at GluN1/2B and GluN1/2D receptors is not mediated by inhibition of glutamate binding (see Supplemental Table 1 for statistical tests).

Although AICP is an α-amino acid, it has a very different chemical structure in terms of size and stereoelectronic properties compared to other GluN1 agonists (Fig. 1A), which may enable binding to other sites on the NMDA receptor and/or produce activation independent of the orthosteric GluN1 agonist binding site. To evaluate this possibility, we generated AICP concentration-response data at GluN1/2A
and GluN1/2C receptors harboring mutations in the GluN1 glycine binding site (Fig. 3A). These GluN1 mutants (F484A, T518L, and R523A) are known to dramatically increase glycine EC\textsubscript{50} (i.e. reduce potency) and the combination of two of these mutations in GluN1 (F484L + T518L) completely abolish NMDA receptor activation (Kvist et al., 2013). Similar to glycine (Kvist et al., 2013), the potency of AICP was dramatically reduced (130 to 10,000-fold) at mutant GluN1/2A and GluN1/2C receptors compared to the respective wild type receptors (Fig. 3B,C and Supplemental Table 2). Furthermore, measurable current responses to AICP were not observed for receptors containing the GluN1 F484A+T518L double-mutant, which is also insensitive to glycine binding (Fig. 3B,C and Supplemental Table 2). Similar results were observed for DCS at the mutant GluN1/2A and GluN1/2C receptors (Fig. 3D,E and Supplemental Table 2). Like glycine and DCS, activation of NMDA receptors by AICP therefore depends on residues in the orthosteric binding pocket of GluN1.

Extracellular recording solutions are typically contaminated by glycine in low nanomolar concentrations (e.g. approximately 20 nM; (Johnson and Ascher, 1992). AICP could therefore display apparent agonist activity in the nominal absence of glycine if this ligand is a positive allosteric modulator of glycine binding. To evaluate this possibility, we compared AICP concentration-response data at NMDA receptor subtypes in the continuous presence of saturating glutamate plus either low glycine (0.6 µM) or high glycine (100 µM). At low AICP levels, the concentration-response data are comparable to activation by glycine alone at the respective NMDA receptor subtypes (Fig. 4 and Supplemental Table 3). That is, the AICP concentration-response data in the presence of 0.6 µM glycine begin at different levels depending on the NMDA receptor subtype, since glycine potency differs among GluN2 subunits (Chen et al., 2008). However, concentration-response data at the NMDA receptor subtypes plateau at similar levels in high concentrations of AICP (Fig. 4 and Supplemental Table 3). AICP showed properties as a functional antagonist at GluN1/2B and GluN1/2D receptors, consistent with AICP being a partial agonist at these NMDA receptor subtypes. Most notably, the potencies by which AICP either increase glycine-activated currents at GluN1/2C or inhibit these currents at GluN1/2B and GluN1/2D receptors were
significantly reduced in the presence of 100 µM glycine compared to 0.6 µM glycine (Fig. 4 and see Supplemental Table 3 for statistical tests). These results demonstrate that AICP and glycine compete for binding to the GluN1 subunit and that AICP is not a positive allosteric modulator of glycine binding (e.g. see (Kenakin and Black, 1978)).

In summary, the combination of results presented in Figures 2-4 establish that neither partial agonism nor superagonism of AICP is mediated by additional activity through binding to a modulatory site or the glutamate site in GluN2 subunits. Rather, AICP selectively binds the GluN1 glycine site with agonist activities that are highly dependent on the identity of the GluN2 subunit.

