Degraders: The Ultimate Weapon Against Amplified Driver Kinases in Cancer

Pedro Torres-Ayuso¹ and John Brognard¹.

¹ Laboratory of Cell and Developmental Signaling, National Cancer Institute, Center for Cancer Research, Frederick, MD 21702, USA.

* Correspondence: torresayusop2@nih.gov (P.T-A.); john.brognard@nih.gov (J.B.).

Running Title: PROTACs, an emerging approach to target amplified kinases in cancer.

Text pages: 18

Words in abstract: 88

Words in main text: 4087

Figures: 1

Tables: 3

Abstract

Amplification of pro-oncogenic kinases is a common genetic alteration driving tumorigenic phenotypes. Cancer cells rely on the amplified kinases to sustain cell proliferation, survival, and growth, presenting an opportunity to develop therapies targeting the amplified kinases. Utilizing small-molecule catalytic inhibitors as therapies to target amplified kinases is plagued by de novo resistance driven by increased expression of the target, and amplified kinases can drive tumorigenic phenotypes independent of catalytic activity. Here, we discuss the emergence of proteolysis-targeting chimeras that provide an opportunity to target these oncogenic drivers effectively.

Significance

Protein kinases contribute to tumorigenesis through catalytic and non-catalytic mechanisms, and kinase gene amplifications are well-described mechanisms of resistance to small-molecule catalytic inhibitors. Repurposing catalytic inhibitors for the development of protein degraders will offer improved clinical benefits by targeting non-catalytic functions of kinases that promote tumorigenesis and overcoming resistance due to amplification.

Keywords: PROTAC, protein degradation, amplified kinases.

Protein kinase amplification in cancer

Kinases are essential modulators controlling cell proliferation, survival, differentiation, and migration. Mutations in kinase-coding genes or chromosomal rearrangements leading to fused genes are common cancer-causing genetic alterations. Amplification of pro-survival and pro-proliferation kinase coding genes is another common mechanism driving cancer with a resulting increase in kinase levels and often a correlating increase in activation (Torres-Ayuso and Brognard, 2019). There are multiple examples of amplified tyrosine protein kinases playing a causal role in tumorigenesis, including the epidermal growth factor receptor family members *EGFR*, which is amplified in 30–40% of glioblastomas and other epithelial malignancies at a lower frequency (Hynes and Lane, 2005; Schlegel et al., 1994), and *ERBB2* (also known as HER2/neu), which is amplified in 15-30% of breast cancers (Harari and Yarden, 2000; Perou et al., 2000) and approximately 10-15% of esophageal and stomach adenocarcinomas (Cancer Genome Atlas Research, 2014). The fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 (*FGFR1*) is amplified in approximately 20% of lung squamous cell carcinomas (SCC) (Weiss et al., 2010), and the non-receptor tyrosine kinase, focal adhesion kinase (FAK, *PTK2*) is amplified in 25% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma (Ward et al., 2013). Amplification is also frequent among serine/threonine protein kinases. For instance, *CDK4* is amplified in 15% of sarcomas and glioblastomas (Reifenberger et al., 1994), *CDK6* in 12% of esophageal adenocarcinomas (Cancer Genome Atlas Research et al., 2017), and CRAF (*RAF1*) in 10% of bladder carcinomas (Bekele et al., 2021).

Multiple kinases are often co-amplified, as their coding genes are members of large chromosomal regions that are frequently amplified in cancer. For example, distal amplification of the long arm of the third chromosome (3q26-29) is highly prevalent in SCC arising in different tissues, including lung, head and neck, and esophageal SCC. The 3q amplicon contains multiple kinase coding genes, such as *PIK3CA* (phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase catalytic subunit p110alpha), *PRKCI* (PKC iota), *MAP3K13* (LZK), and *TNIK* (TRAF2- and NCK-interacting kinase) (Bensen and Brognard, 2021). In some instances, a kinase regulatory protein is amplified instead of the kinase coding gene. For example, the regulatory cyclin D1 gene (*CCND1*), a positive regulator of CDK4 and CDK6, and is amplified in one-third and one-quarter of esophageal adenocarcinomas and head and neck SCC, respectively. These different scenarios lead to upregulation of the associated kinase expression or activity.

Cancer cells rely on the upregulated kinase levels or activity to sustain cell survival and uncontrolled cell proliferation. Therefore, amplified kinases constitute genetic vulnerabilities for precision-medicine-based therapeutic intervention strategies. As a result, several small-molecule catalytic inhibitors are approved or under development to target amplified kinase drivers in cancer (Gross et al., 2015). The following considerations need to be acknowledged for efficient targeting of amplified kinase drivers. First, kinases can contribute to tumorigenesis through activity-dependent and -independent mechanisms. Catalytic-independent or scaffolding functions of amplified kinases might have a relevant contribution to tumorigenesis since gene amplification might lead to an increase in protein levels but not necessarily to increased kinase activity. For example, the tyrosine kinase FAK acts as a scaffold in the nucleus to modulate the activity of certain transcriptional complexes (Dawson et al., 2021). A FAK degrader has been utilized to demonstrate that FAK promotes migration and invasion of a breast cancer cell line model through catalytic-independent mechanisms (Cromm et al., 2018). We have recently characterized amplified *TNIK* as a targetable vulnerability in lung SCC and demonstrated that *TNIK* inhibitors efficiently reduce tumor growth. Nonetheless, we identified lung SCC cell lines sensitive to *TNIK* depletion mediated by shRNA but not to treatment with a small-molecule inhibitor, suggesting that *TNIK* might contribute to tumorigenesis through an activity-independent mechanism (Torres-Ayuso et al., 2021). EGFR, CDK6, and several additional kinases also display catalytic-independent functions (Rauch et al., 2011). Therefore, unless non-catalytic tumor-promoting functions are suppressed by inhibiting the kinase's catalytic activity or rely on a specific kinase conformation that could be affected by inhibitor binding, catalytic-independent mechanisms will not be affected by treatment with small-molecule catalytic inhibitors. These kinase activity-independent mechanisms can still sustain cell proliferation and survival, making cells refractory or resistant to catalytic-inhibitor treatment. Our understanding of the catalytic-independent oncogenic mechanisms of kinases is still limited.

Besides kinase-activity-independent mechanisms of tumorigenesis, cancers driven by amplified kinases might quickly adapt and develop resistance against small-molecule catalytic inhibitors. Indeed, gene amplification and subsequent target overexpression is a described mechanism of resistance to small-molecule catalytic inhibitors targeting oncogenic BRAF, EGFR, BCR-ABL1, and EML-ALK4 (Corcoran et al., 2010; Lovly and Shaw, 2014). In this case, overexpression of the target might displace the inhibitory equilibrium toward reduced

target occupancy; thus, higher concentrations of the inhibitor would be necessary to achieve therapeutic efficacy but likely yield potential toxicity issues (Figure 1A). Therefore, new approaches are required to target amplified kinase drivers effectively.

Targeted protein degradation

Targeted protein degradation is an emerging field for therapeutic intervention that leverages the cellular machinery responsible for protein quality control (i.e., appropriate folding) to target cancer drivers for degradation. Proteolysis-targeting chimeras (PROTACs) constitute one of these novel modalities and take advantage of the protein ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation system. PROTACs are hetero-bifunctional molecules consisting of a pharmacophore that recognizes a protein of interest or target, an E3 ubiquitin ligase warhead, and a linker. Upon PROTAC treatment, the target is brought in proximity to an E3 ligase forming a ternary complex: target-PROTAC-ubiquitin ligase. For the PROTAC to work efficiently, the target needs to be engaged in an optimal orientation with an “acceptor lysine” on the surface of the protein target being exposed for ubiquitination. The ubiquitinated protein of interest is subsequently degraded via the proteasome and the PROTAC is recycled to catalyze a new degradation cycle for the target (Figure 1B) (Nalawansha and Crews, 2020). Most PROTACs are based on engaging the von Hippel-Lindau Cullin 2-Ring Ubiquitin Ligase (CRL2-VHL), CRL4-Cereblon (CRL4-CRBN), inhibitors of apoptosis (IAPs), and MDM2 E3 ubiquitin ligases; however, there are ongoing efforts to expand the number of recruited E3 ligases for PROTAC generation that include the identification of novel ligands (Luo et al., 2021; Nalawansha and Crews, 2020). Based on the same principles as PROTACs, lysosome-targeting chimeras have been generated to target extracellular proteins to the lysosome where the protein of interest is degraded (Banik et al., 2020).