**Agonist efficacy of AICP is influenced by multiple structural domains in the GluN2 subunit**

Molecular dynamics simulations have suggested that interactions between the agonist binding domains of GluN1 and GluN2 subunits contribute to the differential agonist efficacy of DCS at GluN1/2B and GluN1/2C receptors (Dravid et al., 2010). This previous study also demonstrated that the non-conserved residue GluN2C Gln800 (Glu790 in GluN2B; Fig. 5A) in the agonist binding domain dimer interface mediated a portion of the GluN2-dependent activity of DCS (Dravid et al., 2010). We evaluated AICP and DCS on rat GluN1/2B-E790Q and GluN1/2C-Q800E receptors and compared agonist efficacies and potencies with wild type receptors (Fig. 5B,C and Supplemental Table 4). The relative DCS agonist efficacy was increased from 61% at wild type GluN1/2B to 81% at GluN1/2B-E790Q, whereas DCS agonist efficacy was reduced from 197% at wild type GluN1/2C to 143% at GluN1/2C-Q800E receptors (Fig. 5C and Supplemental Table 4), consistent with the previous report (Dravid et al., 2010). However, the relative AICP agonist efficacy was unchanged at GluN1/2B-E790Q compared to wild type GluN1/2B, but AICP agonist efficacy was increased from 339% at wild type GluN1/2C to 607% at GluN1/2C-Q800E receptors (Fig. 5B and Supplemental Table 4). The potency of AICP was reduced 12-fold (i.e. EC50 increased) by the GluN2C Q800E mutation compared to wild type, whereas DCS potencies were unaffected by the mutations (Fig. 5B,C and Supplemental Table 4). Thus, the potency and efficacy of
AICP is influenced by GluN2C Gln800 in the agonist binding domain dimer interface, which is also influencing the GluN2-dependent agonist efficacy of DCS.

The amino-terminal domain (ATD; Fig. 5A) of GluN2 subunits have been identified as a modulatory domain that regulate open probability (i.e. agonist efficacy) and mediate many of the differences in functional and pharmacological properties between GluN1/2A-D NMDA receptor subtypes (Gielen et al., 2009; Yuan et al., 2009; Hansen et al., 2013). We evaluated the effect of swapping the ATD between GluN2A and GluN2C subunits on the agonist activities of AICP and DCS. For GluN1/2A-(2C ATD) receptors with the ATD from GluN2C, the relative agonist efficacy of DCS was slightly decreased to 75% compared to 91% at wild type GluN1/2A, whereas the relative agonist efficacy of AICP was unchanged (Fig. 5D and Supplemental Table 4). By contrast, the superagonist activity of both AICP and DCS was completely removed at GluN1/2C-(2A ATD) receptors with the ATD from GluN2A (Fig. 5D and Supplemental Table 4). In addition, swapping the ATD between GluN2A and GluN2C subunits did not change the EC₅₀ values of AICP and DCS (Fig. 5D and Supplemental Table 4). These results demonstrate that the GluN2C ATD is required for the superagonist activity of both AICP and DCS.

In summary, the GluN2-dependent differences in relative agonist efficacies of AICP and DCS are influenced by the GluN2 ATD as well as residues located in the agonist binding domain dimer interface, suggesting that multiple and possibly complementary mechanisms govern the agonist efficacy of GluN1 ligands (Dravid et al., 2010). However, the opposing effects of the GluN2C Q800E mutation on the relative agonist efficacies of AICP and DCS, suggest that superagonism for these two ligands at GluN1/2C is mediated by overlapping, but distinct mechanisms.

**Agonist efficacy of AICP is not mediated by changes in receptor desensitization.**

Two-electrode voltage-clamp recordings provide steady-state measurements (i.e. current responses are at equilibrium) using a perfusion system that is relatively slow due to the large size of *Xenopus* oocytes. Differences among NMDA receptor subtypes related to fast components of the agonist responses time course (e.g. desensitization) can therefore not be discerned in these experiments. The
apparent partial agonist activity of AICP observed using two-electrode voltage-clamp electrophysiology could be related to increased desensitization of AICP compared to glycine responses. Similarly, the apparent superagonist activity of AICP may be mediated by reduced desensitization of AICP compared to glycine responses. To evaluate these possibilities, we performed fast-application whole-cell patch-clamp recordings of rat GluN1/2B and GluN1/2C receptors expressed in HEK-293 cells. The time course of NMDA receptor responses were measured following rapid application and removal of supersaturating glycine (100 µM) or AICP (10 µM) in the continuous presence of saturating glutamate (100 µM) (Fig. 6).

These measurements revealed two marked differences between AICP and glycine responses, which are observed for both NMDA receptor subtypes. First, the rise time (10-90%) of AICP responses were slower than glycine responses (Fig. 6C and Table 2). Second, the deactivation time course of AICP responses were remarkably slow compared to that of glycine (Fig. 6D and Table 2), consistent with the unprecedented high potency of AICP at both GluN1/2B and GluN1/2C receptors compared to glycine (Table 1). Importantly, desensitization was slightly increased for AICP responses compared to glycine responses (Fig. 6E and Table 2), but the small differences are not able to account for the partial agonist activity of AICP observed using two-electrode voltage-clamp electrophysiology. Furthermore, amplitudes of AICP responses relative to glycine responses (i.e. relative agonist efficacy) were consistent with two-electrode voltage-clamp measurements at GluN1/2B and GluN1/2C receptors (Fig. 6E,F and Table 2).

These results demonstrate that partial agonism of AICP at GluN1/2B and superagonism at GluN1/2C are not mediated by changes in desensitization properties.

**AICP selectively enhances activation of GluN1/2C receptors in the presence of glycine**

The high potency and increased relative agonist efficacy of AICP raises the possibility that AICP can produce a more pronounced enhancement of current responses from GluN2C-containing NMDA receptors compared to DCS in the presence of physiological concentrations of glycine (~1-10 µM; (Bergeron et al., 1998; Billups and Attwell, 2003). To explore this idea, we compared the concentration-
response relationship for AICP and DCS in the continuous presence of 10 µM glycine and 300 µM glutamate at recombinant rat NMDA receptor subtypes. Under these experimental conditions, AICP at submicromolar concentrations robustly enhanced GluN1/2C receptor function with an EC₅₀ of 0.56 µM (pEC₅₀ = 6.25 ± 0.02) and a maximal response of 323 ± 18% compared to glycine (10 µM), whereas DCS required higher concentrations to produce smaller increases in GluN1/2C receptor current responses with an EC₅₀ of 94 µM (pEC₅₀ = 4.03 ± 0.01) and a maximal response of 174 ± 2% (Fig. 7A-D). In addition, AICP was a functional antagonist of GluN1/2B receptors with an IC₅₀ of 0.81 µM (pIC₅₀ = 6.11 ± 0.05) and a maximal response of 6 ± 2% compared to glycine (10 µM), consistent with its potent partial agonist activity at this NMDA receptor subtype (Fig. 7A-D). Similar robust enhanced activation of GluN1/2C and reduced activation of GluN1/2B receptors were observed in response to 1 µM AICP in the continuous presence of either 1 µM or 10 µM glycine (Fig. 7E,F). These results demonstrate that AICP selectively enhances current responses mediated by GluN2C-containing NMDA receptors in the presence of physiological relevant concentrations of glycine with markedly higher potency and efficacy compared to DCS.
Discussion

In this study, we demonstrate unique GluN2-dependent differences in agonist efficacy of the glycine site agonist AICP at recombinant NMDA receptor subtypes (GluN1/2A-D). AICP is a full agonist at GluN1/2A (100% response compared to glycine), a partial agonist at GluN1/2B and GluN1/2D (10% and 27%, respectively), and a highly efficacious superagonist at GluN1/2C receptors (353%). This clear subtype-dependency of AICP is more pronounced compared to DCS, which is a partial agonist at GluN1/2A, GluN1/2B, and GluN1/2D (90%, 63%, and 76%, respectively) and a superagonist at GluN1/2C receptors (151%). Furthermore, the EC50 values of AICP between 1.7-66 nM at NMDA receptor subtypes make this agonist markedly more potent compared to DCS (3.1-22 µM) and the endogenous agonists glycine and D-serine.