Among the different classes of proteins, kinases are primed for the generation of protein degraders because of the existing number of catalytic inhibitors that can be repurposed for PROTAC development (Table 1). There are several examples of small-molecule kinase inhibitors that have been used as pharmacophores for the generation of novel PROTACs. For example, PROTACs that effectively degrade ABL1 and the oncogenic fusion protein BCR-ABL1, the main driver in chronic myeloid leukemia, have been designed from the ATP-competitive ABL1 inhibitors Bosutinib and Dasatinib, as well as the allosteric ABL1 inhibitor

GNF-5 (Burslem et al., 2019; Lai et al., 2016). Similarly, the ALK inhibitors Ceritinib and TAE684 were the base for the generation of ALK and ALK-harboring fusion protein degraders (Powell et al., 2018). Additional small-molecule inhibitors have been successfully converted into PROTACs (revised in (Sun et al., 2019). Examples include the generation of PROTACs against EGFR and HER2 derived from Lapatinib, Gefitinib, and Afatinib (Burslem et al., 2018a); a FAK PROTAC that includes the FAK inhibitor from Defactinib (Cromm et al., 2018); and a BRAF-V600E-specific PROTAC using Vemurafenib as a pharmacophore (Alabi et al., 2021), etc.

Because of their mechanism of action of degrading a protein target, PROTACs could be more advantageous than small-molecule inhibitors at targeting cancer drivers, including amplified kinases. As discussed above, kinases can contribute to tumorigenesis through catalytic and non-catalytic mechanisms; the latter might be unaffected by catalytic inhibitors. Catalytic inhibitors must occupy almost all of the existing target molecules to be effective therapies (i.e., “occupancy-driven” pharmacology (Lai and Crews, 2017)), as a small uninhibited kinase pool could still sustain its pro-oncogenic mechanisms (Figure 1A). Against the “occupancy-driven” mechanism of small-molecule catalytic inhibitors, PROTACs reduce the number of molecules for their intended target, and get recycled to trigger additional degradation events (i.e., “event-driven” pharmacology (Lai and Crews, 2017)). Thus, PROTACs reduce their target expression and downregulate both catalytic and non-catalytic functions of kinases (Figure 1B).

Supporting the notion above, several PROTACs have shown enhanced activity when compared to their parental small-molecule catalytic inhibitors. For example, a Defactinib-derived FAK PROTAC showed higher activity than Defactinib in reducing FAK downstream signaling as well as in inhibiting the migration and invasion of the MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell line, indicating that FAK contributes to the migration and invasion of these cells through both catalytic-dependent and -independent functions (Cromm et al., 2018). Similarly, an Ibrutinib-derived Bruton’s Tyrosine Kinase (BTK) targeting PROTAC showed enhanced efficacy to reduce cell viability compared to the parental compound (Sun et al., 2018), and Receptor Tyrosine Kinase-directed PROTACs were also more efficient than similar compounds that only inhibit catalytic activity (Burslem et al., 2018a). These findings are not restricted to tyrosine kinases; for example, a BRAF VHL-based PROTAC, SJF-0628, outperformed the Vemurafenib and a negative control compound that does not trigger BRAF degradation (SJF-0661, that has an inverted stereocenter in the critical hydroxyl-proline group in the VHL ligand), in reducing the

viability of cells expressing mutant-BRAF (Alabi et al., 2021). Similar observations were made with a Cereblon-based, mutant-BRAF selective PROTAC (Posternak et al., 2020), where the PROTAC decreased cell viability to a higher extent than the methylated-Cereblon ligand control compound that does not degrade mutant-BRAF, but still inhibits the catalytic activity of BRAF. Lastly, a CDK9 PROTAC also had increased cytotoxic activity compared to CDK9 inhibition alone (Olson et al., 2018), suggesting that this might be a general phenomenon of kinase-degrading PROTACs.

Moreover, a single molecule of PROTAC can catalyze several degradation cycles (Paiva and Crews, 2019), and thus, PROTACs can trigger their intended target degradation at sub-stoichiometric doses (Bondeson et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2015; Olson et al., 2018). Therefore, PROTACs might be effective pharmacological agents at a low compound-to-target ratio (Figure 1B, C). This property of PROTACs is especially relevant in the context of kinase gene amplification or overexpression, which are acknowledged mechanisms of resistance to small-molecule catalytic inhibitors since these alterations balance the equilibrium toward the accumulation of the untargeted kinase. It has been shown that converting a kinase inhibitor into a PROTAC provides a higher level of selectivity in comparison with the parental compound, since only a limited number of the PROTAC-interacting kinases gets degraded (Bondeson et al., 2018; Donovan et al., 2020; Tovell et al., 2019b). For example, using the promiscuous inhibitor Foretinib, Bondeson and colleagues found that of 54 protein kinases that were Foretinib targets, only 9 kinases were degraded by a Foretinib-based VHL-engaging PROTAC, whereas 14 kinases were degraded by a CRBN-engaging PROTAC (Bondeson et al., 2018). Together, the capacity of PROTACs to trigger several cycles of degradation and showing enhanced specificity could make kinase-targeting PROTACs more potent and selective weapons for cancer treatment than their parental catalytic inhibitors (Figure 1C, Table 2).

Optimizing PROTAC-mediated kinase degradation: A windy road

Despite myriad existing PROTACs against kinases, PROTAC design and generation remains an empirical process. Different groups have studied the effect of several variables on efficient target degradation and PROTAC selectivity to inform drug design strategies, including the dependency on PROTAC engagement and binding affinity to the target, the composition and structure of the linker, the formation of a stable ternary complex, the recruitment of different E3

ligases, and the abundance of the target (Donovan et al., 2020; Pettersson and Crews, 2019; Riching et al., 2018). Multiple lines of evidence indicate that target engagement is insufficient for effective degradation. For example, several PROTACs were designed against ABL1 from the small-molecule inhibitors Imatinib, Dasatinib, and Bosutinib. While all the PROTACs were able to engage ABL1, none of the Imatinib-based PROTACs triggered degradation of ABL1 or BCR-ABL1 (Lai et al., 2016). Similarly, PROTACs have been generated based on the CDK4/6 inhibitor Palbociclib, however the Palbociclib-derived PROTACs only triggered CDK6 degradation and did not affect CDK4 levels (Brand et al., 2019; Rana et al., 2019; Su et al., 2019).

Studies with multi-kinase inhibitors have shown that the binding affinity of the PROTAC to its target does not predict efficient target degradation; instead, the stability of the ternary complex: target-PROTAC-E3 ligase, was a better predictor of target degradation (Bondeson et al., 2018). Indeed, the selectivity of CDK6 degraders *vs.* CDK4, or PROTACs triggering degradation of mutant-BRAF but not of the wild-type proteins relies on the lack of formation of a stable ternary complex for targets that are not degraded (Alabi et al., 2021; Brand et al., 2019). A recent study analyzing the degradability potential of kinases or “degradable kinome” indicates that the formation of a stable ternary complex does not fully predict the efficacy of the degradation catalysis, as kinase degradation could be observed without detecting the ternary complex, suggesting a rapid degradation kinetic (Donovan et al., 2020). Indeed, the formation of a ternary complex is insufficient to engage the target’s degradation. For example, p38-MAPK-alpha- and delta-isoform-specific PROTACs, SJF-alpha and SFJ-delta, respectively, were developed from the inhibitor Foratenib by using different linker lengths and attaching the resulting compound to two different positions in the VHL ligand (Smith et al., 2019). Interestingly, both PROTACs could trigger the formation of a ternary complex with p38 MAPK delta, while efficient p38-MAPK-delta degradation was only observed with the SFJ-delta PROTAC (Smith et al., 2019). Therefore, the structural characteristics of the interaction interface between the target kinase and the recruited E3 ligase also impacts target degradation and can be exploited to provide additional PROTAC selectivity.

The engagement of different E3 ligases also determines PROTAC efficacy and selectivity, even when sharing the same pharmacophore. For instance, a Dasatinib-based PROTAC coupled to a VHL ligand degraded ABL1 but failed to downmodulate BCR-ABL1

levels, whereas when Dasatinib is coupled to the Cereblon ligand, this PROTAC triggered the degradation of both ABL1 and BCR-ABL1 (Lai et al., 2016). These observations have been further confirmed in recent high-throughput studies using promiscuous kinase inhibitors as pharmacophores (Bondeson et al., 2018; Donovan et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2018). For example, the use of a VHL- or Cereblon-coupled PROTAC from the multikinase inhibitor Foretinib showed that while both PROTACs efficiently degrade several common targets, each E3-ligase-coupled PROTAC selectively triggers the degradation of a specific subset of kinases (Bondeson et al., 2018).

Lastly, the linker length and composition can significantly affect the efficiency of degradation of a given target (Crew et al., 2018; Smith et al., 2019). Within kinases, it has been shown that while some members are permissive toward different linkers for efficient target degradation, a subset of kinases have a strong preference toward short or long linker lengths or even to different linker-attachment regioselectivity (Donovan et al., 2020). In addition, the linker length can also impact the PROTAC specificity. One of the earliest examples of such contribution of the linker length to a degrader specificity was observed with Lapatinib-derived PROTACs; it was reported that by modifying the linker length from two polyethylene glycol molecules to three, the PROTAC could be converted from a dual EGFR-and-HER2 degrader to a specific EGFR PROTAC (Burslem et al., 2018a).