In addition to AICP and DCS, the function of GluN2C-containing NMDA receptors can be enhanced by the positive allosteric modulators CIQ and PYD (Mullasseril et al., 2010; Khatri et al., 2014). CIQ enhances function of both diheteromeric and triheteromeric GluN2C/D-containing NMDA receptors and has been suggested to bind in or near the transmembrane region (Mullasseril et al., 2010; Ogden and Traynelis, 2013). By contrast, PYD exhibits selectivity for diheteromeric GluN1/2C receptors with no effects on triheteromeric GluN1/2A/2C receptors and appears to bind at the interface between the agonist binding domain and ATD of GluN2C (Khatri et al., 2014). The different mechanisms of action and distinct binding sites for AICP/DCS compared to CIQ/PYD (i.e. agonists versus positive modulators) enable variation in activity among NMDA receptor subtypes, which is relevant to the utility of these ligands as tool compounds for in vivo biological studies. However, our identification of AICP as a GluN2C-selective superagonist expands the list of pharmacological tool compounds that can enhance the function of GluN2C-selective NMDA receptors and suggests that AICP may be helpful in studies that aim to uncover roles of GluN2C subunits in neuronal circuit function.
DCS can enhance extinction of fear in both rodents and humans (Walker et al., 2002; Ressler et al., 2004; Davis et al., 2006; Gupta et al., 2013), and this effect has been linked to its in vitro pharmacological profile among recombinant NMDA receptor subtypes (Hillman et al., 2011; Ogden et al., 2014). Administration of the GluN2C/D-selective positive allosteric modulator CIQ directly into the amygdala mimics the effects of DCS and increases both acquisition and extinction of conditioned fear responses (Ogden et al., 2014). These results suggest that modulation of conditioned fear responses by DCS is not mediated by its partial agonist activity at GluN2B-containing NMDA receptors, but rather by its GluN2C-selective superagonist activity, and support that activation of GluN2C-containing NMDA receptors in the amygdala is involved in acquisition and extinction of conditioned fear (Hillman et al., 2011; Ogden et al., 2014). In this regard, it remains to be shown whether the increased potency and efficacy of AICP at GluN2C-containing NMDA receptors will result in more pronounced modulation of conditioned fear responses compared to DCS.

We show that agonist efficacies of AICP and DCS are influenced by a non-conserved GluN2 residue located at the interface between GluN1 and GluN2 agonist binding domains (Glu790 in GluN2B and Gln800 in GluN2C). Furthermore, we show that agonist efficacies of AICP and DCS are also controlled by the GluN2 ATD, which displays considerable sequence variation among NMDA receptor subunits. These results suggest that the GluN2-dependent differences for AICP and DCS are mediated by structural mechanisms that involve multiple receptor domains. Surprisingly, GluN2C-Q800E and GluN2B-E790Q mutations in the agonist binding domain produce opposing effects on AICP and DCS agonist efficacies, and differences therefore exist in the mechanisms by which AICP and DCS are partial agonists at GluN1/2B and superagonists at GluN1/2C. It is possible that multiple mechanisms control GluN2-dependent variation in GluN1 agonist efficacy and that these mechanisms, which may be distinct or complementary, contribute differently to activation by AICP or DCS. For example, the GluN2 ATD could influence the interface between GluN1 and GluN2 agonist binding domains and thereby prohibit or enable interactions formed by Gln800 in GluN2B and Glu790 in GluN2C. Thus, the GluN2 ATD could
modulate the propensity of non-conserved residues in the agonist binding domain dimer interface to influence GluN1 agonist efficacy. Consistent with this idea, we demonstrate that AICP and DCS are not superagonists at GluN1/2A-(2C ATD) receptors, but that superagonist activity of AICP and DCS was completely removed at GluN1/2C-(2A ATD) receptors. That is, the GluN2C ATD is required for superagonistic activity of AICP and DCS, but superagonistic activity of GluN1 ligands also depends on additional structural elements in GluN2C. These findings highlight the need for more detailed functional and structural studies in order to discern the mechanisms that mediate variation in GluN1 agonist efficacy among NMDA receptor subtypes.

The unexpected and unique GluN2 subunit-dependent pharmacological profile of AICP demonstrates that GluN1 ligands can be designed with functional selectivity among the different NMDA receptor subtypes. This discovery creates a new avenue for subunit-selective modulation of NMDA receptors, which is considered an attractive strategy in the discovery of new treatments for a number of CNS disorders (Ogden and Traynelis, 2011; Paoletti et al., 2013; Strong et al., 2014). In addition, this discovery may inspire medicinal chemistry efforts to refine the pharmacological profiles of GluN1 glycine site agonists and to develop novel ligands that can be used as pharmacological tool compounds for uncovering the roles of the different GluN2 subunits in neuronal circuit function and behavior.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Concentration-response data for AICP and DCS at human NMDA receptor subtypes. (A) Chemical structures of agonists at the GluN1 glycine binding site. (B) Representative recordings of concentration-response data for AICP in the presence of 100 µM glutamate at recombinant human GluN1/2A and GluN1/2C receptors. (C, D) Concentration-response data for AICP (C) and DCS (D) at human NMDA receptor subtypes in the presence of 100 µM glutamate. Data are mean ± SD from 4-15 oocytes and are normalized to the maximal response to glycine (100 µM) measured in the same recording. EC50 values and relative agonist efficacy (i.e. maximal responses relative to glycine) are listed in Table 1.

Figure 2. Relationship between the glutamate binding site and AICP activity. (A) Representative two-electrode voltage-clamp recordings of responses at rat GluN1/2A and GluN1/2C receptors to 100 µM glycine alone, 10 AICP alone, 100 µM glycine plus 10 µM AICP, or 100 µM glutamate plus 100 µM glycine. The mean responses normalized to control (glutamate plus glycine) ± SD from 6-8 oocytes are listed below the respective ligand applications. (B) Concentration-response data for AICP at rat NMDA receptor subtypes in the presence of either 30, 100, or 300 µM glutamate. Data are mean ± SD from 3-16 oocytes and are normalized to the maximal response to glycine (100 µM) plus the corresponding concentration of glutamate (30, 100 or 300 µM) measured in the same recording. EC50 values and relative agonist efficacies (i.e. maximal responses relative to glycine) are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

Figure 3. Activity of AICP and DCS at NMDA receptors with mutations in the glycine binding site. (A) Structure of glycine bound in the agonist binding site of the GluN1 subunit (PDB ID 5I57; (Yi et al., 2016). Dashed lines indicate polar interactions between glycine (yellow carbon) and GluN1 residues (grey carbon). Mutated residues are indicated in bold text. (B-E) Concentration-response data for AICP (B, C) and DCS (D, E) at rat GluN1/2A and GluN1/2C receptors with mutations in the glycine binding site.
measured using two-electrode voltage-clamp recordings. The responses are normalized to the fitted maximal response. Data are mean ± SD from 3-29 oocytes and EC$_{50}$ values are listed in Supplemental Table 2.

**Figure 4.** Activity of AICP in the presence of high and low concentrations of glycine. (A) Representative two-electrode voltage-clamp recordings of responses at human GluN1/2B and GluN1/2C receptors to increasing concentrations of AICP in the continuous presence of 0.6 µM glycine plus 100 µM glutamate. White bars above recordings indicate responses to 0.6 µM glycine in the absence of AICP. (B) Concentration-response data for AICP in the presence of 100 µM glutamate plus either 0.6 µM glycine (black circles) or 100 µM glycine (white squares) at human NMDA receptor subtypes (GluN1/2A-D). Data are normalized to the maximal response to glycine (100 µM) without AICP in the same recording. Data are mean ± SD from 4-10 oocytes. EC$_{50}$ values and relative agonist efficacies (i.e. maximal responses relative to glycine) are listed in Supplemental Table 3.

**Figure 5.** Relative agonist efficacy of AICP is controlled by residues in multiple receptor domains. (A) NMDA receptor structure (PDB ID 4PE5; (Karakas and Furukawa, 2014), illustrating the subunit arrangement and the layered domain organization composed of the transmembrane domains (TMDs) and two extracellular layers formed by the agonist binding domains (ABDs) and the amino-terminal domains (ATDs). Agonist binding sites for glycine in GluN1 and glutamate in GluN2 subunits as well as the known positions of homologous residues E790 in GluN2B and Q800 in GluN2C are highlighted. (B, C) Concentration-response data for AICP (B) and DCS (C) measured using two-electrode voltage-clamp recordings at rat wild type and mutant GluN1/2B and GluN1/2C receptors. Data are mean ± SD from 8-18 oocytes. (D) Concentration-response data for AICP and DCS measured using two-electrode voltage-clamp recordings at rat GluN1/2A and GluN1/2C receptors and receptors with interchanged ATDs (GluN1/2A-
(2C ATD) and GluN1/2C-(2A ATD)). Data are mean ± SD from 6-29 oocytes. EC50 values and relative agonist efficacies (i.e. maximal responses relative to glycine) are listed in Supplemental Table 4.