PROTACs as tools to inform new cancer biology

As mentioned earlier, multiple protein kinases display catalytic-independent mechanisms of action (Rauch et al., 2011), and these functions might not be affected by treatment with small-molecule catalytic inhibitors. Affecting both activity-dependent and -independent functions of protein kinases might be the underlying reason why certain PROTACs show increased activity compared to their parental compounds or molecules with similar properties that do not trigger their intended target degradation (Alabi et al., 2021; Burslem et al., 2018a; Cromm et al., 2018; Olson et al., 2018; Posternak et al., 2020). Therefore, PROTACs, in comparison with catalytic inhibitors or their control compounds that retain their inhibitory capacity but do not degrade the protein target, in combination with technologies such as mass spectrometry (*i.e.*, phosphoproteomics) or RNA-seq, can be used as tools differentiate catalytic *vs.* non-catalytic mechanisms of tumorigenesis promoted by oncogenic protein kinases and shed light on new

tumor biology. For example, pathways controlled in a kinase activity-dependent manner will be affected by treatment with either a catalytic-inhibitor or a PROTAC, whereas downstream effectors that rely on scaffolding functions, will mainly be only downregulated after PROTAC-mediated kinase degradation. The use of PROTACs can have advantages over genetic systems with similar outcomes, such as RNAi, since PROTACs diminish protein levels in a rapid and dose-controlled manner, avoiding issues such as emergence of compensatory pathways (Burslem and Crews, 2020). Importantly, for targets for which generating a PROTAC might be challenging, alternative approaches such as using the CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing technology to tag proteins with either the HaloTag, the FKBP12^{F36V}, a bromodomain, or GFP, can allow selective protein degradation using HaloPROTACs (Buckley et al., 2015; Tovell et al., 2019a), the dTAG (Nabet et al., 2020; Nabet et al., 2018), BromoTAG (Bond et al., 2021), or the affinity-directed protein missile (AdPROM) (Fulcher et al., 2016; Simpson et al., 2020) systems.

PROTACs have already contributed to reveal new functions of certain protein kinases. For example, using PROTACs, a new role for the Aurora kinase A (*AURKA*) in the cell cycle has been discovered (Adhikari et al., 2020). While Aurora kinase A inhibition causes a G2/M arrest, its degradation arrested cells in the S phase. This new observation is likely the result of the interaction between Aurora kinase A and proteins that participate in RNA metabolism, that are not Aurora kinase A substrates. Further investigation is required to address the exact mechanism (Adhikari et al., 2020). Similarly, the use of PROTACs has uncovered non-catalytic functions for several protein kinases, including BCR-ABL1 in Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (Burslem et al., 2019), FAK in the control of migration and invasion (Cromm et al., 2018), or CDK6 in Philadelphia-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia (De Dominici et al., 2020). Follow-up studies will further reveal the importance of these non-catalytic mechanisms in promoting different cancer-associated phenotypes.

PROTACs as anticancer agents: The road ahead

Several lines of evidence highlight that PROTAC-mediated protein degradation could be more efficacious strategy for cancer treatment than small-molecule catalytic inhibitors, as discussed above, especially for difficult-to-target drivers, which include amplified oncogenes (including kinases) and transcription factors. Although there is increasing evidence of PROTACs being efficient *in vivo*, including from clinical trials (for example, the androgen receptor and

estrogen receptor degrading PROTACs ARV-110 and ARV-471, respectively), little is known about PROTAC biodistribution and metabolism, and PROTACs may present some disadvantages when compared to small-molecule catalytic inhibitors (Table 2). The main efforts in transitioning PROTACs for *in vivo* applications have focused on improving their pharmacokinetic (PK) and pharmacodynamic (PD) properties. PROTACs do not follow Lipinski's rule of 5 (Lipinski et al., 2001); for example, PROTACs are usually molecules with molecular weights higher than 500 Da and with more than five hydrogen bond donors. Indeed, this high molecular weight negatively impacts the PROTACs solubility and permeability, and modifications on the PROTAC structure might be required to solve these issues and improve PROTACs biodistribution (Cecchini et al., 2021). Some of these issues could be overcome by developing new classes of targeted degraders, such as the “molecular glues”, which are compounds with molecular weights similar to that of catalytic inhibitors that join the interfaces of a protein of interest with an ubiquitin ligase to promote the protein degradation via the proteasome system (Kozicka and Thoma, 2021). Indeed, molecular glues targeting protein kinases, specifically CDK12-cyclin K, have been reported (Dieter et al., 2021; Lv et al., 2020; Slabicki et al., 2020).

PROTAC metabolism has recently been evaluated in a study conducted by Goracci and colleagues (Goracci et al., 2020). The authors concluded that the linker was the main contributor to the PROTAC metabolic stability and showed that CYP3A4 (cytochrome P450 family 3 subfamily A member 4) can play an essential role in PROTAC degradation, and that hAOX (human aldehyde oxidase) could also metabolize PROTACs with the VHL ligand.

One potential advantage of PROTACs as therapeutics relies on their “event-driven” mechanism of action. This property has been recently explored with a RIPK2 PROTAC (Mares et al., 2020). In this study, the authors demonstrated a disconnection between the PK and PD properties of the selected PROTAC, with the PROTAC efficiently degrading RIPK2 even when it was not detectable and supporting the notion that PROTAC could be efficacious at low doses and reduced tissue exposure. However, it is acknowledged in this study that RIPK2 displays a slow re-synthesis rate, indicating that additional studies will be necessary.

Besides the pharmacological properties of PROTACs and based on clinical experience with small-molecule catalytic inhibitors, it is necessary to address whether cancer cells could

become resistant to PROTACs. For example, cancer cells can develop mutations that reduce the pharmacophore's binding affinity to its intended target (Lovly and Shaw, 2014; Torres-Ayuso and Brognard, 2019); consistent with this mechanism of resistance, mutations in the protein of interest can render it resistant to PROTAC-mediated degradation, as recently shown for CDK12 (Jiang et al., 2021). Nonetheless, because target degradation efficacy does not correlate with the PROTAC binding affinity to its target, PROTACs could still effectively degrade these novel variants. This has been demonstrated with an Ibrutinib-based BTK PROTACs, MT-802 (Buhimschi et al., 2018) and P13I (Sun et al., 2018), which effectively degrade both the wild-type BTK and the Ibrutinib-resistant C481S BTK mutant. Of note, these PROTACs lack the Ibrutinib's acrylamide moiety that covalently binds BTK C481, enabling these degraders to target both wild-type- and C481S-mutant BTK and retain a catalytic mechanism of action (Buhimschi et al., 2018). In fact, irreversible PROTAC-covalent binding to BTK can impair BTK degradation, since these PROTACs do not get recycled (Tinworth et al., 2019), although covalent-reversible BTK PROTACs can efficiently degrade their intended target with some advantages such as increased selectivity and intracellular retention time (Gabizon et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2020).

Resistance to PROTAC treatment could also emerge by changes in the protein degradation system; these alterations usually involve the loss of core components of the degradation machinery rather than mutations in their respective coding genes (Shirasaki et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2019). Notably, the alterations that trigger resistance to PROTACs are different depending on the engaged E3 ligase and suggest that sequential treatment with PROTACs engaging other E3 ligases could be an approach to prevent or delay resistance to protein degradation (Farnaby et al., 2021; Ottis et al., 2019; Shirasaki et al., 2021). With PROTACs entering clinical trials for evaluation in solid and hematological malignancies (Mullard, 2021) (Table 3), their true potential as anticancer agents will be unveiled.

Conclusions and future perspectives

Targeted-protein degradation is an emerging and evolving therapeutic option, especially for drivers that are difficult to target through conventional approaches, including amplified kinases. Kinase catalytic inhibitors can be easily incorporated into protein degraders or PROTACs, however, there is some discrepancy between the affinity of a small molecule and the

degradation efficacy of its derived PROTAC, which is not fully understood. Whereas some studies claim that such discrepancy might result from the stability of the ternary target-PROTAC-E3 ligase complex, the retention time of the PROTAC, or the geometry of the ternary complex not allowing the target ubiquitination. Despite these challenges, when a PROTAC can trigger the degradation of their intended target, it is expected that they will be superior to catalytic inhibitors in suppressing the function of amplified oncogenic kinase drivers as they work in sub-stoichiometric doses and will abrogate both catalytic and non-catalytic functions of the oncogenic kinase (Figure 1C). The possibility of generating PROTACs engaging different E3 ligases to degrade the same oncogenic target will likely reduce the probability that cancer cells become resistant to degradation of a protein of interest, although *in vivo* and clinical evidence is still required. Ongoing and future clinical trials using PROTACs, and other protein-degrading approaches will shed light on the potential of these novel therapeutics to become the therapy of choice in precision oncology approaches.

Acknowledgments

We thank Allan Kane from the Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research for assistance in illustration preparation. Funding for this work is supported by the National Cancer Institute, grant number ZIABC011691, and a National Institutes of Health Intramural Research Program through an NCI FLEX award to John Brognard. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

Author's contributions

Conception and design: Pedro Torres-Ayuso and John Brognard.

Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: Pedro Torres-Ayuso and John Brognard.