Figure 6. Desensitization properties of NMDA receptors are not different for glycine and AICP responses. (A, B) Representative fast-application whole-cell patch-clamp of responses at rat GluN1/2B and GluN1/2C receptors long exposures (2 seconds) to 100 µM glycine or 10 µM AICP in the continuous presence of 100 µM glutamate. The glycine (blue) and AICP (red) responses are shown on different time scales. (C) Scatter plot of rise times (10-90%) of glycine and AICP responses from individual cells (D) Scatter plot of deactivation time constants (τ_deactivation) of glycine and AICP responses from individual cells. E) Scatter plot of the ratio between steady state (SS) and peak amplitudes of glycine and AICP responses from individual cells. F) Scatter plot of the steady state (SS) and peak amplitudes relative of AICP responses relative to the glycine response in the same cell. Mean ± SD is indicated in the scatter plots. N = 8 and N = 5 cells for GluN1/2B and GluN1/2C, respectively. * significantly different from the response to glycine at the same receptor subtype (p < 0.05, unpaired two-tailed t-test). Values are listed in Table 2.

Figure 7. AICP enhances responses from GluN1/2C receptors in the presence of physiologically relevant concentrations of glycine. (A) Representative two-electrode voltage-clamp recordings of responses at rat GluN1/2B and GluN1/2C receptors to increasing concentrations of AICP in the continuous presence of 10 µM glycine plus 300 µM glutamate. (B) Concentration-response data for AICP in the presence of 10 µM glycine plus 300 µM glutamate at rat GluN1/2A-D. Data are mean ± SD from 6 oocytes for each subtype. (C) Representative two-electrode voltage-clamp recordings of responses at rat GluN1/2B and GluN1/2C receptors to increasing concentrations of DCS in the continuous presence of 10 µM glycine plus 300 µM glutamate. (D) Concentration-response data for DCS in the presence of 10 µM glycine plus 300 µM glutamate at rat GluN1/2A-D. Data are mean ± SD from 6-7 oocytes. (E-F) Representative two-electrode
voltage-clamp recordings of responses at rat GluN1/2B and GluN1/2C receptors to 1 µM glycine (E) or 10 µM glycine (F) plus 300 µM glutamate with and without 1 µM AICP. Bar graphs of responses from rat GluN1/2A-D receptors to 1 µM AICP in the presence of either 1 µM glycine (E) or 10 µM glycine (F) plus 300 µM glutamate normalized to the response to 100 µM glycine plus 300 µM glutamate in the same recording. The responses are measured using two-electrode voltage-clamp electrophysiology and data are mean ± SD from 4 oocytes for each condition. * significantly different from the response in the absence of AICP at the same receptor subtype (p < 0.05, paired two-tailed t-test).
Table 1. Concentration-response data for glycine site agonists at human and rat NMDA receptor subtypes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GluN1/2A</th>
<th>GluN1/2B</th>
<th></th>
<th>GluN1/2C</th>
<th>GluN1/2D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EC50 (µM)</td>
<td>pEC50 ± SEM</td>
<td>Rel. Imax (%)</td>
<td>nH</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>7.18 ± 0.02</td>
<td>100 ± 2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>7.49 ± 0.05</td>
<td>92 ± 2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5.91 ± 0.01</td>
<td>100 ± 1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5.75 ± 0.02</td>
<td>99 ± 1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-serine</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.65 ± 0.02</td>
<td>90 ± 2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.75 ± 0.01</td>
<td>91 ± 1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>8.77 ± 0.04</td>
<td>353 ± 6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>9.04 ± 0.03</td>
<td>339 ± 10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>6.48 ± 0.03</td>
<td>103 ± 1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>6.33 ± 0.03</td>
<td>106 ± 1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-serine</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.36 ± 0.05</td>
<td>151 ± 5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5.55 ± 0.01</td>
<td>197 ± 2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentration-response data for glycine site agonists at recombinant human and rat NMDA receptor subtypes measured using two-electrode voltage-clamp electrophysiology in the presence of 100-300 µM glutamate. Relative (Rel.) Imax is the fitted maximal response relative to the maximal response to glycine, nH is the Hill coefficient, and N is the number of oocytes.
Table 2. Time course of macroscopic NMDA receptor responses to glycine and AICP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GluN1/2B</th>
<th></th>
<th>GluN1/2C</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>glycine</td>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>glycine</td>
<td>AICP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rise time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10-90%, ms)</td>
<td>16 ± 2</td>
<td>74 ± 12</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>46 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS/peak</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amplitude</td>
<td>89 ± 1</td>
<td>81 ± 3</td>
<td>100 ± 1</td>
<td>97 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak amplitude</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% of glycine)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>388 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS amplitude</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% of glycine)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>376 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau_{\text{deactivation}}) (s)</td>
<td>0.820 ± 0.033</td>
<td>22.6 ± 4.2</td>
<td>0.662 ± 0.021</td>
<td>84.3 ± 22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are from whole-cell patch-clamp recordings of rat GluN1/2B and GluN1/2C receptors expressed in HEK-293 cells. 100 µM glycine or 10 µM AICP were applied using rapid solution exchange in the continuous presence of 100 µM glutamate (see Materials and Methods). Steady state (SS) and peak responses were normalized to the glycine response from the same cell. The deactivation time course was described using mono-exponential fits and the time constant is listed (\(\tau_{\text{deactivation}}\)). N is the number of cells and values are mean ± SEM.
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SUPPLEMENTAL DATA
Supplemental Table 1. Influence of glutamate concentrations on AICP concentration-response data at rat NMDA receptor subtypes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GluN1/2A</th>
<th>GluN1/2B</th>
<th>GluN1/2C</th>
<th>GluN1/2D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EC_{50} [µM]</td>
<td>pEC_{50} ± SEM (%)</td>
<td>Rel. I_{max} (%)</td>
<td>n_{H}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AICP (+ 30 µM Glu)</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>7.33 ± 0.03</td>
<td>83 ± 2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AICP (+ 100 µM Glu)</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>7.39 ± 0.02</td>
<td>88 ± 2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AICP (+ 300 µM Glu)</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>7.37 ± 0.01</td>
<td>95 ± 1†</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentration-response data for AICP at recombinant rat NMDA receptor subtypes measured using two-electrode voltage-clamp electrophysiology in the presence of 30, 100, or 300 µM glutamate (Glu).