References

Adhikari B, Bozilovic J, Diebold M, Schwarz JD, Hofstetter J, Schroder M, Wanior M, Narain A, Vogt M, Dudvarski Stankovic N, Baluapuri A, Schonemann L, Eing L, Bhandare P, Kuster B, Schlosser A, Heinzlmeir S, Sottriffer C, Knapp S and

- Wolf E (2020) PROTAC-mediated degradation reveals a non-catalytic function of AURORA-A kinase. *Nat Chem Biol* **16**(11): 1179-1188.
- Alabi S, Jaime-Figueroa S, Yao Z, Gao Y, Hines J, Samarasinghe KT, Vogt L, Rosen N and Crews CM (2021) Mutant-selective degradation by BRAF-targeting PROTACs. *Nat Commun* **12**(1): 920.
- Banik SM, Pedram K, Wisnovsky S, Ahn G, Riley NM and Bertozzi CR (2020) Lysosome-targeting chimaeras for degradation of extracellular proteins. *Nature* **584**(7820): 291-297.
- Bekele RT, Samant AS, Nassar AH, So J, Garcia EP, Curran CR, Hwang JH, Mayhew DL, Nag A, Thorner AR, Borcsok J, Sztupinski Z, Pan CX, Bellmunt J, Kwiatkowski DJ, Sonpavde GP, Van Allen EM and Mouw KW (2021) RAF1 amplification drives a subset of bladder tumors and confers sensitivity to MAPK-directed therapeutics. *J Clin Invest* **131**(22).
- Bensen R and Brognard J (2021) New Therapeutic Opportunities for the Treatment of Squamous Cell Carcinomas: A Focus on Novel Driver Kinases. *Int J Mol Sci* **22**(6).
- Bond AG, Craighan C, Chan KH, Testa A, Karapetsas A, Fasimoye R, Macartney T, Blow JJ, Alessi DR and Ciulli A (2021) Development of BromoTag: A "Bump-and-Hole"-PROTAC System to Induce Potent, Rapid, and Selective Degradation of Tagged Target Proteins. *J Med Chem* **64**(20): 15477-15502.
- Bondeson DP, Mares A, Smith IE, Ko E, Campos S, Miah AH, Mulholland KE, Routly N, Buckley DL, Gustafson JL, Zinn N, Grandi P, Shimamura S, Bergamini G, Faeltz-Savitski M, Bantscheff M, Cox C, Gordon DA, Willard RR, Flanagan JJ, Casillas LN, Votta BJ, den Besten W, Famm K, Kruidenier L, Carter PS, Harling JD, Churcher I and Crews CM (2015) Catalytic in vivo protein knockdown by small-molecule PROTACs. *Nat Chem Biol* **11**(8): 611-617.
- Bondeson DP, Smith BE, Burslem GM, Buhimschi AD, Hines J, Jaime-Figueroa S, Wang J, Hamman BD, Ishchenko A and Crews CM (2018) Lessons in PROTAC Design from Selective Degradation with a Promiscuous Warhead. *Cell Chem Biol* **25**(1): 78-87 e75.
- Brand M, Jiang B, Bauer S, Donovan KA, Liang Y, Wang ES, Nowak RP, Yuan JC, Zhang T, Kwiatkowski N, Muller AC, Fischer ES, Gray NS and Winter GE (2019) Homolog-Selective Degradation as a Strategy to Probe the Function of CDK6 in AML. *Cell Chem Biol* **26**(2): 300-306 e309.
- Buckley DL, Raina K, Darricarrere N, Hines J, Gustafson JL, Smith IE, Miah AH, Harling JD and Crews CM (2015) HaloPROTACS: Use of Small Molecule PROTACs to Induce Degradation of HaloTag Fusion Proteins. *ACS Chem Biol* **10**(8): 1831-1837.
- Buhimschi AD, Armstrong HA, Toure M, Jaime-Figueroa S, Chen TL, Lehman AM, Woyach JA, Johnson AJ, Byrd JC and Crews CM (2018) Targeting the C481S Ibrutinib-Resistance Mutation in Bruton's Tyrosine Kinase Using PROTAC-Mediated Degradation. *Biochemistry* **57**(26): 3564-3575.
- Burslem GM and Crews CM (2020) Proteolysis-Targeting Chimeras as Therapeutics and Tools for Biological Discovery. *Cell* **181**(1): 102-114.
- Burslem GM, Schultz AR, Bondeson DP, Eide CA, Savage Stevens SL, Druker BJ and Crews CM (2019) Targeting BCR-ABL1 in Chronic Myeloid Leukemia by PROTAC-Mediated Targeted Protein Degradation. *Cancer Res* **79**(18): 4744-4753.
- Burslem GM, Smith BE, Lai AC, Jaime-Figueroa S, McQuaid DC, Bondeson DP, Toure M, Dong H, Qian Y, Wang J, Crew AP, Hines J and Crews CM (2018a) The Advantages of Targeted Protein Degradation Over Inhibition: An RTK Case Study. *Cell Chem Biol* **25**(1): 67-77 e63.
- Burslem GM, Song J, Chen X, Hines J and Crews CM (2018b) Enhancing Antiproliferative Activity and Selectivity of a FLT-3 Inhibitor by Proteolysis Targeting Chimera Conversion. *J Am Chem Soc* **140**(48): 16428-16432.
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research N (2014) Comprehensive molecular characterization of gastric adenocarcinoma. *Nature* **513**(7517): 202-209.
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research N, Analysis Working Group: Asan U, Agency BCC, Brigham, Women's H, Broad I, Brown U, Case Western Reserve U, Dana-Farber Cancer I, Duke U, Greater Poland Cancer C, Harvard Medical S, Institute for Systems B, Leuven KU, Mayo C, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer C, National Cancer I, Nationwide Children's H, Stanford U, University of A, University of M, University of North C, University of P, University of R, University of Southern C, University of Texas MDACC, University of W, Van Andel Research I, Vanderbilt U, Washington U, Genome Sequencing Center: Broad I, Washington University in St L, Genome Characterization Centers BCCA, Broad I, Harvard Medical S, Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins U, University of North C, University of Southern California Epigenome C, University of Texas MDACC, Van Andel Research I, Genome Data Analysis Centers: Broad I, Brown U, Harvard Medical S, Institute for Systems B, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer C, University of California Santa C, University of Texas MDACC, Biospecimen Core Resource: International Genomics C, Research Institute at Nationwide Children's H, Tissue Source Sites: Analytic Biologic S, Asan Medical C, Asterand B, Barretos Cancer H, BioreclamationIvt, Botkin Municipal C, Chonnam National University Medical S, Christiana Care Health S, Cureline, Duke U, Emory U, Erasmus U, Indiana University School of M, Institute of Oncology of M, International Genomics C, Invidumed, Israelitische Krankenhaus H, Keimyung University School of M, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer C, National Cancer Center G, Ontario Tumour B, Peter MacCallum Cancer C, Pusan National University Medical S, Ribeiro Preto Medical S, St. Joseph's H, Medical C, St. Petersburg Academic U, Tayside Tissue B, University of D, University of Kansas Medical C, University of M, University of North Carolina at Chapel H, University of Pittsburgh School of M, University of Texas MDACC, Disease Working Group: Duke U, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer C, National Cancer I, University of Texas MDACC, Yonsei University College of M, Data

- Coordination Center CI and Project Team: National Institutes of H (2017) Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. *Nature* **541**(7636): 169-175.
- Cecchini C, Pannilunghi S, Tardy S and Scapozza L (2021) From Conception to Development: Investigating PROTACs Features for Improved Cell Permeability and Successful Protein Degradation. *Front Chem* **9**: 672267.
- Chang Y, Min J, Jarusiewicz JA, Actis M, Yu-Chen Bradford S, Mayasundari A, Yang L, Chepyala D, Alcock LJ, Roberts KG, Nithianantham S, Maxwell D, Rowland L, Larsen R, Seth A, Goto H, Imamura T, Akahane K, Hansen BS, Pruett-Miller SM, Paietta EM, Litzow MR, Qu C, Yang JJ, Fischer M, Rankovic Z and Mullighan CG (2021) Degradation of Janus kinases in CRLF2-rearranged acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* **138**(23): 2313-2326.
- Chen H, Chen F, Liu N, Wang X and Gou S (2018) Chemically induced degradation of CK2 by proteolysis targeting chimeras based on a ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. *Bioorg Chem* **81**: 536-544.
- Chen Y, Yuan X, Tang M, Shi M, Yang T, Liu K, Deng D and Chen L (2021) Degrading FLT3-ITD protein by proteolysis targeting chimera (PROTAC). *Bioorg Chem* **119**: 105508.
- Corcoran RB, Dias-Santagata D, Bergethon K, Iafrate AJ, Settleman J and Engelman JA (2010) BRAF gene amplification can promote acquired resistance to MEK inhibitors in cancer cells harboring the BRAF V600E mutation. *Sci Signal* **3**(149): ra84.
- Crew AP, Raina K, Dong H, Qian Y, Wang J, Vigil D, Serebrenik YV, Hamman BD, Morgan A, Ferraro C, Siu K, Neklesa TK, Winkler JD, Coleman KG and Crews CM (2018) Identification and Characterization of Von Hippel-Lindau-Recruiting Proteolysis Targeting Chimeras (PROTACs) of TANK-Binding Kinase 1. *J Med Chem* **61**(2): 583-598.
- Cromm PM, Samarasinghe KTG, Hines J and Crews CM (2018) Addressing Kinase-Independent Functions of Fak via PROTAC-Mediated Degradation. *J Am Chem Soc* **140**(49): 17019-17026.
- Dawson JC, Serrels A, Stupack DG, Schlaepfer DD and Frame MC (2021) Targeting FAK in anticancer combination therapies. *Nat Rev Cancer*.
- De Dominici M, Porazzi P, Xiao Y, Chao A, Tang HY, Kumar G, Fortina P, Spinelli O, Rambaldi A, Peterson LF, Petruk S, Barletta C, Mazo A, Cingolani G, Salvino JM and Calabretta B (2020) Selective inhibition of Ph-positive ALL cell growth through kinase-dependent and -independent effects by CDK6-specific PROTACs. *Blood* **135**(18): 1560-1573.
- Dieter SM, Siegl C, Codo PL, Huerta M, Ostermann-Parucha AL, Schulz E, Zowada MK, Martin S, Laaber K, Nowrouzi A, Blatter M, Kretsch S, Westermann F, Benner A, Uhrig U, Putzker K, Lewis J, Haegebarth A, Mumberg D, Holton SJ, Weiske J, Toepper LM, Scheib U, Siemeister G, Ball CR, Kuster B, Stoeck G, Hahne H, Johannes S, Lange M, Herbst F and Glimm H (2021) Degradation of CCNK/CDK12 is a druggable vulnerability of colorectal cancer. *Cell Rep* **36**(3): 109394.
- Donovan KA, Ferguson FM, Bushman JW, Eleuteri NA, Bhunia D, Ryu S, Tan L, Shi K, Yue H, Liu X, Dobrovolsky D, Jiang B, Wang J, Hao M, You I, Teng M, Liang Y, Hatcher J, Li Z, Manz TD, Groendyke B, Hu W, Nam Y, Sengupta S, Cho H, Shin I, Agius MP, Ghobrial IM, Ma MW, Che J, Buhrlage SJ, Sim T, Gray NS and Fischer ES (2020) Mapping the Degradable Kinome Provides a Resource for Expedited Degradation Development. *Cell* **183**(6): 1714-1731 e1710.
- Farnaby W, Koegl M, McConnell DB and Ciulli A (2021) Transforming targeted cancer therapy with PROTACs: A forward-looking perspective. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* **57**: 175-183.
- Fulcher LJ, Macartney T, Bozatz P, Hornberger A, Rojas-Fernandez A and Sapkota GP (2016) An affinity-directed protein missile system for targeted proteolysis. *Open Biol* **6**(10).
- Gabizon R, Shraga A, Gehrtz P, Livnah E, Shorer Y, Gurwicz N, Avram L, Unger T, Aharoni H, Albeck S, Brandis A, Shulman Z, Katz BZ, Herishanu Y and London N (2020) Efficient Targeted Degradation via Reversible and Irreversible Covalent PROTACs. *J Am Chem Soc* **142**(27): 11734-11742.
- Gao H, Wu Y, Sun Y, Yang Y, Zhou G and Rao Y (2020) Design, Synthesis, and Evaluation of Highly Potent FAK-Targeting PROTACs. *ACS Med Chem Lett* **11**(10): 1855-1862.
- Goracci L, Desantis J, Valeri A, Castellani B, Eleuteri M and Cruciani G (2020) Understanding the Metabolism of Proteolysis Targeting Chimeras (PROTACs): The Next Step toward Pharmaceutical Applications. *J Med Chem* **63**(20): 11615-11638.
- Gross S, Rahal R, Stransky N, Lengauer C and Hoeflich KP (2015) Targeting cancer with kinase inhibitors. *J Clin Invest* **125**(5): 1780-1789.
- Guo WH, Qi X, Yu X, Liu Y, Chung CI, Bai F, Lin X, Lu D, Wang L, Chen J, Su LH, Nomie KJ, Li F, Wang MC, Shu X, Onuchic JN, Woyach JA, Wang ML and Wang J (2020) Enhancing intracellular accumulation and target engagement of PROTACs with reversible covalent chemistry. *Nat Commun* **11**(1): 4268.
- Harari D and Yarden Y (2000) Molecular mechanisms underlying ErbB2/HER2 action in breast cancer. *Oncogene* **19**(53): 6102-6114.
- Hatcher JM, Wang ES, Johannessen L, Kwiakowski N, Sim T and Gray NS (2018) Development of Highly Potent and Selective Steroidal Inhibitors and Degraders of CDK8. *ACS Med Chem Lett* **9**(6): 540-545.
- Huang HT, Dobrovolsky D, Paulk J, Yang G, Weisberg EL, Doctor ZM, Buckley DL, Cho JH, Ko E, Jang J, Shi K, Choi HG, Griffin JD, Li Y, Treon SP, Fischer ES, Bradner JE, Tan L and Gray NS (2018) A Chemoproteomic Approach to Query the Degradable Kinome Using a Multi-kinase Degradation. *Cell Chem Biol* **25**(1): 88-99 e86.
- Hynes NE and Lane HA (2005) ERBB receptors and cancer: the complexity of targeted inhibitors. *Nat Rev Cancer* **5**(5): 341-354.