Relative (Rel.) I_{max} is the fitted maximal response relative to the maximal response to glycine, n_{H} is the Hill coefficient, and N is the number of oocytes. † significantly different from AICP data at the same receptor in 30 µM glutamate (p < 0.05, one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-test).
Supplemental Table 2. Concentration-response data for AICP and DCS at wild type and mutant rat GluN1/2A and GluN1/2C receptors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>EC$_{50}$ [µM]</th>
<th>pEC$_{50}$ ± SEM</th>
<th>n$_H$</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Fold increase in EC$_{50}$ compared to wild type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GluN1/2A</td>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>7.49 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.75 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN1(F484A)/2A</td>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.98 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>&gt; 10 mM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN1(R523A)/2A</td>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.38 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>3.06 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN1(T518L)/2A</td>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.82 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN1(F484A/T518L)/2A</td>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN1/2C</td>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>9.04 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5.55 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN1(F484A)/2C</td>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>5.01 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>&gt; 10 mM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN1(R523A)/2C</td>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5.44 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.61 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN1(T518L)/2C</td>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5.93 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>&gt; 10 mM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN1(F484A/T518L)/2C</td>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentration-response data for AICP and DCS at recombinant wild type or mutated rat GluN1/2A and GluN1/2C receptors measured using two-electrode voltage-clamp electrophysiology in the presence of 100-300 µM glutamate. Relative (Rel.) I$_{max}$ is the fitted maximal response, n$_H$ is the Hill coefficient, and N is the number of oocytes. N.R. indicates < 10% response to 30-100 µM AICP, 30 mM DCS, or 30 mM glycine, and - indicates not determined.
**Supplemental Table 3.** Concentration-response data for AICP at human NMDA receptor subtypes in the presence of glycine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Glycine [µM]</th>
<th>EC50/IC50 [µM]</th>
<th>pEC50 ± SEM/pIC50 ± SEM</th>
<th>Rel. I&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (%)</th>
<th>Rel. I&lt;sub&gt;min&lt;/sub&gt; (%)</th>
<th>n&lt;sub&gt;H&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GluN1/2A</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>7.56 ± 0.07</td>
<td>99 ± 2</td>
<td>29 ± 3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>102 ± 2&lt;sup&gt;‡&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>95 ± 3&lt;sup&gt;#&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN1/2B</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>7.88 ± 0.18</td>
<td>59 ± 5</td>
<td>14 ± 2</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.29 ± 0.11†</td>
<td>93 ± 2</td>
<td>20 ± 6</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>5-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN1/2C</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>7.88 ± 0.07</td>
<td>337 ± 8</td>
<td>60 ± 12</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.85 ± 0.10†</td>
<td>321 ± 20</td>
<td>105 ± 4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN1/2D</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>5.97 ± 0.07</td>
<td>92 ± 2</td>
<td>45 ± 3</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>5-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4.38 ± 0.55†</td>
<td>104 ± 2</td>
<td>48 ± 37</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>5-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentration-response data for AICP at recombinant human NMDA receptor subtypes measured using two-electrode voltage-clamp electrophysiology in the presence of 100 µM glutamate and 100 µM or 0.6 µM glycine. Relative (Rel.) I<sub>max</sub> and Rel. I<sub>min</sub> are the fitted maximal and minimal responses relative to the maximal response to glycine, n<sub>H</sub> is the Hill coefficient, and N is the number of oocytes. Negative n<sub>H</sub> indicates inhibition by AICP, ND indicates not determined, and # indicates that Rel. I<sub>max</sub> and Rel. I<sub>min</sub> are determined as the average responses to the lowest and highest concentrations of AICP, respectively, relative to the maximal response to glycine. † significantly different from pEC<sub>50</sub> or pIC<sub>50</sub> at the same receptor in 0.6 µM glycine (p < 0.05, one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-test).
**Supplemental Table 4.** Concentration-response data for AICP and DCS at mutant and chimeric GluN1/2A, GluN1/2B, and GluN1/2C receptors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>EC50 [µM]</th>
<th>pEC50 ± SEM</th>
<th>Rel. I max (%)</th>
<th>nH</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GluN1/2A</td>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>7.49 ± 0.05</td>
<td><strong>92 ± 2</strong></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.75 ± 0.01</td>
<td><strong>91 ± 1</strong></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN1/2B</td>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>7.86 ± 0.03</td>
<td><strong>9 ± 0</strong></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>5.08 ± 0.01</td>
<td><strong>61 ± 0</strong></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN1/2C</td>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>9.04 ± 0.03</td>
<td><strong>339 ± 10</strong></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5.55 ± 0.01</td>
<td><strong>197 ± 2</strong></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN1/2B E790Q</td>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>8.31 ± 0.03</td>
<td><strong>9 ± 1</strong></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.97 ± 0.01</td>
<td><strong>81 ± 0</strong></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN1/2C Q800E</td>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>7.93 ± 0.02</td>
<td><strong>607 ± 22</strong></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.35 ± 0.01</td>
<td><strong>143 ± 3</strong></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN1/2A-(2C ATD)</td>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>7.60 ± 0.02</td>
<td><strong>97 ± 5</strong></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4.48 ± 0.03</td>
<td><strong>75 ± 2</strong></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN1/2C-(2A ATD)</td>
<td>AICP</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>8.89 ± 0.04</td>
<td><strong>98 ± 7</strong></td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.26 ± 0.03</td>
<td><strong>104 ± 0</strong></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentration-response data for AICP and DCS at recombinant rat NMDA receptors measured using two-electrode voltage-clamp electrophysiology in the presence of 100-300 µM glutamate. Relative (Rel.) I_max is the fitted maximal response relative to the maximal response to glycine, nH is the Hill coefficient, and N is the number of oocytes.