- Jiang B, Gao Y, Che J, Lu W, Kaltheuner IH, Dries R, Kalocsay M, Berberich MJ, Jiang J, You I, Kwiatkowski N, Ricking KM, Daniels DL, Sorger PK, Geyer M, Zhang T and Gray NS (2021) Discovery and resistance mechanism of a selective CDK12 degrader. *Nat Chem Biol*.
- Jiang B, Wang ES, Donovan KA, Liang Y, Fischer ES, Zhang T and Gray NS (2019) Development of Dual and Selective Degraders of Cyclin-Dependent Kinases 4 and 6. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **58**(19): 6321-6326.
- Kang CH, Lee DH, Lee CO, Du Ha J, Park CH and Hwang JY (2018) Induced protein degradation of anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) by proteolysis targeting chimera (PROTAC). *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **505**(2): 542-547.
- Kozicka Z and Thoma NH (2021) Haven't got a glue: Protein surface variation for the design of molecular glue degraders. *Cell Chem Biol* **28**(7): 1032-1047.
- Lai AC and Crews CM (2017) Induced protein degradation: an emerging drug discovery paradigm. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **16**(2): 101-114.
- Lai AC, Toure M, Hellerschmied D, Salami J, Jaime-Figueroa S, Ko E, Hines J and Crews CM (2016) Modular PROTAC Design for the Degradation of Oncogenic BCR-ABL. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **55**(2): 807-810.
- Lebraud H, Wright DJ, Johnson CN and Heightman TD (2016) Protein Degradation by In-Cell Self-Assembly of Proteolysis Targeting Chimeras. *ACS Cent Sci* **2**(12): 927-934.
- Li W, Gao C, Zhao L, Yuan Z, Chen Y and Jiang Y (2018) Phthalimide conjugations for the degradation of oncogenic PI3K. *Eur J Med Chem* **151**: 237-247.
- Lipinski CA, Lombardo F, Dominy BW and Feeney PJ (2001) Experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development settings. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* **46**(1-3): 3-26.
- Lovly CM and Shaw AT (2014) Molecular pathways: resistance to kinase inhibitors and implications for therapeutic strategies. *Clin Cancer Res* **20**(9): 2249-2256.
- Lu J, Qian Y, Altieri M, Dong H, Wang J, Raina K, Hines J, Winkler JD, Crew AP, Coleman K and Crews CM (2015) Hijacking the E3 Ubiquitin Ligase Cereblon to Efficiently Target BRD4. *Chem Biol* **22**(6): 755-763.
- Luo M, Spradlin JN, Boike L, Tong B, Brittain SM, McKenna JM, Tallarico JA, Schirle M, Maimone TJ and Nomura DK (2021) Chemoproteomics-enabled discovery of covalent RNFI14-based degraders that mimic natural product function. *Cell Chem Biol* **28**(4): 559-566 e515.
- Lv L, Chen P, Cao L, Li Y, Zeng Z, Cui Y, Wu Q, Li J, Wang JH, Dong MQ, Qi X and Han T (2020) Discovery of a molecular glue promoting CDK12-DDB1 interaction to trigger cyclin K degradation. *Elife* **9**.
- Mares A, Miah AH, Smith IED, Rackham M, Thawani AR, Cryan J, Haile PA, Votta BJ, Beal AM, Capriotti C, Reilly MA, Fisher DT, Zinn N, Bantscheff M, MacDonald TT, Vossenkamper A, Dace P, Churcher I, Benowitz AB, Watt G, Denyer J, Scott-Stevens P and Harling JD (2020) Extended pharmacodynamic responses observed upon PROTAC-mediated degradation of RIPK2. *Commun Biol* **3**(1): 140.
- Mullard A (2021) Targeted protein degraders crowd into the clinic. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*.
- Nabet B, Ferguson FM, Seong BKA, Kuljanin M, Leggett AL, Mohardt ML, Robichaud A, Conway AS, Buckley DL, Mancias JD, Bradner JE, Stegmaier K and Gray NS (2020) Rapid and direct control of target protein levels with VHL-recruiting dTAG molecules. *Nat Commun* **11**(1): 4687.
- Nabet B, Roberts JM, Buckley DL, Paulk J, Dastjerdi S, Yang A, Leggett AL, Erb MA, Lawlor MA, Souza A, Scott TG, Vittori S, Perry JA, Qi J, Winter GE, Wong KK, Gray NS and Bradner JE (2018) The dTAG system for immediate and target-specific protein degradation. *Nat Chem Biol* **14**(5): 431-441.
- Nalawansha DA and Crews CM (2020) PROTACs: An Emerging Therapeutic Modality in Precision Medicine. *Cell Chem Biol* **27**(8): 998-1014.
- Niu T, Li K, Jiang L, Zhou Z, Hong J, Chen X, Dong X, He Q, Cao J, Yang B and Zhu CL (2022) Noncovalent CDK12/13 dual inhibitors-based PROTACs degrade CDK12-Cyclin K complex and induce synthetic lethality with PARP inhibitor. *Eur J Med Chem* **228**: 114012.
- Olson CM, Jiang B, Erb MA, Liang Y, Doctor ZM, Zhang Z, Zhang T, Kwiatkowski N, Boukhali M, Green JL, Haas W, Nomanbhoy T, Fischer ES, Young RA, Bradner JE, Winter GE and Gray NS (2018) Pharmacological perturbation of CDK9 using selective CDK9 inhibition or degradation. *Nat Chem Biol* **14**(2): 163-170.
- Ottis P, Palladino C, Thienger P, Britschgi A, Heichinger C, Berrera M, Julien-Laferriere A, Roudnicki F, Kam-Thong T, Bischoff JR, Martoglio B and Pettazzoni P (2019) Cellular Resistance Mechanisms to Targeted Protein Degradation Converge Toward Impairment of the Engaged Ubiquitin Transfer Pathway. *ACS Chem Biol* **14**(10): 2215-2223.
- Paiva SL and Crews CM (2019) Targeted protein degradation: elements of PROTAC design. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* **50**: 111-119.
- Perou CM, Sorlie T, Eisen MB, van de Rijn M, Jeffrey SS, Rees CA, Pollack JR, Ross DT, Johnsen H, Akslen LA, Fluge O, Pergamenschikov A, Williams C, Zhu SX, Lonning PE, Borresen-Dale AL, Brown PO and Botstein D (2000) Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. *Nature* **406**(6797): 747-752.
- Pettersson M and Crews CM (2019) PROteolysis TARgeting Chimeras (PROTACs) - Past, present and future. *Drug Discov Today Technol* **31**: 15-27.
- Popow J, Arnhof H, Bader G, Berger H, Ciulli A, Covini D, Dank C, Gmaschitz T, Greb P, Karolyi-Ozguer J, Koeigl M, McConnell DB, Pearson M, Rieger M, Rinnenthal J, Roessler V, Schrenk A, Spina M, Steurer S, Trainor N, Traxler E, Wieshofer C, Zoephel A and Ettmayer P (2019) Highly Selective PTK2 Proteolysis Targeting Chimeras to Probe Focal Adhesion Kinase Scaffolding Functions. *J Med Chem* **62**(5): 2508-2520.
- Posternak G, Tang X, Maisonneuve P, Jin T, Lavoie H, Dao S, Orlicky S, Gouillet de Rugy T, Caldwell L, Chan K, Aman A, Prakesch M, Poda G, Mader P, Wong C, Maier S, Kitaygorodsky J, Larsen B, Colwill K, Yin Z, Ceccarelli DF, Batey

- RA, Taipale M, Kurinov I, Uehling D, Wrana J, Durocher D, Gingras AC, Al-Awar R, Therrien M and Sicheri F (2020) Functional characterization of a PROTAC directed against BRAF mutant V600E. *Nat Chem Biol* **16**(11): 1170-1178.
- Powell CE, Gao Y, Tan L, Donovan KA, Nowak RP, Loehr A, Bahcall M, Fischer ES, Janne PA, George RE and Gray NS (2018) Chemically Induced Degradation of Anaplastic Lymphoma Kinase (ALK). *J Med Chem* **61**(9): 4249-4255.
- Rana S, Bendjennat M, Kour S, King HM, Kizhake S, Zahid M and Natarajan A (2019) Selective degradation of CDK6 by a palbociclib based PROTAC. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **29**(11): 1375-1379.
- Rauch J, Volinsky N, Romano D and Kolch W (2011) The secret life of kinases: functions beyond catalysis. *Cell Commun Signal* **9**(1): 23.
- Reifenberger G, Reifenberger J, Ichimura K, Meltzer PS and Collins VP (1994) Amplification of multiple genes from chromosomal region 12q13-14 in human malignant gliomas: preliminary mapping of the amplicons shows preferential involvement of CDK4, SAS, and MDM2. *Cancer Res* **54**(16): 4299-4303.
- Riching KM, Mahan S, Corona CR, McDougall M, Vasta JD, Robers MB, Urh M and Daniels DL (2018) Quantitative Live-Cell Kinetic Degradation and Mechanistic Profiling of PROTAC Mode of Action. *ACS Chem Biol* **13**(9): 2758-2770.
- Schlegel J, Stumm G, Brandle K, Merdes A, Mechttersheimer G, Hynes NE and Kiessling M (1994) Amplification and differential expression of members of the erbB-gene family in human glioblastoma. *J Neurooncol* **22**(3): 201-207.
- Shibata N, Shimokawa K, Nagai K, Ohoka N, Hattori T, Miyamoto N, Ujikawa O, Sameshima T, Nara H, Cho N and Naito M (2018) Pharmacological difference between degrader and inhibitor against oncogenic BCR-ABL kinase. *Sci Rep* **8**(1): 13549.
- Shimokawa K, Shibata N, Sameshima T, Miyamoto N, Ujikawa O, Nara H, Ohoka N, Hattori T, Cho N and Naito M (2017) Targeting the Allosteric Site of Oncoprotein BCR-ABL as an Alternative Strategy for Effective Target Protein Degradation. *ACS Med Chem Lett* **8**(10): 1042-1047.
- Shirasaki R, Matthews GM, Gandolfi S, de Matos Simoes R, Buckley DL, Raja Vora J, Sievers QL, Bruggenthies JB, Dashevsky O, Poarch H, Tang H, Bariteau MA, Sheffer M, Hu Y, Downey-Kopyscinski SL, Hengeveld PJ, Glassner BJ, Dhimolea E, Ott CJ, Zhang T, Kwiatkowski NP, Laubach JP, Schlossman RL, Richardson PG, Culhane AC, Groen RWJ, Fischer ES, Vazquez F, Tsherniak A, Hahn WC, Levy J, Auclair D, Licht JD, Keats JJ, Boise LH, Ebert BL, Bradner JE, Gray NS and Mitsiades CS (2021) Functional Genomics Identify Distinct and Overlapping Genes Mediating Resistance to Different Classes of Heterobifunctional Degradators of Oncoproteins. *Cell Rep* **34**(1): 108532.
- Simpson LM, Macartney TJ, Nardin A, Fulcher LJ, Roth S, Testa A, Maniaci C, Ciulli A, Ganley IG and Sapkota GP (2020) Inducible Degradation of Target Proteins through a Tractable Affinity-Directed Protein Missile System. *Cell Chem Biol* **27**(9): 1164-1180 e1165.
- Slabicki M, Kozicka Z, Petzold G, Li YD, Manojkumar M, Bunker RD, Donovan KA, Sievers QL, Koeppel J, Suchyta D, Sperling AS, Fink EC, Gasser JA, Wang LR, Corsello SM, Sellar RS, Jan M, Gillingham D, Scholl C, Frohling S, Golub TR, Fischer ES, Thoma NH and Ebert BL (2020) The CDK inhibitor CR8 acts as a molecular glue degrader that depletes cyclin K. *Nature* **585**(7824): 293-297.
- Smith BE, Wang SL, Jaime-Figueroa S, Harbin A, Wang J, Hamman BD and Crews CM (2019) Differential PROTAC substrate specificity dictated by orientation of recruited E3 ligase. *Nat Commun* **10**(1): 131.
- Su S, Yang Z, Gao H, Yang H, Zhu S, An Z, Wang J, Li Q, Chandarlapaty S, Deng H, Wu W and Rao Y (2019) Potent and Preferential Degradation of CDK6 via Proteolysis Targeting Chimera Degradators. *J Med Chem* **62**(16): 7575-7582.
- Sun X, Gao H, Yang Y, He M, Wu Y, Song Y, Tong Y and Rao Y (2019) PROTACs: great opportunities for academia and industry. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* **4**: 64.
- Sun Y, Zhao X, Ding N, Gao H, Wu Y, Yang Y, Zhao M, Hwang J, Song Y, Liu W and Rao Y (2018) PROTAC-induced BTK degradation as a novel therapy for mutated BTK C481S induced ibrutinib-resistant B-cell malignancies. *Cell Res* **28**(7): 779-781.
- Tinworth CP, Lithgow H, Dittus L, Bassi ZI, Hughes SE, Muelbaier M, Dai H, Smith IED, Kerr WJ, Burley GA, Bantscheff M and Harling JD (2019) PROTAC-Mediated Degradation of Bruton's Tyrosine Kinase Is Inhibited by Covalent Binding. *ACS Chem Biol* **14**(3): 342-347.
- Torres-Ayuso P, An E, Nyswaner KM, Bensen RC, Ritt DA, Specht SI, Das S, Andresson T, Cachau RE, Liang RJ, Ries AL, Robinson CM, Difilippantonio S, Gouker B, Bassel L, Karim BO, Miller CJ, Turk BE, Morrison DK and Brognard J (2021) TNIK is a therapeutic target in Lung Squamous Cell Carcinoma and regulates FAK activation through Merlin. *Cancer Discov*.
- Torres-Ayuso P and Brognard J (2019) Combing the Cancer Genome for Novel Kinase Drivers and New Therapeutic Targets. *Cancers (Basel)* **11**(12).
- Tovell H, Testa A, Maniaci C, Zhou H, Prescott AR, Macartney T, Ciulli A and Alessi DR (2019a) Rapid and Reversible Knockdown of Endogenously Tagged Endosomal Proteins via an Optimized HaloPROTAC Degradator. *ACS Chem Biol* **14**(5): 882-892.
- Tovell H, Testa A, Zhou H, Shpiro N, Crafter C, Ciulli A and Alessi DR (2019b) Design and Characterization of SGK3-PROTAC1, an Isoform Specific SGK3 Kinase PROTAC Degradator. *ACS Chem Biol* **14**(9): 2024-2034.
- Vollmer S, Cunoosamy D, Lv H, Feng H, Li X, Nan Z, Yang W and Perry MWD (2020) Design, Synthesis, and Biological Evaluation of MEK PROTACs. *J Med Chem* **63**(1): 157-162.

- Wang L, Shao X, Zhong T, Wu Y, Xu A, Sun X, Gao H, Liu Y, Lan T, Tong Y, Tao X, Du W, Wang W, Chen Y, Li T, Meng X, Deng H, Yang B, He Q, Ying M and Rao Y (2021) Discovery of a first-in-class CDK2 selective degrader for AML differentiation therapy. *Nat Chem Biol* **17**(5): 567-575.
- Ward KK, Tancioni I, Lawson C, Miller NL, Jean C, Chen XL, Uryu S, Kim J, Tarin D, Stupack DG, Plaxe SC and Schlaepfer DD (2013) Inhibition of focal adhesion kinase (FAK) activity prevents anchorage-independent ovarian carcinoma cell growth and tumor progression. *Clin Exp Metastasis* **30**(5): 579-594.
- Wei J, Hu J, Wang L, Xie L, Jin MS, Chen X, Liu J and Jin J (2019) Discovery of a First-in-Class Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Kinase 1/2 Degradable. *J Med Chem* **62**(23): 10897-10911.
- Weiss J, Sos ML, Seidel D, Peifer M, Zander T, Heuckmann JM, Ullrich RT, Menon R, Maier S, Soltermann A, Moch H, Wagener P, Fischer F, Heynck S, Koker M, Schottle J, Leenders F, Gabler F, Dabow I, Querings S, Heukamp LC, Balke-Want H, Ansen S, Rauh D, Baessmann I, Altmüller J, Wainer Z, Conron M, Wright G, Russell P, Solomon B, Brambilla E, Brambilla C, Lorimier P, Sollberg S, Brustugun OT, Engel-Riedel W, Ludwig C, Petersen I, Sanger J, Clement J, Groen H, Timens W, Sietsma H, Thunnissen E, Smit E, Heideman D, Cappuzzo F, Ligorio C, Damiani S, Hallek M, Beroukhi R, Pao W, Klebl B, Baumann M, Buettner R, Ernestus K, Stoelben E, Wolf J, Nurnberg P, Perner S and Thomas RK (2010) Frequent and focal FGFR1 amplification associates with therapeutically tractable FGFR1 dependency in squamous cell lung cancer. *Sci Transl Med* **2**(62): 62ra93.
- Xu J, Yu X, Martin TC, Bansal A, Cheung K, Lubin A, Stratikopoulos E, Cahuzac KM, Wang L, Xie L, Zhou R, Shen Y, Wu X, Yao S, Qiao R, Poulikakos PI, Chen X, Liu J, Jin J and Parsons R (2021) AKT Degradation Selectively Inhibits the Growth of PI3K/PTEN Pathway-Mutant Cancers with Wild-Type KRAS and BRAF by Destabilizing Aurora Kinase B. *Cancer Discov.*
- You I, Erickson EC, Donovan KA, Eleuteri NA, Fischer ES, Gray NS and Toker A (2020) Discovery of an AKT Degradable with Prolonged Inhibition of Downstream Signaling. *Cell Chem Biol* **27**(1): 66-73 e67.
- Yu F, Cai M, Shao L and Zhang J (2021) Targeting Protein Kinases Degradation by PROTACs. *Front Chem* **9**: 679120.
- Zhang C, Han XR, Yang X, Jiang B, Liu J, Xiong Y and Jin J (2018) Proteolysis Targeting Chimeras (PROTACs) of Anaplastic Lymphoma Kinase (ALK). *Eur J Med Chem* **151**: 304-314.
- Zhang L, Riley-Gillis B, Vijay P and Shen Y (2019) Acquired Resistance to BET-PROTACs (Proteolysis-Targeting Chimeras) Caused by Genomic Alterations in Core Components of E3 Ligase Complexes. *Mol Cancer Ther* **18**(7): 1302-1311.
- Zhou F, Chen L, Cao C, Yu J, Luo X, Zhou P, Zhao L, Du W, Cheng J, Xie Y and Chen Y (2020) Development of selective mono or dual PROTAC degrader probe of CDK isoforms. *Eur J Med Chem* **187**: 111952.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. A. Catalytic inhibitors must occupy most of their intended kinase to achieve therapeutic efficacy (i.e., “occupancy-driven” pharmacology). Kinase gene amplification or overexpression can balance the equilibrium toward the accumulation of an uninhibited kinase pool, and cancer cells become resistant to treatment with catalytic inhibitors. **B.** PROTACs are hetero-bifunctional molecules that bring into close proximity a protein (kinase) of interest with an E3 ubiquitin ligase. When the intended protein kinase and the ubiquitin ligase complex interact in the right orientation, and an “acceptor” lysine is available on the target surface, the protein kinase becomes poly-ubiquitinated and is targeted for proteasomal degradation (“event-driven” pharmacology). The PROTAC gets recycled to start a new degradation reaction. The CRL4-Cereblon ubiquitin ligase complex has been used as an example. **C.** PROTACs can trigger multiple cycles of degradation and be efficacious therapies at a low target-to-compound or sub-stoichiometric ratios. For amplified kinases, the kinase will be eliminated over time, and therapeutic benefit will be achieved.

Table 1. List of PROTACs targeting oncogenic lipid and protein kinases - Revised in (Sun et al., 2019) and (Yu et al., 2021) and searched from Pubmed (pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) as of December 2021.

Target	PROTAC name	Parental Compound	E3 Ligase	Cancer type	Reference
ABL1	DAS-6-2-2-6-VHL	Dasatinib	VHL (ABL1-specific degrader)	CML	(Lai et al., 2016)
ABL1 / BCR-ABL1	DAS-IAP	Dasatinib	IAP	CML	(Shibata et al., 2018)
ABL1 / BCR-ABL1	SNIPER(ABL)-062	ABL001 [#]	IAP	CML	(Shimokawa et al., 2017)
ABL1 / BCR-ABL1	GMB-475	GNF-5 [#]	VHL	CML	(Burslem et al., 2019)
ABL1 / BCR-ABL1	BOS-6-2-2-6-CRBN	Bosutinib	CRBN	CML	(Lai et al., 2016)
ABL1 / BCR-ABL1	DAS-6-2-2-6-CRBN	Dasatinib	CRBN	CML	(Lai et al., 2016)
AKT	INY-03-041	GDC-0068	CRBN	Multiple malignancies	(You et al., 2020)
AKT	MS21	AZD5363	VHL	Multiple malignancies	(Xu et al., 2021)
ALK	MS4077	LDK378 (Ceritinib)	CRBN	ALCL, NSCLC	(Zhang et al., 2018)
ALK	MS4078	LDK378 (Ceritinib)	CRBN	ALCL, NSCLC	(Zhang et al., 2018)
ALK	TL-13-112	LDK378 (Ceritinib)	CRBN	ALCL, Neuroblastoma, NSCLC	(Powell et al., 2018)
ALK	TD-004	LDK378 (Ceritinib)	VHL	ALCL, NSCLC	(Kang et al., 2018)
ALK	TL-13-12	TAE684	CRBN	ALCL, Neuroblastoma, NSCLC	(Powell et al., 2018)
BRAF (V600E)	BRAF PROTAC P4B	BI-882370	CRBN	Melanoma	(Posternak et al., 2020)
BRAF (V600E) [‡]	SJF-0628	Vemurafenib	VHL	Melanoma	(Alabi et al., 2021)
BTK	DD-04-015	RN486	CRBN	CLL, B-cell malignancies	(Huang et al., 2018)
BTK	MT-802	Ibrutinib	CRBN	CLL, B-cell malignancies	(Buhimschi et al., 2018)
BTK	P13I	Ibrutinib	CRBN	NHL	(Sun et al., 2018)

BTK	RC-1 ^s	Ibrutinib	CRBN	AML	(Guo et al., 2020)
CDK12	BSJ-4-116	THZ531	CRBN	T-ALL,	(Jiang et al., 2021)
CDK12	PP-C8 ^{&}	SR-4835 derivative	CRBN	TNBC	(Niu et al., 2022)
CDK2	CPS2	J2	CRBN	AML	(Wang et al., 2021)
CDK2/CDK9	“Compound F3”	FN-1501	CRBN	Multiple malignancies	(Zhou et al., 2020)
CDK4	BSJ-04-132	Ribociclib	CRBN	Multiple malignancies	(Jiang et al., 2019)
CDK4/6	BSJ-03-204	Palbociclib	CRBN	Multiple malignancies	(Jiang et al., 2019)
CDK6	“PROTAC-6”	Palbociclib	CRBN	Multiple malignancies	(Rana et al., 2019)
CDK6	BSJ-03-123	Palbociclib	CRBN	AML, multiple malignancies	(Brand et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2019)
CDK6	CP-10	Palbociclib	CRBN	Multiple malignancies	(Su et al., 2019)
CDK8	JH-XI-10-02	JH-VIII-49	CRBN	Multiple malignancies	(Hatcher et al., 2018)
CDK9	THAL-SNS-032	SNS-032	CRBN	Multiple malignancies	(Olson et al., 2018)
CK2	“Compound 2”	CX-4945	CRBN	Multiple malignancies	(Chen et al., 2018)
EGFR (L858R and Exon 19 del)	Gefitinib-PROTAC 3	Gefitinib	VHL	NSCLC	(Burslem et al., 2018a)
EGFR (T790M/L858R)	Afatinib-PROTAC 4	Afatinib	VHL	NSCLC	(Burslem et al., 2018a)
EGFR (WT and Exon 20 Ins)	Lapatinib-PROTAC 5	Lapatinib	VHL	Multiple malignancies	(Burslem et al., 2018a)
EGFR (WT and Exon 20 Ins) / HER-2 (ERBB2)	Lapatinib-PROTAC 1	Lapatinib	VHL	Multiple malignancies; HER-2 ⁺ -breast cancer	(Burslem et al., 2018a)
ERK1/2	ERK-CLIPTAC	“Probe 1”	CRBN	Melanoma	(Lebraud et al., 2016)
FAK (PTK2)	BI-3663	BI-4464	CRBN	Multiple malignancies	(Popow et al., 2019)
FAK (PTK2)	FC-11	PF562271	CRBN	Multiple malignancies	(Gao et al., 2020)

FAK (PTK2)	“PROTAC-3”	Defactinib	VHL	Multiple malignancies	(Cromm et al., 2018)
FAK (PTK2)	BI-0319	BI-4464	VHL	Multiple malignancies	(Popow et al., 2019)
FLT3-ITD	PF-15	“Compound 9b”	CRBN	AML	(Chen et al., 2021)
FLT3-ITD	TL-13-117	Quizartinib (AC220)	CRBN	AML	(Huang et al., 2018)
FLT3-ITD	TL-13-149	Quizartinib (AC220)	CRBN	AML	(Huang et al., 2018)
FLT3-ITD	FLT3-PROTAC	Quizartinib (AC220)	VHL	AML	(Burslem et al., 2018b)
JAK2	SJ988497 [§]	Ruxolitinib	CRBN	<i>CRLF2</i> -rearranged ALL	(Chang et al., 2021)
MEK1/2	MS432	Mirdametinib (PD0325901)	VHL	Colorectal cancer, melanoma	(Wei et al., 2019)
MEK1/2	“Compounds 3, 4, 5”	Refametinib	VHL	Melanoma	(Vollmer et al., 2020)
MET	Foretinib-CRBN-PROTAC 2 [†]	Foretinib	CRBN	Multiple malignancies	(Bondeson et al., 2018)
MET	Foretinib-PROTAC 7 [†]	Foretinib	VHL	Multiple malignancies	(Bondeson et al., 2018; Burslem et al., 2018a)
p38 MAP kinase alpha (MAPK14)	SJF α	Foretinib	VHL	Multiple malignancies	(Smith et al., 2019)
p38 MAP kinase delta (MAPK13)	SJF δ	Foretinib	VHL	Multiple malignancies	(Smith et al., 2019)
PI3K	“Compound B, D”	ZSTK474	CRBN	Multiple malignancies	(Li et al., 2018)
RIPK2	PROTAC_RIPK2 #3	RIPK2 ligand (Vandetanib derivative)	CRBN	Multiple malignancies	(Mares et al., 2020)
RIPK2	PROTAC_RIPK2 #2	RIPK2 ligand (Vandetanib derivative)	IAP	Multiple malignancies	(Mares et al., 2020)
RIPK2	PROTAC_RIPK2	RIPK2 ligand (Vandetanib derivative)	VHL	Multiple malignancies	(Bondeson et al., 2015; Mares et al., 2020)
SGK3	SGK3-PROTAC1 (DAT8)	308-R	VHL	Breast cancer	(Tovell et al., 2019b)

TBK1	“PROTAC-3i”	TBK1-ligand 1b (MRT67307 derivative)	VHL	NSCLC	(Crew et al., 2018)
------	-------------	--	-----	-------	------------------------

Abbreviations: ALCL, anaplastic large cell lymphoma; AML, acute myeloid leukemia; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; CML, chronic myeloid leukemia; CRBN, Cereblon; IAP, inhibitor of apoptosis; NHL, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; T-ALL, T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia; TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer; VHL, von-Hippel Lindau; WT, wild-type.

[#] Allosteric inhibitors; [‡] partially degrades wild-type BRAF in cells with amplified receptor tyrosine kinase or mutant *RAS*; [§] covalent reversible; [&] triggers cyclin-K degradation; [§] also degrades GSPT1; [†] non-selective PROTAC.

Table 2. Comparison of small-molecule ATP-competitive catalytic inhibitors vs. PROTACs.

Small-molecule ATP-competitive catalytic inhibitors	PROTACs
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Target activity-dependent mechanisms of tumorigenesis. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Target activity-dependent and -independent mechanisms of tumorigenesis for enzymatic targets. Generally higher efficacy than sole inhibition.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Occupancy-driven mechanism of action; work at stoichiometric doses. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Catalytic mechanism of action; can work at sub-stoichiometric doses.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Require continuous exposure for therapeutic efficacy. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do not require continuous exposure to achieve the desired therapeutic effect.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Binding to the protein's active site required. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Binding to the active site not required; can target undruggable proteome.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specificity depends on binding to the target. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specificity of degradation also depends on recruited E3 ligase and linker composition; highly specific.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drug resistance easily develops. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential to target drug-resistant variants and to delay the emergence of therapeutic resistance.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good pharmacological properties of small-molecule ATP-competitive inhibitors. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pharmacological properties can be poor due to properties such as the size of the compounds and limited membrane permeability.

Table 3. List of PROTACs or heterobifunctional degraders in clinical trials (accessed from clinicaltrials.gov as of December 2021).

Clinical Trial Identifier	Target	Compound	Disease/Condition	Phase
NCT03888612	Androgen Receptor	ARV-110	Metastatic Castration Resistant Prostate Cancer	Phase 2
NCT05067140	Androgen Receptor	ARV-766	Metastatic Castration Resistant Prostate Cancer	Phase 1
NCT04428788	Androgen Receptor	CC-94676	Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer	Phase 1
NCT04886622	BCL-xL (BCL2L1)	DT2216	Relapsed/Refractory Malignancies	Phase 1
NCT04965753	BRD9	FHD-609	Advanced Synovial Sarcoma	Phase 1
NCT05006716	BTK	BGB-16673	B-Cell Malignancies	Phase 1
NCT04830137	BTK	NX-2127	Relapsed/Refractory B-cell Malignancies	Phase 1
NCT05131022	BTK	NX-5948	Relapsed/Refractory B-cell Malignancies	Phase 1
NCT04072952	Estrogen Receptor	ARV-471	ER ⁺ /HER2 ⁻ Locally Advanced or Metastatic Breast Cancer	Phase 1/2
NCT04772885	IRAK4	KT-474	Atopic Dermatitis (AD) or Hidradenitis Suppurativa (HS)	Phase 1

PROTAC is reused for a new degradation cycle.

C

PROTACs can trigger multiple degradation cycles.

Figure 1